

the extra condition that 12420

Examples Let the first hyperplane be A second hyperplane be B $\chi' \in A$ $\chi'' \in B$. the dispance between their two parallel hyperplanes $\left\| a^{T} \left(x' - x'' \right) \right\|_{2}$ 2.7 expand on the norm inequality. $(x-q)^{T}(x-a) \leq (x-b)^{T}(x-b)$ $= \sum_{i=1}^{T} x^{T} x - 2 a^{T} x + a^{T} a \leq x^{T} x - 2 b^{T} x + b^{T} b$ => 2 $(b-a)^{T}x = b^{T}b-a^{T}a$. which is an emplicit form for half-spaces < halfspace. 15 for the same proposed the same expension of the first Lesson of sea guilt morest 0 2 1 1 -

	-
7	Ç
_	٥

(a) It is a polyhedra.

First, for the case where a , raz are not collinear.

we try to solve for

[a, a,] [x,] = x

=> [xi] = (ATA) ATX which gives the laclosest point to X

on the subspace spanned by {a, , az}

And because X is on the span.

 $= \sum \left[A \left(A'A^{-1} \right) A^{T} X = 0 \right] X = 0$

the conditions on Y1, Y2 gives

[-i] = (A'A) -'A' x = [i]

For the case when a, a are collinear

(es a, = 4 a)

we have similar equations & to inequalities

[a, (a, Ta,)] a, T - I] X = 0.

- 1-114115 (a, Ta,) -1 a, T X = 1+11411

(b) It is a polyhedra. The sequelytes & inequalities are already linear. They can be reorganized as

$$-I \times \leq 0 \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \cdots & 1 \\ a_1 & \cdots & a_n \\ a_1^2 & \cdots & a_n^2 \end{bmatrix} \times = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix}$$



(C) It is not a polyhedra. It is section of a unit sphere in Rh.

which is the intersection of an infinite number of hyperplanes. (d) It is a polyhedra. S= { x e | R | x = 0, x = [:]=1} we can prove by the following 2 parts. DIT X = 1 , -> for all y with E[Y; =11 4 $\chi^{7}y = \Sigma \times : y : \leq \Sigma \times : \leq \Sigma \times : = 1$ 2) If for all y with \[|y| = | x y \[\] Now suppose $X_{k} > 1$, and $Y_{i} = 0$, and $Y_{k} = 1$ Then スプy = 豆xiyi + Xicyk M= Xicyk > 1. Contradiction therefor Xx cannot be > 1 for any le 1.e. X = 1

2.11

Let X', $X'' \in C$ hypotholic set

Now show that $\theta X' + (1-\theta)X'' \in C$ for 0.50.51 $\theta X' + (1-\theta)X'' = \begin{bmatrix} \theta X'_1 + (1-\theta)X''_1 \\ \theta X'_2 + (1-\theta)X''_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} \ge \begin{bmatrix} X_1' \theta \\ X_2' X_2 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} (1-\theta) \\ X_2' X_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix}$ $X_1 X_2 = \begin{bmatrix} X_1' X_2' \\ X_2' X_2 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} (1-\theta) \\ X_1' X_2'' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix}$ because $X_1' X_2' \ge 1$ $X_1'' X_2'' \ge 1$ i.e. $\theta X' + (1-\theta) X'' \in C$

The proof for the generalization is similar, by apply the more general form of Jensen's inequality.

If $\alpha_1, \ldots \alpha_n > 0$, and $0 \le \theta_i \le 1$, $\Sigma \theta_i = 1$ then $\alpha = 0$ TT $\alpha_i \in \Sigma \theta_i \alpha_i$

```
2.12
 (a). A slab is convex , because it is a polyhedron
  (C) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{bmatrix} \chi \leq \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix}
             polyhedra -> convex
   (d) prove its conver.
          let X, EC, X, EC
                                                        05951
           (1-0) X2-X0
          = || 0(x,-x0)+(1-0)(x,-x0)||2
          = 0 ||x,-x, || + (1-0) || x2-x, ||,
                                                          triangle inequaling
          expand the inequaly
               (\chi_{-\chi_o})^T(\chi_{-\chi_o}) \leq (\chi_{-\chi})^T(\chi_{-\chi})
           = \sum_{X^T} X + X_0^T \lambda_0 - \sum_{X^T} X \leq X^T X + Y^T y - \sum_{X^T} X
            = ) \quad 2(y-x_{\bullet})^{\mathsf{T}} \chi \in y^{\mathsf{T}} y - \chi_{\bullet}^{\mathsf{T}} \chi_{\diamond}
                which is a half space.
         the Therefore because the set is the intersection of
         a (possiby infiniee) number of convers sets.
                it is convex.
   (e) It is not convex. We can construct a case as followly:
          s, x, x<sub>3</sub> x<sub>2</sub> S={s,,s,}

t, x v c
                                       X_1, X_2 \in C, but \frac{X_1 + X_2}{2} = X_3 \notin C
```

(f) prove it is convex. Les X, X E C $\theta \times_{1} + (1-\theta) \times_{2} + S_{2}$ $\theta \in \theta \in \Theta$ = 0 (x,+S2)+(1-0)(x2+S2) CS, because X,+S, CS, Xz+SzCS, and S, convex. => estant C is convex (9) Graphically, I see that it is convex, but I have no proof. continues income Maximiliarion in a fixture Charles and the contraction of ES N'AS ATTES AND ANTITY OF A NOT YN - 21/18 MAN MAN TENER government of some some property is and

```
2.15
(a) It is convers.
     LOA P, P, EC.
    B= 0 P,+ (1-0)Pz. pour P3 & C, 06051
      \mathbf{E} f(x) = \mathbf{Z} [\mathbf{B} P_{i} + (1-9) P_{i}] f(q_{i})
                = 0 I P. f(a;) + (1-9) I Pz; f(a;)
 0d+(1-0)d(# < 0 B+ (1-0) B
     2 {# { B
                                                         M
 (b) Convex
   prob(x>a) EB ( ) EP; EB, *k is min i
                                          where a; > d
  Can prove converie similar as above
 My Too lary to prove from this point.
     Will do by intuition & rough thinking.
  (C) Not convers
  (d) Lonves
   (Q) Convex
   (f) the convex
   (9) Not conver
   (h) Conven
   (i) Convex
```