

Object-Oriented Programming in C#

WHAT'S IN THIS CHAPTER?

- Using inheritance with classes and records
- ➤ Working with access modifiers
- Using interfaces
- Working with default interface methods
- Using dependency injection
- Using generics

CODE DOWNLOADS FOR THIS CHAPTER

The source code for this chapter is available on the book page at www.wiley.com. Click the Downloads link. The code can also be found at https://github.com/ProfessionalCSharp/ProfessionalCSharp2021 in the directory 1_CS/ObjectOrientation.

The code for this chapter is divided into the following major examples:

- VirtualMethods
- AbstractClasses
- ➤ InheritanceWithConstructors
- RecordsInheritance
- UsingInterfaces
- DefaultInterfaceMethods
- GenericTypes
- GenericTypesWithConstraints

All the projects have nullable reference types enabled.

OBJECT ORIENTATION

C# is not a pure object-oriented programming language because it offers multiple programming paradigms. However, object orientation is an important concept with C#; it's a core principle of all the libraries offered by .NET.

The three most important concepts of object orientation are *inheritance*, encapsulation, and polymorphism. Chapter 3, "Classes, Records, Structs, and Tuples," talks about creating individual types to arrange properties, methods, and fields. When members of a type are declared private, they cannot be accessed from the outside. They are *encapsulated* within the type. This chapter covers inheritance and polymorphism and extends encapsulation features with inheritance.

The previous chapter explained all the members of a type. This chapter explains how to use inheritance to enhance base types, how to create a hierarchy of classes, and how polymorphism works with C#. It also describes all the C# keywords related to inheritance, shows how to use interfaces as contracts for dependency injection, and covers default interface methods that allow implementations with interfaces.

INHERITANCE WITH CLASSES

If you want to declare that a class derives from another class, use the following syntax:

```
class MyDerivedClass: MyBaseClass
  // members
```

NOTE If you do not specify a base class in a class definition, the base class will be System. Object.

Let's get into an example to define a base class Shape. Something that's common with shapes—no matter whether they are rectangles or ellipses—is that they have position and size. For position and size, corresponding records are defined that are contained within the Shape class. The Shape class defines read-only properties Position and Size that are initialized using auto properties with property initializers (code file VirtualMethods/Shape.cs):

```
public class Position
  public int X { get; set; }
  public int Y { get; set; }
public class Size
  public int Width { get; set; }
  public int Height { get; set; }
public class Shape
  public Position Position { get; } = new Position();
  public Size Size { get; } = new Size();
```

NOTE With the shapes sample, the Position and Size objects are contained within an object of the Shape class. This is the concept of composition. The Rectangle and Ellipse classes derive from the base class Shape. This is inheritance.

Virtual Methods

By declaring a base class method as virtual, you allow the method to be overridden in any derived classes.

The following code snippet shows the <code>DisplayShape</code> method that is declared with the <code>virtual</code> modifier. This method is <code>invoked</code> by the <code>Draw</code> method of the <code>Shape</code>. Virtual methods can be <code>public</code> or <code>protected</code>. The access modifier cannot be changed when overriding this method in a derived class. Because the <code>Draw</code> method has a <code>public</code> access modifier, this method can be used from the outside when using the <code>Shape</code> or when using <code>any class deriving</code> from <code>Shape</code>. The <code>Draw</code> method cannot be overridden as it <code>doesn't</code> have the <code>virtual modifier</code> applied (code file <code>VirtualMethods/Shape.cs</code>):

```
public class Shape
{
  public void Draw() => DisplayShape();

  protected virtual void DisplayShape()
  {
    Console.WriteLine($"Shape with {Position} and {Size}");
  }
}
```

NOTE All the C# access modifiers are discussed later in this chapter in detail.

You also may declare a property as virtual. For a virtual or overridden property, the syntax is the same as for a nonvirtual property, with the exception of the keyword virtual, which is added to the definition:

```
public virtual Size Size { get; set; }
```

For simplicity, the following discussion focuses mainly on methods, but it applies equally well to properties.

Methods that are declared virtual can be overridden in a derived class. To declare a method that overrides a method from a base class, use the override keyword (code file VirtualMethods/ConcreteShapes.cs):

```
public class Rectangle : Shape
{
    protected override void DisplayShape()
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Rectangle at position {Position} with size {Size}");
    }
}
```

Virtual functions offer a core feature of OOP: *polymorphism*. With virtual functions, the decision of which method to invoke is delayed during runtime. The compiler creates a *virtual method table* (vtable) that lists the methods that can be invoked during runtime, and it invokes the method based on the type at runtime.

For performance reasons, in C#, functions are not virtual by default. For nonvirtual functions, the vtable is not needed, and the compiler directly addresses the method that's invoked.

The Size and Position types override the ToString method. This method is declared as virtual in the base class Object (code file VirtualMethods/ConcreteShapes.cs):

```
public class Position
{
  public int X { get; set; }
  public int Y { get; set; }

  public override string ToString() => $"X: {X}, Y: {Y}";
}

public class Size
{
  public int Width { get; set; }
  public int Height { get; set; }

  public override string ToString() => $"Width: {Width}, Height: {Height}";
}
```

Before C# 9, there was the rule that, when overriding methods of the base class, the signature (all parameter types and the method name) and the return type must match exactly. If you want different parameters, you need to create a new member that does not override the base member.

With C# 9, there's a small change to this rule: when overriding methods, the return type might differ, but only to return a type that derives from the return type of the base class. One example where this can be used is to create a type-safe Clone method. The Shape class defines a virtual Clone method that returns a Shape (code file VirtualMethods/Shape.cs):

```
public virtual Shape Clone() => throw new NotImplementedException();
```

The Rectangle class overrides this method to return a Rectangle type instead of the base class Shape by creating a new instance and copying all the values from the existing instance to the newly created one:

```
public override Rectangle Clone()
{
  Rectangle r = new();
  r.Position.X = Position.X;
  r.Position.Y = Position.Y;
  r.Size.Width = Size.Width;
  r.Size.Height = Size.Width;
  return r;
}
```

In the top-level statements of the Program. cs file, a rectangle and an ellipse are instantiated, properties are set, and the rectangle is cloned by invoking the virtual Clone method. Finally, the DisplayShapes method is invoked passing all the different created shapes. The Draw method of the Shape class is invoked to, in turn, invoke the overridden methods of the derived types. In this code snippet, you also see the Ellipse class used; this is similar to the Rectangle type, deriving from Shape (code file VirtualMethods/Program.cs):

```
Rectangle r1 = new();
r1.Position.X = 33;
r1.Position.Y = 22;
r1.Size.Width = 200;
r1.Size.Height = 100;
```

```
Rectangle r2 = r1.Clone();
r2.Position.X = 300;

Ellipse e1 = new();
e1.Position.X = 122;
e1.Position.Y = 200;
e1.Size.Width = 40;
e1.Size.Height = 20;

DisplayShapes(r1, r2, e1);

void DisplayShapes(params Shape[] shapes)
{
  foreach (var shape in shapes)
  {
    shape.Draw();
  }
}
```

Run the program to see the output of the Draw method coming from the implementation of the overridden Rectangle and Shape DisplayShape methods:

```
Rectangle at position X: 33, Y: 22 with size Width: 200, Height: 100 Rectangle at position X: 300, Y: 22 with size Width: 200, Height: 200 Ellipse at position X: 122, Y: 200 with size Width: 40, Height: 20
```

NOTE Neither member fields nor static methods can be declared as virtual. The concept of virtual members doesn't make sense for anything other than instance function members.

Hiding Methods

If a method with the same signature is declared in both base and derived classes, but the methods are not declared with the modifiers virtual and override, respectively, then the derived class version is said to *hide* the base class version.

For hiding methods, you can use the new keyword as a modifier with the method declaration. In most cases, you would want to override methods rather than hide them. By hiding them, you risk calling the wrong method for a given class instance. However, as shown in the following example, C# syntax is designed to ensure that the developer is warned at compile time about this potential problem, thus making it safer to hide methods if that is your intention. This also has versioning benefits for developers of class libraries.

Suppose that you have a class called Shape in a class library:

```
public class Shape
{
    // various members
}
```

At some point in the future, you write a derived class Ellipse that adds some functionality to the Shape base class. In particular, you add a method called MoveBy, which is not present in the base class:

```
public class Ellipse: Shape
{
   public void MoveBy(int x, int y)
```

```
Position.X += x:
    Position.Y += V;
}
```

At some later time, the developer of the base class decides to extend the functionality of the base class and, by coincidence, adds a method that is also called MoveBy and that has the same name and signature as yours; however, it probably doesn't do the same thing. This new method might be declared virtual or not.

If you recompile the derived class, you get a compiler warning because of a potential method clash. The application is still working, and where you've written code to invoke the MoveBy method using the Ellipse class, the method you've written is invoked. Hiding a method is the default behavior to avoid breaking changes when adding methods to a base class.

To get rid of the compilation error, you need to add the new modifier to the MoveBy method. The code the compiler is creating with or without the new modifier is the same; you just get rid of the compiler warning and flag this as a new method—a different one from the base class:

```
public class Ellipse: Shape
  new public void MoveBy(int x, int y)
    Position.X += x;
    Position.Y += y;
  //...
```

Instead of using the new keyword, you can also rename the method or override the method of the base class if it is declared virtual and serves the same purpose. However, if other methods already invoke this method, a simple rename can lead to breaking other code.

NOTE You shouldn't use the new method modifier to hide members of the base class deliberately. The main purpose of this modifier is to deal with version conflicts and react to changes on base classes after the derived class was done.

Calling Base Versions of Methods

If a derived class overrides or hides a method in its base class, then it can invoke the base class version of the method by using the base keyword. For example, in the base class Shape, the virtual Move method is declared to change the actual position and write some information to the console. This method should be called from the derived class Rectangle to use the implementation from the base class (code file VirtualMethods/Shape.cs):

```
public class Shape
  public virtual void Move(Position newPosition)
    Position.X = newPosition.X;
    Position.Y = newPosition.Y;
    Console.WriteLine($"moves to {Position}");
  //...
```

The Move method is overridden in the Rectangle class to add the term Rectangle to the console. After this text is written, the method of the base class is invoked using the base keyword (code file VirtualMethods/ ConcreteShapes.cs):

```
public class Rectangle: Shape
  public override void Move (Position newPosition)
    Console.Write("Rectangle ");
   base. Move (newPosition);
  //...
```

Now move the rectangle to a new position (code file VirtualMethods/Program.cs):

```
r1.Move (new Position { X = 120, Y = 40 });
```

Run the application to see output that is a result of the Move method in the Rectangle and the Shape classes:

```
Rectangle moves to X: 120, Y: 40
```

NOTE Using the base keyword, you can invoke any method of the base class—not just the method that is overridden.

Abstract Classes and Methods

C# allows both classes and methods to be declared as abstract. An abstract class cannot be instantiated, whereas an abstract method does not have an implementation and must be overridden in any nonabstract derived class. Obviously, an abstract method is automatically virtual. If any class contains any abstract methods, that class is also abstract and must be declared as such.

Let's change the Shape class to be abstract. Instead of throwing a NotImplementedException, the Clone method is now declared abstract, and thus it can't have any implementation in the Shape class (code file AbstractClasses/Shape.cs):

```
public abstract class Shape
  public abstract Shape Clone(); // abstract method
```

When deriving a type from the abstract base class that itself is not abstract, it's a concrete type. With a concreate class it is necessary to implement all abstract members. Otherwise, the compiler complains (code file AbstractClasses/ConcreteShapes.cs):

```
public class Rectangle : Shape
  //...
  public override Rectangle Clone()
   Rectangle r = new();
   r.Position.X = Position.X:
    r.Position.Y = Position.Y;
    r.Size.Width = Size.Width;
   r.Size.Height = Size.Width;
    return r;
```

Using the abstract Shape class and the derived Ellipse class, you can declare a variable of a Shape. You cannot instantiate it, but you can instantiate an Ellipse and assign it to the Shape variable (code file AbstractClasses/Program.cs):

```
Shape s1 = new Ellipse();
s1.Draw();
```

Sealed Classes and Methods

If you don't want to allow other classes to derive from your class, your class should be sealed. Adding the sealed modifier to a class doesn't allow you to create a subclass of it. Sealing a method means it's not possible to override this method.

```
sealed class FinalClass
class DerivedClass: FinalClass // wrong. Cannot derive from sealed class.
  //...
```

The most likely situation in which you'll mark a class or method as sealed is if the class or method is internal to the operation of the library, class, or other classes that you are writing. Overriding methods could lead to instability of the code. When you seal the class, you make sure that overriding is not possible.

There's another reason to seal classes. With a sealed class, the compiler knows that derived classes are not possible, and thus the virtual table used for virtual methods can be reduced or eliminated, which can increase performance. The string class is sealed. I haven't seen a single application that doesn't use strings, so it's best to have this type as performant as possible. Making the class sealed is a good hint for the compiler.

Declaring a method as sealed serves a purpose similar to that for a class. The method can be an overridden method from a base class, but in the following example, the compiler knows another class cannot extend the virtual table for this method; it ends here.

```
class MyClass: MyBaseClass
 public sealed override void FinalMethod()
    // implementation
class DerivedClass: MyClass
 public override void FinalMethod() // wrong. Will give compilation error
```

To use the sealed keyword on a method or property, the member must have first been overridden from a base class. If you do not want a method or property in a base class overridden, then don't mark it as virtual.

Constructors of Derived Classes

Chapter 3 discusses how constructors can be applied to individual classes. An interesting question arises as to what happens when you start defining your own constructors for classes that are part of a hierarchy, inherited from other classes that may also have custom constructors.

In the sample application that uses shapes, so far, custom constructors have not been specified. The compiler creates a default constructor automatically to initialize all members to null or 0 (depending on whether the types are reference or value types) or uses the code from specified property initializers to add these to the default constructor. Now, let's change the implementation to create immutable types and define custom constructors to initialize their values. The Position, Size, and Shape classes are changed to specify read-only properties, and the constructors are changed to initialize the properties. The Shape class is still abstract, which doesn't allow creating instances of this type (code file InheritanceWithConstructors/Shape.cs):

```
public class Position
  public Position (int x, int y) => (X, Y) = (x, y);
  public int X { get; }
 public int Y { get; }
 public override string ToString() => $"X: {X}, Y: {Y}";
public class Size
  public Size(int width, int height) => (Width, Height) = (width, height);
 public int Width { get; }
 public int Height { get; }
 public override string ToString() => $"Width: {Width}, Height: {Height}";
public abstract class Shape
 public Shape(int x, int y, int width, int height)
   Position = new Position(x, y);
   Size = new Size (width, height);
  public Position Position { get; }
 public virtual Size Size { get; }
 public void Draw() => DisplayShape();
  protected virtual void DisplayShape()
    Console.WriteLine($"Shape with {Position} and {Size}");
  public abstract Shape Clone();
```

Now the Rectangle and Ellipse types need to be changed as well. Because the Shape class doesn't have a parameterless constructor, the compiler complains because it cannot automatically invoke the constructor of the base class. A custom constructor is required here as well.

With the new implementation of the Ellipse class, a constructor is defined to supply the position and size for the shape. To invoke the constructor from the base class, such as invoking methods of the base class, you use the base keyword, but you just can't use the base keyword in the block of the constructor body. Instead, you need to

use the base keyword in the constructor initializer and pass the required arguments. The Clone method can now be simplified to invoke the constructor to create a new Ellipse object by forwarding the values from the existing object (code file InheritanceWithConstructors/ConcreteShapes.cs):

```
public class Ellipse : Shape
  public Ellipse(int x, int y, int width, int height)
    : base(x, y, width, height) { }
 protected override void DisplayShape()
    Console.WriteLine($"Ellipse at position {Position} with size {Size}");
 public override Ellipse Clone() =>
    new(Position.X, Position.Y, Size.Width, Size.Height);
```

NOTE Chapter 3 covers constructor initializers with the this keyword to invoke other constructors of the same class. To invoke constructors of the base class, you use the base key-

MODIFIERS

You have already encountered quite a number of so-called modifiers—keywords that can be applied to a type or a member. Modifiers can indicate the visibility of a method, such as public or private, or the nature of an item, such as whether a method is virtual or abstract. C# has a number of modifiers, and at this point it's worth taking a minute to provide the complete list.

Access Modifiers

Access modifiers indicate which other code items can access an item.

You can use all the access modifiers with members of a type. The public and internal access modifiers can also be applied to the type itself. With nested types (types that are specified within types), you can apply all access modifiers. In regard to access modifiers, nested types are members of the outer type, such as those shown in the following code snippet where the OuterType is declared with the public access modifier, and the type InnerType has the protected access modifier applied. With the protected access modifier, the InnerType can be accessed from the members of the OuterType, and all types that derive from the OuterType:

```
public class OuterType
  protected class InnerType
    // members of the inner type
  // more members of the outer type
```

The public access modifier is the most open one; everyone has access to a class or a member that has the public access modifier applied. The private access modifier is the most restrictive one. Members with this access modifier can be used only within the class where the modifier is used. The protected access modifier is in between these access restrictions. In addition to the private access modifier, it allows access to all types that derive from the type where the protected access modifier is used.

The internal access modifier is different. This access modifier has the scope of the assembly. All the types defined within the same assembly have access to members and types where the internal access modifier is used.

If you do not supply an access modifier with a type, by default internal access is specified. You can use this type only within the same assembly.

The protected internal access modifier is a combination of protected and internal—combining these access modifiers with OR. protected internal members can be used from any type in the same assembly or from types from another assembly if an inheritance relationship is used. With the intermediate language (IL) code, this is known as famorassem (family or assembly)—family for the protected C# keyword and assembly for the internal keyword. famandassem is also available with the IL code. Because of the demand for an AND combination, the C# team had some issues finding a good name for this, and finally it was decided to use private protected to restrict access from within the assembly to types that have an inheritance relationship but no types from any other assembly.

The following table lists all the access modifiers and their uses:

MODIFIER	APPLIES TO	DESCRIPTION
public	Any types or members	The item is visible to <mark>any other code</mark> .
protected	Any member of a <mark>type</mark> and any <mark>nested type</mark>	The item is visible only to the type and any derived type.
(internal)	Any types or members	The item is visible only within its containing assembly.
private	Any member of a type, and any nested type	The item is visible only inside the type to which it belongs.
protected internal	Any member of a type and any nested type	The item is visible to any code within its containing assembly and to any code inside a derived type.
private protected	Any members of a type and any nested type	The item is visible to the type and any derived type that is specified within the containing assembly.

Other Modifiers

The modifiers in the following table can be applied to members of types and have various uses. A few of these modifiers also make sense when applied to types:

MODIFIER	APPLIES TO	DESCRIPTION
new	Function members	The member hides an inherited member with the same signature.
static	All members	The member does not operate on a specific instance of the class. This is also known as <i>class member</i> instead of instance member.
virtual	Function members only	The member can be overridden by a derived class.

(continued)

MODIFIER	APPLIES TO	DESCRIPTION
abstract	Function members only	A virtual member that defines the signature of the member but doesn't provide an implementation.
override	Function members only	The member overrides an inherited virtual or abstract member.
sealed	Classes, methods, and properties	For classes, the class cannot be inherited from. For properties and methods, the member overrides an inherited virtual member but cannot be overridden by any members in any derived classes. This must be used in conjunction with override.
extern	Static [DllImport] methods only	The member is implemented externally, in a different language. The use of this keyword is explained in Chapter 13, "Managed and Unmanaged Memory."

INHERITANCE WITH RECORDS

Chapter 3 discusses a new feature with C# 9: records. Behind the scenes, records are classes. However, you cannot derive a record from a class (other than the object type), and a class cannot derive from a record. However, records can derive from other records.

Let's change the shapes sample to use positional records. With the following code snippet, Position and Size are records that contain x, y, width, and Height properties with set init-only accessors as specified by the primary constructor. Shape is an abstract record with Position and Size properties, a Draw method, and a virtual DisplayShape method. As with classes, you can use modifiers with records, such as abstract and virtual. The previously specified Clone method is not needed with records because this is created automatically using the record keyword (code file RecordsInheritance/Shape.cs):

```
public record Position(int X, int Y);
public record Size (int Width, int Height);
public abstract record Shape(Position Position, Size Size)
  public void Draw() => DisplayShape();
  protected virtual void DisplayShape()
    Console.WriteLine($"Shape with {Position} and {Size}");
```

The Rectangle record derives from the Shape record. With the primary constructor syntax used with the Rectangle type, derivation from Shape passes the same values to the primary constructor of the Shape. Similar to the Rectangle class created earlier, in the Rectangle record, the DisplayShape method is overridden (code file RecordsInheritance/ConcreteShapes.cs):

```
public record Rectangle (Position Position, Size Size): Shape (Position, Size)
  protected override void DisplayShape()
```

```
Console.WriteLine($"Rectangle at position {Position} with size {Size}");
```

With the top-level statements in the Program.cs file, a Rectangle and an Ellipse are created using primary constructors. The implementation of the Ellipse record is similar to the Rectangle record. The first rectangle created is cloned by using the built-in functionality, and with the new Rectangle, the Position property is set to a new value using the with expression. The with expression

makes use of the init-only set accessors created from the primary constructor (code file RecordsInheritance/Program.cs):

```
Rectangle r1 = new(new Position(33, 22), new Size(200, 100));
Rectangle r2 = r1 with { Position = new Position(100, 22) };
Ellipse e1 = new (new Position (122, 200), new Size (40, 20));
DisplayShapes(r1, r2, e1);
void DisplayShapes (params Shape [] shapes)
  foreach (var shape in shapes)
    shape.Draw();
```

NOTE With future C# versions, the inheritance with records might be relaxed to allow inheritance from classes.

USING INTERFACES

A class can derive from one class, and a record can derive from one record; you cannot use multiple inheritance with classes and records. You can use interfaces to bring multiple inheritance into C#. Both classes and records can implement multiple interfaces. Also, one interface can inherit from multiple interfaces.

Before C# 8, an interface never had any implementation. In the versions since C# 8, you can create an implementation with interfaces, but this is very different from the implementation with classes and records; interfaces cannot keep state, so fields or automatic properties are not possible. Because method implementation is only an additional feature of interfaces, let's keep this discussion for later in this chapter and first focus on the contract aspect of interfaces.

Predefined Interfaces

Let's take a look at some predefined interfaces and how they are used with .NET. Some C# keywords are even designed to work with particular predefined interfaces. The using statement and the using declaration (covered in detail in Chapter 13) use the TDisposable interface. This interface defines the method Dispose without any arguments and without return type. A class deriving from this interface needs to implement this Dispose method:

```
public IDisposable
  void Dispose();
```

The using statement uses this interface. You can use this statement with any class (here, the Resource class) implementing this interface:

```
using (Resource resource = new())
  // use the resource
```

The compiler converts the using statement to this code to invoke the Dispose method in the finally block of the try/finally statement:

```
Resource resource = new();
try
  // use the resource
finally
 resource.Dispose();
```

NOTE The try/finally block is covered in Chapter 10, "Errors and Exceptions."

Another example where an interface is used with a language keyword is the foreach statement that's using the IEnumerator and IEnumerable interfaces. This code snippet

```
string[] names = { "James", "Jack", "Jochen" };
foreach (var name in names)
  Console.WriteLine(name);
```

is converted to access the GetEnumerator method of the IEnumerable interface and uses a while loop to access the MoveNext method and the Current property of the IEnumerator interface:

```
string[] names = { "James", "Jack", "Jochen" };
var enumerator = names.GetEnumerator();
while (enumerator.MoveNext())
 var name = enumerator.Current;
  Console.WriteLine(name);
```

NOTE Creating a custom implementation of the IEnumerable and IEnumerator interfaces with the help of the yield statement is covered in Chapter 6, "Arrays."

Let's look at an example where an interface is used from a .NET class, and you can easily implement this interface. The interface IComparable T> defines the CompareTo method to sort objects of the type you need to specify with the generic parameter T. This interface is used by various classes in .NET to order objects of any type:

```
public interface IComparable<in T>
```

```
int CompareTo(T? other);
```

With the following code snippet, the record Person implements this interface specifying Person as a generic parameter. Person specifies the properties FirstName and LastName. The CompareTo method is defined to return 0 if both values (this and other) are the same, a value lower than 0 if this object should come before the other object, and a value greater than 0 if other should be first. Because the string type also implements IComparable, this implementation is used to compare the LastName properties. If the comparison on the last name returns 0, a comparison is done on the FirstName property as well (code file UsingInterfaces/ Person.cs):

```
public record Person(string FirstName, string LastName): IComparable<Person>
  public int CompareTo(Person? other)
    int compare = LastName. CompareTo (other?.LastName);
    if (compare is 0)
      return FirstName. CompareTo (other? . FirstName);
    return compare;
```

With the top-level statements in Program.cs, three Person records are created within an array, and the array's Sort method is used to sort the elements in the array (code file UsingInterfaces/Program.cs):

```
Person p1 = new("Jackie", "Stewart");
Person p2 = new("Graham", "Hill");
Person p3 = new("Damon", "Hill");
Person[] people = { p1, p2, p3 };
Array. Sort (people);
foreach (var p in people)
  Console.WriteLine(p);
```

Running the application shows the ToString output of the record type in a sorted order:

```
Person { FirstName = Damon, LastName = Hill }
Person { FirstName = Graham, LastName = Hill }
Person { FirstName = Jackie, LastName = Stewart }
```

Interfaces can act as a contract. The record Person implements the IComparable contract that is used by the Sort method of the Array class. The Array class just needs to know the contract definition (the members of the interface) to know what it can use.

Dependency Injection with Interfaces

Let's create a custom interface. With the shapes sample, the Shape and Rectangle types used the Console. WriteLine method to write a message to the console:

```
protected virtual void DisplayShape()
  Console.WriteLine($"Shape with {Position} and {Size}");
}
```

This way, the method DisplayShape has a strong dependency on the Console class. To make this implementation independent of the Console class and to write to either the console or a file, you can define a contract such as the <u>ILogger interface</u> in the following code snippet. This interface specifies the <u>Log method</u> where a string can be passed as an argument (code file UsingInterfaces/ILogger.cs):

```
public interface ILogger
  void Log(string message);
```

A new version of the Shape class uses constructor injection where the interface is injected into an object of this class. In the constructor, the object passed with the parameter is assigned to the read-only property Logger. With the implementation of the DisplayShape method, the property of type ILogger is used to write a message (code file UsingInterfaces/Shape.cs):

```
public abstract class Shape
  public Shape(ILogger logger)
    Logger = logger;
  protected ILogger Logger { get; }
  public Position? Position { get; init; }
  public Size? Size { get; init; }
  public void Draw() => DisplayShape();
 protected virtual void DisplayShape()
    Logger.Log($ "Shape with {Position} and {Size}");
```

With a concrete implementation of the abstract Shape class, in the constructor, the ILogger interface is forwarded to the constructor of the base class. With the DisplayShape method, the protected property Logger is used from the base class (code file UsingInterfaces/ConcreteShapes.cs):

```
public class Ellipse : Shape
 public Ellipse(ILogger logger) : base(logger) { }
 protected override void DisplayShape()
    Logger.Log($ "Ellipse at position {Position} with size {Size} ");
```

Next, a concrete implementation of the ILogger interface is required. One way you can implement writing a message to the console is with the ConsoleLogger class. This class implements the ILogger interface to write a message to the console (code file UsingInterfaces/ConsoleLogger.cs):

```
public class ConsoleLogger : ILogger
 public void Log(string message) => Console.WriteLine(message);
```

NOTE Using the ILogger interface from the Microsoft. Extensions. Logging namespace is discussed in Chapter 16, "Diagnostics and Metrics."

For creating a Rectangle, the ConsoleLogger can be created on passing an instance to implement the ILogger interface (code file UsingInterfaces/Program.cs):

```
Ellipse e1 = new(new ConsoleLogger())
  Position = new(20, 30),
  Size = new(100, 120)
};
r1.Draw();
```

NOTE With dependency injection, the responsibility is turned over. Instead of having a strong dependency with the implementation of the shape for the Console class, the responsibility for what is used is turned over outside of the Shape type. This way what is used can be specified from the outside. This is also known as the Hollywood Principle—"Don't call us, we call you." Dependency injection makes unit testing easier because dependencies can be easily replaced with mock types. Another advantage when using dependency injection is that you can create platform-specific implementations. For example, showing a message box is different with the Universal Windows Platform (MessageDialog.ShowAsync), WPF (MessageBox. Show), and Xamarin. Forms (Page. Alert). With a common view model, you can use the interface IDialogService and define different implementations with the different platforms. Read more about dependency injection using a dependency injection container in Chapter 15, "Dependency Injection and Configuration." Unit testing is covered in Chapter 23, "Tests."

Explicit and Implicit Implemented Interfaces

Interfaces can be explicitly or implicitly implemented. With the example so far, you've seen implicitly implemented interfaces, such as with the ConsoleLogger class:

```
public class ConsoleLogger : ILogger
 public void Log(string message) => Console.WriteLine(message);
```

With an explicit interface implementation, the member implemented doesn't have an access modifier and has the interface prefixed to the method name:

```
public class ConsoleLogger : ILogger
  void ILogger.Log(string message) => Console.WriteLine(message);
```

With an explicit interface implementation, the interface is not accessible when you use a variable of type ConsoleLogger (it's not public). If you use a variable of the interface type (ILogger), you can invoke the Log method; the contract of the interface is fulfilled. You can also cast the ConsoleLogger variable to the interface ILogger to invoke this method.

Why would you want to do this? One reason is to resolve a conflict. If different interfaces define the same method signature, your class needs to implement all these interfaces, and the implementations need to differ, you can use explicit interface implementation.

Another reason to use explicit interface implementation is to hide the interface method from code outside of the class but still fulfill the contract from the interface. An example is the StringCollection class from the System .Collections.Specialized namespace and the IList interface. One of the members that's defined by the IList interface is the Add method:

```
int Add(object? value);
```

The StringCollection class is optimized for strings and thus prefers to use the string type with the Add method:

```
public int Add(string? value);
```

The version to pass an object is hidden from the StringCollection class because the StringCollection class has an explicit interface implementation with this method. To use this type directly, you just pass a string parameter. If a method uses IList as a parameter, then you can use any object that implements IList for that parameter. In particular, you can use a StringCollection for the parameter because that class still implements that interface.

Comparing Interfaces and Classes

Now that you've seen the foundations of interfaces, let's compare interfaces, classes, records, and structs with regard to object orientation:

- You can declare a variable of the type of all these C# constructs. You can declare a variable of a class, an interface, a record, or a struct.
- You can instantiate a new object with classes, records, and structs. You cannot instantiate a new object with an abstract class or an interface.
- With a class, you can derive from a base class. With a record, you can derive from a base record. Both with classes and records, implementation inheritance is supported. Structs don't support inheritance.
- Classes, records, and structs can implement multiple interfaces. Implementing interfaces is not possible with ref structs.

Default Interface Methods

Before C# 8, changing an interface was always a breaking change. Even just adding a member to an interface is a breaking change. The type implementing this interface needs to implement this new interface member. Because of this, many .NET libraries are built with abstract base classes. When you add a new member to an abstract base class, if it's not an abstract member, it is not a breaking change. With Microsoft's Component Object Model (COM), which is based on interfaces, always a new interface was defined when a breaking change was introduced—for example, IViewObject, IViewObjectEx, IViewObject2, IViewObject3.

As of C# 8, interfaces can have implementations. However, you need to be aware where you can use this feature. C# 8 is supported by .NET Core 3.x. With older technologies, you can change the compiler version at your own risk. To support default interface members, a runtime change is required. This runtime change is available only with .NET Core 3.x+ and .NET Standard 2.1+. You cannot use default interface members with .NET Framework applications or UWP applications without .NET 5 support.

Avoiding Breaking Changes

Let's get into the main feature of default interface members to avoid breaking changes. In a previous code sample, the ILogger interface has been specified:

```
public interface ILogger
```

```
void Log(string message);
```

If you add any member without implementation, the ConsoleLogger class needs to be updated. To avoid a breaking change, an implementation to the new Log method with the Exception parameter is added. With the implementation, the previous Log method is invoked by passing a string (code file DefaultInterfaceMethods/ ILogger.cs):

```
public interface ILogger
  void Log(string message);
  public void Log(Exception ex) => Log(ex.Message);
```

NOTE The implementation of the Log method has the public access modifier applied. With interface members, public is the default, so this access modifier is not required. However, with implementations in the interface, you can use the same modifiers you've seen with classes, including virtual, abstract, sealed, and so on.

The application can be built without changing the implementation of the ConsoleLogger class. If a variable of the interface type is used, both Log methods can be invoked: the Log method with the string parameter and the Log method with the Exception parameter (code file DefaultInterfaceMethods/Program.cs):

```
ILogger logger = new ConsoleLogger();
logger.Log("message");
logger.Log(new Exception("sample exception"));
```

With a new implementation of the ConsoleLogger class, a different implementation of the new Log method defined with the ILogger interface can be created. In this case, using the ILogger interface invokes the method implemented with the ConsoleLogger class. The method is implemented with explicit interface implementation but could be implemented with implicit interface implementation as well (code file DefaultInterfaceMethods/ ConsoleLogger.cs):

```
public class ConsoleLogger : ILogger
  public void Log(string message) => Console.WriteLine(message);
  void ILogger.Log(Exception ex)
   Console.WriteLine(
      $"exception type: {ex.GetType().Name}, message: {ex.Message}");
```

Traits with C#

Default interface members can be used to implement traits with C#. Traits allow you to define methods for a group of types. One way to implement traits is with extension methods; the other option is using default interface methods.

With Language Integrated Query (LINQ), many LINQ operators have been implemented with extension methods. With this new feature, it would be possible to implement these methods with default interface members instead.

NOTE Extension methods are introduced in Chapter 3. Chapter 9, "Language Integrated Ouery," covers all the extension methods implemented with LINO.

To demonstrate this, the IEnumerableEx<T> interface is defined that derives from the interface IEnumerable<T>. Deriving from this interface, IEnumerableEx<T> specifies the same contract as the base interface, but the Where method is added. This method receives a delegate parameter to pass a predicate method that returns a Boolean value, iterates through all the items, and invokes the method referenced by the predicate. If the predicate returns true, the Where method returns the item with yield return.

```
using System;
using System.Collections.Generic;
public interface IEnumerableEx<T> : IEnumerable<T>
 public IEnumerable<T> Where(Func<T, bool> pred)
    foreach (T item in this)
      if (pred(item))
        yield return item;
  }
```

NOTE *The* yield *statement is covered in detail in Chapter* 6.

Now you need a collection to implement the interface IEnumerableEx<T>. You can do this easily by creating a new collection type, MyCollection, that derives from the Collection<T> base class defined in the System .Collections.ObjectModel namespace. Because the Collection<T> class already implements the interface IEnumerable<T>, no additional implementation is needed to support IEnumerableEx<T> (code file DefaultInterfaceMethods/MyCollection.cs):

```
class MyCollection<T> : Collection<T>, IEnumerableEx<T>
```

With this in place, a collection of type MyCollections<string> is created that's filled with names. A lambda expression that returns a Boolean value and receives a string is passed to the Where method that's defined with the interface. The foreach statement iterates through the result and only displays the names starting with J (code file DefaultInterfaceMethods/Program.cs):

```
IEnumerableEx<string> names = new MyCollection<string>
  { "James", "Jack", "Jochen", "Sebastian", "Lewis", "Juan" };
var jNames = names.Where(n => n.StartsWith("J"));
foreach (var name in jNames)
  Console.WriteLine(name);
```

NOTE When you invoke default interface members, you always need a variable of the interface type, similar to explicitly implemented interfaces.

What cannot be done with interfaces and default interface members is to add members that keep state. Fields, events (with delegates), and auto properties add state—these members are not allowed. If state is required, you should use abstract classes instead.

GENERICS

One way to reduce the code you need to write is by using inheritance and adding functionality to base classes. Another way is to create generics where a type parameter is used, which allows specifying the type when instantiating the generic (which can also be combined with inheritance).

Let's get into an example to create a linked list of objects where every item references the next and previous items. The first generic type created is a record. The generic type parameter is specified using angle brackets. T is the placeholder type parameter name. With the primary constructor, a property with an init-only set accessor is created. The record has two additional properties, Next and Prev, to reference the next and previous items. With these additional properties, the internal access

modifier is used to allow calling the set accessor only from within the same assembly (code file Generic Types/ LinkedListNode.cs):

```
public record LinkedListNode<T>(T Value)
  public LinkedListNode<T>? Next { get; internal set; }
  public LinkedListNode<T>? Prev { get; internal set; }
  public override string? ToString() => Value?.ToString();
```

NOTE Because the LinkedListNode type is a record, it's important to override the ToString method. With the default implementation of the ToString method, the value of all property members is shown, which invokes ToString with every property value. Because the Next and Prev properties reference other objects, a stack overflow can occur.

The generic class LinkedList contains the properties First and Last to access the first and last elements of the list, the method AddLast to add a new node at the end of the list, and an implementation of the IEnumerable<T> interface, which allows iterating through all elements (code file GenericTypes/LinkedList.cs):

```
public class LinkedList<T> : IEnumerable<T>
 public LinkedListNode<T>? First { get; private set; }
 public LinkedListNode<T>? Last { get; private set; }
  public LinkedListNode<T> AddLast(T node)
   LinkedListNode<T> newNode = new(node);
    if (First is null | Last is null)
     First = newNode;
```

```
Last = First;
  else
   newNode.Prev = Last;
   LinkedListNode<T> previous = Last;
   Last.Next = newNode;
    Last = newNode;
 return newNode;
public IEnumerator<T> GetEnumerator()
  LinkedListNode<T>? current = First;
  while (current is not null)
   yield return current. Value;
   current = current.Next;
}
IEnumerator IEnumerable.GetEnumerator() => GetEnumerator();
```

In the generated Main method, the LinkedList is initiated by using the int type by using the string type, a tuple, and a record. LinkedList works with any type (code file GenericTypes/Program.cs):

```
LinkedList<int> list1 = new();
list1.AddLast(1);
list1.AddLast(3);
list1.AddLast(2);
foreach (var item in list1)
  Console.WriteLine(item);
Console.WriteLine();
LinkedList<string> list2 = new();
list2.AddLast("two");
list2.AddLast("four");
list2.AddLast("six");
Console.WriteLine(list2.Last);
LinkedList<(int, int)> list3 = new();
list3.AddLast((1, 2));
list3.AddLast((3, 4));
foreach (var item in list3)
  Console.WriteLine(item);
Console.WriteLine();
```

```
LinkedList<Person> list4 = new();
list4.AddLast(new Person("Stephanie", "Nagel"));
list4.AddLast(new Person("Matthias", "Nagel"));
list4.AddLast(new Person("Katharina", "Nagel"));
// show the first
Console.WriteLine(list4.First);
public record Person(string FirstName, string LastName);
```

Constraints

With the previous implementation of the LinkedListNode<T> and LinkedList<T> types there was not a special requirement on the generic type; any type can be used. This prevents you from using any nonobject members with the implementation. The compiler doesn't accept invoking any property or method on the generic type T.

Adding the DisplayAllTitles method to the LinkedList<T> class results in a compiler error. T does not contain a definition for Title, and no accessible extension method Title accepting a first argument of type T could be found (code file GenericTypesWithConstraints/LinkedList.cs):

```
public void DisplayAllTitles()
  foreach (T item in this)
   Console.WriteLine(item.Title);
```

To resolve this, the interface ITitle is specified that defines a Title property that needs to be implemented with the implementation of this interface:

```
public interface ITitle
  string Title { get; }
```

Defining the generic LinkedList<T>, now the constraint for the generic type T, can be specified to implement the interface ITitle. Constraints are specified with the where keyword followed by the requirement on the type:

```
public class LinkedList<T> : IEnumerable<T>
   where T : ITitle
  //...
```

With this change in place, the DisplayAllTitles method compiles. This method uses the members specified by the ITitle interface, and this is a requirement on the generic type. You can no longer use int and string for the generic type parameter, but the Person record can be changed to implement this constraint (code file GenericTypesWithConstraints/Program.cs):

```
public record Person(string FirstName, string LastName, string Title)
  : ITitle { }
```

The following table lists the constraints you can specify with a generic:

CONSTRAINT	DESCRIPTION
where T : struct	With a struct constraint, ${\tt T}$ must be a value type.
where T : class	With a class constraint, T must be a reference type.
where T : class?	T must be a nullable or a non-nullable reference type.
where T : notnull	${ t T}$ must be a non-nullable type. This can be a value or a reference type.
where T : unmanaged	T must be a non-nullable unmanaged type.
where T : IFoo	This specifies that the type ${\tt T}$ is required to implement interface ${\tt IF00}.$
where T : Foo	This specifies that the type ${\tt T}$ is required to derive from base class ${\tt Foo}.$
where T : new()	A constructor constraint; this specifies that ${\tt T}$ must have a parameterless constructor. You cannot specify a constraint for constructors with parameters.
where T1 : T2	With constraints, it is also possible to specify that type ${ t T1}$ derives from a generic type ${ t T2}$.

SUMMARY

This chapter described how to code inheritance in C#. You saw the rich support for both implementing multiple interfaces and single inheritance with classes and records. You saw how C# provides a number of useful syntactical constructs designed to assist in making code more robust, which includes different access modifiers, and the concept of nonvirtual and virtual methods. You also saw the new feature for interfaces, which allows adding code implementation. Generics have been covered as another concept to reuse code.

The next chapter continues with all the C# operators and casts.