

Introduction to Software Testing

Question 1. Consider a program P , and two test suites, X and Y for P . Test suite X covers set of *branches* Q in the program, while test suite Y covers set of branches R in the program. Suppose Q is a proper subset of R ($Q \subset R$). Which of the below statements are necessarily true?

- A. Whenever a test in Y reaches a statement, some test in X also reaches that statement.
- B. Whenever a test in X reaches a statement, some test in Y also reaches that statement.
- C. Test suite Y has strictly higher path coverage than test suite X .
- D. Test suite Y has strictly higher branch coverage than test suite X .

Question 2. Consider the Java function:

```
void copy(int[] src, int[] dst, int N) {  
    for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)  
        dst[i] = src[i];  
}
```

Which of the below predicates is the function's weakest possible precondition that prevent any null-pointer or array-out-of-bounds exceptions from being thrown?

NOTE: The expression $X \Rightarrow Y$ is read: " X implies Y ". It is equivalent to $(\neg X) \vee Y$.

- A. $N \geq 0 \wedge \text{src} \neq \text{null} \wedge \text{dst} \neq \text{null} \wedge N < \text{src.length} \wedge N < \text{dst.length}$
- B. $N \geq 0 \wedge \text{src} \neq \text{null} \wedge \text{dst} \neq \text{null} \wedge N < \text{src.length} \wedge \text{dst.length} = \text{src.length}$
- C. $N > 0 \Rightarrow (\text{src} \neq \text{null} \wedge \text{dst} \neq \text{null} \wedge N < \text{src.length} \wedge N < \text{dst.length})$
- D. $N > 0 \Rightarrow (\text{src} \neq \text{null} \wedge \text{dst} \neq \text{null} \wedge N < \text{src.length} \wedge \text{dst.length} = \text{src.length})$

Question 3. Consider a test suite consisting of three deterministic tests T_1, T_2, T_3 for a correct program P . Since P is correct, it passes all the three tests. Suppose we have three mutants M_1, M_2, M_3 of P such that: M_1 fails T_1 and T_2 ; M_2 fails none; and M_3 fails T_2 and T_3 .

Let $M = P$ denote that M and P are equivalent. Likewise, let $M \neq P$ denote that they are NOT equivalent.

a. Could it be possible that $M_1 = P$? Justify your answer.

b. Mutation analysis will report M_2 to the tester since it does not fail any test in the test suite. Which of the following actions are plausible for the tester to take given this information?

- A. Determine if $M_2 = P$, and if so, devise a new test case T_4 on which M_2 passes but P fails.
- B. Determine if $M_2 \neq P$, and if so, then ignore M_2 .
- C. Determine if $M_2 \neq P$, and if so, devise a new test case T_4 on which P passes but M_2 fails.
- D. Determine if $M_2 = P$, and if so, ignore M_2 .