MISC 600 Quantigative , it

Q1 as The 95% confidence interval can be calculated using the form la below;

CI = (mean) - mean2) + (196x SEmean)

Where SEmean is the standard error mean Therefore,

 $C1 = (64.9 - 63.1) + (1.96 \times 0.11)$ C1 = (-2.76, -2.44)

Interpretation:

A 95% confidence interval for the difference between population mean height for the younger women and that for the older women is (-2,76, -2,44).

This means that we can be 95% confident
that the true difference between
population mean height for the
younger women and that for the older
women lies between -2.76 and -2.144

inches

Q1 b) The null hypothesis (Ho) states that there is no difference between the population mean height for those aged 20-39 and those aged 60 and older.

The alternative hypaothesis (Ha) states that the population mean height for those aged 20-39 is greater than that for those aged 60 and older.

To test these by protests at a significance level of 0.001 using the rejection region approach, we could calculate the test statistic, which is the difference in the sample mans divided by the standard error mann.

Test statistic = (64.9-63.1)/0.11 = 8.09 Interpretation:

Since the test statistic is greater than the critical value of 3.091 (which is the critical value for a two-tailed test with assignificance level of 0.001), we reject the not hypothesis and conclude that the population mean height for those aged 20-39 is greater than those aged 60 and older.

21 c) The p-value for the test comied out in (6)
above is 0,000. This means that the probability
of gotting atest statistic as extreme or more
extreme than 8.09 is 0,000

Since the p-ralve is less them any seasonable significance level, we would reject the null hypotheeses at any reasonable significance level. This means that we can conclude with a high level of confidence that the population mean haight for those aged 20-39 is greater than that of for those aged 20-39

21 d) The appropriate hypothesis in this case HO:N-1-N-2 4.1 and Ha: MI-N-2>1 The null hypothesis states that the population mean height for the older age group is not greater them the population menn height for the younger age group by more than I inch', while the orthonative hypothoris states that the population mean height for the older age group is greater than the population man height for the younger age group by more than lind.

Ho: Nolder = Nyomger Ha: Nolder > Nyomger

Test statistic: t= (volder - vyonger) - 0/V52/nolder

+ S2/nyonger

 $= (801 - 780) - 0/\sqrt{117^2/28 + 72^2/16}$ = 20.94 / 15.43

Dagrees of Freedom: of = 28+16-2 = 42

At a = 0.05 and df = 42, the critical value

of tis 1.68

Since 1:35 × 1:68, we fail to reject the null hypotheric. There is insufficient enidence to concivole that the tree overage stance duration is larger among elderly individuals. than among younger individuals.

300) Sample moun disperence J-D = (2126+2885+2895+1942+1750+ 2184+2164+2626+2006+2627) -(1928 + 2549 + 2825+ 1924 + 1628+ 2175+2114+2621+1843+2541) = 25.7 Sample standard deviation 5-D = ~ (((2126-25.7)^2+(2885-25.7)^2 + (2895-25.7) 12+ (1942-25.7) 12+ (1750-257)1 +(2184-25.7)^2+(2164-25.7)^2+(2626257)^2 + (2006 - 25.7) 2 + (2627 - 25.7) 2/9) = 54,7 We can the calculate the test stutistic; t = (25.7-25)/(54.7/2/10) = 2194

e3 a) CONT Ho: N-D = 25 H-A: N-D > 25 Test Statistic : t = 2194 Critial value: t_0:05,9 = 1:833 Because the test statistic (1) 2194 is greater then the critical value 1.833, we reject the nell hypothas and conclude that the overage total body bone mineral content during postweaning exceeds that during ladation by more than 25 g.

(360 NO, the incomect use of the two-sample t-test would not lead to the same conclusion. The two-sample test is used to test the difference of monns between two independent groups. Here, we are testing the difference of one group to agrixed value (25), This regions the vo q a one-sample thest, which we used in part (a)