Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems

http://journals.cambridge.org/ETS

Additional services for **Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems:**

Email alerts: <u>Click here</u>
Subscriptions: <u>Click here</u>
Commercial reprints: <u>Click here</u>
Terms of use: Click here



On twisted group \\$C^{\ast }\\$-algebras associated with FC-hypercentral groups and other related groups

ERIK BÉDOS and TRON OMLAND

Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems / Volume 36 / Issue 06 / September 2016, pp 1743 - 1756 DOI: 10.1017/etds.2015.9. Published online: 01 June 2015

Link to this article: http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract S0143385715000097

How to cite this article:

ERIK BÉDOS and TRON OMLAND (2016). On twisted group -algebras associated with FC-hypercentral groups and other related groups. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, 36, pp 1743-1756 doi:10.1017/etds.2015.9

Request Permissions: Click here

On twisted group C^* -algebras associated with FC-hypercentral groups and other related groups

ERIK BÉDOS† and TRON OMLAND‡

† Institute of Mathematics, University of Oslo, P.B. 1053 Blindern, 0316 Oslo, Norway (e-mail: bedos@math.uio.no) ‡ School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, Arizona State University,

‡ School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, Arizona State University,
PO Box 871804, Tempe, AZ 85287-1804, USA
(e-mail: omland@asu.edu)

(Received 7 November 2014 and accepted in revised form 13 January 2015)

Abstract. We show that the twisted group C^* -algebra associated with a discrete FC-hypercentral group is simple (respectively, has a unique tracial state) if and only if Kleppner's condition is satisfied. This generalizes a result of Packer for countable nilpotent groups. We also consider a larger class of groups, for which we can show that the corresponding reduced twisted group C^* -algebras have a unique tracial state if and only if Kleppner's condition holds.

1. Introduction

In this paper all groups will be considered as discrete groups. Letting $\sigma: G \times G \to \mathbb{T}$ denote a normalized 2-cocycle on a group G (in other words, $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$), we will say that (G, σ) is C^* -simple (respectively, has the *unique trace property*) whenever the reduced twisted group C^* -algebra $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ is simple (respectively, has a unique tracial state). If G is amenable, then we can equally consider the full twisted group C^* -algebra $C^*(G, \sigma)$, since $C^*(G, \sigma)$ is canonically isomorphic to $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ in this case (cf. [27]). It is well known that a necessary condition for (G, σ) to be C^* -simple (respectively, have the unique trace property) is *Kleppner's condition* [16], which says that every non-trivial σ -regular conjugacy class in G is infinite. In general, Kleppner's condition is not sufficient for (G, σ) to be C^* -simple (respectively, have the unique trace property). However, for certain classes of groups, Kleppner's condition is sufficient for both these properties to hold. We will therefore say that a group G belongs to the class \mathcal{K}_{C^*S} (respectively, \mathcal{K}_{UT}) if, for every $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$, we have that (G, σ) is C^* -simple (respectively, has the unique trace property) if and only if (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition. Moreover, we will

IP address: 193.157.184.147

let K denote the intersection of K_{C^*S} and K_{UT} , while K^{am} will denote the subclass of K consisting of amenable groups.

It is a classical fact that the class of finite groups is contained in \mathcal{K}^{am} ; see, for example, [14] or [16]. Packer [22] has shown that all countable nilpotent groups belong to \mathcal{K}^{am} (see also [26] for the case of abelian groups). Large families of non-amenable groups in \mathcal{K} are described in [1, 2, 4]. We mention explicitly the class \mathcal{P} introduced in [4], as we will refer to it later: it consists of all PH groups [24] and of all groups with property (P_{com}) [5]. In particular, all weak Powers groups [13] belong to \mathcal{P} , and the class \mathcal{P} contains many amalgamated free products, HNN-extensions, hyperbolic groups, Coxeter groups, and lattices in semisimple Lie groups. Any group belonging to \mathcal{P} is ICC (meaning that all of its non-trivial conjugacy classes are infinite), so Kleppner's condition is trivially satisfied for any $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ when G lies in \mathcal{P} .

Our first aim in this paper is to show that the class \mathcal{K}^{am} contains all FC-hypercentral groups (cf. Theorem 3.1). The class of FC-hypercentral groups [25] is quite large: it contains, for instance, all groups that have only finite conjugacy classes (usually called FC-groups); moreover, it contains all virtually nilpotent groups and all FC-nilpotent groups. A simple way to describe the fact that a group is FC-hypercentral is to say that it has no non-trivial ICC quotient group. We will recall the equivalent definitions in §2.3. We just mention here that if a group G is finitely generated, then G is FC-hypercentral if and only if G is virtually nilpotent, if and only if G has polynomial growth. An interesting open problem raised by our result is whether the class \mathcal{K}^{am} coincides with the class of FC-hypercentral groups.

In our proof of Theorem 3.1, we will use the observation that if a group G is amenable and (G, σ) has the unique trace property for some $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$, then (G, σ) is C^* -simple. This follows easily from the fact that $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ has the QTS property introduced by Murphy [19] whenever G is amenable. Thus, the main burden of our proof will be to show that for an FC-hypercentral group, the unique trace property follows from Kleppner's condition. This will be achieved by streamlining and generalizing the proof of the same implication given by Packer [22] in the case of a countable nilpotent group. For completeness, we will also give another proof that for an FC-hypercentral group, the C^* -simplicity can be deduced from Kleppner's condition, by making use of a deep result of Echterhoff in [9].

A consequence of Theorem 3.1 is that if G is a countable FC-hypercentral group, $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ and (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition, then $C^*(G, \sigma) \simeq C^*_r(G, \sigma)$ belongs to the class of separable simple nuclear C^* -algebras with a unique tracial state, a class that is of particular interest in the classification program for C^* -algebras.

In the second part of this paper, we consider a larger class of groups and show that it is contained in \mathcal{K}_{UT} . To describe this class, we first recall [25] that any group G has a canonical normal FC-hypercentral subgroup, FCH(G), called its FC-hypercenter. The quotient group G/FCH(G) is an ICC group, which we will denote by ICC(G). We will say that G belongs to the class $\mathcal{I}CC\mathcal{P}$ when ICC(G) belongs to the class \mathcal{P} mentioned above. As the trivial group is the only amenable group belonging to \mathcal{P} , we have that the class of FC-hypercentral groups is the intersection of $\mathcal{I}CC\mathcal{P}$ with the class of amenable groups. Our result is that the class $\mathcal{I}CC\mathcal{P}$ is contained in \mathcal{K}_{UT} (cf. Theorem 4.1). We believe that $\mathcal{I}CC\mathcal{P}$ is also contained in \mathcal{K}_{C^*S} , and include a result supporting this conjecture.

IP address: 193.157.184.147

2. Preliminaries

2.1. On twisted group C^* -algebras. Let G denote a group with identity e and let σ : $G \times G \to \mathbb{T}$ denote a normalized 2-cocycle (sometimes called a multiplier) on G with values in the circle group \mathbb{T} . We recall that σ satisfies

$$\sigma(g, h)\sigma(gh, k) = \sigma(h, k)\sigma(g, hk),$$

$$\sigma(g, e) = \sigma(e, g) = 1$$

for all $g, h, k \in G$.

The set of all such 2-cocycles will be denoted by $Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$, as in [27]. The trivial 2-cocycle is simply written as 1. We will use the convention that when $\sigma = 1$, we just drop σ from all our notation.

The left regular σ -projective unitary representation λ_{σ} of G on $B(\ell^2(G))$ is given by

$$(\lambda_{\sigma}(g)\xi)(h) = \sigma(g, g^{-1}h)\xi(g^{-1}h)$$

for $\xi \in \ell^2(G)$ and $g, h \in G$. Note that

$$\lambda_{\sigma}(g)\delta_h = \sigma(g,h)\delta_{gh}$$

for all $g, h \in G$ (where $\delta_g(h) = 1$ if g = h and $\delta_g(h) = 0$ otherwise). The *reduced twisted* group C^* -algebra and the *twisted group von Neumann algebra of* $(G, \sigma), C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ and $W^*(G, \sigma)$, are, respectively, the C^* -algebra and the von Neumann algebra generated by $\lambda_{\sigma}(G)$. The *full twisted group* C^* -algebra of $(G, \sigma), C^*(G, \sigma)$, is the enveloping C^* -algebra of the Banach *-algebra $\ell^1(G, \sigma)$, equipped with the twisted convolution and involution (see [27]).

The canonical tracial state on $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ will be denoted by τ ; it is simply given as the restriction to $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ of the vector state associated with δ_e . As is well known, τ is faithful and satisfies $\tau(\lambda_{\sigma}(g)) = 0$ for every $g \neq e$.

We recall [16, 20, 22] that $g \in G$ is called σ -regular if

$$\sigma(g, h) = \sigma(h, g)$$
 for every $h \in G$ that commutes with g .

If g is σ -regular, then hgh^{-1} is σ -regular for all h in G, so the notion of σ -regularity makes sense for conjugacy classes in G.

As mentioned in the Introduction, the pair (G, σ) will be said to satisfy *Kleppner's condition* if every non-trivial σ -regular conjugacy class of G is infinite. It is known [16, 20, 22] that (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition if and only if $W^*(G, \sigma)$ is a factor, if and only if $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ has trivial center, if and only if $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ is prime. It follows easily from these equivalences that Kleppner's condition is necessary for (G, σ) to be C^* -simple (respectively, have the unique trace property). On the other hand, if G is amenable, then $C_r^*(G) \simeq C^*(G)$ has a one-dimensional *-representation [23]. Hence, if G is a non-trivial amenable ICC group, then (G, 1) satisfies Kleppner's condition, but (G, 1) neither is C^* -simple nor has the unique trace property.

The following lemma, which is a slight adaptation of a technical result due to Carey and Moran [7, Lemma 4.1], will be important in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

LEMMA 2.1. Let G be a group and assume that ψ is a tracial state on $C^*(G)$. Let $g \mapsto u(g) \in C^*(G)$ denote the canonical embedding of G into $C^*(G)$ and let ψ_G be the function on G given by $\psi_G = \psi \circ u$. Assume that there exist $h \in G$ and a sequence $\{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in G such that

$$\psi_G(g_j h^{-1} g_i^{-1} g_i h g_i^{-1}) = 0 \quad \text{for every } i \neq j \text{ in } \mathbb{N}.$$
 (2.1)

Then $\psi_G(h) = 0$.

Proof. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, set $a_N = I - \overline{\psi_G(h)} \sum_{i=1}^N u(g_i h g_i^{-1}) \in C^*(g)$. Then

$$(a_N)^* a_N = \left[I - \psi_G(h) \sum_{j=1}^N u(g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1}) \right] \left[I - \overline{\psi_G(h)} \sum_{i=1}^N u(g_i h g_i^{-1}) \right]$$

$$= I - \overline{\psi_G(h)} \sum_{i=1}^N u(g_i h g_i^{-1}) - \psi_G(h) \sum_{j=1}^N u(g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1})$$

$$+ |\psi_G(h)|^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N u(g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1} g_i h g_i^{-1}).$$

Using the fact that ψ is a tracial state, we get

$$\psi((a_N)^*a_N) = 1 - 2N|\psi_G(h)|^2 + |\psi_G(h)|^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N \psi_G(g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1} g_i h g_i^{-1})$$

$$= 1 - N|\psi_G(h)|^2 + |\psi_G(h)|^2 \sum_{i,j=1,i\neq j}^N \psi_G(g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1} g_i h g_i^{-1}).$$

Using (2.1), we get

$$0 \le \psi((a_N)^* a_N) = 1 - N |\psi_G(h)|^2,$$

hence $|\psi_G(h)| \leq \sqrt{1/N}$. Letting $N \to \infty$, we obtain the desired conclusion.

2.2. On the QTS property. Let A denote a unital C^* -algebra. Following Murphy [19], A is said to have the QTS property if, for each proper (closed two-sided) ideal J of A, the quotient A/J admits a tracial state. As observed by Murphy, if A has the QTS property, then A is simple if and only if all its tracial states are faithful. The following theorem is an immediate consequence.

THEOREM 2.2. Assume that A has the QTS property and a unique tracial state, which is faithful. Then A is simple.

We recall (cf. [12]) that a unital C^* -algebra is simple with at most one tracial state if and only if it has the Dixmier property. Hence, the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 imply that A has the Dixmier property. In this connection, we remark that Ozawa has recently shown [21] that the QTS property may be characterized by a weaker Dixmier type property.

The following result will be useful to us.

COROLLARY 2.3. Assume that G is amenable and let $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$. Then (G, σ) is C^* -simple whenever it has the unique trace property.

IP address: 193.157.184.147

Proof. It is known (cf. [19]) that a unital C^* -algebra A has the QTS property whenever A is hypertracial (as defined in [3]). Since G is amenable if and only if $C^*_r(G, \sigma)$ is hypertracial (cf. [3]), the assertion follows from Theorem 2.2. For the convenience of the reader, we sketch a direct proof that $C^*_r(G, \sigma)$ has the QTS property when G is assumed to be amenable. Let G be a proper ideal of $G^*_r(G, \sigma)$, let G denote the canonical quotient map from $G^*_r(G, \sigma)$ onto G onto G onto G denote a state on G and set G by for each G of G for each G define G by

$$x_{\varphi}(g) = \varphi(v(g)xv(g)^*)$$
 for each $g \in G$.

Now, let m be a right-invariant mean on $\ell^{\infty}(G)$ and define $\psi: B \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\psi(x) = m(x_{\varphi})$$
 for each $x \in B$.

Then ψ is a state on B. Moreover, as $(v(h)xv(h)^*)_{\varphi}$ is the right-translate of x_{φ} by h for each $h \in G$, the invariance of m gives that $\psi(v(h)xv(h)^*) = \psi(x)$ for all $h \in G$ and $x \in B$. As $\{v(h) \mid h \in G\}$ generates B as a C^* -algebra, it follows readily that ψ is tracial.

Murphy also shows that a unital C^* -algebra A has the QTS property whenever A is exact [6] and has stable rank one (i.e. the invertible elements of A are dense in A). Now, it follows from [10] that $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ is exact whenever G is exact. Hence, another corollary of Theorem 2.2 can be stated.

COROLLARY 2.4. Let $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$. Assume that G is exact and $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ has stable rank one. Then (G, σ) is C^* -simple whenever it has the unique trace property.

Not much seems to be known about conditions ensuring that $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ has stable rank one. We plan to investigate this in a separate paper.

2.3. On FC-hypercentral groups. Let G be a group. We recall that the FC-center of G is given by

$$FC(G) = \{g \in G \mid \text{the conjugacy class of } g \text{ is finite}\}.$$

The FC-center of G is a normal subgroup of G, which is trivial if and only if G is ICC. The group G is said to be an FC-group when FC(G) = G.

The upper (ascending) FC-central series $\{F_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$ of G is a normal series of subgroups of G indexed by the ordinal numbers. It is defined as follows (cf. [25, §4.3]).

We set $F_0 = \{e\}$, $F_{\alpha}/F_{\beta} = FC(G/F_{\beta})$ if $\alpha = \beta + 1$, and $F_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} F_{\beta}$ when α is a limit ordinal number. This series eventually stabilizes and $FCH(G) = \lim_{\alpha} F_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}$ is called the FC-hypercenter of G. Note that FCH(G) is a normal subgroup of G since it is a union of normal subgroups.

Since $F_1 = FC(G)$, we have that FCH(G) is trivial if and only if FC(G) is trivial if and only if G is ICC.

A group G is called FC-hypercentral [25] when FCH(G) = G. If the upper FC-central series stabilizes to G after a finite number of steps, then G is called FC-nilpotent. For example, G is FC-nilpotent whenever G is virtually nilpotent (i.e. it contains a nilpotent

subgroup of finite index). If G is finitely generated and FC-hypercentral, then G is FC-nilpotent; further, if G is finitely generated and FC-nilpotent, then G is a finite extension of a finitely generated nilpotent subgroup. (See [17, Theorem 2 and its proof].)

As observed by Echterhoff in [9], it follows that FC-hypercentral groups have polynomial growth and are therefore amenable. Moreover, a deep result proved by Echterhoff is that G is FC-hypercentral if and only if G is amenable and every prime ideal of $C^*(G)$ is maximal. This generalizes an earlier result of Moore and Rosenberg [18], which says that any countable amenable T_1 -group is FC-hypercentral. (We recall that G is called a T_1 -group when every primitive ideal of $C^*(G)$ is maximal).

To sum up, consider the following conditions for a group G:

- (i) G is virtually nilpotent;
- (ii) G is FC-nilpotent;
- (iii) *G* is FC-hypercentral;
- (iv) G is an amenable T_1 -group;
- (v) G has polynomial growth.

In general, we have (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv), and (iii) \Rightarrow (v). If G is countable, then (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv). (To our knowledge, it is open whether (iv) implies (iii) in general; it seems also to be unknown whether all T_1 -groups have polynomial growth.) Finally, for a finitely generated group, all the five conditions are equivalent, the implication (v) \Rightarrow (i) being a famous result due to Gromov [11].

Another condition one might consider is:

(vi) G is elementary amenable with subexponential growth.

Then we have $(v) \Rightarrow (vi)$. Indeed, Gromov's result gives that any finitely generated subgroup of a group with polynomial growth must be virtually nilpotent, and thus elementary amenable. Since a group is a direct limit of its finitely generated subgroups, this means that a group with polynomial growth is elementary amenable.

If G is a finitely generated group, we also have $(vi) \Rightarrow (v)$, hence conditions (i)–(vi) are all equivalent in this case. This assertion is an immediate consequence of a result due to Chou [8], which says that a finitely generated elementary amenable group has either polynomial growth or exponential growth.

In [15], Jaworski defines a group G to be *identity excluding* if the only irreducible unitary representation of G which weakly contains the one-dimensional identity representation is the one-dimensional identity representation itself. An interesting result in our context is [15, Theorem 4.5], which says that a countable group is FC-hypercentral if and only if it is amenable and identity excluding.

The FC-hypercenter of a group G may be described as the smallest normal subgroup of G that produces an ICC quotient group. This fact is mentioned without proof in [15, Remark 4.1]. For completeness, we give a proof of this useful characterization.

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let G be a group. Then the quotient group G/FCH(G) is ICC. Moreover, if N is a normal subgroup of G such that G/N is ICC, then $FCH(G) \subset N$.

Proof. Since the upper FC-central series of G stabilizes at FCH(G), the first assertion is clear. The second assertion also follows from the construction. Assume that N is a normal

IP address: 193.157.184.147

subgroup of G such that G/N is ICC. Then the quotient map $G \to G/N$ sends FC(G) to a subgroup of $FC(G/N) = \{e\}$, so one has $F_1 = FC(G) \subset N$.

Next, suppose that α and β are ordinals such that $\alpha = \beta + 1$ and $F_{\beta} \subset N$. Then the quotient map $G/F_{\beta} \to (G/F_{\beta})/(N/F_{\beta}) = G/N$ sends $FC(G/F_{\beta}) = F_{\alpha}/F_{\beta}$ to the identity, that is, $F_{\alpha} \subset N$.

Finally, if α is a limit ordinal and $F_{\beta} \subset N$ for all $\beta < \alpha$, then $F_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} F_{\beta} \subset N$. Hence, $F_{\alpha} \subset N$ for all ordinals α , so $FCH(G) = \bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha} \subset N$.

COROLLARY 2.6. A group G is FC-hypercentral if and only if G has no non-trivial ICC quotients, that is, if and only if FC(G/N) is non-trivial for every proper normal subgroup N of G.

We also mention some permanence properties of FC-hypercentrality.

We will say that G is an FC-central extension of a group K if there is a short exact sequence

$$e \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow e$$

such that $H \subset FC(G)$. In particular, H must be an FC-group. Note that FC-central extensions generalize both central and finite extensions. The class of FC-nilpotent groups forms the smallest class that is closed under FC-central extensions.

Similarly, we will say that G is an FC-hypercentral extension of K if $H \subset FCH(G)$. The class of FC-hypercentral groups is closed under FC-hypercentral extensions:

PROPOSITION 2.7. Suppose that a group G is an FC-hypercentral extension of a group K. Then G is FC-hypercentral if and only if K is FC-hypercentral.

Proof. Let $q: G \to K$ denote the canonical surjection. According to Lemma 2.5, we have to show that G has a non-trivial ICC quotient if and only if K has a non-trivial ICC quotient.

First, let N be a proper normal subgroup of K such that K/N is ICC. Then $q^{-1}(N)$ is a proper normal subgroup of G that contains H and $G/q^{-1}(N) \simeq (G/H)/(q^{-1}(N)/H) \simeq K/N$ is ICC.

For the converse implication, let N be a proper normal subgroup of G such that G/N is ICC. Then N must contain FCH(G); in particular, N must contain H. Hence, $q(N) \simeq N/H$ is a proper normal subgroup of K and $G/N \simeq (G/H)/(N/H) \simeq K/q(N)$, which is ICC.

It follows from Proposition 2.7 that the class of FC-hypercentral groups is closed under quotients and direct products. We also have the following result.

PROPOSITION 2.8. Suppose that H is a subgroup of G.

- (a) If G is FC-hypercentral, then H is FC-hypercentral.
- (b) If H is FC-hypercentral and of finite index in G, then G is FC-hypercentral.

Downloaded: 01 Aug 2016

Proof. For (a) we have that $FC(G) \cap H \subset FC(H)$ for any G, and a routine induction argument shows that $FC_{\alpha}(G) \cap H \subset FC_{\alpha}(H)$ for all ordinals. Hence, $FCH(H) \cap H \subset FCH(H)$, so if G is FC-hypercentral, then so is H.

To prove (b), assume that G is not FC-hypercentral. Then there is a proper normal subgroup N of G such that G/N is ICC. Another routine argument gives that $H \cap N$ is a proper normal subgroup of H and $H/(H \cap N)$ is ICC. Hence, H is not FC-hypercentral. As suggested by the referee, one may also argue as follows: if H is FC-hypercentral and of finite index in G, then the core $\bigcap_{g \in G} gHg^{-1} \subseteq H$ is a normal subgroup of G with finite index; it is FC-hypercentral by (a) and then G is FC-hypercentral by Proposition 2.7. \square

3. *On C*-simplicity and the unique trace property for FC-hypercentral groups* This section is mainly devoted to the proof of the following result.

THEOREM 3.1. Assume that G is an FC-hypercentral group and let $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$. Then the following properties are equivalent:

- (i) (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition;
- (ii) (G, σ) is C^* -simple;
- (iii) (G, σ) has the unique trace property.

This means the class of FC-hypercentral groups is contained in the class \mathcal{K}^{am} .

To simplify notation, we set $A = C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ and let A_{FC} denote the C^* -subalgebra of A generated by $\{\lambda_{\sigma}(g) \mid g \in FC(G)\}$.

A simple computation gives that for all $g, h \in G$,

$$\lambda_{\sigma}(g)\lambda_{\sigma}(h)\lambda_{\sigma}(g)^* = \widetilde{\sigma}(g,h)\lambda_{\sigma}(ghg^{-1}),$$

where

$$\widetilde{\sigma}(g, h) = \sigma(g, h) \overline{\sigma(ghg^{-1}, g)}.$$

Hence, we get an action γ of G on A_{FC} , given by

$$\gamma_g(a) = \lambda_\sigma(g) a \lambda_\sigma(g)^*$$
 for all $g \in G$ and $a \in A_{FC}$.

We will let τ_{FC} denote the tracial state on A_{FC} obtained by restricting the canonical tracial state τ on A to A_{FC} . Clearly, τ_{FC} is γ -invariant.

PROPOSITION 3.2. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition;
- (ii) τ_{FC} is the unique γ -invariant tracial state of A_{FC} .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Assume that (i) holds. If G is ICC, then $A_{FC} \simeq \mathbb{C}$, and (ii) is trivially satisfied in this case. We may therefore assume that G is not ICC, so $FC(G) \neq \{e\}$. Let φ be a γ -invariant state of A_{FC} .

Consider $h \in FC(G)$, $h \neq e$. As h is not σ -regular, there exists $g \in G$ such that gh = hg and $\sigma(g, h) \neq \sigma(h, g)$. It clearly follows that $\widetilde{\sigma}(g, h) \neq 1$ and

$$\gamma_g(\lambda_\sigma(h)) = \widetilde{\sigma}(g,h)\lambda_\sigma(ghg^{-1}) = \widetilde{\sigma}(g,h)\lambda_\sigma(h).$$

Thus, we get

$$\varphi(\lambda_{\sigma}(h)) = \varphi(\gamma_{g}(\lambda_{\sigma}(h))) = \widetilde{\sigma}(g, h)\varphi(\lambda_{\sigma}(h)),$$

IP address: 193.157.184.147

Downloaded: 01 Aug 2016

so $\varphi(\lambda_{\sigma}(h)) = 0$. This implies that φ agrees with τ_{FC} .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Assume that (i) does not hold. It is then known that the center Z of A is non-trivial. In fact, $Z_{FC} := A_{FC} \cap Z$ is non-trivial in this case (cf. [20, proof of Theorem 2.7]). So we may pick a non-scalar positive element $z_0 \in Z_{FC}$ and define a tracial state on A_{FC} by

$$\varphi(a) = \frac{1}{\tau_{FC}(z_0)} \tau_{FC}(az_0) \quad \text{for } a \in A_{FC}.$$

As Z_{FC} is fixed by γ and τ_{FC} is γ -invariant, φ is also γ -invariant.

Now observe that $\varphi(z_0) \neq \tau_{FC}(z_0)$. Indeed, assume (for contradiction) that this is not true. This means that $\tau_{FC}(z_0^2) = \tau_{FC}(z_0)^2$, hence

$$\tau_{FC}((z_0 - \tau_{FC}(z_0)I)^2) = 0.$$

Since τ_{FC} is faithful, we get that $z_0 = \tau_{FC}(z_0)I$, i.e. z_0 is a scalar, which gives a contradiction.

This shows that $\varphi \neq \tau_{FC}$, so τ_{FC} is not the only γ -invariant tracial state of A_{FC} . Thus, (ii) does not hold.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.2.

COROLLARY 3.3. Assume that (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition and let φ be a tracial state of A. Then φ agrees with τ on A_{FC} .

Let D^{σ} now denote the subgroup of \mathbb{T} generated by the image of σ , i.e. by the set $\sigma(G \times G)$. We consider D^{σ} as a discrete group and define the extension G^{σ} of G by D^{σ} as the set $G \times D^{\sigma}$ equipped with the product given by $(g, z)(h, w) = (gh, \sigma(g, h)zw)$. Moreover, we let $(g, z) \to u_{\sigma}(g, z) \in C^*(G^{\sigma})$ denote the canonical embedding of G^{σ} into $C^*(G^{\sigma})$.

LEMMA 3.4. Let ψ be a tracial state on $C^*(G^{\sigma})$ and let $\widetilde{\psi}$ be the function on G^{σ} given by $\widetilde{\psi} = \psi \circ u_{\sigma}$. Assume that

$$\widetilde{\psi}(g, z) = z \, \widetilde{\psi}(g, 1)$$

for all $g \in G$ and $z \in D^{\sigma}$, and

$$\widetilde{\psi}(h,z) = 0$$

for all $h \in FC(G) \setminus \{e\}$ and $z \in D^{\sigma}$. Then $\widetilde{\psi}(h, z) = 0$ for all $h \in FCH(G) \setminus \{e\}$ and $z \in D^{\sigma}$.

Proof. If G is ICC, then $FCH(G) = FC(G) = \{e\}$, so there is nothing to show. Thus, suppose that G is not ICC.

Let $\{F_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$ denote the upper FC-central series of G and let α be an ordinal. It suffices to show that $\widetilde{\psi}(h,z)=0$ for all $h\in F_{\alpha}\setminus\{e\}$ and all $z\in D^{\sigma}$.

For $\alpha=1$ the result holds by assumption since $F_1=FC(G)$. So let $\alpha>1$ be an ordinal and suppose that $\widetilde{\psi}=0$ on $(F_{\beta}\setminus \{e\})\times D^{\sigma}\subset G^{\sigma}$ for all $\beta<\alpha$.

If α is a limit ordinal, then by construction

$$(F_{\alpha} \setminus \{e\}) \times D^{\sigma} = \left(\left(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} F_{\beta} \right) \setminus \{e\} \right) \times D^{\sigma} = \left(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (F_{\beta} \setminus \{e\}) \right) \times D^{\sigma}.$$

By hypothesis, $\widetilde{\psi}=0$ on the right-hand side, hence also on the left-hand side.

If α is a successor ordinal, then $\alpha=\beta+1$ for some ordinal β . Pick an element $h\in F_{\alpha}\backslash F_{\beta}$. Then the set $\{ghg^{-1}\mid g\in G\}$ is infinite since $FC(G)\subset F_{\beta}$, while the set $\{ghg^{-1}F_{\beta}\mid g\in G\}$ is finite in G/F_{β} since $F_{\alpha}/F_{\beta}=FC(G/F_{\beta})$. Hence, there exists an infinite sequence $(g_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ in G such that $g_ihg_i^{-1}F_{\beta}=g_jhg_j^{-1}F_{\beta}$ for all $i,j\in\mathbb{N}$, and $g_ihg_i^{-1}\neq g_jhg_j^{-1}$ whenever $i\neq j$. This means that $g_jh^{-1}g_j^{-1}g_ihg_i^{-1}\in F_{\beta}\backslash\{e\}$ whenever $i\neq j$ in \mathbb{N} . Since

$$(g_j, 1)(h, 1)^{-1}(g_j, 1)^{-1}(g_i, 1)(h, 1)(g_i, 1)^{-1} = (g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1} g_i h g_i^{-1} g_j, w)$$

for some $w \in D^{\sigma}$, and $\widetilde{\psi} = 0$ on $(F_{\beta} \setminus \{e\}) \times D^{\sigma}$, we get

$$\widetilde{\psi}((g_j,\,1)(h,\,1)^{-1}(g_j,\,1)^{-1}(g_i,\,1)(h,\,1)(g_i,\,1)^{-1}) = \widetilde{\psi}(g_jh^{-1}g_j^{-1}g_ihg_i^{-1},\,w) = 0$$

whenever $i \neq j$ in \mathbb{N} . We may now apply Lemma 2.1 and conclude that $\widetilde{\psi}(h, 1) = 0$. Thus, $\widetilde{\psi}(h, z) = z \ \widetilde{\psi}(h, 1) = 0$ for all $h \in F_{\alpha} \setminus \{e\}$ and $z \in D^{\sigma}$.

Let A_{FCH} denote the C^* -subalgebra of A generated by $\{\lambda_{\sigma}(h) \mid h \in FCH(G)\}$.

LEMMA 3.5. Let φ be a tracial state on A which agrees with τ on A_{FC} . Then φ agrees with τ on A_{FCH} .

Proof. As the map $(g, z) \mapsto z\lambda_{\sigma}(g)$ is a unitary representation of G^{σ} on $\ell^{2}(G)$, there exists a surjective *-homomorphism $\pi: C^{*}(G^{\sigma}) \to A$ satisfying $\pi(u_{\sigma}(g, z)) = z\lambda_{\sigma}(g)$ for each $(g, z) \in G^{\sigma}$. Thus φ lifts to a tracial state $\psi = \varphi \circ \pi$ on $C^{*}(G^{\sigma})$. For all $g \in G$ and $z \in D^{\sigma}$,

$$\psi(u_{\sigma}(g,z)) = \varphi(z\lambda_{\sigma}(g)) = z\varphi(\lambda_{\sigma}(g)) = z\psi(u_{\sigma}(g,1)).$$

Since $\varphi(\lambda_{\sigma}(g)) = 0$ for all $g \in FC(G) \setminus \{e\}$ (by assumption), it follows that $\psi(u_{\sigma}(g, z)) = 0$ for all $g \in FC(G) \setminus \{e\}$ and $z \in D^{\sigma}$. Hence, using Lemma 3.4, we get that $\psi(u_{\sigma}(g, z)) = 0$ for all $g \in FCH(G) \setminus \{e\}$ and $z \in D^{\sigma}$. In particular, we get

$$\varphi(\lambda_{\sigma}(g)) = \psi(u_{\sigma}(g, 1)) = 0$$

for all $g \in FCH(G) \setminus \{e\}$. Thus, φ agrees with τ on A_{FCH} , as desired.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Assume that (i) holds and let φ be a tracial state on A. Corollary 3.3 tells us that φ agrees with τ on A_{FC} . Since G is FC-hypercentral, we have that $A = A_{FCH}$. Applying Lemma 3.5, we conclude that φ coincides with τ . Hence, (iii) holds. Since FC-hypercentral groups are amenable, we get from Corollary 2.3 that (ii) follows from (iii). Finally, we know that (ii) implies (i) in general.

We will also show how Echterhoff's characterization of FC-hypercentrality mentioned in $\S 2.3$ may be used to give a different proof of the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) in Theorem 3.1. We will need the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.6. Assume that every prime ideal of $C^*(G^{\sigma})$ is maximal. Then (G, σ) is C^* -simple whenever (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition.

IP address: 193.157.184.147

Proof. Assume that (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition. Then $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ is prime [20, Theorem 2.7]. Let π denote the surjective *-homomorphism $C^*(G^{\sigma}) \to C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ obtained in the proof of Lemma 3.5. The kernel \mathcal{J} of π is then a prime ideal of $C^*(G^{\sigma})$. Hence, the assumption gives that \mathcal{J} is maximal, so $C^*(G^{\sigma})/\mathcal{J} \simeq C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ is simple. \square

Another proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii) in Theorem 3.1. Using Proposition 2.7, we get that G^{σ} is also FC-hypercentral. Hence, [9, Corollary 3.2] tells us that every prime ideal of $C_r^*(G^{\sigma})$ is maximal, so the assertion follows from Proposition 3.6.

We also record another consequence of Proposition 3.6.

COROLLARY 3.7. Assume that G is a countable discrete group such that G^{σ} is a T_1 -group. Then (G, σ) is C^* -simple whenever (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition.

Proof. Since G is countable, G^{σ} is also countable. Hence, $C^*(G^{\sigma})$ is separable, so its prime ideals are primitive ideals, and the assumption in Proposition 3.6 is therefore satisfied.

We note that if G is amenable and it satisfies the assumptions in Corollary 3.7, then it is not difficult to deduce from the Moore–Rosenberg result cited in §2.3 that G is FC-hypercentral. Hence, Corollary 3.7 is covered by Theorem 3.1 in this case.

We do not know of any group in \mathcal{K}^{am} that is not FC-hypercentral. Thus, the following natural question arises:

Question 3.8. Does the class of FC-hypercentral groups coincide with \mathcal{K}^{am} ?

This question may be reformulated as follows. Assume that G is neither ICC, nor FC-hypercentral, but amenable. Can one always find $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ such that (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition, but (G, σ) is not C^* -simple or does not have the unique trace property? It does not seem easy to answer this question positively.

4. On the unique trace property for a larger class of groups

In this section we let G be a group and $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$. Motivated by Proposition 2.5, we define ICC(G) = G/FCH(G). Moreover, we let \mathcal{P} denote the class of groups considered in [4], which consists of all PH groups [24] and of all groups satisfying property (P_{com}) [5]. The class \mathcal{P} is a large subclass of the class of ICC groups, and the only amenable group belonging to \mathcal{P} is the trivial group. The main purpose of this section is to show the following result.

THEOREM 4.1. Assume that K = ICC(G) belongs to \mathcal{P} . Then:

- (a) (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition if and only if (G, σ) has the unique trace property.
- (b) Set H = FCH(G) and let σ_H denote the restriction of σ to $H \times H$. If (H, σ_H) satisfies Kleppner's condition, then (G, σ) is C^* -simple and has the unique trace property.

We set $A = C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ and let A_{FC} and A_{FCH} be defined as in the previous section. Since FCH(G) is normal in G, the action γ of G on A_{FC} extends to an action $\widetilde{\gamma}$ of G on A_{FCH} given by

$$\widetilde{\gamma}_g(a) = \lambda_{\sigma}(g)a\lambda_{\sigma}(g)^*$$

for all $g \in G$ and $a \in A_{FCH}$. Let τ_{FCH} denote the restriction of the canonical tracial state τ on A to A_{FCH} . Clearly, τ_{FCH} is $\widetilde{\gamma}$ -invariant. The following result is analogous to Proposition 3.2.

PROPOSITION 4.2. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition;
- (ii) τ_{FCH} is the unique $\tilde{\gamma}$ -invariant tracial state of A_{FCH} .

Proof. To prove that (i) \Rightarrow (ii), assume that (i) holds. If G is ICC, then $A_{FCH} \simeq \mathbb{C}$, and (ii) is trivially satisfied in this case. We may therefore assume that G is not ICC, so $FC(G) \neq \{e\}$. Let ϕ be a $\widetilde{\gamma}$ -invariant tracial state of A_{FCH} . As in Proposition 3.2, we compute that $\phi(\lambda_{\sigma}(h)) = 0$ for all $h \in FC(G) \setminus \{e\}$. Now, let E denote the canonical conditional expectation from A onto A_{FCH} . Then $\varphi := \phi \circ E$ is a tracial state on A such that $\varphi(\lambda_{\sigma}(h)) = 0$ for all $h \in FC(G) \setminus \{e\}$. Applying Lemma 3.5, we get that $\varphi = \phi_{|A_{FCH}} = \tau_{FCH}$.

Since $A_{FC} \subset A_{FCH}$, the proof of (ii) \Rightarrow (i) goes along the same lines as the one given for this implication in Proposition 3.2.

Set H = FCH(G) and K = ICC(G) = G/H, and let q denote the canonical homomorphism from G onto K. Further, let $n: K \to G$ be a section for q satisfying n(e) = e, and define $m: K \times K \to H$ by $m(k, l) = n(k)n(l)n(kl)^{-1}$. Finally, let σ_H denote the restriction of σ to $H \times H$.

Moreover, define $\beta: K \to \operatorname{Aut}(A_{FCH})$ by $\beta = \widetilde{\gamma} \circ n$ and $\omega: K \times K \to \mathcal{U}(A_{FCH})$ by $\omega(k, l) = \sigma(n(k), n(l))\sigma(m(k, l), n(kl))^* \lambda_{\sigma}(m(k, l))$.

Then (β, ω) is a twisted action of K on A_{FCH} such that $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ is *-isomorphic to the twisted crossed product $C_r^*(A_{FCH}, K, \beta, \omega)$. This follows from [1] after noticing that A_{FCH} may be identified with $C_r^*(H, \sigma_H)$ via the *-isomorphism sending $\lambda_{\sigma}(h)$ to $\lambda_{\sigma_H}(h)$ for each $h \in H$.

Since τ_{FCH} is $\widetilde{\gamma}$ -invariant, τ_{FCH} is also β -invariant. Moreover, we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.3. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition;
- (ii) τ_{FCH} is the unique β -invariant tracial state of A_{FCH} .

Proof. Assume that (i) holds. Proposition 4.2 gives that τ_{FCH} is the unique $\widetilde{\gamma}$ -invariant tracial state on A_{FCH} . Consider now a β -invariant tracial state ω of A_{FCH} and let $g \in G$. Write g = hn(k) where $k = q(g) \in K$ and $h = gn(k)^{-1} \in H$. Then, for each $s \in H$,

$$\omega(\widetilde{\gamma}_g(\lambda_\sigma(s))) = \omega(\widetilde{\gamma}_h \beta_k(\lambda_\sigma(s)))$$

= $\omega(\lambda_\sigma(h)\beta_k(\lambda_\sigma(s))\lambda_\sigma(h)^*) = \omega(\beta_k(\lambda_\sigma(s))) = \omega(\lambda_\sigma(s)).$

IP address: 193.157.184.147

It follows that ω is $\widetilde{\gamma}$ -invariant. Hence, $\omega = \tau_{FCH}$. This shows that (ii) holds.

Conversely, if (ii) holds, then, as $\beta = \widetilde{\gamma} \circ n$, it is clear that τ_{FCH} is the unique $\widetilde{\gamma}$ -invariant tracial state of A_{FCH} , so (i) holds by Proposition 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. (a) From [4, Corollary 3.9], we know that when K belongs to the class \mathcal{P} , the tracial states of $C_r^*(A_{FCH}, K, \beta, \omega)$ are in a one-to-one correspondence with the β -invariant tracial states of A_{FCH} . Hence, it follows from Proposition 4.3 that $C_r^*(G, \sigma) \cong C_r^*(A_{FCH}, K, \beta, \omega)$ has a unique tracial state if and only if (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition.

(b) Assume that (H, σ_H) satisfies Kleppner's condition. Since H is FC-hypercentral, we get from Theorem 3.1 that $A_{FCH} \simeq C_r^*(H, \sigma_H)$ is simple with a unique tracial state. This implies that A_{FCH} has a unique β -invariant tracial state and that the system $(A_{FCH}, K, \beta, \omega)$ is minimal. Hence, it follows from [4, Corollary 3.11] that $C_r^*(G, \sigma) \simeq C_r^*(A_{FCH}, K, \beta, \omega)$ is simple with a unique tracial state.

Let \mathcal{ICCP} denote the class of groups satisfying the assumption in Theorem 4.1. Part (a) of this theorem shows that \mathcal{ICCP} is contained in the class \mathcal{K}_{UT} . We believe that \mathcal{ICCP} is contained in \mathcal{K} , i.e. that we also have $\mathcal{ICCP} \subset \mathcal{K}_{C^*S}$, but we have not been able to prove this. Part (b) of Theorem 4.1 is a somewhat weaker statement; its proof shows that we would have $\mathcal{ICCP} \subset \mathcal{K}_{C^*S}$ if one could answer positively the following question.

Question 4.4. Assume that (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition. Is the system $(A_{FCH}, K, \beta, \omega)$ always minimal? That is, is $\{0\}$ the only proper β -invariant ideal of A_{FCH} ?

In this regard, we also remark that if G belongs to \mathcal{ICCP} , G is exact, and $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ has stable rank one whenever (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition, then Corollary 2.4 and Theorem 4.1(a) together give that G belongs to K.

Note that if G/FC(G) belongs to \mathcal{P} , then G/FC(G) is ICC, so the upper FC-central series of G stops at F_1 , i.e. FCH(G) = FC(G). Hence, Theorem 4.1 gives the following result.

COROLLARY 4.5. Assume that G/FC(G) belongs to \mathcal{P} . Then:

- (a) (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition if and only if (G, σ) has the unique trace property.
- (b) Set H = FC(G) and let σ_H denote the restriction of σ to $H \times H$. If (H, σ_H) satisfies Kleppner's condition, then (G, σ) is C^* -simple and has the unique trace property.

Example 4.6. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \ge 2$ and set $G = \langle a, b \mid ab^n = b^n a \rangle$. Then G is a so-called Baumslag–Solitar group, often denoted by BS(n, n). We have

$$FCH(G) = FC(G) = Z(G) = \langle b^n \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}$$

and $ICC(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}_n \in \mathcal{P}$ (since $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}_n$ is a Powers group [13]), so $G \in \mathcal{ICCP}$.

Downloaded: 01 Aug 2016

Let f denote the surjective homomorphism $f: G \to \mathbb{Z}^2$ satisfying f(a) = (1, 0) and f(b) = (0, 1). For $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$, let $\omega_{\theta} \in Z^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{T})$ be given by $\omega_{\theta}(m, n) = e^{2\pi i \theta m_2 n_1}$, and define $\sigma_{\theta} \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ by $\sigma_{\theta}(x, y) = \omega_{\theta}(f(x), f(y))$. It can be shown that every 2-cocycle on G is cohomologous to one of this form.

Then one can easily verify that (G, σ_{θ}) satisfies Kleppner's condition if and only if θ is irrational. Hence, Theorem 4.1(a) gives that (G, σ_{θ}) has the unique trace property if and only if θ is irrational. Theorem 4.1(b) is not useful in this example since σ_{θ} restricts to 1 on Z(G). However, it can be shown that (G, σ_{θ}) is C^* -simple if and only if θ is irrational. Hence, it follows that G = BS(n, n) belongs to K.

We will come back to this example and also discuss other conditions ensuring simplicity and/or uniqueness of the tracial state for reduced twisted group C^* -algebras in a subsequent paper.

REFERENCES

- E. Bédos. Discrete groups and simple C*-algebras. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 109 (1991), 521–537.
- [2] E. Bédos. On the uniqueness of the trace of some simple C*-algebras. J. Operator Theory 30 (1993), 149–160.
- [3] E. Bédos. Notes on hypertraces and C*-algebras. J. Operator Theory 34 (1995), 285–306.
- [4] E. Bédos and R. Conti. On maximal ideals in certain reduced twisted C*-crossed products. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. Available on CJO2015, doi:10.1017/S0305004115000031.
- [5] M. Bekka, M. Cowling and P. de la Harpe. Some groups whose reduced C*-algebra is simple. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 80 (1994), 117–134.
- [6] N. P. Brown and N. Ozawa. C*-algebras and Finite-Dimensional Approximations (Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 88). American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [7] A. L. Carey and W. Moran. Characters on nilpotent groups. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 96 (1984), 123–137.
- [8] C. Chou. Elementary amenable groups. *Illinois J. Math.* 24 (1980), 396–407.
- [9] S. Echterhoff. On maximal prime ideals in certain group C*-algebras and crossed product algebras. J. Operator Theory 23 (1990), 317–338.
- [10] R. Exel. Exact groups and Fell bundles. *Math. Ann.* 323 (2002), 259–266.
- [11] M. Gromov. Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 53 (1981), 53–73.
- [12] U. Haagerup and L. Zsido. Sur la propriété de Dixmier pour les C*-algèbres. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 298 (1984), 173–177.
- [13] P. de la Harpe. On simplicity of reduced group C*-algebras. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 39 (2007), 1–26.
- [14] I. M. Isaacs. Character Theory of Finite Groups. Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [15] W. Jaworski. Countable amenable identity excluding groups. Canad. Math. Bull. 47 (2004), 215–228.
- [16] A. Kleppner. The structure of some induced representations. Duke Math. J. 29 (1962), 555–572.
- [17] D. H. McLain. Remarks on the upper central series of a group. *Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc.* 3 (1956), 38–44.
- [18] C. C. Moore and J. Rosenberg. Groups with T₁ primitive ideal space. J. Funct. Anal. 22 (1976), 204–224.
- [19] G. J. Murphy. Uniqueness of the trace and simplicity. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), 3563–3570.
- [20] T. Omland. Primeness and primitivity conditions for twisted group C*-algebras. *Math. Scand.* 114 (2014), 299–319.
- [21] N. Ozawa. Dixmier approximation and symmetric amenability for C*-algebras. J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 20 (2013), 349–374.
- [22] J. A. Packer. Twisted group C*-algebras corresponding to nilpotent discrete groups. Math. Scand. 64 (1989), 109–122.
- [23] A. Paterson. Amenability (Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 29). American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988.
- [24] S. D. Promislow. A class of groups producing simple, unique trace C*-algebras. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 114 (1993), 223–233.
- [25] D. J. S. Robinson. Finiteness Conditions and Generalized Soluble Groups. Part 1. Springer, New York, 1972.
- [26] J. Slawny. On factor representations and the C*-algebra of canonical commutation relations. Comm. Math. Phys. 24 (1972), 151–170.
- [27] G. Zeller-Meier. Produits croisés d'une C*-algèbre par un groupe d'automorphismes. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 47 (1968), 101–239.

IP address: 193.157.184.147