

Preliminary exploration of the FastCube reactor concept

Ondřej Chvála, ochvala@utk.edu, 2016-07-31

This document presents a brief conceptual analysis of the proposed fast critical facility at the new building for the UTK NE department. The analysis follows the discussion with Wes on Friday and earlier. I decided to put it into a brief paper rather than just email.

The purpose of this quick analysis is to get the first rough estimate on the required reactor size and the amount of fuel needed.

FastCube model description and justification

The FastCube reactor concept comprises square, chessboard like, lattice of cylindrical fuel elements and square prisms of lead. This choice is motivated by the desire to roughly approximate GenIV Lead-cooled Fast Reactor (LFR) conditions. The LFR concept is pursued by Westinghouse, European consortium around MYRRHA reactor built in Belgium, it was used in Russian Alpha-class submarines, and it is currently pursued by Russians in SVBR-100 small modular and BREST line of reactors. The other GenIV solid fuel fast reactor concept uses sodium as a coolant, which makes the concept unsuitable for a University research environment.

Cylindrical fuel elements use 19.75% LEU metal with 1mm of stainless steel (304) cladding. Remainder of the fuel lattice channel is filled with air. The fuel elements have the same length as the lattice width, hence the FastCube name. This cube is surrounded by a lead layer as thick as the lattice pitch, followed by 1mm air gap, which is surrounded by 304 stainless steel reflector. It is assumed that all neutrons leaving the reflector will be lost in a bioshield, which is not modeled. There are no allowances for control elements, instrumentation, or beam ports, therefore the size and fuel needs are both likely underestimated compared to a more detailed conceptual design.

All modeling is done using Serpent 2.1.26 Monte-Carlo code with ENDF/B-VII nuclear data at room temperature (300K).

Reactor configurations studied

There are two free parameters in this simple model: the fuel radius and the reflector thickness. The size of the fuel/lead chessboard ($N \times N$) lattice, N , is then determined by a manual criticality search. The fuel (uranium metal with cladding) diameters studied were 1.27 cm, which is the one used in MYRRHA core mock-up VENUS-F critical assembly; double of that is 1 inch or 2.54 cm; and again double of that 2 inches or 5.08 cm. Two steel reflector thicknesses were studied, 10cm and 100cm, corresponding to a high leakage and a low leakage core. This is to establish a reasonable range of likely reactor size and fuel needs. No optimization was attempted in this quick study.

For each critical assembly the following parameters were established: the size of the inner lattice, equal to the length of a fuel element, the number of fuel elements needed, the mass of each fuel elements, the total number of fuel elements and their mass, size of the reflector, and the neutron leakage fraction.

Results

The results of the calculations are shown in Tab. 1 below. Core leakage is calculated as the percentage of neutrons born that leak out of the steel reflector into the bioshield.

Case#	Fuel diameter	Reflector	Fuel height	Reactor size	# of fuel pins	Pin U mass	Total U mass	Leakage %
10	1.27	10	83.3	106.1	2112	0.72	1517.0	34.04
11	1.27	100	73.1	275.8	1624	0.63	1022.9	0.25
20	2.54	10	68.9	94.2	364	2.83	1029.3	37.19
21	2.54	100	63.8	269.1	312	2.62	816.9	0.25
30	5.08	10	66.2	96.6	84	11.82	992.8	34.38
31	5.08	100	56.0	266.4	60	10.00	600.1	0.26

Table Simulation results. All dimension are in cm, all masses are in kg.

Images of the reactor cores studied in XY and YZ cuts are attached separately and identified by the case number. Red is uranium fuel, blue is lead, light gray is air, and darker gray is the stainless steel.

Conclusions

This quick preliminary study has shown that the fast flux critical facility under consideration will need approximately 1 metric tonne of 19.75% LEU fuel. More if closeness to an LFR core is desired, less if larger fuel pins are used. The active core width and height will be approximately 60-70cm (2 feet). The reactor width and high, including the reflector, will be about 2-3 meters (6-9 feet).

Additional thoughts

Water ingress into the core must be absolutely avoided. Square fuel pins would therefore be better than cylindrical. Rather than use typical top access to the core and have penetrations that would allow water in when upstairs bathroom leaks, regular maintenance core access should perhaps be from sides and below. The room would need a water skirt and a sump pump.

The control elements could be (some of) the fuel elements themselves inserted from the bottom, along with the piece of the bottom reflector.