

## Random Testing

**Question 1.** Consider the following concurrent program with two threads and shared variable `x`. Variables `tmp1` and `tmp2` are local to the respective threads. This program has a concurrency bug: it can lose an update to `x`.

Thread 1	Thread 2
1: <code>tmp1=x</code>	4: <code>tmp2=x</code>
2: <code>tmp1=tmp1+1</code>	5: <code>tmp2=tmp2+1</code>
3: <code>x=tmp1</code>	6: <code>x=tmp2</code>

- Write one possible execution of the six statements that does not cause a concurrency bug.
- Write one possible execution of the six statements that does trigger a concurrency bug.
- What is the depth of the concurrency bug?
- Specify the ordering constraints needed to trigger the bug.

**Question 2.** Consider the following pseudo-Java function, in which `HashMap<char, int>` is used. A `HashMap<K, V>` is a data structure that associates a value of type `V` to a key of type `K`. The value `v` associated with a key `k` can be set with the API call `put(k, v)`, and the value associated with the key `k` is returned by the API call `get(k)`. For this problem, if no value has been associated with `k`, then assume `get(k)` returns 0.

```
double charRatio(String s, char a, char b) {
    int N = s.length();
    HashMap<char, int> counts = new HashMap<char, int>();
    for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
        char c = s.charAt(i);
        int v = counts.get(c);
        counts.put(c, v+1);
    }
    return counts.get(a) / counts.get(b);
}
```

Describe how you could use a fuzzer to test this function. What bugs would you expect a fuzzer to identify in this function? What bugs would be more challenging for a fuzzer to identify? Explain your reasoning fully, including any assumptions you are making.