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**Report on the Implementation of the   
*Gun-Free Schools Act of 1994* in the States and Outlying Areas**

**School Year 2002–03**

**Introduction**

T

he *Gun-Free Schools Act of 1994* (*GFSA*) was reauthorized by Section 4141 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA) as amended by the *No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001* (Public Law 107-110). See Appendix A for a copy of the amended *GFSA*. *GFSA* requires that each state[[1]](#footnote-1) or outlying area[[2]](#footnote-2) receiving federal funds under the ESEA have a law that requires all local education agencies (LEAs) in these states and outlying areas to expel from school for at least one year any student found bringing a firearm[[3]](#footnote-3) to school or possessing a firearm at school. (See Appendix A for the reauthorization language of the *GFSA*.) State laws also must authorize the LEA chief administering officer to modify, in writing, any such expulsion on a case-by-case basis. In addition, the *GFSA* states that the law must be construed so as to be consistent with the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act* (*IDEA*).

The *GFSA* requires states and outlying areas to report information about the implementation of the *GFSA* annually to the secretary of education. In order to meet this requirement and to monitor compliance with the *GFSA*, the U.S. Department of Education (the Department) requires each state and outlying area to submit an annual report that provides information on student expulsions by various categories.

**Organization of the Report**

R

eporting for the 2002–03 school year changed slightly. States and outlying areas were asked to provide additional data on incidents in the *GFSA* 2002–03 report found in Appendix B. Question 1b and the alternative placement questions (Questions 3a and 3b) of the *GFSA* report were the additions. Several states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and outlying areas misinterpreted Question 1b and, therefore, a data caveat explaining the misinterpretation is located on each state and outlying area profile. In addition, several did not have specific information on alternative placements due to the timing of data collection.

Following information on data interpretation and quality, this report summarizes the 2002–03 data submitted by the states and outlying areas, first with a brief summary of the overall findings, and then with a summary of the 2002–03 data in bulleted, graphic, and tabular form as well as with a comparison between the 2002–03 data and data submitted in previous years. The report also presents the data submitted by each state and outlying area, as well as any caveats or notes accompanying the respective data. Finally, there are two appendices to the report as noted above: Appendix A contains a copy of the amended *Gun-Free Schools Act of 1994* and Appendix B contains a copy of the 2002–03 *GFSA* data collection instrument for states and outlying areas.

**Data Quality and Interpretation of Findings**

T

he information contained in this report should be interpreted with caution. As noted on the summary state-by-state tables and on the individual state and outlying area pages, some states and outlying areas attached caveats and notes to their data that should be considered when interpreting the data. This is of particular importance when examining national totals, as they are made up of data that are not necessarily comparable from state to state in all cases.

Finally, this report is not designed to provide information to the reader regarding the rate at which students carry firearms to school or possess firearms at school. The data summarized in this report relates to actions taken with regard to the number of students *found* bringing firearms to schools or possessing firearms at schools.

**Data Collection and Verification**

The Department received reports from all states and outlying areas by April 2, 2004. In order to ensure that the data were reported accurately, the following procedures were followed:

* As each survey was received, it was reviewed for completeness and internal consistency and was entered the data into a database.
* In a few cases, the states and outlying areas were contacted to obtain a correction or clarification of the data submitted. For example, the data provider was contacted if the forms submitted were not internally consistent, if the rows or columns or both rows and columns did not add to the printed totals, or if the 2002–03 data represented a large change from the data reported for 2001–02.
* Once all of the data were received, all states and outlying areas were contacted and asked to provide final data verification by fax.[[4]](#footnote-4)

In addition, the Department is working with the states and outlying areas on an ongoing basis to ensure that the submitted data are as accurate as possible.

**Summary of Findings**

* Overall, 50 states, the District of Columbia (D.C.), Puerto Rico and the four outlying areas reported data under the *GFSA* for the 2002–03 school year. They reported that they expelled a total of 2,143 students from school for bringing a firearm to school or possessing a firearm at school.
* Fifty-eight percent of the expulsions were students in senior high school, 31 percent were in junior high, and 11 percent were in elementary school.
* Fifty-five percent of the expulsions were for bringing or possessing a handgun. Thirty-two percent were for some other type of firearm or other destructive device, such as bombs, grenades, or starter pistols, and 13 percent of the expulsions were for bringing or possessing a rifle or shotgun.
* There was a 16 percent decrease in the number of expulsions from 2001–02 to 2002–03.
* The number of expulsions has continued to decrease from 1996–97 to 2002–03.
* Forty-seven percent of expulsions were modified to less than one year.
* Eighty-one percent of modified expulsions were for students who were not considered disabled.
* Thirty-six percent of students in the reporting states were referred to an alternative placement. Among those referred, 41 percent of the expulsions were modified and 59 percent were not modified.
* All of the “states” and outlying areas reported that their LEAs submitted a *GFSA* report. Of the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and outlying areas, Alabama and the Virgin Islands had the highest percentage of LEAs that reported one or more students for an offense under the *GFSA*.

**Expulsions for Bringing or Possessing a Firearm—Overview**

Overall, 56 “states” and outlying areas provided data on the number of students expelled for bringing or possessing a firearm, for a total of 2,143 expulsions. Only Arizona, Texas, Virginia, and Washington had 100 or more expulsions each. When viewed as the number of expulsions per 1,000 enrolled students, the Virgin Islands had the highest number of expulsions per 1,000 students. Refer to table 1 for more detailed information on the data provided by the individual states and outlying areas.

***Expulsions by School Level***

A

ll states and outlying areas provided data on their expulsions.

Of the 2,143 expulsions reported by school level,[[5]](#footnote-5) more than half, 58 percent (1,242), were students in senior high schools, 31 percent (661) were students in junior highs, and 11 percent (240) were elementary school students (see fig. 1 and table 2).

***Expulsions by Type of Firearm***



O

f the 2,143 reported expulsions by type of firearm, 55 percent (1,183) involved handguns, 13 percent (283) involved rifles or shotguns, and the remaining 32 percent (677) involved other types of firearms (such as bombs, grenades, and starter pistols) (see fig. 2 and table 3).

**Overall Year-to-Year Changes in Number of Expulsions─2001–02 to 2002–03**

Overall, the reported number of expulsions decreased 16 percent from 2,554 in 2001–02 to 2,143 in 2002–03 (see table 4). Of the 56 “states” and outlying areas reporting expulsions, 30 showed a decrease in the number of expulsions from 2001–02 to 2002–03. Among these, the greatest decrease was reported in Alabama. Conversely, 17 states showed an increase in the number of expulsions from 2001–02 to 2002–03 with the largest increase in Arkansas.

See table 5 for the total number of expulsions reported by each state and outlying area over the last seven years.

**Modified Expulsions and Students With Disabilities**

T

he *GFSA* allows the LEA chief administering officer to modify, in writing, any expulsion for a firearm violation on a case-by-case basis (for example, by shortening the expulsion requirement to less than one year). One purpose of this provision is to allow the chief administering officer in a school district to take unique circumstances into account as well as to ensure that *IDEA* and *GFSA* requirements are implemented consistently. In order to capture these modifications, states were asked to report the number of students who had their period of expulsion modified, as well as the number of these cases that were not for students with disabilities.

***Modified Expulsions***

O

f the 2,143 reported expulsions in the states and outlying areas, 997 (or 47 percent) were modified to less than one year in 2002–03 (see fig. 3 and table 6).



The percentage of expulsions that were modified had dropped to below 30 percent in 1998–99 and 1999-2000, but since then has remained above 35 percent (see fig. 4).

Disability Status of Students With Modified Expulsions



O

f the 997 students whose expulsions were modified, 805 (81 percent) were for students not considered disabled under Section 602(a)(1) of *IDEA* (see fig. 5 and table 7).

**Referrals to Alternative Schools or Programs**

T

he *GFSA* allows local officials to refer expelled students to an alternative school or program. Forty-seven states and outlying areas reported complete information for this data item.

Overall, 35 percent (571) of the 1,572[[6]](#footnote-6) reported expulsions were referred to an alternative placement. Of the 565 students referred to an alternative placement that states reported as modified or not modified,[[7]](#footnote-7) 233 (41%) were among students with modified expulsions, while 332 (59%) were among students with expulsions that were not modified (see fig. 6 and table 8).

***GFSA* Report on LEA Compliance**

S

tarting with the 1999–2000 school year, states and outlying areas were asked to report information regarding the level of LEA compliance with the state law that requires that a student who brings a firearm to school, or possesses a firearm at school, be expelled for one year (see table 9). Additionally, they were asked to indicate the percentage of LEAs that reported an expulsion.

Most states and outlying areas indicated that virtually all of their LEAs had submitted *GFSA* reports. Any issues surrounding noncompliance with the *GFSA* are addressed directly by the Department.

**Table 1**

Number of students expelled for having brought to or possessed a firearm in school, 2002–03 and *GFSA* violations per 1,000 students of public elementary and secondary enrollment, by state or outlying area, fall 2002

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State or outlying area** | **Number of students expelled in 2002–03** | **Public elementary and secondary enrollment** | **GFSA violations for fall 2002  per 1,000 of enrollment** |
| **Total** | **2,143** | **48,599,099** | **0.044** |
| Alabama | 53 | 735,102 | 0.072 |
| Alaska | 12 | 136,005 | 0.088 |
| Arizona | 105 | 928,624 | 0.113 |
| Arkansas | 97 | 447,511 | 0.217 |
| California | 96 | 6,324,871 | 0.015 |
| Colorado | 36 | 743,987 | 0.048 |
| Connecticut | 8 | 570,552 | 0.014 |
| Delaware | 4 | 116,394 | 0.034 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 71,183 | 0.014 |
| Florida | 54 | 2,512,316 | 0.021 |
| Georgia | 85 | 1,480,548 | 0.057 |
| Hawaii | 2 | 187,105 | 0.011 |
| Idaho | 7 | 248,076 | 0.028 |
| Illinois | 46 | 2,078,416 | 0.022 |
| Indiana | 26 | 996,674 | 0.026 |
| Iowa | 9 | 488,004 | 0.018 |
| Kansas | 28 | 466,317 | 0.060 |
| Kentucky | 52 | 641,883 | 0.081 |
| Louisiana | 58 | 732,412 | 0.079 |
| Maine | 2 | 205,191 | 0.010 |
| Maryland | 27 | 866,348 | 0.031 |
| Massachusetts | 28 | 975,497 | 0.029 |
| Michigan | 37 | 1,785,908 | 0.021 |
| Minnesota | 15 | 846,434 | 0.018 |
| Mississippi | 70 | 492,990 | 0.142 |
| Missouri | 62 | 911,074 | 0.068 |
| Montana | 20 | 151,820 | 0.132 |
| Nebraska | 10 | 283,568 | 0.035 |
| Nevada | 35 | 363,775 | 0.096 |
| New Hampshire | 3 | 206,754 | 0.015 |
| New Jersey | 11 | 1,356,374 | 0.008 |
| New Mexico | 28 | 323,656 | 0.087 |
| New York | 79 | 2,887,555 | 0.027 |
| North Carolina | 69 | 1,318,475 | 0.052 |
| North Dakota | 2 | 104,602 | 0.019 |
| Ohio | 65 | 1,830,227 | 0.036 |
| Oklahoma | 33 | 611,488 | 0.054 |
| Oregon | 29 | 552,119 | 0.053 |
| Pennsylvania | 45 | 1,841,516 | 0.024 |
| Puerto Rico | 4 | 604,177 | 0.007 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 161,217 | 0.043 |
| South Carolina | 27 | 692,003 | 0.039 |
| South Dakota | 9 | 126,830 | 0.071 |
| Tennessee | 74 | 928,795 | 0.080 |
| Texas | 175 | 4,166,333 | 0.042 |
| Utah | 56 | 484,246 | 0.116 |
| Vermont | 2 | 100,943 | 0.020 |
| Virginia | 197 | 1,181,476 | 0.167 |
| Washington | 100 | 1,010,515 | 0.099 |
| West Virginia | 8 | 281,438 | 0.028 |
| Wisconsin | 22 | 874,803 | 0.025 |
| Wyoming | 6 | 87,824 | 0.068 |
| American Samoa | 0 | 15,897 | 0.000 |
| Guam | 2 | 31,992 | 0.063 |
| Northern Mariana Is. | 0 | 10,479 | 0.000 |
| Virgin Islands | 5 | 18,780 | 0.266 |

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), “State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Educations, “ 1988–89 through 2001–02, and *Projections of Education Statistics to 2013*. See http://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d03/.

*Note:* *GFSA=Gun-Free Schools Act*, “state” includes the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico.

**Table 2**

Number and percentage of students expelled for having brought to or possessed a firearm in school, by school level and by state or outlying area, 2002–03

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State or outlying area** | **School level and Percentage** | | | | | | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | **Percentage**  **of total** | **Junior high** | **Percentage**  **of total** | **Senior high** | **Percentage**  **of total** |
| **Total** | **240** | **11** | **661** | **31** | **1,242** | **58** | **2,143** |
| Alabama | 3 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 47 | 89 | 53 |
| Alaska | 2 | 17 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 75 | 12 |
| Arizona | 17 | 16 | 43 | 41 | 45 | 43 | 105 |
| Arkansas | 14 | 14 | 41 | 42 | 42 | 43 | 97 |
| California | 7 | 7 | 26 | 27 | 63 | 66 | 96 |
| Colorado | 6 | 17 | 6 | 17 | 24 | 67 | 36 |
| Connecticut | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 100 | 8 |
| Delaware | 0 | 0 | 2 | 50 | 2 | 50 | 4 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 1 |
| Florida | 1 | 2 | 10 | 19 | 43 | 80 | 54 |
| Georgia | 3 | 4 | 25 | 29 | 57 | 67 | 85 |
| Hawaii | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Idaho | 0 | 0 | 3 | 43 | 4 | 57 | 7 |
| Illinois | 6 | 13 | 10 | 22 | 30 | 65 | 46 |
| Indiana | 3 | 12 | 9 | 35 | 14 | 54 | 26 |
| Iowa | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 8 | 89 | 9 |
| Kansas | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 27 | 96 | 28 |
| Kentucky | 13 | 25 | 9 | 17 | 30 | 58 | 52 |
| Louisiana | 9 | 16 | 26 | 45 | 23 | 40 | 58 |
| Maine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 2 |
| Maryland | 1 | 4 | 8 | 30 | 18 | 67 | 27 |
| Massachusetts | 3 | 11 | 4 | 14 | 21 | 75 | 28 |
| Michigan | 2 | 5 | 11 | 30 | 24 | 65 | 37 |
| Minnesota | 0 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 12 | 80 | 15 |
| Mississippi | 13 | 19 | 28 | 40 | 29 | 41 | 70 |
| Missouri | 4 | 6 | 13 | 21 | 45 | 73 | 62 |
| Montana | 4 | 20 | 2 | 10 | 14 | 70 | 20 |
| Nebraska | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 8 | 80 | 10 |
| Nevada | 2 | 6 | 9 | 26 | 24 | 69 | 35 |
| New Hampshire | 0 | 0 | 1 | 33 | 2 | 67 | 3 |
| New Jersey | 0 | 0 | 5 | 45 | 6 | 55 | 11 |
| New Mexico | 2 | 7 | 10 | 36 | 16 | 57 | 28 |
| New York | 10 | 13 | 32 | 41 | 37 | 47 | 79 |
| North Carolina | 6 | 9 | 20 | 29 | 43 | 62 | 69 |
| North Dakota | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 2 |
| Ohio | 4 | 6 | 23 | 35 | 38 | 58 | 65 |
| Oklahoma | 3 | 9 | 9 | 27 | 21 | 64 | 33 |
| Oregon | 5 | 17 | 9 | 31 | 15 | 52 | 29 |
| Pennsylvania | 5 | 11 | 14 | 31 | 26 | 58 | 45 |
| Puerto Rico | 0 | 0 | 2 | 50 | 2 | 50 | 4 |
| Rhode Island | 0 | 0 | 4 | 57 | 3 | 43 | 7 |
| South Carolina | 2 | 7 | 9 | 33 | 16 | 59 | 27 |
| South Dakota | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 89 | 9 |
| Tennessee | 5 | 7 | 29 | 39 | 40 | 54 | 74 |
| Texas | 16 | 9 | 36 | 21 | 123 | 70 | 175 |
| Utah | 12 | 21 | 26 | 46 | 18 | 32 | 56 |
| Vermont | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 2 |
| Virginia | 41 | 21 | 81 | 41 | 75 | 38 | 197 |
| Washington | 14 | 14 | 36 | 36 | 50 | 50 | 100 |
| West Virginia | 0 | 0 | 5 | 63 | 3 | 38 | 8 |
| Wisconsin | 1 | 5 | 6 | 27 | 15 | 68 | 22 |
| Wyoming | 0 | 0 | 2 | 33 | 4 | 67 | 6 |
| American Samoa | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 |
| Guam | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Northern Mariana Is. | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 3 | 60 | 5 |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, OMB No.1865-0002: Gun-Free Schools Act Report. Data are for the 50 states, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.

*Note:* “State” includes the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico.

**Table 3**

Number and percentage of students expelled for having brought to or possessed a firearm in school, by type of firearm and by state or outlying area, 2002–03

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State or outlying area** | **Type of firearm and percentage** | | | | | | **Total** |
| **Handgun** | **Percentage**  **of total** | **Rifle or shotgun** | **Percentage**  **of total** | **Other** | **Percentage**  **of total** |
| **Total** | **1,183** | **55** | **283** | **13** | **677** | **32** | **2,143** |
| Alabama | 38 | 72 | 14 | 26 | 1 | 2 | 53 |
| Alaska | 5 | 42 | 7 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Arizona | 40 | 38 | 3 | 3 | 62 | 59 | 105 |
| Arkansas | 24 | 25 | 7 | 7 | 66 | 68 | 97 |
| California | 88 | 92 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| Colorado | 12 | 33 | 7 | 19 | 17 | 47 | 36 |
| Connecticut | 5 | 63 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 25 | 8 |
| Delaware | 2 | 50 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 25 | 4 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Florida | 39 | 72 | 11 | 20 | 4 | 7 | 54 |
| Georgia | 59 | 69 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 85 |
| Hawaii | 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Idaho | 3 | 43 | 2 | 29 | 2 | 29 | 7 |
| Illinois | 36 | 78 | 8 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 46 |
| Indiana | 4 | 15 | 4 | 15 | 18 | 69 | 26 |
| Iowa | 6 | 67 | 3 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Kansas | 6 | 21 | 10 | 36 | 12 | 43 | 28 |
| Kentucky | 31 | 60 | 6 | 12 | 15 | 29 | 52 |
| Louisiana | 56 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 58 |
| Maine | 1 | 50 | 1 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Maryland | 21 | 78 | 6 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| Massachusetts | 9 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 68 | 28 |
| Michigan | 21 | 57 | 5 | 14 | 11 | 30 | 37 |
| Minnesota | 6 | 40 | 6 | 40 | 3 | 20 | 15 |
| Mississippi | 46 | 66 | 4 | 6 | 20 | 29 | 70 |
| Missouri | 32 | 52 | 20 | 32 | 10 | 16 | 62 |
| Montana | 8 | 40 | 10 | 50 | 2 | 10 | 20 |
| Nebraska | 7 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 30 | 10 |
| Nevada | 10 | 29 | 2 | 6 | 23 | 66 | 35 |
| New Hampshire | 3 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| New Jersey | 11 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| New Mexico | 15 | 54 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 39 | 28 |
| New York | 32 | 41 | 3 | 4 | 44 | 56 | 79 |
| North Carolina | 46 | 67 | 15 | 22 | 8 | 12 | 69 |
| North Dakota | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Ohio | 65 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| Oklahoma | 16 | 48 | 13 | 39 | 4 | 12 | 33 |
| Oregon | 9 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 69 | 29 |
| Pennsylvania | 29 | 64 | 9 | 20 | 7 | 16 | 45 |
| Puerto Rico | 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| South Carolina | 22 | 81 | 4 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 27 |
| South Dakota | 4 | 44 | 5 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Tennessee | 63 | 85 | 8 | 11 | 3 | 4 | 74 |
| Texas | 110 | 63 | 40 | 23 | 25 | 14 | 175 |
| Utah | 27 | 48 | 2 | 4 | 27 | 48 | 56 |
| Vermont | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 2 |
| Virginia | 45 | 23 | 7 | 4 | 145 | 74 | 197 |
| Washington | 34 | 34 | 12 | 12 | 54 | 54 | 100 |
| West Virginia | 4 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 50 | 8 |
| Wisconsin | 11 | 50 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 45 | 22 |
| Wyoming | 1 | 17 | 1 | 17 | 4 | 67 | 6 |
| American Samoa | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 |
| Guam | 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Northern Mariana Is. | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, OMB No.1865-0002: Gun-Free Schools Act Report. Data are for the 50 states, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.

*Note:* “State” includes the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico.

**Table 4**

Number of students expelled for having brought to or possessed a firearm in school and number and percentage change, by state or outlying area, 2001–02 and 2002–03

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **School year** | |  |  |
| **State or outlying area** | **2001–02** | **2002–03** | **Number  Change** | **Percent Change** |
| **Total** | **2,554** | **2,143** | **-411** | **-16** |
| Alabama | 138 | 53 | -85 | -62 |
| Alaska | 55 | 12 | -43 | -78 |
| Arizona | 124 | 105 | -19 | -15 |
| Arkansas | 80 | 97 | 17 | +21 |
| California | 104 | 96 | -8 | -8 |
| Colorado | 31 | 36 | 5 | +16 |
| Connecticut | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Delaware | 3 | 4 | 1 | +33 |
| District of Columbia | 3 | 1 | -2 | -67 |
| Florida | 51 | 54 | 3 | +6 |
| Georgia | 119 | 85 | -34 | -29 |
| Hawaii | 7 | 2 | -5 | -71 |
| Idaho | 21 | 7 | -14 | -67 |
| Illinois | 53 | 46 | -7 | -13 |
| Indiana | 41 | 26 | -15 | -37 |
| Iowa | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Kansas | 32 | 28 | -4 | -12 |
| Kentucky | 46 | 52 | 6 | +13 |
| Louisiana | 75 | 58 | -17 | -23 |
| Maine | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Maryland | 21 | 27 | 6 | +29 |
| Massachusetts | 89 | 28 | -61 | -69 |
| Michigan | 46 | 37 | -9 | -20 |
| Minnesota | 23 | 15 | -8 | -35 |
| Mississippi | 67 | 70 | 3 | +4 |
| Missouri | 59 | 62 | 3 | +5 |
| Montana | 31 | 20 | -11 | -35 |
| Nebraska | 6 | 10 | 4 | +67 |
| Nevada | 56 | 35 | -21 | -38 |
| New Hampshire | 0 | 3 | 3 | -- |
| New Jersey | 16 | 11 | -5 | -31 |
| New Mexico | 20 | 28 | 8 | +40 |
| New York | 88 | 79 | -9 | -10 |
| North Carolina | 92 | 69 | -23 | -25 |
| North Dakota | 4 | 2 | -2 | -50 |
| Ohio | 53 | 65 | 12 | +23 |
| Oklahoma | 60 | 33 | -27 | -45 |
| Oregon | 55 | 29 | -26 | -47 |
| Pennsylvania | 36 | 45 | 9 | +25 |
| Puerto Rico | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| South Carolina | 34 | 27 | -7 | -21 |
| South Dakota | 5 | 9 | 4 | +80 |
| Tennessee | 80 | 74 | -6 | -8 |
| Texas | 177 | 175 | -2 | -1 |
| Utah | 83 | 56 | -27 | -33 |
| Vermont | 3 | 2 | -1 | -33 |
| Virginia | 197 | 197 | 0 | 0 |
| Washington | 92 | 100 | 8 | +9 |
| West Virginia | 6 | 8 | 2 | +33 |
| Wisconsin | 30 | 22 | -8 | -27 |
| Wyoming | 7 | 6 | -1 | -14 |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guam | 1 | 2 | 1 | +100 |
| Northern Mariana Is. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 4 | 5 | 1 | +25 |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, OMB No.1865-0002: Gun-Free Schools Act Report. Data are for the 50 states, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.

*Note:* “State” includes the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. **Table 5**

Number of students expelled for having brought to or possessed a firearm in school, by state or outlying area, 1996–97 through 2002–03

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **School year** | | | | | | |
| **State or outlying area** | **1996**–**97** | **1997**–**98** | **1998**–**99** | **1999**–**2000** | **2000**–**01** | **2001**–**02** | **2002–03** |
| **Total** | **4,787** | **3,660** | **3,477** | **2,835** | **2,537** | **2,554** | **2,143** |
| Alabama | 91 | 82 | 174 | 154 | 200 | 138 | 53 |
| Alaska | 19 | 18 | 30 | 17 | 10 | 55 | 12 |
| Arizona | 152 | 111 | 101 | 56 | 131 | 124 | 105 |
| Arkansas | 62 | 57 | 66 | 23 | 32 | 80 | 97 |
| California | 723 | 384 | 290 | 154 | 123 | 104 | 96 |
| Colorado | 131 | 30 | 110 | 42 | 24 | 31 | 36 |
| Connecticut | 19 | 9 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| Delaware | 7 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| Florida | 202 | 149 | 94 | 67 | 95 | 51 | 54 |
| Georgia | 244 | 203 | 208 | 117 | 111 | 119 | 85 |
| Hawaii | 0 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 2 |
| Idaho | 33 | 42 | 31 | 19 | 17 | 21 | 7 |
| Illinois | 250 | 86 | 77 | 40 | 32 | 53 | 46 |
| Indiana | 109 | 62 | 103 | 33 | 21 | 41 | 26 |
| Iowa | 40 | 30 | 17 | 20 | 11 | 9 | 9 |
| Kansas | 43 | 33 | 52 | 40 | 36 | 32 | 28 |
| Kentucky | 70 | 72 | 37 | 12 | 7 | 46 | 52 |
| Louisiana | 88 | 25 | 21 | 73 | 113 | 75 | 58 |
| Maine | 13 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Maryland | 73 | 32 | 34 | 35 | 26 | 21 | 27 |
| Massachusetts | 54 | 46 | 43 | 10 | 18 | 89 | 28 |
| Michigan | 92 | 99 | 106 | 100 | 90 | 46 | 37 |
| Minnesota | 18 | 45 | 24 | 15 | 12 | 23 | 15 |
| Mississippi | 11 | 47 | 24 | 36 | 64 | 67 | 70 |
| Missouri | 318 | 179 | 171 | 102 | 49 | 59 | 62 |
| Montana | 12 | 17 | 15 | 22 | 12 | 31 | 20 |
| Nebraska | 20 | 11 | 15 | 20 | 11 | 6 | 10 |
| Nevada | 54 | 36 | 52 | 45 | 58 | 56 | 35 |
| New Hampshire | 15 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 3 |
| New Jersey | 57 | 40 | 51 | 29 | 13 | 16 | 11 |
| New Mexico | 71 | 32 | 47 | 23 | 32 | 20 | 28 |
| New York | 128 | 91 | 206 | 98 | 89 | 88 | 79 |
| North Carolina | 138 | 121 | 141 | 78 | 77 | 92 | 69 |
| North Dakota | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| Ohio | MD | 119 | 77 | 199 | 135 | 53 | 65 |
| Oklahoma | 0 | 17 | 16 | 31 | 13 | 60 | 33 |
| Oregon | 85 | 135 | 48 | 87 | 40 | 55 | 29 |
| Pennsylvania | 200 | 121 | 76 | 76 | 40 | 36 | 45 |
| Puerto Rico | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 7 |
| South Carolina | 94 | 85 | 52 | 55 | 43 | 34 | 27 |
| South Dakota | 7 | 26 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 9 |
| Tennessee | 98 | 192 | 152 | 109 | 88 | 80 | 74 |
| Texas | 532 | 424 | 294 | 237 | 204 | 177 | 175 |
| Utah | 80 | 9 | 13 | 50 | 53 | 83 | 56 |
| Vermont | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Virginia | 92 | 99 | 115 | 259 | 204 | 197 | 197 |
| Washington | 146 | 118 | 115 | 144 | 106 | 92 | 100 |
| West Virginia | 27 | 17 | 14 | 9 | 12 | 6 | 8 |
| Wisconsin | 54 | 66 | 71 | 51 | 46 | 30 | 22 |
| Wyoming | 0 | 0 | 11 | 16 | 6 | 7 | 6 |
| American Samoa | MD | 0 | 0 | MD | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guam | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Northern Mariana Is. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, OMB No.1865-0002: Gun-Free Schools Act Report. Data are for the 50 states, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.

*Note:*MD=Missing data, “state” includes the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico.

**Table 6**

Number and percentage of students found to have brought to or possessed a firearm in school for which the one-year expulsion was modified on a case-by-case basis, by state or outlying area, 2002–03

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State or outlying area** | **Number of  expulsions** | **Number  modified** | **Percentage modified** |
| **Total** | **2,143** | **997** | **47** |
| Alabama | 53 | 34 | 64 |
| Alaska | 12 | 8 | 67 |
| Arizona | 105 | 42 | 40 |
| Arkansas | 97 | 28 | 29 |
| California | 96 | 16 | 17 |
| Colorado | 36 | 13 | 36 |
| Connecticut | 8 | 1 | 12 |
| Delaware | 4 | 2 | 50 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Florida | 54 | 4 | 7 |
| Georgia | 85 | 16 | 19 |
| Hawaii | 2 | 1 | 50 |
| Idaho | 7 | 5 | 71 |
| Illinois | 46 | 7 | 15 |
| Indiana | 26 | 16 | 62 |
| Iowa | 9 | 2 | 22 |
| Kansas | 28 | 9 | 32 |
| Kentucky | 52 | 39 | 75 |
| Louisiana | 58 | 6 | 10 |
| Maine | 2 | 2 | 100 |
| Maryland | 27 | 7 | 26 |
| Massachusetts | 28 | 28 | 100 |
| Michigan | 37 | 9 | 24 |
| Minnesota | 15 | 4 | 27 |
| Mississippi | 70 | 32 | 46 |
| Missouri | 62 | 9 | 15 |
| Montana | 20 | 12 | 60 |
| Nebraska | 10 | 1 | 10 |
| Nevada | 35 | 25 | 71 |
| New Hampshire | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| New Jersey | 11 | 2 | 18 |
| New Mexico | 28 | 13 | 46 |
| New York | 79 | 61 | 77 |
| North Carolina | 69 | 63 | 91 |
| North Dakota | 2 | 2 | 100 |
| Ohio | 65 | 44 | 68 |
| Oklahoma | 33 | 17 | 52 |
| Oregon | 29 | 23 | 79 |
| Pennsylvania | 45 | 13 | 29 |
| Puerto Rico | 4 | 4 | 100 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 7 | 100 |
| South Carolina | 27 | 11 | 41 |
| South Dakota | 9 | 7 | 78 |
| Tennessee | 74 | 44 | 59 |
| Texas | 175 | 63 | 36 |
| Utah | 56 | 25 | 45 |
| Vermont | 2 | 2 | 100 |
| Virginia | 197 | 145 | 74 |
| Washington | 100 | 57 | 57 |
| West Virginia | 8 | 3 | 38 |
| Wisconsin | 22 | 7 | 32 |
| Wyoming | 6 | 4 | 67 |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guam | 2 | 2 | 100 |
| Northern Mariana Is. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 5 | 0 | 0 |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, OMB No.1865-0002: Gun-Free Schools Act Report. Data are for the 50 states, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.

*Note:* “State” includes the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico.

**Table 7**

Number and percentage of students found to have brought to or possessed a firearm in school and received modified expulsions that were for nondisabled students, by state or outlying area, 2002–03

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State or outlying area** | **Number  modified** | **Number  disabled modified** | **Number nondisabled modified** | **Percentage nondisabled modified** |
| **Total** | **997** | **192** | **805** | **81** |
| Alabama | 34 | 7 | 27 | 71 |
| Alaska | 8 | 3 | 5 | 62 |
| Arizona | 42 | 25 | 17 | 40 |
| Arkansas | 28 | 6 | 22 | 79 |
| California | 16 | 2 | 14 | 88 |
| Colorado | 13 | 5 | 8 | 62 |
| Connecticut | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Delaware | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Florida | 4 | 2 | 2 | 50 |
| Georgia | 16 | 1 | 15 | 94 |
| Hawaii | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Idaho | 5 | 0 | 5 | 100 |
| Illinois | 7 | 2 | 5 | 71 |
| Indiana | 16 | 8 | 8 | 50 |
| Iowa | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| Kansas | 9 | 2 | 7 | 78 |
| Kentucky | 39 | 0 | 39 | 100 |
| Louisiana | 6 | 2 | 4 | 67 |
| Maine | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50 |
| Maryland | 7 | 1 | 6 | 86 |
| Massachusetts | 28 | 1 | 27 | 96 |
| Michigan | 9 | 1 | 8 | 89 |
| Minnesota | 4 | 0 | 4 | 100 |
| Mississippi | 32 | 9 | 23 | 72 |
| Missouri | 9 | 3 | 6 | 67 |
| Montana | 12 | 3 | 9 | 75 |
| Nebraska | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Nevada | 25 | 2 | 23 | 92 |
| New Hampshire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Jersey | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| New Mexico | 13 | 0 | 13 | 100 |
| New York | 61 | 14 | 47 | 77 |
| North Carolina | 63 | 0 | 63 | 100 |
| North Dakota | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| Ohio | 44 | 8 | 36 | 82 |
| Oklahoma | 17 | 3 | 14 | 82 |
| Oregon | 23 | 7 | 16 | 70 |
| Pennsylvania | 13 | 3 | 10 | 77 |
| Puerto Rico | 4 | 1 | 3 | 75 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 3 | 4 | 57 |
| South Carolina | 11 | 7 | 4 | 36 |
| South Dakota | 7 | 1 | 6 | 86 |
| Tennessee | 44 | 13 | 31 | 70 |
| Texas | 63 | 10 | 53 | 84 |
| Utah | 25 | 2 | 23 | 92 |
| Vermont | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| Virginia | 145 | 10 | 135 | 93 |
| Washington | 57 | 20 | 37 | 65 |
| West Virginia | 3 | 2 | 1 | 33 |
| Wisconsin | 7 | 2 | 5 | 71 |
| Wyoming | 4 | 0 | 4 | 100 |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guam | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| Northern Mariana Is. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, OMB No.1865-0002: Gun-Free Schools Act Report. Data are for the 50 states, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.

*Note:* “State” includes the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico.

**Table 8**

Number and percentage of students found to have brought to or possessed a firearm in school that were referred to an alternative placement, by state or outlying area, 2002–03

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State or outlying area** | **Number of expulsions** | **Number referred modified** | **Percentage of modified referred** | **Number referred not modified** | **Percentage of not modified referred** | **Total number referred** | **Total percentage referred** |
| **Total** | **1,572** | **233** | **41** | **332** | **59** | **565** | **36** |
| Arizona | 105 | 14 | 47 | 16 | 53 | 30 | 29 |
| Arkansas | 97 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 1 | 1 |
| California | 96 | 16 | 18 | 72 | 82 | 88 | 92 |
| Connecticut | 8 | 1 | 13 | 7 | 88 | 8 | 100 |
| Delaware | 4 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 1 | 100 |
| Florida | 54 | 3 | 10 | 28 | 90 | 31 | 57 |
| Hawaii | 2 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Idaho | 7 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 29 |
| Illinois | 46 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 100 | 32 | 70 |
| Indiana | 26 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 100 | 6 | 23 |
| Iowa | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 2 | 22 |
| Kansas | 28 | 4 | 27 | 11 | 73 | 15 | 54 |
| Kentucky | 52 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 100 | 8 | 15 |
| Louisiana | 58 | 5 | 9 | 51 | 91 | 56 | 97 |
| Maine | 2 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 50 |
| Maryland | 27 | 3 | 33 | 6 | 67 | 9 | 33 |
| Massachusetts | 28 | 2 | 13 | 13 | 87 | 15 | 54 |
| Michigan | 37 | 6 | 43 | 8 | 57 | 14 | 38 |
| Minnesota | 15 | 1 | 25 | 3 | 75 | 4 | 27 |
| Mississippi | 70 | 32 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 46 |
| Missouri | 62 | 3 | 21 | 11 | 79 | 14 | 23 |
| Montana | 20 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| Nevada | 35 | 11 | 73 | 4 | 27 | 15 | 43 |
| New Hampshire | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 1 | 33 |
| New Jersey | 11 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 3 | 27 |
| New Mexico | 28 | 2 | 20 | 8 | 80 | 10 | 36 |
| North Carolina | 69 | 11 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 16 |
| North Dakota | 2 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Oklahoma | 33 | 5 | 56 | 4 | 44 | 9 | 27 |
| Oregon | 29 | 19 | 83 | 4 | 17 | 23 | 79 |
| Pennsylvania | 45 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Puerto Rico | 4 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 50 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| South Carolina | 27 | 6 | 86 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 26 |
| South Dakota | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 1 | 11 |
| Tennessee | 74 | 28 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 38 |
| Vermont | 2 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 50 |
| Virginia | 197 | 32 | 67 | 16 | 33 | 48 | 24 |
| Washington | 100 | 18 | 72 | 7 | 28 | 25 | 25 |
| West Virginia | 8 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 100 | 5 | 62 |
| Wisconsin | 22 | 2 | 50 | 2 | 50 | 4 | 18 |
| Wyoming | 6 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Guam | 2 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 50 |
| Northern Mariana Is. | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 5 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, OMB No.1865-0002: Gun-Free Schools Act Report. Data are for the 50 states, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.

*Note:*Several states (Alabama, Alaska, Colorado, Georgia, Nebraska, New York, Ohio, Texas, and Utah) did not provide referral data broken out by modified and not modified. Therefore, these states are excluded from the table. “State” includes the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico.

**Table 9**

Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state or outlying area and percentage of LEAs reporting an offense, by state or outlying area, 2002–03

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State or outlying area** | **Percent of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report** | **Percent of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs** | **Percent of LEAS that reported an offense** |
| Alabama | 100 | 100 | 91 |
| Alaska | 100 | 100 | 9 |
| Arizona | 98 | 100 | 9 |
| Arkansas | 100 | 100 | 9 |
| California | 100 | 100 | 5 |
| Colorado | 100 | 100 | 15 |
| Connecticut | 100 | 100 | 4 |
| Delaware | 80 | 100 | 13 |
| District of Columbia | 100 | 100 | 3 |
| Florida | 100 | 100 | 33 |
| Georgia | 100 | 100 | 20 |
| Hawaii | 100 | 100 | 0 |
| Idaho | 100 | 100 | 6 |
| Illinois | 100 | 100 | 2 |
| Indiana | 100 | 100 | 9 |
| Iowa | 100 | 100 | 2 |
| Kansas | 100 | 100 | 1 |
| Kentucky | 100 | 100 | 10 |
| Louisiana | 100 | 100 | 7 |
| Maine | 100 | 100 | 1 |
| Maryland | 100 | 100 | 40 |
| Massachusetts | 100 | 100 | 5 |
| Michigan | 100 | 100 | 3 |
| Minnesota | 100 | 100 | 3 |
| Mississippi | 100 | 100 | 24 |
| Missouri | 100 | 100 | 6 |
| Montana | 100 | 100 | 3 |
| Nebraska | 100 | 100 | \* |
| Nevada | 100 | 100 | 29 |
| New Hampshire | 100 | 100 | 4 |
| New Jersey | 100 | 100 | 1 |
| New Mexico | 100 | 100 | 21 |
| New York | 100 | 100 | 8 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 100 | 19 |
| North Dakota | 100 | 100 | \* |
| Ohio | 100 | 100 | 3 |
| Oklahoma | 100 | 100 | 4 |
| Oregon | 100 | 100 | 5 |
| Pennsylvania | 100 | 100 | 4 |
| Puerto Rico | 100 | 91 | \* |
| Rhode Island | 100 | 100 | 7 |
| South Carolina | 100 | 100 | 19 |
| South Dakota | 100 | 100 | 2 |
| Tennessee | 100 | 100 | 15 |
| Texas | 99 | 99 | 8 |
| Utah | 100 | 100 | 36 |
| Vermont | 100 | 100 | 3 |
| Virginia | 100 | MD | 50 |
| Washington | 100 | 100 | 22 |
| West Virginia | 100 | 100 | 5 |
| Wisconsin | 100 | 100 | 3 |
| Wyoming | 100 | 100 | 2 |
| American Samoa | 100 | 100 | 0 |
| Guam | 100 | 100 | 5 |
| Northern Mariana Is. | 100 | 100 | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, OMB No.1865-0002: Gun-Free Schools Act Report. Data are for the 50 states, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.

*Note:*LEA=local education agency*, GFSA=Gun-Free Schools Act,* “state” includes the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico.

\* Less than .05 percent.

**State and Outlying Area Profiles**

The following profiles consist of a summary of 2002–03 information on student expulsions by various categories submitted by the states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and outlying areas at the request of the U.S. Department of Education. Questions 5 and 6 are not included in this section because they are compliance-related questions that are followed up by the Safe and Drug-Free Schools Office. The tables in the previous section were compiled from these singular state profiles. The data collection form is located in Appendix B of this report.

**Alabama**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Junior High | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Senior High | 32 | 14 | 1 | 47 |
| Total | 38 | 14 | 1 | 53 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 19 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 34 | 64 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 19 | 36 |
|  | Total | 53 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
|  | Total | 6 | 11 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 7 | 21 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 27 | 79 |
|  | Total | 34 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 91 |

**Alabama (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 138 | 53 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -85 |
| Percentage Change |  | -62 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Alabama interpreted this question as the number of incidents that ultimately resulted in a 12-month expulsions rather than the number that inititally resulted in a 12-month expulsion.

Question 10b. Specific funds are not provided for GFSA, but state funds do support the alternative education programs in the state.

**Alaska**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Junior High | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Senior High | 3 | 6 | 0 | 9 |
| Total | 5 | 7 | 0 | 12 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 12 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 8 | 67 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 4 | 33 |
|  | Total | 12 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 3 | 38 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 5 | 62 |
|  | Total | 8 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 9 |

**Alaska (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

The state collects information on other weapons that also have serious safety concerns for districts, such as pellet guns and BB guns.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 55 | 12 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -43 |
| Percentage Change |  | -78 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Alaska interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Arizona**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 7 | 0 | 10 | 17 |
| Junior High | 8 | 0 | 35 | 43 |
| Senior High | 25 | 3 | 17 | 45 |
| Total | 40 | 3 | 62 | 105 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 63 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 42 | 40 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 63 | 60 |
|  | Total | 105 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 14 | 33 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 16 | 25 |
|  | Total | 30 | 29 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 25 | 60 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 17 | 40 |
|  | Total | 42 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 98 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 9 |

**Arizona (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 124 | 105 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -19 |
| Percentage Change |  | -15 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Of the 105 incidents reported in Arizona during the 2002-2003 school year, 42 were reported to be shortened to a term of less than one year by the chief administering officer under the case-by-case modification provision of Section 14601 (b)(1) of the Gun-Free Schools Act.

Arizona interpreted this question as the number of incidents that ultimately resulted in a 12-month expulsion rather than the number that initially resulted in a 12-month expulsion.

**Arkansas**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 3 | 0 | 11 | 14 |
| Junior High | 7 | 2 | 32 | 41 |
| Senior High | 14 | 5 | 23 | 42 |
| Total | 24 | 7 | 66 | 97 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 97 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 28 | 29 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 69 | 71 |
|  | Total | 97 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 1 | 1 |
|  | Total | 1 | 1 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 6 | 21 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 22 | 79 |
|  | Total | 28 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 9 |

**Arkansas (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

Information on "Other Firearms" does not specify what type of "explosives".

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 80 | 97 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 17 |
| Percentage Change |  | 21 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Arkansas interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**California**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Junior High | 26 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| Senior High | 55 | 8 | 0 | 63 |
| Total | 88 | 8 | 0 | 96 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 96 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 16 | 17 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 80 | 83 |
|  | Total | 96 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 16 | 100 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 72 | 90 |
|  | Total | 88 | 92 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 2 | 12 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 14 | 88 |
|  | Total | 16 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 5 |

**California (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 104 | 96 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -8 |
| Percentage Change |  | -8 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. California interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Colorado**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| Junior High | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| Senior High | 7 | 7 | 10 | 24 |
| Total | 12 | 7 | 17 | 36 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 36 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 13 | 36 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 23 | 64 |
|  | Total | 36 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
|  | Total | 15 | 42 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 5 | 38 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 8 | 62 |
|  | Total | 13 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 15 |

**Colorado (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

Colorado utilizes an "Automated Data Exchange" system to collect school-by-school data. The data fields were not set up to break down alternative placements per modification which is why they have "Missing Data" in Questions 3a and 3b. They will modify the collection forms to align with the new quesitons for the 2003–04 school year.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 31 | 36 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 5 |
| Percentage Change |  | 16 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Colorado interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Connecticut**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 5 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Total | 5 | 1 | 2 | 8 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 8 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 1 | 12 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 7 | 88 |
|  | Total | 8 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 1 | 100 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 7 | 100 |
|  | Total | 8 | 100 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 4 |

**Connecticut (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 8 | 8 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 0 |
| Percentage Change |  | 0 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Connecticut interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Delaware**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Senior High | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 4 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 2 | 50 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 1 | 25 |
|  | Total | 3 | 75 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 1 | 50 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 1 | 25 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 2 | 100 |
|  | Total | 2 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 80 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 13 |

**Delaware (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

Six charter schools reported no data and are currently under review. Their goal is 100 and efforts are directed toward compliance.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | Yes. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 3 | 4 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 1 |
| Percentage Change |  | 33 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Delaware interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

Question 2. One high school incident in rifle/shotgun category involved a student in possession of two rifles. The student withdrew from school prior to expulsion. They are in a data collection transition process that impacted our data verification, collection, and entry.

Question 9. Deleware provided a copy of the revised statute.

**District of Columbia**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 1 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | 0 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1 | 100 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | -- |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 3 |

**District of Columbia (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 3 | 1 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -2 |
| Percentage Change |  | -67 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. The District of Columbia interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Florida**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Junior High | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Senior High | 28 | 11 | 4 | 43 |
| Total | 39 | 11 | 4 | 54 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 45 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 4 | 7 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 41 | 76 |
|  | Total | 45 | 83 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 3 | 75 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 28 | 68 |
|  | Total | 31 | 57 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 2 | 50 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 2 | 50 |
|  | Total | 4 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 33 |

**Florida (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

Two districts experienced anomalies that did not allow them to complete the survey in its entirety.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 51 | 54 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 3 |
| Percentage Change |  | 6 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Questions 1a and 1b. Because of the following occurrences in two districts, nine students were not expelled or did not receive modified expulsions: 1) The LEA was unable to complete the expulsion process because the parents of the student chose to withdraw them from school before the process was completed. 2) A student reported possession of the gun to administrators himself. An investigation did take place and the student was exonerated due to explained circumstances. A pre-expulsion hearing was held by committee and the student was placed back at his school without further consequences.

**Georgia**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Junior High | 19 | 0 | 6 | 25 |
| Senior High | 38 | 13 | 6 | 57 |
| Total | 59 | 13 | 13 | 85 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 85 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 16 | 19 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 69 | 81 |
|  | Total | 85 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
|  | Total | 39 | 46 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 1 | 6 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 15 | 94 |
|  | Total | 16 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 20 |

**Georgia (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 119 | 85 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -34 |
| Percentage Change |  | -29 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Georgia interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

Question 3a and 3b. Georgia had not collected this type of data prior to receiving the new data collection form.

**Hawaii**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Senior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 1 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 1 | 50 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 1 | 50 |
|  | Total | 2 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 0 |

**Hawaii (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 7 | 2 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -5 |
| Percentage Change |  | -71 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Hawaii interpreted this question as the number of incidents that ultimately resulted in a 12-month expulsion rather than the number that initially resulted in a 12-month expulsion.

**Idaho**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Senior High | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Total | 3 | 2 | 2 | 7 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 7 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 5 | 71 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 2 | 29 |
|  | Total | 7 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 2 | 40 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 2 | 29 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 5 | 100 |
|  | Total | 5 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 6 |

**Idaho (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 21 | 7 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -14 |
| Percentage Change |  | -67 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Idaho interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Illinois**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Junior High | 9 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| Senior High | 21 | 8 | 1 | 30 |
| Total | 36 | 8 | 2 | 46 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 46 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 7 | 15 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 39 | 85 |
|  | Total | 46 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 32 | 82 |
|  | Total | 32 | 70 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 2 | 29 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 5 | 71 |
|  | Total | 7 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 2 |

**Illinois (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 53 | 46 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -7 |
| Percentage Change |  | -13 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Illinois interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Indiana**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Junior High | 1 | 0 | 8 | 9 |
| Senior High | 3 | 3 | 8 | 14 |
| Total | 4 | 4 | 18 | 26 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 26 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 16 | 62 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 10 | 38 |
|  | Total | 26 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 6 | 60 |
|  | Total | 6 | 23 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 8 | 50 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 8 | 50 |
|  | Total | 16 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 9 |

**Indiana (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 41 | 26 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -15 |
| Percentage Change |  | -37 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Indiana interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Iowa**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Senior High | 5 | 3 | 0 | 8 |
| Total | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 9 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 2 | 22 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 7 | 78 |
|  | Total | 9 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 2 | 29 |
|  | Total | 2 | 22 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 2 | 100 |
|  | Total | 2 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 2 |

**Iowa (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 9 | 9 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 0 |
| Percentage Change |  | 0 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Iowa interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

Question 10a. State law only requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting for students with disabilities. State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting for all other students.

**Kansas**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Senior High | 5 | 10 | 12 | 27 |
| Total | 6 | 10 | 12 | 28 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 28 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 9 | 32 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 19 | 68 |
|  | Total | 28 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 4 | 44 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 11 | 58 |
|  | Total | 15 | 54 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 2 | 22 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 7 | 78 |
|  | Total | 9 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 1 |

**Kansas (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

Two expulsions for possession of other firearms involved incidents with CO2-powered bb guns.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 32 | 28 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -4 |
| Percentage Change |  | -12 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Kansas interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Kentucky**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 3 | 1 | 9 | 13 |
| Junior High | 3 | 5 | 1 | 9 |
| Senior High | 25 | 0 | 5 | 30 |
| Total | 31 | 6 | 15 | 52 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 13 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 39 | 75 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 13 | 25 |
|  | Total | 52 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 8 | 62 |
|  | Total | 8 | 15 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 39 | 100 |
|  | Total | 39 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 10 |

**Kentucky (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 46 | 52 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 6 |
| Percentage Change |  | 13 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Kentucky interpreted this question as the number of incidents that ultimately resulted in a 12-month expulsion rather than the number that initially resulted in a 12-month expulsion.

**Louisiana**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Junior High | 24 | 0 | 2 | 26 |
| Senior High | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Total | 56 | 0 | 2 | 58 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 58 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 6 | 10 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 52 | 90 |
|  | Total | 58 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 5 | 83 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 51 | 98 |
|  | Total | 56 | 97 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 2 | 33 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 4 | 67 |
|  | Total | 6 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 7 |

**Louisiana (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 75 | 58 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -17 |
| Percentage Change |  | -23 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Louisiana interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Maine**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 0 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 2 | 100 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 2 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 1 | 50 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 1 | 50 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 1 | 50 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 1 | 50 |
|  | Total | 2 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 1 |

**Maine (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 2 | 2 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 0 |
| Percentage Change |  | 0 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Maine interpreted this question as the number of incidents that ultimately resulted in a 12-month expulsion rather than the number that initially resulted in a 12-month expulsion.

**Maryland**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Junior High | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Senior High | 12 | 6 | 0 | 18 |
| Total | 21 | 6 | 0 | 27 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 27 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 7 | 26 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 20 | 74 |
|  | Total | 27 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 3 | 43 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 6 | 30 |
|  | Total | 9 | 33 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 1 | 14 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 6 | 86 |
|  | Total | 7 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 40 |

**Maryland (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 21 | 27 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 6 |
| Percentage Change |  | 29 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Maryland interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Massachusetts**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Junior High | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| Senior High | 7 | 0 | 14 | 21 |
| Total | 9 | 0 | 19 | 28 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 0 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 28 | 100 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 28 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 2 | 7 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 13 | -- |
|  | Total | 15 | 54 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 1 | 4 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 27 | 96 |
|  | Total | 28 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 5 |

**Massachusetts (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 89 | 28 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -61 |
| Percentage Change |  | -69 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Massachusetts interpreted this question as the number of incidents that ultimately resulted in a 12-month expulsion rather than the number that initially resulted in a 12-month expulsion.

**Michigan**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Junior High | 5 | 1 | 5 | 11 |
| Senior High | 14 | 4 | 6 | 24 |
| Total | 21 | 5 | 11 | 37 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 37 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 9 | 24 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 28 | 76 |
|  | Total | 37 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 6 | 67 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 8 | 29 |
|  | Total | 14 | 38 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 1 | 11 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 8 | 89 |
|  | Total | 9 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 3 |

**Michigan (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 46 | 37 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -9 |
| Percentage Change |  | -20 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Michigan interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Minnesota**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Senior High | 4 | 5 | 3 | 12 |
| Total | 6 | 6 | 3 | 15 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 10 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 4 | 27 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 6 | 40 |
|  | Total | 10 | 67 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 1 | 25 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 3 | 50 |
|  | Total | 4 | 27 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 4 | 100 |
|  | Total | 4 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 3 |

**Minnesota (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 23 | 15 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -8 |
| Percentage Change |  | -35 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1a and 1b. In five of the reported handgun incidents, the parents of the student withdrew them from school before an official expulsion decision could be made. This explains why there is a difference between the total in 1a (15 incidents) and the totals in 1 b and 2 a (10 incidents).

**Mississippi**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 6 | 0 | 7 | 13 |
| Junior High | 20 | 1 | 7 | 28 |
| Senior High | 20 | 3 | 6 | 29 |
| Total | 46 | 4 | 20 | 70 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 38 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 32 | 46 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 38 | 54 |
|  | Total | 70 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 32 | 100 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 32 | 46 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 9 | 28 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 23 | 72 |
|  | Total | 32 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 24 |

**Mississippi (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

The state only classifies as "expulsions" those students to whom all education services have been terminated for up to one calendar year. Alternative schools does not count as expulsions.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 67 | 70 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 3 |
| Percentage Change |  | 4 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Mississippi interpreted this question as the number of incidents that ultimately resulted in a 12-month expulsion rather than the number that initially resulted in a 12-month expulsion.

**Missouri**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| Junior High | 8 | 1 | 4 | 13 |
| Senior High | 22 | 17 | 6 | 45 |
| Total | 32 | 20 | 10 | 62 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 62 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 9 | 15 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 53 | 85 |
|  | Total | 62 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 3 | 33 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 11 | 21 |
|  | Total | 14 | 23 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 3 | 33 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 6 | 67 |
|  | Total | 9 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 6 |

**Missouri (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 59 | 62 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 3 |
| Percentage Change |  | 5 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Missouri interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Montana**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Junior High | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Senior High | 4 | 10 | 0 | 14 |
| Total | 8 | 10 | 2 | 20 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 20 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 12 | 60 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 8 | 40 |
|  | Total | 20 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 1 | 8 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 1 | 5 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 3 | 25 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 9 | 75 |
|  | Total | 12 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 3 |

**Montana (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 31 | 20 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -11 |
| Percentage Change |  | -35 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Montana interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Nebraska**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Senior High | 7 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| Total | 7 | 0 | 3 | 10 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 10 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 1 | 10 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 9 | 90 |
|  | Total | 10 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
|  | Total | 10 | 100 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | Less than .05 |

**Nebraska (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

The Nebraska Gun-Free report collects a total number for alternative placements. Data is not disaggregated into categories.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 6 | 10 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 4 |
| Percentage Change |  | 67 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Nebraska interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Nevada**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Junior High | 3 | 0 | 6 | 9 |
| Senior High | 7 | 2 | 15 | 24 |
| Total | 10 | 2 | 23 | 35 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 35 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 25 | 71 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 10 | 29 |
|  | Total | 35 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 11 | 44 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 4 | 40 |
|  | Total | 15 | 43 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 2 | 8 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 23 | 92 |
|  | Total | 25 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 29 |

**Nevada (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 56 | 35 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -21 |
| Percentage Change |  | -38 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Nevada interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**New Hampshire**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Senior High | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 3 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | 0 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 3 | 100 |
|  | Total | 3 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 1 | 33 |
|  | Total | 1 | 33 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | -- |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 4 |

**New Hampshire (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 0 | 3 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 3 |
| Percentage Change |  | -- |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. New Hampshire interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**New Jersey**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Senior High | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Total | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 11 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 2 | 18 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 9 | 82 |
|  | Total | 11 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 3 | 33 |
|  | Total | 3 | 27 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 2 | 100 |
|  | Total | 2 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 1 |

**New Jersey (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

Individual incidents, offender and victim data are submitted electronically; districts verify data; firearm incidents only reported.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 16 | 11 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -5 |
| Percentage Change |  | -31 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. New Jersey interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**New Mexico**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Junior High | 4 | 0 | 6 | 10 |
| Senior High | 11 | 2 | 3 | 16 |
| Total | 15 | 2 | 11 | 28 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 28 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 13 | 46 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 15 | 54 |
|  | Total | 28 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 2 | 15 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 8 | 53 |
|  | Total | 10 | 36 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 13 | 100 |
|  | Total | 13 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 21 |

**New Mexico (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 20 | 28 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 8 |
| Percentage Change |  | 40 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. New Mexico interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**New York**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 3 | 0 | 7 | 10 |
| Junior High | 17 | 0 | 15 | 32 |
| Senior High | 12 | 3 | 22 | 37 |
| Total | 32 | 3 | 44 | 79 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 79 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 61 | 77 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 18 | 23 |
|  | Total | 79 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
|  | Total | 42 | 53 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 14 | 23 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 47 | 77 |
|  | Total | 61 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 8 |

**New York (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 88 | 79 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -9 |
| Percentage Change |  | -10 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1a. The New York City Department of Education (NYCDOE) does not identify firearm incidents by type of firearm. New York City staff believe that the reported incidents were all handgun incidents. Therefore, the incidents reported are listed in the handgun category.

Question 1b. New York interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

Question 2. The NYCDOE could not provide the number of modified expulsions. Since there is no record of modified expulsions they have been reported as suspensions not modified.

Question 3. The New York State Education Department does not capture this information according to students with suspensions modified and those with suspensions not mdified.

**North Carolina**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| Junior High | 16 | 1 | 3 | 20 |
| Senior High | 27 | 13 | 3 | 43 |
| Total | 46 | 15 | 8 | 69 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 6 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 63 | 91 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 6 | 9 |
|  | Total | 69 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 11 | 17 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 11 | 16 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 63 | 100 |
|  | Total | 63 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 19 |

**North Carolina (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

North Carolina is currently moving from reporting acts to incidents. In addition, the state is verifying the data for firearms only.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 92 | 69 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -23 |
| Percentage Change |  | -25 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. North Carolina interpreted this question as the number of incidents that ultimately resulted in a 12-month expulsion rather than the number that initially resulted in a 12-month expulsion.

**North Dakota**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 0 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 2 | 100 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 2 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 2 | 100 |
|  | Total | 2 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | Less than .05 |

**North Dakota (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

Two schools of the 423 school districts had firearm incidents.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 4 | 2 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -2 |
| Percentage Change |  | -50 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. North Dakota interpreted this question as the number of incidents that ultimately resulted in a 12-month expulsion rather than the number that initially resulted in a 12-month expulsion.

**Ohio**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Junior High | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Senior High | 38 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| Total | 65 | 0 | 0 | 65 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 21 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 44 | 68 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 21 | 32 |
|  | Total | 65 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
|  | Total | Data missing. |  |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 8 | 18 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 36 | 82 |
|  | Total | 44 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 3 |

**Ohio (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

The Ohio Department of Education does not break out the gun data into different kinds of guns. In Question 1a, the Ohio Department of Education reports on the number of incidents not students in this report.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 53 | 65 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 12 |
| Percentage Change |  | 23 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Ohio interpreted this question as the number of incidents that ultimately resulted in a 12-month expulsion rather than the number that initially resulted in a 12-month expulsion.

**Oklahoma**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Junior High | 7 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| Senior High | 6 | 13 | 2 | 21 |
| Total | 16 | 13 | 4 | 33 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 16 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 17 | 52 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 16 | 48 |
|  | Total | 33 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 5 | 29 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 4 | 25 |
|  | Total | 9 | 27 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 3 | 18 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 14 | 82 |
|  | Total | 17 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 4 |

**Oklahoma (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

The largest majority of rifle/shotgun incidents were students forgetting their hunting rifle was in their pickup. This is typical of rural Oklahoma. One of the elementary incidents was a toy gun with a shortened suspension.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 60 | 33 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -27 |
| Percentage Change |  | -45 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Oklahoma interpreted this question as the number of incidents that ultimately resulted in a 12-month expulsion rather than the number that initially resulted in a 12-month expulsion.

**Oregon**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| Junior High | 1 | 0 | 8 | 9 |
| Senior High | 4 | 0 | 11 | 15 |
| Total | 9 | 0 | 20 | 29 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 6 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 23 | 79 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 6 | 21 |
|  | Total | 29 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 19 | 83 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 4 | 67 |
|  | Total | 23 | 79 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 7 | 30 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 16 | 70 |
|  | Total | 23 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 5 |

**Oregon (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | Data missing. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 55 | 29 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -26 |
| Percentage Change |  | -47 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Oregon interpreted this question as the number of incidents that ultimately resulted in a 12-month expulsion rather than the number that initially resulted in a 12-month expulsion.

Question 10a. State law does not require LEAs to provide educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled for weapons.

**Pennsylvania**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Junior High | 12 | 0 | 2 | 14 |
| Senior High | 12 | 9 | 5 | 26 |
| Total | 29 | 9 | 7 | 45 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 32 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 13 | 29 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 32 | 71 |
|  | Total | 45 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 3 | 23 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 10 | 77 |
|  | Total | 13 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 4 |

**Pennsylvania (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 36 | 45 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 9 |
| Percentage Change |  | 25 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Pennsylvania interpreted this question as the number of incidents that ultimately resulted in a 12-month expulsion rather than the number that initially resulted in a 12-month expulsion.

**Puerto Rico**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Senior High | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 4 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 4 | 100 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 4 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 2 | 50 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 2 | 50 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 1 | 25 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 3 | 75 |
|  | Total | 4 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 91 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | Less than .05 |

**Puerto Rico (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

Puerto Rico requires all 1,522 schools submit a GFSA report as part of their data collection efforts. Currently, 136 schools have not submitted their GFSA report. Puerto Rico will continue to seek submission of these missing reports from the remaining schools.

The major incidence of weapons in schools is not related to firearms as defines by GFSA.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 4 | 4 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 0 |
| Percentage Change |  | 0 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Puerto Rico interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Rhode Island**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Senior High | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 0 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 7 | 100 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 7 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 3 | 43 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 4 | 57 |
|  | Total | 7 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 7 |

**Rhode Island (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 7 | 7 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 0 |
| Percentage Change |  | 0 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Rhode Island interpreted this question as the number of incidents that ultimately resulted in a 12-month expulsion rather than the number that initially resulted in a 12-month expulsion.

**South Carolina**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Junior High | 8 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| Senior High | 12 | 4 | 0 | 16 |
| Total | 22 | 4 | 1 | 27 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 27 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 11 | 41 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 16 | 59 |
|  | Total | 27 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 6 | 55 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 1 | 6 |
|  | Total | 7 | 26 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 7 | 64 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 4 | 36 |
|  | Total | 11 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 19 |

**South Carolina (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 34 | 27 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -7 |
| Percentage Change |  | -21 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. South Carolina interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**South Dakota**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 3 | 5 | 0 | 8 |
| Total | 4 | 5 | 0 | 9 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 9 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 7 | 78 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 2 | 22 |
|  | Total | 9 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 1 | 50 |
|  | Total | 1 | 11 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 1 | 14 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 6 | 86 |
|  | Total | 7 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 2 |

**South Dakota (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 5 | 9 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 4 |
| Percentage Change |  | 80 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. South Dakota interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Tennessee**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| Junior High | 29 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| Senior High | 31 | 8 | 1 | 40 |
| Total | 63 | 8 | 3 | 74 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 74 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 44 | 59 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 30 | 41 |
|  | Total | 74 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 28 | 64 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 28 | 38 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 13 | 30 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 31 | 70 |
|  | Total | 44 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 15 |

**Tennessee (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

The information on questions regarding other firearms includes incidents that the type of firearm was unknown.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 80 | 74 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -6 |
| Percentage Change |  | -8 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Tennessee interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Texas**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 13 | 0 | 3 | 16 |
| Junior High | 28 | 1 | 7 | 36 |
| Senior High | 69 | 39 | 15 | 123 |
| Total | 110 | 40 | 25 | 175 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 175 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 63 | 36 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 112 | 64 |
|  | Total | 175 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
|  | Total | 137 | 78 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 10 | 16 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 53 | 84 |
|  | Total | 63 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 99 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 99 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 8 |

**Texas (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

The Texas Education Agency did not collect data pertaining to the students with modified expulsions and the students with expulsions not modified during the 2002-2003 school year.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | Data missing. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 177 | 175 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -2 |
| Percentage Change |  | -1 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Texas interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

Question 3a and 3b. Texas had not collected this type of data prior to receiving the new data collection form.

Question 10a. Texas State law requires expelled students to be place in an alternative setting for all students 10 years of age or younger, for students over 10 that have been expelled for a mandatory offense, and for the 26 mandatory Juvenile Justice Altenative Education Program (JJAEP) counties as required by Texas Education Code (TEC) 37.011.

**Utah**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 8 | 0 | 4 | 12 |
| Junior High | 10 | 1 | 15 | 26 |
| Senior High | 9 | 1 | 8 | 18 |
| Total | 27 | 2 | 27 | 56 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 31 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 25 | 45 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 31 | 55 |
|  | Total | 56 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
|  | Total | Data missing. |  |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 2 | 8 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 23 | 92 |
|  | Total | 25 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 36 |

**Utah (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 83 | 56 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -27 |
| Percentage Change |  | -33 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Utah interpreted this question as the number of incidents that ultimately resulted in a 12-month expulsion rather than the number that initially resulted in a 12-month expulsion.

**Vermont**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 2 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 2 | 100 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 2 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 1 | 50 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 1 | 50 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 2 | 100 |
|  | Total | 2 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 3 |

**Vermont (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | Yes. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 3 | 2 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -1 |
| Percentage Change |  | -33 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Vermont interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

Question 9. Vermont provided a copy of the revised statute.

Question 10b. There are no State funds specifically for this purpose. However, funds made available to the district through the general state education funding system may enable a school district to develop and operate alternative education programs.

**Virginia**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 6 | 2 | 33 | 41 |
| Junior High | 20 | 1 | 60 | 81 |
| Senior High | 19 | 4 | 52 | 75 |
| Total | 45 | 7 | 145 | 197 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 52 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 145 | 74 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 52 | 26 |
|  | Total | 197 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 32 | 22 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 16 | 31 |
|  | Total | 48 | 24 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 10 | 7 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 135 | 93 |
|  | Total | 145 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | Data missing. |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 50 |

**Virginia (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

The State believes some of the "Other Firearms" data are elevated. Although BB guns are specifically excluded under the GFSA reporting requirements, the current data collection process in Virginia does not include a separate reporting category that would allow them to specifically identify how the BB guns are being reported by individual school divisions. Also, because of recent action by the 2003 Virginia General Assembly, it is believed that many school divisions are incorrectly reporting the BB guns in the "Other Firearms" category. This issue will be addressed and corrected for the 2004-05 reporting year.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | Yes. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 197 | 197 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 0 |
| Percentage Change |  | 0 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. According to the Code of Virginia at 22.1-277.07 for disciplinary action for a student who brings a firearm onto school property or to a school sponsored activity, a school administrator, pursuant to school board policy, or a school board may determine, based on the facts of a particular situation, that special circumstances exist and no disciplinary action or another disciplinary action or another term of expulsion is appropriate.

Question 9. Virginia provided a copy of the revised statute.

Question 10b. While no state funds have been designated specifically for those students who have been expelled under GFSA, state funds are provided to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings. These services are availabe for all students that are served by the alternative programs.

**Washington**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 5 | 0 | 9 | 14 |
| Junior High | 16 | 1 | 19 | 36 |
| Senior High | 13 | 11 | 26 | 50 |
| Total | 34 | 12 | 54 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 100 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 57 | 57 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 43 | 43 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 18 | 32 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 7 | 16 |
|  | Total | 25 | 25 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 20 | 35 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 37 | 65 |
|  | Total | 57 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 22 |

**Washington (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 92 | 100 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 8 |
| Percentage Change |  | 9 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Washington interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**West Virginia**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| Senior High | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Total | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 8 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 3 | 38 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 5 | 62 |
|  | Total | 8 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 5 | 100 |
|  | Total | 5 | 62 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 2 | 67 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 1 | 33 |
|  | Total | 3 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 5 |

**West Virginia (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 6 | 8 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 2 |
| Percentage Change |  | 33 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. West Virginia interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Wisconsin**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Junior High | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| Senior High | 8 | 1 | 6 | 15 |
| Total | 11 | 1 | 10 | 22 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 22 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 7 | 32 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 15 | 68 |
|  | Total | 22 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 2 | 29 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 2 | 13 |
|  | Total | 4 | 18 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 2 | 29 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 5 | 71 |
|  | Total | 7 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 3 |

**Wisconsin (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 30 | 22 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -8 |
| Percentage Change |  | -27 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Wisconsin interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Wyoming**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Senior High | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 2 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 4 | 67 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 2 | 33 |
|  | Total | 6 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 4 | 100 |
|  | Total | 4 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 2 |

**Wyoming (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 7 | 6 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | -1 |
| Percentage Change |  | -14 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Wyoming interpreted this question as the number of incidents that ultimately resulted in a 12-month expulsion rather than the number that initially resulted in a 12-month expulsion.

Question 10a. Wyoming State law says, "nothing in this subsection prohibits a district from providing educational services to the expelled student in an alternative setting."

Question 10b. Wyoming provides both state and federal funds (7.5 million) to educational services for adjudicated youth. Some of thse students may have been expelled under GFSA.

**American Samoa**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 0 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | -- |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | -- |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 0 |

**American Samoa (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 0 |
| Percentage Change |  | 0 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. American Samoa interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**Guam**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Senior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 0 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 2 | 100 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 2 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 1 | 50 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 1 | 50 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 2 | 100 |
|  | Total | 2 | 100 |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 5 |

**Guam (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 1 | 2 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 1 |
| Percentage Change |  | 100 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Guam interpreted this question as the number of incidents that ultimately resulted in a 12-month expulsion rather than the number that initially resulted in a 12-month expulsion.

**Northern Mariana Islands**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 0 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | -- |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | -- |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 0 |

**Northern Mariana Island (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 0 |
| Percentage Change |  | 0 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. Northern Marianas interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

**U.S. Virgin Islands**

**2002–03 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Senior High | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1b. Number of incidents in 1a. that resulted in a 12-month expulsion | 5 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | 0 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 5 | 100 |
|  | Total | 5 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | -- |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 7. LEA Submission of GFSA Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state | | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs | | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 100 |

**U.S. Virgin Islands (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

**Question 9. GFSA-Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to GFSA changed in the past 12 months? | Yes. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does you state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2001–02 to 2002–03**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2001–02 | 2002–03 |
| Total number of expulsions | 4 | 5 |
| Change (2001**–**02 to 2002**–**03) |  | 1 |
| Percentage Change |  | 25 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1b. The Virgin Islands interpreted this question as the number of incidents requiring a 12-month expulsion by law prior to any modifications.

Question 9. The Virgin Islands first enacted the law in the last 12 months.

**Appendix A**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Appendix A contains a copy of the amended *Gun-Free Schools Act of 1994*. **Public Law 107-110 – Title IV Subpart 3 Section 4141**  **“PART F – GUN POSSESSION**  **SEC. 4141. GUN-FREE REQUIREMENTS**  (a) SHORT TITLE- This subpart may be cited as the Gun-Free Schools Act'.  (b) REQUIREMENTS-  (1) IN GENERAL- Each State receiving Federal funds under any title of this Act shall have in effect a State law requiring local educational agencies to expel from school for a period of not less than 1 year a student who is determined to have brought a firearm to a school, or to have possessed a firearm at a school, under the jurisdiction of local educational agencies in that State, except that such State law shall allow the chief administering officer of a local educational agency to modify such expulsion requirement for a student on a case-by-case basis if such modification is in writing.  (2) CONSTRUCTION- Nothing in this subpart shall be construed to prevent a State from allowing a local educational agency that has expelled a student from such a student's regular school setting from providing educational services to such student in an alternative setting.  (3) DEFINITION- For the purpose of this section, the term firearm' has the same meaning given such term in section 921(a) of title 18, United States Code.  (c) SPECIAL RULE- The provisions of this section shall be construed in a manner consistent with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.  (d) REPORT TO STATE- Each local educational agency requesting assistance from the State educational agency that is to be provided from funds made available to the State under any title of this Act shall provide to the State, in the application requesting such assistance -  (1) an assurance that such local educational agency is in compliance with the State law required by subsection (b); and  (2) a description of the circumstances surrounding any expulsions imposed under the State law required by subsection (b), including -  (A) the name of the school concerned;  (B) the number of students expelled from such school; and  (C) the type of firearms concerned.  (e) REPORTING- Each State shall report the information described in subsection (d) to the Secretary on an annual basis.  (f) DEFINITION- For the purpose of subsection (d), the term 'school' means any setting that is under the control and supervision of the local educational agency for the purpose of student activities approved and authorized by the local educational agency.  (g) EXCEPTION- Nothing in this section shall apply to a firearm that is lawfully stored inside a locked vehicle on school property, or if it is for activities approved and authorized by the local educational agency and the local educational agency adopts appropriate safeguards to ensure student safety.  (h) POLICY REGARDING CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM REFERRAL-  (1) IN GENERAL- No funds shall be made available under any title of this Act to any local educational agency unless such agency has a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm or weapon to a school served by such agency.  (2) DEFINITION- For the purpose of this subsection, the term 'firearm' and 'school' has the same meaning given to such term by section 921(a) of title 18, United States Code. | 108 STAT. 3907  Gun-Free Schools Act of 1994  20 USC 8921. |

**Appendix B**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Appendix B contains a copy of the 2002–03 *GFSA* data collection instrument for states and outlying areas. ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT (ESEA), TITLE IV, PART A, Subpart 3, as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001  GUN-FREE SCHOOLS ACT REPORT | FORM APPROVED  OMB #: 1865-0002  Expiration Date:11/30/2006 | |
| According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1865-0002. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 8 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. **If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate or suggestions for improving this form, please write to:** U.S. Department of Education, Washington, DC 20202-4651. **If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to:** Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20202-6450. | | |
| RESPONDENT INFORMATION | | |
| **State Name:** | | |
| Name of Agency Responding: | | |
| Name and Title of Individual Completing this Report: | | |
|  | | |
|  | | |
| Mailing Address: | | |
|  | | |
| E-Mail Address: | | |
| Telephone and Fax Number of Individual Completing this Report: | | |
| Phone: Fax: | | |

**GUN-FREE SCHOOLS ACT REPORT**

**INTRODUCTION**

The Gun-Free Schools Act (*GFSA*), Part A, Subpart 3, under Title IV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965, as amended (20 U.S.C. 7151) requires that each State have in effect a State law requiring local educational agencies (LEAs) to expel from school for a period of not less than one year a student found to have brought a firearm to school, or to have possessed a firearm at school. In addition, under the *GFSA*, LEAs receiving ESEA funds must adopt a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm to school or possesses a firearm at school.

Each State’s law also must allow the chief administering officer of the LEA to modify the expulsion requirement on a case-by-case basis, in writing. The *GFSA* also states that nothing in the *GFSA* shall be construed to prevent a State from allowing a local educational agency that has expelled a student from such student’s regular school setting from providing educational services to that student in an alternative setting.

The *GFSA* also requires States to provide annual reports to the Secretary of Education concerning implementation of the Act’s requirements.

PLEASE USE THE ATTACHED FORM TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE *GFSA*.

|  |
| --- |
| **GENERAL DIRECTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT** |
| 1. The time period covered by this report is the 2002-2003 school year.  2. Please complete this entire form. If questions are left blank, we will not be able to interpret the results and will have to follow up with a phone call. If a response to a question is “0” or “none,” be sure to enter “0” or “none.” If information is not available, please indicate by using the following abbreviation: MD = Missing Data  3. Please retain a copy of the completed form for your files so that you will have a copy on hand to refer to if we have questions about your responses.  4. **Please complete the attached form and mail no later than April 2, 2004** to:  Westat  1650 Research Boulevard, Room RA 1245  Rockville, MD 20850  If questions arise about completing any of the items on the attached form, please do not hesitate to contact the Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools at (202) 260-3954 for clarification. |

|  |
| --- |
| **ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS** |
| LEA local educational agency  *GFSA* Gun-Free Schools Act  IDEA Individuals with Disabilities Education Act  ESEA Elementary and Secondary Education Act  Elementary school A school classified as elementary by state and local practice and composed of any span of grades not above Grade 6. Combined elementary/junior high schools are considered junior high schools and combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are classified as high schools for this report.  Junior high school A separately organized and administered school intermediate between elementary and senior high schools, which might also be called a middle school, usually includes Grades 7, 8, and 9; Grade 7 and 8; or Grades 6, 7, and 8. Combined elementary/junior high schools are considered junior high schools for this report; junior/senior high school combinations are defined as senior high schools.  Senior high school A school offering the final years of school work necessary for graduation, usually including Grades 10, 11, and 12; or Grades 9, 10, 11, and 12. Combined junior and senior high schools are classified as high schools for this form; combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are classified as high schools.  Other firearms Firearms other than handguns, rifles or shotguns as defined in 18 USC 921. According to Section 921, the following are included within the definition: (Note: This definition does not apply to items such as toy guns, cap guns, bb guns, and pellet guns)  -- any weapon (including a starter gun) which will or is designed to or may readily be converted to expel a projectile by the action of any explosive;  -- the frame or receiver of any weapon described above;  -- any firearm muffler or firearm silencer;  -- any destructive device, which includes:  (a) any explosive, incendiary, or poison gas   1. Bomb; 2. Grenade, 3. Rocket having a propellant charge of more than four ounces, 4. Missile having an explosive or incendiary charge of more than one-quarter ounce, 5. Mine, or 6. Similar device   (b) any weapon which will, or which may be readily converted to, expel a projectile by the action of an explosive or other propellant, and which has any barrel with a bore of more than one-half inch in diameter  (c) any combination or parts either designed or intended for use in converting any device into any destructive device described in the two immediately preceding examples, and from which a destructive device may be readily assembled. |

**1. FIREARMS INCIDENTS**

**a. Please indicate the number of students in your State who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm at school. Include all infractions in your answer.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| Junior High School |  |  |  |  |
| Senior High School |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

*Notes: Any student found to have brought a firearm (meeting the definition at 18 U.S.C. 921) to school or possessed a firearm at school should be reported as an infraction, even if the expulsion is shortened or no penalty is imposed. Any incidents in which a student covered by the provisions of IDEA brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm at school should also be included, even if it is determined that the incident is a manifestation of the student’s disability. Modifications of the one-year expulsion requirement should also be reported in Question 2 of this report.*

*If a single student is found to have brought or possessed more than one firearm, report the student as a single incident. A note that explains the circumstances surrounding the incident, including the types of firearms that were removed from the student should be described in the data caveat section of this report.*

*If the same student is involved in more than one incident that involves bringing or possessing a firearm, each incident would be counted as one incident. A note explaining the circumstances surrounding the incident, including information about the disposition of that student, should be described in the data caveat section of this report.*

1. **According to your State law, how many of the incidences reported in Item 1a resulted in 12-month expulsions?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Number of 12-month expulsions:** |  |

**2. MODIFIED EXPULSIONS**

**How many of the incidences reported in Item 1b were shortened to a term of less than one year by the chief administering officer of an LEA under the case-by-case modification provisions of Section 4141(b)(1) of the *GFSA*?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Number** |
| **a. Modified Expulsions:** |  |
| **b. Expulsion Not Modified:** |  |
| **Total:** |  |

*Note: The total figure shown in the table above should EQUAL the total number of expulsions reported in Item 1b.*

**3. ALTERNATIVE PLACEMENTS**

**How many of the incidences reported in Items 2a and Item 2b resulted in a referral of the student to an alternative school or program?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Number of Alternative Placements** |
| **a. Among students with MODIFIED expulsions:** |  |
| **b. Among students with expulsions NOT MODIFIED:** |  |
| **Total:** |  |

1. **STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES**

**How many of the modifications reported in Item 2a were for students with and without disabilities as defined in Section 602(a)(1) of the IDEA (see below)?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Number of Modifications** |
| **a. Student Disabled:** |  |
| **b. Student Not Disabled:** |  |
| **Total:** |  |

*Notes: The total figure shown in the table above should EQUAL the total number of modified expulsions reported in Item 2a.*

*The GFSA explicitly states that the Act must be construed in a manner consistent with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Compliance with the GFSA can be achieved consistent with the IDEA as long as discipline of such students is determined on a case-by-case basis under the GFSA provision that permits modification of the expulsion requirement on a case-by-case basis. A student with a disability who brings a firearm to school, or possesses a firearm at school, may be removed from school for ten school days or less, and in accordance with State law, placed in an interim alternative educational setting that is determined by the student’s individualized education program team, for up to 45 calendar days. If the student’s parents initiate due process proceedings under the IDEA, the student must remain in that interim alternative educational setting during authorized review proceedings, unless the parents and school district can agree on a different placement. Before an expulsion can occur, the IDEA requires a determination by a group of persons knowledgeable about the student on whether the bringing of a firearm to school, or the possession of a firearm at school, was a manifestation of the student’s disability. A student with a disability may be expelled only if this group of persons determines that the bringing of a firearm to school, or the possession of a firearm at school, was not a manifestation of the student’s disability, and the school follows applicable IDEA procedural safeguards before the expulsion occurs. Under IDEA, students with disabilities who are expelled in accordance with these conditions must continue to receive educational services during the expulsion period. Under Section 602 (a)(1) of the IDEA, the term “children with disabilities” is defined as:*

*children --*

(i) with mental retardation, hearing impairments including deafness, speech or language impairments, visual impairments, including blindness, serious emotional disturbance, orthopedic impairments, autism, traumatic brain injury, other health impairments, or specific learning disabilities; and

*(ii) who, by reason thereof, need special education and related services.*

LEA COMPLIANCE WITH GFSA

**5.** List the name and address of each LEA that has not provided an assurance that it is in compliance with the State law that requires that a student who brings a firearm to school, or possesses a firearm at school, be expelled for one year. *(If all LEAs have provided the necessary assurance, please indicate “none” in response to this item.)*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

(Attach a separate sheet if more space is required to list LEAs.)

**6.** List the name and address of each LEA that has not provided an assurance that it is in compliance with the requirement in Section 4141(h) that an LEA receiving ESEA funds have in place a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm to a school, or possesses a firearm at school. *(If all LEAs have provided the necessary assurance, please indicate “none” in response to this item.)*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

(Attach a separate sheet if more space is required to list LEAs.)

**7. a.** Please indicate the percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the State in response to this annual data collection.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the State:** | % |

1. Of the LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report, what proportion of schools in those districts provided *GFSA* data to their LEAs?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs:** | % |

1. Of those LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the State, what percentage had reported one or more students for an offense under the *GFSA* related to firearms (as defined by Title 18 U.S.C. 921)?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense:** | % |

1. If applicable, please provide information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted to us. What information can the State share with us that will help us to more accurately interpret the data submitted on this *GFSA* report form (e.g., fewer than 100% LEAs responded to the State; figures reported included all weapons, not only firearms)?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**STATE COMPLIANCE WITH *GFSA***

1. Please indicate whether your State law related to *GFSA* has changed in the past 12 months.

* Yes, our State law has changed in the past 12 months. If “yes”, please attach a brief description of the changes or provide a copy of the new/revised statute.
* No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months.

**10.a.** How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?

* State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting.
* State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting.
* State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting.

**b.** Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*?

* Yes, State funds are provided.
* No, State funds are not provided.
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1. For the purpose of ESEA funding, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico are counted as “states.” [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. The outlying areas referred to in this report are: American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. The term “firearm” includes handguns, rifles, shotguns, and other firearms. See the data collection instrument in Appendix B for a detailed definition of a firearm. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. As of July 20, 2005 the verification process was complete for all states and outlying areas. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. **Elementary school:** A school classified as elementary by state and local practice and composed of any span of grades not above grade 6. Combined elementary and junior high schools (see definition to follow) are considered junior high schools and combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K–12 buildings) are classified as senior high schools for this report.

   **Junior high school:** A separately organized and administered school intermediate between elementary and senior high schools, which might also be called a middle school, usually includes grades 7, 8, and 9; grade 7 and 8; or grades 6, 7, and 8. Combined elementary and junior high schools are considered junior high schools for this report; combined junior and senior high schools are considered senior high schools (see definition to follow) for this report.

   **Senior high school:** A school offering the final years of school work necessary for graduation, usually including grades 10, 11, and 12; or grades 9, 10, 11, and 12. Combined junior and senior high schools are classified as high schools for this report; combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are also classified as senior high schools. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. This number differs from the national total due to the number of states that provided complete information. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. The 2002–03 reporting form asked for referrals broken out by expulsion modified and expulsion not modified. Prior to this reporting year all referrals to an alternative placement were reported as a single number. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)