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**Report on the Implementation of the   
Gun-Free Schools Act in the States and Outlying Areas-**

**School Year 2000-2001**

**Introduction**

T

he Gun-Free Schools Act (GFSA) requires that each state or outlying area[[1]](#footnote-1) receiving federal funds under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) have a state law that requires all local educational agencies (LEAs) in the state or outlying area to expel from school for at least one year any student found bringing a firearm to school. (See Appendix A for a copy of the GFSA.) State laws must also authorize the LEA chief administering officer to modify any such expulsion on a case-by-case basis. In addition, the GFSA states that it must be construed so as to be consistent with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).

The GFSA requires states/outlying areas to report information about the implementation of the GFSA annually to the Secretary of Education. In order to meet this requirement and to monitor compliance with the GFSA, the U.S. Department of Education (the Department) requires each state or outlying area to submit an annual report that provides:

* The number of students expelled (by type of firearm and school level),
* The number of expulsions that were modified on a case-by-case basis,
* The number of modified cases that were not for students with disabilities, and
* The number of expelled students who were referred to an alternative school or program.

Starting with the 1999-2000 school year, the reporting form used for this data collection was revised to collect more information regarding LEA compliance and state climate. The new data items can be found in questions 7 through 10 on the data collection form. A copy of this form can be found in Appendix B of this report.

**Organization of the Report**

F

ollowing information on data interpretation and quality, this report is divided into three sections and summarizes the 2000-2001 data submitted by the states/outlying areas. The first section is a brief summary of the overall findings. The second section presents a summary of the 2000-2001 data in bulleted, graphic, and tabular form as well as a comparison between the 2000-2001 data and data submitted in previous years. The tables in this section contain data notes that are critical to the correct interpretation of the data. The third section presents a page for each state/outlying area. Each of these pages contains the data submitted by the state/outlying area, as well as any caveats or notes accompanying the data. Finally, there are two appendices to the report - Appendix A contains a copy of the Gun-Free Schools Act and Appendix B contains a copy of the 2000-2001 GFSA state/outlying area data collection instrument.

**Data Quality and Interpretation of Findings**

T

he information contained in this report should be interpreted with caution. As noted on the summary state-by-state tables and on the individual state/outlying area pages, some states/outlying areas attached caveats and notes to their data that should be considered when interpreting the data. This is of particular importance when examining national totals, as they are made up of data that are not necessarily comparable from state to state in all cases.

This report is not designed to provide information to the reader regarding the rate at which students carry firearms to school. The data summarized in this report relates to actions taken in regard to the number of students found bringing firearms to schools.

**Data Collection and Verification**

W

estat, under contract with the Department, received reports from the Department of Education in each state/outlying area. States/outlying areas were asked to submit their reports by December 1, 2002. In order to ensure that the data were reported accurately, the following procedures were followed:

* As each survey was received, it was reviewed for completeness and internal consistency and entered into a database.
* In a few cases, Westat contacted the state/outlying area to obtain a correction or clarification of the data submitted. For example, the data provider was contacted if the forms submitted were not internally consistent, if the rows and/or columns did not add to the printed totals, or if the 2000-2001 data represented a large change from the data reported for 1999-2000.
* Once Westat received all of the data, all states/outlying areas were contacted and asked to provide final data verification by fax. As a result of the verification process, several states/outlying areas also revised their 1999-2000 data. States/outlying areas revising their 1999-2000 data were asked to re-submit information on all data items, rather than just aggregate figures.

In addition, the Department works with the states/outlying areas on an on-going basis to ensure that the submitted data are as accurate as possible.

**Summary of Findings**

* Overall, 56 states/outlying areas reported under the GFSA for the 2000-2001 school year. These states/outlying areas reported that they expelled a total of 3,657 students from school for bringing a firearm[[2]](#footnote-2) to school.
* Forty-eight percent of the expulsions by school level were students in high school, 28 percent were in junior high, and 24 percent were in elementary school. (See Table 2)
* Forty-nine percent of the expulsions by firearm were for bringing a handgun to school. Forty-three percent were for some other type of firearm (such as bombs, grenades, or starter pistols) and 8 percent of the expulsions were for bringing a rifle or shotgun to school, and. (See Table 3)
* Forty-two percent of expulsions were shortened to less than one year. (See Table 5)
* Seventy-two percent of shortened expulsions were for students who were not considered disabled. (See Table 6)
* Forty-two percent of the expelled students in these states/outlying areas were referred to an alternative school or placement. (See Table 7)
* The percentages of LEAs reporting expulsions differ greatly among the states/outlying areas. (See Table 8)

**Expulsions for Bringing a Firearm to School – Overview**

Overall, 56 states/outlying areas provided data on the number of students expelled for bringing a firearm to school, for a total of 3,657 expulsions. Alabama, Arizona, California, Georgia, Louisiana, Ohio, Texas, Virginia and Washington were the only states with greater than 100 expulsions each. When viewed as the number of expulsions per 1,000 enrolled students, Ohio had the highest number of expulsions per 1,000 students. Refer to Table 1 for more detailed information on the data provided by the individual states/outlying areas.

**School Level**

A

ll states/outlying areas provided data on their expulsions by school level.[[3]](#footnote-3)

Of the 3,657 expulsions, almost half (1,739 or 48 percent) were students in senior high schools, 28 percent (1,034) were students in junior high, and 24 percent (884) were elementary school students. (See Figure 1 and Table 2)

**Type of Firearm**

****

O

f the 3,657 reported expulsions, 49 percent (1,778) involved handguns, 8 percent (291) involved rifles or shotguns, and the remaining 43 percent (1,588) involved other types of firearms (such as bombs, grenades, and starter pistols). (See Figure 2 and Table 3)

**Overall Year-to-Year Changes – 1999-2000 to 2000-2001**

Overall, the reported number of expulsions increased 29 percent from 2,837[[4]](#footnote-4) in 1999-2000 to 3,657 in 2000-2001. Of the 56 states/outlying areas reporting expulsions, 30 states/outlying areas showed a decrease in the number of expulsions from 1999-2000 to 2000-2001. Among these, the greatest decreases were reported in Maine, Missouri, New Jersey, Oklahoma, Oregon, and Wyoming. Conversely, 19 states showed an increase in the number of expulsions from 1999-2000 to 2000-2001 with the largest increases in Arizona, Ohio, South Dakota, and Vermont.

A brief discussion of how reported information for 2000-2001 fits in the broader context of the data reported for the previous four years is included in each of the following sections. See Table 9 for the total number of expulsions reported by each state/outlying area over the last five years.

**Shortened Expulsions and Students with Disabilities**

T

he GFSA allows the LEA chief administering officer to modify any expulsion for a firearm violation on a case-by-case basis (for example, by shortening the expulsion to less than one year). The purpose of this provision is to allow the chief administering officer in a school district to take unique circumstances into account as well as to ensure that the IDEA and GFSA requirements are implemented consistently. In order to capture these modifications, states were asked to report the number of students who had their period of expulsion shortened, as well as the number of these cases that were not for students with disabilities.

***Shortened Expulsions***

O

f the 3,657 reported expulsions in the states/outlying areas 1,524 (or 42 percent) were shortened to less than one year in 2000-2001. (See Figure 3 and Table 5).

The percentage of expulsions that were shortened has fluctuated over the last four years from 44 percent in 1997-1998 down to 27 percent in both 1998-1999 and 1999-2000. It rose up again to 42 percent in 2000-2001. (See Figure 4)



Disability Status of Students with Shortened Expulsions

O

f the 1,524 shortened expulsions, 1,093 (72 percent) involved students who were not considered disabled under section 602(a)(1) of IDEA. (See Figure 5 and Table 6)

The reported percentage of shortened expulsions for students with disabilities for 2000-2001 (28 percent) remained largely unchanged from previous years.



**Referrals**

T

he percentage of students that were expelled for having brought a firearm to school and referred to an alternative school or program remained fairly stable over the period from 1997-1998 to 2000-2001

The GFSA has in place provisions that allow local officials to refer expelled students to an alternative school or program. Fifty-two states/outlying areas reported information for this data item, and among these states/outlying areas 994 students (42 percent) were referred for an alternative placement. (See Figure 7 and Table 7)

**GFSA Report Submissions**

S

tarting with the 1999-00 school year, states/outlying areas were asked to report information regarding the levels of LEA compliance. Additionally, they were asked to indicate the percentage of LEAs that reported an expulsion.

Most states/outlying areas indicated that virtually all of their LEAs had submitted GFSA reports. Any issues surrounding non-compliance with the GFSA will be addressed by the Department, accordingly.

**Table 1**

Number of students found to have brought a firearm to school, 2000-2001 and GFSA violations per 1,000 students of public elementary and secondary enrollment, Fall 2000

| **State/Outlying Area** | **Number of students expelled in 2000-2001** | **Public elementary/secondary enrollment\*** | **Expelled students per 1,000 of enrollment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Alabama | 200 | 726,259 | 0.275 |
| Alaska | 10 | 135,869 | 0.074 |
| Arizona | 131 | 856,984 | 0.153 |
| Arkansas | 32 | 448,018 | 0.071 |
| California | 123 | 6,239,539 | 0.020 |
| Colorado | 24 | 724,508 | 0.033 |
| Connecticut | 9 | 562,138 | 0.016 |
| Delaware | 1 | 114,424 | 0.009 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 78,751 | 0.000 |
| Florida | 95 | 2,434,403 | 0.039 |
| Georgia | 111 | 1,444,937 | 0.077 |
| Hawaii | 0 | 184,360 | 0.000 |
| Idaho | 17 | 245,650 | 0.069 |
| Illinois | 32 | 2,048,197 | 0.016 |
| Indiana | 21 | 988,963 | 0.021 |
| Iowa | 11 | 497,301 | 0.022 |
| Kansas | 36 | 469,747 | 0.077 |
| Kentucky | 7 | 623,231 | 0.011 |
| Louisiana | 113 | 743,089 | 0.152 |
| Maine | 1 | 213,461 | 0.005 |
| Maryland | 27 | 853,406 | 0.032 |
| Massachusetts | 18 | 985,000 | 0.018 |
| Michigan | 90 | 1,705,800 | 0.053 |
| Minnesota | 12 | 847,000 | 0.014 |
| Mississippi | 64 | 499,362 | 0.128 |
| Missouri | 49 | 897,081 | 0.055 |
| Montana | 12 | 155,860 | 0.077 |
| Nebraska | 11 | 286,176 | 0.038 |
| Nevada | 58 | 340,707 | 0.170 |
| New Hampshire | 5 | 210,454 | 0.024 |
| New Jersey | 13 | 1,309,839 | 0.010 |
| New Mexico | 32 | 316,548 | 0.101 |
| New York | 89 | 2,940,000 | 0.030 |
| North Carolina | 77 | 1,265,810 | 0.061 |
| North Dakota | 3 | 105,635 | 0.028 |
| Ohio | 1,211 | 1,821,200 | 0.665 |
| Oklahoma | 9 | 625,577 | 0.014 |
| Oregon | 40 | 547,200 | 0.073 |
| Pennsylvania | 42 | 1,811,030 | 0.023 |
| Puerto Rico | 0 | 612,777 | 0.000 |
| Rhode Island | 9 | 158,141 | 0.057 |
| South Carolina | 43 | 647,400 | 0.066 |
| South Dakota | 12 | 128,133 | 0.094 |
| Tennessee | 88 | 905,100 | 0.097 |
| Texas | 204 | 4,033,697 | 0.051 |
| Utah | 53 | 475,269 | 0.112 |
| Vermont | 3 | 104,001 | 0.029 |
| Virginia | 204 | 1,144,054 | 0.178 |
| Washington | 116 | 1,009,407 | 0.115 |
| West Virginia | 12 | 285,169 | 0.042 |
| Wisconsin | 67 | 876,243 | 0.076 |
| Wyoming | 6 | 89,553 | 0.067 |
| American Samoa | 0 | 15,583 | 0.000 |
| Guam | 0 | 33,696 | 0.000 |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 1,004 | 0.000 |
| Virgin Islands | 4 | 20,757 | 0.193 |
| Total | 3,657 | 47,842,898 | 0.076 |

**Data Notes:**

\*The 2000 public enrollment numbers shown in this table are estimates provided by state education agencies to the Common Core of Data Surveys done by the National Center for Education Statistics. The final Fall 2000 numbers may differ slightly.

**Table 2**

Number of students found to have brought a firearm to school, by school level, 2000-2001

|  | **School Level** | | |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State/Outlying Area** | **Elementary** | **Junior-High** | **Senior-High** | **Total** |
| Alabama | 49 | 60 | 91 | 200 |
| Alaska | 1 | 0 | 9 | 10 |
| Arizona | 35 | 31 | 65 | 131 |
| Arkansas | 6 | 9 | 17 | 32 |
| California | 18 | 32 | 73 | 123 |
| Colorado | 0 | 5 | 19 | 24 |
| Connecticut | 0 | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| Delaware | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Florida | 10 | 21 | 64 | 95 |
| Georgia | 11 | 39 | 61 | 111 |
| Hawaii | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Idaho | 0 | 7 | 10 | 17 |
| Illinois | 5 | 2 | 25 | 32 |
| Indiana | 2 | 5 | 14 | 21 |
| Iowa | 0 | 1 | 10 | 11 |
| Kansas | 6 | 4 | 26 | 36 |
| Kentucky | 0 | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| Louisiana | 38 | 38 | 37 | 113 |
| Maine | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Maryland | 3 | 3 | 21 | 27 |
| Massachusetts | 1 | 7 | 10 | 18 |
| Michigan | 9 | 36 | 45 | 90 |
| Minnesota | 1 | 3 | 8 | 12 |
| Mississippi | 8 | 12 | 44 | 64 |
| Missouri | 6 | 13 | 30 | 49 |
| Montana | 1 | 4 | 7 | 12 |
| Nebraska | 0 | 1 | 10 | 11 |
| Nevada | 4 | 21 | 33 | 58 |
| New Hampshire | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| New Jersey | 0 | 7 | 6 | 13 |
| New Mexico | 5 | 7 | 20 | 32 |
| New York | 20 | 17 | 52 | 89 |
| North Carolina | 6 | 21 | 50 | 77 |
| North Dakota | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Ohio | 522 | 355 | 334 | 1,211 |
| Oklahoma | 0 | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| Oregon | 0 | 15 | 25 | 40 |
| Pennsylvania | 12 | 17 | 13 | 42 |
| Puerto Rico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rhode Island | 0 | 7 | 2 | 9 |
| South Carolina | 5 | 3 | 35 | 43 |
| South Dakota | 3 | 1 | 8 | 12 |
| Tennessee | 8 | 32 | 48 | 88 |
| Texas | 13 | 51 | 140 | 204 |
| Utah | 11 | 12 | 30 | 53 |
| Vermont | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Virginia | 50 | 61 | 93 | 204 |
| Washington | 10 | 39 | 67 | 116 |
| West Virginia | 1 | 1 | 10 | 12 |
| Wisconsin | 3 | 25 | 39 | 67 |
| Wyoming | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Total | 884 | 1,034 | 1,739 | 3,657 |

**Table 3**

Number of students found to have brought a firearm to school, by type of firearm, 2000-2001

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Type of Firearm** | | |  |
| **State/Outlying Area** | **Handgun** | **Rifle** | **Other** | **Total** |
| Alabama | 70 | 16 | 114 | 200 |
| Alaska | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| Arizona | 60 | 8 | 63 | 131 |
| Arkansas | 26 | 6 | 0 | 32 |
| California | 117 | 6 | 0 | 123 |
| Colorado | 13 | 8 | 3 | 24 |
| Connecticut | 4 | 0 | 5 | 9 |
| Delaware | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Florida | 70 | 6 | 19 | 95 |
| Georgia | 67 | 10 | 34 | 111 |
| Hawaii | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Idaho | 11 | 3 | 3 | 17 |
| Illinois | 27 | 4 | 1 | 32 |
| Indiana | 13 | 4 | 4 | 21 |
| Iowa | 5 | 1 | 5 | 11 |
| Kansas | 14 | 11 | 11 | 36 |
| Kentucky | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Louisiana | 97 | 6 | 10 | 113 |
| Maine | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Maryland | 20 | 6 | 1 | 27 |
| Massachusetts | 10 | 0 | 8 | 18 |
| Michigan | 59 | 7 | 24 | 90 |
| Minnesota | 6 | 6 | 0 | 12 |
| Mississippi | 59 | 4 | 1 | 64 |
| Missouri | 20 | 11 | 18 | 49 |
| Montana | 3 | 1 | 8 | 12 |
| Nebraska | 5 | 3 | 3 | 11 |
| Nevada | 39 | 2 | 17 | 58 |
| New Hampshire | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 |
| New Jersey | 11 | 0 | 2 | 13 |
| New Mexico | 16 | 3 | 13 | 32 |
| New York | 26 | 15 | 48 | 89 |
| North Carolina | 51 | 14 | 12 | 77 |
| North Dakota | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Ohio | 382 | 0 | 829 | 1,211 |
| Oklahoma | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 |
| Oregon | 21 | 4 | 15 | 40 |
| Pennsylvania | 29 | 6 | 7 | 42 |
| Puerto Rico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rhode Island | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| South Carolina | 37 | 5 | 1 | 43 |
| South Dakota | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| Tennessee | 65 | 12 | 11 | 88 |
| Texas | 140 | 47 | 17 | 204 |
| Utah | 20 | 4 | 29 | 53 |
| Vermont | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Virginia | 52 | 4 | 148 | 204 |
| Washington | 43 | 20 | 53 | 116 |
| West Virginia | 4 | 3 | 5 | 12 |
| Wisconsin | 28 | 6 | 33 | 67 |
| Wyoming | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 1,778 | 291 | 1,588 | 3,657 |

**Table 4**

Total number of students found to have brought a firearm to school, by state, 1999-2000 and 2000-2001

|  | **Year** | |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State/Outlying Area** | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** | **# Change** | **% Change** |
| Alabama | 154 | 200 | 46 | 30% |
| Alaska | 17 | 10 | -7 | -41% |
| Arizona | 56 | 131 | 75 | 134% |
| Arkansas | 23 | 32 | 9 | 39% |
| California | 154 | 123 | -31 | -20% |
| Colorado | 42 | 24 | -18 | -43% |
| Connecticut | 6 | 9 | 3 | 50% |
| Delaware | 2 | 1 | -1 | -50% |
| District of Columbia | 3 | 0 | -3 | 0% |
| Florida | 67 | 95 | 28 | 42% |
| Georgia | 117 | 111 | -6 | -5% |
| Hawaii | 3 | 0 | -3 | 0% |
| Idaho | 19 | 17 | -2 | -11% |
| Illinois | 40 | 32 | -8 | -20% |
| Indiana | 33 | 21 | -12 | -36% |
| Iowa | 20 | 11 | -9 | -45% |
| Kansas | 40 | 36 | -4 | -10% |
| Kentucky | 12 | 7 | -5 | -42% |
| Louisiana | 73 | 113 | 40 | 55% |
| Maine | 3 | 1 | -2 | -67% |
| Maryland | 35 | 27 | -8 | -23% |
| Massachusetts | 10 | 18 | 8 | 80% |
| Michigan | 100 | 90 | -10 | -10% |
| Minnesota | 15 | 12 | -3 | -20% |
| Mississippi | 36 | 64 | 28 | 78% |
| Missouri | 102 | 49 | -53 | -52% |
| Montana | 22 | 12 | -10 | -45% |
| Nebraska | 20 | 11 | -9 | -45% |
| Nevada | 45 | 58 | 13 | 29% |
| New Hampshire | 3 | 5 | 2 | 67% |
| New Jersey | 29 | 13 | -16 | -55% |
| New Mexico | 23 | 32 | 9 | 39% |
| New York | 98 | 89 | -9 | -9% |
| North Carolina | 78 | 77 | -1 | -1% |
| North Dakota | 0 | 3 | 3 | -- |
| Ohio | 199 | 1,211 | 1012 | 509% |
| Oklahoma | 31 | 9 | -22 | -71% |
| Oregon | 87 | 40 | -47 | -54% |
| Pennsylvania | 76 | 42 | -34 | -45% |
| Puerto Rico | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0% |
| Rhode Island | 6 | 9 | 3 | 50% |
| South Carolina | 55 | 43 | -12 | -22% |
| South Dakota | 3 | 12 | 9 | 300% |
| Tennessee | 109 | 88 | -21 | -19% |
| Texas | 237 | 204 | -33 | -14% |
| Utah | 50 | 53 | 3 | 6% |
| Vermont | 1 | 3 | 2 | 200% |
| Virginia | 259 | 204 | -55 | -21% |
| Washington | 144 | 116 | -28 | -19% |
| West Virginia | 9 | 12 | 3 | 33% |
| Wisconsin | 51 | 67 | 16 | 31% |
| Wyoming | 16 | 6 | -10 | -62% |
| American Samoa | MD | 0 |  |  |
| Guam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Virgin Islands | 3 | 4 | 1 | 33% |
| Total | 2,837 | 3,657 | 820 | 29% |

**Data Notes:**

American Samoa did not report 1999-00 data.

MD=Missing Data

--The percentage change cannot be calculated.

Four states (Massachusetts, Mississippi, South Dakota, and Washington) revised their 1999-2000 data from previously published numbers.

The data reported for New York does not include New York City for the 2000-2001 reporting year.

**Table 5**

Number and percent of students found to have brought a firearm to school for which the 1-year expulsion was shortened on a case-by-case basis, 2000-2001

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State/Outlying Area** | **Number of Expulsions** | **Number shortened** | **Percent shortened** |
| Alabama | 200 | 13 | 6% |
| Alaska | 10 | 1 | 10% |
| Arizona | 131 | 47 | 36% |
| California | 123 | 15 | 12% |
| Colorado | 24 | 12 | 50% |
| Connecticut | 9 | 6 | 67% |
| Delaware | 1 | 0 | 0% |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Florida | 95 | 15 | 16% |
| Georgia | 111 | 26 | 23% |
| Hawaii | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Idaho | 17 | 3 | 18% |
| Illinois | 32 | 10 | 31% |
| Indiana | 21 | 4 | 19% |
| Iowa | 11 | 4 | 36% |
| Kansas | 36 | 19 | 53% |
| Kentucky | 7 | 0 | 0% |
| Louisiana | 113 | 7 | 6% |
| Maine | 1 | 0 | 0% |
| Maryland | 27 | 8 | 30% |
| Massachusetts | 18 | 0 | 0% |
| Michigan | 90 | 35 | 39% |
| Minnesota | 12 | 9 | 75% |
| Mississippi | 64 | 27 | 42% |
| Missouri | 49 | 6 | 12% |
| Montana | 12 | 0 | 0% |
| Nebraska | 11 | 4 | 36% |
| Nevada | 58 | 4 | 7% |
| New Hampshire | 5 | 4 | 80% |
| New Jersey | 13 | 2 | 15% |
| New Mexico | 32 | 9 | 28% |
| New York | 89 | 46 | 52% |
| North Carolina | 77 | 26 | 34% |
| North Dakota | 3 | 3 | 100% |
| Ohio | 1,211 | 819 | 68% |
| Oklahoma | 9 | 7 | 78% |
| Oregon | 40 | 23 | 58% |
| Pennsylvania | 42 | 33 | 79% |
| Puerto Rico | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Rhode Island | 9 | 9 | 100% |
| South Carolina | 43 | 11 | 26% |
| South Dakota | 12 | 10 | 83% |
| Tennessee | 88 | 36 | 41% |
| Texas | 204 | 70 | 34% |
| Utah | 53 | 4 | 8% |
| Vermont | 3 | 2 | 67% |
| Virginia | 204 | 1 | 0% |
| Washington | 116 | 89 | 77% |
| West Virginia | 12 | 6 | 50% |
| Wisconsin | 67 | 36 | 54% |
| Wyoming | 6 | 1 | 17% |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Guam | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Virgin Islands | 4 | 2 | 50% |
| Total | 3,657 | 1,524 | 42% |

**Data Notes:**

Arkansas did not provide information on the number of shortened expulsions for students found to have brought a firearm to school.

**Table 6**

Number and percent of shortened expulsions that were for non-disabled students found to have brought a firearm to school, 2000-2001

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State/Outlying Area** | **Number shortened** | **Number non-disabled shortened** | **Percent non-disabled shortened** |
| Alabama | 13 | 2 | 15% |
| Alaska | 1 | 1 | 100% |
| Arizona | 47 | 34 | 72% |
| California | 15 | 15 | 100% |
| Colorado | 12 | 8 | 67% |
| Connecticut | 6 | 4 | 67% |
| Delaware | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Florida | 15 | 9 | 60% |
| Georgia | 26 | 21 | 81% |
| Hawaii | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Idaho | 3 | 2 | 67% |
| Illinois | 10 | 8 | 80% |
| Indiana | 4 | 3 | 75% |
| Iowa | 4 | 3 | 75% |
| Kansas | 19 | 12 | 63% |
| Kentucky | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Louisiana | 7 | 0 | 0% |
| Maine | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Maryland | 8 | 1 | 12% |
| Massachusetts | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Michigan | 35 | 22 | 63% |
| Minnesota | 9 | 6 | 67% |
| Mississippi | 27 | 19 | 70% |
| Missouri | 6 | 2 | 33% |
| Montana | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Nebraska | 4 | 2 | 50% |
| Nevada | 4 | 3 | 75% |
| New Hampshire | 4 | 1 | 25% |
| New Jersey | 2 | 2 | 100% |
| New Mexico | 9 | 6 | 67% |
| New York | 46 | 20 | 43% |
| North Carolina | 26 | 12 | 46% |
| North Dakota | 3 | 3 | 100% |
| Ohio | 819 | 610 | 74% |
| Oklahoma | 7 | 7 | 100% |
| Oregon | 23 | 14 | 61% |
| Pennsylvania | 33 | 30 | 91% |
| Puerto Rico | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Rhode Island | 9 | 9 | 100% |
| South Carolina | 11 | 7 | 64% |
| South Dakota | 10 | 10 | 100% |
| Tennessee | 36 | 32 | 89% |
| Texas | 70 | 54 | 77% |
| Utah | 4 | 3 | 75% |
| Vermont | 2 | 1 | 50% |
| Virginia | 1 | 1 | 100% |
| Washington | 89 | 69 | 78% |
| West Virginia | 6 | 4 | 67% |
| Wisconsin | 36 | 18 | 50% |
| Wyoming | 1 | 1 | 100% |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Guam | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Virgin Islands | 2 | 2 | 100% |
| Total | 1,524 | 1,093 | 72% |

**Data Notes:**

Arkansas did not provide information on the number of non-disabled students found to have brought a firearm to school.

**Table 7**

Number and percent of students found to have brought a firearm to school that were referred to an alternative placement, by state, 2000-2001

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State/Outlying Area** | **Number of Expulsions** | **Number Referred** | **Percent Referred** |
| Alabama | 200 | 0 | 0% |
| Alaska | 10 | 2 | 20% |
| Arizona | 131 | 63 | 48% |
| Arkansas | 32 | 11 | 34% |
| California | 123 | 106 | 86% |
| Colorado | 24 | 10 | 42% |
| Connecticut | 9 | 1 | 11% |
| Delaware | 1 | 0 | 0% |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Florida | 95 | 50 | 53% |
| Georgia | 111 | 48 | 43% |
| Hawaii | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Idaho | 17 | 7 | 41% |
| Illinois | 32 | 12 | 38% |
| Indiana | 21 | 4 | 19% |
| Iowa | 11 | 7 | 64% |
| Kansas | 36 | 15 | 42% |
| Kentucky | 7 | 1 | 14% |
| Louisiana | 113 | 101 | 89% |
| Maine | 1 | 0 | 0% |
| Maryland | 27 | 10 | 37% |
| Massachusetts | 18 | 0 | 0% |
| Michigan | 90 | 52 | 58% |
| Mississippi | 64 | 9 | 14% |
| Missouri | 49 | 14 | 29% |
| Nebraska | 11 | 6 | 55% |
| Nevada | 58 | 43 | 74% |
| New Hampshire | 5 | 2 | 40% |
| New Jersey | 13 | 6 | 46% |
| New Mexico | 32 | 6 | 19% |
| New York | 89 | 39 | 44% |
| North Carolina | 77 | 13 | 17% |
| North Dakota | 3 | 3 | 100% |
| Oklahoma | 9 | 5 | 56% |
| Oregon | 40 | 28 | 70% |
| Pennsylvania | 42 | 11 | 26% |
| Puerto Rico | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Rhode Island | 9 | 0 | 0% |
| South Carolina | 43 | 2 | 5% |
| South Dakota | 12 | 2 | 17% |
| Tennessee | 88 | 49 | 56% |
| Texas | 204 | 152 | 75% |
| Vermont | 3 | 1 | 33% |
| Virginia | 204 | 0 | 0% |
| Washington | 116 | 70 | 60% |
| West Virginia | 12 | 4 | 33% |
| Wisconsin | 67 | 27 | 40% |
| Wyoming | 6 | 0 | 0% |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Guam | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Virgin Islands | 4 | 2 | 50% |
| Total | 2,369 | 994 | 42% |

**Data Notes:**

The GFSA has provisions in place that allow local officials to refer expelled students to an alternative school or program.

Minnesota, Montana, Ohio, and Utah did not provide information of GFSA violations referred to an alternative placement for 2000-2001.

**Table 8**

Percent of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state and percent of LEAs reporting offenses, by state, 2000-2001

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **State/Outlying Area** | **Percent of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report** | **Percent of LEAs that reported an offense** |
| Alabama | 100% | 47% |
| Alaska | 100% | 9% |
| Arizona | 99% | 12% |
| Arkansas | 100% | 10% |
| California | 100% | 6% |
| Colorado | 100% | 10% |
| Connecticut | 100% | 4% |
| Delaware | 100% | \* |
| District of Columbia | 100% | 0% |
| Florida | 100% | 40% |
| Georgia | 100% | 23% |
| Hawaii | 100% | 0% |
| Idaho | 100% | 10% |
| Illinois | 100% | 2% |
| Indiana | 100% | 6% |
| Iowa | 100% | 3% |
| Kansas | 100% | 8% |
| Kentucky | 100% | 2% |
| Louisiana | 100% | 74% |
| Maine | 100% | \* |
| Maryland | 100% | 36% |
| Massachusetts | 94% | 3% |
| Michigan | 100% | \* |
| Minnesota | 100% | 3% |
| Mississippi | 100% | 23% |
| Missouri | 96% | 6% |
| Montana | 100% | 1% |
| Nebraska | 100% | \* |
| Nevada | 100% | 24% |
| New Hampshire | 100% | 5% |
| New Jersey | 100% | 2% |
| New Mexico | 97% | 14% |
| New York | 99% | MD |
| North Carolina | 100% | 35% |
| North Dakota | 100% | 1% |
| Ohio | 91% | 29% |
| Oklahoma | 100% | 2% |
| Oregon | 97% | 10% |
| Pennsylvania | 100% | 3% |
| Puerto Rico | 100% | 0% |
| Rhode Island | 100% | 10% |
| South Carolina | 100% | 33% |
| South Dakota | 99% | 5% |
| Tennessee | 100% | 16% |
| Texas | 100% | 10% |
| Utah | 100% | 33% |
| Vermont | 100% | \* |
| Virginia | 100% | 56% |
| Washington | 95% | 21% |
| West Virginia | 100% | 13% |
| Wisconsin | 100% | 5% |
| Wyoming | 100% | 13% |
| American Samoa | 100% | 0% |
| Guam | 100% | 0% |
| Northern Marianas | 100% | 0% |
| Virgin Islands | 100% | 100% |

**Data Notes:**

Less than 0.05 percent.

MD=Missing Data

**Table 9**

Total Number of students found to have brought a firearm to school, 1996-1997 through 2000-2001

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **School Year** | | | | |
| **State/Outlying Area** | **1996-1997** | **1997-1998** | **1998-1999** | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Alabama | 91 | 82 | 174 | 154 | 200 |
| Alaska | 19 | 18 | 30 | 17 | 10 |
| Arizona | 152 | 111 | 101 | 56 | 131 |
| Arkansas | 62 | 57 | 66 | 23 | 32 |
| California | 723 | 384 | 290 | 154 | 123 |
| Colorado | 131 | 30 | 110 | 42 | 24 |
| Connecticut | 19 | 9 | 11 | 6 | 9 |
| Delaware | 7 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 1 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 0 |
| Florida | 202 | 149 | 94 | 67 | 95 |
| Georgia | 244 | 203 | 208 | 117 | 111 |
| Hawaii | 0 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 |
| Idaho | 33 | 42 | 31 | 19 | 17 |
| Illinois | 250 | 86 | 77 | 40 | 32 |
| Indiana | 109 | 62 | 103 | 33 | 21 |
| Iowa | 40 | 30 | 17 | 20 | 11 |
| Kansas | 43 | 33 | 52 | 40 | 36 |
| Kentucky | 70 | 72 | 37 | 12 | 7 |
| Louisiana | 88 | 25 | 21 | 73 | 113 |
| Maine | 13 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 1 |
| Maryland | 73 | 32 | 34 | 35 | 27 |
| Massachusetts | 54 | 46 | 43 | 10 | 18 |
| Michigan | 92 | 99 | 106 | 100 | 90 |
| Minnesota | 18 | 45 | 24 | 15 | 12 |
| Mississippi | 11 | 47 | 24 | 36 | 64 |
| Missouri | 318 | 179 | 171 | 102 | 49 |
| Montana | 12 | 17 | 15 | 22 | 12 |
| Nebraska | 20 | 11 | 15 | 20 | 11 |
| Nevada | 54 | 36 | 52 | 45 | 58 |
| New Hampshire | 15 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 5 |
| New Jersey | 57 | 40 | 51 | 29 | 13 |
| New Mexico | 71 | 32 | 47 | 23 | 32 |
| New York | 128 | 91 | 206 | 98 | 89 |
| North Carolina | 138 | 121 | 141 | 78 | 77 |
| North Dakota | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Ohio | MD | 119 | 77 | 199 | 1,211 |
| Oklahoma | 0 | 17 | 16 | 31 | 9 |
| Oregon | 85 | 135 | 48 | 87 | 40 |
| Pennsylvania | 200 | 121 | 76 | 76 | 42 |
| Puerto Rico | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| South Carolina | 94 | 85 | 52 | 55 | 43 |
| South Dakota | 7 | 26 | 9 | 3 | 12 |
| Tennessee | 98 | 192 | 152 | 109 | 88 |
| Texas | 532 | 424 | 294 | 237 | 204 |
| Utah | 80 | 9 | 13 | 50 | 53 |
| Vermont | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Virginia | 92 | 99 | 115 | 259 | 204 |
| Washington | 146 | 118 | 115 | 144 | 116 |
| West Virginia | 27 | 17 | 14 | 9 | 12 |
| Wisconsin | 54 | 66 | 71 | 51 | 67 |
| Wyoming | 0 | 0 | 11 | 16 | 6 |
| American Samoa | MD | 0 | 0 | MD | 0 |
| Guam | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Northern Marianas | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| Total | 4,787 | 3,660 | 3,477 | 2,837 | 3,657 |

**Data Notes:**

MD=Missing Data

Please view year-to-year shifts with caution as changes may reflect changes in reporting rather than changes in behavior.

**Alabama**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 10 | 2 | 37 | 49 |
| **Junior High** | 25 | 0 | 35 | 60 |
| **Senior High** | 35 | 14 | 42 | 91 |
| **Total** | 70 | 16 | 114 | 200 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 13 | 6% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 2 | 15% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 47% |

**Alabama (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

The data is self-reported and collected at the local school level. Statewide, several hundred individuals perform this task and human error is possible as a result.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 154 | 200 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 46 |
| **Percent Change** | | 30% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Alaska**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| **Total** | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 1 | 10% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 1 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 2 | 20% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 9% |

**Alaska (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 17 | 10 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -7 |
| **Percent Change** | | -41% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Arizona**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 5 | 0 | 30 | 35 |
| **Junior High** | 15 | 0 | 16 | 31 |
| **Senior High** | 40 | 8 | 17 | 65 |
| **Total** | 60 | 8 | 63 | 131 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 47 | 36% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 34 | 72% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 63 | 48% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 99% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 12% |

**Arizona (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted**.

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 56 | 131 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 75 |
| **Percent Change** | | 134% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Arkansas**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| **Junior High** | 9 | 0 |  | 9 |
| **Senior High** | 12 | 5 | 0 | 17 |
| **Total** | 26 | 6 | 0 | 32 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | Missing Data | -- |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | Missing Data | -- |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 11 | 34% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 10% |

**Arkansas (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 23 | 32 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 9 |
| **Percent Change** | | 39% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**California**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 16 | 2 | 0 | 18 |
| **Junior High** | 30 | 2 | 0 | 32 |
| **Senior High** | 71 | 2 | 0 | 73 |
| **Total** | 117 | 6 | 0 | 123 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 15 | 12% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 15 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 106 | 86% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 6% |

**California (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

The GFSA reporting form for 2000-2001 has been revised slightly to include more information on items that are not considered to be reportable under GFSA requirements. In particular, at the bottom of the reporting form we have added items to the description.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | Yes, our State law has changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 154 | 123 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -31 |
| **Percent Change** | | -20% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** Question 1. One senior brought a handgun and rifle/shotgun to school, this has been counted as one student under handgun. Question 9. The State has provided a copy of the revised statute, which is located at the U.S. Department of Education.

**Colorado**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| **Senior High** | 10 | 7 | 2 | 19 |
| **Total** | 13 | 8 | 3 | 24 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 12 | 50% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 8 | 67% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 10 | 42% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 10% |

**Colorado (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 42 | 24 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -18 |
| **Percent Change** | | -43% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Connecticut**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| **Senior High** | 3 | 0 | 4 | 7 |
| **Total** | 4 | 0 | 5 | 9 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 6 | 67% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 4 | 67% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 1 | 11% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 4% |

**Connecticut (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

The Connecticut State Department of Education collects all weapon offenses that occur both on and off school property regardless of whether the offense resulted in an in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension, or expulsion. When reporting weapon offenses, the schools must select from a list of options that include: knife with a 2 1/2" blade, pellet gun, handgun, rifle/shotgun, full automatic, explosives, fireworks, and "other". The "other" category includes all other weapons such as martial arts scissors, razor blades, etc. To meet the reporting requirements of the Gun-Free Schools Act, only weapons offenses resulting in an expulsion involving a handgun, rifle/shotgun and other firearm on school property or at a school sponsored event were reported. The State of Connecticut reported that eleven students were involved in such weapon offenses during 2000-2001 school year, and involved an expulsion up to 180 school days. These offenses occurred on school property and were confirmed with the school administrator prior to completing this report. Two children served for an entire school year; the other expulsions were reported with less than 180 days served. What is not known is whether these shortened expulsions were modified after a longer term had been originally assigned.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 6 | 9 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 3 |
| **Percent Change** | | 50% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** Question 10a. The State provided a copy of the revised statute. Question 10b. State funds combined with funds from other sources are used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA.

**Delaware**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **Total** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | Less than .05% |

**Delaware (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 2 | 1 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -1 |
| **Percent Change** | | -50% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**District of Columbia**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Total** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 0% |

**District of Columbia (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 3 | 0 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -3 |
| **Percent Change** | | 0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Florida**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| **Junior High** | 17 | 1 | 3 | 21 |
| **Senior High** | 43 | 5 | 16 | 64 |
| **Total** | 70 | 6 | 19 | 95 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 15 | 16% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 9 | 60% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 50 | 53% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 40% |

**Florida (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | Yes, our State law has changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 67 | 95 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 28 |
| **Percent Change** | | 42% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** Question 9. The State has provided a copy of the revised statute, which is located at the U.S. Department of Education.

**Georgia**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 6 | 0 | 5 | 11 |
| **Junior High** | 19 | 0 | 20 | 39 |
| **Senior High** | 42 | 10 | 9 | 61 |
| **Total** | 67 | 10 | 34 | 111 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 26 | 23% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 21 | 81% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 48 | 43% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 23% |

**Georgia (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 117 | 111 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -6 |
| **Percent Change** | | -5% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Hawaii**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Total** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 0% |

**Hawaii (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 3 | 0 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -3 |
| **Percent Change** | | 0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Idaho**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 4 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| **Senior High** | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 |
| **Total** | 11 | 3 | 3 | 17 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 3 | 18% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 2 | 67% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 7 | 41% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 10% |

**Idaho (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 19 | 17 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -2 |
| **Percent Change** | | -11% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Illinois**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| **Junior High** | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| **Senior High** | 21 | 4 | 0 | 25 |
| **Total** | 27 | 4 | 1 | 32 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 10 | 31% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 8 | 80% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 12 | 38% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 2% |

**Illinois (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

The data collected and reported here have been verified and is accurate.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 40 | 32 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -8 |
| **Percent Change** | | -20% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Indiana**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| **Junior High** | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| **Senior High** | 8 | 4 | 2 | 14 |
| **Total** | 13 | 4 | 4 | 21 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 4 | 19% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 3 | 75% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 4 | 19% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 6% |

**Indiana (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 33 | 21 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -12 |
| **Percent Change** | | -36% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Iowa**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **Senior High** | 4 | 1 | 5 | 10 |
| **Total** | 5 | 1 | 5 | 11 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 4 | 36% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 3 | 75% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 7 | 64% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 3% |

**Iowa (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

One hundred percent of the districts responded. Specific questions were given as well as customer service provided to LEAs. In addition, edits were built into the web collection application.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 20 | 11 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -9 |
| **Percent Change** | | -45% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Kansas**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 4 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| **Junior High** | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| **Senior High** | 7 | 11 | 8 | 26 |
| **Total** | 14 | 11 | 11 | 36 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 19 | 53% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 12 | 63% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 15 | 42% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 8% |

**Kansas (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 40 | 36 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -4 |
| **Percent Change** | | -10% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Kentucky**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| **Senior High** | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| **Total** | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 1 | 14% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 2% |

**Kentucky (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 12 | 7 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -5 |
| **Percent Change** | | -42% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Louisiana**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 37 | 0 | 1 | 38 |
| **Junior High** | 35 | 1 | 2 | 38 |
| **Senior High** | 25 | 5 | 7 | 37 |
| **Total** | 97 | 6 | 10 | 113 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 7 | 6% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 101 | 89% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 74% |

**Louisiana (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 73 | 113 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 40 |
| **Percent Change** | | 55% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Maine**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Total** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | Less than .05% |

**Maine (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 3 | 1 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -2 |
| **Percent Change** | | -67% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Maryland**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| **Junior High** | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| **Senior High** | 14 | 6 | 1 | 21 |
| **Total** | 20 | 6 | 1 | 27 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 8 | 30% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 1 | 12% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 10 | 37% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 36% |

**Maryland (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 35 | 27 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -8 |
| **Percent Change** | | -23% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Massachusetts**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| **Junior High** | 4 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| **Senior High** | 6 | 0 | 4 | 10 |
| **Total** | 10 | 0 | 8 | 18 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 94% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 3% |

**Massachusetts (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 10 | 18 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 8 |
| **Percent Change** | | 80% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Michigan**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 7 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| **Junior High** | 28 | 0 | 8 | 36 |
| **Senior High** | 24 | 7 | 14 | 45 |
| **Total** | 59 | 7 | 24 | 90 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 35 | 39% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 22 | 63% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 52 | 58% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | Less than .05% |

**Michigan (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 100 | 90 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -10 |
| **Percent Change** | | -10% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Minnesota**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **Junior High** | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| **Senior High** | 3 | 5 | 0 | 8 |
| **Total** | 6 | 6 | 0 | 12 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 9 | 75% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 6 | 67% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | Missing Data | -- |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 5 | 0.05% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 3% |

**Minnesota (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 15 | 12 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -3 |
| **Percent Change** | | -20% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** Question 6. Five districts have not provided assurances.

**Mississippi**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 7 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| **Junior High** | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| **Senior High** | 40 | 4 | 0 | 44 |
| **Total** | 59 | 4 | 1 | 64 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 27 | 42% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 19 | 70% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 9 | 14% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 23% |

**Mississippi (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 36 | 64 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 28 |
| **Percent Change** | | 78% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Missouri**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| **Junior High** | 5 | 1 | 7 | 13 |
| **Senior High** | 10 | 9 | 11 | 30 |
| **Total** | 20 | 11 | 18 | 49 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 6 | 12% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 2 | 33% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 14 | 29% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 96% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 6% |

**Missouri (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 102 | 49 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -53 |
| **Percent Change** | | -52% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Montana**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **Junior High** | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| **Senior High** | 1 | 1 | 5 | 7 |
| **Total** | 3 | 1 | 8 | 12 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | Missing Data | -- |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 1% |

**Montana (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 22 | 12 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -10 |
| **Percent Change** | | -45% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Nebraska**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **Senior High** | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| **Total** | 5 | 3 | 3 | 11 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 4 | 36% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 2 | 50% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 6 | 55% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | Less than .05% |

**Nebraska (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 20 | 11 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -9 |
| **Percent Change** | | -45% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Nevada**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| **Junior High** | 14 | 0 | 7 | 21 |
| **Senior High** | 25 | 2 | 6 | 33 |
| **Total** | 39 | 2 | 17 | 58 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 4 | 7% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 3 | 75% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 43 | 74% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 24% |

**Nevada (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 45 | 58 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 13 |
| **Percent Change** | | 29% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**New Hampshire**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 |
| **Total** | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 4 | 80% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 1 | 25% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 2 | 40% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 5% |

**New Hampshire (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 3 | 5 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 2 |
| **Percent Change** | | 67% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**New Jersey**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 5 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| **Senior High** | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| **Total** | 11 | 0 | 2 | 13 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 2 | 15% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 2 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 6 | 46% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 2% |

**New Jersey (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

Data were submitted over the internet. Individual incident and offender information was recorded. Data was verified, first by districts, then by SEA staff.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 29 | 13 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -16 |
| **Percent Change** | | -55% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**New Mexico**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| **Junior High** | 2 | 0 | 5 | 7 |
| **Senior High** | 12 | 3 | 5 | 20 |
| **Total** | 16 | 3 | 13 | 32 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 9 | 28% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 6 | 67% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 6 | 19% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 97% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 14% |

**New Mexico (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

Fewer than 100% LEAs responded to the state.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 23 | 32 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 9 |
| **Percent Change** | | 39% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**New York**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 5 | 2 | 13 | 20 |
| **Junior High** | 6 | 0 | 11 | 17 |
| **Senior High** | 15 | 13 | 24 | 52 |
| **Total** | 26 | 15 | 48 | 89 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 46 | 52% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 20 | 43% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 39 | 44% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 99% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | Missing Data |

**New York (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

The Gun-Free School Data is from the annual building data collection system (BEDS). It represents 702 of the 703 school districts. It does not include New York City data.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 98 | 89 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -9 |
| **Percent Change** | | -9% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** The New York submission does not include data for New York City for the 2000-2001 school year. The New York City School District has assigned authority to have all safety and security issues handled by the New York City Police Department including data collection. To date, despite numerous attempts the State Department of Education has not been able to get the data.

**North Carolina**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 4 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| **Junior High** | 13 | 0 | 8 | 21 |
| **Senior High** | 34 | 14 | 2 | 50 |
| **Total** | 51 | 14 | 12 | 77 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 26 | 34% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 12 | 46% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 13 | 17% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 35% |

**North Carolina (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 78 | 77 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -1 |
| **Percent Change** | | -1% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**North Dakota**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| **Total** | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 3 | 100% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 3 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 3 | 100% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 1% |

**North Dakota (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 0 | 3 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 3 |
| **Percent Change** | | % |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Ohio**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 185 | 0 | 337 | 522 |
| **Junior High** | 97 | 0 | 258 | 355 |
| **Senior High** | 100 | 0 | 234 | 334 |
| **Total** | 382 | 0 | 829 | 1,211 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 819 | 68% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 610 | 74% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | Missing Data | -- |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 91% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 29% |

**Ohio (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

The Ohio Department of Education did not track referrals or policy requiring information to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system (Question 6.). The Ohio Department of Education reports on the number of incidences not students in this report.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 199 | 1,211 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 1,012 |
| **Percent Change** | | 509% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** Beginning in the 2000-2001 school-year, the State has implemented a new data collection system. The substantial increase in the number of expulsions is due to better reporting. Question 5. The school districts did not apply for any federal funding.

**Oklahoma**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| **Senior High** | 4 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| **Total** | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 7 | 78% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 7 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 5 | 56% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 2% |

**Oklahoma (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 31 | 9 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -22 |
| **Percent Change** | | -71% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Oregon**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 7 | 0 | 8 | 15 |
| **Senior High** | 14 | 4 | 7 | 25 |
| **Total** | 21 | 4 | 15 | 40 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 23 | 58% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 14 | 61% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 28 | 70% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 97% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 10% |

**Oregon (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 87 | 40 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -47 |
| **Percent Change** | | -54% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** Question 10a. State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students to an alternative setting, except in the case a student is expelled for weapons possession.

**Pennsylvania**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 8 | 0 | 4 | 12 |
| **Junior High** | 14 | 2 | 1 | 17 |
| **Senior High** | 7 | 4 | 2 | 13 |
| **Total** | 29 | 6 | 7 | 42 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 33 | 79% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 30 | 91% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 11 | 26% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 3% |

**Pennsylvania (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 76 | 42 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -34 |
| **Percent Change** | | -45% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Puerto Rico**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Total** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 0% |

**Puerto Rico (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

In our procedures, school directors must report all situations related with firearms to the Legal Division. The report submitted for the schools for this period were negative and this information was certified by the Legal Division.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 1 | 0 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -1 |
| **Percent Change** | | 0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Rhode Island**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| **Senior High** | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| **Total** | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 9 | 100% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 9 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 10% |

**Rhode Island (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 6 | 9 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 3 |
| **Percent Change** | | 50% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**South Carolina**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| **Junior High** | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| **Senior High** | 30 | 5 | 0 | 35 |
| **Total** | 37 | 5 | 1 | 43 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 11 | 26% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 7 | 64% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 2 | 5% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 33% |

**South Carolina (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 55 | 43 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -12 |
| **Percent Change** | | -22% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** Question 10b. The state provides funds to support alternative schools, which students expelled for firearms may attend, but they are not aware of funds set aside for implementation of educational services targeted specifically at students expelled for firearm possession.

**South Dakota**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| **Total** | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 10 | 83% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 10 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 2 | 17% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 99% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 5% |

**South Dakota (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

Prior to the 2000-2001 data collection, South Dakota data was collected by Research Triangle Institute (RTI). This year (2000-2001), however the data collection was taken over by South Dakota's Department of Education office. There were some technical difficulties with the program, so the data reported by the schools might be inaccurate.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 3 | 12 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 9 |
| **Percent Change** | | 300% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Tennessee**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 5 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| **Junior High** | 27 | 1 | 4 | 32 |
| **Senior High** | 33 | 10 | 5 | 48 |
| **Total** | 65 | 12 | 11 | 88 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 36 | 41% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 32 | 89% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 49 | 56% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 16% |

**Tennessee (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

The information on Question 1 regarding other firearms includes incidents that the type of firearm was reported as unknown.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 109 | 88 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -21 |
| **Percent Change** | | -19% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Texas**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 12 | 0 | 1 | 13 |
| **Junior High** | 46 | 0 | 5 | 51 |
| **Senior High** | 82 | 47 | 11 | 140 |
| **Total** | 140 | 47 | 17 | 204 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 70 | 34% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 54 | 77% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 152 | 75% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 10% |

**Texas (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 237 | 204 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -33 |
| **Percent Change** | | -14% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** Question 10a. State law requires expelled students to be placed in an alternative setting for all students 10 years of age or younger, for over 10 that have been expelled for a mandatory offense, and for the 26 mandatory JJAEP counties as required by TEC 37.011.

**Utah**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 8 | 1 | 2 | 11 |
| **Junior High** | 6 | 0 | 6 | 12 |
| **Senior High** | 6 | 3 | 21 | 30 |
| **Total** | 20 | 4 | 29 | 53 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 4 | 8% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 3 | 75% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | Missing Data | -- |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 33% |

**Utah (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

Some of the weapon violations could have been look-a-likes but on current system we are unable to clarify all those.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 50 | 53 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 3 |
| **Percent Change** | | 6% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Vermont**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| **Total** | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 2 | 67% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 1 | 50% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 1 | 33% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | Less than .05% |

**Vermont (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 1 | 3 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 2 |
| **Percent Change** | | 200% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** Question 10b. There are no state funds specifically for this purpose. However, funds made available to the school district through the general state education funding system may enable a school district to develop and operate alternative education programs.

**Virginia**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 5 | 0 | 45 | 50 |
| **Junior High** | 20 | 1 | 40 | 61 |
| **Senior High** | 27 | 3 | 63 | 93 |
| **Total** | 52 | 4 | 148 | 204 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 1 | 0% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 1 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 56% |

**Virginia (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 259 | 204 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -55 |
| **Percent Change** | | -21% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Washington**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 3 | 0 | 7 | 10 |
| **Junior High** | 20 | 1 | 18 | 39 |
| **Senior High** | 20 | 19 | 28 | 67 |
| **Total** | 43 | 20 | 53 | 116 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 89 | 77% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 69 | 78% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 70 | 60% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 95% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 21% |

**Washington (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

Fewer than 100% of LEAs reported to the state.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 144 | 116 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -28 |
| **Percent Change** | | -19% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**West Virginia**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| **Senior High** | 4 | 2 | 4 | 10 |
| **Total** | 4 | 3 | 5 | 12 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 6 | 50% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 4 | 67% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 4 | 33% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 13% |

**West Virginia (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 9 | 12 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 3 |
| **Percent Change** | | 33% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Wisconsin**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| **Junior High** | 9 | 0 | 16 | 25 |
| **Senior High** | 16 | 6 | 17 | 39 |
| **Total** | 28 | 6 | 33 | 67 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 36 | 54% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 18 | 50% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 27 | 40% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 5% |

**Wisconsin (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

Data quality is high following provision of new guidance and implementation of data integrity checks as a result of program audit.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 51 | 67 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 16 |
| **Percent Change** | | 31% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Wyoming**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| **Total** | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 1 | 17% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 1 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 13% |

**Wyoming (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 16 | 6 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -10 |
| **Percent Change** | | -62% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**American Samoa**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Total** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 0% |

**American Samoa (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

The Safe Drug-Free Schools and Communities Program is our most reliable source of gathering data from the schools. These referrals reflect only incidents referred through our Specialists.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | Missing Data | 0 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | -- |
| **Percent Change** | | 0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Guam**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Total** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 0% |

**Guam (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 0 |
| **Percent Change** | | 0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Northern Marianas**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Total** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 0% |

**Northern Marianas (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 0 |
| **Percent Change** | | 0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** None.

**Virgin Islands**

**2000–2001 Data**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1**. **Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.** | | | | |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/ Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| **Senior High** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **Total** | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 2 | 50% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 2 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 2 | 50% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 | 0% |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 100% |

**Virgin Islands (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Has your State law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months?** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison— 1999–00 to 2000–01**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** |
| Total number of expulsions | 3 | 4 |
| **Change (1999-2000 to 2000-2001)** | | 1 |
| **Percent Change** | | 33% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:** Respondent indicated on the form that there is no State law that requires that a student who brings a firearm to school be expelled for one year.

**Appendix A**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Public Law 103-882 – Oct. 20, 1994**  **“PART F – GUN POSSESSION**  **“Sec. 14601. GUN-FREE REQUIREMENTS**  “(a) Short Title. – This section may be cited as the ‘Gun-Free Schools Act of 1994’.  “(b) Requirements. –  “(1) In General. – Except as provided in paragraph (3), each State receiving Federal funds under this Act shall have in effect a State law requiring local educational agencies to expel from school for a period of not less than one year a student who is determined to have brought a weapon to a school under the jurisdiction of local educational agencies in that State, except that such State law shall allow the chief administering officer of such local educational agency to modify such expulsion requirement for a student on a case-by-case basis.  “(2) Construction. – Nothing in this title shall be construed to prevent a State from allowing a local educational agency that has expelled a student from such a student’s regular school setting from providing educational services to such student in an alternative setting.  “(3) Special Rule. – (A) Any State that has a law in effect prior to the date of enactment of the Improving America’s Schools Act of 1994 which is in conflict with the not less than one year expulsion requirement described in paragraph (1) shall have the period of time described in subparagraph (B) to comply with such requirement.  “(B) The period of time shall be the period beginning on the date of enactment of the Improving America’s Schools Act and ending one year after such date.  “(4) Definition. – For the purpose of this section, the term ‘weapon’ means a firearm as such term is defined in section 921 of title 18, United States Code.  “(c) Special Rule. – The provisions of this section shall be construed in a manner consistent with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.  “(d) Report to State. – Each local educational agency requesting assistance from the State educational agency that is to be provided from funds made available to the State under this Act shall provide to the States, in the application requesting such assistance –  “(1) an assurance that such local educational agency is in compliance with the State law required by subsection (b); and  “(2) a description of the circumstances surrounding any expulsions imposed under the State law required by subsection (b), including –  “(A) the name of the school concerned;  “(B) the number of students expelled form such school; and  “(C) the type of weapons concerned.  “(e) Reporting. – Each State shall report the information described in subsection (c) to the Secretary on an annual basis.  “(f) Report to Congress. – Two years after the date of enactment of the Improving America’s Schools Act of 1994, the Secretary shall report to Congress if any State is not in compliance with the requirements of this title.  **“SEC. 14602. POLICY REGARDING CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM REFERRAL.**  “(a) In General. – No funds shall be made available under this Act to any local educational agency unless such agency has a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm or weapon to school served by such agency.  “(b) Definitions. – For the purpose of this section, the terms ‘firearm’ and ‘school’ have the same meaning given to such terms by section 921(a) of title 18, United States Code.  **“SEC. 14603. DATA AND POLICY DISSEMINATION UNDER IDEA**  “The Secretary shall –  “(1) widely disseminate the policy of the Department in effect on the date of enactment of the Improving America’s Schools Act of 1994 with respect to disciplining children with disabilities;  “(2) collect data on the incidence of children with disabilities (as such term is defined in section 602(a)(1) of the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act) engaging in life threatening behavior or bringing weapons to schools; and  “(3) submit a report to Congress not later than January 31, 1995, analyzing the strengths and problems with the current approaches regarding disciplining children with disabilities. | 108 STAT. 3907  Gun-Free Schools Act of 1994  20 USC 8921. |

**Appendix B**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT (ESEA), TITLE XIV, PART F, as amended by the IMPROVING AMERICA’S SCHOOLS ACT OF 1994 (IASA)  GUN-FREE SCHOOLS ACT REPORT | FORM APPROVED  OMB #: 1810-0602  Expiration Date: 8/31/2003 | |
| According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1810-0602. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 8 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. **If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate or suggestions for improving this form, please write to:** U.S. Department of Education, Washington, DC 20202-4651. **If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to:** Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20202-6123. | | |
| RESPONDENT INFORMATION | | |
| **State Name:** | | |
| **Name of Agency Responding:** | | |
| **Name and Title of Individual Completing this Report:** | | |
|  | | |
|  | | |
| **Mailing Address:** | | |
|  | | |
|  | | |
| **E-Mail Address:** | | |
| **Telephone and Fax Number of Individual Completing this Report:** | | |
| **Phone:** **Fax:** | | |

###### GUN-FREE SCHOOLS ACT REPORT

**INTRODUCTION**

The Gun-Free Schools Act (GFSA), Part F of Title XIV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 requires that each State have in effect a State law requiring local educational agencies (LEAs) to expel from school for a period of not less than one year a student found to have brought a weapon to school. In addition, under the GFSA, LEAs receiving ESEA funds must adopt a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm to school.

Each State’s law also must allow the chief administering officer of the LEA to modify the expulsion requirement on a case-by-case basis. The GFSA also states that nothing in the GFSA shall be construed to prevent a State from allowing a local educational agency that has expelled a student from such student’s regular school setting from providing educational services to that student in an alternative setting.

The GFSA also requires States to provide annual reports to the Secretary of Education concerning implementation of the Act’s requirements. The Secretary is required to report to Congress if any State is not in compliance with the GFSA.

**PLEASE USE THE ATTACHED FORM TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GFSA.**

|  |
| --- |
| **GENERAL DIRECTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT** |
| 1. The time period covered by this report is the 2000-2001 school year.  2. Please complete this entire form. If questions are left blank, we will not be able to interpret the results and will have to follow up with a phone call. If a response to a question is “0” or “none,” be sure to enter “0” or “none.” If information is not available, please indicate by using the following abbreviation: MD = Missing Data  3. Please retain a copy of the completed form for your files so that you will have a copy on hand to refer to if we have questions about your responses.  4. Please complete the attached form and mail no later than December 1, 2001 to:  Westat  1650 Research Boulevard, Room RA 1238  Rockville, MD 20850  If questions arise about completing any of the items on the attached form, please do not hesitate to contact the Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program at (202) 260-3954 for clarification. |

|  |
| --- |
| **ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS** |
| LEA local educational agency  GFSA Gun-Free Schools Act  IDEA Individuals with Disabilities Education Act  ESEA Elementary and Secondary Education Act  Elementary school A school classified as elementary by state and local practice and composed of any span of grades not above Grade 6. Combined elementary/junior high schools are considered junior high schools and combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are classified as high schools for this report.  Junior high school A separately organized and administered school intermediate between elementary and senior high schools, which might also be called a middle school, usually includes Grades 7, 8, and 9; Grade 7 and 8; or Grades 6, 7, and 8. Combined elementary/junior high schools are considered junior high schools for this report; junior/senior high school combinations are defined as senior high schools.  Senior high school A school offering the final years of school work necessary for graduation, usually including Grades 10, 11, and 12; or Grades 9, 10, 11, and 12. Combined junior and senior high schools are classified as high schools for this form; combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are classified as high schools.  Other firearms Firearms other than handguns, rifles or shotguns as defined in 18 USC 921. According to Section 921, the following are included within the definition: (Note: This definition does not apply to items such as toy guns, cap guns, bb guns, and pellet guns)  -- any weapon (including a starter gun) which will or is designed to or may readily be converted to expel a projectile by the action of any explosive;  -- the frame or receiver of any weapon described above;  -- any firearm muffler or firearm silencer;  -- any destructive device, which includes:  (a) any explosive, incendiary, or poison gas   1. Bomb; 2. Grenade, 3. Rocket having a propellant charge of more than four ounces, 4. Missile having an explosive or incendiary charge of more than one-quarter ounce, 5. Mine, or 6. Similar device   (b) any weapon which will, or which may be readily converted to, expel a projectile by the action of an explosive or other propellant, and which has any barrel with a bore of more than one-half inch in diameter  (c) any combination or parts either designed or intended for use in converting any device into any destructive device described in the two immediately preceding examples, and from which a destructive device may be readily assembled. |

**FIREARMS INCIDENTS**

1. Please indicate the number of students in your State who were found to have brought a firearm to school. Include in your answer all infractions. ***[Any student found to have brought a firearm (meeting the definition at 18 U.S.C. 921) to school should be reported as an infraction, even if the expulsion is shortened or no penalty is imposed. Any incidents in which a student covered by the provisions of IDEA brings a firearm to school should also be included, even if it is determined that the incident is a manifestation of the student’s disability. Modifications of the one-year expulsion requirement should also be reported in Question 2 of this report.]***

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| Junior High School |  |  |  |  |
| Senior High School |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

2. How many of the incidencesreported in item #1 were shortened to a term of less than one year by the chief administering officer of an LEA under the case-by-case modification provisions of Section 14601(b)(1) of the GFSA? ***[Include in your response to this question only cases where the expulsion was shortened or no penalty was imposed. Do not include modifications other than those that shortened the term of the expulsion to less than one year.]***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Number of modifications**:** |  |

1. How many of the modifications reported in item #2 were for students who are *not* students with disabilities as defined in Section 602(a)(1) of the IDEA?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Number of modifications in #2, NOT disabled: |  |

*[The GFSA explicitly states that the Act must be construed in a manner consistent with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Compliance with the GFSA can be achieved consistent with the IDEA as long as discipline of such students is determined on a case-by-case basis under the GFSA provision that permits modification of the expulsion requirement on a case-by-case basis. A student with a disability who brings a firearm to school may be removed from school for ten school days or less, and in accordance with State law, placed in an interim alternative educational setting that is determined by the student’s individualized education program team, for up to 45 calendar days. If the student’s parents initiate due process proceedings under the IDEA, the student must remain in that interim alternative educational setting during authorized review proceedings, unless the parents and school district can agree on a different placement. Before an expulsion can occur, the IDEA requires a determination by a group of persons knowledgeable about the student on whether the bringing of a firearm to school was a manifestation of the student’s disability. A student with a disability may be expelled only if this group of persons determines that the bringing of a firearm to school was not a manifestation of the student’s disability, and the school follows applicable IDEA procedural safeguards before the expulsion occurs. Under IDEA, students with disabilities who are expelled in accordance with these conditions must continue to receive educational services during the expulsion period. Under Section 602 (a)(1) of the IDEA, the term “children with disabilities” is defined as:*

*children --*

(i) with mental retardation, hearing impairments including deafness, speech or language impairments, visual impairments, including blindness, serious emotional disturbance, orthopedic impairments, autism, traumatic brain injury, other health impairments, or specific learning disabilities; and

*(ii) who, by reason thereof, need special education and related services.]*

4. How many of the incidencesreported in item #1 resulted in a referral of the student to an alternative school or program?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Number of students in item #1 referred to an alternative placement: |  |

LEA COMPLIANCE

5. List the name and address of each LEA that has not provided an assurance that it is in compliance with the State law that requires that a student who brings a firearm to school be expelled for one year. *(If all LEAs have provided the necessary assurance, please indicate “none” in response to this item.)*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

(Attach a separate sheet if more space is required to list LEAs.)

6. List the name and address of each LEA that has not provided an assurance that it is in compliance with the requirement in Section 14602 that an LEA receiving ESEA funds have in place a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm to a school. *(If all LEAs have provided the necessary assurance, please indicate “none” in response to this item.)*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

(Attach a separate sheet if more space is required to list LEAs.)

7. A. Please indicate the percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State in response to this annual data collection.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State: | % |

1. Of those LEAs, what percentage had reported one or more students for an offense under the GFSA related to firearms (as defined by Title 18 U.S.C. 921)?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense: | % |

1. If applicable, please provide information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted to us. What information can the State share with us that will help us to more accurately interpret the data submitted on this GFSA report form (e.g., fewer than 100% LEAs responded to the State; figures reported included all weapons, not only firearms)?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**STATE COMPLIANCE WITH GFSA**

1. Please indicate whether your State law related to GFSA has changed in the past 12 months.

* Yes, our State law has changed in the past 12 months. If “yes”, please attach a brief description of the changes or provide a copy of the new/revised statute.
* No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months.

10a. How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?

* State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting.
* State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting.
* State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting.

b. Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?

* Yes, State funds are provided.
* No, State funds are not provided.

1. The outlying areas referred to in this report are: American Samoa, Guam, Northern Marianas, and the Virgin Islands. For the purpose of this report, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico are referred to as “states”. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. See the data collection instrument in Appendix B for a detailed definition of a firearm. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. **Elementary school** - A school classified as elementary by state and local practice and composed of any span of grades not above Grade 6. Combined elementary/junior high schools are considered junior high schools and combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are classified as high schools for this report.

   **Junior high school** - A separately organized and administered school intermediate between elementary and senior high schools, which might also be called a middle school, usually includes Grades 7, 8, and 9; Grade 7 and 8; or Grades 6,7, and 8. Combined elementary/junior high schools are considered junior high schools for this report; junior/senior high schools are considered junior high schools for this report; junior/senior high school combinations are defined as senior high schools.

   **Senior high school** - A school offering the final years of school work necessary for graduation, usually including Grades 10, 11, and 12; or Grades 9, 10, 11, and 12. Combined junior and senior high schools are classified as high schools for this report; combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are also classified as high schools. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. The reported number of expulsions for 1999-2000 was revised in four states (Massachusetts, Mississippi, South Dakota, and Washington) as part of the data verification process for 2000-2001. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)