# Manifesto of Modernist Digital Humanities

WHEREAS mainstream digital techniques reflect a naïve quantitative POSITIVISM;

WHEREAS that POSITIVISM appears as a REALISM—as claim of representational fidelity—in the midst of MODERNIST ANALYSIS;

## Whereas critical self-

reflexivity calls for

a QUALITATIVE characterization

or EVALUATION of methodologies

as well as

technical DISCLOSURES of

sample sizes,

data gaps,

and HUMAN intervention

in

### **ALGORITHMICALLY GENERATED DATA**;

WHEREAS it seems modernist

digital humanities has

**JUST** 

stepped past that threshold into THINGNESS;

## IT SEEMS

that the digital humanities use a methodological REALISM to investigate artistic MODERNISM.

## IT SEEMS

inappropriate that we would pursue methodological REALISM to the exclusion of methodological MODERNISM.

### But if realism REQUIRES or SUGGESTS

beginnings and endings

probabilistic event trajectories that emphasize causality

three-dimensional characterization of middle-class characters

richly detailed political, economic, and social contexts

a commitment to objectivity, believability, or naturalism

a rejection of magic, divine intervention, or supernatural elements

formal coherence and continuity

things and stuff that are so 19th century and so bankrupt and exposed by Nietzsche and to which, like gluten, we may be allergic

Then is NAÏVE, CHAUVINISTIC

positivism even realist at all?

## $\mathbf{W}$ HAT

would a better methodological realism look like?

## $\mathbf{W}$ HAT

would a methodological modernism <u>look like</u>?

Taking seriously the discontinuities of

consciousness,

experience,

and

social hierarchies

does NOT require

jettisoning **MATHEMATICS** 

so much as

SKEWING or REIMAGINING

numbers, planes, scales, and graphs.

## WE WHISPER IN YOUR EAR A GREAT SECRET: THEY HAVE BEEN SYMBOLS ALL ALONG.

## If you value STATISTICAL RIGOR above all things,

we can wait as you **CLICK OFF** and wish you well.

## NOW THEN.

# We can **DECOUPLE**methodological strategies from the content of the objects we study.

NOTHING

is stopping us

from using

MODERNIST METHODS

for understanding

REALIST TEXTS.

OR

using
REALIST METHODS
for understanding
MODERNIST TEXTS.

There's not necessarily a DEBT to be modernist with a MODERNIST TEXT.

(unless DEBT is how you manage your cognitive economy, in which case enjoy your symptom!)

## MODERNIST DIGITAL STRATEGIES should themselves be

critical,
challenging,
open-ended,
discontinuous,
fragmented,
polyphonic,
disruptive,
perverse,
strange,

Presumably

(once upon a time)

you thought MODERNIST STYLE was worthy of your

ATTENTION and CURIOSITY.

Yes, perhaps it's been awhile since MODERNIST
STYLE last took you out for a nice dinner or really
looked into your eyes, like really looked into
your eyes, but we humbly suggest it may be about
that time you basically ignored MODERNIST STYLE
when it clearly needed to have a serious talk about
what happened back there, or that time you
forgot to bring that special goat cheese back
from the store, the kind that MODERNIST STYLE
wanted to warm up and put on some lightly dressed
arugula for a simple but satisfying supper.

We can reconfigure works from other time periods through modernist methods. Modernism therefore becomes a DIGITAL STRATEGY that can inform

> project development, tool building, visualization design, & textual interpretation.

## WHAT MIGHT IT LOOK LIKE TO READ OTHER MOVEMENTS AND CENTURIES THROUGH METHODS LATENT IN MODERNISM?

### Might

we then be able to extract a true theory of modernism—

#### A MODERNIST MODE OF ANALYSIS?

The heightened reflexivity purchased by trying to develop MODERNIST TOOLS for MODERNIST TEXTS and MODERNIST CULTURES will ensure that the SPECTER of MODERNISM in all its

aesthetic and historical and

spatial particularity

will be more VIVID & THERE at every stage of a project.

```
Might
```

we BOIL down the realist novel

or

the bildungsroman

or

the scientific romance

or

the epic poem

or

the manifesto

or

the stream-of-consciousness novel

into SETS

of

natural expressions

or

tags

or

metadata

or

protocols

or

applications

that we can use to

TRANSLATE texts into different genres?

## GENRES BECOME ALGORITHMS A MACHINIC NARRATOLOGY

Isn't this still rather metaphorical, you ask.

OF COURSE.

But the figurations are natively literary (natively-literary-studies).

Do get back to us when you assemble persuasive arguments that consist exclusively of things that PROVABLY exist in front of you right now. Perhaps you can drop them on your foot or run into them to ensure they are not metaphors.

Send pictures of the bruises!

Let loose generic imperatives and literary movements and lists of formal characteristics of modernism.

They do not need euthanasia; they need to get let out in the air, out of their cells, deployed through digital humanities approaches to deform texts of interest.

## We continue to invest in the heavy industry of making machines out of literary theory.

It's okay, really.

When was the last time you wrote/said/argued,

"Jameson/Latour/Haraway/Agamben/Sedgwick/D&G wrote/said/argued"?

### Probably yesterday.

Don't let's lie to each other

anymore.

So in addition to importing interpretive machines from other disciplines, use those detested periodizations \*

\* you don't really detest them, btw, it just sounds legit & heavy when you interrogate, problematize, and complicate them

as imperatives that invade other periods, other genres.

# We can **DECOUPLE**methodological strategies from the content of the objects we study.

#### Or RECOUPLE them

sure, whatever.

So long as you rekindle that

## **FLAME**

with MODERNIST STYLE,

we're just happy you're happy.

Signed,

Alex Christie (@axchristie),

Andrew Pilsch (@oncomouse),

Shawna Ross (@ShawnaRoss),

Katie Tanigawa (@katietani)