CS4830 Big Data Laboratory

Jan - May 2021

Lab 8 - Assignment



ME17B158 - Omkar Nath

The DL.ipynb file uploaded on moodle uses a pre-trained mobilenet model to run inference on flowers dataset using Pyspark.

1. Modify the above code to run inference on CIFAR 10 dataset using Pyspark. (7)

The code has been modified to run on the CIFAR 10 dataset, and has been given in the attached file "ME17B158_Lab8.ipynb".

Screenshots of certain key sections of the Code has been attached below.

```
[7] import pathlib data_dir = tf.keras.utils.get_file(origin='http://pjreddie.com/media/files/cifar.tgz', fname='cifar', untar=True)
```

The modified file path for the data set

```
[8] images = spark.read.format("binaryFile").option("recursiveFileLookup", "true").option("pathGlobFilter", "*.png").load(data_dir)
```

Modified data type for reading files.

```
def extract_label(path_col):
"""Extract label from file path using built-in SQL functions."""
return regexp_extract(path_col, "_/([^/]+)", 1)
```

Modified file path location.

Running mobilenet v2 on the dataset, along with the results.

2. Try out a few different models pre-trained on Imagenet and report which one works better (calculating exact accuracy is difficult as the class names in imagenet and CIFAR 10 dataset don't exactly match, but still printing out the predictions for a few points and looking at the class names should give a hint). (3)

Zip the code file along with a pdf having the observations about different models.

Various pre-trained models are tried. Specifically, the models that have been tried are as listed in the below table:

mobilenet	v2
resnet18	
alexnet	
vgg16	
googlenet	
mobilenet	v3_large
mobilenet	v3_small
resnext50	32x4d
wide_resne	et50 <u>2</u>

Upon analyzing the results obtained from the various models, it is found that two models are able to perform decently on the dataset, which are "mobilenet_v3_large" and "resnext50_32x4d", as both seem to be able to predict certain classes of animals correctly, such as frogs. The rest perform very poorly.

Between the two, mobilenet_v3_large seems to perform slightly better, as it is also able to predict certain classes like of deer.

Below are screenshots of the results obtained from the various models:

Using mobilenet v2

Using resnet_18

```
[16] # Using alexnet
alexnet_udf = imagenet_model_udf(lambda: models.alexnet(pretrained=True))
predictions = df.withColumn("prediction", alexnet_udf(col("content")))
display(predictions.select(col("path"), col("prediction")).show(5, truncate = False))
/content/spark-3.1.1-bin-hadoop3.2/python/pyspark/sql/pandas/functions.py:392: UserWarning:
  "in the future releases. See SPARK-28264 for more details.", UserWarning)
      ------
|path
                                                   prediction
|file:/root/.keras/datasets/cifar/test/4672_frog.png |{n02128925, jaguar, 12.137699}
|file:/root/.keras/datasets/cifar/test/8562_bird.png |{n01443537, goldfish, 7.694492}
|file:/root/.keras/datasets/cifar/train/10327_frog.png|{n01644900, tailed_frog, 7.7939105}|
|file:/root/.keras/datasets/cifar/train/23455_deer.png|{n02356798, fox_squirrel, 8.7287}
|file:/root/.keras/datasets/cifar/train/38450_frog.png|{n02487347, macaque, 7.625961}
only showing top 5 rows
None
```

Using alexnet

Using vgg16

Using googlenet

Using mobilenet_v3_large

Using mobilenet v3 small

Using resnext50_32x4d

wide_resnet50_2