

# ON TWISTED GROUP $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH FC-HYPERCENTRAL GROUPS AND OTHER RELATED GROUPS

ERIK BÉDOS AND TRON OMLAND

ABSTRACT. We show that the twisted group  $C^*$ -algebra associated with a discrete FC-hypercentral group is simple (resp. has a unique tracial state) if and only if Kleppner's condition is satisfied. This generalizes a result of Packer for countable nilpotent groups. We also consider a larger class of groups, for which we can show that the corresponding reduced twisted group  $C^*$ -algebras have a unique tracial state if and only if Kleppner's condition holds.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, all groups will be considered as discrete groups. Letting  $\sigma: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  denote a normalized two-cocycle on a group  $G$ , in other words,  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ , we will say that  $(G, \sigma)$  is  $C^*$ -simple (resp. has the unique trace property) whenever the reduced twisted group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  is simple (resp. has a unique tracial state). If  $G$  is amenable, then we can equally consider the (full) twisted group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G, \sigma)$ , since  $C^*(G, \sigma)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  in this case (cf. [27]). It is well known that a necessary condition for  $(G, \sigma)$  to be  $C^*$ -simple (resp. have the unique trace property) is *Kleppner's condition* [16], which says that every nontrivial  $\sigma$ -regular conjugacy class in  $G$  is infinite. In general, Kleppner's condition is not sufficient for  $(G, \sigma)$  to be  $C^*$ -simple (resp. have the unique trace property). However, for certain classes of groups, Kleppner's condition is sufficient for both these properties to hold. We will therefore say that a group  $G$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{K}_{C^*S}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{K}_{UT}$ ) if, for every  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ , we have that  $(G, \sigma)$  is  $C^*$ -simple (resp. has the unique trace property) if and only if  $(G, \sigma)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition. Moreover, we will let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote the intersection of  $\mathcal{K}_{C^*S}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{UT}$ , while  $\mathcal{K}^{am}$  will denote the subclass of  $\mathcal{K}$  consisting of amenable groups.

It is a classical fact that the class of finite groups is contained in  $\mathcal{K}^{am}$ ; see for example [14] or [16]. Packer [22] has shown that all countable nilpotent groups belong to  $\mathcal{K}^{am}$  (see also [26] for the case of abelian groups). Large families of non-amenable groups in  $\mathcal{K}$  are described in [1, 2, 4]. We mention explicitly the class  $\mathcal{P}$  introduced in [4], as we will refer to it later: it consists of all PH groups [24] and of all groups with property  $(P_{\text{com}})$  [5]. In particular, all weak Powers groups [13] belong to  $\mathcal{P}$ , and the class  $\mathcal{P}$  contains many amalgamated free products, HNN-extensions, hyperbolic groups, Coxeter groups, and lattices in semisimple Lie groups. Any group belonging to  $\mathcal{P}$  is ICC (meaning that all of its nontrivial conjugacy classes are infinite), so Kleppner's condition is trivially satisfied for any  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  when  $G$  lies in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Our first aim in this paper is to show that the class  $\mathcal{K}^{am}$  contains all *FC-hypercentral* groups (cf. Theorem 3.1). The class of FC-hypercentral groups [25] is quite large: it contains for instance all groups that have only finite conjugacy classes (usually called FC-groups); moreover, it contains all virtually nilpotent groups and all FC-nilpotent groups. A simple way

---

*Date:* January 6, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L55 (Primary) 22D25, 20C25, 46L05 (Secondary).

to describe that a group is FC-hypercentral is to say that it has no nontrivial ICC quotient group. We will recall the equivalent definitions in Section 2.3. We just mention here that if a group  $G$  is finitely generated, then  $G$  is FC-hypercentral if and only if  $G$  is virtually nilpotent, if and only if  $G$  has polynomial growth. An interesting open problem raised by our result is whether the class  $\mathcal{K}^{am}$  coincides with the class of FC-hypercentral groups.

In our proof of Theorem 3.1, we will use the observation that if a group  $G$  is amenable and  $(G, \sigma)$  has the unique trace property for some  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ , then  $(G, \sigma)$  is  $C^*$ -simple. This follows easily from the fact that  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  has the QTS property introduced by Murphy [19] whenever  $G$  is amenable. Thus, the main burden of our proof will be to show that for an FC-hypercentral group, the unique trace property follows from Kleppner's condition. This will be achieved by streamlining and generalizing the proof of the same implication given by Packer [22] in the case of a countable nilpotent group. For completeness, we will also give another proof that for an FC-hypercentral group, the  $C^*$ -simplicity can be deduced from Kleppner's condition, by making use of a deep result of Echterhoff in [9].

A consequence of Theorem 3.1 is that if  $G$  is a countable FC-hypercentral group,  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  and  $(G, \sigma)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition, then  $C^*(G, \sigma) \simeq C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  belongs to the class of separable simple nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras with a unique tracial state, a class that is of particular interest in the classification program for  $C^*$ -algebras.

In the second part of this paper, we consider a larger class of groups and show that it is contained in  $\mathcal{K}_{UT}$ . To describe this class, we first recall [25] that any group  $G$  has a canonical normal FC-hypercentral subgroup,  $FCH(G)$ , called its *FC-hypercenter*. The quotient group  $G/FCH(G)$  is an ICC group, that we will denote by  $ICC(G)$ . We will say that  $G$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{ICCP}$  when  $ICC(G)$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{P}$  mentioned above. As the trivial group is the only amenable group belonging to  $\mathcal{P}$ , we have that the class of FC-hypercentral groups is the intersection of  $\mathcal{ICCP}$  with the class of amenable groups. Our result is that the class  $\mathcal{ICCP}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{K}_{UT}$  (cf. Theorem 4.1). We believe that  $\mathcal{ICCP}$  is also contained in  $\mathcal{K}_{C^*S}$ , and include a result supporting this conjecture.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. On twisted group  $C^*$ -algebras.** Let  $G$  denote a group with identity  $e$  and let  $\sigma: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  denote a normalized two-cocycle (sometimes called a multiplier) on  $G$  with values in the circle group  $\mathbb{T}$ . We recall that  $\sigma$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(g, h)\sigma(gh, k) &= \sigma(h, k)\sigma(g, hk), \\ \sigma(g, e) &= \sigma(e, g) = 1\end{aligned}$$

for all  $g, h, k \in G$ .

The set of all such two-cocycles will be denoted by  $Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ , as in [27]. The trivial two-cocycle is simply written as 1. We will use the convention that when  $\sigma = 1$ , we just drop  $\sigma$  from all our notation.

The left regular  $\sigma$ -projective unitary representation  $\lambda_\sigma$  of  $G$  on  $B(\ell^2(G))$  is given by

$$(\lambda_\sigma(g)\xi)(h) = \sigma(g, g^{-1}h)\xi(g^{-1}h)$$

for  $\xi \in \ell^2(G)$  and  $g, h \in G$ . Note that

$$\lambda_\sigma(g)\delta_h = \sigma(g, h)\delta_{gh}$$

for all  $g, h \in G$  (where  $\delta_g(h) = 1$  if  $g = h$  and  $\delta_g(h) = 0$  otherwise). The *reduced twisted group  $C^*$ -algebra* and the *twisted group von Neumann algebra* of  $(G, \sigma)$ ,  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  and  $W^*(G, \sigma)$  are, respectively, the  $C^*$ -algebra and the von Neumann algebra generated by  $\lambda_\sigma(G)$ . The *(full) twisted group  $C^*$ -algebra* of  $(G, \sigma)$ ,  $C^*(G, \sigma)$ , is the enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra of the Banach  $*$ -algebra  $\ell^1(G, \sigma)$ , equipped with the twisted convolution and involution (see [27]).

The canonical tracial state on  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  will be denoted by  $\tau$ ; it is simply given as the restriction to  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  of the vector state associated with  $\delta_e$ . As is well-known,  $\tau$  is faithful and satisfies  $\tau(\lambda_\sigma(g)) = 0$  for every  $g \neq e$ .

We recall [16, 22, 20] that  $g \in G$  is called  $\sigma$ -regular if

$$\sigma(g, h) = \sigma(h, g) \text{ for every } h \in G \text{ that commutes with } g.$$

If  $g$  is  $\sigma$ -regular, then  $ghg^{-1}$  is  $\sigma$ -regular for all  $h$  in  $G$ , so the notion of  $\sigma$ -regularity makes sense for conjugacy classes in  $G$ .

As mentioned in the Introduction, the pair  $(G, \sigma)$  will be said to satisfy *Kleppner's condition* if every nontrivial  $\sigma$ -regular conjugacy class of  $G$  is infinite. It is known [16, 22, 20] that  $(G, \sigma)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition if and only if  $W^*(G, \sigma)$  is a factor, if and only if  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  has trivial center, if and only if  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  is prime. It follows easily from these equivalences that Kleppner's condition is necessary for  $(G, \sigma)$  to be  $C^*$ -simple (resp. to have the unique trace property). On the other hand, if  $G$  is amenable, then  $C_r^*(G) \simeq C^*(G)$  has a 1-dimensional  $*$ -representation [23]. Hence, if  $G$  is a nontrivial amenable ICC group, then  $(G, 1)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition, but neither is  $(G, 1)$   $C^*$ -simple, nor does it have the unique trace property.

The following lemma, which is a slight adaptation of a technical result due to Carey and Moran ([7, Lemma 4.1]), will be important in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a group and assume  $\psi$  is a tracial state on  $C^*(G)$ . Let  $g \rightarrow u(g) \in C^*(G)$  denote the canonical embedding of  $G$  into  $C^*(G)$  and let  $\psi_G$  be the function on  $G$  given by  $\psi_G = \psi \circ u$ . Assume that there exist  $h \in G$  and a sequence  $\{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $G$  such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \psi_G(g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1} g_i h g_i^{-1}) = 0 \quad \text{for every } i \neq j \text{ in } \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $\psi_G(h) = 0$ .

*Proof.* For each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $a_N \in C^*(G)$  be defined by  $a_N = I - \overline{\psi_G(h)} \sum_{i=1}^N u(g_i h g_i^{-1})$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_N)^* a_N &= \left[ I - \psi_G(h) \sum_{j=1}^N u(g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1}) \right] \left[ I - \overline{\psi_G(h)} \sum_{i=1}^N u(g_i h g_i^{-1}) \right] \\ &= I - \overline{\psi_G(h)} \sum_{i=1}^N u(g_i h g_i^{-1}) - \psi_G(h) \sum_{j=1}^N u(g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1}) + |\psi_G(h)|^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N u(g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1} g_i h g_i^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Using that  $\psi$  is a tracial state, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi((a_N)^* a_N) &= 1 - 2N |\psi_G(h)|^2 + |\psi_G(h)|^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N \psi_G(g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1} g_i h g_i^{-1}) \\ &= 1 - N |\psi_G(h)|^2 + |\psi_G(h)|^2 \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^N \psi_G(g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1} g_i h g_i^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.1), we get

$$0 \leq \psi((a_N)^* a_N) = 1 - N |\psi_G(h)|^2,$$

hence  $|\psi_G(h)| \leq \sqrt{1/N}$ . Letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain the desired conclusion.  $\square$

**2.2. On the QTS property.** Let  $A$  denote a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Following Murphy [19],  $A$  is said to have the *QTS property* if, for each proper (closed two-sided) ideal  $J$  of  $A$ , the quotient  $A/J$  admits a tracial state. As observed by Murphy, if  $A$  has the QTS property, then  $A$  is simple if and only if all its tracial states are faithful. As an immediate consequence, we get:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Assume that  $A$  has the QTS property and a unique tracial state, which is faithful. Then  $A$  is simple.*

We recall (cf. [12]) that a unital  $C^*$ -algebra is simple with at most one tracial state if and only if it has the Dixmier property. Hence, the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 imply that  $A$  has the Dixmier property. In this connection, we remark that Ozawa has recently shown [21] that the QTS property may be characterized by a weaker Dixmier type property.

The following result will be useful to us:

**Corollary 2.3.** *Assume that  $G$  is amenable and let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ . Then  $(G, \sigma)$  is  $C^*$ -simple whenever it has the unique trace property.*

*Proof.* It is known (cf. [19]) that a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  has the QTS property whenever  $A$  is hypertracial (as defined in [3]). Since  $G$  is amenable if and only if  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  is hypertracial (cf. [3]), the assertion follows from Theorem 2.2. For the ease of the reader, we sketch a direct proof that  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  has the QTS property when  $G$  is assumed to be amenable. Let  $J$  be a proper ideal of  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ , let  $\pi$  denote the canonical quotient map from  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  onto  $B = C_r^*(G, \sigma)/J$ , let  $\varphi$  denote a state on  $B$ , and set  $v(g) = \pi(\lambda_\sigma(g))$  for each  $g \in G$ . For each  $x \in B$ , define  $x_\varphi \in \ell^\infty(G)$  by

$$x_\varphi(g) = \varphi(v(g)xv(g)^*) \quad \text{for each } g \in G.$$

Now, let  $m$  be a right-invariant mean on  $\ell^\infty(G)$  and define  $\psi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\psi(x) = m(x_\varphi) \quad \text{for each } x \in B.$$

Then  $\psi$  is a state on  $B$ . Moreover, as  $(v(h)xv(h)^*)_\varphi$  is the right-translate of  $x_\varphi$  by  $h$  for each  $h \in G$ , the invariance of  $m$  gives that  $\psi(v(h)xv(h)^*) = \psi(x)$  for all  $h \in G$  and  $x \in B$ . As  $\{v(h) \mid h \in G\}$  generates  $B$  as a  $C^*$ -algebra, it follows readily that  $\psi$  is tracial.  $\square$

Murphy also shows that a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  has the QTS property whenever  $A$  is exact [6] and has stable rank one (i.e., the invertible elements of  $A$  are dense in  $A$ ). Now, it follows from [10] that  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  is exact whenever  $G$  is exact. Hence, another corollary of Theorem 2.2 is:

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ . Assume that  $G$  is exact and  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  has stable rank one. Then  $(G, \sigma)$  is  $C^*$ -simple whenever it has the unique trace property.*

Not much seems to be known about conditions ensuring that  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  has stable rank one. We plan to investigate this in a separate paper.

**2.3. On FC-hypercentral groups.** Let  $G$  be a group. We recall that the *FC-center* of  $G$  is given by

$$FC(G) = \{g \in G \mid \text{the conjugacy class of } g \text{ is finite}\}.$$

The FC-center of  $G$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , which is trivial if and only if  $G$  is ICC. The group  $G$  is said to be an *FC-group* when  $FC(G) = G$ .

The *upper (ascending) FC-central series*  $\{F_\alpha\}_\alpha$  of  $G$  is a normal series of subgroups of  $G$  indexed by the ordinal numbers. It is defined as follows (cf. [25, Section 4.3]):

We set  $F_0 = \{e\}$ ,  $F_\alpha/F_\beta = FC(G/F_\beta)$  if  $\alpha = \beta + 1$ , and  $F_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} F_\beta$  when  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal number. This series eventually stabilizes and  $FCH(G) = \lim_\alpha F_\alpha = \bigcup_\alpha F_\alpha$  is called

the *FC-hypercenter* of  $G$ . Note that  $FCH(G)$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  since it is a union of normal subgroups.

Since  $F_1 = FC(G)$ , we have that  $FCH(G)$  is trivial if and only if  $FC(G)$  is trivial if and only if  $G$  is ICC.

A group  $G$  is called *FC-hypercentral* [25] when  $FCH(G) = G$ . If the upper FC-central series stabilizes to  $G$  after a finite number of steps, then  $G$  is called *FC-nilpotent*. For example,  $G$  is FC-nilpotent whenever  $G$  is virtually nilpotent (i.e., it contains a nilpotent subgroup of finite index). If  $G$  is finitely generated and FC-hypercentral, then  $G$  is FC-nilpotent; further, if  $G$  is finitely generated and FC-nilpotent, then  $G$  is a finite extension of finitely generated nilpotent subgroup. (See [17, Theorem 2 and its proof]).

As observed by Echterhoff in [9], it follows that FC-hypercentral groups have polynomial growth and are therefore amenable. Moreover, a deep result proved by Echterhoff is that  $G$  is FC-hypercentral if and only if  $G$  is amenable and every prime ideal of  $C^*(G)$  is maximal. This generalizes an earlier result of Moore and Rosenberg [18], which says that any countable amenable  $T_1$ -group is FC-hypercentral. (We recall that  $G$  is called a  $T_1$ -group when every primitive ideal of  $C^*(G)$  is maximal).

To sum up, consider the following conditions for a group  $G$ :

- (i)  $G$  is virtually nilpotent.
- (ii)  $G$  is FC-nilpotent.
- (iii)  $G$  is FC-hypercentral.
- (iv)  $G$  is an amenable  $T_1$ -group.
- (v)  $G$  has polynomial growth.

In general, we have  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$ , and  $(iii) \Rightarrow (v)$ . If  $G$  is countable, then  $(iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv)$ . (To our knowledge, it is open whether (iv) implies (iii) in general; it seems also to be unknown whether all  $T_1$ -groups have polynomial growth.) Finally, for a finitely generated group, all the five conditions are equivalent, the implication  $(v) \Rightarrow (i)$  being a famous result due to Gromov [11].

Another condition one might consider is:

- (vi)  $G$  is elementary amenable with subexponential growth.

Then we have  $(v) \Rightarrow (vi)$ . Indeed, Gromov's result gives that any finitely generated subgroup of a group with polynomial growth must be virtually nilpotent, and thus elementary amenable. Since a group is a direct limit of its finitely generated subgroups, this means that a group with polynomial growth is elementary amenable.

If  $G$  is a finitely generated group, we also have  $(vi) \Rightarrow (v)$ , hence conditions (i)-(vi) are all equivalent in this case. This assertion is an immediate consequence of a result due to Chou [8], which says that a finitely generated elementary amenable group have either polynomial growth or exponential growth.

In [15], Jaworski defines a group  $G$  to be *identity excluding* if the only irreducible unitary representation of  $G$  which weakly contains the 1-dimensional identity representation is the 1-dimensional identity representation itself. An interesting result in our context is [15, Theorem 4.5], which says that a countable group is FC-hypercentral if and only if it is amenable and identity excluding.

The FC-hypercenter of a group  $G$  may be described as the smallest normal subgroup of  $G$  that produces an ICC quotient group. This fact is mentioned without proof in [15, Remark 4.1]. For completeness, we give a proof of this useful characterization.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $G$  be a group. Then the quotient group  $G/FCH(G)$  is ICC. Moreover, if  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/N$  is ICC, then  $FCH(G) \subset N$ .*

*Proof.* Since the upper FC-central series of  $G$  stabilizes at  $FCH(G)$ , the first assertion is clear. The second assertion also follows from the construction. Assume that  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/N$  is ICC. Then the quotient map  $G \rightarrow G/N$  sends  $FC(G)$  to a subgroup of  $FC(G/N) = \{e\}$ , so one has  $F_1 = FC(G) \subset N$ .

Next, suppose that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are ordinals such that  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  and  $F_\beta \subset N$ . Then the quotient map  $G/F_\beta \rightarrow (G/F_\beta)/(N/F_\beta) = G/N$  sends  $FC(G/F_\beta) = F_\alpha/F_\beta$  to the identity, that is,  $F_\alpha \subset N$ .

Finally, if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal and  $F_\beta \subset N$  for all  $\beta < \alpha$ , then  $F_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} F_\beta \subset N$ . Hence,  $F_\alpha \subset N$  for all ordinals  $\alpha$ , so  $FCH(G) = \bigcup_\alpha F_\alpha \subset N$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *A group  $G$  is FC-hypercentral if and only if  $G$  has no nontrivial ICC quotients, that is, if and only if  $FC(G/N)$  is nontrivial for every proper normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$ .*

We also mention some permanence properties of FC-hypercentrality.

We will say that  $G$  is an *FC-central extension* of a group  $K$  if there is a short exact sequence

$$e \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow e$$

such that  $H \subset FC(G)$ . In particular,  $H$  must be an FC-group. Note that FC-central extensions generalize both central and finite extensions. The class of FC-nilpotent groups forms the smallest class that is closed under FC-central extensions.

Similarly, we will say that  $G$  is an *FC-hypercentral extension* of  $K$  if  $H \subset FCH(G)$ . The class of FC-hypercentral groups is closed under FC-hypercentral extensions:

**Proposition 2.7.** *Suppose a group  $G$  is an FC-hypercentral extension of a group  $K$ . Then  $G$  is FC-hypercentral if and only if  $K$  is FC-hypercentral.*

*Proof.* Let  $q: G \rightarrow K$  denote the canonical surjection. According to Lemma 2.5, we have to show that  $G$  has a nontrivial ICC quotient if and only if  $K$  has a nontrivial ICC quotient.

First, let  $N$  be a proper normal subgroup of  $K$  such that  $K/N$  is ICC. Then  $q^{-1}(N)$  is a proper normal subgroup of  $G$  that contains  $H$  and  $G/q^{-1}(N) \cong (G/H)/(q^{-1}(N)/H) \cong K/N$  is ICC.

For the converse implication, let  $N$  be a proper normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/N$  is ICC. Then  $N$  must contain  $FCH(G)$ , in particular,  $N$  must contain  $H$ . Hence,  $q(N) \cong N/H$  is a proper normal subgroup of  $K$  and  $G/N \cong (G/H)/(N/H) \cong K/q(N)$ , which is ICC.  $\square$

It follows from Proposition 2.7 that the class of FC-hypercentral groups is closed under quotients and direct products. We also have:

**Proposition 2.8.** *Suppose  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .*

- a) *If  $G$  is FC-hypercentral, then  $H$  is FC-hypercentral.*
- b) *If  $H$  is FC-hypercentral and of finite index in  $G$ , then  $G$  is FC-hypercentral.*

*Proof.* For a) we have that  $FC(G) \cap H \subset FC(H)$  for any  $G$ , and a routine induction argument shows that  $FC_\alpha(G) \cap H \subset FC_\alpha(H)$  for all ordinals. Hence,  $FCH(H) \cap H \subset FCH(H)$ , so if  $G$  is FC-hypercentral, then so is  $H$ .

To prove b), assume that  $G$  is not FC-hypercentral. Then there is a proper normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  such that  $G/N$  is ICC. Another routine argument gives that  $H \cap N$  is a proper normal subgroup of  $H$  and  $H/(H \cap N)$  is ICC. Hence,  $H$  is not FC-hypercentral. As suggested by the referee, one may also argue as follows: if  $H$  is FC-hypercentral and of finite index in  $G$ , then the core  $\bigcap_{g \in G} gHg^{-1} \subseteq H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  with finite index; it is FC-hypercentral by a) and then  $G$  is FC-hypercentral by Proposition 2.7.  $\square$

### 3. ON $C^*$ -SIMPLICITY AND THE UNIQUE TRACE PROPERTY FOR FC-HYPERCENTRAL GROUPS

This section is mainly devoted to the proof of the following result:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that  $G$  is an FC-hypercentral group and let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ . Then the following properties are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(G, \sigma)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition;
- (ii)  $(G, \sigma)$  is  $C^*$ -simple;
- (iii)  $(G, \sigma)$  has the unique trace property,

*This means the class of FC-hypercentral groups is contained in the class  $\mathcal{K}^{am}$ .*

To simplify notation, we set  $A = C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  and let  $A_{FC}$  denote the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $\{\lambda_\sigma(g) \mid g \in FC(G)\}$ .

A simple computation gives that for all  $g, h \in G$ , we have

$$\lambda_\sigma(g) \lambda_\sigma(h) \lambda_\sigma(g)^* = \tilde{\sigma}(g, h) \lambda_\sigma(ghg^{-1}),$$

where

$$\tilde{\sigma}(g, h) = \sigma(g, h) \overline{\sigma(ghg^{-1}, g)}.$$

Hence, we get an action  $\gamma$  of  $G$  on  $A_{FC}$ , given by

$$\gamma_g(a) = \lambda_\sigma(g) a \lambda_\sigma(g)^* \quad \text{for all } g \in G \text{ and } a \in A_{FC}.$$

We will let  $\tau_{FC}$  denote the tracial state on  $A_{FC}$  obtained by restricting the canonical tracial state  $\tau$  on  $A$  to  $A_{FC}$ . Clearly,  $\tau_{FC}$  is  $\gamma$ -invariant.

**Proposition 3.2.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(G, \sigma)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition,
- (ii)  $\tau_{FC}$  is the unique  $\gamma$ -invariant tracial state of  $A_{FC}$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Assume that (i) holds. If  $G$  is ICC, then  $A_{FC} \simeq \mathbb{C}$ , and (ii) is trivially satisfied in this case. We may therefore assume that  $G$  is not ICC, so  $FC(G) \neq \{e\}$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a  $\gamma$ -invariant state of  $A_{FC}$ .

Consider  $h \in FC(G)$ ,  $h \neq e$ . As  $h$  is not  $\sigma$ -regular, there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $gh = hg$  and  $\sigma(g, h) \neq \sigma(h, g)$ . It clearly follows that  $\tilde{\sigma}(g, h) \neq 1$  and

$$\gamma_g(\lambda_\sigma(h)) = \tilde{\sigma}(g, h) \lambda_\sigma(ghg^{-1}) = \tilde{\sigma}(g, h) \lambda_\sigma(h).$$

Thus, we get

$$\varphi(\lambda_\sigma(h)) = \varphi(\gamma_g(\lambda_\sigma(h))) = \tilde{\sigma}(g, h) \varphi(\lambda_\sigma(h)),$$

so  $\varphi(\lambda_\sigma(h)) = 0$ . This implies that  $\varphi$  agrees with  $\tau_{FC}$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Assume that (i) does not hold. It is then known that the center  $Z$  of  $A$  is nontrivial. In fact,  $Z_{FC} := A_{FC} \cap Z$  is nontrivial in this case (cf. [20, Proof of Theorem 2.7]). So we may pick a non-scalar positive element  $z_0 \in Z_{FC}$  and define a tracial state on  $A_{FC}$  by

$$\varphi(a) = \frac{1}{\tau_{FC}(z_0)} \tau_{FC}(az_0), \quad \text{for } a \in A_{FC}.$$

As  $Z_{FC}$  is fixed by  $\gamma$  and  $\tau_{FC}$  is  $\gamma$ -invariant,  $\varphi$  is also  $\gamma$ -invariant.

Now, observe that  $\varphi(z_0) \neq \tau_{FC}(z_0)$ . Indeed, assume (for contradiction) that this is not true. This means that  $\tau_{FC}(z_0^2) = \tau_{FC}(z_0)^2$ , hence

$$\tau_{FC}((z_0 - \tau_{FC}(z_0)I)^2) = 0.$$

Since  $\tau_{FC}$  is faithful, we get that  $z_0 = \tau_{FC}(z_0)I$ , i.e.,  $z_0$  is a scalar, which gives a contradiction.

This shows that  $\varphi \neq \tau_{FC}$ , so  $\tau_{FC}$  is not the only  $\gamma$ -invariant tracial state of  $A_{FC}$ . Thus, (ii) does not hold.  $\square$

An immediate consequence of Proposition 3.2 is the following:

**Corollary 3.3.** *Assume that  $(G, \sigma)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition and let  $\varphi$  be a tracial state of  $A$ . Then  $\varphi$  agrees with  $\tau$  on  $A_{FC}$ .*

Let now  $D^\sigma$  denote the subgroup of  $\mathbb{T}$  generated by the image of  $\sigma$ , i.e., by the set  $\sigma(G \times G)$ . We consider  $D^\sigma$  as a discrete group and define the extension  $G^\sigma$  of  $G$  by  $D^\sigma$  as the set  $G \times D^\sigma$  equipped with the product given by  $(g, z)(h, w) = (gh, \sigma(g, h)zw)$ . Moreover, we let  $(g, z) \rightarrow u_\sigma(g, z) \in C^*(G^\sigma)$  denote the canonical embedding of  $G^\sigma$  into  $C^*(G^\sigma)$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\psi$  be a tracial state on  $C^*(G^\sigma)$  and let  $\tilde{\psi}$  be the function on  $G^\sigma$  given by  $\tilde{\psi} = \psi \circ u_\sigma$ . Assume that*

$$\tilde{\psi}(g, z) = z \tilde{\psi}(g, 1)$$

*for all  $g \in G$  and  $z \in D^\sigma$ , and*

$$\tilde{\psi}(h, z) = 0$$

*for all  $h \in FC(G) \setminus \{e\}$  and  $z \in D^\sigma$ .*

*Then  $\tilde{\psi}(h, z) = 0$  for all  $h \in FCH(G) \setminus \{e\}$  and  $z \in D^\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* If  $G$  is ICC, then  $FCH(G) = FC(G) = \{e\}$ , so there is nothing to show. Thus, suppose  $G$  is not ICC.

Let  $\{F_\alpha\}_\alpha$  denote the upper FC-central series of  $G$  and let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal. It suffices to show that  $\tilde{\psi}(h, z) = 0$  for all  $h \in F_\alpha \setminus \{e\}$  and all  $z \in D^\sigma$ .

For  $\alpha = 1$  the result holds by assumption since  $F_1 = FC(G)$ . So let  $\alpha > 1$  be an ordinal and suppose that  $\tilde{\psi} = 0$  on  $(F_\beta \setminus \{e\}) \times D^\sigma \subset G^\sigma$  for all  $\beta < \alpha$ .

If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then by construction

$$(F_\alpha \setminus \{e\}) \times D^\sigma = \left( \left( \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} F_\beta \right) \setminus \{e\} \right) \times D^\sigma = \left( \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (F_\beta \setminus \{e\}) \right) \times D^\sigma.$$

By hypothesis,  $\tilde{\psi} = 0$  on the right-hand side, hence also on the left-hand side.

If  $\alpha$  is a successor ordinal, then  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  for some ordinal  $\beta$ . Pick an element  $h \in F_\alpha \setminus F_\beta$ . Then the set  $\{ghg^{-1} \mid g \in G\}$  is infinite since  $FC(G) \subset F_\beta$ , while the set  $\{ghg^{-1}F_\beta \mid g \in G\}$  is finite in  $G/F_\beta$  since  $F_\alpha/F_\beta = FC(G/F_\beta)$ . Hence, there exists an infinite sequence  $(g_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $G$  such that  $g_i h g_i^{-1} F_\beta = g_j h g_j^{-1} F_\beta$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $g_i h g_i^{-1} \neq g_j h g_j^{-1}$  whenever  $i \neq j$ . This means that  $g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1} g_i h g_i^{-1} \in F_\beta \setminus \{e\}$  whenever  $i \neq j$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Since

$$(g_j, 1)(h, 1)^{-1}(g_j, 1)^{-1}(g_i, 1)(h, 1)(g_i, 1)^{-1} = (g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1} g_i h g_i^{-1} g_j, w)$$

for some  $w \in D^\sigma$ , and  $\tilde{\psi} = 0$  on  $(F_\beta \setminus \{e\}) \times D^\sigma$ , we get

$$\tilde{\psi}\left((g_j, 1)(h, 1)^{-1}(g_j, 1)^{-1}(g_i, 1)(h, 1)(g_i, 1)^{-1}\right) = \tilde{\psi}(g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1} g_i h g_i^{-1} g_j, w) = 0$$

whenever  $i \neq j$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ . We may now apply Lemma 2.1 and conclude that  $\tilde{\psi}(h, 1) = 0$ . Thus,  $\tilde{\psi}(h, z) = z \tilde{\psi}(h, 1) = 0$  for all  $h \in F_\alpha \setminus \{e\}$  and  $z \in D^\sigma$ . □

Let  $A_{FCH}$  denote the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $\{\lambda_\sigma(h) \mid h \in FCH(G)\}$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a tracial state on  $A$  which agrees with  $\tau$  on  $A_{FC}$ . Then  $\varphi$  agrees with  $\tau$  on  $A_{FCH}$ .*

*Proof.* As the map  $(g, z) \mapsto z \lambda_\sigma(g)$  is a unitary representation of  $G^\sigma$  on  $\ell^2(G)$ , there exists a surjective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi: C^*(G^\sigma) \rightarrow A$  satisfying  $\pi(u_\sigma(g, z)) = z \lambda_\sigma(g)$  for each



$(g, z) \in G^\sigma$ . Thus  $\varphi$  lifts to a tracial state  $\psi = \varphi \circ \pi$  on  $C^*(G^\sigma)$ . For all  $g \in G$  and  $z \in D^\sigma$ , we have

$$\psi(u_\sigma(g, z)) = \varphi(z \lambda_\sigma(g)) = z \varphi(\lambda_\sigma(g)) = z \psi(u_\sigma(g, 1)).$$

Since  $\varphi(\lambda_\sigma(g)) = 0$  for all  $g \in FC(G) \setminus \{e\}$  (by assumption), it follows that  $\psi(u_\sigma(g, z)) = 0$  for all  $g \in FC(G) \setminus \{e\}$  and  $z \in D^\sigma$ . Hence, using Lemma 3.4, we get that  $\psi(u_\sigma(g, z)) = 0$  for all  $g \in FCH(G) \setminus \{e\}$  and  $z \in D^\sigma$ . In particular, we get

$$\varphi(\lambda_\sigma(g)) = \psi(u_\sigma(g, 1)) = 0$$

for all  $g \in FCH(G) \setminus \{e\}$ . Thus,  $\varphi$  agrees with  $\tau$  on  $A_{FCH}$ , as desired.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Assume that (i) holds and let  $\varphi$  be a tracial state on  $A$ . Corollary 3.3 tells us that  $\varphi$  agrees with  $\tau$  on  $A_{FC}$ . Since  $G$  is FC-hypercentral, we have that  $A = A_{FCH}$ . Applying Lemma 3.5, we conclude that  $\varphi$  coincides with  $\tau$ . Hence, (iii) holds. Since FC-hypercentral groups are amenable, we get from Corollary 2.3 that (ii) follows from (iii). Finally, we know that (ii) implies (i) in general.  $\square$

We will also show how Echterhoff's characterization of FC-hypercentrality mentioned in Section 2.3 may be used to give a different proof of the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) in Theorem 3.1. We will need the following result:

**Proposition 3.6.** *Assume that every prime ideal of  $C^*(G^\sigma)$  is maximal. Then  $(G, \sigma)$  is  $C^*$ -simple whenever  $(G, \sigma)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $(G, \sigma)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition. Then  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  is prime [20, Theorem 2.7]. Let  $\pi$  denote the surjective  $*$ -homomorphism  $C^*(G^\sigma) \rightarrow C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  obtained in the proof of Lemma 3.5. The kernel  $\mathcal{J}$  of  $\pi$  is then a prime ideal of  $C^*(G^\sigma)$ . Hence, the assumption gives that  $\mathcal{J}$  is maximal, so  $C^*(G^\sigma)/\mathcal{J} \simeq C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  is simple.  $\square$

*Another proof of (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) in Theorem 3.1.* Using Proposition 2.7, we get that  $G^\sigma$  is also FC-hypercentral. Hence, [9, Corollary 3.2] tells us that every prime ideal of  $C_r^*(G^\sigma)$  is maximal, so the assertion follows from Proposition 3.6.  $\square$

We also record another consequence of Proposition 3.6.

**Corollary 3.7.** *Assume that  $G$  is a countable discrete group such that  $G^\sigma$  is a  $T_1$ -group. Then  $(G, \sigma)$  is  $C^*$ -simple whenever  $(G, \sigma)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition.*

*Proof.* Since  $G$  is countable,  $G^\sigma$  is also countable. Hence,  $C^*(G^\sigma)$  is separable, so its prime ideals are primitive ideals, and the assumption in Proposition 3.6 is therefore satisfied.  $\square$

We note that if  $G$  is amenable and it satisfies the assumptions in Corollary 3.7, then it is not difficult to deduce from the Moore-Rosenberg result cited in Section 2.3 that  $G$  is FC-hypercentral. Hence, Corollary 3.7 is covered by Theorem 3.1 in this case.

We do not know of any group in  $\mathcal{K}^{am}$  that is not FC-hypercentral. Thus, a natural question is:

**Question 3.8.** Does the class of FC-hypercentral groups coincide with  $\mathcal{K}^{am}$ ?

This question may be reformulated as follows. Assume that  $G$  is neither ICC, nor FC-hypercentral, but amenable. Can one always find  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \sigma)$  such that  $(G, \sigma)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition, but  $(G, \sigma)$  is not  $C^*$ -simple or does not have the unique trace property? It does not seem easy to answer this question positively.

## 4. ON THE UNIQUE TRACE PROPERTY FOR A LARGER CLASS OF GROUPS

In this section, we let  $G$  be a group and  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ . Motivated by Proposition 2.5, we define  $ICC(G) = G/FCH(G)$ . Moreover, we let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the class of groups considered in [4], that consists of all PH groups [24] and of all groups satisfying property  $(P_{\text{com}})$  [5]. The class  $\mathcal{P}$  is a large subclass of the class of ICC groups, and the only amenable group belonging to  $\mathcal{P}$  is the trivial group. The main purpose of this section is to show the following result:

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume that  $K = ICC(G)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then we have:*

- a)  $(G, \sigma)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition if and only if  $(G, \sigma)$  has the unique trace property.
- b) Set  $H = FCH(G)$  and let  $\sigma_H$  denote the restriction of  $\sigma$  to  $H \times H$ . If  $(H, \sigma_H)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition, then  $(G, \sigma)$  is  $C^*$ -simple and has the unique trace property.

We set  $A = C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  and let  $A_{FC}$  and  $A_{FCH}$  be defined as in the previous section. Since  $FCH(G)$  is normal in  $G$ , the action  $\gamma$  of  $G$  on  $A_{FC}$  extends to an action  $\tilde{\gamma}$  of  $G$  on  $A_{FCH}$  given by

$$\tilde{\gamma}_g(a) = \lambda_\sigma(g) a \lambda_\sigma(g)^*$$

for all  $g \in G$  and  $a \in A_{FCH}$ . Let  $\tau_{FCH}$  denote the restriction of the canonical tracial state  $\tau$  on  $A$  to  $A_{FCH}$ . Clearly,  $\tau_{FCH}$  is  $\tilde{\gamma}$ -invariant. Analogously to Proposition 3.2, we have:

**Proposition 4.2.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(G, \sigma)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition,
- (ii)  $\tau_{FCH}$  is the unique  $\tilde{\gamma}$ -invariant tracial state of  $A_{FCH}$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Assume that (i) holds. If  $G$  is ICC, then  $A_{FCH} \cong \mathbb{C}$ , and (ii) is trivially satisfied in this case. We may therefore assume that  $G$  is not ICC, so  $FC(G) \neq \{e\}$ . Let  $\phi$  be a  $\tilde{\gamma}$ -invariant tracial state of  $A_{FCH}$ . As in Proposition 3.2, we compute that  $\phi(\lambda_\sigma(h)) = 0$  for all  $h \in FC(G) \setminus \{e\}$ . Now, let  $E$  denote the canonical conditional expectation from  $A$  onto  $A_{FCH}$ . Then  $\varphi := \phi \circ E$  is a tracial state on  $A$  such that  $\varphi(\lambda_\sigma(h)) = 0$  for all  $h \in FC(G) \setminus \{e\}$ . Applying Lemma 3.5, we get that  $\varphi = \phi|_{A_{FCH}} = \tau_{FCH}$ .

Since  $A_{FC} \subset A_{FCH}$ , the proof of (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) goes along the same lines as the one given for this implication in Proposition 3.2.  $\square$

Set  $H = FCH(G)$  and  $K = ICC(G) = G/H$ , and let  $q$  denote the canonical homomorphism from  $G$  onto  $K$ . Further, let  $n: K \rightarrow G$  be a section for  $q$  satisfying  $n(e) = e$ , and define  $m: K \times K \rightarrow H$  by  $m(k, l) = n(k)n(l)n(kl)^{-1}$ . Finally, let  $\sigma_H$  denote the restriction of  $\sigma$  to  $H \times H$ .

Moreover, define  $\beta: K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A_{FCH})$  by  $\beta = \tilde{\gamma} \circ n$  and  $\omega: K \times K \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A_{FCH})$  by

$$\omega(k, l) = \sigma(n(k), n(l)) \sigma(m(k, l), n(kl))^* \lambda_\sigma(m(k, l)).$$

Then  $(\beta, \omega)$  is a twisted action of  $K$  on  $A_{FCH}$  such that  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  is  $*$ -isomorphic to the twisted crossed product  $C_r^*(A_{FCH}, K, \beta, \omega)$ . This follows from [1] after noticing that  $A_{FCH}$  may be identified with  $C_r^*(H, \sigma_H)$  via the  $*$ -isomorphism sending  $\lambda_\sigma(h)$  to  $\lambda_{\sigma_H}(h)$  for each  $h \in H$ .

Since  $\tau_{FCH}$  is  $\tilde{\gamma}$ -invariant,  $\tau_{FCH}$  is also  $\beta$ -invariant. Moreover, we have:

**Proposition 4.3.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(G, \sigma)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition,
- (ii)  $\tau_{FCH}$  is the unique  $\beta$ -invariant tracial state of  $A_{FCH}$ .

*Proof.* Assume (i) holds. Proposition 4.2 gives that  $\tau_{FCH}$  is the unique  $\tilde{\gamma}$ -invariant tracial state on  $A_{FCH}$ . Consider now a  $\beta$ -invariant tracial state  $\omega$  of  $A_{FCH}$  and let  $g \in G$ . Write  $g = h n(k)$  where  $k = q(g) \in K$  and  $h = g n(k)^{-1} \in H$ . Then, for each  $s \in H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\tilde{\gamma}_g(\lambda_\sigma(s))) &= \omega(\tilde{\gamma}_h \beta_k(\lambda_\sigma(s))) \\ &= \omega(\lambda_\sigma(h) \beta_k(\lambda_\sigma(s)) \lambda_\sigma(h)^*) = \omega(\beta_k(\lambda_\sigma(s))) = \omega(\lambda_\sigma(s)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\omega$  is  $\tilde{\gamma}$ -invariant. Hence,  $\omega = \tau_{FCH}$ . This shows that (ii) holds.

Conversely, if (ii) holds, then, as  $\beta = \tilde{\gamma} \circ n$ , it is clear that  $\tau_{FCH}$  is the unique  $\tilde{\gamma}$ -invariant tracial state of  $A_{FCH}$ , so (i) holds by using Proposition 4.2.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* a) From [4, Corollary 3.9], we know that when  $K$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{P}$ , the tracial states of  $C_r^*(A_{FCH}, K, \beta, \omega)$  are in a one-to-one correspondence with the  $\beta$ -invariant tracial states of  $A_{FCH}$ . Hence, it follows from Proposition 4.3 that  $C_r^*(G, \sigma) \cong C_r^*(A_{FCH}, K, \beta, \omega)$  has a unique tracial state if and only if  $(G, \sigma)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition.

b) Assume that  $(H, \sigma_H)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition. Since  $H$  is FC-hypercentral, we get from Theorem 3.1 that  $A_{FCH} \simeq C_r^*(H, \sigma_H)$  is simple with a unique tracial state. This implies that  $A_{FCH}$  has a unique  $\beta$ -invariant tracial state and that the system  $(A_{FCH}, K, \beta, \omega)$  is minimal. Hence, it follows from [4, Corollary 3.11] that  $C_r^*(G, \sigma) \cong C_r^*(A_{FCH}, K, \beta, \omega)$  is simple with a unique tracial state.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{ICCP}$  denote the class of groups satisfying the assumption in Theorem 4.1. Part a) of this theorem shows that  $\mathcal{ICCP}$  is contained in the class  $\mathcal{K}_{UT}$ . We believe that  $\mathcal{ICCP} \subset \mathcal{K}$ , i.e., that we also have  $\mathcal{ICCP} \subset \mathcal{K}_{C^*S}$ , but we have not been able to prove this. Part b) of Theorem 4.1 is a somewhat weaker statement; its proof shows that we would have  $\mathcal{ICCP} \subset \mathcal{K}_{C^*S}$  if one could answer positively the following:

**Question 4.4.** Assume that  $(G, \sigma)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition. Is the system  $(A_{FCH}, K, \beta, \omega)$  always minimal? That is, is  $\{0\}$  the only proper  $\beta$ -invariant ideal of  $A_{FCH}$ ?

In this regard, we also remark that if  $G$  belongs to  $\mathcal{ICCP}$ ,  $G$  is exact, and  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  has stable rank one whenever  $(G, \sigma)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition, then Corollary 2.4 and Theorem 4.1 a) together give that  $G$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Note that if  $G/FC(G)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}$ , then  $G/FC(G)$  is ICC, so the upper FC-central series of  $G$  stops at  $F_1$ , i.e.,  $FCH(G) = FC(G)$ . Hence, Theorem 4.1 gives:

**Corollary 4.5.** Assume that  $G/FC(G)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then we have:

- a)  $(G, \sigma)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition if and only if  $(G, \sigma)$  has the unique trace property.
- b) Set  $H = FC(G)$  and let  $\sigma_H$  denote the restriction of  $\sigma$  to  $H \times H$ . If  $(H, \sigma_H)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition, then  $(G, \sigma)$  is  $C^*$ -simple and has the unique trace property.

**Example 4.6.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$  and set  $G = \langle a, b \mid ab^n = b^n a \rangle$ . Then  $G$  is a so-called Baumslag-Solitar group, often denoted by  $BS(n, n)$ . We have

$$FCH(G) = FC(G) = Z(G) = \langle b^n \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}$$

and  $ICC(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}_n \in \mathcal{P}$  (since  $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}_n$  is a Powers group [13]), so  $G \in \mathcal{ICCP}$ .

Let  $f$  denote the surjective homomorphism  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$  satisfying  $f(a) = (1, 0)$  and  $f(b) = (0, 1)$ . For  $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$ , let  $\omega_\theta \in Z^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{T})$  be given by  $\omega_\theta(m, n) = e^{2\pi i \theta m_2 n_1}$ , and define  $\sigma_\theta \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  by  $\sigma_\theta(x, y) = \omega_\theta(f(x), f(y))$ . It can be shown that every two-cocycle on  $G$  is cohomologous to one of this form.

Then one easily verifies that  $(G, \sigma_\theta)$  satisfies Kleppner's condition if and only if  $\theta$  is irrational. Hence, Theorem 4.1 a) gives that  $(G, \sigma_\theta)$  has the unique trace property if and only if  $\theta$  is irrational. Theorem 4.1 b) is not useful in this example since  $\sigma_\theta$  restricts to 1

on  $Z(G)$ . However, it can be shown that  $(G, \sigma_\theta)$  is  $C^*$ -simple if and only if  $\theta$  is irrational. Hence, it follows that  $G = BS(n, n)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}$ .

We will come back to this example and also discuss other conditions ensuring simplicity and/or uniqueness of the tracial state for reduced twisted group  $C^*$ -algebras in a subsequent paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Erik Bédos. Discrete groups and simple  $C^*$ -algebras. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 109(3):521–537, 1991.
- [2] Erik Bédos. On the uniqueness of the trace on some simple  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 30(1):149–160, 1993.
- [3] Erik Bédos. Notes on hypertraces and  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 34(2):285–306, 1995.
- [4] Erik Bédos and Roberto Conti. On maximal ideals in certain reduced twisted  $C^*$ -crossed products. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 158(3):399–417, 2015.
- [5] M. Bachir Bekka, Michael G. Cowling, and Pierre de la Harpe. Some groups whose reduced  $C^*$ -algebra is simple. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 80:117–134, 1994.
- [6] Nathaniel P. Brown and Narutaka Ozawa.  *$C^*$ -algebras and finite-dimensional approximations*, vol. 88 of *Grad. Stud. Math.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [7] Alan L. Carey and William Moran. Characters of nilpotent groups. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 96(1):123–137, 1984.
- [8] Ching Chou. Elementary amenable groups. *Illinois J. Math.*, 24(3):396–407, 1980.
- [9] Siegfried Echterhoff. On maximal prime ideals in certain group  $C^*$ -algebras and crossed product algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 23(2):317–338, 1990.
- [10] Ruy Exel. Exact groups and Fell bundles. *Math. Ann.*, 323(2):259–266, 2002.
- [11] Mikhael Gromov. Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 53:53–73, 1981.
- [12] Uffe Haagerup and László Zsidó. Sur la propriété de Dixmier pour les  $C^*$ -algèbres. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 298(8), 173–176, 1984.
- [13] Pierre de la Harpe. On simplicity of reduced  $C^*$ -algebras of groups. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 39(1):1–26, 2007.
- [14] *Character theory of finite groups*, vol. 88 of *Pure Appl. Math.* Academic Press, New York-London, 1976.
- [15] Wojciech Jaworski. Countable amenable identity excluding groups. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 47(2):215–228, 2004.
- [16] Adam Kleppner. The structure of some induced representations. *Duke Math. J.*, 29:555–572, 1962.
- [17] D. H. McLain. Remarks on the upper central series of a group. *Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc.*, 3:38–44, 1956.
- [18] Calvin C. Moore and Jonathan Rosenberg. Groups with  $T_1$  primitive ideal spaces. *J. Functional Analysis*, 22(3):204–224, 1976.
- [19] Gerard J. Murphy. Uniqueness of the trace and simplicity. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 128(12):3563–3570, 2000.
- [20] Tron Omland. Primeness and primitivity conditions for twisted group  $C^*$ -algebras. *Math. Scand.*, 114(2):299–319, 2014.
- [21] Narutaka Ozawa. Dixmier approximation and symmetric amenability for  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 20(3):349–374, 2013.
- [22] Judith A. Packer. Twisted group  $C^*$ -algebras corresponding to nilpotent discrete groups. *Math. Scand.*, 64(1):109–122, 1989.
- [23] Alan L. T. Paterson *Amenability*, vol. 29 of *Math. Surveys Monogr.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.
- [24] S. David Promislow. A class of groups producing simple, unique trace  $C^*$ -algebras. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 114(2):223–233, 1993.
- [25] Derek J. S. Robinson. *Finiteness conditions and generalized soluble groups. Part 1*, vol. 62 of *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (2)*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1972.
- [26] Joseph Slawny. On factor representations and the  $C^*$ -algebra of canonical commutation relations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 24:151–170, 1972.
- [27] Georges Zeller-Meier. Produits croisés d’une  $C^*$ -algèbre par un groupe d’automorphismes. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 47:101–239, 1968.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OSLO, P.O.BOX 1053 BLINDERN, NO-0316 OSLO, NORWAY  
*Email address:* `bedos@math.uio.no`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY, TEMPE, ARIZONA  
85287, USA  
*Email address:* `omland@asu.edu`