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**Report on the Implementation of the   
*Gun-Free Schools Act* in the States and Outlying Areas**

**School Year 2003–04**

# Introduction

T

he *Gun-Free Schools Act* (*GFSA*) was reauthorized by Section 4141 of the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA)* as amended by the *No Child Left Behind Act of 2001* (*NCLB*;Public Law 107-110). See Appendix A for a copy of the amended *GFSA*. *GFSA* requires that each state[[1]](#footnote-1) or outlying area[[2]](#footnote-2) receiving federal funds under the *ESEA* have a law that requires all local education agencies (LEAs) in these states and outlying areas to expel from school for at least one year any student found bringing a firearm[[3]](#footnote-3) to school or possessing a firearm at school. (See Appendix A for the reauthorization language of the *GFSA*.) State laws also must authorize the LEA chief administering officer to modify, in writing, any such expulsion on a case-by-case basis. In addition, the *GFSA* states that the law must be construed so as to be consistent with the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)*.

The *GFSA* requires states and outlying areas to report information about the implementation of the *GFSA* annually to the secretary of education. In order to meet this requirement and to monitor compliance with the *GFSA*, the U.S. Department of Education (the Department) requires each state and outlying area to submit an annual report that provides information on student expulsions by various categories.

# Organization of the Report

T

his report summarizes the 2003–04 data submitted by the states and outlying areas. First, the report provides a brief summary of the overall findings, and second, it summarizes the 2003–04 data in bulleted, graphic, and tabular form as well as compares selected 2003–04 data and data submitted in previous years. The report also presents the data submitted by each state and outlying area, as well as any caveats or notes accompanying the respective data. Finally, there are two appendices to the report as noted above: Appendix A contains a copy of the amended *GFSA,* and Appendix B contains a copy of the 2003–04 *GFSA* data collection instrument for states and outlying areas.

# Data Quality and Interpretation of Findings

T

he information contained in this report should be interpreted with caution. As noted on the summary state-by-state tables and on the individual state and outlying area pages, some states and outlying areas attached caveats and notes to their data that should be consulted when interpreting the data. This is of particular importance when examining national totals, as they are made up of data that are not necessarily comparable from state to state in all cases.

Finally, this report is not designed to provide information to the reader regarding the rate at which students carry firearms to school or possess firearms at school. The data summarized in this report relate to actions taken with regard to the number of students *found* bringing firearms to schools or possessing firearms at schools.

# Data Collection and Verification

The Department received reports from all states and outlying areas by Jan. 31, 2005. To ensure that the data were reported accurately, the following procedures were followed:

* Each survey was reviewed for completeness and internal consistency and entered into a database.
* In a few cases, the states and outlying areas were contacted to obtain a correction or clarification of the data submitted. For example, the data provider was contacted if the forms submitted were not internally consistent, if the rows or columns or both rows and columns did not add to the printed totals or if the 2003–04 data represented a large change from the data reported for 2002–03.
* Once all of the data were received, all states and outlying areas were contacted and asked to provide final data verification by fax.

In addition, the Department has worked with the states and outlying areas on an ongoing basis to ensure that the submitted data are as accurate as possible.

# Summary of Findings

* Overall, 50 states, the District of Columbia (D.C.), Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas reported data under the *GFSA* for school year 2003–04. They reported that they expelled a total of 2,165 students from school for bringing a firearm to school or possessing a firearm at school.
* Fifty-eight percent of the expulsions were students in senior high school; 29 percent were in junior high; and 13 percent were in elementary school.[[4]](#footnote-4)
* Fifty-eight percent of the expulsions were for bringing or possessing a handgun. Thirty percent were for some other type of firearm or other destructive device, such as bombs, grenades or starter pistols, and 12 percent of the expulsions were for bringing or possessing a rifle or shotgun.
* There was a 1 percent increase in the number of expulsions from 2002–03 to 2003‑04.
* Forty-six percent of expulsions were modified to less than the one-year requirement.
* Seventy-five percent of modified expulsions were for students who were not considered disabled.
* Forty-six percent of students in the reporting states were referred to an alternative placement. Among those referred, 36 percent of the expulsions were modified, and 64 percent were not modified.
* All of the 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas reported that their LEAs submitted a *GFSA* report. Hawaii[[5]](#footnote-5) and Utah had the highest percentage of LEAs that reported one or more students for an offense under the *GFSA*.

# Expulsions for Bringing or Possessing a Firearm—Overview

Overall, 56 states and outlying areas provided data on the number of students expelled for bringing or possessing a firearm, for a total of 2,165 expulsions. California, New York, Texas, and Virginia had 100 or more expulsions each. When viewed as the number of expulsions per 1,000 enrolled students, Utah had the highest number of expulsions per 1,000 students. Refer to table 1 for more detailed information on the data provided by the individual states and outlying areas.

## *Expulsions by School Level*

A

ll states and outlying areas provided data on their expulsions.

Of the 2,165 expulsions reported by school level, more than half, 58 percent (1,263), were students in senior high schools; 29 percent (627) were students in junior high; and 13 percent (275) were elementary school students (see fig. 1 and table 2).

## *Expulsions by Type of Firearm*

O

f the 2,123 reported expulsions by type of firearm[[6]](#footnote-6), 58 percent (1,243) involved handguns; 12 percent (247) involved rifles or shotguns; and the remaining 30 percent (633) involved other types of firearms (such as bombs, grenades and starter pistols) (see fig. 2 and table 3).

# Overall Year-to-Year Changes in Number of Expulsions─2002–03 to 2003‑04

Overall, the reported number of expulsions increased 1 percent from 2,143 in 2002–03 to 2,165 in 2003–04 (see table 4). Of the total of 56 states and outlying areas reporting expulsions, three remained unchanged while 22 showed a decrease in the number of expulsions from 2002–03 to 2003–04. Among these, the greatest decrease was reported in Arkansas. Conversely, 31 states showed an increase in the number of expulsions from 2002–03 to 2003–04, with the largest increase in California.

See table 5 for the total number of expulsions reported by each state and outlying area over the last seven years.

# Modified Expulsions and Students With Disabilities

T

he *GFSA* allows the LEA chief administering officer to modify, in writing, any expulsion for a firearm violation on a case-by-case basis (for example, by shortening the standard expulsion requirement to less than one year). One purpose of this provision is to allow the chief administering officer in a school district to take unique circumstances into account as well as to ensure that *IDEA* and *GFSA* requirements are implemented consistently. In order to capture these modifications, states were asked to report the number of students who had their period of expulsion modified, as well as the number of these cases that were not for students with disabilities.

## *Modified Expulsions*

O

f the 2,069 reported expulsions in the states[[7]](#footnote-7) and outlying areas, 943 (or 46 percent) were modified to less than one year in 2003–04 (see fig. 3 and table 6).



The percentage of expulsions that were modified had dropped to below 30 percent in 1999‑2000, but since then has remained above 33 percent (see fig. 4).

## *Disability Status of Students With Modified Expulsions*

O

f the 937 reported students whose expulsions were modified, 703 (75 percent) were for students not considered disabled under Sec. 602(a)(1) of *IDEA*[[8]](#footnote-8) (see fig. 5 and table 7).

# Referrals to Alternative Schools or Programs

T

he *GFSA* allows local officials to refer expelled students to an alternative school or program. Forty-seven states and outlying areas reported complete information for this data item.

Overall, 46 percent (880) of the 1,908 reported expulsions by states and outlying areas were referred to an alternative placement.[[9]](#footnote-9) Of the 880 students referred to an alternative placement for expulsions that states reported as modified or not modified,[[10]](#footnote-10) 321 (36 percent) were for students with modified expulsions, while 559 (64 percent) were for students with expulsions that were not modified (see fig. 6 and table 8).

# *GFSA* Report on LEA Compliance

S

tarting with the 1999–2000 school year, states and outlying areas were asked to report information regarding the level of LEA compliance with the state law that requires that a student who brings a firearm to school, or possesses a firearm at school, be expelled for one year (see table 9). Additionally, they were asked to indicate the percentage of LEAs that reported an expulsion.

Most states and outlying areas indicated that virtually all of their LEAs had submitted *GFSA* reports. Any issues surrounding noncompliance with the *GFSA* are addressed directly by the Department.

**Table 1**

Number of students expelled for having brought to or possessed a firearm in school, 2003–04 and *GFSA* violations per 1,000 students of public elementary and secondary enrollment, by state or outlying area, fall 2003

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State or outlying areaa** | **Number of students expelled in 2003–04** | **Public elementary and secondary enrollment** | ***GFSA* violations for fall 2003 per 1,000 of enrollment** |
| **Total** | **2,165** | **49,202,066** | **0.044** |
| Alabama | 62 | 731,220 | 0.085 |
| Alaska | 13 | 133,933 | 0.097 |
| Arizona | 56 | 1,012,068 | 0.055 |
| Arkansas | 13 | 454,523 | 0.029 |
| California | 151 | 6,413,862 | 0.024 |
| Colorado | 24 | 757,693 | 0.032 |
| Connecticut | 7 | 577,203 | 0.012 |
| Delaware | 2 | 117,668 | 0.017 |
| District of Columbia | 3 | 78,057 | 0.038 |
| Florida | 63 | 2,587,628 | 0.024 |
| Georgia | 96 | 1,522,611 | 0.063 |
| Hawaii | 9 | 183,609 | 0.049 |
| Idaho | 11 | 252,120 | 0.044 |
| Illinois | 37 | 2,100,961 | 0.018 |
| Indiana | 30 | 1,011,130 | 0.030 |
| Iowa | 5 | 488,004 | 0.010 |
| Kansas | 17 | 466,317 | 0.036 |
| Kentucky | 40 | 633,885 | 0.060 |
| Louisiana | 30 | 727,709 | 0.041 |
| Maine | 1 | 202,084 | 0.005 |
| Maryland | 32 | 869,113 | 0.037 |
| Massachusetts | 37 | 980,459 | 0.038 |
| Michigan | 65 | 1,757,604 | 0.037 |
| Minnesota | 49 | 842,854 | 0.058 |
| Mississippi | 82 | 493,540 | 0.166 |
| Missouri | 55 | 905,941 | 0.061 |
| Montana | 18 | 148,356 | 0.121 |
| Nebraska | 17 | 285,542 | 0.060 |
| Nevada | 25 | 385,401 | 0.065 |
| New Hampshire | 1 | 207,417 | 0.005 |
| New Jersey | 17 | 1,380,753 | 0.012 |
| New Mexico | 31 | 323,066 | 0.096 |
| New York | 110 | 2,864,775 | 0.038 |
| North Carolina | 90 | 1,360,209 | 0.066 |
| North Dakota | 5 | 102,233 | 0.049 |
| Ohio | 42 | 1,845,428 | 0.023 |
| Oklahoma | 35 | 626,160 | 0.056 |
| Oregon | 35 | 551,273 | 0.063 |
| Pennsylvania | 73 | 1,821,146 | 0.040 |
| Puerto Rico | 6 | 584,916 | 0.010 |
| Rhode Island | 5 | 159,375 | 0.031 |
| South Carolina | 26 | 699,198 | 0.037 |
| South Dakota | 12 | 125,537 | 0.096 |
| Tennessee | 62 | 936,681 | 0.066 |
| Texas | 180 | 4,331,751 | 0.042 |
| Utah | 84 | 495,981 | 0.169 |
| Vermont | 2 | 99,103 | 0.020 |
| Virginia | 173 | 1,192,092 | 0.145 |
| Washington | 57 | 1,021,349 | 0.056 |
| West Virginia | 24 | 281,215 | 0.085 |
| Wisconsin | 35 | 880,031 | 0.040 |
| Wyoming | 7 | 87,462 | 0.080 |
| American Samoa | 0 | 15,893 | 0.000 |
| Guam | 3 | 31,572 | 0.095 |
| Northern Mariana Is. | 0 | 11,244 | 0.000 |
| Virgin Islands | 0 | 17,716 | 0.000 |

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), “State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education,“ 1988–89 through 2003–04, and *Projections of Education Statistics to 2014*. See http://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d05 for enrollment data.

*Note:* *GFSA = Gun-Free Schools Act*.

aData are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the four outlying areas.

**Table 2**

Number and percentage of students expelled for having brought to or possessed a firearm in school, by school level and by state or outlying area, 2003–04

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **School level and percentage** | | | | | |  |
| **State or outlying areaa** | **Elementary** | **Percentage of total** | **Junior high** | **Percentage of total** | **Senior high** | **Percentage of total** | **Total students** |
| **Total** | **275** | **13** | **627** | **29** | **1,263** | **58** | **2,165** |
| Alabama | 5 | 8 | 20 | 32 | 37 | 60 | 62 |
| Alaska | 0 | 0 | 4 | 31 | 9 | 69 | 13 |
| Arizona | 6 | 11 | 14 | 25 | 36 | 64 | 56 |
| Arkansas | 2 | 15 | 1 | 8 | 10 | 77 | 13 |
| California | 16 | 11 | 45 | 30 | 90 | 60 | 151 |
| Colorado | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 22 | 92 | 24 |
| Connecticut | 0 | 0 | 4 | 57 | 3 | 43 | 7 |
| Delaware | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 2 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 3 |
| Florida | 6 | 10 | 11 | 17 | 46 | 73 | 63 |
| Georgia | 13 | 14 | 34 | 35 | 49 | 51 | 96 |
| Hawaii | 0 | 0 | 6 | 67 | 3 | 33 | 9 |
| Idaho | 1 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 82 | 11 |
| Illinois | 8 | 22 | 8 | 22 | 21 | 57 | 37 |
| Indiana | 3 | 10 | 9 | 30 | 18 | 60 | 30 |
| Iowa | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 4 | 80 | 5 |
| Kansas | 2 | 12 | 2 | 12 | 13 | 76 | 17 |
| Kentucky | 5 | 13 | 7 | 18 | 28 | 70 | 40 |
| Louisiana | 10 | 33 | 9 | 30 | 11 | 37 | 30 |
| Maine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 1 |
| Maryland | 1 | 3 | 6 | 19 | 25 | 78 | 32 |
| Massachusetts | 5 | 14 | 16 | 43 | 16 | 43 | 37 |
| Michigan | 3 | 5 | 23 | 35 | 39 | 60 | 65 |
| Minnesota | 6 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 41 | 84 | 49 |
| Mississippi | 21 | 26 | 29 | 35 | 32 | 39 | 82 |
| Missouri | 6 | 11 | 13 | 24 | 36 | 65 | 55 |
| Montana | 4 | 22 | 1 | 6 | 13 | 72 | 18 |
| Nebraska | 1 | 6 | 7 | 41 | 9 | 53 | 17 |
| Nevada | 3 | 12 | 4 | 16 | 18 | 72 | 25 |
| New Hampshire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 1 |
| New Jersey | 1 | 6 | 8 | 47 | 8 | 47 | 17 |
| New Mexico | 4 | 13 | 10 | 32 | 17 | 55 | 31 |
| New York | 21 | 19 | 22 | 20 | 67 | 61 | 110 |
| North Carolina | 14 | 16 | 26 | 29 | 50 | 56 | 90 |
| North Dakota | 2 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 60 | 5 |
| Ohio | 7 | 17 | 17 | 40 | 18 | 43 | 42 |
| Oklahoma | 12 | 34 | 10 | 29 | 13 | 37 | 35 |
| Oregon | 9 | 26 | 10 | 29 | 16 | 46 | 35 |
| Pennsylvania | 12 | 16 | 36 | 49 | 25 | 34 | 73 |
| Puerto Rico | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 5 | 83 | 6 |
| Rhode Island | 0 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 3 | 60 | 5 |
| South Carolina | 2 | 8 | 3 | 12 | 21 | 81 | 26 |
| South Dakota | 0 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 10 | 83 | 12 |
| Tennessee | 3 | 5 | 18 | 29 | 41 | 66 | 62 |
| Texas | 11 | 6 | 48 | 27 | 121 | 67 | 180 |
| Utah | 10 | 12 | 28 | 33 | 46 | 55 | 84 |
| Vermont | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 2 |
| Virginia | 30 | 17 | 70 | 40 | 73 | 42 | 173 |
| Washington | 7 | 12 | 15 | 26 | 35 | 61 | 57 |
| West Virginia | 3 | 13 | 8 | 33 | 13 | 54 | 24 |
| Wisconsin | 0 | 0 | 13 | 37 | 22 | 63 | 35 |
| Wyoming | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 6 | 86 | 7 |
| American Samoa | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 |
| Guam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 3 |
| Northern Mariana Is. | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 |

*Source*: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, OMB No.1865-0002:*Gun-Free Schools Act* Report for School Year 2003–2004.

*Note:* -- means the number and percentage are not shown here because the calculation generates a divide-by-zero error.

aData are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the four outlying areas.

**Table 3**

Number and percentage of students expelled for having brought to or possessed a firearm in school, by type of firearm and by state or outlying area, 2003–04

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Type of firearm and percentage** | | | | | |  |
| **State or outlying areaa** | **Handgun** | **Percentage of total** | **Rifle or shotgun** | **Percentage of total** | **Other** | **Percentage of total** | **Total students** |
| **Total** | **1,243** | **58** | **247** | **12** | **633** | **30** | **2,123** |
| Alabama | 40 | 65 | 7 | 11 | 15 | 24 | 62 |
| Alaska | 1 | 8 | 2 | 15 | 10 | 77 | 13 |
| Arizona | 36 | 64 | 4 | 7 | 16 | 29 | 56 |
| Arkansas | 8 | 62 | 5 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| California | 114 | 75 | 11 | 7 | 26 | 17 | 151 |
| Colorado | 12 | 50 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 42 | 24 |
| Connecticut | 6 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 7 |
| Delaware | 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| District of Columbia | 3 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Florida | 55 | 87 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 63 |
| Georgia | 76 | 79 | 20 | 21 | MD | -- | 96 |
| Hawaii | 6 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 33 | 9 |
| Idaho | 5 | 45 | 5 | 45 | 1 | 9 | 11 |
| Illinois | 36 | 97 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| Indiana | 21 | 70 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 20 | 30 |
| Iowa | 4 | 80 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Kansas | 7 | 41 | 5 | 29 | 5 | 29 | 17 |
| Kentucky | 26 | 65 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 30 | 40 |
| Louisiana | 25 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 30 |
| Maine | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Maryland | 29 | 91 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| Massachusetts | 19 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 49 | 37 |
| Michigan | 39 | 60 | 24 | 37 | 2 | 3 | 65 |
| Minnesota | 25 | 51 | 16 | 33 | 8 | 16 | 49 |
| Mississippi | 35 | 43 | 2 | 2 | 45 | 55 | 82 |
| Missouri | 31 | 56 | 10 | 18 | 14 | 25 | 55 |
| Montana | 7 | 39 | 4 | 22 | 7 | 39 | 18 |
| Nebraska | 7 | 41 | 5 | 29 | 5 | 29 | 17 |
| Nevada | 23 | 92 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| New Hampshire | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| New Jersey | 16 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 17 |
| New Mexico | 17 | 55 | 3 | 10 | 11 | 35 | 31 |
| New York | 47 | 43 | 6 | 5 | 57 | 52 | 110 |
| North Carolina | 63 | 70 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 17 | 90 |
| North Dakota | 1 | 20 | 2 | 40 | 2 | 40 | 5 |
| Oklahoma | 3 | 9 | 8 | 23 | 24 | 69 | 35 |
| Oregon | 14 | 40 | 2 | 6 | 19 | 54 | 35 |
| Pennsylvania | 41 | 56 | 5 | 7 | 27 | 37 | 73 |
| Puerto Rico | 4 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 33 | 6 |
| Rhode Island | 3 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 5 |
| South Carolina | 22 | 85 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| South Dakota | 3 | 25 | 9 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Tennessee | 56 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 62 |
| Texas | 129 | 72 | 32 | 18 | 19 | 11 | 180 |
| Utah | 30 | 36 | 5 | 6 | 49 | 58 | 84 |
| Vermont | 1 | 50 | 1 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Virginia | 41 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 130 | 75 | 173 |
| Washington | 30 | 53 | 5 | 9 | 22 | 39 | 57 |
| West Virginia | 10 | 42 | 3 | 13 | 11 | 46 | 24 |
| Wisconsin | 12 | 34 | 7 | 20 | 16 | 46 | 35 |
| Wyoming | 0 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 5 | 71 | 7 |
| American Samoa | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 |
| Guam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 3 |
| Northern Mariana Is. | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 |

*Source*: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, OMB No.1865-0002: *Gun-Free Schools Act* Report for School Year 2003–2004.

*Note:*MD = Missing data. Ohio did not provide information on the type of firearm for 2003–04; as a result, Ohio is excluded from this table, and the overall national total differs from that reported in table 1. --The number and percentage are not shown here because the calculation generates a divide-by-zero error.

aData are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the four outlying areas.

**Table 4**

Number of students expelled for having brought to or possessed a firearm in school and number and percentage change, by state or outlying area, 2002–03 and 2003‑4

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State or outlying areaa** | **School year** | | **Number  change** | **Percentage  change** |
| **2002–03** | **2003–04** |
| **Total** | **2,143** | **2,165** | **22** | **+1** |
| Alabama | 53 | 62 | 9 | +17 |
| Alaska | 12 | 13 | 1 | +8 |
| Arizona | 105 | 56 | -49 | -47 |
| Arkansas | 97 | 13 | -84 | -87 |
| California | 96 | 151 | 55 | +57 |
| Colorado | 36 | 24 | -12 | -33 |
| Connecticut | 8 | 7 | -1 | -12 |
| Delaware | 4 | 2 | -2 | -50 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 3 | 2 | +200 |
| Florida | 54 | 63 | 9 | +17 |
| Georgia | 85 | 96 | 11 | +13 |
| Hawaii | 2 | 9 | 7 | +350 |
| Idaho | 7 | 11 | 4 | +57 |
| Illinois | 46 | 37 | -9 | -20 |
| Indiana | 26 | 30 | 4 | +15 |
| Iowa | 9 | 5 | -4 | -44 |
| Kansas | 28 | 17 | -11 | -39 |
| Kentucky | 52 | 40 | -12 | -23 |
| Louisiana | 58 | 30 | -28 | -48 |
| Maine | 2 | 1 | -1 | -50 |
| Maryland | 27 | 32 | 5 | +19 |
| Massachusetts | 28 | 37 | 9 | +32 |
| Michigan | 37 | 65 | 28 | +76 |
| Minnesota | 15 | 49 | 34 | +227 |
| Mississippi | 70 | 82 | 12 | +17 |
| Missouri | 62 | 55 | -7 | -11 |
| Montana | 20 | 18 | -2 | -10 |
| Nebraska | 10 | 17 | 7 | +70 |
| Nevada | 35 | 25 | -10 | -29 |
| New Hampshire | 3 | 1 | -2 | -67 |
| New Jersey | 11 | 17 | 6 | +55 |
| New Mexico | 28 | 31 | 3 | +11 |
| New York | 79 | 110 | 31 | +39 |
| North Carolina | 69 | 90 | 21 | +30 |
| North Dakota | 2 | 5 | 3 | +150 |
| Ohio | 65 | 42 | -23 | -35 |
| Oklahoma | 33 | 35 | 2 | +6 |
| Oregon | 29 | 35 | 6 | +62 |
| Pennsylvania | 45 | 73 | 28 | +62 |
| Puerto Rico | 4 | 6 | 2 | +50 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 5 | -2 | -29 |
| South Carolina | 27 | 26 | -1 | -4 |
| South Dakota | 9 | 12 | 3 | +33 |
| Tennessee | 74 | 62 | -12 | -16 |
| Texas | 175 | 180 | 5 | +3 |
| Utah | 56 | 84 | 28 | +50 |
| Vermont | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Virginia | 197 | 173 | -24 | -12 |
| Washington | 100 | 57 | -43 | -43 |
| West Virginia | 8 | 24 | 16 | +200 |
| Wisconsin | 22 | 35 | 13 | +59 |
| Wyoming | 6 | 7 | 1 | +17 |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guam | 2 | 3 | 1 | +50 |
| Northern Mariana Is. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 5 | 0 | -5 | -100 |

*Source*: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, OMB No.1865-0002: *Gun-Free Schools* *Act* Report for School Year 2003–2004.

aData are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the four outlying areas.

**Table 5**

Number of students expelled for having brought to or possessed a firearm in school, by state or outlying area, 1996–97 through 2003–04

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State or outlying areaa** | **School year** | | | | | | | |
| **1996**–**97** | **1997**–**98** | **1998**–**99** | **1999**–**2000** | **2000**–**01** | **2001**–**02** | **2002–03** | **2003–04** |
| **Total** | **4,787** | **3,660** | **3,477** | **2,835** | **2,537** | **2,554** | **2,143** | **2,165** |
| Alabama | 91 | 82 | 174 | 154 | 200 | 138 | 53 | 62 |
| Alaska | 19 | 18 | 30 | 17 | 10 | 55 | 12 | 13 |
| Arizona | 152 | 111 | 101 | 56 | 131 | 124 | 105 | 56 |
| Arkansas | 62 | 57 | 66 | 23 | 32 | 80 | 97 | 13 |
| California | 723 | 384 | 290 | 154 | 123 | 104 | 96 | 151 |
| Colorado | 131 | 30 | 110 | 42 | 24 | 31 | 36 | 24 |
| Connecticut | 19 | 9 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| Delaware | 7 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Florida | 202 | 149 | 94 | 67 | 95 | 51 | 54 | 63 |
| Georgia | 244 | 203 | 208 | 117 | 111 | 119 | 85 | 96 |
| Hawaii | 0 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 9 |
| Idaho | 33 | 42 | 31 | 19 | 17 | 21 | 7 | 11 |
| Illinois | 250 | 86 | 77 | 40 | 32 | 53 | 46 | 37 |
| Indiana | 109 | 62 | 103 | 33 | 21 | 41 | 26 | 30 |
| Iowa | 40 | 30 | 17 | 20 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 5 |
| Kansas | 43 | 33 | 52 | 40 | 36 | 32 | 28 | 17 |
| Kentucky | 70 | 72 | 37 | 12 | 7 | 46 | 52 | 40 |
| Louisiana | 88 | 25 | 21 | 73 | 113 | 75 | 58 | 30 |
| Maine | 13 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Maryland | 73 | 32 | 34 | 35 | 26 | 21 | 27 | 32 |
| Massachusetts | 54 | 46 | 43 | 10 | 18 | 89 | 28 | 37 |
| Michigan | 92 | 99 | 106 | 100 | 90 | 46 | 37 | 65 |
| Minnesota | 18 | 45 | 24 | 15 | 12 | 23 | 15 | 49 |
| Mississippi | 11 | 47 | 24 | 36 | 64 | 67 | 70 | 82 |
| Missouri | 318 | 179 | 171 | 102 | 49 | 59 | 62 | 55 |
| Montana | 12 | 17 | 15 | 22 | 12 | 31 | 20 | 18 |
| Nebraska | 20 | 11 | 15 | 20 | 11 | 6 | 10 | 17 |
| Nevada | 54 | 36 | 52 | 45 | 58 | 56 | 35 | 25 |
| New Hampshire | 15 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| New Jersey | 57 | 40 | 51 | 29 | 13 | 16 | 11 | 17 |
| New Mexico | 71 | 32 | 47 | 23 | 32 | 20 | 28 | 31 |
| New York | 128 | 91 | 206 | 98 | 89 | 88 | 79 | 110 |
| North Carolina | 138 | 121 | 141 | 78 | 77 | 92 | 69 | 90 |
| North Dakota | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 |
| Ohio | MD | 119 | 77 | 199 | 135 | 53 | 65 | 42 |
| Oklahoma | 0 | 17 | 16 | 31 | 13 | 60 | 33 | 35 |
| Oregon | 85 | 135 | 48 | 87 | 40 | 55 | 29 | 35 |
| Pennsylvania | 200 | 121 | 76 | 76 | 40 | 36 | 45 | 73 |
| Puerto Rico | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 5 |
| South Carolina | 94 | 85 | 52 | 55 | 43 | 34 | 27 | 26 |
| South Dakota | 7 | 26 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 12 |
| Tennessee | 98 | 192 | 152 | 109 | 88 | 80 | 74 | 62 |
| Texas | 532 | 424 | 294 | 237 | 204 | 177 | 175 | 180 |
| Utah | 80 | 9 | 13 | 50 | 53 | 83 | 56 | 84 |
| Vermont | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Virginia | 92 | 99 | 115 | 259 | 204 | 197 | 197 | 173 |
| Washington | 146 | 118 | 115 | 144 | 106 | 92 | 100 | 57 |
| West Virginia | 27 | 17 | 14 | 9 | 12 | 6 | 8 | 24 |
| Wisconsin | 54 | 66 | 71 | 51 | 46 | 30 | 22 | 35 |
| Wyoming | 0 | 0 | 11 | 16 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| American Samoa | MD | 0 | 0 | MD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guam | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Northern Mariana Is. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 0 |

*Source*: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, OMB No.1865-0002:*Gun-Free Schools Act* Report for School Year 2003–2004.

*Note:*MD = Missing data. Some data have been revised from published figures in previous *Gun-Free Schools Act* reports.

aData are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the four outlying areas.

**Table 6**

Number and percentage of students found to have brought to or possessed a firearm in school for which the required one-year expulsion was modified on a case-by-case basis, by state or outlying area, 2003‑04

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State or outlying areaa** | **Number of  expulsions** | **Number  modified** | **Percentage  modified** |
| **Total** | **2,069** | **943** | **46** |
| Alabama | 62 | 35 | 56 |
| Alaska | 13 | 13 | 100 |
| Arizona | 56 | 17 | 30 |
| Arkansas | 13 | 4 | 31 |
| California | 151 | 17 | 11 |
| Colorado | 24 | 13 | 54 |
| Connecticut | 7 | 2 | 29 |
| Delaware | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| District of Columbia | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Florida | 63 | 17 | 27 |
| Hawaii | 9 | 6 | 67 |
| Idaho | 11 | 8 | 73 |
| Illinois | 37 | 3 | 8 |
| Indiana | 30 | 24 | 80 |
| Iowa | 5 | 2 | 40 |
| Kansas | 17 | 12 | 71 |
| Kentucky | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| Louisiana | 30 | 8 | 27 |
| Maine | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Maryland | 32 | 9 | 28 |
| Massachusetts | 37 | 1 | 3 |
| Michigan | 65 | 18 | 28 |
| Minnesota | 49 | 41 | 84 |
| Mississippi | 82 | 49 | 60 |
| Missouri | 55 | 1 | 2 |
| Montana | 18 | 14 | 78 |
| Nebraska | 17 | 8 | 47 |
| Nevada | 25 | 7 | 28 |
| New Hampshire | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| New Jersey | 17 | 2 | 12 |
| New Mexico | 31 | 4 | 13 |
| New York | 110 | 68 | 62 |
| North Carolina | 90 | 90 | 100 |
| North Dakota | 5 | 2 | 40 |
| Ohio | 42 | 36 | 86 |
| Oklahoma | 35 | 22 | 63 |
| Oregon | 35 | 6 | 17 |
| Pennsylvania | 73 | 30 | 41 |
| Puerto Rico | 6 | 3 | 50 |
| Rhode Island | 5 | 5 | 100 |
| South Carolina | 26 | 5 | 19 |
| South Dakota | 12 | 9 | 75 |
| Tennessee | 62 | 51 | 82 |
| Texas | 180 | 58 | 32 |
| Utah | 84 | 66 | 79 |
| Vermont | 2 | 1 | 50 |
| Virginia | 173 | 110 | 64 |
| Washington | 57 | 20 | 35 |
| West Virginia | 24 | 13 | 54 |
| Wisconsin | 35 | 6 | 17 |
| Wyoming | 7 | 6 | 86 |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guam | 3 | 1 | 33 |
| Northern Mariana Is. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 |

*Source*: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, OMB No.1865‑0002:*Gun-Free Schools* *Act* Report.

*Note:* Georgia did not provide information on the number of modified expulsions for 2003‑04; as a result, Georgia is excluded from this table, and the overall national total differs from that reported in table 1.

aData are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the four outlying areas.

**Table 7**

Number and percentage of students found to have brought to or possessed a firearm in school and received modified expulsions that were for nondisabled students, by state or outlying area, 2003–04

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State or outlying areaa** | **Number modified expulsions** | **Number modified expulsions for disabled students** | **Number modified expulsions for nondisabled students** | **Percentage modified expulsions for nondisabled students** |
| **Total** | **937** | **232** | **703** | **75** |
| Alabama | 35 | 10 | 25 | 71 |
| Alaska | 13 | 1 | 12 | 92 |
| Arizona | 17 | 4 | 13 | 76 |
| Arkansas | 4 | 0 | 4 | 100 |
| California | 17 | 1 | 16 | 94 |
| Colorado | 13 | 9 | 4 | 31 |
| Connecticut | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50 |
| Delaware | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Florida | 17 | 2 | 15 | 88 |
| Hawaii | 6 | 2 | 4 | 67 |
| Idaho | 8 | 2 | 6 | 75 |
| Illinois | 3 | 0 | 3 | 100 |
| Indiana | 24 | 4 | 20 | 83 |
| Iowa | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50 |
| Kansas | 12 | 6 | 6 | 50 |
| Kentucky | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Louisiana | 8 | 4 | 4 | 50 |
| Maine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Maryland | 9 | 2 | 7 | 78 |
| Massachusetts | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Michigan | 18 | 2 | 16 | 89 |
| Minnesota | 41 | 5 | 36 | 88 |
| Mississippi | 49 | 18 | 31 | 63 |
| Missouri | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Montana | 14 | 6 | 8 | 57 |
| Nebraska | 8 | 1 | 7 | 88 |
| Nevada | 7 | 1 | 6 | 86 |
| New Hampshire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Jersey | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| New Mexico | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| New York | 68 | 17 | 51 | 75 |
| North Carolina\* | 90 | 28 | 60 | 67 |
| North Dakota | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| Ohio | 36 | 8 | 28 | 78 |
| Oklahoma | 22 | 2 | 20 | 91 |
| Pennsylvania | 30 | 9 | 21 | 70 |
| Puerto Rico | 3 | 0 | 3 | 100 |
| Rhode Island | 5 | 0 | 5 | 100 |
| South Carolina | 5 | 1 | 4 | 80 |
| South Dakota | 9 | 2 | 7 | 78 |
| Tennessee | 51 | 12 | 39 | 76 |
| Texas | 58 | 2 | 56 | 97 |
| Utah | 66 | 16 | 68 | 103 |
| Vermont | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Virginia | 110 | 35 | 75 | 68 |
| Washington | 20 | 10 | 10 | 50 |
| West Virginia | 13 | 1 | 12 | 92 |
| Wisconsin | 6 | 1 | 5 | 83 |
| Wyoming | 6 | 1 | 5 | 83 |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guam | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Northern Mariana Is. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

*Source*: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, OMB No.1865-0002: *Gun-Free Schools* *Act* Report for School Year 2003--2004.

*Note:* Georgia and Oregon did not provide data broken out by disabled and nondisabled students for 2003–04; as a result, Georgia and Oregon are excluded from this table, and the overall national total differs from that reported in table 6. The disability status for two students in North Carolina is unknown; therefore, the reported total does not equal the sum total of modifications by disability status.

aData are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the four outlying areas.

**Table 8**

Number and percentage of students found to have brought to or possessed a firearm in school who were referred to an alternative placement, by state or outlying area, 2003–04

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State or outlying areaa** | **Number of expulsions** | **Total number referred** | **Total percentage referred** | **Number referred modified** | **Percentage of modified referred** | **Number referred not modified** | **Percentage of not modified referred** |
| **Total** | **1,908** | **880** | **46** | **321** | **36** | **559** | **64** |
| Alabama | 62 | 13 | 21 | 13 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Alaska | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Arizona | 56 | 56 | 100 | 18 | 32 | 38 | 68 |
| Arkansas | 13 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| California | 151 | 136 | 90 | 12 | 9 | 124 | 91 |
| Colorado | 24 | 11 | 46 | 4 | 36 | 7 | 64 |
| Connecticut | 7 | 7 | 100 | 2 | 29 | 5 | 71 |
| Delaware | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| District of Columbia | 3 | 3 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 100 |
| Florida | 63 | 28 | 45 | 7 | 25 | 21 | 75 |
| Hawaii | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Idaho | 11 | 5 | 45 | 5 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Illinois | 37 | 21 | 57 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 95 |
| Indiana | 30 | 5 | 17 | 3 | 60 | 2 | 40 |
| Iowa | 5 | 4 | 80 | 2 | 50 | 2 | 50 |
| Kansas | 17 | 6 | 35 | 6 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Kentucky | 40 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Louisiana | 30 | 18 | 60 | 5 | 28 | 13 | 72 |
| Maine | 1 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Maryland | 32 | 17 | 53 | 5 | 29 | 12 | 71 |
| Massachusetts | 37 | 11 | 30 | 1 | 9 | 10 | 91 |
| Michigan | 65 | 37 | 57 | 10 | 27 | 27 | 73 |
| Minnesota | 49 | 20 | 41 | 12 | 60 | 8 | 40 |
| Mississippi | 82 | 38 | 46 | 25 | 66 | 13 | 34 |
| Missouri | 55 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 100 |
| Montana | 18 | 9 | 50 | 6 | 67 | 3 | 33 |
| Nebraska | 17 | 16 | 94 | 8 | 50 | 8 | 50 |
| Nevada | 25 | 21 | 84 | 4 | 19 | 17 | 81 |
| New Hampshire | 1 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| New Jersey | 17 | 6 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 100 |
| New Mexico | 31 | 11 | 35 | 4 | 36 | 7 | 64 |
| New York | 110 | 50 | 45 | 19 | 38 | 31 | 62 |
| North Carolina | 90 | 15 | 17 | 15 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| North Dakota | 5 | 3 | 60 | 2 | 67 | 1 | 33 |
| Oklahoma | 35 | 12 | 34 | 5 | 42 | 7 | 58 |
| Pennsylvania | 73 | 35 | 48 | 14 | 40 | 21 | 60 |
| Puerto Rico | 6 | 3 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 100 |
| Rhode Island | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| South Carolina | 26 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 67 | 1 | 33 |
| South Dakota | 12 | 4 | 33 | 3 | 75 | 1 | 25 |
| Tennessee | 62 | 29 | 47 | 29 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | 180 | 134 | 74 | 49 | 37 | 85 | 63 |
| Vermont | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Virginia | 173 | 52 | 30 | 14 | 27 | 38 | 73 |
| Washington | 57 | 12 | 21 | 9 | 75 | 3 | 25 |
| West Virginia | 24 | 16 | 67 | 6 | 38 | 10 | 63 |
| Wisconsin | 35 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 100 |
| Wyoming | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Guam | 3 | 2 | 67 | 1 | 50 | 1 | 50 |
| Northern Mariana Is. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |

*Source*: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, OMB No.1865-0002: *Gun-Free Schools Act* Report for School Year 2003–2004.

*Note:*Several states (Georgia, Ohio, Oregon and Utah) did not provide referral data broken out by modified and not modified; therefore, these states are excluded from the table. --The number and percentage are not shown here because the calculation generates a divide-by-zero error.

aData are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the four outlying areas.

**Table 9**

Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state or outlying area and percentage of LEAs reporting an offense, by state or outlying area, 2003–04

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State or outlying areaa** | **Percent of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report** | **Percent of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs** | **Percent of LEAS that reported an offense** |
| Alabama | 100 | 100 | 15 |
| Alaska | 100 | 100 | 13 |
| Arizona | 94 | 100 | 2 |
| Arkansas | 100 | 100 | 10 |
| California | 100 | 100 | 9 |
| Colorado | 100 | 100 | 6 |
| Connecticut | 100 | 100 | 2 |
| Delaware | 80 | 100 | 4 |
| District of Columbia | 100 | 100 | 3 |
| Florida | 100 | 100 | 33 |
| Georgia | 100 | 100 | 19 |
| Hawaii | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Idaho | 100 | 100 | 8 |
| Illinois | 100 | 100 | 1 |
| Indiana | 100 | 100 | 7 |
| Iowa | 100 | 100 | 1 |
| Kansas | 100 | 100 | 0.06 |
| Kentucky | 100 | 100 | 55 |
| Louisiana | 100 | 100 | 26 |
| Maine | 100 | 100 | 0.5 |
| Maryland | 100 | 100 | 46 |
| Massachusetts | 100 | 100 | 7 |
| Michigan | 100 | 100 | 4 |
| Minnesota | 100 | 100 | 9 |
| Mississippi | 100 | 100 | 35 |
| Missouri | 100 | 100 | 7 |
| Montana | 100 | 100 | 2 |
| Nebraska | 100 | 100 | b |
| Nevada | 100 | 100 | 23 |
| New Hampshire | 100 | 100 | 1 |
| New Jersey | 100 | 100 | 2 |
| New Mexico | 100 | 100 | 18 |
| New York | 100 | 100 | 9 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 100 | 20 |
| North Dakota | 100 | 98 | 2.3 |
| Ohio | 100 | 100 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 100 | 100 | 5 |
| Oregon | 86 | 92 | 11 |
| Pennsylvania | 100 | 100 | 7 |
| Puerto Rico | 92 | 92 | b |
| Rhode Island | 100 | 100 | 10 |
| South Carolina | 100 | 100 | 19 |
| South Dakota | 100 | 100 | 5 |
| Tennessee | 100 | 100 | 13 |
| Texas | 99.6 | 100 | 7 |
| Utah | 100 | 100 | 68 |
| Vermont | 100 | 100 | 3 |
| Virginia | 100 | MD | 38 |
| Washington | 100 | 100 | 17 |
| West Virginia | 100 | 100 | 22 |
| Wisconsin | 100 | 100 | 4 |
| Wyoming | 100 | MD | 8 |
| American Samoa | 100 | 100 | 0 |
| Guam | 100 | 100 | 8 |
| Northern Mariana Is. | 100 | 100 | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 100 | 100 | 0 |

*Source*: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, OMB No.1865-0002: *Gun-Free Schools* *Act* Report for School Year 2003—2004.

*Note:* Several states or outlying areas (Hawaii, American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Is. and the Virgin Islands) are considered a single LEA/SEA. MD = Missing data,LEA = local education agency*, GFSA = Gun-Free Schools Act*

aData are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the four outlying areas.

bLess than .05 percent.

State and Outlying Area Profiles

The following profiles consist of a summary of 2003–04 information on student expulsions by various categories submitted by the states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and outlying areas at the request of the U.S. Department of Education. The data collection form, from which these profiles were developed, is located in Appendix B of this report. Questions 5 and 6 are not included in this section because they are compliance-related questions on which the Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools follows up. The tables in the previous section were compiled from these singular state profiles.

## Alabama

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| Junior High | 15 | 0 | 5 | 20 |
| Senior High | 21 | 7 | 9 | 37 |
| Total | 40 | 7 | 15 | 62 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 35 | 56 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 27 | 44 |
|  | Total | 62 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 13 | 37 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 13 | 21 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 10 | 29 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 25 | 71 |
|  | Total | 35 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 15 |

**Alabama (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

Data is self-reported and collected at the local school level. Statewide, several hundred individuals perform this task, and human error is possible as a result. However, for *GFSA* data reported here personal contact with appropriate LEAs does ensure, in our opinion, the validity of the data as reported.

**Question 9. *GFSA*-related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 53 | 62 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 9 |
| Percentage Change |  | 17 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 10b. Specific funds are not provided for *GFSA*, but state funds do support the alternative education programs in the state.

## Alaska

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Senior High | 1 | 2 | 6 | 9 |
| Total | 1 | 2 | 10 | 13 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 13 | 100 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 13 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 1 | 8 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 12 | 92 |
|  | Total | 13 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 13 |

**Alaska (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

1. Some districts do not report specific type of firearms involved.

2. High staff turnover in smaller districts makes the reporting of accurate numbers challenging.

3. In spite of assurances it is unclear that all schools are taking the necessary steps to actually expel students who bring firearms to school for a full year before examining the circumstances and considering modifications.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 12 | 13 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 1 |
| Percentage Change |  | 8 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Arizona

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 |
| Junior High | 7 | 0 | 7 | 14 |
| Senior High | 28 | 4 | 4 | 36 |
| Total | 36 | 4 | 16 | 56 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 17 | 30 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 39 | 70 |
|  | Total | 56 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 18 | 106 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 38 | 97 |
|  | Total | 56 | 100 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 4 | 24 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 13 | 76 |
|  | Total | 17 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 94 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 2 |

**Arizona (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 105 | 56 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -49 |
| Percentage Change |  | -47 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 3a. The number in this question does not match the number of modified expulsions in Question 2a because the LEA that reported counted referrals to alternative schools the same as expulsions.

## Arkansas

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Junior High | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Senior High | 5 | 5 | 0 | 10 |
| Total | 8 | 5 | 0 | 13 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 4 | 31 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 9 | 69 |
|  | Total | 13 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 1 | 11 |
|  | Total | 1 | 8 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 4 | 100 |
|  | Total | 4 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 10 |

**Arkansas (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 97 | 13 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -84 |
| Percentage Change |  | -87 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## California

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 15 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| Junior High | 31 | 0 | 14 | 45 |
| Senior High | 68 | 10 | 12 | 90 |
| Total | 114 | 11 | 26 | 151 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 17 | 11 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 134 | 89 |
|  | Total | 151 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 12 | 71 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 124 | 93 |
|  | Total | 136 | 90 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 1 | 6 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 16 | 94 |
|  | Total | 17 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 9 |

**California (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 96 | 151 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 55 |
| Percentage Change |  | 57 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Colorado

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Senior High | 10 | 2 | 10 | 22 |
| Total | 12 | 2 | 10 | 24 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 13 | 54 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 14 | 58 |
|  | Total | 27 | 112 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 4 | 31 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 7 | 50 |
|  | Total | 11 | 46 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 9 | 69 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 4 | 31 |
|  | Total | 13 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 6 |

**Colorado (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

It is important to emphasize that, when reporting expulsions and number of students involved in incidents, it should not be confused with the number of guns brought to school. More than one student may be expelled from one firearm incident.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 36 | 24 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -12 |
| Percentage Change |  | -33 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 2. Five students were involved in one incident at the middle school level, involving two handguns. Therefore, the number of students who were found to have brought to school or possessed a firearm at school in Question 1 does not match the number of expulsions in Question 2.

Questions 10b. Funds are provided on a competitive grant basis. A school must offer to parents alternative services available. It is up to students and parents to accept them. If a parent chooses to home school the student, the school must assist them.

## Connecticut

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Senior High | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Total | 6 | 0 | 1 | 7 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 2 | 29 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 5 | 71 |
|  | Total | 7 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 2 | 100 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 5 | 100 |
|  | Total | 7 | 100 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 1 | 50 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 1 | 50 |
|  | Total | 2 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 2 |

**Connecticut (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

The Connecticut State Department of Education collects all weapon offenses that occur both on and off school property regardless of whether the offense resulted in an in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension and expulsion. When reporting offenses, the schools must select from a list of options that include: knife with a 2 1/2"+ blade, handgun, rifle/shotgun, explosive device, other guns, and other potentially dangerous weapons. The "other gun" category includes other guns such as pellet gun, paintball gun and air gun. The "other potentially dangerous weapon" category includes all other weapons such as martial arts, scissors, razor blades, etc. To meet the reporting requirements of the *Gun-Free* *Schools Act*, only weapon offenses resulting in an expulsion involving a handgun, rifle/shotgun, and other firearm on school property or at a school-sponsored event were reported.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 8 | 7 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -1 |
| Percentage Change |  | -12 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

The state of Connecticut reported that seven students were involved in such weapon offenses during the 2003–04 school year. These offenses occurred on school property and were confirmed with the school administrator prior to completing this report. Five students served expulsions for an entire school year; for the other two students, one served an expulsion of less than 180 days and the other a suspension for 10 days and then was placed in a structured alternative school program.

## Delaware

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | 0 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 2 | 100 |
|  | Total | 2 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | -- |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 80 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 4 |

**Delaware (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 4 | 2 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -2 |
| Percentage Change |  | -50 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## District of Columbia

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | 0 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 3 | 100 |
|  | Total | 3 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 3 | 100 |
|  | Total | 3 | 100 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | -- |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 3 |

**District of Columbia (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

In the District of Columbia Public Schools (DCPS) all schools must report any student bringing or possessing a firearm to local police authorities. The DCPS SEA/LEA receives the *GFSA* data for all of its schools (167) directly from the District of Columbia police department.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 1 | 3 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 2 |
| Percentage Change |  | 200 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Florida

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 4 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| Junior High | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Senior High | 40 | 5 | 1 | 46 |
| Total | 55 | 5 | 3 | 63 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 17 | 27 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 42 | 67 |
|  | Total | 59 | 94 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 7 | 41 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 21 | 50 |
|  | Total | 28 | 44 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 2 | 12 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 15 | 88 |
|  | Total | 17 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 33 |

**Florida (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

All 67 school districts, four university lab schools, and the Florida School for the Deaf and Blind reported.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 54 | 63 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 9 |
| Percentage Change |  | 17 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Georgia

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 11 | 2 | Data missing. | 13 |
| Junior High | 33 | 1 | Data missing. | 34 |
| Senior High | 32 | 17 | Data missing. | 49 |
| Total | 76 | 20 | Data missing. | 96 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | Data missing. |  |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | Data missing. |  |
|  | Total | 96 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
|  | Total | Data missing. |  |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | Data missing. |  |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | Data missing. |  |
|  | Total | Data missing. |  |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 19 |

**Georgia (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 85 | 96 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 11 |
| Percentage Change |  | 13 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

The data that are missing are not collected through the annual electronic data collection process.

## Hawaii

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 5 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| Senior High | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Total | 6 | 0 | 3 | 9 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 6 | 67 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 3 | 33 |
|  | Total | 9 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 2 | 33 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 4 | 67 |
|  | Total | 6 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 100 |

**Hawaii (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 2 | 9 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 7 |
| Percentage Change |  | 350 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Hawaii only has one school district. The LEA and SEA are the same.

## Idaho

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Senior High | 4 | 5 | 0 | 9 |
| Total | 5 | 5 | 1 | 11 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 8 | 73 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 3 | 27 |
|  | Total | 11 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 5 | 62 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 5 | 45 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 2 | 25 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 6 | 75 |
|  | Total | 8 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 8 |

**Idaho (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 7 | 11 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 4 |
| Percentage Change |  | 57 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Illinois

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Junior High | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Senior High | 20 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
| Total | 36 | 1 | 0 | 37 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 3 | 8 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 34 | 92 |
|  | Total | 37 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 1 | 33 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 20 | 59 |
|  | Total | 21 | 57 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 3 | 100 |
|  | Total | 3 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 1 |

**Illinois (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 46 | 37 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -9 |
| Percentage Change |  | -20 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Indiana

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Junior High | 7 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| Senior High | 11 | 3 | 4 | 18 |
| Total | 21 | 3 | 6 | 30 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 24 | 80 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 6 | 20 |
|  | Total | 30 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 3 | 12 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 2 | 33 |
|  | Total | 5 | 17 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 4 | 17 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 20 | 83 |
|  | Total | 24 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 7 |

**Indiana (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 26 | 30 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 4 |
| Percentage Change |  | 15 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Iowa

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Senior High | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 2 | 40 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 3 | 60 |
|  | Total | 5 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 2 | 100 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 2 | 67 |
|  | Total | 4 | 80 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 1 | 50 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 1 | 50 |
|  | Total | 2 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 1 |

**Iowa (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 9 | 5 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -4 |
| Percentage Change |  | -44 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 10a. This applies only to special education students.

## Kansas

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Senior High | 5 | 5 | 3 | 13 |
| Total | 7 | 5 | 5 | 17 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 12 | 71 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 5 | 29 |
|  | Total | 17 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 6 | 50 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 6 | 35 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 6 | 50 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 6 | 50 |
|  | Total | 12 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | Less than .05 |

**Kansas (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 28 | 17 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -11 |
| Percentage Change |  | -39 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Kentucky

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| Junior High | 4 | 1 | 2 | 7 |
| Senior High | 20 | 1 | 7 | 28 |
| Total | 26 | 2 | 12 | 40 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | 0 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 40 | 100 |
|  | Total | 40 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 1 | 2 |
|  | Total | 1 | 2 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | -- |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 7. GFSA Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 55 |

**Kentucky (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 52 | 40 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -12 |
| Percentage Change |  | -23 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Louisiana

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 7 | 0 | 3 | 10 |
| Junior High | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Senior High | 9 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| Total | 25 | 0 | 5 | 30 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 8 | 27 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 22 | 73 |
|  | Total | 30 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 5 | 62 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 13 | 59 |
|  | Total | 18 | 60 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 4 | 50 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 4 | 50 |
|  | Total | 8 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 26 |

**Louisiana (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 58 | 30 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -28 |
| Percentage Change |  | -48 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Maine

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | 0 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1 | 100 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | -- |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 7. GFSA Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 0 |

**Maine (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 2 | 1 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -1 |
| Percentage Change |  | -50 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Maryland

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Junior High | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Senior High | 22 | 3 | 0 | 25 |
| Total | 29 | 3 | 0 | 32 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 9 | 28 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 23 | 72 |
|  | Total | 32 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 5 | 56 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 12 | 52 |
|  | Total | 17 | 53 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 2 | 22 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 7 | 78 |
|  | Total | 9 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 46 |

**Maryland (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | Yes, our state law has changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 27 | 32 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 5 |
| Percentage Change |  | 19 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 10a. The state provided a copy of the revised statute.

## Massachusetts

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| Junior High | 7 | 0 | 9 | 16 |
| Senior High | 10 | 0 | 6 | 16 |
| Total | 19 | 0 | 18 | 37 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 1 | 3 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 36 | 97 |
|  | Total | 37 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 1 | 100 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 10 | 28 |
|  | Total | 11 | 30 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 7 |

**Massachusetts (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 28 | 37 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 9 |
| Percentage Change |  | 32 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Michigan

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Junior High | 16 | 7 | 0 | 23 |
| Senior High | 22 | 15 | 2 | 39 |
| Total | 39 | 24 | 2 | 65 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 18 | 28 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 47 | 72 |
|  | Total | 65 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 10 | 56 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 27 | 57 |
|  | Total | 37 | 57 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 2 | 11 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 16 | 89 |
|  | Total | 18 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 4 |

**Michigan (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 37 | 65 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 28 |
| Percentage Change |  | 76 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Minnesota

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Junior High | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Senior High | 20 | 14 | 7 | 41 |
| Total | 25 | 16 | 8 | 49 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 41 | 84 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 8 | 16 |
|  | Total | 49 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 12 | 29 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 8 | 100 |
|  | Total | 20 | 41 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 5 | 12 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 36 | 88 |
|  | Total | 41 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 9 |

**Minnesota (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

Minnesota collects gun incidents through two databases—the Expulsions Database and the Dangerous Weapons Disciplinary Incident Database. The same incidents may show up in both of these databases, thus the datasets are cleaned before submission of this report.

The Expulsions Database only collects data about whether the weapon was a "gun" (handgun, rifle/shotgun) or a BB/pellet/replica weapon.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 15 | 49 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 34 |
| Percentage Change |  | 227 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1. The data in the "other firearms" category may include handguns or rifles/shotguns. For the eight expulsions in this category, it was recorded that a "gun" was involved, but the specifics of whether it was a handgun or rifle/shotgun were not indicated.

Question 3a. Minnesota statute requires alternative placement only when an out-of-school suspension is more than five days. Nine incidents resulted in students being transferred and are not included in the alternative count.

Questions 7a-7c. All of the LEAs are required to submit incidents to the state's Expulsions Database and the Dangerous Weapons Disciplinary Incident Database. The SEA uses these databases as data collection tools for this report. LEAs do not complete a *GFSA* report separately from submission of data to these two databases.

## Mississippi

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 7 | 0 | 14 | 21 |
| Junior High | 13 | 0 | 16 | 29 |
| Senior High | 15 | 2 | 15 | 32 |
| Total | 35 | 2 | 45 | 82 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 49 | 60 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 33 | 40 |
|  | Total | 82 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 25 | 51 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 13 | 39 |
|  | Total | 38 | 46 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 18 | 37 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 31 | 63 |
|  | Total | 49 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 35 |

**Mississippi (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 70 | 82 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 12 |
| Percentage Change |  | 17 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Missouri

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 |
| Junior High | 10 | 0 | 3 | 13 |
| Senior High | 20 | 10 | 6 | 36 |
| Total | 31 | 10 | 14 | 55 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 1 | 2 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 54 | 98 |
|  | Total | 55 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 4 | 7 |
|  | Total | 4 | 7 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 7 |

**Missouri (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 62 | 55 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -7 |
| Percentage Change |  | -11 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Montana

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Senior High | 4 | 4 | 5 | 13 |
| Total | 7 | 4 | 7 | 18 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 14 | 78 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 4 | 22 |
|  | Total | 18 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 6 | 43 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 3 | 75 |
|  | Total | 9 | 50 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 6 | 43 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 8 | 57 |
|  | Total | 14 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 2 |

**Montana (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 20 | 18 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -2 |
| Percentage Change |  | -10 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Nebraska

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Junior High | 2 | 0 | 5 | 7 |
| Senior High | 4 | 5 | 0 | 9 |
| Total | 7 | 5 | 5 | 17 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 8 | 47 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 9 | 53 |
|  | Total | 17 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 8 | 100 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 8 | 89 |
|  | Total | 16 | 94 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 1 | 12 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 7 | 88 |
|  | Total | 8 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | Less than .05 |

**Nebraska (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 10 | 17 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 7 |
| Percentage Change |  | 70 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Questions 3b. One student went to prison and was not placed in a regular alternate school placement program.

## Nevada

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Junior High | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Senior High | 16 | 2 | 0 | 18 |
| Total | 23 | 2 | 0 | 25 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 7 | 28 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 18 | 72 |
|  | Total | 25 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 4 | 57 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 17 | 94 |
|  | Total | 21 | 84 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 1 | 14 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 6 | 86 |
|  | Total | 7 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 23 |

**Nevada (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 35 | 25 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -10 |
| Percentage Change |  | -29 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## New Hampshire

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | 0 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1 | 100 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | -- |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 1 |

**New Hampshire (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 3 | 1 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -2 |
| Percentage Change |  | -67 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## New Jersey

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Junior High | 7 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| Senior High | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Total | 16 | 0 | 1 | 17 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 2 | 12 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 15 | 88 |
|  | Total | 17 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 6 | 40 |
|  | Total | 6 | 35 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 2 | 100 |
|  | Total | 2 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 2 |

**New Jersey (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 11 | 17 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 6 |
| Percentage Change |  | 55 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## New Mexico

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Junior High | 6 | 0 | 4 | 10 |
| Senior High | 11 | 3 | 3 | 17 |
| Total | 17 | 3 | 11 | 31 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 4 | 13 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 27 | 87 |
|  | Total | 31 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 4 | 100 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 7 | 26 |
|  | Total | 11 | 35 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 4 | 100 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 4 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 18 |

**New Mexico (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 28 | 31 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 3 |
| Percentage Change |  | 11 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## New York

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 6 | 1 | 14 | 21 |
| Junior High | 10 | 0 | 12 | 22 |
| Senior High | 31 | 5 | 31 | 67 |
| Total | 47 | 6 | 57 | 110 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 68 | 62 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 40 | 36 |
|  | Total | 108 | 98 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 19 | 28 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 31 | 78 |
|  | Total | 50 | 45 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 17 | 25 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 51 | 75 |
|  | Total | 68 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 9 |

**New York (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 79 | 110 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 31 |
| Percentage Change |  | 39 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

New York uses the term suspension instead of expulsion.

Question 2. In two cases suspension data was not reported. One incident involved a student not enrolled in the school and the second was an incident that occurred in front of the building but did not result in a recorded suspension.

## North Carolina

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 10 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| Junior High | 17 | 3 | 6 | 26 |
| Senior High | 36 | 9 | 5 | 50 |
| Total | 63 | 12 | 15 | 90 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 90 | 100 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 90 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 15 | 17 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 15 | 17 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 28 | 31 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 60 | 67 |
|  | Total | 88 | 98 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 20 |

**North Carolina (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 69 | 90 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 21 |
| Percentage Change |  | 30 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 4. The disability status of two students is unknown.

## North Dakota

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Total | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 2 | 40 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 3 | 60 |
|  | Total | 5 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 2 | 100 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 1 | 33 |
|  | Total | 3 | 60 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 2 | 100 |
|  | Total | 2 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 98 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 2 |

**North Dakota (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 2 | 5 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 3 |
| Percentage Change |  | 150 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Ohio

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | Data missing. | Data missing. | Data missing. | 7 |
| Junior High | Data missing. | Data missing. | Data missing. | 17 |
| Senior High | Data missing. | Data missing. | Data missing. | 18 |
| Total | Data missing. | Data missing. | Data missing. | 42 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 36 | 86 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 6 | 14 |
|  | Total | 42 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
|  | Total | Data missing. |  |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 8 | 22 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 28 | 78 |
|  | Total | 36 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 4 |

**Ohio (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 65 | 42 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -23 |
| Percentage Change |  | -35 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1. The Ohio Department of Education reports on the number of incidents, not students in this report. Figures include all firearms. The state does not break out by type of firearm.

Schools often list violations of look-alike guns in the gun category. The 2005–06 data collection definitions provide a category for "look-alikes."

## Oklahoma

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| Junior High | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 |
| Senior High | 1 | 5 | 7 | 13 |
| Total | 3 | 8 | 24 | 35 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 22 | 63 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 13 | 37 |
|  | Total | 35 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 5 | 23 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 7 | 54 |
|  | Total | 12 | 34 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 2 | 9 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 20 | 91 |
|  | Total | 22 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 5 |

**Oklahoma (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA?* | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 33 | 35 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 2 |
| Percentage Change |  | 6 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Oregon

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 4 | 0 | 5 | 9 |
| Junior High | 4 | 0 | 6 | 10 |
| Senior High | 6 | 2 | 8 | 16 |
| Total | 14 | 2 | 19 | 35 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 6 | 17 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 29 | 83 |
|  | Total | 35 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
|  | Total | 12 | 34 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | Data missing. |  |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | Data missing. |  |
|  | Total | Data missing. |  |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 86 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 92 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 11 |

**Oregon (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 29 | 35 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 6 |
| Percentage Change |  | 21 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

For the 2002–03 and 2003–04 school years, data were collected at the school level only. From 2004–05 on, suspension, expulsion, and truancy data will be collected at the student level using a unique student ID assuring quality data. The 2004–05 data are currently in the process of being verified by districts across the state previous to public release of this information as a component of the district and school report cards.

## Pennsylvania

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 5 | 0 | 7 | 12 |
| Junior High | 21 | 0 | 15 | 36 |
| Senior High | 15 | 5 | 5 | 25 |
| Total | 41 | 5 | 27 | 73 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 30 | 41 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 43 | 59 |
|  | Total | 73 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 14 | 47 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 21 | 49 |
|  | Total | 35 | 48 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 9 | 30 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 21 | 70 |
|  | Total | 30 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 7 |

**Pennsylvania (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 45 | 73 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 28 |
| Percentage Change |  | 62 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Puerto Rico

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Senior High | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| Total | 4 | 0 | 2 | 6 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 3 | 50 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 3 | 50 |
|  | Total | 6 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 3 | 100 |
|  | Total | 3 | 50 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 3 | 100 |
|  | Total | 3 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 92 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 92 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | Less than .05 |

**Puerto Rico (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 4 | 6 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 2 |
| Percentage Change |  | 50 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Rhode Island

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Senior High | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Total | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 5 | 100 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 5 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 5 | 100 |
|  | Total | 5 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 10 |

**Rhode Island (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 7 | 5 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -2 |
| Percentage Change |  | -29 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## South Carolina

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Junior High | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Senior High | 17 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Total | 22 | 4 | 0 | 26 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 5 | 19 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 21 | 81 |
|  | Total | 26 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 2 | 40 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 3 | 12 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 1 | 20 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 4 | 80 |
|  | Total | 5 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 19 |

**South Carolina (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 27 | 26 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -1 |
| Percentage Change |  | -4 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## South Dakota

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Senior High | 1 | 9 | 0 | 10 |
| Total | 3 | 9 | 0 | 12 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 9 | 75 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 3 | 25 |
|  | Total | 12 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 3 | 33 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 1 | 33 |
|  | Total | 4 | 33 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 2 | 22 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 7 | 78 |
|  | Total | 9 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 5 |

**South Dakota (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 9 | 12 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 3 |
| Percentage Change |  | 33 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Tennessee

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Junior High | 15 | 0 | 3 | 18 |
| Senior High | 38 | 0 | 3 | 41 |
| Total | 56 | 0 | 6 | 62 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 51 | 82 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 11 | 18 |
|  | Total | 62 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 29 | 57 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 29 | 47 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 12 | 24 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 39 | 76 |
|  | Total | 51 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 12 |

**Tennessee (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 74 | 62 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -12 |
| Percentage Change |  | -16 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Texas

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 8 | 0 | 3 | 11 |
| Junior High | 41 | 0 | 7 | 48 |
| Senior High | 80 | 32 | 9 | 121 |
| Total | 129 | 32 | 19 | 180 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 58 | 32 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 122 | 68 |
|  | Total | 180 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 49 | 84 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 85 | 70 |
|  | Total | 134 | 74 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 2 | 3 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 56 | 97 |
|  | Total | 58 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 7 |

**Texas (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | Data missing. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 175 | 180 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 5 |
| Percentage Change |  | 3 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 10a. Texas state law requires expelled students to be placed in an alternative setting for all students 10 years of age or younger, for students over 10 that have been expelled for a mandatory offense, and for the 26 mandatory Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program (JJAEP) counties as required by Texas Education Code (TEC) 37.011.

## Utah

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 3 | 1 | 6 | 10 |
| Junior High | 9 | 0 | 19 | 28 |
| Senior High | 18 | 4 | 24 | 46 |
| Total | 30 | 5 | 49 | 84 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 66 | 79 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 12 | 14 |
|  | Total | 84 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | Data missing. |  |
|  | Total | 30 | 36 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 16 | 24 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 50 | 76 |
|  | Total | 66 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 68 |

**Utah (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 56 | 84 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 28 |
| Percentage Change |  | 50 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Questions 3a and 3b. Utah did not collect the data in this format during the 2003–04 school year.

Question 10b. LEAs can use funds to do so, but the law does not specifically provide funds for this purpose.

## Vermont

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 1 | 50 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 1 | 50 |
|  | Total | 2 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 1 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 3 |

**Vermont (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 2 | 2 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 0 |
| Percentage Change |  | 0 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Virginia

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 3 | 0 | 27 | 30 |
| Junior High | 17 | 1 | 52 | 70 |
| Senior High | 21 | 1 | 51 | 73 |
| Total | 41 | 2 | 130 | 173 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 110 | 64 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 63 | 36 |
|  | Total | 173 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 14 | 13 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 38 | 60 |
|  | Total | 52 | 30 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 35 | 32 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 75 | 68 |
|  | Total | 110 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | Data missing. |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 38 |

**Virginia (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

The state believes some of the "Other Firearms" data are elevated. Although BB guns are specifically excluded under the *GFSA* reporting requirements, the current data collection process in Virginia does not include a separate reporting category that would allow them to specifically identify how the BB guns are being reported by individual school divisions. Also, because of action by the 2003 Virginia General Assembly, it is believed that many school divisions are incorrectly reporting the BB guns in the "Other Firearms" category. This issue has been addressed and a new code has been included for the 2004-05 reporting year.

**Question 9. *GFSA*– related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 197 | 173 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -24 |
| Percentage Change |  | -12 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1 Note A. A student was armed with a fully loaded scoped, 30-60 rifle. The same student brought the following two additional weapons into the school and left them in a nearby restroom for easy access: Harrington and Richardson Topper J2 model 490 gauge single-shot shotgun and a Marlin Firearms Glenfield model 25, .22 cal., bolt action rifle with scope and magazine.

Question 1 Note B. The student involved had two separate infractions. On April 27, 2004, he created a "Bottle Bomb" which he discharged in a school restroom. On April 28, 2004, a second infraction occurred which was prior to learning the identity of the student responsible.

Question 10b. While no state funds have been designated specifically for those students who have been expelled under *GFSA*, state funds are provided to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings. These services are available for all students that are served by alternative programs.

## Washington

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 2 | 0 | 5 | 7 |
| Junior High | 11 | 0 | 4 | 15 |
| Senior High | 17 | 5 | 13 | 35 |
| Total | 30 | 5 | 22 | 57 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 20 | 35 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 37 | 65 |
|  | Total | 57 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 9 | 45 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 3 | 8 |
|  | Total | 12 | 21 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 10 | 50 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 10 | 50 |
|  | Total | 20 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 17 |

**Washington (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 100 | 57 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -43 |
| Percentage Change |  | -43 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## West Virginia

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Junior High | 5 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| Senior High | 3 | 3 | 7 | 13 |
| Total | 10 | 3 | 11 | 24 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 13 | 54 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 11 | 46 |
|  | Total | 24 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 6 | 46 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 10 | 91 |
|  | Total | 16 | 67 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 1 | 8 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 12 | 92 |
|  | Total | 13 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 22 |

**West Virginia (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 8 | 24 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 16 |
| Percentage Change |  | 200 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 3b. One student withdrew from school.

## Wisconsin

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 5 | 0 | 8 | 13 |
| Senior High | 7 | 7 | 8 | 22 |
| Total | 12 | 7 | 16 | 35 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 6 | 17 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 29 | 83 |
|  | Total | 35 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 3 | 10 |
|  | Total | 3 | 9 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 1 | 17 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 5 | 83 |
|  | Total | 6 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 4 |

**Wisconsin (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 22 | 35 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 13 |
| Percentage Change |  | 59 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None.

## Wyoming

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Senior High | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Total | 0 | 2 | 5 | 7 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 6 | 86 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 1 | 14 |
|  | Total | 7 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 1 | 17 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 5 | 83 |
|  | Total | 6 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | Data missing. |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 8 |

**Wyoming (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 6 | 7 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 1 |
| Percentage Change |  | 17 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 1a. Only one incident involved more than one firearm: a blow gun, a BB gun, and a set of numchucks were found in the youth's vehicle. That youth has since been expelled and is no longer at that school.

Question 7b. This is considered a local control issue and is therefore not tracked by the state of Wyoming.

## American Samoa

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | -- |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | -- |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 100 |

**American Samoa (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 0 |
| Percentage Change |  | 0 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

American Samoa only has one school district. The LEA and SEA are the same.

## Guam

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 1 | 33 |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 2 | 67 |
|  | Total | 3 | 100 |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 1 | 100 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 1 | 50 |
|  | Total | 2 | 67 |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 1 | 100 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 1 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 8 |

**Guam (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | Yes, our state law has changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 2 | 3 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 1 |
| Percentage Change |  | 50 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Guam only has one school district. The LEA and SEA are the same.

Question 9. Guam provided a copy of the revised statute.

## Northern Mariana Islands

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | -- |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | -- |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 0 |

**Northern Mariana Islands (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | 0 |
| Percentage Change |  | 0 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Northern Mariana Islands only has one school district. The LEA and SEA are the same.

## U.S. Virgin Islands

**2003–04 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | -- |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 4. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percentage |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | -- |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | -- |
|  | Total | 0 | -- |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Percentage |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | 100 |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | 100 |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | 0 |

**U.S. Virgin Islands (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.

None.

**Question 9. *GFSA*–related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to the *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2002–03 to 2003–04**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data | 2002–03 | 2003–04 |
| Total number of expulsions | 5 | 0 |
| Change (2002–03 to 2003–04) |  | -5 |
| Percentage Change |  | 0 |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

U.S. Virgin Islands only has one school district. The LEA and SEA are the same.

**Appendix A**

**The Amended *Gun-Free Schools Act***

**SEC. 4141. GUN-FREE REQUIREMENTS.**

(a) SHORT TITLE- This subpart may be cited as the Gun-Free Schools Act.

(b) REQUIREMENTS-

(1) IN GENERAL- Each State receiving Federal funds under any title of this Act shall have in effect a State law requiring local educational agencies to expel from school for a period of not less than 1 year a student who is determined to have brought a firearm to a school, or to have possessed a firearm at a school, under the jurisdiction of local educational agencies in that State, except that such State law shall allow the chief administering officer of a local educational agency to modify such expulsion requirement for a student on a case-by-case basis if such modification is in writing.

(2) CONSTRUCTION- Nothing in this subpart shall be construed to prevent a State from allowing a local educational agency that has expelled a student from such a student's regular school setting from providing educational services to such student in an alternative setting.

(3) DEFINITION- For the purpose of this section, the term ‘firearm' has the same meaning given such term in section 921(a) of title 18, United States Code.

(c) SPECIAL RULE- The provisions of this section shall be construed in a manner consistent with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

(d) REPORT TO STATE- Each local educational agency requesting assistance from the State educational agency that is to be provided from funds made available to the State under any title of this Act shall provide to the State, in the application requesting such assistance -

(1) an assurance that such local educational agency is in compliance with the State law required by subsection (b); and

(2) a description of the circumstances surrounding any expulsions imposed under the State law required by subsection (b), including -

(A) the name of the school concerned;

(B) the number of students expelled from such school; and

(C) the type of firearms concerned.

(e) REPORTING- Each State shall report the information described in subsection (d) to the Secretary on an annual basis.

(f) DEFINITION- For the purpose of subsection (d), the term 'school' means any setting that is under the control and supervision of the local educational agency for the purpose of student activities approved and authorized by the local educational agency.

(g) EXCEPTION- Nothing in this section shall apply to a firearm that is lawfully stored inside a locked vehicle on school property, or if it is for activities approved and authorized by the local educational agency and the local educational agency adopts appropriate safeguards to ensure student safety.

(h) POLICY REGARDING CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM REFERRAL-

(1) IN GENERAL- No funds shall be made available under any title of this Act to any local educational agency unless such agency has a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm or weapon to a school served by such agency.

(2) DEFINITION- For the purpose of this subsection, the term 'firearm' and 'school' has the same meaning given to such term by section 921(a) of title 18, United States Code.

**Appendix B**

**2003–04 *GFSA* Data Collection Instrument for States,**

**The District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the Outlying Areas**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT (ESEA), TITLE IV, PART A, Subpart 3, as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001  GUN-FREE SCHOOLS ACT REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2003-2004 | FORM APPROVED  OMB #: 1865-0002  Expiration Date:11/30/2006 | |
| According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1865-0002. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 8 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. **If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate or suggestions for improving this form, please write to:** U.S. Department of Education, Washington, DC 20202-4651. **If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to:** Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20202-6450. | | |
| RESPONDENT INFORMATION | | |
| **State Name:** | | |
| Name of Agency Responding: | | |
| Name and Title of Individual Completing this Report: | | |
|  | | |
|  | | |
| Mailing Address: | | |
|  | | |
| E-Mail Address: | | |
| Telephone and Fax Number of Individual Completing this Report: | | |
| Phone: Fax: | | |

###### GUN-FREE SCHOOLS ACT REPORT

**INTRODUCTION**

The Gun-Free Schools Act (GFSA), Part A, Subpart 3, under Title IV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965, as amended (20 U.S.C. 7151) requires that each State have in effect a State law requiring local educational agencies (LEAs) to expel from school for a period of not less than one year a student found to have brought a firearm to school, or to have possessed a firearm at school. In addition, under the GFSA, LEAs receiving ESEA funds must adopt a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm to school or possesses a firearm at school.

Each State’s law also must allow the chief administering officer of the LEA to modify the expulsion requirement on a case-by-case basis, in writing. The GFSA also states that nothing in the GFSA shall be construed to prevent a State from allowing a local educational agency that has expelled a student from such student’s regular school setting from providing educational services to that student in an alternative setting.

The GFSA also requires States to provide annual reports to the Secretary of Education concerning implementation of the Act’s requirements.

**PLEASE USE THE ATTACHED FORM TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GFSA.**

|  |
| --- |
| **GENERAL DIRECTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT** |
| 1. The time period covered by this report is the 2003-2004 school year.  2. Please complete this entire form. If questions are left blank, we will not be able to interpret the results and will have to follow up with a phone call. If a response to a question is “0” or “none,” be sure to enter “0” or “none.” If information is not available, please indicate by using the following abbreviation: MD = Missing Data  3. Please retain a copy of the completed form for your files so that you will have a copy on hand to refer to if we have questions about your responses.  4. **Please complete the attached form and mail no later than January 31, 2005** to:  Westat  1650 Research Boulevard, Room RA 1245  Rockville, MD 20850  If questions arise about completing any of the items on the attached form, please do not hesitate to contact the Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools at (202) 260-3954 for clarification. |

|  |
| --- |
| **ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS** |
| LEA local educational agency  GFSA Gun-Free Schools Act  IDEA Individuals with Disabilities Education Act  ESEA Elementary and Secondary Education Act  Elementary school A school classified as elementary by state and local practice and composed of any span of grades not above Grade 6. Combined elementary/junior high schools are considered junior high schools and combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are classified as high schools for this report.  Junior high school A separately organized and administered school intermediate between elementary and senior high schools, which might also be called a middle school, usually includes Grades 7, 8, and 9; Grade 7 and 8; or Grades 6, 7, and 8. Combined elementary/junior high schools are considered junior high schools for this report; junior/senior high school combinations are defined as senior high schools.  Senior high school A school offering the final years of school work necessary for graduation, usually including Grades 10, 11, and 12; or Grades 9, 10, 11, and 12. Combined junior and senior high schools are classified as high schools for this form; combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are classified as high schools.  Other firearms Firearms other than handguns, rifles or shotguns as defined in 18 USC 921. According to Section 921, the following are included within the definition: (Note: This definition does not apply to items such as toy guns, cap guns, bb guns, and pellet guns)  -- any weapon (including a starter gun) which will or is designed to or may readily be converted to expel a projectile by the action of any explosive;  -- the frame or receiver of any weapon described above;  -- any firearm muffler or firearm silencer;  -- any destructive device, which includes:  (a) any explosive, incendiary, or poison gas   1. Bomb; 2. Grenade, 3. Rocket having a propellant charge of more than four ounces, 4. Missile having an explosive or incendiary charge of more than one-quarter ounce, 5. Mine, or 6. Similar device   (b) any weapon which will, or which may be readily converted to, expel a projectile by the action of an explosive or other propellant, and which has any barrel with a bore of more than one-half inch in diameter  (c) any combination or parts either designed or intended for use in converting any device into any destructive device described in the two immediately preceding examples, and from which a destructive device may be readily assembled. |

**1. FIREARMS INCIDENTS**

**a. Please indicate the number of students in your State who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm at school. Include all infractions in your answer.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| Junior High School |  |  |  |  |
| Senior High School |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

*Notes: Any student found to have brought a firearm (meeting the definition at 18 U.S.C. 921) to school or possessed a firearm at school should be reported as an infraction, even if the expulsion is shortened or no penalty is imposed. Any incidents in which a student covered by the provisions of IDEA brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm at school should also be included, even if it is determined that the incident is a manifestation of the student’s disability. Modifications of the one-year expulsion requirement should also be reported in Question 2 of this report.*

*If a single student is found to have brought or possessed more than one firearm, report the student as a single incident. A note that explains the circumstances surrounding the incident, including the types of firearms that were removed from the student should be described in the data caveat section of this report.*

*If the same student is involved in more than one incident that involves bringing or possessing a firearm, each incident would be counted as one incident. A note explaining the circumstances surrounding the incident, including information about the disposition of that student, should be described in the data caveat section of this report.*

**2. MODIFIED EXPULSIONS**

**How many of the incidences reported in Item 1 were shortened to a term of less than one year by the chief administering officer of an LEA under the case-by-case modification provisions of Section 4141(b)(1) of the GFSA?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Number** |
| **a. Modified Expulsions:** |  |
| **b. Expulsion Not Modified:** |  |
| **Total:** |  |

*Note: The total figure shown in the table above should EQUAL the total number of expulsions reported in Item 1a.*

**3. ALTERNATIVE PLACEMENTS**

**How many of the incidences reported in Items 2a and Item 2b resulted in a referral of the student to an alternative school or program?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Number of Alternative Placements** |
| **a. Among students with MODIFIED expulsions:** |  |
| **b. Among students with expulsions NOT MODIFIED:** |  |
| **Total:** |  |

1. **STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES**

**How many of the modifications reported in Item 2a were for students with and without disabilities as defined in Section 602(a)(1) of the IDEA (see below)?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Number of Modifications** |
| **a. Student Disabled:** |  |
| **b. Student Not Disabled:** |  |
| **Total:** |  |

*Notes: The total figure shown in the table above should EQUAL the total number of modified expulsions reported in Item 2a.*

*The GFSA explicitly states that the Act must be construed in a manner consistent with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Compliance with the GFSA can be achieved consistent with the IDEA as long as discipline of such students is determined on a case-by-case basis under the GFSA provision that permits modification of the expulsion requirement on a case-by-case basis. A student with a disability who brings a firearm to school, or possesses a firearm at school, may be removed from school for ten school days or less, and in accordance with State law, placed in an interim alternative educational setting that is determined by the student’s individualized education program team, for up to 45 calendar days. If the student’s parents initiate due process proceedings under the IDEA, the student must remain in that interim alternative educational setting during authorized review proceedings, unless the parents and school district can agree on a different placement. Before an expulsion can occur, the IDEA requires a determination by a group of persons knowledgeable about the student on whether the bringing of a firearm to school, or the possession of a firearm at school, was a manifestation of the student’s disability. A student with a disability may be expelled only if this group of persons determines that the bringing of a firearm to school, or the possession of a firearm at school, was not a manifestation of the student’s disability, and the school follows applicable IDEA procedural safeguards before the expulsion occurs. Under IDEA, students with disabilities who are expelled in accordance with these conditions must continue to receive educational services during the expulsion period. Under Section 602 (a)(1) of the IDEA, the term “children with disabilities” is defined as:*

*children --*

(i) with mental retardation, hearing impairments including deafness, speech or language impairments, visual impairments, including blindness, serious emotional disturbance, orthopedic impairments, autism, traumatic brain injury, other health impairments, or specific learning disabilities; and

*(ii) who, by reason thereof, need special education and related services.*

LEA COMPLIANCE WITH GFSA

**5.** List the name and address of each LEA that has not provided an assurance that it is in compliance with the State law that requires that a student who brings a firearm to school, or possesses a firearm at school, be expelled for one year. *(If all LEAs have provided the necessary assurance, please indicate “none” in response to this item.)*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

(Attach a separate sheet if more space is required to list LEAs.)

**6.** List the name and address of each LEA that has not provided an assurance that it is in compliance with the requirement in Section 4141(h) that an LEA receiving ESEA funds have in place a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm to a school, or possesses a firearm at school. *(If all LEAs have provided the necessary assurance, please indicate “none” in response to this item.)*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

(Attach a separate sheet if more space is required to list LEAs.)

**7. a.** Please indicate the percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State in response to this annual data collection.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State:** | % |

1. Of the LEAs that submitted a GFSA report, what proportion of schools in those districts provided GFSA data to their LEAs?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs:** | % |

1. Of those LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State, what percentage had reported one or more students for an offense under the GFSA related to firearms (as defined by Title 18 U.S.C.921)?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense:** | % |

1. If applicable, please provide information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted to us. What information can the State share with us that will help us to more accurately interpret the data submitted on this GFSA report form (e.g., fewer than 100% LEAs responded to the State; figures reported included all weapons, not only firearms)?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**STATE COMPLIANCE WITH GFSA**

1. Please indicate whether your State law related to GFSA has changed in the past 12 months.

* Yes, our State law has changed in the past 12 months. If “yes”, please attach a brief description of the changes or provide a copy of the new/revised statute.
* No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months.

**10.a.** How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?

* State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting.
* State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting.
* State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting.

**b.** Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?

* Yes, State funds are provided.
* No, State funds are not provided
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1. For the purpose of *ESEA* funding, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico are counted as states. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. The outlying areas referred to in this report are: American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands and the U.S. Virgin Islands. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. The term *firearm* includes handguns, rifles, shotguns and other firearms. See the data collection instrument in Appendix B for a detailed definition of a firearm. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. **Elementary school:** A school classified as elementary by state and local practice and composed of any span of grades not above grade 6. Combined elementary and junior high schools (see definition to follow) are considered junior high schools, and combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K–12 buildings) are classified as senior high schools for this report.

   **Junior high school:** A separately organized and administered school intermediate between elementary and senior high schools, which might also be called a middle school, usually includes grades 7, 8 and 9; grades 7 and 8; or grades 6, 7 and 8. Combined elementary and junior high schools are considered junior high schools for this report; combined junior and senior high schools are considered senior high schools (see definition to follow) for this report.

   **Senior high school:** A school offering the final years of school work necessary for graduation, usually including grades 10, 11 and 12 or grades 9, 10, 11, and 12. Combined junior and senior high schools are classified as high schools for this report; combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K–12 buildings) also are classified as senior high schools. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. Hawaii only has one school district. The LEA and the state education agency are the same. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. 6 Ohio did not provide information on the type of firearm for 2003–04. As a result, Ohio’s expulsion data is excluded from this number. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. Georgia did not provide information on the number of modifications for 2003–04. As a result, Georgia’s expulsion data is excluded from this number. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
8. The disability status of two students in North Carolina is unknown. Oregon did not provide data broken out by disabled and nondisabled students. Therefore, the reported total does not equal the sum total of modifications by disability status. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
9. Several states (Georgia, Ohio, Oregon and Utah) did not provide referral data broken out by modified and not modified. As a result, their expulsion data is excluded from this number. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
10. Beginning in 2002–03 the reporting form asked for referrals broken out by expulsion modified and expulsion not modified. Prior to this reporting year all referrals to an alternative placement were reported as a single number. [↑](#footnote-ref-10)