Robust Entanglement With A Thermal Mechanical Oscillator

Andrey A. Rakhubovsky   Radim Filip

Department of Optics, Palacký University, Czech Republic

Phys. Rev. A. 91, 062317 (2015).

Quantum Optics Lab
S-Pb, 13.04.2018

Pressure of Light I

1619 J. Kepler De Cometis Libelli Tres
1862 J.C. Maxwell
1901

P.N. Lebedev; "Untersuchungen über die Druckkräfte des Lichtes", Annalen der Physik **6**,433 (1901)



E.F. Nichols and G.F. Hull "A preliminary communication on the pressure of heat and light radiation", Phys. Rev. **13** , 307 (1901)



Pressure of Light II

1964 V.B. Braginsky, I.I. Minakova, MSU Bulletin **1**, 83 (1964)
1970 V.B. Braginsky, Investigation of dissipative ponderomotive effects of electromagnetic radiation Soviet Physics JETP **31**, 5 (1970)



FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of the experimental arrangement: 1—laser, 2—plate-oscillator, 3—mirror, 4—magnetron, 5—ferrite valve, 6—resonator, 7—mobile piston, 8—photographic film.

Pressure of Light III

1970 A. Ashkin, Acceleration and Trapping of Particles by Radiation Pressure. Phys. Rev. Lett. **24**, 156–159 (1970).



(b)

Cavity Optomechanics



- Radiation pressure drives mechanical motion
- Mechanical displacement changes optical length of the cavity

Experimental Realizations



Figure source: [1]

[1] Aspelmeyer, Kippenberg and Marquardt, RMP **86**, 1391 (2014)

[2] Kashkanova *et al.*, Nat. Phys. **13**, 74 (2017)

Experimental Realizations



Figure source: [1]

[1] Aspelmeyer, Kippenberg and Marquardt, RMP **86**, 1391 (2014)

[2] Kashkanova *et al.*, Nat. Phys. **13**, 74 (2017)

Experimental Realizations





Figure source: [1]

[1] Aspelmeyer, Kippenberg and Marquardt, RMP **86**, 1391 (2014)

[2] Kashkanova *et al.*, Nat. Phys. **13**, 74 (2017)

Experimental Realizations



Figure source: [1]



Figure source: [2]

[1] Aspelmeyer, Kippenberg and Marquardt, RMP **86**, 1391 (2014)

[2] Kashkanova *et al.*, Nat. Phys. **13**, 74 (2017)

Advantages of Optomechanics for Quantum Information

Uniform Type of Radiation Pressure



Figure source [3]

Nonlinear Mechanical Potential

Strong Coupling (High Cooperativity)

Long Coherence Time

[3] Bagci *et al.*, Nature **507**, 81 (2014)

Advantages of Optomechanics for Quantum Information

Uniform Type of Radiation Pressure



Can Work at the Quantum Level

- Can capture quantum signals
- Can transduce quantum signals

Nonlinear Mechanical Potential

Strong Coupling (High Cooperativity)

Long Coherence Time

[3] Bagci *et al.*, Nature **507**, 81 (2014)

Pulsed Optomechanical Entanglement I

2011 S. G. Hofer, W. Wieczorek, M. Aspelmeyer, K. Hammerer, Quantum entanglement and teleportation in pulsed cavity optomechanics. Phys. Rev. A. **84**, 052327 (2011).



Pulsed Optomechanical Entanglement II

2013 T. A. Palomaki, J. D. Teufel, R. W. Simmonds, K. W. Lehnert, Entangling Mechanical Motion with Microwave Fields. Science. **342**, 710–713 (2013).



Introduction

Optomechanics

Pulsed Optomechanics

Gaussian Entanglement

Gaussian Entanglement with Pulsed Optomechanics

The Optomechanical systems



Optics

Standard quantization of the cavity field

$$\hat{E}(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_p \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e_p u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$$

Mechanics

Displacement field

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_n u_n(\mathbf{r}) \hat{x}_n(t)$$

Only one optical mode a and one mechanical x_n are considered.

The Hamiltonian

$$H = \hbar\omega_{cav}(\hat{\ell})a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b$$



a — optical mode

b — mechanical mode

The Hamiltonian

$$H = \hbar\omega_{\text{cav}}(\hat{\ell})a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b$$
$$= \hbar\omega_{\text{cav}} a^\dagger a - \hbar\frac{\omega_{\text{cav}}}{L}\hat{\ell}a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b$$



a — optical mode
b — mechanical mode

Modulation of the cavity frequency

$$\omega_{\text{cav}}(\hat{\ell}) = \frac{\pi n c}{L+\hat{\ell}} \approx \frac{\pi n c}{L}\left[1 - \frac{\hat{\ell}}{L}\right] = \omega_{\text{cav}} - \hat{\ell}\frac{\omega_{\text{cav}}}{L}.$$

The Hamiltonian

$$H = \hbar\omega_{cav}(\hat{\ell})a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b$$
$$= \hbar\omega_{cav}a^\dagger a - \hbar\frac{\omega_{cav}}{L}\hat{\ell}a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b$$

Modulation of the cavity frequency

$$\omega_{cav}(\hat{\ell}) = \frac{\pi n c}{L+\hat{\ell}} \approx \frac{\pi n c}{L}\left[1 - \frac{\hat{\ell}}{L}\right] = \omega_{cav} - \hat{\ell}\frac{\omega_{cav}}{L}.$$



a — optical mode
b — mechanical mode

$$\hat{\ell} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega_m}}(b + b^\dagger)$$

$$\hat{\Phi} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar m\omega_m}{2}}(b - b^\dagger)/i$$

$$\left[\hat{\ell}, \hat{\Phi}\right] = i\hbar$$

The Hamiltonian

$$H = \hbar\omega_{\text{cav}}(\hat{\ell})a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b$$
$$= \hbar\omega_{\text{cav}} a^\dagger a - \hbar\frac{\omega_{\text{cav}}}{L}\hat{\ell}a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b$$

Modulation of the cavity frequency

$$\omega_{\text{cav}}(\hat{\ell}) = \frac{\pi n c}{L+\hat{\ell}} \approx \frac{\pi n c}{L}\left[1 - \frac{\hat{\ell}}{L}\right] = \omega_{\text{cav}} - \hat{\ell}\frac{\omega_{\text{cav}}}{L}.$$

In dimensionless units

$$H_{\text{int}} = -\hbar\omega_{\text{cav}}\frac{x_{\text{zpf}}}{L}(b+b^\dagger)a^\dagger a = -\hbar g_0 (b+b^\dagger)a^\dagger a.$$

With the single-photon coupling strength

$$g_0 = \omega_{\text{cav}}\frac{x_{\text{zpf}}}{L} = \omega_{\text{cav}}\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega_m L^2}}$$



a — optical mode
b — mechanical mode

$$\hat{\ell} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega_m}}(b+b^\dagger) = x_{\text{zpf}}x$$

$$\hat{\Phi} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar m\omega_m}{2}}(b-b^\dagger)/i$$

$$\left[\hat{\ell},\hat{\Phi}\right] = i\hbar$$

$$x = b+b^\dagger; \quad p = (b-b^\dagger)/i,$$

$$[x,p] = 2i.$$

$$\text{Var}[x]_{|0\rangle} \equiv \langle 0|(x-\bar{x})^2|0\rangle = 1.$$

Modulation of the cavity frequency

$$\omega_{\mathrm{cav}}(\hat{\ell}) = \frac{\pi n c}{L+\hat{\ell}} \approx \frac{\pi n c}{L}\left[1-\frac{\hat{\ell}}{L}\right] = \omega_{\mathrm{cav}} - \hat{\ell}\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{cav}}}{L}.$$

In dimensionless units

$$H_{\mathrm{int}} = -\hbar\omega_{\mathrm{cav}}\frac{x_{\mathrm{zpf}}}{L}(b+b^\dagger)a^\dagger a = -\hbar g_0(b+b^\dagger)a^\dagger a.$$

With the single-photon coupling strength

$$g_0 = \omega_{\mathrm{cav}}\frac{x_{\mathrm{zpf}}}{L} = \omega_{\mathrm{cav}}\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega_m L^2}}$$

With $m = 10$ ng, $\omega_m = 1$ MHz, $L = 10$ mm,

$x_{\mathrm{zpf}} \sim 0.1$ fm, $g_0 \sim 10$ Hz.



a — optical mode
b — mechanical mode

$$\hat{\ell} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega_m}}(b+b^\dagger) = x_{\mathrm{zpf}}x$$

$$\hat{\Phi} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar m\omega_m}{2}}(b-b^\dagger)/i$$

$$[\hat{\ell},\hat{\Phi}] = i\hbar$$

$$x = b+b^\dagger; \quad p = (b-b^\dagger)/i,$$

$$[x,p] = 2i.$$

$$\mathrm{Var}[x]_{|0\rangle} \equiv \langle 0|(x-\bar{x})^2|0\rangle = 1.$$

Modulation of the cavity frequency

$$\omega_{\mathrm{cav}}(\hat{\ell}) = \frac{\pi n c}{L + \hat{\ell}} \approx \frac{\pi n c}{L}\left[1 - \frac{\hat{\ell}}{L}\right] = \omega_{\mathrm{cav}} - \hat{\ell}\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{cav}}}{L}.$$

In dimensionless units

$$H_{\mathrm{int}} = -\hbar\omega_{\mathrm{cav}}\frac{x_{\mathrm{zpf}}}{L}(b + b^\dagger)a^\dagger a = -\hbar g_0 (b + b^\dagger)a^\dagger a.$$

With the single-photon coupling strength

$$g_0 = \omega_{\mathrm{cav}}\frac{x_{\mathrm{zpf}}}{L} = \omega_{\mathrm{cav}}\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega_m L^2}}$$

With $m = 10$ ng, $\omega_m = 1$ MHz, $L = 10$ mm,

$x_{\mathrm{zpf}} \sim 0.1$ fm, $g_0 \sim 10$ Hz.

Too weak $\Rightarrow$ enhance by strong pump and linearize.



a — optical mode
b — mechanical mode

$$\hat{\ell} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega_m}}(b + b^\dagger) = x_{\mathrm{zpf}} x$$

$$\hat{\Phi} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar m \omega_m}{2}}(b - b^\dagger)/i$$

$$[\hat{\ell}, \hat{\Phi}] = i\hbar$$

$$x = b + b^\dagger; \quad p = (b - b^\dagger)/i,$$

$$[x, p] = 2i.$$

$$\mathrm{Var}[x]_{|0\rangle} \equiv \langle 0|(x - \bar{x})^2|0\rangle = 1.$$

Assume strong classical driving of the cavity @ω_p

$\epsilon \propto$ power of the pump

$$H = \hbar\omega_{cav} a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b - \hbar g_0 a^\dagger a (b^\dagger + b) - \hbar\epsilon\left(a^\dagger e^{-i\omega_p t} + \text{h.c.}\right)$$

$\Delta \equiv \omega_{cav} - \omega_p$ – detuning

At frame defined by $H = \hbar\omega_p a^\dagger a$:

$$H = \hbar\Delta a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b - \hbar g_0 a^\dagger a (b^\dagger + b) - \hbar\epsilon (a^\dagger + a).$$

Assume strong classical driving of the cavity @ω_p

$\epsilon \propto$ power of the pump

$$H = \hbar\omega_{cav} a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b - \hbar g_0 a^\dagger a (b^\dagger + b) - \hbar\epsilon\left(a^\dagger e^{-i\omega_p t} + \text{h.c.}\right)$$

$\Delta \equiv \omega_{cav} - \omega_p$ – detuning

At frame defined by $H = \hbar\omega_p a^\dagger a$:

$$H = \hbar\Delta a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b - \hbar g_0 a^\dagger a (b^\dagger + b) - \hbar\epsilon(a^\dagger + a).$$

After substitutions

$$H = \hbar \underbrace{\left[\Delta - \frac{2\alpha^2 g_0^2}{\omega_m}\right]}_{\Delta} \delta a^\dagger \delta a + \hbar\omega_m \delta b^\dagger \delta b$$
$$- \hbar g_0 \left[\alpha(\delta a^\dagger + a) + \cancel{\delta a^\dagger \delta a}\right](\delta b^\dagger + \delta b).$$

$a \to \alpha + \delta a$
$b \to \beta + \delta b$

$$\alpha = \frac{\epsilon}{\Delta + 2\beta g_0}, \ \beta = \text{Homework}.$$

Assume strong classical driving of the cavity @ω_p

$\epsilon \propto$ power of the pump

$$H = \hbar\omega_{cav}a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b - \hbar g_0 a^\dagger a(b^\dagger + b) - \hbar\epsilon\left(a^\dagger e^{-i\omega_p t} + \text{h.c.}\right)$$

$\Delta \equiv \omega_{cav} - \omega_p$ – detuning

At frame defined by $H = \hbar\omega_p a^\dagger a$:

$$H = \hbar\Delta a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b - \hbar g_0 a^\dagger a(b^\dagger + b) - \hbar\epsilon(a^\dagger + a).$$

After substitutions

$a \to \alpha + \delta a$
$b \to \beta + \delta b$

$$H = \hbar\underbrace{\left[\Delta - \frac{2\alpha^2 g_0^2}{\omega_m}\right]}_{\Delta}\delta a^\dagger\delta a + \hbar\omega_m\delta b^\dagger\delta b$$
$$-\hbar g_0\left[\alpha(\delta a^\dagger + a) + \cancel{\delta a^\dagger\delta a}\right](\delta b^\dagger + \delta b).$$

$\alpha = \dfrac{\epsilon}{\Delta + 2\beta g_0}$, $\beta =$ Homework.

$$H = \hbar\Delta a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b - \hbar g(a^\dagger + a)(b^\dagger + b)$$

$g \equiv g_0\alpha = g_0\sqrt{n_p}$

Linearized Optomechanics

The Hamiltonian

$$H = \hbar\Delta a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b - \hbar g(a^\dagger + a)(b^\dagger + b)$$

The main participants

a quantum optical mode at ω_{cav}

α strong classical pump at $\omega_{cav} - \Delta$

b quantized mechanical motion at ω_m



$$H = \underbrace{\hbar\Delta a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b}_{H_{RF}} - \hbar g(a^\dagger + a)(b^\dagger + b)$$

$$a \to a e^{-i\Delta t}; \quad b \to b e^{-i\omega_m t};$$

$$H = \underbrace{\hbar\Delta a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b}_{H_{RF}} - \hbar g(a^\dagger + a)(b^\dagger + b)$$

$$a \to a e^{-i\Delta t}; \quad b \to b e^{-i\omega_m t};$$

$$H = -\hbar g(ab e^{-i(\Delta+\omega_m)} + \text{h.c.}) - \hbar g(ab^\dagger e^{-i(\Delta-\omega_m)} + \text{h.c.})$$

$$\Delta = \omega_{cav} - \omega_p$$

$$H = \underbrace{\hbar\Delta a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b}_{H_{RF}} - \hbar g(a^\dagger + a)(b^\dagger + b)$$

$$a \to a e^{-i\Delta t}; \quad b \to b e^{-i\omega_m t};$$

$$H = -\hbar g(ab e^{-i(\Delta+\omega_m)} + \text{h.c.}) - \hbar g(a b^\dagger e^{-i(\Delta-\omega_m)} + \text{h.c.})$$

$$\Delta = \omega_{cav} - \omega_p$$

In the Rotating Wave Approximation (RWA) (assuming g small)

Lower sideband pump $\Delta = +\omega_m$

$$H = -\hbar g(ab^\dagger + ab e^{-2i\omega_m t}) + \text{h.c.} \approx -\hbar g\left[ab^\dagger + a^\dagger b\right]$$

$$H = \underbrace{\hbar\Delta a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b}_{H_{RF}} - \hbar g(a^\dagger + a)(b^\dagger + b)$$

$$a \to a e^{-i\Delta t}; \quad b \to b e^{-i\omega_m t};$$

$$H = -\hbar g(ab e^{-i(\Delta+\omega_m)} + \text{h.c.}) \\ - \hbar g(ab^\dagger e^{-i(\Delta-\omega_m)} + \text{h.c.})$$

$$\Delta = \omega_{cav} - \omega_p$$

In the Rotating Wave Approximation (RWA) (assuming g small)

Lower sideband pump $\Delta = +\omega_m$

$$H = -\hbar g(ab^\dagger + ab e^{-2i\omega_m t}) + \text{h.c.} \\ \approx -\hbar g\left[ab^\dagger + a^\dagger b\right]$$

Upper sideband pump $\Delta = -\omega_m$

$$H = -\hbar g(ab^\dagger e^{2i\omega_m t} + ab) + \text{h.c.} \\ \approx -\hbar g\left[ab + a^\dagger b^\dagger\right].$$

Resonantly detuned optomechanics

Lower Mechanical Sideband

$$\omega_p = \omega_{cav} - \omega_m$$



$$H \propto ab^\dagger + a^\dagger b$$

- Parametric Converter / Beam-splitter
- State swap / Cooling

Resolved sideband $\kappa \ll \omega_m$

Resonantly detuned optomechanics

Lower Mechanical Sideband

$$\omega_p = \omega_{cav} - \omega_m$$



$$H \propto ab^\dagger + a^\dagger b$$

- Parametric Converter / Beam-splitter
- State swap / Cooling

Resolved sideband $\kappa \ll \omega_m$

Upper Mechanical Sideband

$$\omega_p = \omega_{cav} + \omega_m,$$



$$H = ab + a^\dagger b^\dagger$$

- Parametric Amp / Two-mode squeezing
- Entanglement

Digression: Optical Spring

Radiation Pressure Force

$$F_{RP}(t) \propto P(x) = -Kx(t-\tau_*)$$

$$\approx -K \times (x - \tau_* \dot{x}) = -Kx + \Gamma\dot{x}$$

Digression: Optical Spring

Radiation Pressure Force

$$F_{RP}(t) \propto P(x) = -Kx(t-\tau_*)$$
$$\approx -K\times(x-\tau_*\dot{x}) = -Kx+\Gamma\dot{x}$$

Электромагнитная жесткость впервые наблюдалась В. Б. Брагинским [3]

Настройка на правый склон



Настройка на левый склон



Положительная жесткость и отрицательное затухание

Отрицательная жесткость и положительное затухание

Назад

[3] V.B. Braginsky, I.I. Minakova Bull. MSU III 1, 83 (1964)

Introduction

Optomechanics

Pulsed Optomechanics

Gaussian Entanglement

Gaussian Entanglement with Pulsed Optomechanics

Environment

Optical Environment



κ_{ext} detection channel, κ_L losses
Interacts with the modes a_i of travelling light, (almost) each in vacuum

$$\left[a_i(t), a_i^\dagger(t')\right] = \delta(t - t');$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left\langle a_i(t)a_i^\dagger(t') + a_i^\dagger(t')a_i(t)\right\rangle = 1.$$

Typically the cavity is overcoupled with $\kappa_{ext} \gg \kappa_L$

Environment

Optical Environment



κ_{ext} detection channel, κ_L losses
Interacts with the modes a_i of travelling light, (almost) each in vacuum

$$\left[a_i(t), a_i^\dagger(t')\right] = \delta(t-t');$$
$$\frac{1}{2}\left\langle a_i(t)a_i^\dagger(t') + a_i^\dagger(t')a_i(t)\right\rangle = 1.$$

Typically the cavity is overcoupled with $\kappa_{ext} \gg \kappa_L$

Mechanical Environment

Q-factor:

$$Q_{tot}^{-1} = Q_{clamp}^{-1} + Q_{air}^{-1} + Q_{scat}^{-1} + \dots$$

At rate $\gamma = \omega_m/Q$ coupled to a thermal bath with bosonic operator a^{th}:

$$\left[a^{th}(t), a^{th\dagger}(t')\right] = 2i\delta(t-t'),$$
$$\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left\{a^{th}(t), a^{th\dagger}(t')\right\}\right\rangle = (2n_{th}+1)\delta(t-t').$$

$$n_{th} = \frac{1}{\exp[\hbar\omega_m/k_B t] - 1} \approx k_B T/\hbar\omega_m$$

Equations of motion

Assume red detuning $\omega_p = \omega_{cav} - \omega_m$, therefore $H = -\hbar g(ab^\dagger + a^\dagger b)$.

$$\dot{a} = igb - \kappa a + \sqrt{2\kappa}a^{in},$$
$$\dot{b} = iga - \frac{\gamma}{2}b + \sqrt{\gamma}b^{th}$$

Input-output relation for optics $a^{out} = -a^{in} + \sqrt{2\kappa}a$

Equations of motion

Assume red detuning $\omega_p = \omega_{cav} - \omega_m$, therefore $H = -\hbar g(ab^\dagger + a^\dagger b)$.

$$\dot{a} = igb - \kappa a + \sqrt{2\kappa} a^{in},$$
$$\dot{b} = iga - \frac{\gamma}{2} b + \sqrt{\gamma} b^{th}$$

Input-output relation for optics $a^{out} = -a^{in} + \sqrt{2\kappa} a$

Define $\mathbf{a} = (a, b)$, $\mathbb{A}$, $\mathbf{f} = (\sqrt{2\kappa} a^{in}, \sqrt{\gamma} b^{th})$, then

$$\dot{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbb{A}.\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{f}$$

Formal solution (with $\mathbb{M}(s) = \exp[-\mathbb{A}s]$)

$$\mathbf{a}(t) = \mathbb{M}(t)\mathbf{a}(0) + \int_0^t ds\, \mathbb{M}(t-s).\mathbf{f}(s).$$

Equations of motion

Assume red detuning $\omega_p = \omega_{cav} - \omega_m$, therefore $H = -\hbar g(ab^\dagger + a^\dagger b)$.

$$\dot{a} = igb - \kappa a + \sqrt{2\kappa}a^{in},$$
$$\dot{b} = iga - \frac{\gamma}{2}b + \sqrt{\gamma}b^{th}$$

Input-output relation for optics $a^{out} = -a^{in} + \sqrt{2\kappa}a$

Parameters:

- ★ Resolved sideband $\kappa \ll \omega_m$
- ★ Weak coupling $g \sim 10^{-3 \div -1}\kappa$
- ★ Slow mechanical decay $\gamma \sim 10^{-7 \div -4}\kappa$
- ★ Not too hot bath $\gamma n_{th} \leqslant \{g, \kappa\}$

Equations of motion

Assume red detuning $\omega_p = \omega_{cav} - \omega_m$, therefore $H = -\hbar g(ab^\dagger + a^\dagger b)$.

$$\dot{a} = igb - \kappa a + \sqrt{2\kappa}a^{in},$$
$$\dot{b} = iga$$

Input-output relation for optics $a^{out} = -a^{in} + \sqrt{2\kappa}a$

Parameters:

- ★ Resolved sideband $\kappa \ll \omega_m$
- ★ Weak coupling $g \sim 10^{-3\div-1}\kappa$
- ★ Slow mechanical decay $\gamma \sim 10^{-7\div-4}\kappa$
- ★ Not too hot bath $\gamma n_{th} \leqslant \{g, \kappa\}$

That is,

- ★ mechanical decay can be approximately ignored

Equations of motion

Assume red detuning $\omega_p = \omega_{cav} - \omega_m$, therefore $H = -\hbar g(ab^\dagger + a^\dagger b)$.

$$0 = igb - \kappa a + \sqrt{2\kappa} a^{in},$$
$$\dot{b} = iga$$

Input-output relation for optics $a^{out} = -a^{in} + \sqrt{2\kappa} a$

Parameters:

- ★ Resolved sideband $\kappa \ll \omega_m$
- ★ Weak coupling $g \sim 10^{-3 \div -1}\kappa$
- ★ Slow mechanical decay $\gamma \sim 10^{-7 \div -4}\kappa$
- ★ Not too hot bath $\gamma n_{th} \leqslant \{g, \kappa\}$

That is,

- ★ mechanical decay can be approximately ignored
- ★ cavity mode can be adiabatically eliminated

$$0 = igb - \kappa a + \sqrt{2\kappa}a^{\text{in}},$$
$$\dot{b} = iga.$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &= igb - \kappa a + \sqrt{2\kappa}a^{\mathrm{in}}, \\
\dot{b} &= iga.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
a &= i\frac{g}{\kappa}b + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\kappa}}a^{\mathrm{in}}, \\
\dot{b} &= -Gb + i\sqrt{2G}a^{\mathrm{in}}, \quad G \equiv g^2/\kappa
\end{aligned}
$$

$$0 = igb - \kappa a + \sqrt{2\kappa}a^{\mathrm{in}},$$
$$\dot{b} = iga.$$

$$a = i\frac{g}{\kappa}b + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\kappa}}a^{\mathrm{in}},$$
$$\dot{b} = -Gb + i\sqrt{2G}a^{\mathrm{in}}, \quad G \equiv g^2/\kappa$$

$$b(\tau) = b(0)e^{-G\tau} + i\sqrt{2G}e^{-G\tau}\int_0^{\tau} dt\, a^{\mathrm{in}}(t)e^{Gt}.$$

$$0 = igb - \kappa a + \sqrt{2\kappa}a^{in},$$
$$\dot{b} = iga.$$

$$a = i\frac{g}{\kappa}b + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\kappa}}a^{in},$$
$$\dot{b} = -Gb + i\sqrt{2G}a^{in}, \quad G \equiv g^2/\kappa$$

$$b(\tau) = b(0)e^{-G\tau} + i\sqrt{2G}e^{-G\tau}\int_0^\tau dt\, a^{in}(t)e^{Gt}.$$

$$a^{out}(t) = -a^{in}(t) + \sqrt{2\kappa}a(t).$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &= igb - \kappa a + \sqrt{2\kappa}a^{\text{in}}, \\
\dot{b} &= iga.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
a = i\frac{g}{\kappa}b + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\kappa}}a^{\text{in}},
$$

$$
\dot{b} = -Gb + i\sqrt{2G}a^{\text{in}}, \quad G \equiv g^2/\kappa
$$

$$
b(\tau) = b(0)e^{-G\tau} + i\sqrt{2G}e^{-G\tau}\int_0^\tau dt\, a^{\text{in}}(t)e^{Gt}.
$$

$$
a^{\text{out}}(t) = a^{\text{in}}(t) + i\sqrt{2G}b(t) = a^{\text{in}}(t) + i\sqrt{2G}\underline{b(0)e^{-Gt}} - 2Ge^{-Gt}\int_0^t d\xi\, a^{\text{in}}(\xi)e^{G\xi}.
$$

$$0 = igb - \kappa a + \sqrt{2\kappa}a^{\mathrm{in}},$$
$$\dot{b} = iga.$$

$$b(\tau) = b(0)e^{-G\tau} + i\sqrt{2G}e^{-G\tau}\int_0^\tau dt\, a^{\mathrm{in}}(t)e^{Gt}.$$

$$a^{\mathrm{out}}(t) = a^{\mathrm{in}}(t) + i\sqrt{2G}b(t) = a^{\mathrm{in}}(t) + i\sqrt{2G}\underline{b(0)e^{-Gt}} - 2Ge^{-Gt}\int_0^t d\xi\, a^{\mathrm{in}}(\xi)e^{G\xi}.$$

$$A^{\mathrm{out}} = \sqrt{\frac{2G}{1-e^{-2G\tau}}}\int_0^\tau d\xi\, a^{\mathrm{out}}(\xi)e^{-G\xi}; \quad [A^{\mathrm{out}}, A^{\mathrm{out}\dagger}] = 1.$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &= igb - \kappa a + \sqrt{2\kappa} a^{\mathrm{in}}, \\
\dot{b} &= iga.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
b(\tau) = b(0) e^{-G\tau} + i\sqrt{2G} e^{-G\tau} \int_0^\tau dt\, a^{\mathrm{in}}(t) e^{Gt}.
$$

$$
A^{\mathrm{out}} = \sqrt{\frac{2G}{1 - e^{-2G\tau}}} \int_0^\tau d\xi\, a^{\mathrm{out}}(\xi) e^{-G\xi}; \quad \left[A^{\mathrm{out}}, A^{\mathrm{out}\dagger}\right] = 1.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\tau dt\, a^{\mathrm{out}}(t) e^{-Gt} = \int_0^\tau dt\, a^{\mathrm{in}}(t) e^{-Gt} + i\sqrt{2G} b(0) \int_0^\tau dt\, e^{-2Gt} \\
- 2G \int_0^\tau dt\, e^{-2Gt} \int_0^t d\xi\, a^{\mathrm{in}}(\xi) e^{G\xi}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &= igb - \kappa a + \sqrt{2\kappa}a^{\mathrm{in}}, \\
\dot{b} &= iga.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$b(\tau) = b(0)e^{-G\tau} + i\sqrt{2G}e^{-G\tau}\int_0^\tau dt\; a^{\mathrm{in}}(t)e^{Gt}.$$

$$A^{\mathrm{out}} = \sqrt{\frac{2G}{1-e^{-2G\tau}}}\int_0^\tau d\xi\; a^{\mathrm{out}}(\xi)e^{-G\xi}; \quad \left[A^{\mathrm{out}}, A^{\mathrm{out}\dagger}\right] = 1.$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\mathrm{out}} &= \sqrt{\frac{2G}{1-e^{-2G\tau}}}\int_0^\tau dt\; a^{\mathrm{out}}(t)e^{-Gt} \\
&= i\sqrt{1-e^{-2G\tau}}b(0) + \sqrt{\frac{2G}{1-e^{-2G\tau}}}e^{-2G\tau}\int_0^\tau dt\; a^{\mathrm{in}}(t)e^{Gt}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$0 = igb - \kappa a + \sqrt{2\kappa}a^{\text{in}},$$
$$\dot{b} = iga.$$

$$b(\tau) = b(0)e^{-G\tau} + i\sqrt{2G}e^{-G\tau}\int_0^\tau dt\, a^{\text{in}}(t)e^{Gt}.$$

$$A^{\text{out}} = \sqrt{\frac{2G}{1-e^{-2G\tau}}}\int_0^\tau d\xi\, a^{\text{out}}(\xi)e^{-G\xi}; \quad \left[A^{\text{out}}, A^{\text{out}\dagger}\right] = 1.$$

$$A^{\text{out}} = \sqrt{\frac{2G}{1-e^{-2G\tau}}}\int_0^\tau dt\, a^{\text{out}}(t)e^{-Gt}$$
$$= i\sqrt{1-e^{-2G\tau}}b(0) + e^{-G\tau}A^{\text{in}}.$$

$$0 = igb - \kappa a + \sqrt{2\kappa}a^{in},$$
$$\dot{b} = iga.$$

$$B^{out} = \sqrt{T}B^{in} + i\sqrt{1-T}A^{in},$$
$$A^{out} = \sqrt{T}A^{in} + i\sqrt{1-T}B^{in}.$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &= igb - \kappa a + \sqrt{2\kappa}a^{\text{in}}, \\
\dot{b} &= iga.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^{\text{out}} &= \sqrt{T}B^{\text{in}} + i\sqrt{1-T}A^{\text{in}}, \\
A^{\text{out}} &= \sqrt{T}A^{\text{in}} + i\sqrt{1-T}B^{\text{in}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
B^{\text{in}} = b(0); \quad B^{\text{out}} = b(\tau),
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\text{out}} &= \sqrt{\frac{2G}{1-e^{-2G\tau}}} \int_0^\tau dt\, a^{\text{out}}(t) e^{-Gt} \\
A^{\text{in}} &= \sqrt{\frac{2G}{e^{2G\tau}-1}} \int_0^\tau d\xi\, a^{\text{in}}(\xi) e^{G\xi},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
T \equiv e^{-2G\tau}, \quad G = g^2/\kappa.
$$

Pulsed State Swap





Pulsed Entanglement

Blue tuning (to the upper sideband, $\omega_p = \omega_{cav} + \omega_m$).

$$H = -\hbar g(ab + a^\dagger b^\dagger)$$

In a similar fashion, assuming no thermal decoherence and adiabatic elimination of cavity mode,

$$A^{out} = \sqrt{K}A^{in} + i\sqrt{K-1}B^{in\dagger},$$
$$B^{out} = \sqrt{K}B^{in} + i\sqrt{K-1}A^{in\dagger},$$

Two-Mode Squeezed State (ideally, vacuum: TMSV).

$$A^{in} = \sqrt{\frac{2G}{1-e^{-2G\tau}}}\int_0^\tau dt\; a^{in}(t)e^{-Gt},$$
$$A^{out} = \sqrt{\frac{2G}{e^{2G\tau}-1}}\int_0^\tau dt\; a^{out}(t)e^{Gt}.$$

The Protocol

Classical pump $@\omega_{\mathrm{p}}$, quantum cavity mode $@\omega_{\mathrm{cav}}$, mechanical mode $@\omega_{\mathrm{m}}$.
In the rotating frame we deal with slow amplitudes
Pump power $\mapsto g(t) \mapsto$ Temporal mode profile
For nice exponential envelopes assume constant pump

Blue detuning $\omega_{\mathrm{p}} = \omega_{\mathrm{cav}} + \omega_{\mathrm{m}}$	Red detuning $\omega_{\mathrm{p}} = \omega_{\mathrm{cav}} - \omega_{\mathrm{m}}$
Two-Mode squeezing interaction	State swap interaction



Evaluation

[Palomaki,2013] following [Hofer, 2011] evaluate the Duan's variance:



Evaluation

[Palomaki,2013] following [Hofer, 2011] evaluate the Duan's variance:



Evaluation

[Palomaki,2013] following [Hofer, 2011] evaluate the Duan's variance:

By expressing Eqs. (7) in terms of quadratures $X_{\rm m}^i = (B_i + B_i^\dagger)/\sqrt{2}$ and $X_{\rm l}^i = (A_i + A_i^\dagger)/\sqrt{2}$, where $i \in \{\text{in}, \text{out}\}$, and their corresponding conjugate variables, we can calculate the so-called EPR variance $\Delta_{\rm EPR}$ of the state after the interaction. For light initially in vacuum $(\Delta X_{\rm l}^{\rm in})^2 = (\Delta P_{\rm l}^{\rm in})^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and the mirror in a thermal state $(\Delta X_{\rm m}^{\rm in})^2 = (\Delta P_{\rm m}^{\rm in})^2 = n_0 + \frac{1}{2}$, the state is entangled iff [52]

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\rm EPR} &= \left[\Delta\left(X_{\rm m}^{\rm out} + P_{\rm l}^{\rm out}\right)\right]^2 + \left[\Delta\left(P_{\rm m}^{\rm out} + X_{\rm l}^{\rm out}\right)\right]^2 \\ &= 2(n_0 + 1)\left(e^r - \sqrt{e^{2r} - 1}\right)^2 < 2, \qquad (8)\end{aligned}$$

Evaluation

[Palomaki,2013] following [Hofer, 2011] evaluate the Duan's variance:

$$\hat{u} = |a|\hat{x}_1 + \frac{1}{a}\hat{x}_2 ,$$

$$\hat{v} = |a|\hat{p}_1 - \frac{1}{a}\hat{p}_2 ,$$

Theorem 1.–Sufficient criterion for inseparability: For any separable quantum state ρ, the total variance of a pair of EPR-like operators defined by Eqs. (2a) and (2b) with the commutators $[\hat{x}_j, \hat{p}_{j'}] = i\delta_{jj'}$ $(j, j' = 1, 2)$ satisfies the inequality

$$\langle(\Delta\hat{u})^2\rangle_\rho + \langle(\Delta\hat{v})^2\rangle_\rho \geq a^2 + \frac{1}{a^2} . \qquad (3)$$

Evaluation

[Palomaki,2013] following [Hofer, 2011] evaluate the Duan's variance:

$$\hat{u} = |a|\hat{x}_1 + \frac{1}{a}\hat{x}_2 ,$$

A proper criterion has to be applied!

Either the properly generalized Duan variance or logarighmic negativity

Theorem 1.—Sufficient criterion for inseparability: For any separable quantum state ρ, the total variance of a pair of EPR-like operators defined by Eqs. (2a) and (2b) with the commutators $[\hat{x}_j, \hat{p}_{j'}] = i\delta_{jj'}$ $(j, j' = 1, 2)$ satisfies the inequality

$$\langle(\Delta\hat{u})^2\rangle_\rho + \langle(\Delta\hat{v})^2\rangle_\rho \geq a^2 + \frac{1}{a^2} . \qquad (3)$$

Introduction

Optomechanics

Pulsed Optomechanics

Gaussian Entanglement

Gaussian Entanglement with Pulsed Optomechanics

Continuous Variables Systems

Each mode is described by annihilation operator a_k:

$$\left[a_i, a_j^\dagger\right] = \delta_{ij}; \quad [a_i, a_j] = \left[a_i^\dagger, a_j^\dagger\right] = 0.$$

Or quadratures

$$x_k = a_k + a_k^\dagger; \quad p_k = (a_k - a_k^\dagger)/i,$$

which form the vector

$$\mathbf{R} = \{x_1, p_1, x_2, p_2, \ldots, x_N, p_N\}.$$

$$[R_i, R_j] = 2i\Omega_{ij}; \quad \Omega_{ij} = \oplus_{k=1}^N \omega; \quad \omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Gaussian states: $\langle \mathbf{R} \rangle$ and covariance matrix

$$\mathbb{V}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \{(R_i - \langle R_i \rangle), (R_j - \langle R_j \rangle)\} \rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \langle R_i R_j + R_j R_i \rangle \,, \text{ if } \mathbf{R} = 0.$$

Bipartite Gaussian System

$$\mathbf{R} = (x_1, p_1, x_2, p_2), \quad [x_1, p_1] = 2i; [x_2, p_2] = 2i;$$

Assuming $\langle \mathbf{R} \rangle = 0$,

$$\mathbb{V} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} \langle x_1^2 \rangle & \langle x_1 \circ p_1 \rangle & \langle x_1 \circ x_2 \rangle & \langle x_1 \circ p_2 \rangle \\ \langle p_1 \circ x_1 \rangle & \langle p_1^2 \rangle & \langle p_1 \circ x_2 \rangle & \langle p_1 \circ p_2 \rangle \\ \hline \langle x_2 \circ x_1 \rangle & \langle x_2 \circ p_1 \rangle & \langle x_2^2 \rangle & \langle x_2 \circ p_2 \rangle \\ \langle p_2 \circ x_1 \rangle & \langle p_2 \circ p_1 \rangle & \langle p_2 \circ x_2 \rangle & \langle p_2^2 \rangle \end{array} \right), \qquad \text{where } a \circ b \equiv \tfrac{1}{2}(ab + ba).$$

Bipartite Gaussian System

$$\mathbf{R} = (x_1, p_1, x_2, p_2), \quad [x_1, p_1] = 2i; [x_2, p_2] = 2i;$$

Assuming $\langle \mathbf{R} \rangle = 0$,

$$\mathbb{V} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} \langle x_1^2 \rangle & \langle x_1 \circ p_1 \rangle & \langle x_1 \circ x_2 \rangle & \langle x_1 \circ p_2 \rangle \\ \langle p_1 \circ x_1 \rangle & \langle p_1^2 \rangle & \langle p_1 \circ x_2 \rangle & \langle p_1 \circ p_2 \rangle \\ \hline \langle x_2 \circ x_1 \rangle & \langle x_2 \circ p_1 \rangle & \langle x_2^2 \rangle & \langle x_2 \circ p_2 \rangle \\ \langle p_2 \circ x_1 \rangle & \langle p_2 \circ p_1 \rangle & \langle p_2 \circ x_2 \rangle & \langle p_2^2 \rangle \end{array}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & V_c \\ V_c^T & V_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Symplectic Transformations

Transformation $\mathbf{R} \mapsto S\mathbf{R}$ is symplectic, if

$$S^T \Omega S = \Omega$$

Important symplectic transformation diagonalizes the CM

$$\mathbb{V} = S^T \mathbb{N} S; \quad \mathbb{N} = \mathrm{diag}(\nu_1, \nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_2, \dots).$$

ν_k: symplectic eigenvalues
A physical state has all $\nu_k \geqslant 1$ (shot-noise variance).

Symplectic Transformations

Transformation $\mathbf{R} \mapsto S\mathbf{R}$ is symplectic, if

$$S^{\mathsf{T}} \Omega S = \Omega$$

Important symplectic transformation diagonalizes the CM

$$\mathbb{V} = S^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbb{N} S; \quad \mathbb{N} = \mathrm{diag}(\nu_1, \nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_2, \dots).$$

ν_k: symplectic eigenvalues
A physical state has all $\nu_k \geqslant 1$ (shot-noise variance).

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{vac}} \equiv \left\langle 0 \middle| x^2 \middle| 0 \right\rangle = \left\langle (a + a^\dagger)^2 \right\rangle_{|0\rangle} = 1.$$

If e.g. define $x = (a + a^\dagger)/\sqrt{2}$, then $\sigma_{\mathrm{vac}} = 1/2$.

Entanglement and Partial Transposition

A bipartite state ρ is separable if (otherwise, entangled)

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_i p_i \hat{\rho}_i^A \otimes \hat{\rho}_i^B$$

Entanglement is a resource etc.

Entanglement and Partial Transposition

A bipartite state ρ is separable if (otherwise, entangled)

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_i p_i \hat{\rho}_i^A \otimes \hat{\rho}_i^B$$

Partial Transposition

If $\hat{\rho}$ is physical, so is $\hat{\rho}^T$
Idea: check transposition of a subsystem (Peres-Horodecki)

$$\hat{\rho}^{T_B} = \sum_i p_i \hat{\rho}_i^A \otimes (\hat{\rho}_i^B)^T$$

If $\hat{\rho}^{T_B}$ is physical, the state is separable

Partial Transposition and CM

In a CV system partial transposition is equivalent to reversing time:

$$x \to x; \quad p \to -p$$

Criterion of physicality: all symplectic eigenvalues $\nu_k \geqslant 1$.

$$\mathbb{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & V_c \\ V_c^T & V_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Partial Transposition and CM

In a CV system partial transposition is equivalent to reversing time:

$$x \to x; \quad p \to -p$$

Criterion of physicality: all symplectic eigenvalues $\nu_k \geqslant 1$.

$$\mathbb{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & V_c \\ V_c^T & V_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\nu_\pm =$

Opto- (Electro-) Mechanical Entanglement



Pulses Entanglement



Conclusion

Robust Entanglement Is Robust

Спасибо!

We are searching for Ph. D. students.
Contact me at andrey.rakhubovsky@gmail.com

These slides: http://bit.ly/spbu-slides