# Cryptographically Privileged State Estimation With Gaussian Keystreams

Michael Shell School of Electrical and Computer Engineering Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, Georgia 30332-0250

Email: http://www.michaelshell.org/contact.html

Homer Simpson Twentieth Century Fox Springfield, USA

James Kirk and Montgomery Scott Starfleet Academy Email: homer@thesimpsons.com San Francisco, California 96678-2391

Telephone: (800) 555-1212 Fax: (888) 555-1212

# Abstract—The abstract goes here.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Temporary token reference [1]. Start:

State estimation

Wireless and distributed estimation

Security concerns

Traditional methods hide all information, other use-cases

Information may be divided into privilege levels authenticating different audiences to different amounts of information [gps, anonymisation]

Contribution...

Section summary

#### A. Notation

Define vectors, matrices, encryption, pseudorandom samples, positive-definitness and  $\prec$  for matrices

# II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Linear time-invariant system

Kalman filter equations

KF meets the theoretical best estimator in terms of mean square error as evident from the CRLB [estimation book]

The aim is to produce measurements such that estimators have different estimation lower bounds depending on their knowledge of a shared secret key with the sensor. This is in accordance with the Kirchoff principle [crypto book] and reduces all secrecy to a single, replaceable, uniformly random integer.

We will refer to the estimator holding a shared key with the sensor as a privileged estimator, and the one without, an unprivileged estimator.

# III. PRIVILEGED ESTIMATION

General idea

(picture ?)

Use a cryptographically secure key stream to generate pseudorandom Gaussian samples

Samples are used to increase the uncertainty of estimation and are known and removable only by those with the key used to generate them

## A. Gaussian Keystream

To generate pseudorandom Gaussian samples, we rely on first generating a traditional pseudorandom bitstream given a secret key.

Using well-studied methods for the generation of pseudorandomness guarantees robustness and an easy means of updating only the relevant component when the methods used are no longer considered safe.

Any implementation of a cryptographic stream cipher can be used for our purpose and will produce a stream of bits typically combined with plaintexts to provide secure encryption.

Rather than encrypting plaintext, we interpret the bitstream as sequential pseudorandom integers and use these to generate pseudorandom uniform real numbers in the range (0,1). u

While the uniform real samples are only approximated by floating-point numbers in the conversion from integers we argue this is sufficiently uniform and discuss this further in the Security section.

Finally, independent standard Gaussian samples can then be generated from the uniform real numbers using the Box-Muller transform, and are ready to be used by our sensor and privileged filter. z

### B. Additional Gaussian Noise

To use the pseudorandom Gaussian samples at the sensor and privileged estimator, they need to be converted to multivariate Gaussian samples suitable for use in the measurement model and need a means of controlling how much uncertainty is added to the unprivileged estimators.

We define the additional noise term Z > 0 and can transform the Gaussian samples z into pseudorandom samples o of a multivariate zero-mean Gaussian distribution with covariance Z.

Prior to estimation, we assume that a secret key is shared between the sensor and the privileged estimator.

During estimation, the sensor modifies its measurements at each timestep.

There are now two estimation problems present for the privileged and unprivileged estimators respectively.

For the privileged estimator who holds the shared secret key, values z and therefore o can be computed at any time k and received measurements modified to their original form. This inturn results in exactly the measurement model from the problem formulation.

The CRLB can be computed exactly as with the original models.

In the case where pseudorandomness is indistinguishable from randomness, as is the case at an unprivileged estimator when using cryptographically sound Gaussian keystreams and no key is shared, the measurement model can now been written as R+Z.

This leads to a new CRLB for the unprivileged estimator now given by different equation.

# C. Multiple Privileges

In the above scenario we have considered a single privileged estimator and one shared key with the sensor, dividing estimation uncertainly lowerbounds into two groups, the privileged and the unprivileged estimators.

As an intuitive extension, it may be desriable to define multiple levels of privilege, such that the best estimation performance would depend on the key or keys available to the estimator.

In this work we consider the case where a single shared key exists for each privilege level, and that the sensor adds a noise term in the same way as in the additional noise section with each key individually.

N noise terms are added to the original measurement equation, with variances  $Z_i$ 

From the equation we can see that obtaining any single key i would lead to a measureent model with added non-removable pseudorandom Gaussian noise with variance  $Z_i$ .

The above restricts possible estimation error bounds of each privilege level due to the dependence of measurement noise at an estimator with key i on the noise terms  $Z_j$ ,  $j \neq i$ .

If we write the desired measurement model noise variances at each privileged estimator i as  $E_i$ , we can cature this dependance as  $E_i = \sum_{j=0, j \neq i}^{N} Z_j$  where both  $E_i > 0$  and  $Z_i > 0$ .

Since choosing values  $E_i$  directly controls the estimation error bound computed using the CRLB, we are interested in the numerical restrictions on  $E_i > 0$  which will produce valid covariances  $Z_j > 0$ , that can be used when adding noise at the sensor.

The dependancies between the covariances can be captured by the block matrix equation.

...equation and also block matrix inequality (might need some defining as it uses  $\prec$ )

From the equation we can see that the only restriction on arbitrary choices of additional noise variances  $E_i$  at each privilege level, can be chosen as long as the condition is met.

We have chosen the case with a single shared key per privilege level due to its simlpicity and the ability to change privilege estimation error bounds without the need for key redistribution.

Alternative methods involving multiple or overlapping keys among privilege levels may allow choices of  $E_i$  to be less

restricted than in the equation above, and have been left as future work the topic.

#### IV. SCHEME SECURITY

The security of the proposed scheme will be primarily considered in the single privileged and unprivileged estimator case.

A sketch of cryptographic priviliege will be provided a proof sketch will be provided to show the cryptographic guarantees of the scheme.

The extension to multiple privilege levels as described in the section above will be informally reduced to the same proof sketch afterwards.

## A. Single Additional Noise

Typical cryptographic security is captured Rather than achieve cryptographic indistinguishabilty, we want to show

it is proven that the minimum estimation error of a linear system with regards to least-square error is given by the CRLB.

# B. Multiple Additional Noises

# V. SIMULATION AND RESULTS

#### VI. CONCLUSION

The conclusion goes here.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank...

#### REFERENCES

 J. Katz and Y. Lindell, Introduction to Modern Cryptography: Principles and Protocols. Chapman & Hall, 2008.