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**Report on the Implementation of the   
*Gun-Free Schools Act* in the States and Outlying Areas:**

**School Years 2005–06 and 2006–07**

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

**Introduction**

The *Gun-Free Schools Act* (*GFSA*) was reauthorized by Sec. 4141 of the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA)*, as amended. *GFSA* requires that each state or outlying area receiving federal funds under the *ESEA* have a law that requires all local education agencies (LEAs) in these states and outlying areas to expel from school for at least one year any student determined to have brought a firearm to school, or to have possessed a firearm at school.

The full report summarizes the 2005–06 and 2006–07 school years data submitted by the states and outlying areas. The report provides a brief summary of the overall findings and summarizes the 2005–06 and 2006–07 school years data by survey question in bulleted, graphic, and tabular form, as well as compares the 2006–07 school year data against data submitted in previous years, focusing on school year 2005–06. The report also presents profiles of each state and outlying area.

**Summary of Findings**

The numbers of students expelled because they were determined to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, from school years 1998–99 through 2006–07, are summarized in table ES-1.

**Table ES-1: Total number of students expelled, by school year 1998**–**99 through school year 2006–07**

| **School year** | **1998–99** | **1999–2000** | **2000–01** | **2001–02** | **2002–03** | **2003–04** | **2004–05** | **2005–06** | **2006–07** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total for the year** | **3,477** | **2,835** | **2,537** | **2,554** | **2,143** | **2,165** | **2,591** | **3,028** | **2,695** |

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1810-0602 was used for 1998–99 through 2001–02 and OMB #1865-0002 for 2002–03 through 2006–07.

A comparison of school year 2005–06 to school year 2006–07 includes amount of change in numbers and rates of expulsions is presented in Table ES-2.

**Table ES-2: Total number of students expelled and expulsion rate per 100,000, school year 2004–05 and school year 2005–06, and changes by number and percentage between years**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Expulsions** | **Expulsion rate per 100,000** |
| **School year 2005–06** | 3,028 | 6.1 |
| **School year 2006–07** | 2,695 | 5.5 |
| **Change in number** | -333 | -0.6 |
| **Change in percentage** | -11% | -10% |

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1810-0602 was used for 1998–99 through 2001–02 and OMB #1865-0002 for 2002–03 through 2006–07.

* Overall, 50 states, the District of Columbia (D.C.), Puerto Rico, and outlying areas reported data under the *GFSA* for SY 2005–06 and 2006–07. They reported that they expelled 3,028 students determined to have brought a firearm to school in SY 2005–06, and 2,695 in SY 2006–07. The expulsion rate was 6.1 students per every 100,000 students in SY 2005–06; 5.5 per 100,000 in SY 2006–07.
* In SY 2005–06, 54 percent of the expulsions were in senior high schools, 31 percent were in junior high schools, and 15 percent were in elementary schools. In SY 2006–07, 59 percent were in senior high schools, 27 percent were in junior high, and 14 percent were in elementary school.[[1]](#footnote-1)
* In SY 2005–06, more than half of the expulsions (55 percent) involved students determined to have brought a handgun to school; 12 percent involved a rifle or shotgun; and 34 percent were for some other type of firearm or other destructive device, such as bombs, grenades or starter pistols. In school year 2006–07, more than half of the expulsions (53 percent) involved a handgun, 10 percent of the incidents involved a rifle or shotgun; and 37 percent were for some other type of firearm or other destructive device.
* In SY 2005–06, 45 percent of expulsions for students determined to have brought a firearm to school were modified (e.g., reduced below the one-year standard). In SY 2006–07, more than half (53 percent) were modified. Overall, the number of modifications has been increasing over the last decade. In SY 1997–98, just 30 percent of expulsions for students determined to have brought a firearm to school were modified.
* In SY 2005–06, 72 percent of students with modified expulsions were not disabled (i.e., not *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)* students). In SY 2006–07, 74 percent of students with modified expulsions were not *IDEA* students.
* In SY 2005–06, 26 percent of students expelled because they were determined to have brought a firearm to school were referred to an alternative placement; 46 percent of these referred students had modified expulsions. In SY 2006–07, 29 percent of expelled students in the reporting states and outlying areas were referred to an alternative placement, and 50 percent of these students had modified expulsions.
* All (100 percent) of the states reported that their LEAs were 100 percent compliant in submitting the assurances required under the *GFSA*.
* Overall, the reported number of expulsions decreased 11 percent from 3,028 in SY 2005–06 to 2,695 in SY 2006–07. Of the states and outlying areas reporting expulsions, 28 showed a decrease in the number of expulsions from SY 2005–06 to SY 2006–07. Among these, when considered in terms of rates per 100,000 of student age population, Delaware, Kansas, New Hampshire, Wisconsin, Iowa, Rhode Island and New Jersey reported the greatest percentage decreases. Conversely, 21 showed an increase in the number of expulsions from SY 2005–06 to SY 2006–07. When considered in terms of rates per 100,000 of student age population, the largest percentage increases were in Puerto Rico, Louisiana, Minnesota, Indiana, and Idaho.

**Conclusions**

Relative to the 2005–06 school year, the 2006–07 school year saw 11 percent fewer expulsions of students determined to have brought a firearm to school in the states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas. When considering expulsions as a rate per 100,000 students, the rate of expulsions declined by 10 percent between SY 2005–06 and SY 2006–07 (from 6.1 to 5.5 per 100,000). Over half (28 of 52) of all reporting entities experienced some reduction. Four states remained constant (zero decline or increase), while the other 20 states and outlying areas saw some increase in expulsions of students determined to have brought a firearm to school.

**Report on the Implementation of the   
*Gun-Free Schools Act* in the States and Outlying Areas**

**School Years 2005–06 and 2006–07**

Introduction

The *Gun-Free Schools Act* (*GFSA*) was reauthorized by Sec. 4141 of the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA)*, as amended. See Appendix A for a copy of the amended *GFSA*. *GFSA* requires that each state[[2]](#footnote-2) or outlying area[[3]](#footnote-3) receiving federal funds under the *ESEA* have a law that requires all local education agencies (LEAs) in these states and outlying areas to expel from school for at least one year any student found bringing a firearm[[4]](#footnote-4) to school or possessing a firearm at school. (See Appendix A for the reauthorization language of the *GFSA*.) State laws also must authorize the LEA chief administering officer to modify, in writing, any such expulsion on a case-by-case basis.

The *GFSA* requires states and outlying areas to report information about the implementation of the *GFSA* annually to the secretary of education. In order to meet this requirement and to monitor compliance with the *GFSA*, the U.S. Department of Education (the Department) requires each state and outlying area to submit an annual report that provides information on student expulsions by various categories.

Organization of the Report

This report summarizes the 2005–06 and 2006–07 school years’ data submitted by the states and outlying areas. First, the report provides a brief summary of the overall findings, and second, it summarizes the 2005–06 and 2006–07 school years’ data in bulleted, graphic, and tabular form. It further compares the 2006–07 school year data against data submitted in previous years, focusing on 2005–06 school year. The report also presents the data submitted by each state and outlying area, as well as any caveats or notes accompanying the respective data. Finally, there are three appendices to the report as noted above: Appendix A contains a copy of the amended *GFSA,* Appendix B contains a copy of the 2005–06 school year *GFSA* data collection instrument for states and outlying areas, and Appendix C contains a copy of the 2006–07 school year *GFSA* data collection instrument for states and outlying areas.

Data Quality and Interpretation of Findings

The information contained in this report should be interpreted with caution. As noted on the summary state-by-state tables and on the individual state and outlying area pages, some states and outlying areas attached caveats and notes to their data that should be considered when interpreting the data. Further, some states had problems with the data they submitted via the paper *GFSA* report or the *Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN)*. Those problems included inconsistent and missing data. Many of the problems identified have been corrected; however, data are missing for some states and for selected items. This caution is of particular importance when examining national totals, as they are comprised of data that are aggregated across all states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and outlying areas.

Finally, this report provides information on the rate at which students were *found* bringing firearms to schools or possessing firearms at schools. This may be different than the rate at which students actually carry firearms to school or possess firearms at school because enforcement success may not be complete and may vary across schools.

Data Collection and Verification

The Department received reports from states and the outlying areas by November 1, 2008. To ensure that the data were reported accurately, the following procedures were followed:

* Each data submission was reviewed for completeness and internal consistency. Since the reporting procedure was still transitioning from paper forms to electronic input via *EDEN*, separate reviews were undertaken for states submitting in different formats.
* For SY 2006–07, 29 states had an identified problem with their submission. These data issues were classified into three types: 1) inconsistent data between the paper *GFSA* report and *EDEN* data (n=5); 2) inconsistency of the data reported within the *EDEN* or paper *GFSA* report submission (n=12); and, 3) incomplete data (n=12).
* In all 29 cases with data issues, the states and outlying areas were contacted to obtain a correction or clarification of the data submitted. For example, the data provider was contacted if the forms submitted were not internally consistent, if the rows or columns or both rows and columns did not add to the totals, or if the 2006–07 school year data represented a large change from the data reported for the 2005–06 school year.
* Once all of the data were received, and appropriate adjustments were made, all states and outlying areas were contacted and asked to provide final data verification by e-mail. Positive verification was assumed if no further problems were identified by states that were brought to the Department’s attention.

1. **Expulsions for Bringing or Possessing a Firearm**

For the 2005–06 school year (SY), all 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and American Samoa provided data on the number of students expelled for bringing or possessing a firearm, for a total of 3,028 expulsions. Arkansas, California, Florida, Georgia, Kansas, Massachusetts, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Texas, Utah, and Virginia had 100 or more expulsions each. When viewed as the number of expulsions per 100,000 enrolled students, Arkansas, Kansas, Massachusetts, Montana, Utah, Vermont, and Wyoming had the highest rates of expulsions (more than double the national average). Refer to table 1 for more detailed information regarding expulsion data provided by the individual states and outlying areas for SY 2005–06.

For SY 2006–07, all 50 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and American Samoa provided data on the number of students expelled for bringing or possessing a firearm, for a total of 2,695 expulsions. Arkansas, California, Georgia, Louisiana, Massachusetts, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Texas, and Virginia had 100 or more expulsions each. When viewed as the number of expulsions per 100,000 enrolled students, Arkansas, D.C., Louisiana, Massachusetts, Montana, North Carolina, Utah, Vermont, and Wyoming had the highest rates of expulsions (more than double the national average). Refer to table 2 for more detailed information regarding expulsion data provided by the individual states and outlying areas for SY 2006–07.

***1.1 Expulsions by School Level***

All states and outlying areas provided data on their firearm expulsions. Of the 3,028 firearm expulsions that were reported for SY 2005–06 (see figure 1 and table 3), 2,249 were reported by school level. Of those 2,249 expulsions, more than half—54 percent (1,211)—were students in senior high schools; 31 percent (698) were students in junior high; and 15 percent (340) were elementary school students (see figure 1 and table 3). This is proportionally similar to the percentage of incidence by school level reported in the 2006–07 school year (see figure 2 and table 4).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Figure 1: Number and percentage of  expulsions, by school level,  SY 2005–06** | **Figure 2: Number and percentage of expulsions, by school level,  SY 2006–07** |
| (Note: states not included are: Florida, Georgia, Guam, Iowa, Kansas, North Dakota, Texas, West Virginia and the Virgin Islands, as they did not provide data broken down by school level). | (Note: states not included are: Florida, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Michigan, Montana, and Oklahoma and the outlying areas, as they did not provide data broken down by school level). |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

Of the 2,695 expulsions that were reported for SY 2006–07, 2,540 were reported by school level. Of those 2,540 expulsions reported by school level, more than half—59 percent (1,502)—were students in senior high schools; 27 percent (693) were students in junior high; and 14 percent (345) were elementary school students (see figure 2 and table 4).

***1.2 Expulsions by Type of Firearm***

Of the 3,028 reported expulsions in SY 2005–06, 55 percent (1,662) involved handguns, 12 percent (351) involved rifles or shotguns, and the remaining 34 percent (1,015) involved other types of firearms (such as bombs, grenades and starter pistols) (see figure 3 and table 5). Per 100,000 students, those rates were 3.3 for handguns, 0.7 for rifles and shotguns, and 2.0 for other firearms (see table 7).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Figure 3: Number and percentage of expulsions, by type of firearm,  SY 2005–06** | **Figure 4: Number and percentage of expulsions, by type of firearm,  SY 2006–07** |
|  |  |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

Of the 2,691 expulsions in SY 2006–07 that were reported by type of firearm,[[5]](#footnote-5) 53 percent (1,433) involved handguns; 10 percent (255) involved rifles or shotguns; and the remaining 37 percent (1,003) involved other types of firearms (such as bombs, grenades and starter pistols) (see figure 4 and table 6). In SY 2006–07, the rates per 100,000 students were 2.9 for handguns, 0.5 for rifles and shotguns, and 2.1 for other firearms (see table 8).

1. **Overall Year-to-Year Changes in Number of Expulsions─  
   SY 2005–06 to SY 2006–07**

Overall, the reported number of expulsions decreased 11 percent from 3,028 in SY 2005–06 to 2,695 in SY 2006–07 (see tables 9 and 10). Of the 53 states and outlying areas reporting expulsions, 28 showed a decrease in the number of expulsions from SY 2005–06 to SY 2006–07. Among these, Arizona, Florida, Kansas, New York, Texas, Utah, and Wisconsin, reported the greatest decreases in absolute numbers. When considered in terms of rates per 100,000 of student age population, the greatest percentage decreases were seen in Delaware, Iowa, Kansas, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Rhode Island, and Wisconsin.

Conversely, 21 states showed an increase in the number of expulsions from SY 2005–06 to SY 2006–07, with the largest increases in absolute numbers found in Indiana, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, North Carolina, and Ohio. When considered in terms of rates per 100,000 of student age population, the greatest increases were seen in Idaho, Indiana, Louisiana, Minnesota, and Puerto Rico.

See table 11 for the total number of expulsions reported by each state and outlying area over the last nine years.

1. **Modified Expulsions and Students With Disabilities**

The *GFSA* allows the LEA chief administering officer to modify, in writing, any expulsion for a firearm violation on a case-by-case basis (for example, by shortening the expulsion requirement to less than one year). One purpose of this provision is to allow the chief administering officer in a school district to take unique circumstances into account as well as to ensure that *IDEA* and *GFSA* requirements are implemented consistently. In order to capture these modifications, states were asked to report the number of students who had their period of expulsion modified, as well as the number of these cases that were not for students with disabilities.

***3.1 Modified Expulsions***

Of the 3,028 reported expulsions by modification status in the states and outlying areas, 1,358 (or 45 percent) were modified to less than one year in SY 2005–06 (see figure 5 and table 12).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Figure 5: Number and percentage of expulsions by modification status, SY 2005–06** | **Figure 6: Number and percentage of expulsions by modification status, SY 2006–07** |
|  |  |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

Of the 2,695 reported expulsions in the states and outlying areas, 1,428 (or 53 percent) were modified to less than one year in SY 2006–07 (see figure 6 and table 13).

The percentage of expulsions that were modified had dropped to below 30 percent in SY 1999–2000, rose to over 40 percent in SY 2002–03, and increased to over 50 percent in SY 2006–07(see figure 7).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Figure 7: Percentage of expulsions modified, SY 1997**–**98 through SY 2006–07** | |
| Percentage of Expulsions Modified | School Years |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

***3.2 Status of Students With Modified Expulsions***

Of the 1,358 reported students whose expulsions were modified in SY 2005–06, disability status is known for 1,352.[[6]](#footnote-6) Of those 1,352, 979 (72 percent) were for students not considered disabled under Section 602(a)(1) of *IDEA* (see figure 8 and table 14).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Figure 8: Number and percentage of expulsions modified on a case-by-case basis for students with and without disabilities, SY 2005–06** | **Figure 9: Number and percentage of expulsions modified on a case-by-case basis for students with and without disabilities, SY 2006–07** |
|  |  |
| (Note: Six states did not provide data broken out by disabled and nondisabled students. Therefore, the reported total does not equal the sum total of modifications by disability status). |  |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

Of the 1,428 reported students whose expulsions were modified in 2006–07, disability status is known for 1,320 students. Of those 1,320, 977 (74 percent) were for students not considered disabled under Section 602(a)(1) of *IDEA* (see figure 9 and table 14).

1. **Referrals to Alternative Schools or Programs**

The *GFSA* allows local officials to refer expelled students to an alternative school or program. Nine states and outlying areas did not report completed information for this data item in the 2005–06 school year. Of the remaining 47 entities that did report, the 772 reported expulsions by states and outlying areas resulted in a referral to an alternative placement. Of the 772 students referred to an alternative placement, 420 (54 percent) were for students with expulsions that were not modified, while 352 (46 percent) were for modified expulsions[[7]](#footnote-7) (see figure 10 and table 16).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

During the 2006–07 school year, 50 states, D.C., and outlying areas reported complete information for this data item. Overall, 29 percent (795) of the 2,695 reported expulsions by states and outlying areas resulted in a referral to an alternative placement.[[8]](#footnote-8) Of the 795 students referred to an alternative placement, 395 (50 percent) were for students with modified expulsions, while 400 (50 percent) were for students with expulsions that were not modified[[9]](#footnote-9) (see figure 11 and table 17).

1. ***GFSA* Report on LEA Compliance**

Starting with the 1999–2000 school year, states and outlying areas were asked to report information regarding the level of LEA compliance with the state law that requires that a student who brings a firearm to school, or possesses a firearm at school, be expelled for one year (see tables 18 and 19). Additionally, they were asked to indicate the percentage of LEAs that reported an expulsion.

Most states and outlying areas indicated that virtually all of their LEAs had submitted *GFSA* reports. However, the number of LEAs and schools reporting this information was not available prior to the 2006–07 school year.

**Table 1.** Number of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, and *GFSA* violations per 100,000 students of public elementary and secondary enrollment, by state or outlying area, SY 2005–06

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State or outlying area**a | **Number of students expelled in SY 2005–06** | **Public elementary and secondary enrollment (fall 2005)** | ***GFSA* violations for SY 2005–06 per 100,000 of enrollment** |
| **Total** | **3,028**b | **49,693,363** | **6.1** |
| **Alabama** | 55 | 741,758 | 7.4 |
| **Alaska** | 11 | 133,288 | 8.3 |
| **Arizona** | 73 | 1,094,454 | 6.7 |
| **Arkansas** | 116 | 474,206 | 24.5 |
| **California** | 122 | 6,437,202 | 1.9 |
| **Colorado** | 51 | 779,826 | 6.5 |
| **Connecticut** | 18 | 575,059 | 3.1 |
| **Delaware** | \* | 120,937 | \* |
| **District of Columbia** | 7 | 76,876 | 9.1 |
| **Florida** | 144 | 2,675,024 | 5.4 |
| **Georgia** | 143 | 1,598,461 | 8.9 |
| **Hawaii** | \* | 182,818 | \* |
| **Idaho** | 6 | 261,982 | 2.3 |
| **Illinois** | 30 | 2,111,706 | 1.4 |
| **Indiana** | 19 | 1,035,074 | 1.8 |
| **Iowa** | 10 | 483,482 | 2.1 |
| **Kansas** | 286 | 467,285 | 61.2 |
| **Kentucky** | 49 | 679,878 | 7.2 |
| **Louisiana** | 33 | 654,526 | 5.0 |
| **Maine** | \* | 195,498 | \* |
| **Maryland** | 34 | 860,020 | 4.0 |
| **Massachusetts** | 189 | 971,909 | 19.4 |
| **Michigan** | 60 | 1,741,845 | 3.4 |
| **Minnesota** | 19 | 839,243 | 2.3 |
| **Mississippi** | 55 | 494,954 | 11.1 |
| **Missouri** | 9 | 917,705 | 1.0 |
| \* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percentages or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.  a Data are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.  b Totals do not include Guam. Northern Mariana Islands, and the Virgin Islands.  ***Continued on next page*** | | | |

**Table 1.** Number of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, and *GFSA* violations per 100,000 students of public elementary and secondary enrollment, by state or outlying area, SY 2005–06 (Continued)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State or outlying area**a | **Number of students expelled in SY 2005–06** | **Public elementary and secondary enrollment (fall 2005)** | ***GFSA* violations for SY 2005–06 per 100,000 of enrollment** |
| **Montana** | 25 | 145,416 | 17.2 |
| **Nebraska** | 8 | 286,646 | 2.8 |
| **Nevada** | 35 | 412,395 | 8.5 |
| **New Hampshire** | 8 | 205,767 | 3.9 |
| **New Jersey** | 21 | 1,395,602 | 1.5 |
| **New Mexico** | 32 | 326,758 | 9.8 |
| **New York** | 192 | 2,815,581 | 6.8 |
| **North Carolina** | 122 | 1,416,436 | 8.6 |
| **North Dakota** | 6 | 98,283 | 6.1 |
| **Ohio** | 140 | 1,839,683 | 7.6 |
| **Oklahoma** | 22 | 634,739 | 3.5 |
| **Oregon** | 54 | 552,194 | 9.8 |
| **Pennsylvania** | 43 | 1,830,684 | 2.3 |
| **Puerto Rico** | \* | 563,490 | \* |
| **Rhode Island** | 13 | 153,422 | 8.5 |
| **South Carolina** | 37 | 701,544 | 5.3 |
| **South Dakota** | 8 | 122,012 | 6.6 |
| **Tennessee** | 89 | 953,928 | 9.3 |
| **Texas** | 190 | 4,525,394 | 4.2 |
| **Utah** | 130 | 508,430 | 25.6 |
| **Vermont** | 16 | 96,638 | 16.6 |
| **Virginia** | 121 | 1,214,472 | 10.0 |
| **Washington** | 76 | 1,031,985 | 7.4 |
| **West Virginia** | 0 | 280,866 | 0 |
| **Wisconsin** | 68 | 875,174 | 7.8 |
| **Wyoming** | 19 | 84,409 | 22.5 |
| **American Samoa** | \* | 16,399 | \* |
| **Guam** | NA | 30,986 | NA |
| **Northern Marianas** | NA | 11,718 | NA |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | NA | 16,750 | NA |

*Note: GFSA=Gun-Free Schools Act*, NA=Not available.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), “State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education, “1988–89 through 2006–07. See <http://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d05/> for enrollment data.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002 for number of students expelled.

**Table 2.** Number of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, and *GFSA* violations per 100,000 students of public elementary and secondary enrollment, by state or outlying area, SY 2006–07

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State or outlying areaa** | **Number of students expelled in SY 2006–07** | **Public elementary and secondary enrollment (fall 2006)** | ***GFSA* violations for SY 2006–07 per 100,000 of enrollment** |
| **Total** | **2,695** | **48,666,502** | **5.5** |
| **Alabama** | 61 | 743,632 | 8.2 |
| **Alaska** | 13 | 132,197 | 9.8 |
| **Arizona** | 39 | 970,913 | 4.0 |
| **Arkansas** | 116 | 474,532 | 24.4 |
| **California** | 105 | 6,211,439 | 1.7 |
| **Colorado** | 48 | 792,946 | 6.1 |
| **Connecticut** | 12 | 553,331 | 2.2 |
| **Delaware** | 0 | 114,678 | 0.0 |
| **District of Columbia** | 7 | 56,943 | 12.3 |
| **Florida** | 99 | 2,656,176 | 3.7 |
| **Georgia** | 127 | 1,628,620 | 7.8 |
| **Hawaii** | \* | 180,728 | \* |
| **Idaho** | 13 | 267,533 | 4.9 |
| **Illinois** | 39 | 2,100,994 | 1.9 |
| **Indiana** | 42 | 1,034,588 | 4.1 |
| **Iowa** | \* | 483,122 | \* |
| **Kansas** | 39 | 469,215 | 8.3 |
| **Kentucky** | 48 | 646,360 | 7.4 |
| **Louisiana** | 103 | 653,683 | 15.8 |
| **Maine** | 6 | 193,176 | 3.1 |
| **Maryland** | 23 | 851,640 | 2.7 |
| **Massachusetts** | 190 | 806,419 | 23.6 |
| **Michigan** | 93 | 1,601,774 | 5.8 |
| **Minnesota** | 42 | 807,241 | 5.2 |
| **Mississippi** | 49 | 494,135 | 9.9 |
| **Missouri** | 11 | 912,229 | 1.2 |
| **Montana** | 32 | 144,258 | 22.2 |
| \* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percents or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.  a Data are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.  ***Continued on next page*** | | | |

**Table 2.** Number of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, and *GFSA* violations per 100,000 students of public elementary and secondary enrollment, by state or outlying area, SY 2006–07 (Continued)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State or outlying areaa** | **Number of students expelled in SY 2006–07** | **Public elementary and secondary enrollment (fall 2006)** | ***GFSA* violations for SY 2006–07 per 100,000 of enrollment** |
| **Nebraska** | 7 | 287,135 | 2.4 |
| **Nevada** | 30 | 422,782 | 7.1 |
| **New Hampshire** | \* | 203,498 | \* |
| **New Jersey** | 10 | 1,372,748 | 0.7 |
| **New Mexico** | 24 | 328,220 | 7.3 |
| **New York** | 150 | 2,757,907 | 5.4 |
| **North Carolina** | 161 | 1,417,237 | 11.4 |
| **North Dakota** | 7 | 96,577 | 7.2 |
| **Ohio** | 162 | 1,758,645 | 9.2 |
| **Oklahoma** | 14 | 639,032 | 2.2 |
| **Oregon** | 52 | 559,858 | 9.3 |
| **Pennsylvania** | 35 | 1,760,453 | 2.0 |
| **Puerto Rico** | 12 | 544,138 | 2.2 |
| **Rhode Island** | 5 | 136,940 | 3.7 |
| **South Carolina** | 52 | 703,119 | 7.4 |
| **South Dakota** | 13 | 121,158 | 10.7 |
| **Tennessee** | 93 | 978,368 | 9.5 |
| **Texas** | 139 | 4,513,835 | 3.1 |
| **Utah** | 90 | 504,079 | 17.9 |
| **Vermont** | 21 | 90,504 | 23.2 |
| **Virginia** | 119 | 1,207,663 | 9.9 |
| **Washington** | 75 | 1,026,121 | 7.3 |
| **West Virginia** | 18 | 281,938 | 6.4 |
| **Wisconsin** | 27 | 870,584 | 3.1 |
| **Wyoming** | 12 | 85,034 | 14.1 |
| **American Samoa** | NA | 16,427 | NA |
| **Guam** | NA | NA | NA |
| **Northern Marianas** | NA | 11,695 | NA |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | NA | 16,284 | NA |

*Note: GFSA=Gun-Free Schools Act*, NA=Not available.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), “State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education, “1988–89 through 2006–07. See <http://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d05/> for enrollment data.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002 for number of students expelled.

**Table 3.** Number and percentage of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, by school level and by state or outlying area, SY 2005–06

| **State or outlying areaa** | **School level and percentage** | | | | | | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Elementary** | | **Junior high** | | **Senior high** | |
| **Number** | **Percentage  of total** | **Number** | **Percentage  of total** | **Number** | **Percentage  of total** |
| **Total** | **340** | **15%** | **698** | **31%** | **1,211** | **54%** | **2,249**b |
| **Alabama** | 9 | 16% | 17 | 31% | 29 | 53% | 55 |
| **Alaska** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | 11 |
| **Arizona** | 13 | 18% | 23 | 32% | 37 | 51% | 73 |
| **Arkansas** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 35 | 30% | 116 |
| **California** | 12 | 10% | 34 | 28% | 76 | 62% | 122 |
| **Colorado** | \* | \* | 9 | 18% | \* | \* | 51 |
| **Connecticut** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | 18 |
| **Delaware** | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **District of Columbia** | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 7 |
| **Florida** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 144 |
| **Georgia** | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | 143 |
| **Hawaii** | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Idaho** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | 6 |
| **Illinois** | \* | \* | 15 | 50% | \* | \* | 30 |
| **Indiana** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 15 | 79% | 19 |
| **Iowa** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 10 |
| **Kansas** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 286 |
| **Kentucky** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 24 | 49% | 49 |
| **Louisiana** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 13 | 39% | 33 |
| **Maine** | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Maryland** | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 34 |
| **Massachusetts** | 40 | 21% | 62 | 33% | 87 | 46% | 189 |
| **Michigan** | 38 | 63% | 16 | 27% | 6 | 10% | 60 |
| **Minnesota** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 17 | 89% | 19 |
| **Mississippi** | 13 | 24% | 16 | 29% | 26 | 47% | 55 |
| **Missouri** | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 9 |
| **Montana** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 16 | 64% | 25 |
| \* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percents or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.  a Data are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.  b This total number of expulsions does not match the total from the individual States, as Georgia, Florida, Iowa, Kansas, North Dakota, and Texas did not report expulsions by grade level.  ***Continued on next page*** | | | | | | | |

**Table 3.** Number and percentage of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, by school level and by state or outlying area, SY 2005–06 (Continued)

| **State or outlying areaa** | **School level and percentage** | | | | | | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Elementary** | | **Junior high** | | **Senior high** | |
| **Number** | **Percentage  of total** | **Number** | **Percentage  of total** | **Number** | **Percentage  of total** |
| **Nebraska** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | 8 |
| **Nevada** | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 35 |
| **New Hampshire** | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 100% | 8 |
| **New Jersey** | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 21 |
| **New Mexico** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 19 | 59% | 32 |
| **New York** | 37 | 19% | 78 | 41% | 77 | 40% | 192 |
| **North Carolina** | 10 | 8% | 27 | 22% | 85 | 70% | 122 |
| **North Dakota** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 6 |
| **Ohio** | 13 | 9% | 55 | 39% | 72 | 51% | 140 |
| **Oklahoma** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 13 | 59% | 22 |
| **Oregon** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 31 | 57% | 54 |
| **Pennsylvania** | 6 | 14% | 11 | 26% | 26 | 60% | 43 |
| **Puerto Rico** | 0 | 0% | \* | 100% | 0 | 0% | \* |
| **Rhode Island** | \* | 23% | 7 | 54% | \* | 23% | 13 |
| **South Carolina** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 22 | 59% | 37 |
| **South Dakota** | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 8 |
| **Tennessee** | 5 | 6% | 8 | 9% | 76 | 85% | 89 |
| **Texas** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 190 |
| **Utah** | 28 | 22% | 45 | 35% | 57 | 44% | 130 |
| **Vermont** | \* | 19% | 7 | 44% | \* | \* | 16 |
| **Virginia** | 15 | 12% | 49 | 41% | 57 | 47% | 121 |
| **Washington** | 16 | 21% | 12 | 16% | 48 | 63% | 76 |
| **West Virginia** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Wisconsin** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 35 | 51% | 68 |
| **Wyoming** | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 19 |
| **American Samoa** | \* | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | \* |
| **Guam** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
| **Northern Marianas** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 |

*Note:* NA=Not available.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

**Table 4.** Number and percentage of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, by school level and by state or outlying area, SY 2006–07

| **State or outlying areaa** | **School level and percentage** | | | | | | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Elementary** | | **Junior high** | | **Senior high** | |
| **Number** | **Percent  of total** | **Number** | **Percentage  of total** | **Number** | **Percentage of total** |
| **Total** | **345** | **14%** | **693** | **27%** | **1,502** | **59%** | **2,544**b |
| **Alabama** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 38 | 62% | 61 |
| **Alaska** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 10 | 77% | 13 |
| **Arizona** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 30 | 77% | 39 |
| **Arkansas** | 23 | 20% | 45 | 39% | 48 | 41% | 116 |
| **California** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 80 | 76% | 105 |
| **Colorado** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 32 | 67% | 48 |
| **Connecticut** | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 12 | 100% | 12 |
| **Delaware** | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| **District of Columbia** | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 7 |
| **Florida** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 73 | 74% | 99 |
| **Georgia** | 26 | 20% | 33 | 26% | 68 | 54% | 127 |
| **Hawaii** | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | \* | 100% | \* |
| **Idaho** | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 13 |
| **Illinois** | 6 | 15% | 17 | 44% | 16 | 41% | 39 |
| **Indiana** | 0 | 0% | 9 | 21% | 33 | 79% | 42 |
| **Iowa** | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| **Kansas** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 32 | 82% | 39 |
| **Kentucky** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 30 | 63% | 48 |
| **Louisiana** | 33 | 32% | 32 | 31% | 38 | 37% | 103 |
| **Maine** | 0 | 0% |  | 0% | 6 | 100% | 6 |
| **Maryland** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 20 | 87% | 23 |
| **Massachusetts** | 32 | 17% | 86 | 45% | 72 | 38% | 190 |
| **Michigan** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 93 |
| **Minnesota** | 6 | 14% | 5 | 12% | 31 | 74% | 42 |
| **Mississippi** | 8 | 16% | 13 | 27% | 28 | 57% | 49 |
| **Missouri** | \* | \* | 6 | 55% | \* | \* | 11 |
| **Montana** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 32 |
| \* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percents or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.  a Data are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.  b This total number of expulsions does not match the total from the individual States, as some States did not report expulsions by grade level.  ***Continued on next page*** | | | | | | | |

**Table 4.** Number and percentage of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, by school level and by state or outlying area, SY 2006–07 (Continued)

| **State or outlying areaa** | **School level and percentage** | | | | | | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Elementary** | | **Junior high** | | **Senior high** | |
| **Number** | **Percentage  of total** | **Number** | **Percentage  of total** | **Number** | **Percentage of total** |
| **Nebraska** | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 7 |
| **Nevada** | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 30 |
| **New Hampshire** | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | 100% | \* |
| **New Jersey** | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | 10 |
| **New Mexico** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 11 | 46% | 24 |
| **New York** | 28 | 19% | 47 | 31% | 75 | 50% | 150 |
| **North Carolina** | 18 | 11% | 46 | 29% | 97 | 60% | 161 |
| **North Dakota** | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | 7 |
| **Ohio** | 11 | 7% | 60 | 37% | 91 | 56% | 162 |
| **Oklahoma** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 14 |
| **Oregon** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 26 | 50% | 52 |
| **Pennsylvania** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 28 | 80% | 35 |
| **Puerto Rico** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 12 |
| **Rhode Island** | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 5 |
| **South Carolina** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 43 | 83% | 52 |
| **South Dakota** | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 13 |
| **Tennessee** | 8 | 9% | 20 | 22% | 65 | 70% | 93 |
| **Texas** | 11 | 8% | 26 | 19% | 102 | 73% | 139 |
| **Utah** | 41 | 46% | 23 | 26% | 26 | 29% | 90 |
| **Vermont** | 6 | 29% | 7 | 33% | 8 | 38% | 21 |
| **Virginia** | 34 | 29% | 31 | 26% | 54 | 45% | 119 |
| **Washington** | 9 | 12% | 14 | 19% | 52 | 69% | 75 |
| **West Virginia** | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 18 |
| **Wisconsin** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 23 | 85% | 27 |
| **Wyoming** | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 12 |
| **American Samoa** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Guam** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Northern Marianas** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

*Note:* NA=Not available.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

**Table 5.** Number and percentage of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, by type of firearm and by state or outlying area, SY 2005–06

| **State or outlying areaa** | **Type of firearm and percentage** | | | | | | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Handgun** | | **Rifle or shotgun** | | **Other** | |
| **Number** | **Percentage  of t0otal** | **Number** | **Percentage  of total** | **Number** | **Percentage  of total** |
| **Total** | **1,662** | **55%** | **351** | **12%** | **1,015** | **34%** | **3,028** |
| **Alabama** | 33 | 60% | 7 | 13% | 15 | 27% | 55 |
| **Alaska** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | 11 |
| **Arizona** | 39 | 53% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 73 |
| **Arkansas** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 66 | 57% | 116 |
| **California** | 79 | 65% | 10 | 8% | 33 | 27% | 122 |
| **Colorado** | 22 | 43% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 51 |
| **Connecticut** | 14 | 78% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 18 |
| **Delaware** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | \* |
| **District of Columbia** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | 7 |
| **Florida** | 127 | 88% | 6 | 4% | 11 | 8% | 144 |
| **Georgia** | 123 | 86% | 20 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 143 |
| **Hawaii** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* |
| **Idaho** | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | 6 |
| **Illinois** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | 30 |
| **Indiana** | 14 | 74% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 19 |
| **Iowa** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | 10 |
| **Kansas** | 200 | 70% | 66 | 23% | 20 | 7% | 286 |
| **Kentucky** | 27 | 55% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 49 |
| **Louisiana** | 28 | 85% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 33 |
| **Maine** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* |
| **Maryland** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | 34 |
| **Massachusetts** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 173 | 92% | 189 |
| **Michigan** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 33 | 55% | 60 |
| **Minnesota** | 12 | 63% | 7 | 37% | 0 | 0% | 19 |
| **Mississippi** | 26 | 47% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 55 |
| **Missouri** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 5 | 56% | 9 |
| **Montana** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 13 | 52% | 25 |
| \* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percents or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.  a Data are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.  ***Continued on next page*** | | | | | | | |

**Table 5.** Number and percentage of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, by type of firearm and by state or outlying area, SY 2005–06 (Continued)

| **State or outlying areaa** | **Type of firearm and percentage** | | | | | | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Handgun** | | **Rifle or shotgun** | | **Other** | |
| **Number** | **Percentage  of total** | **Number** | **Percentage  of total** | **Number** | **Percentage  of total** |
| **Nebraska** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | 8 |
| **Nevada** | 35 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 35 |
| **New Hampshire** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | 8 |
| **New Jersey** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | 21 |
| **New Mexico** | 24 | 75% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 32 |
| **New York** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 150 | 78% | 192 |
| **North Carolina** | 66 | 54% | 17 | 14% | 39 | 32% | 122 |
| **North Dakota** | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | 6 |
| **Ohio** | 101 | 72% | 0 | 0% | 39 | 28% | 140 |
| **Oklahoma** | 16 | 73% | 6 | 27% | 0 | 0% | 22 |
| **Oregon** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 37 | 69% | 54 |
| **Pennsylvania** | 32 | 74% | 11 | 26% | 0 | 0% | 43 |
| **Puerto Rico** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | \* |
| **Rhode Island** | 7 | 54% | 6 | 46% | 0 | 0% | 13 |
| **South Carolina** | 31 | 84% | 6 | 16% | 0 | 0% | 37 |
| **South Dakota** | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | 8 |
| **Tennessee** | 76 | 85% | 13 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 89 |
| **Texas** | 122 | 64% | 58 | 31% | 10 | 5% | 190 |
| **Utah** | 39 | 30% | 9 | 7% | 82 | 63% | 130 |
| **Vermont** | 10 | 63% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 16 |
| **Virginia** | 38 | 31% | 10 | 8% | 73 | 60% | 121 |
| **Washington** | 30 | 39% | 11 | 14% | 35 | 46% | 76 |
| **West Virginia** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Wisconsin** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 45 | 66% | 68 |
| **Wyoming** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 16 | 84% | 19 |
| **American Samoa** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | \* |
| **Guam** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Northern Marianas** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

*Note*: NA=Not available.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

**Table 6.** Number and percentage of expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, by type of firearm and by state or outlying area, SY 2006–07

| **State or outlying areaa** | **Type of firearm and percentage** | | | | | | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Handgun** | | **Rifle or shotgun** | | **Other** | |
| **Number** | **Percentage of total** | **Number** | **Percentage  of total** | **Number** | **Percentage  of total** |
| **Total** | **1,433** | **53%** | **255** | **10%** | **1,003** | **37%** | **2,691**b |
| **Alabama** | 30 | 49% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 61 |
| **Alaska** | 9 | 69% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 13 |
| **Arizona** | 30 | 77% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 39 |
| **Arkansas** | 40 | 34% | 12 | 10% | 64 | 55% | 116 |
| **California** | 78 | 74% | 8 | 8% | 19 | 18% | 105 |
| **Colorado** | 29 | 60% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 48 |
| **Connecticut** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | 12 |
| **Delaware** | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| **District of Columbia** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | 7 |
| **Florida** | 75 | 76% | 13 | 13% | 11 | 11% | 99 |
| **Georgia** | 109 | 86% | 18 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 127 |
| **Hawaii** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* |
| **Idaho** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 6 | 46% | 13 |
| **Illinois** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | 39 |
| **Indiana** | 35 | 83% | 7 | 17% | 0 | 0% | 42 |
| **Iowa** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | \* |
| **Kansas** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 21 | 54% | 39 |
| **Kentucky** | 30 | 63% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 48 |
| **Louisiana** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 63 | 61% | 103 |
| **Maine** | 0 | 0% | 6 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 6 |
| **Maryland** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | 23 |
| **Massachusetts** | 16 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 174 | 92% | 190 |
| **Michigan** | 57 | 61% | 0 | 0% | 36 | 39% | 93 |
| **Minnesota** | 26 | 62% | 16 | 38% | 0 | 0% | 42 |
| **Mississippi** | 29 | 59% | 9 | 18% | 11 | 22% | 49 |
| **Missouri** | 6 | 55% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 11 |
| **Montana** | 7 | 22% | 12 | 38% | 13 | 41% | 32 |
| \* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percents or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.  a Data are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.  b This total number of expulsions does not match the total from the individual States, as some States did not report expulsions by type of firearm.  ***Continued on next page*** | | | | | | | |

**Table 6.** Number and percentage of expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, by type of firearm and by state or outlying area, SY 2006–07 (Continued)

| **State or outlying areaa** | **Type of firearm and percentage** | | | | | | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Handgun** | | **Rifle or shotgun** | | **Other** | |
| **Number** | **Percentage  of total** | **Number** | **Percentage  of total** | **Number** | **Percentage  of total** |
| **Nebraska** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | 7 |
| **Nevada** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | 30 |
| **New Hampshire** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* |
| **New Jersey** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | 10 |
| **New Mexico** | 14 | 58% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 24 |
| **New York** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 108 | 72% | 150 |
| **North Carolina** | 36 | 22% | 6 | 4% | 119 | 74% | 161 |
| **North Dakota** | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | 7 |
| **Ohio** | 137 | 85% | 0 | 0% | 25 | 15% | 162 |
| **Oklahoma** | 9 | 64% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 14 |
| **Oregon** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 33 | 63% | 52 |
| **Pennsylvania** | 24 | 69% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 35 |
| **Puerto Rico** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* | \* | 12 |
| **Rhode Island** | 5 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 |
| **South Carolina** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | 52 |
| **South Dakota** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 6 | 46% | 13 |
| **Tennessee** | 82 | 88% | 11 | 12% | 0 | 0% | 93 |
| **Texas** | 96 | 69% | \* | \* | \* | \* | 139 |
| **Utah** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 48 | 53% | 90 |
| **Vermont** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 13 | 70% | 21 |
| **Virginia** | 42 | 35% | 8 | 7% | 69 | 58% | 119 |
| **Washington** | 41 | 55% | 9 | 12% | 25 | 33% | 75 |
| **West Virginia** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 9 | 50% | 18 |
| **Wisconsin** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 13 | 48% | 27 |
| **Wyoming** | \* | \* | 5 | 42% | \* | \* | 12 |
| **American Samoa** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Guam** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Northern Marianas** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

*Note*: NA=Not available.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

**Table 7.** Number and rate of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school (per 100,000 students), by type of firearm and by state or outlying area, SY 2005–06

| **State or outlying areaa** | **SY 2005–06 Enrollment** | **Type of firearm and rate** | | | | | | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Handgun** | | **Rifle or shotgun** | | **Other** | |
| **Number** | **Rate per 100,000 students** | **Number** | **Rate per 100,000 students** | **Number** | **Rate per 100,000 students** |
| **Total** | **49,693,363** | **1,662** | **3.3** | **351** | **0.7** | **1,015** | **2.0** | **3,028** |
| **Alabama** | 741,758 | 33 | 4.4 | 7 | 0.9 | 15 | 2.0 | 55 |
| **Alaska** | 133,288 | \* | \* | 0 | 0 | \* | \* | 11 |
| **Arizona** | 1,094,454 | 39 | 3.6 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 73 |
| **Arkansas** | 474,206 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 66 | 13.9 | 116 |
| **California** | 6,437,202 | 79 | 1.2 | 10 | 0.2 | 33 | 0.5 | 122 |
| **Colorado** | 779,826 | 22 | 2.8 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 51 |
| **Connecticut** | 575,059 | 14 | 2.4 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 18 |
| **Delaware** | 120,937 | \* | \* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \* |
| **District of Columbia** | 76,876 | \* | \* | 0 | 0 | \* | \* | 7 |
| **Florida** | 2,675,024 | 127 | 4.7 | 6 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.4 | 144 |
| **Georgia** | 1,598,461 | 123 | 7.7 | 20 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 143 |
| **Hawaii** | 182,818 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | \* |
| **Idaho** | 261,982 | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | 6 |
| **Illinois** | 2,111,706 | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | \* | \* | 30 |
| **Indiana** | 1,035,074 | 14 | 1.4 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 19 |
| **Iowa** | 483,482 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | 10 |
| **Kansas** | 467,285 | 200 | 42.8 | 66 | 14.1 | 20 | 4.3 | 286 |
| **Kentucky** | 679,878 | 27 | 4.0 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 49 |
| **Louisiana** | 654,526 | 28 | 4.3 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 33 |
| **Maine** | 195,498 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | \* |
| **Maryland** | 860,020 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | 34 |
| **Massachusetts** | 971,909 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 173 | 17.8 | 189 |
| **Michigan** | 1,741,845 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 33 | 1.9 | 60 |
| **Minnesota** | 839,243 | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | 19 |
| **Mississippi** | 494,954 | 26 | 5.3 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 55 |
| **Missouri** | 917,705 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 5 | 0.5 | 9 |
| \* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percents or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.  a Data are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.  ***Continued on next page*** | | | | | | | | |

**Table 7.** Number and rate of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school (per 100,000 students), by type of firearm and by state or outlying area, SY 2005–06 (Continued)

| **State or outlying areaa** | **SY 2005–06 Enrollment** | **Type of firearm and rate** | | | | | | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Handgun** | | **Rifle or shotgun** | | **Other** | |
| **Number** | **Rate per 100,000 students** | **Number** | **Rate per 100,000 students** | **Number** | **Rate per 100,000 students** |
| **Montana** | 145,416 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 13 | 8.9 | 25 |
| **Nebraska** | 286,646 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | 8 |
| **Nevada** | 412,395 | 35 | 8.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 35 |
| **New Hampshire** | 205,767 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | 8 |
| **New Jersey** | 1,395,602 | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | \* | \* | 21 |
| **New Mexico** | 326,758 | 24 | 7.3 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 32 |
| **New York** | 2,815,581 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 150 | 5.3 | 192 |
| **North Carolina** | 1,416,436 | 66 | 4.7 | 17 | 1.2 | 39 | 2.8 | 122 |
| **North Dakota** | 98,283 | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | 6 |
| **Ohio** | 1,839,683 | 101 | 5.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 39 | 2.1 | 140 |
| **Oklahoma** | 634,739 | 16 | 2.5 | 6 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 22 |
| **Oregon** | 552,194 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 37 | 6.7 | 54 |
| **Pennsylvania** | 1,830,684 | 32 | 1.7 | 11 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 43 |
| **Puerto Rico** | 563,490 | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | \* |
| **Rhode Island** | 153,422 | 7 | 4.6 | 6 | 3.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 13 |
| **South Carolina** | 701,544 | 31 | 4.4 | 6 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 37 |
| **South Dakota** | 122,012 | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | 8 |
| **Tennessee** | 953,928 | 76 | 8.0 | 13 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 89 |
| **Texas** | 4,525,394 | 122 | 2.7 | 58 | 1.3 | 10 | 0.2 | 190 |
| **Utah** | 508,430 | 39 | 7.7 | 9 | 1.8 | 82 | 16.1 | 130 |
| **Vermont** | 96,638 | 10 | 10.3 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 16 |
| **Virginia** | 1,214,472 | 38 | 3.1 | 10 | 0.8 | 73 | 6.0 | 121 |
| **Washington** | 1,031,985 | 30 | 2.9 | 11 | 1.1 | 35 | 3.4 | 76 |
| **West Virginia** | 280,866 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Wisconsin** | 875,174 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 45 | 5.1 | 68 |
| **Wyoming** | 84,409 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 16 | 19.0 | 19 |
| **American Samoa** | 16,399 | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | \* |
| **Guam** | 30,986 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Northern Marianas** | 11,718 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | 16,750 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

*Note*: NA=Not available.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

**Table 8.** Number and rate of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, (per 100,000 students), by type of firearm and by state or outlying area, SY 2006–07a

| **State or outlying areab** | **SY 2005–06 Enrollment** | **Type of firearm and rate** | | | | | | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Handgun** | | **Rifle or shotgun** | | **Other** | |
| **Number** | **Rate per 100,000 students** | **Number** | **Rate per 100,000 students** | **Number** | **Rate per 100,000 students** |
| **Total** | **48,666,502** | **1,433** | **2.9** | **255** | **0.5** | **1,003** | **2.1** | **2,691**b |
| **Alabama** | 743,632 | 30 | 4.0 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 61 |
| **Alaska** | 132,197 | 9 | 6.8 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 13 |
| **Arizona** | 970,913 | 30 | 3.1 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 39 |
| **Arkansas** | 474,532 | 40 | 8.4 | 12 | 2.5 | 64 | 13.5 | 116 |
| **California** | 6,211,439 | 78 | 1.3 | 8 | 0.1 | 19 | 0.3 | 105 |
| **Colorado** | 792,946 | 29 | 3.7 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 48 |
| **Connecticut** | 553,331 | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | \* | \* | 12 |
| **Delaware** | 114,678 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| **District of Columbia** | 56,943 | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | \* | \* | 7 |
| **Florida** | 2,656,176 | 75 | 2.8 | 13 | 0.5 | 11 | 0.4 | 99 |
| **Georgia** | 1,628,620 | 109 | 6.7 | 18 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 127 |
| **Hawaii** | 180,728 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | \* |
| **Idaho** | 267,533 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 6 | 2.2 | 13 |
| **Illinois** | 2,100,994 | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | \* | \* | 39 |
| **Indiana** | 1,034,588 | 35 | 3.4 | 7 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 42 |
| **Iowa** | 483,122 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | \* |
| **Kansas** | 469,215 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 21 | 4.5 | 39 |
| **Kentucky** | 646,360 | 30 | 4.6 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 48 |
| **Louisiana** | 653,683 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 63 | 9.6 | 103 |
| **Maine** | 193,176 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 |
| **Maryland** | 851,640 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | 23 |
| **Massachusetts** | 806,419 | 16 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 174 | 21.6 | 190 |
| **Michigan** | 1,601,774 | 57 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 36 | 2.2 | 93 |
| **Minnesota** | 807,241 | 26 | 3.2 | 16 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 42 |
| **Mississippi** | 494,135 | 29 | 5.9 | 9 | 1.8 | 11 | 2.2 | 49 |
| **Missouri** | 912,229 | 6 | 0.7 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 11 |
| **Montana** | 144,258 | 7 | 4.9 | 12 | 8.3 | 13 | 9.0 | 32 |
| \* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percents or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.  a This total number of expulsions does not match the total from the individual states, as some states did not report expulsions by type of firearm as directed.  b Data are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.  ***Continued on next page*** | | | | | | | | |

**Table 8.** Number and rate of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, (per 100,000 students), by type of firearm and by state or outlying area, SY 2006–07 (Continued)

| **State or outlying areaa** | **SY 2005–06 Enrollment** | **Type of firearm and rate** | | | | | | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Handgun** | | **Rifle or shotgun** | | **Other** | |
| **Number** | **Rate per 100,000 students** | **Number** | **Rate per 100,000 students** | **Number** | **Rate per 100,000 students** |
| **Nebraska** | 287,135 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | 7 |
| **Nevada** | 422,782 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | 30 |
| **New Hampshire** | 203,498 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | \* |
| **New Jersey** | 1,372,748 | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | \* | \* | 10 |
| **New Mexico** | 328,220 | 14 | 4.3 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 24 |
| **New York** | 2,757,907 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 108 | 3.9 | 150 |
| **North Carolina** | 1,417,237 | 36 | 2.5 | 6 | 0.4 | 119 | 8.4 | 161 |
| **North Dakota** | 96,577 | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | 7 |
| **Ohio** | 1,758,645 | 137 | 7.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 25 | 1.4 | 162 |
| **Oklahoma** | 639,032 | 9 | 1.4 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 14 |
| **Oregon** | 559,858 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 33 | 5.9 | 52 |
| **Pennsylvania** | 1,760,453 | 24 | 1.4 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 35 |
| **Puerto Rico** | 544,138 | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | \* | \* | 12 |
| **Rhode Island** | 136,940 | 5 | 3.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 |
| **South Carolina** | 703,119 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0 | 52 |
| **South Dakota** | 121,158 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 6 | 5.0 | 13 |
| **Tennessee** | 978,368 | 82 | 8.4 | 11 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 93 |
| **Texas** | 4,513,835 | 96 | 2.1 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 139 |
| **Utah** | 504,079 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 48 | 9.5 | 90 |
| **Vermont** | 90,504 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 13 | 14.4 | 21 |
| **Virginia** | 1,207,663 | 42 | 3.5 | 8 | 0.7 | 69 | 5.7 | 119 |
| **Washington** | 1,026,121 | 41 | 4.0 | 9 | 0.9 | 25 | 2.4 | 75 |
| **West Virginia** | 281,938 | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | 18 |
| **Wisconsin** | 870,584 | 8 | 0.9 | 6 | 0.7 | 13 | 1.5 | 27 |
| **Wyoming** | 85,034 | \* | \* | 5 | 5.9 | \* | \* | 12 |
| **American Samoa** | 16,427 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Guam** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Northern Marianas** | 11,695 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | 16,284 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

*Note*: NA=Not available.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

**Table 9.** Number of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, expulsion rate per 100,000 students and percent change by state or outlying area, SY 2004–05 and SY 2005–06

| **State or outlying areaa** | **School year** | | **Number  change** | **Percentage change in number** | **Expulsion rate (per 100,000 students) SY 2004–05** | **Expulsion rate (per 100,000 students) SY 2005–06** | **Percentage change in rate** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **2004–05** | **2005–06** |
| **Total** | **2,579** | **3,028** | **449** | **17.4%** | **5.3** | **6.1** | **18.9%** |
| **Alabama** | 62 | 55 | -7 | -11.3% | 8.5 | 7.4 | -12.7% |
| **Alaska** | 18 | 11 | -7 | -38.9% | 13.5 | 8.3 | -39.0% |
| **Arizona** | 80 | 73 | -7 | -8.8% | 7.7 | 6.7 | -13.0% |
| **Arkansas** | 58 | 116 | 58 | 100.0% | 12.5 | 24.5 | 95.3% |
| **California** | 135 | 122 | -13 | -9.6% | 2.1 | 1.9 | -9.6% |
| **Colorado** | 41 | 51 | 10 | 24.4% | 5.4 | 6.5 | 22.2% |
| **Connecticut** | 13 | 18 | 5 | 38.5% | 2.3 | 3.1 | 39.0% |
| **Delaware** | \* | \* | 2 | 100.0% | 1.7 | 3.3 | 96.9% |
| **District of Columbia** | \* | 7 | \* | \* | \* | 9.1 | \* |
| **Florida** | 71 | 144 | 73 | 102.8% | 2.7 | 5.4 | 200.0% |
| **Georgia** | 137 | 143 | 6 | 4.4% | 8.8 | 8.9 | 1.4% |
| **Hawaii** | \* | \* | \* | -25.0% | \* | \* | -24.8% |
| **Idaho** | 11 | 6 | -5 | -45.5% | 4.3 | 2.3 | -46.7% |
| **Illinois** | 38 | 30 | -8 | -21.1% | 1.8 | 1.4 | -21.6% |
| **Indiana** | 21 | 19 | -2 | -9.5% | 2.1 | 1.8 | -10.7% |
| **Iowa** | 15 | 10 | -5 | -33.3% | 3.1 | 2.1 | -34.0% |
| **Kansas** | 25 | 286 | 261 | 1044.0% | 5.3 | 61.2 | 1048.5% |
| **Kentucky** | 23 | 49 | 26 | 113.0% | 3.4 | 7.2 | 111.5% |
| **Louisiana** | 40 | 33 | -7 | -17.5% | 5.5 | 5.0 | -8.7% |
| **Maine** | \* | \* | 1 | 33.3% | 1.5 | 2.0 | 35.6% |
| **Maryland** | 26 | 34 | 8 | 30.8% | 3.0 | 4.0 | 31.6% |
| **Massachusetts** | 183 | 189 | 6 | 3.3% | 18.8 | 19.4 | 3.2% |
| **Michigan** | 57 | 60 | 3 | 5.3% | 3.3 | 3.4 | 5.8% |
| **Minnesota** | 38 | 19 | -19 | -50.0% | 4.5 | 2.3 | -50.0% |
| **Mississippi** | 86 | 55 | -31 | -36.0% | 17.4 | 11.1 | -36.0% |
| **Missouri** | 71 | 9 | -62 | -87.3% | 7.8 | 1.0 | -87.5% |
| \* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percents or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.  a Data are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.  ***Continued on next page*** | | | | | | | |

**Table 9.** Number of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, expulsion rate per 100,000 students and percent change by state or outlying area, SY 2004–05 and SY 2005–06 (Continued)

| **State or outlying areaa** | **School year** | | **Number  change** | **Percentage change in number** | **Expulsion rate (per 100,000 students) SY 2004–05** | **Expulsion rate (per 100,000 students) SY 2005–06** | **Percentage change in rate** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **2004–05** | **2005–06** |
| **Montana** | 32 | 25 | -7 | -21.9% | 21.8 | 17.2 | -21.2% |
| **Nebraska** | 18 | 8 | -10 | -55.6% | 6.3 | 2.8 | -55.7% |
| **Nevada** | 44 | 35 | -9 | -20.5% | 11.0 | 8.5 | -22.8% |
| **New Hampshire** | \* | 8 | \* | \* | \* | 3.9 | \* |
| **New Jersey** | 13 | 21 | 8 | 61.5% | 0.9 | 1.5 | 61.3% |
| **New Mexico** | 36 | 32 | -4 | -11.1% | 11.0 | 9.8 | -11.3% |
| **New York** | 136 | 192 | 56 | 41.2% | 4.8 | 6.8 | 41.7% |
| **North Carolina** | 79 | 122 | 43 | 54.4% | 5.7 | 8.6 | 51.1% |
| **North Dakota** | \* | 6 | \* | \* | \* | 6.1 | \* |
| **Ohio** | 117 | 140 | 23 | 19.7% | 6.4 | 7.6 | 19.7% |
| **Oklahoma** | 8 | 22 | 14 | 175.0% | 1.3 | 3.5 | 172.7% |
| **Oregon** | 48 | 54 | 6 | 12.5% | 8.7 | 9.8 | 12.5% |
| **Pennsylvania** | 49 | 43 | -6 | -12.2% | 2.7 | 2.3 | -12.4% |
| **Puerto Rico** | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0% | 0.3 | 0.4 | 2.2% |
| **Rhode Island** | 8 | 13 | 5 | 62.5% | 5.1 | 8.5 | 65.8% |
| **South Carolina** | 30 | 37 | 7 | 23.3% | 4.3 | 5.3 | 23.7% |
| **South Dakota** | 7 | 8 | 1 | 14.3% | 5.7 | 6.6 | 15.0% |
| **Tennessee** | 61 | 89 | 28 | 45.9% | 6.5 | 9.3 | 43.9% |
| **Texas** | 178 | 190 | 12 | 6.7% | 4.0 | 4.2 | 3.9% |
| **Utah** | 101 | 130 | 29 | 28.7% | 20.1 | 25.6 | 27.5% |
| **Vermont** | 9 | 16 | 7 | 77.8% | 9.2 | 16.6 | 80.9% |
| **Virginia** | 107 | 121 | 14 | 13.1% | 8.9 | 10.0 | 12.4% |
| **Washington** | 138 | 76 | -62 | -44.9% | 13.5 | 7.4 | -45.6% |
| **West Virginia** | 12 | 0 | -12 | -100% | 4.3 | 0 | -100% |
| **Wisconsin** | 56 | 68 | 12 | 21.4% | 6.5 | 7.8 | 20.0% |
| **Wyoming** | 23 | 19 | -4 | -17.4% | 27.1 | 22.5 | -17.1% |
| **American Samoa** | \* | \* | -1 | -50.0% | 12.4 | 6.1 | -50.8% |
| **Guam** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Northern Marianas** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

*Note*: NA=Not available.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

**Table 10.** Number of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, expulsion rate per 100,000 students and percent change by state or outlying area, SY 2005–06 and SY 2006–07

| **State or outlying areaa** | **School year** | | **Number  change** | **Percentage change in number** | **Expulsion rate (per 100,000 students) SY 2005–06** | **Expulsion rate (per 100,000 students) SY 2006–07** | **Percentage change in rate** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **2005–06** | **2006–07** |
| **Total** | **3,028** | **2,695** | **-333** | **-11.0%** | **6.1** | **5.5** | **-9.1%** |
| **Alabama** | 55 | 61 | 6 | 10.9% | 7.4 | 8.2 | 10.6% |
| **Alaska** | 11 | 13 | 2 | 18.2% | 8.3 | 9.8 | 19.2% |
| **Arizona** | 73 | 39 | -34 | -46.6% | 6.7 | 4.0 | -39.8% |
| **Arkansas** | 116 | 116 | 0 | 0.0% | 24.5 | 24.4 | -0.1% |
| **California** | 122 | 105 | -17 | -13.9% | 1.9 | 1.7 | -10.8% |
| **Colorado** | 51 | 48 | -3 | -5.9% | 6.5 | 6.1 | -7.4% |
| **Connecticut** | 18 | 12 | -6 | -33.3% | 3.1 | 2.2 | -30.7% |
| **Delaware** | \* | 0 | \* | -100.0% | \* | 0.0 | -100.0% |
| **District of Columbia** | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0.0% | 9.1 | 12.3 | 35.0% |
| **Florida** | 144 | 99 | -45 | -31.3% | 5.4 | 3.7 | -31.5% |
| **Georgia** | 143 | 127 | -16 | -11.2% | 8.9 | 7.8 | -12.8% |
| **Hawaii** | \* | \* | 0 | 0.0% | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.2% |
| **Idaho** | 6 | 13 | 7 | 116.7% | 2.3 | 4.9 | 112.2% |
| **Illinois** | 30 | 39 | 9 | 30.0% | 1.4 | 1.9 | 30.7% |
| **Indiana** | 19 | 42 | 23 | 121.1% | 1.8 | 4.1 | 121.2% |
| **Iowa** | 10 | \* | \* | \* | 2.1 | \* | \* |
| **Kansas** | 286 | 39 | -247 | -86.4% | 61.2 | 6.8 | -88.9% |
| **Kentucky** | 49 | 48 | -1 | -2.0% | 7.2 | 7.4 | 3.0% |
| **Louisiana** | 33 | 103 | 70 | 212.1% | 5.0 | 15.8 | 212.5% |
| **Maine** | \* | 6 | \* | \* | \* | 3.1 | \* |
| **Maryland** | 34 | 23 | -11 | -32.4% | 4.0 | 2.7 | -31.7% |
| **Massachusetts** | 189 | 190 | 1 | 0.5% | 19.4 | 23.6 | 21.2% |
| **Michigan** | 60 | 93 | 33 | 55.0% | 3.4 | 5.8 | 68.6% |
| **Minnesota** | 19 | 42 | 23 | 121.1% | 2.3 | 5.2 | 129.8% |
| **Mississippi** | 55 | 49 | -6 | -10.9% | 11.1 | 9.9 | -10.8% |
| **Missouri** | 9 | 11 | 2 | 22.2% | 1.0 | 1.2 | 23.0% |
| **Montana** | 25 | 32 | 7 | 28.0% | 17.2 | 22.2 | 29.0% |
| \* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percents or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.  a Data are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.  ***Continued on next page*** | | | | | | | |

**Table 10.** Number of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, expulsion rate per 100,000 students and percent change by state or outlying area, SY 2005–06 and SY 2006–07 (Continued)

| **State or outlying areaa** | **School year** | | **Number  change** | **Percentage change in number** | **Expulsion rate (per 100,000 students) SY 2005–06** | **Expulsion rate (per 100,000 students) SY 2006–07** | **Percentage change in rate** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **2005–06** | **2006–07** |
| **Nebraska** | 8 | 7 | -1 | -12.5% | 2.8 | 2.4 | -12.6% |
| **Nevada** | 35 | 30 | -5 | -14.3% | 8.5 | 7.1 | -16.4% |
| **New Hampshire** | 8 | \* | \* | \* | 3.9 | \* | \* |
| **New Jersey** | 21 | 10 | -11 | -52.4% | 1.5 | 0.7 | -51.6% |
| **New Mexico** | 32 | 24 | -8 | -25.0% | 9.8 | 7.3 | -25.3% |
| **New York** | 192 | 150 | -42 | -21.9% | 6.8 | 5.4 | -20.2% |
| **North Carolina** | 122 | 161 | 39 | 32.0% | 8.6 | 11.4 | 31.9% |
| **North Dakota** | 6 | 7 | 1 | 16.7% | 6.1 | 7.2 | 18.7% |
| **Ohio** | 140 | 162 | 22 | 15.7% | 7.6 | 9.2 | 21.0% |
| **Oklahoma** | 22 | 14 | -8 | -36.4% | 3.5 | 2.2 | -36.8% |
| **Oregon** | 54 | 52 | -2 | -3.7% | 9.8 | 9.3 | -5.0% |
| **Pennsylvania** | 43 | 35 | -8 | -18.6% | 2.3 | 2.0 | -15.4% |
| **Puerto Rico** | \* | 12 | \* | \* | \* | 2.2 | \* |
| **Rhode Island** | 13 | 5 | -8 | -61.5% | 1.3 | 3.7 | -56.9% |
| **South Carolina** | 37 | 52 | 15 | 40.5% | 5.3 | 7.4 | 40.2% |
| **South Dakota** | 8 | 13 | 5 | 62.5% | 6.6 | 10.7 | 63.6% |
| **Tennessee** | 89 | 93 | 4 | 4.5% | 9.3 | 9.5 | 1.9% |
| **Texas** | 190 | 139 | -51 | -26.8% | 4.2 | 3.1 | -26.7% |
| **Utah** | 130 | 90 | -40 | -30.8% | 25.6 | 17.9 | -30.2% |
| **Vermont** | 16 | 21 | 5 | 31.3% | 16.6 | 23.2 | 39.8% |
| **Virginia** | 121 | 119 | -2 | -1.7% | 10.0 | 9.9 | -1.1% |
| **Washington** | 76 | 75 | -1 | -1.3% | 7.4 | 7.3 | -0.8% |
| **West Virginia** | 0 | 18 | 18 | NA | NA | 6.4 | NA |
| **Wisconsin** | 68 | 27 | -42 | -61.8% | 7.8 | 3.0 | -61.5% |
| **Wyoming** | 19 | 12 | -7 | -36.8% | 22.5 | 14.1 | -37.3% |
| **American Samoa** | \* | NA | NA | NA | \* | NA | NA |
| **Guam** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Northern Marianas** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

*Note*: NA=Not available.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

**Table 11.** Number of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, by state or outlying area, SY 1998–99 through SY 2006–07

| **State or outlying areaa** | **School year** | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1998–99** | **1999–2000** | **2000–01** | **2001–02** | **2002–03** | **2003–04** | **2004–05** | **2005–06** | **2006–07** |
| **Total** | **3,477** | **2,835** | **2,537** | **2,554** | **2,143** | **2,165** | **2,591** | **3,028** | **2,695** |
| **Alabama** | 174 | 154 | 200 | 138 | 53 | 62 | 62 | 55 | 61 |
| **Alaska** | 30 | 17 | 10 | 55 | 12 | 13 | 18 | 11 | 13 |
| **Arizona** | 101 | 56 | 131 | 124 | 105 | 56 | 80 | 73 | 39 |
| **Arkansas** | 66 | 23 | 32 | 80 | 97 | 13 | 58 | 116 | 116 |
| **California** | 290 | 154 | 123 | 104 | 96 | 151 | 135 | 122 | 105 |
| **Colorado** | 110 | 42 | 24 | 31 | 36 | 24 | 41 | 51 | 48 |
| **Connecticut** | 11 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 13 | 18 | 12 |
| **Delaware** | 9 | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | 0 |
| **District of Columbia** | 13 | \* | 0 | \* | \* | \* | \* | 7 | 7 |
| **Florida** | 94 | 67 | 95 | 51 | 54 | 63 | 71 | 144 | 99 |
| **Georgia** | 208 | 117 | 111 | 119 | 85 | 96 | 137 | 143 | 127 |
| **Hawaii** | 5 | \* | 0 | 7 | \* | 9 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Idaho** | 31 | 19 | 17 | 21 | 7 | 11 | 11 | 6 | 13 |
| **Illinois** | 77 | 40 | 32 | 53 | 46 | 37 | 38 | 30 | 39 |
| **Indiana** | 103 | 33 | 21 | 41 | 26 | 30 | 21 | 19 | 42 |
| **Iowa** | 17 | 20 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 15 | 10 | \* |
| **Kansas** | 52 | 40 | 36 | 32 | 28 | 17 | 25 | 286 | 32 |
| **Kentucky** | 37 | 12 | 7 | 46 | 52 | 40 | 23 | 49 | 48 |
| **Louisiana** | 21 | 73 | 113 | 75 | 58 | 30 | 40 | 33 | 103 |
| **Maine** | 6 | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | 6 |
| **Maryland** | 34 | 35 | 26 | 21 | 27 | 32 | 26 | 34 | 23 |
| **Massachusetts** | 43 | 10 | 18 | 89 | 28 | 37 | 183 | 189 | 190 |
| **Michigan** | 106 | 100 | 90 | 46 | 37 | 65 | 57 | 60 | 93 |
| **Minnesota** | 24 | 15 | 12 | 23 | 15 | 49 | 38 | 19 | 42 |
| **Mississippi** | 24 | 36 | 64 | 67 | 70 | 82 | 86 | 55 | 49 |
| **Missouri** | 171 | 102 | 49 | 59 | 62 | 55 | 71 | 9 | 11 |
| **Montana** | 15 | 22 | 12 | 31 | 20 | 18 | 32 | 25 | 32 |
| \* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percents or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.  a Data are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.  ***Continued on next page*** | | | | | | | | | |

**Table 11.** Number of students expelled for having brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school, by state or outlying area, SY 1998–99 through SY 2006–07 (Continued)

| **State or outlying areaa** | **School year** | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1998–99** | **1999–2000** | **2000–01** | **2001–02** | **2002–03** | **2003–04** | **2004–05** | **2005–06** | **2006–07** |
| **Nebraska** | 15 | 20 | 11 | 6 | 10 | 17 | 18 | 8 | 7 |
| **Nevada** | 52 | 45 | 58 | 56 | 35 | 25 | 44 | 35 | 30 |
| **New Hampshire** | 11 | \* | 5 | 0 | \* | \* | \* | 8 | \* |
| **New Jersey** | 51 | 29 | 13 | 16 | 11 | 17 | 13 | 21 | 10 |
| **New Mexico** | 47 | 23 | 32 | 20 | 28 | 31 | 36 | 32 | 24 |
| **New York** | 206 | 98 | 89 | 88 | 79 | 110 | 136 | 192 | 150 |
| **North Carolina** | 141 | 78 | 77 | 92 | 69 | 90 | 79 | 122 | 161 |
| **North Dakota** | \* | 0 | \* | \* | \* | 5 | \* | 6 | 7 |
| **Ohio** | 77 | 199 | 135 | 53 | 65 | 42 | 117 | 140 | 162 |
| **Oklahoma** | 16 | 31 | 13 | 60 | 33 | 35 | 8 | 22 | 14 |
| **Oregon** | 48 | 87 | 40 | 55 | 29 | 35 | 48 | 54 | 52 |
| **Pennsylvania** | 76 | 76 | 40 | 36 | 45 | 73 | 49 | 43 | 35 |
| **Puerto Rico** | \* | \* | 0 | \* | \* | 6 | \* | \* | 12 |
| **Rhode Island** | \* | 6 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 5 |
| **South Carolina** | 52 | 55 | 43 | 34 | 27 | 26 | 30 | 37 | 52 |
| **South Dakota** | 9 | \* | 7 | 5 | 9 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 13 |
| **Tennessee** | 152 | 109 | 88 | 80 | 74 | 62 | 61 | 89 | 93 |
| **Texas** | 294 | 237 | 204 | 177 | 175 | 180 | 178 | 190 | 139 |
| **Utah** | 13 | 50 | 53 | 83 | 56 | 84 | 101 | 130 | 90 |
| **Vermont** | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | 9 | 16 | 37 |
| **Virginia** | 115 | 259 | 204 | 197 | 197 | 173 | 107 | 121 | 119 |
| **Washington** | 115 | 144 | 106 | 92 | 100 | 57 | 138 | 76 | 75 |
| **West Virginia** | 14 | 9 | 12 | 6 | 8 | 24 | 12 | NA | 18 |
| **Wisconsin** | 71 | 51 | 46 | 30 | 22 | 35 | 56 | 68 | 27 |
| **Wyoming** | 11 | 16 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 23 | 19 | 12 |
| **American Samoa** | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \* | \* | NA |
| **Guam** | 5 | 0 | 0 | \* | \* | \* | 11 | NA | NA |
| **Northern Marianas** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | 0 | \* | \* | \* | 5 | 0 | \* | NA | NA |

*Note:*NA=Not available.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

**Table 12.** Number and percentage of students found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school for whom the one-year expulsion was modified on a case-by-case basis, by state or outlying area, SY 2005–06

| **State or outlying areaa** | **Number of expulsions** | **Number of  expulsions modified** | **Percentage of expulsions modified** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total** | **3,028** | **1,358** | **45%** |
| **Alabama** | 55 | 27 | 49% |
| **Alaska** | 11 | 11 | 100% |
| **Arizona** | 73 | 31 | 42% |
| **Arkansas** | 116 | \* | \* |
| **California** | 122 | 7 | 6% |
| **Colorado** | 51 | 29 | 57% |
| **Connecticut** | 18 | \* | \* |
| **Delaware** | \* | \* | 75% |
| **District of Columbia** | 7 | \* | \* |
| **Florida** | 144 | 92 | 64% |
| **Georgia** | 143 | 72 | 50% |
| **Hawaii** | \* | 0 | 0% |
| **Idaho** | 6 | \* | \* |
| **Illinois** | 30 | 7 | 23% |
| **Indiana** | 19 | 13 | 68% |
| **Iowa** | 10 | 5 | 50% |
| **Kansas** | 286 | 17 | 6% |
| **Kentucky** | 49 | \* | \* |
| **Louisiana** | 33 | \* | \* |
| **Maine** | \* | \* | 75% |
| **Maryland** | 34 | 14 | 41% |
| **Massachusetts** | 189 | 163 | 86% |
| **Michigan** | 60 | 15 | 25% |
| **Minnesota** | 19 | \* | \* |
| **Mississippi** | 55 | 42 | 76% |
| **Missouri** | 9 | \* | \* |
| **Montana** | 25 | \* | \* |
| \* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percents or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.  a Data are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.  ***Continued on next page*** | | | |

**Table 12.** Number and percentage of students found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school for whom the one-year expulsion was modified on a case-by-case basis, by state or outlying area, SY 2005–06 (Continued)

| **State or outlying areaa** | **Number of expulsions** | **Number of  expulsions modified** | **Percentage of expulsions modified** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Nebraska** | 8 | \* | \* |
| **Nevada** | 35 | 16 | 46% |
| **New Hampshire** | 8 | \* | \* |
| **New Jersey** | 21 | \* | \* |
| **New Mexico** | 32 | 26 | 81% |
| **New York** | 192 | 142 | 74% |
| **North Carolina** | 122 | 91 | 75% |
| **North Dakota** | 6 | 6 | 100% |
| **Ohio** | 140 | 32 | 23% |
| **Oklahoma** | 22 | 8 | 36% |
| **Oregon** | 54 | 32 | 59% |
| **Pennsylvania** | 43 | 23 | 53% |
| **Puerto Rico** | \* | \* | 50% |
| **Rhode Island** | 13 | 13 | 100% |
| **South Carolina** | 37 | 7 | 19% |
| **South Dakota** | 8 | \* | \* |
| **Tennessee** | 89 | 23 | 26% |
| **Texas** | 190 | 66 | 35% |
| **Utah** | 130 | 124 | 95% |
| **Vermont** | 16 | 0 | 0% |
| **Virginia** | 121 | 71 | 59% |
| **Washington** | 76 | 28 | 37% |
| **West Virginia** | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| **Wisconsin** | 68 | 14 | 21% |
| **Wyoming** | 19 | 6 | 32% |
| **American Samoa** | \* | 0 | 0% |
| **Guam** | NA | NA | NA |
| **Northern Marianas** | NA | NA | NA |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | NA | NA | NA |

*Note*: NA=Not available.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

**Table 13.** Number and percentage of students found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school for whom the one-year expulsion was modified on a case-by-case basis, by state or outlying area, SY 2006–07

| **State or outlying areaa** | **Number of expulsions** | **Number of  expulsions modified** | **Percentage of expulsions modified** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total** | **2,695** | **1,428** | **53%** |
| **Alabama** | 61 | 42 | 69% |
| **Alaska** | 13 | 6 | 46% |
| **Arizona** | 39 | 13 | 33% |
| **Arkansas** | 116 | 5 | 4% |
| **California** | 105 | 16 | 15% |
| **Colorado** | 48 | 32 | 67% |
| **Connecticut** | 12 | \* | \* |
| **Delaware** | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| **District of Columbia** | 7 | \* | \* |
| **Florida** | 99 | 45 | 46% |
| **Georgia** | 127 | 40 | 32% |
| **Hawaii** | \* | \* | 33% |
| **Idaho** | 13 | \* | \* |
| **Illinois** | 39 | 11 | 28% |
| **Indiana** | 42 | 20 | 48% |
| **Iowa** | \* | 0 | 0% |
| **Kansas** | 39 | 7 | 18% |
| **Kentucky** | 48 | \* | \* |
| **Louisiana** | 103 | 93 | 90% |
| **Maine** | 6 | \* | \* |
| **Maryland** | 23 | 13 | 57% |
| **Massachusetts** | 190 | 180 | 95% |
| **Michigan** | 93 | 61 | 66% |
| **Minnesota** | 42 | 35 | 83% |
| **Mississippi** | 49 | 33 | 67% |
| **Missouri** | 11 | \* | \* |
| **Montana** | 32 | \* | \* |
| \* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percents or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.  a Data are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.  ***Continued on next page*** | | | |

**Table 13.** Number and percentage of students found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school for whom the one-year expulsion was modified on a case-by-case basis, by state or outlying area, SY 2006–07 (Continued)

| **State or outlying areaa** | **Number of expulsions** | **Number of  expulsions modified** | **Percentage of expulsions modified** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Nebraska** | 7 | \* | \* |
| **Nevada** | 30 | 10 | 33% |
| **New Hampshire** | \* | \* | 67% |
| **New Jersey** | 10 | \* | \* |
| **New Mexico** | 24 | 18 | 75% |
| **New York** | 150 | 97 | 65% |
| **North Carolina** | 161 | 129 | 80% |
| **North Dakota** | 7 | \* | \* |
| **Ohio** | 162 | 12 | 7% |
| **Oklahoma** | 14 | \* | \* |
| **Oregon** | 52 | 26 | 50% |
| **Pennsylvania** | 35 | \* | \* |
| **Puerto Rico** | 12 | \* | \* |
| **Rhode Island** | \* | \* | 100% |
| **South Carolina** | 52 | 13 | 25% |
| **South Dakota** | 13 | 13 | 100% |
| **Tennessee** | 93 | 72 | 77% |
| **Texas** | 139 | 42 | 30% |
| **Utah** | 90 | \* | \* |
| **Vermont** | 21 | \* | \* |
| **Virginia** | 119 | 76 | 64% |
| **Washington** | 75 | 35 | 47% |
| **West Virginia** | 18 | \* | \* |
| **Wisconsin** | 27 | 7 | 27% |
| **Wyoming** | 12 | \* | \* |
| **American Samoa** | NA | NA | NA |
| **Guam** | NA | NA | NA |
| **Northern Marianas** | NA | NA | NA |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | NA | NA | NA |

*Note*: NA=Not available.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

**Table 14.** Number and percentage of students found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school and received modified expulsions by student disability, by state or outlying area, SY 2005–06

| **State or outlying areaa** | **Number of modified expulsions** | **Number of modified expulsions for disabled students** | **Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students** | **Percentage of modified expulsions for nondisabled students** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total** | **1,352** | **373** | **979** | **72%** |
| **Alabama** | 27 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Alaska** | 11 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Arizona** | 31 | 11 | 20 | 65% |
| **Arkansas** | \* | 0 | \* | 100% |
| **California** | 7 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Colorado** | 29 | 22 | 7 | 24% |
| **Connecticut** | \* | \* | \* | 79% |
| **Delaware** | \* | 0 | \* | 100% |
| **District of Columbia** | \* | 0 | \* | 100% |
| **Florida** | 92 | 19 | 73 | 79% |
| **Georgia** | 72 | 42 | 30 | 42% |
| **Hawaii** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| **Idaho** | \* | \* | \* | 50% |
| **Illinois** | 7 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Indiana** | 13 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Iowa** | 5 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Kansas** | 17 | 6 | 11 | 65% |
| **Kentucky** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% |
| **Louisiana** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% |
| **Maine** | \* | \* | \* | 33% |
| **Maryland** | 14 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Massachusetts** | 163 | 53 | 110 | 68% |
| **Michigan** | 15 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Minnesota** | 16 | 5 | 11 | 69% |
| **Mississippi** | 42 | 18 | 24 | 57% |
| **Missouri** | \* | 0 | \* | 100% |
| **Montana** | 22 | 6 | 16 | 73% |
| \* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percents or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.  a Data are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.  ***Continued on next page*** | | | | |

**Table 14.** Number and percentage of students found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school and received modified expulsions by student disability, by state or outlying area, SY 2005–06 (Continued)

| **State or outlying areaa** | **Number of modified expulsions** | **Number of modified expulsions for disabled students** | **Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students** | **Percentage of modified expulsions for nondisabled students** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Nebraska** | \* | 0 | \* | 100% |
| **Nevada** | 16 | 5 | 11 | 69% |
| **New Hampshire** | \* | 0 | \* | 100% |
| **New Jersey** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% |
| **New Mexico** | 26 | 0 | 26 | 100% |
| **New York** | 142 | 33 | 109 | 77% |
| **North Carolina** | 85 | 27 | 58 | 64% |
| **North Dakota** | 6 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Ohio** | 32 | 11 | 21 | 66% |
| **Oklahoma** | 8 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Oregon** | 32 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Pennsylvania** | 23 | 9 | 14 | 61% |
| **Puerto Rico** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% |
| **Rhode Island** | 13 | 6 | 7 | 54% |
| **South Carolina** | 7 | \* | \* | \* |
| **South Dakota** | 6 | 0 | 6 | 100% |
| **Tennessee** | 23 | 6 | 17 | 74% |
| **Texas** | 66 | 9 | 57 | 86% |
| **Utah** | 124 | 6 | 118 | 95% |
| **Vermont** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| **Virginia** | 71 | 18 | 53 | 75% |
| **Washington** | 28 | 13 | 15 | 54% |
| **West Virginia** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| **Wisconsin** | 14 | 5 | 9 | 64% |
| **Wyoming** | 6 | \* | \* | \* |
| **American Samoa** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| **Guam** | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Northern Marianas** | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | NA | NA | NA | NA |

*Note*: NA=Not available.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

**Table 15.** Number and percentage of students found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school and received modified expulsions by student disability, by state or outlying area, SY 2006–07

| **State or outlying areaa** | **Number of modified expulsions** | **Number of modified expulsions for disabled students** | **Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students** | **Percentage of modified expulsions for nondisabled students** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total** | **1,320**b | **343** | **977** | **74%** |
| **Alabama** | 42 | 6 | 36 | 86% |
| **Alaska** | 6 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Arizona** | 13 | 5 | 8 | 62% |
| **Arkansas** | 5 | 0 | 5 | 100% |
| **California** | 16 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Colorado** | 32 | 25 | 7 | 22% |
| **Connecticut** | 8 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Delaware** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| **District of Columbia** | \* | \* | \* | 67% |
| **Florida** | 45 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Georgia** | 40 | 17 | 23 | 58% |
| **Hawaii** | \* | 0 | \* | 100% |
| **Idaho** | \* | \* | \* | 50% |
| **Illinois** | 11 | 6 | 5 | 45% |
| **Indiana** | 20 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Iowa** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| **Kansas** | 7 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Kentucky** | \* | \* | \* | 75% |
| **Louisiana** | 93 | 0 | 93 | 100% |
| **Maine** | 5 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Maryland** | 13 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Massachusetts** | 180 | 82 | 98 | 54% |
| **Michigan** | 61 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Minnesota** | 35 | 7 | 28 | 80% |
| **Mississippi** | 33 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Missouri** | \* | \* | \* | 50% |
| \* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percents or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.  a Data are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.  b This total does not count Oregon, Tennessee, and Wyoming because those states did not offer a breakdown of modified expulsions according to the students’ disability status.  ***Continued on next page*** | | | | |

**Table 15.** Number and percentage of students found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school and received modified expulsions by student disability, by state or outlying area, SY 2006–07 (Continued)

| **State or outlying areaa** | **Number modified expulsions** | **Number of modified expulsions for disabled students** | **Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students** | **Percentage of modified expulsions for nondisabled students** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Montana** | 29 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Nebraska** | \* | 0 | \* | 100% |
| **Nevada** | 10 | \* | \* | 80% |
| **New Hampshire** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% |
| **New Jersey** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% |
| **New Mexico** | 18 | \* | \* | 89% |
| **New York** | 97 | 31 | 66 | 68% |
| **North Carolina** | 129 | 38 | 91 | 71% |
| **North Dakota** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% |
| **Ohio** | 12 | \* | \* | 75% |
| **Oklahoma** | 11 | \* | \* | 82% |
| **Oregon** | 26 | NA | NA | NA |
| **Pennsylvania** | \* | \* | 0 | 0% |
| **Puerto Rico** | 11 | \* | \* | 91% |
| **Rhode Island** | 5 | \* | \* | \* |
| **South Carolina** | 13 | \* | \* | \* |
| **South Dakota** | 13 | 5 | 8 | 62% |
| **Tennessee** | 72 | NA | NA | NA |
| **Texas** | 42 | 10 | 32 | 76% |
| **Utah** | 87 | 5 | 82 | 94% |
| **Vermont** | 18 | 5 | 13 | 72% |
| **Virginia** | 76 | 17 | 59 | 78% |
| **Washington** | 35 | 19 | 16 | 46% |
| **West Virginia** | 16 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Wisconsin** | 7 | \* | \* | \* |
| **Wyoming** | 10 | NA | NA | NA |
| **American Samoa** | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Guam** | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Northern Marianas** | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | NA | NA | NA | NA |

*Note*: NA=Not available.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

**Table 16.** Number and percentage of students found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school who were referred to an alternative placement, and number and percentage of those referred whose expulsion was modified versus not modified, by state or outlying area, SY 2005–06

| **State or outlying areaa** | **Number of expulsions** | **Total number referred** | **Total percentage referred** | **Number referred modified** | **Percentage of referred modified** | **Number referred not modified** | **Percentage of referred not modified** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total** | **3,028** | **772** | **26%** | **352** | **46%** | **420** | **54%** |
| **Alabama** | 55 | \* | \* | \* | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **Alaska** | 11 | \* | \* | \* | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **Arizona** | 73 | 23 | 32% | 16 | 70% | 7 | 30% |
| **Arkansas** | 116 | 5 | 4% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **California** | 122 | 109 | 89% | 7 | 6% | 102 | 94% |
| **Colorado** | 51 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| **Connecticut** | 18 | 15 | 83% | 11 | 73% | \* | \* |
| **Delaware** | \* | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| **District of Columbia** | 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| **Florida** | 144 | 13 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 13 | 100% |
| **Georgia** | 143 | 10 | 7% | 10 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **Hawaii** | \* | \* | 33% | 0 | 0% | \* | 100% |
| **Idaho** | 6 | \* | \* | \* | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **Illinois** | 30 | 21 | 70% | 0 | 0% | 21 | 100% |
| **Indiana** | 19 | \* | \* | \* | 50% | \* | 50% |
| **Iowa** | 10 | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | 56% |
| **Kansas** | 286 | 12 | 4% | 6 | 50% | 6 | 50% |
| **Kentucky** | 49 | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* | 100% |
| **Louisiana** | 33 | 24 | 73% | 0 | 0% | 24 | 100% |
| **Maine** | \* | \* | 75% | \* | 67% | \* | 33% |
| **Maryland** | 34 | 10 | 29% | \* | \* | 7 | 70% |
| **Massachusetts** | 189 | 20 | 11% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Michigan** | 60 | 16 | 27% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Minnesota** | 19 | \* | \* | \* | 25% | \* | 75% |
| **Mississippi** | 55 | 42 | 76% | 42 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **Missouri** | 9 | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* | 100% |
| \* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percents or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.  a Data are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.  ***Continued on next page*** | | | | | | | |

**Table 16.** Number and percentage of students found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school who were referred to an alternative placement, and number and percentage of those referred whose expulsion was modified versus not modified, by state or outlying area, SY 2005–06 (Continued)

| **State or outlying areaa** | **Number of expulsions** | **Total number referred** | **Total percentage referred** | **Number referred modified** | **Percentage of referred modified** | **Number referred not modified** | **Percentage of referred not modified** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Montana** | 25 | \* | \* | \* | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **Nebraska** | 8 | 6 | 75% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 100% |
| **Nevada** | 35 | 30 | 86% | 14 | 47% | 16 | 53% |
| **New Hampshire** | 8 | \* | \* | \* | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **New Jersey** | 21 | 16 | 76% | 0 | 0% | 16 | 100% |
| **New Mexico** | 32 | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA | 0 | NA |
| **New York** | 192 | 23 | 12% | 15 | 65% | 8 | 35% |
| **North Carolina** | 122 | 10 | 8% | 10 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **North Dakota** | 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA | 0 | NA |
| **Ohio** | 140 | 8 | 6% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Oklahoma** | 22 | 5 | 23% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Oregon** | 54 | \* | \* | \* | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **Pennsylvania** | 43 | 28 | 65% | 16 | 57% | 12 | 43% |
| **Puerto Rico** | \* | \* | 50% | 0 | 0% | \* | 100% |
| **Rhode Island** | 13 | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA | 0 | NA |
| **South Carolina** | 37 | 7 | 19% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **South Dakota** | 8 | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA | 0 | NA |
| **Tennessee** | 89 | 29 | 33% | 29 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **Texas** | 190 | 148 | 78% | 54 | 36% | 94 | 64% |
| **Utah** | 130 | 34 | 26% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Vermont** | 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA | 0 | NA |
| **Virginia** | 121 | 38 | 31% | 19 | 50% | 19 | 50% |
| **Washington** | 76 | 11 | 14% | 6 | 55% | 5 | 45% |
| **West Virginia** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Wisconsin** | 68 | 13 | 19% | 6 | 46% | 7 | 54% |
| **Wyoming** | 19 | 8 | 42% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 100% |
| **American Samoa** | \* | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA | 0 | NA |
| **Guam** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Northern Marianas** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

*Note*: NA=Not available.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

**Table 17.** Number and percentage of students found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school who were referred to an alternative placement, and number and percentage of those referred whose expulsion was modified versus not modified, by state or outlying area, SY 2006–07

| **State or outlying areaa** | **Number of expulsions** | **Total number referred** | **Total percentage referred** | **Number referred modified** | **Percentage of referred modified** | **Number referred not modified** | **Percentage of referred not modified** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total** | **2,695** | **795** | **29%** | **395** | **50%** | **400** | **50%** |
| **Alabama** | 61 | 6 | 10% | 6 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **Alaska** | 13 | \* | \* | \* | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **Arizona** | 39 | 9 | 23% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Arkansas** | 116 | 6 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 100% |
| **California** | 105 | 91 | 87% | 16 | 18% | 75 | 82% |
| **Colorado** | 48 | 12 | 25% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Connecticut** | 12 | 12 | 100% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Delaware** | 0 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| **District of Columbia** | 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| **Florida** | 99 | 48 | 49% | 16 | 33% | 32 | 67% |
| **Georgia** | 127 | 5 | 4% | 5 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **Hawaii** | \* | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| **Idaho** | 13 | \* | \* | \* | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **Illinois** | 39 | 31 | 79% | 8 | 26% | 23 | 74% |
| **Indiana** | 42 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| **Iowa** | \* | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| **Kansas** | 39 | 13 | 33% | 6 | 46% | 7 | 54% |
| **Kentucky** | 48 | \* | \* | \* | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **Louisiana** | 103 | 47 | 46% | 40 | 85% | 7 | 15% |
| **Maine** | 6 | \* | \* | \* | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **Maryland** | 23 | 13 | 57% | 5 | 38% | 8 | 62% |
| **Massachusetts** | 190 | 32 | 17% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Michigan** | 93 | 61 | 66% | 21 | 34% | 40 | 66% |
| **Minnesota** | 42 | 22 | 52% | 15 | 68% | 7 | 32% |
| **Mississippi** | 49 | \* | \* | \* | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **Missouri** | 11 | 7 | 64% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Montana** | 32 | 17 | 53% | 17 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| \* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percents or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.  a Data are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.  ***Continued on next page*** | | | | | | | |

**Table 17.** Number and percentage of students found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school who were referred to an alternative placement, and number and percentage of those referred whose expulsion was modified versus not modified, by state or outlying area, SY 2006–07 (Continued)

| **State or outlying areaa** | **Number of expulsions** | **Total number referred** | **Total percentage referred** | **Number referred modified** | **Percentage of referred modified** | **Number referred not modified** | **Percentage of referred not modified** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Nebraska** | 7 | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* | 100% |
| **Nevada** | 30 | 30 | 100% | 9 | 30% | 21 | 70% |
| **New Hampshire** | \* | \* | 67% | \* | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **New Jersey** | 10 | \* | \* | \* | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **New Mexico** | 24 | 5 | 21% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **New York** | 150 | 13 | 9% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **North Carolina** | 161 | 14 | 9% | 14 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **North Dakota** | 7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Ohio** | 162 | 7 | 4% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Oklahoma** | 14 | \* | \* | \* | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **Oregon** | 52 | 16 | 31% | 16 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **Pennsylvania** | 35 | 10 | 29% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Puerto Rico** | 12 | 12 | 100% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Rhode Island** | \* | \* | \* | \* | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **South Carolina** | 52 | \* | \* | \* | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| **South Dakota** | 13 | 12 | 92% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Tennessee** | 93 | 53 | 57% | 6 | 11% | 47 | 89% |
| **Texas** | 139 | 99 | 71% | 39 | 39% | 60 | 61% |
| **Utah** | 90 | 26 | 29% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Vermont** | 21 | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* | \* |
| **Virginia** | 119 | 14 | 12% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Washington** | 75 | 9 | 12% | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **West Virginia** | 18 | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| **Wisconsin** | 26 | 13 | 50% | 6 | 46% | 7 | 54% |
| **Wyoming** | 12 | \* | \* | 0 | 0% | \* | 100% |
| **American Samoa** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Guam** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Northern Marianas** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

*Note*: NA=Not available.

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

**Table 18.** Percentage of LEAs and schools that submitted a *GFSA* report and the percentage of LEAs reporting an offense, by state or outlying area, 2005–06a

| **State or outlying areab** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report** | **Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs** | **Percentage of LEAS that reported an offense** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Alabama** | 100% | 100% | 13% |
| **Alaska** | 100% | 100% | 4% |
| **Arizona** | 100% | 96% | 6% |
| **Arkansas** | 100% | 91% | 11% |
| **California** | 100% | 100% | 5.1% |
| **Colorado** | 100% | 100% | 12% |
| **Connecticut** | 100% | 100% | 4.1% |
| **Delaware** | 100% | 100% | 12.5% |
| **District of Columbia** | 100% | 100% | 2.4% |
| **Florida** | 100% | 100% | 28% |
| **Georgia** | 100% | 78% | 26% |
| **Hawaii** | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| **Idaho** | 100% | 100% | 5% |
| **Illinois** | 100% | 100% | 1% |
| **Indiana** | 100% | 100% | 4% |
| **Iowa** | 100% | 100% | 22% |
| **Kansas** | 100% | 100% | 3.2% |
| **Kentucky** | 100% | 100% | 7.4% |
| **Louisiana** | 99% | 100% | 12% |
| **Maine** | 100% | 100% | 2% |
| **Maryland** | 100% | 100% | 45.8% |
| **Massachusetts** | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| **Michigan** | 100% | 100% | 3.2% |
| **Minnesota** | 100% | 100% | 1.9% |
| **Mississippi** | 100% | 100% | 19% |
| **Missouri** | 100% | 100% | 1.5% |
| **Montana** | 100% | 100% | 3.6% |
| \* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percents or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.  a EDEN data on the number of schools and LEA’s submitting reports and offenses was not available during the 2005–06 school year.  b Data are for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the four outlying areas.  ***Continued on next page*** | | | |

**Table 18.** Percentage of LEAs and schools that submitted a *GFSA* report and the percentage of LEAs reporting an offense, by state or outlying area, 2005–06 (Continued)

| **State or outlying areab** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report** | **Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs** | **Percentage of LEAS that reported an offense** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Nebraska** | 100% | 100% | 4% |
| **Nevada** | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| **New Hampshire** | 100% | 100% | 9% |
| **New Jersey** | 100% | 100% | 1% |
| **New Mexico** | 100% | 100% | 16% |
| **New York** | 100% | 100% | 10% |
| **North Carolina** | 100% | 100% | 36.5% |
| **North Dakota** | 0% | 98% | 0% |
| **Ohio** | 100% | 100% | 4.0% |
| **Oklahoma** | 100% | 100% | 3.5% |
| **Oregon** | 85.9% | NA | 13.6% |
| **Pennsylvania** | 98.3% | 100% | 3.2% |
| **Puerto Rico** | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| **Rhode Island** | 100% | 100% | 11.1% |
| **South Carolina** | 100% | 100% | 27% |
| **South Dakota** | 100% | 100% | 3% |
| **Tennessee** | 100% | 100% | 18% |
| **Texas** | 100% | 100% | 7.6% |
| **Utah** | 100% | 100% | 28% |
| **Vermont** | 100% | 100% | 15% |
| **Virginia** | 100% | 100% | 33% |
| **Washington** | 100% | 100% | 16.4% |
| **West Virginia** | NA | 86% | NA |
| **Wisconsin** | 100% | 100% | 5% |
| **Wyoming** | 100% | 100% | 12.5% |
| **American Samoa** | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| **Guam** | NA | NA | NA |
| **Northern Marianas** | NA | NA | NA |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | NA | NA | NA |

*Note:* NA=Not available,LEA=local education agency*, GFSA=Gun-Free Schools Act*

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

**Table 19.** Percentage of LEAs and schools that submitted a *GFSA* report and number and percentage of LEAs reporting an offense, by state or outlying area, 2006–07

| **State or outlying areaa** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report** | **Number of LEAs submitting *GFSA* report** | **Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs** | **Number of schools submitting *GFSA* report** | **Percentage of LEAS that reported an offense** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Alabama** | 100% | NA | 100% | NA | 21.0% |
| **Alaska** | 100% | NA | 100% | NA | 13.0% |
| **Arizona** | 91% | 552 | 91% | 1,871 | 5.2% |
| **Arkansas** | 100% | NA | 100% | NA | 10.4% |
| **California** | 100% | 1,041 | 100% | 9,670 | 10.2% |
| **Colorado** | 100% | NA | 100% | NA | 18.6% |
| **Connecticut** | 100% | NA | 100% | NA | 4.3% |
| **Delaware** | 95% | 37 | NA | NA | 0.0% |
| **District of Columbia** | 100% | 53 | 92% | 220 | 8.0% |
| **Florida** | 99% | 76 | 100% | 4,005 | 34.0% |
| **Georgia** | 100% | 184 | 100% | 2,161 | 27.2% |
| **Hawaii** | 100% | 1 | 100% | 286 | 100.0% |
| **Idaho** | 100% | NA | 100% | NA | 8.3% |
| **Illinois** | 100% | 1,082 | 100% | 3,226 | 2.1% |
| **Indiana** | 100% | 336 | 100% | 1,908 | 6.3% |
| **Iowa** | 100% | 365 | 100% | 1,509 | \* |
| **Kansas** | 100% | 308 | 84% | 1,192 | 4.2% |
| **Kentucky** | 100% | 176 | 100% | 1,372 | 13.0% |
| **Louisiana** | 100% | 69 | 100% | 69 | 40.0% |
| **Maine** | 74% | 225 | 100% | 669 | 1.7% |
| **Maryland** | 100% | 24 | 100% | 1,444 | 41.7% |
| **Massachusetts** | 100% | 341 | 100% | 1,875 | 23.1% |
| **Michigan** | 100% | 849 | 100% | 4,066 | 4.3% |
| **Minnesota** | 100% | 503 | 100% | 2,247 | 5.7% |
| **Mississippi** | 100% | NA | 100% | NA | 21.7% |
| **Missouri** | 100% | 549 | 100% | 2,366 | 42.1% |
| **Montana** | 100% | 426 | 100% | 827 | 4.9% |
| **Nebraska** | 100% | NA | 100% | NA | 100.0% |
| **Nevada** | 100% | NA | 100% | NA | 18.0% |

\* Throughout this report, the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percents or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.

**Table 19.** Percentage of LEAs and schools that submitted a *GFSA* report and number and percentage of LEAs reporting an offense, by state or outlying area, 2006–07 (Continued)

| **State or outlying areaa** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report** | **Number of LEAs submitting *GFSA* report** | **Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs** | **Number of schools submitting *GFSA* report** | **Percentage of LEAS that reported an offense** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **New Hampshire** | 0% | NA | 0% | NA | 0.0% |
| **New Jersey** | 100% | NA | 100% | NA | 1.6% |
| **New Mexico** | 62% | NA | 100% | NA | 26.0% |
| **New York** | 100% | NA | 100% | NA | 11.0% |
| **North Carolina** | 100% | NA | 100% | NA | 43.5% |
| **North Dakota** | 82% | 196 | 74% | 396 | 3.6% |
| **Ohio** | 100% | NA | 100% | NA | 5.7% |
| **Oklahoma** | 100% | 542 | 100% | 1,793 | 2.2% |
| **Oregon** | 100% | 223 | 100% | 1,278 | 10.8% |
| **Pennsylvania** | 100% | 719 | 100% | 3,235 | 100.0% |
| **Puerto Rico** | 100% | 1 | 100% | NA | 100.0% |
| **Rhode Island** | 100% | 49 | 100% | 320 | 8.8% |
| **South Carolina** | 99% | NA | 100% | 1,183 | 24.0% |
| **South Dakota** | NA | NA | 99% | 790 | NA |
| **Tennessee** | 100% | 135 | 100% | 1,709 | 23.0% |
| **Texas** | 96% | 1,219 | 99% | 1,204 | 6.2% |
| **Utah** | 93% | 83 | 85% | 815 | 20.0% |
| **Vermont** | 100% | 356 | 100% | 330 | 5.8% |
| **Virginia** | 100% | NA | 100% | NA | 32.0% |
| **Washington** | 100% | NA | 97% | NA | 13.0% |
| **West Virginia** | 100% | 57 | 100% | 701 | 75.4% |
| **Wisconsin** | 100% | 440 | NA | NA | 6.4% |
| **Wyoming** | 100% | 26 | 14% | 51 | 100.0% |
| **American Samoa** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Guam** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Northern Marianas** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **U.S. Virgin Islands** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

*Note:* NA=Not available,LEA=local education agency*, GFSA=Gun-Free Schools Act.*

*Source:* U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS), data from Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and GFSA data collection instrument entitled "Gun-Free Schools Act Report," OMB #1865-0002.

State and Outlying Area Profiles,

School Year 2005–06

The following profiles summarize the 2005–06 school year information on student expulsions by various categories submitted by the states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas at the request of the U.S. Department of Education. The data collection form, from which these profiles were developed, is located in Appendix B of this report. Questions 5 and 6 of the form are not included in this section because they refer to compliance-related information. The tables in the previous section were compiled from these singular state profiles.

In each state profile the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percentages or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero also are asterisked.

Note: The data set for the SY 2005–06 is incomplete; any missing data are indicated by the letters “NA.”

There was some inconsistency in the number of decimal places states chose to use in their responses to questions that needed to be answered with percentages. For consistency of presentation, answers where the hundredths place was used were rounded to tenths. All other answers where tenths or no decimal places were used were left unchanged.

In a few cases, inconsistencies in reporting occurred and the states were later unable to determine the correct data. The resulting data for some states show incongruous increases in gun activity in schools across years or contrary to what might reasonably be expected for their state. The data reported here is reflective of that reported by the states and reflects the best available data at that time.

Some states show a large percentage of LEAs reporting incidents of gun activity. This may reflect the small number of LEAs in that state. For example, a state reporting no incidents in 2005–06 and one incident in 2006–07 and having only one LEA would indicate that 100% of LEAs reported incidents and a 100% increase in incidents.

**Alabama**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | 9 |
| Junior High | 13 | \* | \* | 17 |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 11 | 29 |
| Total | 33 | 7 | 15 | 55 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 27 | 49% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 28 | 51% |
|  | Total | 55 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 27 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 13% |

Continued next page

**Alabama (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

Data is self-reported and collected at the school level. Statewide, several hundred individuals perform this task, and consequently, human error is possible as a result.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 62 | 55 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -7 |
| Percent Change |  | -11% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None**Alaska**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Total | \* | 0 | \* | 11 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 11 | 100% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 11 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 11 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 4% |

Continued next page

**Alaska (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

The Education and Early Development (EED) office continues to be challenged by districts' ongoing confusion over what constitutes a firearm. Most districts report incidents to EED online using the electronic collection system, which provides defining and clarifying information. However, several large districts still submit their data in self-generated files, and despite ongoing technical assistance, EED continue to struggle to get clean data submitted in this manner.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 18 | 11 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -7 |
| Percent Change |  | -39% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Arizona**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | 13 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | 23 |
| Senior High | 26 | \* | \* | 37 |
| Total | 39 | \* | \* | 73 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 31 | 42% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 42 | 58% |
|  | Total | 73 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 16 | 70% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 7 | 30% |
|  | Total | 23 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 11 | 35% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 20 | 65% |
|  | Total | 31 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 96% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 6% |

Continued next page

**Arizona (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 80 | 73 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -7 |
| Percent Change |  | -9% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Arkansas**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 16 | \* | \* | \* |
| Junior High | 10 | \* | 37 | \* |
| Senior High | 17 | \* | \* | 35 |
| Total | \* | \* | 66 | 116 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 116 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 5 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | 100% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 91% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 11% |

Continued next page

**Arkansas (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 58 | 116 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 58 |
| Percent Change |  | 100% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**California**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | 12 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | 34 |
| Senior High | 49 | 10 | 17 | 76 |
| Total | 79 | 10 | 33 | 122 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 7 | 6% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 115 | 94% |
|  | Total | 122 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 7 | 6% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 102 | 94% |
|  | Total | 109 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 7 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 5.1% |

Continued next page

**California (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 135 | 122 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -13 |
| Percent Change |  | -10% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Colorado**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Junior High | \* | \* | \* | 9 |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 10 | \* |
| Total | 22 | \* | \* | 51 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 29 | 57% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 22 | 43% |
|  | Total | 51 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 0% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 22 | 76% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 7 | 24% |
|  | Total | 29 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 12% |

Continued next page

**Colorado (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 41 | 51 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 10 |
| Percent Change |  | 24% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 3. The state believes that using the term "alternative placement" does not reflect actual practice. The state does not typically use the terminology. It is rare that Colorado districts do not continue to serve expelled students through other educational options, such as on-line coursework they can complete from home, provided the parents accept the alternatives. State law requires schools to offer alternative services to expelled students.

**Connecticut**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | \* | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | \* | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | 12 | \* | \* | \* |
| Total | 14 | \* | \* | 18 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 18 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 15 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 14 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 4% |

Continued next page

**Connecticut (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

The Connecticut State Department of Education collects all weapon offenses that occur both on and off school property regardless of whether the offense resulted in an in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension, or expulsion. When reporting weapon offenses, the schools must select from a list of options that include: knife with a 2 1/2" blade, handgun, rifle/shotgun, explosive device, other guns and other potentially dangerous weapon. The "other gun" category includes other guns such as pellet gun, paintball gun, and air gun. The "other potentially dangerous weapon" category includes all other weapons such as martial arts, scissors, razor blades, etc. To meet the reporting requirements of the *Gun-Free Schools Act*, only weapon offenses resulting in an expulsion involving a handgun, rifle/shotgun, and other firearm on school property or at a school-sponsored event were reported.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 13 | 18 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 5 |
| Percent Change |  | 38% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 10a. Connecticut state law under Sect. 10-233d states: Any pupil under sixteen years of age who is expelled shall be offered an alternative educational opportunity during the period of expulsion, provided any parent or guardian of such pupil who does not choose to have his or her child enrolled in an alternative program shall not be subject to the provisions of section 10-184. Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (d) of this section concerning the provisions of an alternative educational opportunity for pupils between the ages of sixteen and eighteen, local and regional boards of education shall not be required to offer such alternative to any pupil between the ages of sixteen and eighteen who is expelled because of conduct, which endangers persons if it is determined at the expulsion hearing that
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|  |
| --- |
| **Connecticut (continued)** |

the conduct for which the pupil is expelled involved (1) possession of a firearm, as defined in 18 USC 921, as amended from time to time, or deadly weapon, dangerous instrument or martial arts weapon, as defined in section 53a-3, on school property or at a school-sponsored activity or (2) offering for sale or distribution on school property or at a school-sponsored activity a controlled substance, as defined in subdivision (9) of section 21a-240, whose manufacture, distribution, sale, prescription, dispensing, transporting or possessing with the intent to sell or dispense, offering, or administration is subject to criminal penalties under sections 21a-277 and 21a-278.

Question 10b. State funds combined with funds from other sources are used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under *GFSA*.

**Delaware**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Total | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | 75% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | 25% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 0% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | 100% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 12.5% |
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**Delaware (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | \* | \* |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | \* |
| Percent Change |  | 100% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**District of Columbia**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Total | \* | 0 | \* | 7 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 7 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 0% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | 100% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 2.4% |
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**District of Columbia (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | \* | 7 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | \* |
| Percent Change |  | \* |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Florida**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Junior High | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Senior High | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Total | 127 | 6 | 11 | 144 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 92 | 64% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 52 | 36% |
|  | Total | 144 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 13 | 100% |
|  | Total | 13 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 19 | 21% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 73 | 79% |
|  | Total | 92 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 28% |
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**Florida (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

There were fifteen occurrences of districts experiencing anomalies that did not allow them to complete question #2. In these occurrences, the students were not expelled or modified, but were instead withdrawn from school by a parent or guardian prior to the expulsion process, or the cases are still pending.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 71 | 144 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 73 |
| Percent Change |  | 103% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Georgia**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Junior High | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Senior High | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Total | 123 | 20 | 0 | 143 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 72 | 50% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 71 | 50% |
|  | Total | 143 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 10 | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 10 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 42 | 58% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 30 | 42% |
|  | Total | 72 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 78% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 26% |
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**Georgia (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 137 | 143 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 6 |
| Percent Change |  | 4% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Hawaii**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | \* | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | \* | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 0 | \* |
| Total | \* | \* | 0 | \* |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | 0% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | 100% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 0% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 100% |
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**Hawaii (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | \* | \* |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | \* |
| Percent Change |  | -25% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Idaho**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| Total | \* | \* | \* | 6 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 6 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | 50% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | 50% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 5% |
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**Idaho (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 11 | 6 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -5 |
| Percent Change |  | -45% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Illinois**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Total | \* | 0 | \* | 30 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 7 | 23% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 23 | 77% |
|  | Total | 30 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 21 | 100% |
|  | Total | 21 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 7 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 1% |
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**Illinois (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 38 | 30 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -8 |
| Percent Change |  | -21% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Indiana**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | 10 | \* | \* | 15 |
| Total | 14 | \* | \* | 19 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 13 | 68% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 6 | 32% |
|  | Total | 19 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 50% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | 50% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 13 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 4% |

Continued next page

**Indiana (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 21 | 19 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -2 |
| Percent Change |  | -10% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Iowa**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Junior High | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Senior High | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Total | \* | \* | 0 | 10 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 5 | 50% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 5 | 50% |
|  | Total | 10 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 9 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 5 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 22% |

Continued next page

**Iowa (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 15 | 10 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -5 |
| Percent Change |  | -33% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Kansas**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Junior High | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Senior High | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Total | 200 | 66 | 20 | 286 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 17 | 6% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 269 | 94% |
|  | Total | 286 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 6 | 50% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 6 | 50% |
|  | Total | 12 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 6 | 35% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 11 | 65% |
|  | Total | 17 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 3.2% |
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**Kansas (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 25 | 286 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 261 |
| Percent Change |  | 1,044% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 10a. It is a local decision as to whether a district will provide services in an alternative setting for an expelled student. It is encouraged but not required.  
  
Question 10b. If a district chooses to provide services then state funds are made available from the district's state general fund, but that is a local decision.

**Kentucky**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | \* | \* |
| Junior High | 15 | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | 12 | \* | \* | 24 |
| Total | 27 | \* | \* | 49 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 49 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | 100% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 7.4% |
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**Kentucky (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

This includes only firearms data, not all weapons.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 23 | 49 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 26 |
| Percent Change |  | 113% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Louisiana**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | 12 | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | \* | 13 |
| Total | 28 | \* | \* | 33 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 33 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 24 | 100% |
|  | Total | 24 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | 100% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 99% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 12% |
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**Louisiana (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 40 | 33 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -7 |
| Percent Change |  | -18% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Maine**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | 0 | \* | 0 | \* |
| Total | \* | \* | 0 | \* |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | 75% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | 25% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 67% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | 33% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | 67% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | 33% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 2% |
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**Maine (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | \* | \* |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | \* |
| Percent Change |  | 33% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Maryland**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 0 | \* |
| Total | \* | \* | 0 | 34 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 14 | 41% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 20 | 59% |
|  | Total | 34 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 10 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 14 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 45.8% |
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**Maryland (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 26 | 34 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 8 |
| Percent Change |  | 31% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Massachusetts**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | 40 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | 62 |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 75 | 87 |
| Total | \* | \* | 173 | 189 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 163 | 86% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 26 | 14% |
|  | Total | 189 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 20 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 53 | 33% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 110 | 67% |
|  | Total | 163 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | NA |
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**Massachusetts (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 183 | 189 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 6 |
| Percent Change |  | 3% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Michigan**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | \* | 21 | 38 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | 16 |
| Senior High | \* | 0 | \* | 6 |
| Total | \* | \* | 33 | 60 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 15 | 25% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 45 | 75% |
|  | Total | 60 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 16 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 15 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 3.2% |

Continued next page

**Michigan (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 57 | 60 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 3 |
| Percent Change |  | 5% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Minnesota**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| Total | 12 | \* | \* | 19 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 19 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 25% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | 75% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 5 | 31% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 11 | 69% |
|  | Total | 16 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 1.9% |

Continued next page

**Minnesota (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 38 | 19 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -19 |
| Percent Change |  | -50% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 10a. Minnesota statute states that all students are excluded from school, through expulsion or out-of-school suspension for more than 5 days must be offered alternative education.

**Mississippi**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | 13 |
| Junior High | 9 | \* | \* | 16 |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 12 | 26 |
| Total | 26 | \* | \* | 55 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 42 | 76% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 13 | 24% |
|  | Total | 55 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 42 | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 42 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 18 | 43% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 24 | 57% |
|  | Total | 42 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 19% |

Continued next page

**Mississippi (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 86 | 55 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -31 |
| Percent Change |  | -36% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Missouri**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| Total | \* | \* | 5 | 9 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 9 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | 100% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 1.5% |
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**Missouri (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 71 | 9 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -62 |
| Percent Change |  | -87% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Montana**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | \* | \* |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | \* | 16 |
| Total | \* | \* | 13 | 25 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 25 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 6 | 27% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 16 | 73% |
|  | Total | 22 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 3.6% |
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**Montana (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 32 | 25 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -7 |
| Percent Change |  | -22% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Nebraska**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 0 | \* |
| Total | \* | \* | 0 | 8 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 8 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 6 | 100% |
|  | Total | 6 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | 100% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 4% |
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**Nebraska (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | NA |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 18 | 8 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -10 |
| Percent Change |  | -56% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Nevada**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Total | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 16 | 46% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 19 | 54% |
|  | Total | 35 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 14 | 47% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 16 | 53% |
|  | Total | 30 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 5 | 31% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 11 | 69% |
|  | Total | 16 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 100% |
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**Nevada (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 44 | 35 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -9 |
| Percent Change |  | -20% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**New Hampshire**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 0 | 8 |
| Total | \* | \* | 0 | 8 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 8 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | 100% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 9% |
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**New Hampshire (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | \* | 8 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | \* |
| Percent Change |  | \* |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**New Jersey**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Total | \* | 0 | \* | 21 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 21 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 16 | 100% |
|  | Total | 16 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | 100% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 1% |

Continued next page

**New Jersey (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

Comments not included in order to protect the confidentiality of students whose identity may otherwise have been compromised.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 13 | 21 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 8 |
| Percent Change |  | 62% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**New Mexico**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | 13 | \* | \* | 19 |
| Total | 24 | \* | \* | 32 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 26 | 81% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 6 | 19% |
|  | Total | 32 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0 |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 26 | 100% |
|  | Total | 26 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 16% |

Continued next page

**New Mexico (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

LEAs report all weapons incidents for state report. All reports needed to be verified as a "firearm" before this report could be made. A few incidents could not be verified at the school level. Generally, a combination of a new principal and poor comments on the report were the reasons. In those cases, a firearm was assumed. This may lead to a slightly higher number of incidents that NM reported.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 36 | 32 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -4 |
| Percent Change |  | -11% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**New York**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | 37 |
| Junior High | 12 | 0 | 66 | 78 |
| Senior High | \* | \* | \* | 77 |
| Total | \* | \* | 150 | 192 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 142 | 74% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 50 | 26% |
|  | Total | 192 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 15 | 65% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 8 | 35% |
|  | Total | 23 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 33 | 23% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 109 | 77% |
|  | Total | 142 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 10% |

Continued next page

**New York (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 136 | 192 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 56 |
| Percent Change |  | 41% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

The following assumptions were made about what constitutes an LEA: charter schools were treated as LEAs, and each Board of Cooperative Education Services (BOCES) was treated as one LEA with one senior high school.  
  
Question 7b. The denominator excludes a small number of schools that were open in 2005–06, but closed in 2006–07 (when the 2005–06 data collection took place).  
  
New York State law does not allow LEAs to expel students of mandatory school age, but students can receive long-term suspensions (of one year).

Continued next page

**New York (continued)**

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument (continued)**

New York State's data collection system for 2005–06 tallies the number of firearms incidents but does not allow the state to determine whether a student or someone else possessed the firearms at school. (That means that it is possible that in some of the firearms incidents a non-students may have possessed the firearms, in which case those individuals could not have been suspended.)  
  
New York's definition of "other firearms" is broader than the federal definition. The New York definition of "other firearms" includes BB guns, air guns, and stun guns among other things.  
  
For 2005–06, the state used a different definition of "firearms incident" than the federal government. In New York one firearm incident could involve more than one firearm. (If two people brought firearms and were caught together, it would be reported as a single incident.)

**North Carolina**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | 10 |
| Junior High | \* | \* | 17 | 27 |
| Senior High | 52 | \* | \* | 85 |
| Total | 66 | 17 | 39 | 122 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 91 | 75% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 31 | 25% |
|  | Total | 122 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 10 | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 10 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 27 | 32% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 58 | 68% |
|  | Total | 85 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 36.5% |
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**North Carolina (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 79 | 122 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 43 |
| Percent Change |  | 54% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**North Dakota**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Junior High | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Senior High | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Total | \* | \* | \* | 6 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 6 | 100% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 6 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 6 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 0% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 98% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 0% |
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**North Dakota (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

Sixty-two incidents did not have an incident code; therefore, the reason for removal from school could not be determined.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | \* | 6 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | \* |
| Percent Change |  | \* |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 2. \*

\*Comments were replaced with asterisk to protect confidentiality.**Ohio**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | 13 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | 55 |
| Senior High | 49 | 0 | 23 | 72 |
| Total | 101 | 0 | 39 | 140 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 32 | 23% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 108 | 77% |
|  | Total | 140 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 8 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 11 | 34% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 21 | 66% |
|  | Total | 32 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 4.03% |
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**Ohio (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

The Ohio Department of Education reports on the number of incidents, not students in this report.   
Figures include all firearms, ODE does not breakout firearms.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 117 | 140 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 23 |
| Percent Change |  | 20% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Oklahoma**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | 7 | 6 | 0 | 13 |
| Total | 16 | 6 | 0 | 22 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 8 | 36% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 14 | 64% |
|  | Total | 22 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 5 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 8 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 3.5% |
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**Oklahoma (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 8 | 22 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 14 |
| Percent Change |  | 175% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Oregon**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | 10 | \* | \* | 31 |
| Total | \* | \* | 37 | 54 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 32 | 59% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 22 | 41% |
|  | Total | 54 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 32 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 85.86% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | NA |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 13.64% |
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**Oregon (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 48 | 54 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 6 |
| Percent Change |  | 13% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 7b. At the time of the data collection Oregon did not have the ability to determine if LEAs either had no data to report or did not report.

**Pennsylvania**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Junior High | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Senior High | 15 | 11 | 0 | 26 |
| Total | 32 | 11 | 0 | 43 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 23 | 53% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 20 | 47% |
|  | Total | 43 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 16 | 57% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 12 | 43% |
|  | Total | 28 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 9 | 39% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 14 | 61% |
|  | Total | 23 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 98.35% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 3.21% |
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**Pennsylvania (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 49 | 43 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 6 |
| Percent Change |  | 12% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Puerto Rico**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | 50% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | 50% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | 100% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 100% |

Continued next page

**Puerto Rico (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | \* | \* |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 0 |
| Percent Change |  | 0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Rhode Island**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | \* | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | \* | 0 | 7 |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 0 | \* |
| Total | 7 | 6 | 0 | 13 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 13 | 100% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 13 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 0 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 6 | 46% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 7 | 54% |
|  | Total | 13 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 11.08% |

Continued next page

**Rhode Island (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

It is important to note that in Rhode Island, expulsion, the permanent removal from school, is not allowed in state law. Students may be given long-term suspensions, but there are no expulsions.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 8 | 13 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 5 |
| Percent Change |  | 63% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**South Carolina**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | \* | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | \* | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 0 | 22 |
| Total | 31 | 6 | 0 | 37 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 7 | 19% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 30 | 81% |
|  | Total | 37 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 7 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 7 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 27% |

Continued next page

**South Carolina (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 30 | 37 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 7 |
| Percent Change |  | 23% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**South Dakota**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | \* | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| Total | \* | \* | \* | 8 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 8 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 6 | 100% |
|  | Total | 6 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 3% |
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**South Dakota (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 7 | 8 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 1 |
| Percent Change |  | 14% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Tennessee**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Junior High | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Senior High | 63 | 13 | 0 | 76 |
| Total | 76 | 13 | 0 | 89 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 23 | 26% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 66 | 74% |
|  | Total | 89 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 29 | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 29 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 6 | 26% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 17 | 74% |
|  | Total | 23 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 18% |
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**Tennessee (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 61 | 89 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 28 |
| Percent Change |  | 46% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Texas**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Junior High | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Senior High | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Total | 122 | 58 | 10 | 190 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 66 | 35% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 124 | 65% |
|  | Total | 190 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 54 | 37% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 94 | 63% |
|  | Total | 148 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 9 | 14% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 57 | 86% |
|  | Total | 66 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 7.6% |
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**Texas (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 178 | 190 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 12 |
| Percent Change |  | 7% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 10a. Texas requires all students that are expelled in schools in counties with a population of more than 125,000 to enter a Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program (JJAEP) run cooperatively between the school districts and the County Juvenile Board. Counties with a population less than 125,000 may choose to have a JJAEP for students expelled from regular school classes (Texas Education Code Chapter 37 Section 37.011).

**Utah**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | \* | 19 | 28 |
| Junior High | \* | \* | 34 | 45 |
| Senior High | 21 | 7 | 29 | 57 |
| Total | 39 | 9 | 82 | 130 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 124 | 95% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 6 | 5% |
|  | Total | 130 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 34 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 6 | 5% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 118 | 95% |
|  | Total | 124 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 28% |

Continued next page

**Utah (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

The state is getting better at making sure all districts put a priority on reporting incidents. This reporting increase also contributes to the increase in number of incidents from previous years.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 101 | 130 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 29 |
| Percent Change |  | 29% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Vermont**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | \* | \* | 7 |
| Senior High | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| Total | 10 | \* | \* | 16 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | 0% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 16 | 100% |
|  | Total | 16 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 15% |
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**Vermont (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

The quality of the data depends upon school districts and LEAs accuracy in self reporting.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 9 | 16 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 7 |
| Percent Change |  | 78% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Virginia**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | \* | 13 | 15 |
| Junior High | \* | \* | 34 | 49 |
| Senior High | 23 | 8 | 26 | 57 |
| Total | 38 | 10 | 73 | 121 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 71 | 59% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 50 | 41% |
|  | Total | 121 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 19 | 50% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 19 | 50% |
|  | Total | 38 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 18 | 25% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 53 | 75% |
|  | Total | 71 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 33% |
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**Virginia (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 107 | 121 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 14 |
| Percent Change |  | 13% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

Question 10b. While no state funds have been designated specifically for those students who have been expelled under *GFSA*, state funds are provided to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings. These services are available for all students that are served by the alternative programs.

**Washington**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 6 | 0 | 10 | 16 |
| Junior High | 5 | 0 | 7 | 12 |
| Senior High | 19 | 11 | 18 | 48 |
| Total | 30 | 11 | 35 | 76 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 28 | 37% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 48 | 63% |
|  | Total | 76 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 6 | 55% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 5 | 45% |
|  | Total | 11 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 13 | 46% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 15 | 54% |
|  | Total | 28 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 16.4% |

Continued next page

**Washington (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 138 | 76 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -62 |
| Percent Change |  | -45% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

The online data collection and reporting system have improved for Washington due to a new grant.

**West Virginia**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | 0% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | NA |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 86% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | NA |

Continued next page

**West Virginia (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | NA |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | NA |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 12 | 0 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -12 |
| Percent Change |  | -100% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Wisconsin**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | \* | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 24 | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 20 | 35 |
| Total | \* | \* | 45 | 68 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 14 | 21% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 54 | 79% |
|  | Total | 68 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 6 | 46% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 7 | 54% |
|  | Total | 13 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 5 | 36% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 9 | 64% |
|  | Total | 14 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 5% |
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**Wisconsin (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 56 | 68 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 12 |
| Percent Change |  | 21% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Wyoming**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | 0 | \* | \* | \* |
| Total | \* | \* | 16 | 19 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 6 | 32% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 13 | 68% |
|  | Total | 19 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 8 | 100% |
|  | Total | 8 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 6 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 12.5% |
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**Wyoming (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | Yes, our state law has changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | NA |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | 23 | 19 |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | -4 |
| Percent Change |  | -17% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**American Samoa**

**2005–06 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | 0% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | 100% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 100% |
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**American Samoa (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | Yes, our state law has changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | NA |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2004–05 to 2005–06**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2004–05 | 2005–06 |
| Total number of expulsions | \* | \* |
| Change (2004–05 to 2005–06) |  | 0 |
| Percent Change |  | 0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Guam**

**2005–06 Data**

No Data Available.

**Northern Mariana Islands**

**2005–06 Data**

No Data Available.

**U.S. Virgin Islands**

**2005–06 Data**

No Data Available.

**State and Outlying Area Profiles,**

**School Year 2006–07**

The following profiles summarize the 2006–07 school year information on student expulsions by various categories submitted by the states, D.C., Puerto Rico, and the outlying areas at the request of the U.S. Department of Education. The data collection form, from which these profiles were developed, is located in Appendix B of this report. Questions 5 and 6 of the form are not included in this section because they refer to compliance-related information. The tables in the previous section were compiled from these singular state profiles.

In each state profile the confidentiality of students represented in any of the reported incidents is protected by replacing specific counts with asterisks in all cells containing fewer than five but greater than zero observations. In cases in which only one cell in a vector (i.e., row or column) has less than five but greater than zero observations, the cell in that vector with the next smallest count is replaced with an asterisk to make the vector indeterminate. Percentages or rates with a numerator less than five but greater than zero are also asterisked.

Note: The data set for the SY 2006–07 is incomplete; any missing data are indicated by the letters “NA.”

There was some inconsistency in the number of decimal places states chose to use in their responses to questions that needed to be answered with percentages. For consistency of presentation, answers where the hundredths place was used were rounded to tenths. All other answers where tenths or no decimal places were used were left unchanged.

In a few cases, inconsistencies in reporting occurred and the states were later unable to determine the correct data. The resulting data for some states show incongruous increases in gun activity in schools across years or contrary to what might reasonably be expected for their state. The data reported here is reflective of that reported by the states and reflects the best available data at that time.

Some states show a large percentage of LEAs reporting incidents of gun activity. This may reflect the small number of LEAs in that state. For example, a state reporting no incidents in 2005–06 and one incident in 2006–07 and having only one LEA would indicate that 100% of LEAs reported incidents and a 100% increase in incidents.

**Alabama**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Junior High | 9 | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 18 | 38 |
| Total | 30 | \* | \* | 61 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 42 | 69% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 19 | 31% |
|  | Total | 61 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 6 | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 6 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 6 | 14% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 36 | 86% |
|  | Total | 42 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 21% |
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**Alabama (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

Data is self-reported and collected at the local school level. Statewide, several hundred individuals perform this task and human error is possible as a result.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 55 | 61 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | 6 |
| Percent Change |  | 10.9% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Alaska**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | \* | \* | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | \* | 10 |
| Total | 9 | \* | \* | 13 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 6 | 46% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 7 | 54% |
|  | Total | 13 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 6 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 13% |
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**Alaska (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address LEAs providing educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 11 | 13 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | 2 |
| Percent Change | -- | 18.2% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Arizona**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | 23 | \* | \* | 30 |
| Total | 30 | \* | \* | 39 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 13 | 33% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 26 | 67% |
|  | Total | 39 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 9 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 5 | 38% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 8 | 62% |
|  | Total | 13 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 91% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 91% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 5.2% |
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**Arizona (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 73 | 39 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | -34 |
| Percent Change | -- | -46.6% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Arkansas**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | \* | 12 | 23 |
| Junior High | \* | \* | 32 | 45 |
| Senior High | 19 | 9 | 20 | 48 |
| Total | 40 | 12 | 64 | 116 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 5 | 4% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 111 | 96% |
|  | Total | 116 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 6 | 100% |
|  | Total | 6 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 5 | 100% |
|  | Total | 5 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 10.4% |

Continued next page

**Arkansas (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | NA |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | NA |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | NA |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 116 | 116 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | 0 |
| Percent Change | -- | 0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**California**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | 22 |
| Senior High | 61 | 8 | 11 | 80 |
| Total | 78 | 8 | 19 | 105 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 16 | 15% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 89 | 85% |
|  | Total | 105 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 16 | 18% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 75 | 82% |
|  | Total | 91 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 16 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 10.2% |

Continued next page

**California (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | NA |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 122 | 105 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | -17 |
| Percent Change | -- | -13.9% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Colorado**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | 19 | \* | \* | 32 |
| Total | 29 | \* | \* | 48 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 32 | 67% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 16 | 33% |
|  | Total | 48 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 12 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 25 | 78% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 7 | 22% |
|  | Total | 32 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 18.6% |
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**Colorado (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 51 | 48 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | -3 |
| Percent Change |  | -5.9% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Connecticut**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | \* | 0 | \* | 12 |
| Total | \* | 0 | \* | 12 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 12 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 12 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 8 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 4.3% |
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**Connecticut (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 18 | 12 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | -6 |
| Percent Change | -- | -33.3% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Delaware**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
| Junior High | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
| Senior High | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
| Total | NA | NA | NA | 0 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | 0% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 95% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 12.5% |
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**Delaware (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

Data is self-reported by LEAs.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | \* | 0 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | \* |
| Percent Change |  | \* |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**District of Columbia**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Total | \* | 0 | \* | 7 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 7 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | \* | \* |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 92% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 8% |

Continued next page

**District of Columbia (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | NA |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 7 | 7 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | 0 |
| Percent Change | -- | 0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Florida**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| Senior High | 53 | \* | \* | 73 |
| Total | 75 | 13 | 11 | 99 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 45 | 46% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 54 | 54% |
|  | Total | 99 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 16 | 33% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 32 | 67% |
|  | Total | 48 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 45 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 99% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 34% |
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**Florida (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 144 | 99 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | -45 |
| Percent Change | -- | -31.3% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Georgia**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | \* | 0 | 26 |
| Junior High | \* | \* | 0 | 33 |
| Senior High | 52 | 16 | 0 | 68 |
| Total | 109 | 18 | 0 | 127 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 40 | 31% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 87 | 69% |
|  | Total | 127 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 5 | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 5 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 17 | 43% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 23 | 57% |
|  | Total | 40 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 27.2% |
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**Georgia (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 143 | 127 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | -16 |
| Percent Change | -- | -11.2% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Hawaii**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 0 | \* |
| Total | \* | \* | 0 | \* |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 0% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | 100% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 100% |

Continued next page

**Hawaii (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | \* | \* |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | 0 |
| Percent Change | -- | 0.0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Idaho**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| Total | \* | \* | 6 | 13 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 13 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 8.3% |

Continued next page

**Idaho (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 6 | 13 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | 7 |
| Percent Change | -- | 116.7% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Illinois**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Junior High | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Senior High | \* | 0 | \* | 16 |
| Total | \* | 0 | \* | 39 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 11 | 28% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 28 | 72% |
|  | Total | 39 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 8 | 26% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 23 | 74% |
|  | Total | 31 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 6 | 55% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 5 | 45% |
|  | Total | 11 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 94% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 2.1% |

Continued next page

**Illinois (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

A small number of districts do not accept federal funds and do not file reports.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address using LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 30 | 39 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | 9 |
| Percent Change | -- | 30.0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Indiana**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Senior High | 26 | 7 | 0 | 33 |
| Total | 35 | 7 | 0 | 42 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 20 | 48% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 22 | 52% |
|  | Total | 42 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | NA |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 20 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 6.3% |
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**Indiana (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 19 | 42 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | 23 |
| Percent Change | -- | 121.1% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Iowa**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Junior High | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Senior High | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Total | NA | NA | NA | NA |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 0 | 0% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | 100% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 0% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 0 | 0% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 0.3% |

Continued next page

**Iowa (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

Incidents are not collected, so if there is more than one student involved in the same incident, they would be counted as separate incidents.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address LEAs providing educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 10 | \* |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | \* |
| Percent Change | -- | \* |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Kansas**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | \* | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 17 | 32 |
| Total | \* | \* | 21 | 39 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 7 | 18% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 32 | 82% |
|  | Total | 39 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 6 | 46% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 7 | 54% |
|  | Total | 13 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 7 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 84% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 4.3% |
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**Kansas (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | NA |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | NA |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 286 | 39 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | -247 |
| Percent Change | -- | -86% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None**Kentucky**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 12 | \* |
| Senior High | 24 | \* | \* | 30 |
| Total | 30 | \* | \* | 48 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 48 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 13% |
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**Kentucky (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

Kentucky’s data reported includes firearm-related incidents only, not all weapons.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 49 | 48 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | -1 |
| Percent Change | -- | -2.0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Louisiana**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | 33 |
| Junior High | 9 | 0 | 23 | 32 |
| Senior High | 22 | \* | \* | 38 |
| Total | \* | \* | 63 | 103 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 93 | 90% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 10 | 10% |
|  | Total | 103 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 40 | 85% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 7 | 15% |
|  | Total | 47 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 93 | 100% |
|  | Total | 93 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 40% |
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**Louisiana (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

28 of 69 LEAs reported data to the state for students for an offense related to firearms.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 33 | 103 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | 70 |
| Percent Change | -- | 212.1% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Maine**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| Total | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 6 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 74% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 1.7% |
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**Maine (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address LEAs providing educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | \* | 6 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | \* |
| Percent Change | -- | \* |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Maryland**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 0 | 20 |
| Total | \* | \* | 0 | 23 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 13 | 57% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 10 | 43% |
|  | Total | 23 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 5 | 38% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 8 | 62% |
|  | Total | 13 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 13 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 41.7% |
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**Maryland (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | NA |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | NA |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | NA |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 34 | 23 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | -11 |
| Percent Change | -- | -32.4% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Massachusetts**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | 32 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | 86 |
| Senior High | 7 | 0 | 65 | 72 |
| Total | 16 | 0 | 174 | 190 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 180 | 95% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 10 | 5% |
|  | Total | 190 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 32 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 82 | 46% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 98 | 54% |
|  | Total | 180 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 23.1% |

Continued next page

**Massachusetts (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address LEAs providing educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 227 | 190 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | -37 |
| Percent Change | -- | -16.3% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Michigan**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | NA | 0 | NA | NA |
| Junior High | NA | 0 | NA | NA |
| Senior High | NA | 0 | NA | NA |
| Total | 57 | 0 | 36 | 93 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 61 | 66% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 32 | 34% |
|  | Total | 93 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 21 | 34% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 40 | 66% |
|  | Total | 61 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 61 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 4.3% |

Continued next page

**Michigan (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 60 | 93 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | 33 |
| Percent Change | -- | 55.0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Minnesota**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Junior High | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Senior High | 15 | 16 | 0 | 31 |
| Total | 26 | 16 | 0 | 42 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 35 | 83.3% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 7 | 16.7% |
|  | Total | 42 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 15 | 68.2% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 7 | 31.8% |
|  | Total | 22 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 7 | 20.0% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | 28 | 80.0% |
|  | Total | 35 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 5.7% |
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**Minnesota (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 19 | 42 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | 23 |
| Percent Change | -- | 121.1% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Mississippi**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | 8 |
| Junior High | \* | \* | \* | 13 |
| Senior High | 19 | \* | \* | 28 |
| Total | 29 | 9 | 11 | 49 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 33 | 67% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 16 | 33% |
|  | Total | 49 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 33 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 21.7% |
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**Mississippi (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address LEAs providing educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 55 | 49 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | -6 |
| Percent Change | -- | -10.9% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Missouri**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | \* | \* | 6 |
| Senior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Total | 6 | \* | \* | 11 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 11 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 7 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 42.1% |
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**Missouri (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 9 | 11 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | 2 |
| Percent Change | -- | 22.2% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None**Montana**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Junior High | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Senior High | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Total | 7 | 12 | 13 | 32 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 32 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 17 | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 17 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 29 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 4.9% |
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**Montana (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

No adverse reporting circumstances.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 25 | 32 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | 7 |
| Percent Change | -- | 28.0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Nebraska**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 0 | \* |
| Total | \* | \* | 0 | 7 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 7 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 0 | 0% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | \* | 100% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 100% |
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**Nebraska (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 8 | 7 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | -1 |
| Percent Change |  | -13% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Nevada**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 0 | \* |
| Total | \* | \* | 0 | 30 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 10 | 33% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 20 | 67% |
|  | Total | 30 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 9 | 30% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 21 | 70% |
|  | Total | 30 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for nondisabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 10 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 18% |
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**Nevada (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 35 | 30 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | -5 |
| Percent Change |  | -14% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**New Hampshire**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 0 | \* |
| Total | \* | \* | 0 | \* |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | 100% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 0% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 0% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | NA |
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**New Hampshire (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | NA |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | NA |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | NA |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 8 | \* |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | \* |
| Percent Change |  | \* |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**New Jersey**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Total | \* | 0 | \* | 10 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 10 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | 100% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 1.6% |

Continued next page

**New Jersey (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

All incident data are collected through an internet-based Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System (EVVRS). Information on each incident contains data on the offense, the action taken, days suspended, and offender type (general or special education student). Each chief school administrator must verify, through his/her signature, that the data on the system are correct. All districts returned the Verification Form in 2006-2007.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 21 | 10 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | -11 |
| Percent Change |  | - 52% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**New Mexico**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | 6 | \* | \* | 11 |
| Total | 14 | \* | \* | 24 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 18 | 75% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 6 | 25% |
|  | Total | 24 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 5 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 18 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 62% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 26% |

Continued next page

**New Mexico (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

LEAs report all weapons incidents for the state report. All reports need to be verified before this report gets submitted. A few incidents could not be verified at the school level. Generally, a new principal, or poor comments on the reports [was or] were the reasons for this. In those cases a firearm was assumed. In addition, not all of our districts reported their data this year. We are looking [in]to reasons why this occurred. [Districts may have been confused by changes to the school report format.] While [New Mexico] generally says that the first issue leads to over-reporting of firearm incidents, [the second issue causes under-reporting and might balance the first].

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 32 | 24 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | -8 |
| Percent Change |  | - 25% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**New York**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 6 | 0 | 22 | 28 |
| Junior High | 11 | 0 | 36 | 47 |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 50 | 75 |
| Total | \* | \* | 108 | 150 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 97 | 65% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 53 | 35% |
|  | Total | 150 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 13 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 31 | 32% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | 66 | 68% |
|  | Total | 97 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 11% |
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**New York (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

For purposes of the *GFSA* report, New York treated charter schools as LEAs and treated Boards of Cooperative Education Services (BOCES) as one LEA with one senior high school. For question 7b, the denominator excludes a small number of schools that were open in 2006-2007 but closed in 2007-2008 when the 2006-2007 data collection took place. New York state law does not allow LEAs to expel students of compulsory school age, but students can receive long-term suspension of one year. New York state's data collection system for 2006-2007 tallies the number of firearms incidents but does not allow us to determine whether a student or someone else possessed the firearms at school. This means that it is possible that in some of the firearms incidents a non-student may have possessed the firearms, in which cases those individuals would not have been suspended. New York's definition of other firearms is broader than the federal definition. The New York definition of "other firearms" includes BB guns, air guns, and stunguns among other things. For 2006-2007 in New York, one firearm incident could involve more than one firearm. If two people brought firearms and were caught together, it would be reported as a single incident with multiple firearms. Two other exceptions help to explain why the number of suspensions may not align with the number of handguns, rifles or other firearms: The first is when a firearm is found on school grounds and the perpetrator is not known. The second is when a student is arrested and never returns to school because they are incarcerated. Some of these students do not attend a Supt. hearing for formal suspension. Note: a ratio of 150:236, or the ratio of “expulsions” to “incidents” was used to lower the number of incidents to 150, because New York was unable to back out the incidents that should not have been counted by federal rules.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 192 | 150 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | -42 |
| Percent Change |  | - 22% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None**North Carolina**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | 18 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | 46 |
| Senior High | 24 | 6 | 67 | 97 |
| Total | 36 | 6 | 119 | 161 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 129 | 80% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 32 | 20% |
|  | Total | 161 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 14 | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 14 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 38 | 29% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | 91 | 71% |
|  | Total | 129 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 43.5% |
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**North Carolina (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services in an alternative setting |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 122 | 161 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | 39 |
| Percent Change |  | 32% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**North Dakota**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 0 | \* |
| Total | \* | \* | \* | 7 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 7 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | NA | NA |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | NA | NA |
|  | Total | NA | NA |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | 100% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 82% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 74% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 3.6% |
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**North Dakota (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

The state of North Dakota does not have a specific definition for elementary, middle and high schools. The Suspension, Expulsion, Truancy reporting form requires schools to report the grade the perpetrator was in when the incident occurred. North Dakota is able to run queries by specific grade; however, when asked to report the number of LEAs reporting, North Dakota can only count the number of schools who reported an incident, not the total number of schools in a specific grade grouping (e.g. grade 6-8) who completed reports. Since many schools have no incidents to report, the total number of LEAs reporting would not be accurate. To accurately reflect schools that report incidents and those who have no incidents to report, we simply report state totals. The total number of LEA reports is 425.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 6 | 7 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | 1 |
| Percent Change |  | 17% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Ohio**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | 11 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | 60 |
| Senior High | 76 | 0 | 15 | 91 |
| Total | 137 | 0 | 25 | 162 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 12 | 7% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 150 | 93% |
|  | Total | 162 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 7 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 12 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 5.7% |
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**Ohio (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

ODE does not collect or breakdown the gun types used (example, hand gun, rifle, or other).

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not address encouraging LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 140 | 162 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | 22 |
| Percent Change |  | 16% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Oklahoma**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| Junior High | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| Total | 9 | \* | \* | 14 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 14 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 11 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 2.2% |
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**Oklahoma (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

The definition of “other firearms” needs to be clearer, such as; projectiles, starter guns, etc. Also, counting multiple weapons as one expulsion on one student is confusing. One student might have had more than one firearm.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 22 | 14 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | - 8 |
| Percent Change |  | - 36.4% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Oregon**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Junior High | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 16 | 26 |
| Total | \* | \* | 33 | 52 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 26 | 50% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 26 | 50% |
|  | Total | 52 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 16 | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 16 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | NA | NA |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | NA | NA |
|  | Total | 26 | 100 |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 10.8% |

Continued next page

**Oregon (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

For the 2005–06 report, Oregon's electronic data collection system did not have the ability to determine if LEAs had no data to report or did not report. A two year process was put into place to update Oregon's data collection system. For this year's (2006–07) report, LEAs that did not report data were contacted by phone to confirm that they had no data. For next year’s (2007–08) report, Oregon's electronic data collection system will require all LEAs to enter data.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 54 | 52 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | - 2 |
| Percent Change |  | - 4% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Pennsylvania**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | 18 | \* | \* | 28 |
| Total | 24 | \* | \* | 35 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 35 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 10 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | 100% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 100% |

Continued next page

**Pennsylvania (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | NA |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | NA |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 43 | 35 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | -8 |
| Percent Change |  | -18.6% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Puerto Rico**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Junior High | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Senior High | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Total | \* | 0 | \* | 12 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 12 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 12 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 11 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | MD |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 100% |

Continued next page

**Puerto Rico (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | NA |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | NA |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | NA |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | \* | 12 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | \* |
| Percent Change |  | \* |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None**Rhode Island**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Total | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | 100% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* |  |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 5 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 8.8% |
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**Rhode Island (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages and requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 13 | 5 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | - 8 |
| Percent Change |  | - 60% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None**South Carolina**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 0 | 43 |
| Total | \* | \* | 0 | 52 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 13 | 25% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 39 | 75% |
|  | Total | 52 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 13 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 99% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 24% |
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**South Carolina (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

One LEA failed to report.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 37 | 52 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | 15 |
| Percent Change |  | 41% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None**South Dakota**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| Total | \* | \* | 6 | 13 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 13 | 100% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 0 | 0% |
|  | Total | 13 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 12 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 5 | 38% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | 8 | 62% |
|  | Total | 13 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | NA |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 99% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | NA |
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**South Dakota (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 8 | 13 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | 5 |
| Percent Change |  | 62.5% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Tennessee**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Junior High | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Senior High | 54 | 11 | 0 | 65 |
| Total | 82 | 11 | 0 | 93 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 72 | 77% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 21 | 23% |
|  | Total | 93 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 6 | 11% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 47 | 89% |
|  | Total | 53 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | NA | NA |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | NA | NA |
|  | Total | 72 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 23% |
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**Tennessee (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 89 | 93 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | 4 |
| Percent Change |  | 5% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Texas**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | \* | \* | 11 |
| Junior High | \* | \* | \* | 26 |
| Senior High | 61 | \* | \* | 102 |
| Total | 96 | \* | \* | 139 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 42 | 30% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 97 | 70% |
|  | Total | 139 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 39 | 39% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 60 | 61% |
|  | Total | 99 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 10 | 24% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | 32 | 76% |
|  | Total | 42 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 96% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 99% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 6.2% |
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**Texas (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

Data is inclusive only of firearm incidents.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 190 | 139 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) | -- | -51 |
| Percent Change | -- | -27% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

State funds are provided to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*.

**Utah**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | \* | 23 | 41 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | 23 |
| Senior High | 13 | \* | \* | 26 |
| Total | \* | \* | 48 | 90 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 90 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 26 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 5 | 6% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | 82 | 94% |
|  | Total | 87 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 93% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 85% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 20% |
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**Utah (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 130 | 90 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | - 40 |
| Percent Change |  | - 31% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Vermont**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | \* | \* | 6 |
| Junior High | \* | \* | \* | 7 |
| Senior High | \* | \* | \* | 8 |
| Total | \* | \* | 13 | 21 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 21 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 5 | 28% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | 13 | 72% |
|  | Total | 18 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 5.8% |

Continued next page

**Vermont (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

The quality or the data reported herein is dependent upon the accuracy of LEA self-reporting.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 16 | 21 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | 5 |
| Percent Change |  | 31% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Virginia**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | 34 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | 31 |
| Senior High | 28 | 8 | 18 | 54 |
| Total | 42 | 8 | 69 | 119 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 76 | 64% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 43 | 36% |
|  | Total | 119 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 14 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 17 | 22% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | 59 | 78% |
|  | Total | 76 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 32% |

Continued next page

**Virginia (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encouragesLEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 121 | 119 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | - 2 |
| Percent Change |  | - 2% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Washington**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | \* | 9 |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | 14 |
| Senior High | 32 | 9 | 11 | 52 |
| Total | 41 | 9 | 25 | 75 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 35 | 47% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 40 | 53% |
|  | Total | 75 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 9 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | 19 | 54% |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | 16 | 46% |
|  | Total | 35 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 97% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 13% |

Continued next page

**Washington (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 76 | 75 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | -1 |
| Percent Change |  | -1.3% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**West Virginia**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | \* | \* |
| Total | \* | \* | \* | 18 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 18 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | \* | \* |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | \* |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 16 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 100% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 75.4% |

Continued next page

**West Virginia (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | NA |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | NA |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | NA |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 0 | 18 |
| Change (2003–04 to 2004–05) |  | 18 |
| Percent Change |  | 100% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Wisconsin**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | \* | 0 | 0 | \* |
| Junior High | \* | 0 | \* | \* |
| Senior High | 6 | \* | \* | 23 |
| Total | \* | \* | 13 | 27 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | 7 | 27% |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | 20 | 73% |
|  | Total | 27 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 6 | 46% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | 7 | 54% |
|  | Total | 13 | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | \* | \* |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 7 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | NA |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 6.4% |

Continued next page

**Wisconsin (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

\* Comments were not reported in order to protect the confidentiality of students whose identity may otherwise have been compromised.

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law does not adddress encouraging LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | No, state funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 68 | 27 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | - 41 |
| Percent Change |  | - 60.0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**Wyoming**

**2006–07 Data**

**Question 1. Firearms Incidents**

1a. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm in school

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles or Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | \* | \* | \* |
| Senior High | \* | \* | 0 | \* |
| Total | \* | 5 | \* | 12 |

**Question 2. Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 2a. | Number of expulsions modified | \* | \* |
| 2b. | Number of expulsions not modified | \* | \* |
|  | Total | 12 | 100% |

**Question 3. Alternative Placements**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 3a. | Number of alternative placements among students with modified expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3b. | Number of alternative placements among students with non-modified expulsions | \* | 100% |
|  | Total | \* | 100% |

**Question 4. Disability Status of Students with Modified Expulsions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| 4a. | Number of modified expulsions for disabled students | NA | NA |
| 4b. | Number of modified expulsions for non-disabled students | NA | NA |
|  | Total | 10 | 100% |

**Question 7. *GFSA* Submission**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Percent |
| 7a. | Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the state | | 100% |
| 7b. | Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs | | 14% |
| 7c. | Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense | | 100% |

Continued next page

**Wyoming (continued)**

**Question 8. Data Quality**

Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted

None

**Question 9. *GFSA-*Related State Law**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 9. | Has your state law related to *GFSA* changed in the past 12 months? | No, our state law has not changed in the past 12 months. |

**Question 10. Alternative Settings**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Response |
| 10a. | How does your state law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting? | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| 10b. | Are any state funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*? | Yes, state funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2005–06 to 2006–07**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 |
| Total number of expulsions | 19 | 12 |
| Change (2005–06 to 2006–07) |  | - 7 |
| Percent Change |  | - 37 % |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument**

None

**American Samoa**

**2006–07 Data**

No Data Available.

**Guam**

**2006–07 Data**

No Data Available.

**Northern Mariana Islands**

**2006–07 Data**

No Data Available.

**U.S. Virgin Islands**

**2006–07 Data**

No Data Available.

**Appendix A**

The *Gun-Free Schools Act of 1994,* reauthorized by Sec. 4141 of the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965,* as amended

**SEC. 4141. GUN-FREE REQUIREMENTS.**

(a) SHORT TITLE- This subpart may be cited as the Gun-Free Schools Act.

(b) REQUIREMENTS-

(1) IN GENERAL- Each State receiving Federal funds under any title of this Act shall have in effect a State law requiring local educational agencies to expel from school for a period of not less than 1 year a student who is determined to have brought a firearm to a school, or to have possessed a firearm at a school, under the jurisdiction of local educational agencies in that State, except that such State law shall allow the chief administering officer of a local educational agency to modify such expulsion requirement for a student on a case-by-case basis if such modification is in writing.

(2) CONSTRUCTION- Nothing in this subpart shall be construed to prevent a State from allowing a local educational agency that has expelled a student from such a student's regular school setting from providing educational services to such student in an alternative setting.

(3) DEFINITION- For the purpose of this section, the term ‘firearm' has the same meaning given such term in section 921(a) of title 18, United States Code.

(c) SPECIAL RULE- The provisions of this section shall be construed in a manner consistent with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

(d) REPORT TO STATE- Each local educational agency requesting assistance from the State educational agency that is to be provided from funds made available to the State under any title of this Act shall provide to the State, in the application requesting such assistance-

(1) an assurance that such local educational agency is in compliance with the State law required by subsection (b); and

(2) a description of the circumstances surrounding any expulsions imposed under the State law required by subsection (b), including-

(A) the name of the school concerned;

(B) the number of students expelled from such school; and

(C) the type of firearms concerned.

(e) REPORTING- Each State shall report the information described in subsection (d) to the Secretary on an annual basis.

(f) DEFINITION- For the purpose of subsection (d), the term 'school' means any setting that is under the control and supervision of the local educational agency for the purpose of student activities approved and authorized by the local educational agency.

(g) EXCEPTION- Nothing in this section shall apply to a firearm that is lawfully stored inside a locked vehicle on school property, or if it is for activities approved and authorized by the local educational agency and the local educational agency adopts appropriate safeguards to ensure student safety.

(h) POLICY REGARDING CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM REFERRAL-

(1) IN GENERAL- No funds shall be made available under any title of this Act to any local educational agency unless such agency has a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm or weapon to a school served by such agency.

(2) DEFINITION- For the purpose of this subsection, the term 'firearm' and 'school' has the same meaning given to such term by section 921(a) of title 18, United States Code.

**Appendix B**

The 2005–06 *GFSA* Data Collection Instruments for States,   
D.C., Puerto Rico, and the Outlying Areas

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT (ESEA), TITLE IV, PART A, Subpart 3, as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001  GUN-FREE SCHOOLS ACT REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2005-2006 | FORM APPROVED  OMB #: 1865-0002  Expiration Date:1/31/2009 |
| According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1865-0002. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 8 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. **If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate or suggestions for improving this form, please write to:** U.S. Department of Education, Washington, DC 20202-4651. **If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to:** Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20202-6450. | |

|  |
| --- |
| RESPONDENT INFORMATION |
| **State Name:** |
| Name of Agency Responding: |
| Name and Title of Individual Completing this Report: |
|  |
|  |
| Mailing Address: |
|  |
| E-Mail Address: |
| Telephone and Fax Number of Individual Completing this Report: |
| Phone: Fax: |

GUN-FREE SCHOOLS ACT REPORT

**INTRODUCTION**

The *Gun-Free Schools Act* (*GFSA*), Part A, Subpart 3, under Title IV of the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)* of 1965, as amended (20 U.S.C. 7151) requires that each State have in effect a State law requiring local educational agencies (LEAs) to expel from school for a period of not less than one year a student found to have brought a firearm to school, or to have possessed a firearm at school. In addition, under the *GFSA*, LEAs receiving *ESEA* funds must adopt a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm to school or possesses a firearm at school.

Each State’s law also must allow the chief administering officer of the LEA to modify the expulsion requirement on a case-by-case basis, in writing. The *GFSA* also states that nothing in the *GFSA* shall be construed to prevent a State from allowing a local educational agency that has expelled a student from such student’s regular school setting from providing educational services to that student in an alternative setting.

The *GFSA* also requires States to provide annual reports to the Secretary of Education concerning implementation of the Act’s requirements.

**PLEASE USE THE ATTACHED FORM TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE *GFSA*.**

|  |
| --- |
| **GENERAL DIRECTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT** |
| 1. The time period covered by this report is the 2004–05 school year.  2. Please complete this entire form. If questions are left blank, we will not be able to interpret the results and will have to follow up with a phone call. If a response to a question is “0” or “none,” be sure to enter “0” or “none.” If information is not available, please indicate by using the following abbreviation: MD = Missing Data  3. Please retain a copy of the completed form for your files so that you will have a copy on hand to refer to if we have questions about your responses.  4. **Please complete the attached form and mail no later than April 17, 2006** to:  Westat  1650 Research Boulevard, Room RA 1245  Rockville, MD 20850  If questions arise about completing any of the items on the attached form, please do not hesitate to contact the Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools at (202) 260-3954 for clarification. |

|  |
| --- |
| **ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS** |
| LEA Local Educational Agency  *GFSA Gun-Free Schools Act*  *IDEA Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*  *ESEA Elementary and Secondary Education Act*  Elementary school A school classified as elementary by state and local practice and composed of any span of grades not above Grade 6. Combined elementary/junior high schools are considered junior high schools and combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are classified as high schools for this report.  Junior high school A separately organized and administered school intermediate between elementary and senior high schools, which might also be called a middle school, usually includes Grades 7, 8, and 9; Grade 7 and 8; or Grades 6, 7, and 8. Combined elementary/junior high schools are considered junior high schools for this report; junior/senior high school combinations are defined as senior high schools.  Senior high school A school offering the final years of school work necessary for graduation, usually including Grades 10, 11, and 12; or Grades 9, 10, 11, and 12. Combined junior and senior high schools are classified as high schools for this form; combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are classified as high schools.  Other firearms Firearms other than handguns, rifles or shotguns as defined in 18 USC 921. According to Section 921, the following are included within the definition: (Note: This definition does not apply to items such as toy guns, cap guns, bb guns, and pellet guns)  -- any weapon (including a starter gun) which will or is designed to or may readily be converted to expel a projectile by the action of any explosive;  -- the frame or receiver of any weapon described above;  -- any firearm muffler or firearm silencer;  -- any destructive device, which includes:  (a) any explosive, incendiary, or poison gas   1. Bomb; 2. Grenade, 3. Rocket having a propellant charge of more than four ounces, 4. Missile having an explosive or incendiary charge of more than one-quarter ounce, 5. Mine, or 6. Similar device   (b) any weapon which will, or which may be readily converted to, expel a projectile by the action of an explosive or other propellant, and which has any barrel with a bore of more than one-half inch in diameter  (c) any combination or parts either designed or intended for use in converting any device into any destructive device described in the two immediately preceding examples, and from which a destructive device may be readily assembled. |

**1. FIREARMS INCIDENTS**

**a. Please indicate the number of students in your State who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm at school. Include all infractions in your answer.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| Junior High School |  |  |  |  |
| Senior High School |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

*Notes: Any student found to have brought a firearm (meeting the definition at 18 U.S.C. 921) to school or possessed a firearm at school should be reported as an infraction, even if the expulsion is shortened or no penalty is imposed. Any incidents in which a student covered by the provisions of IDEA brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm at school should also be included, even if it is determined that the incident is a manifestation of the student’s disability. Modifications of the one-year expulsion requirement should also be reported in Question 2 of this report.*

*If a single student is found to have brought or possessed more than one firearm, report the student as a single incident. A note that explains the circumstances surrounding the incident, including the types of firearms that were removed from the student should be described in the data caveat section of this report.*

*If the same student is involved in more than one incident that involves bringing or possessing a firearm, each incident would be counted as one incident. A note explaining the circumstances surrounding the incident, including information about the disposition of that student, should be described in the data caveat section of this report.*

**2. MODIFIED EXPULSIONS**

**How many of the incidences reported in Item 1 were shortened to a term of less than one year by the chief administering officer of an LEA under the case-by-case modification provisions of Section 4141(b)(1) of the GFSA?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Number** |
| **a. Modified Expulsions:** |  |
| **b. Expulsion Not Modified:** |  |
| **Total:** |  |

*Note: The total figure shown in the table above should EQUAL the total number of expulsions reported in Item 1a.*

**3. ALTERNATIVE PLACEMENTS**

**How many of the incidences reported in Items 2a and Item 2b resulted in a referral of the student to an alternative school or program?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Number of Alternative Placements** |
| **a. Among students with MODIFIED expulsions:** |  |
| **b. Among students with expulsions NOT MODIFIED:** |  |
| **Total:** |  |

1. **STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES**

**How many of the modifications reported in Item 2a were for students with and without disabilities as defined in Section 602(a)(1) of the IDEA (see below)?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Number of Modifications** |
| **a. Student Disabled:** |  |
| **b. Student Not Disabled:** |  |
| **Total:** |  |

*Notes: The total figure shown in the table above should EQUAL the total number of modified expulsions reported in Item 2a.*

*The GFSA explicitly states that the Act must be construed in a manner consistent with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Compliance with the GFSA can be achieved consistent with the IDEA as long as discipline of such students is determined on a case-by-case basis under the GFSA provision that permits modification of the expulsion requirement on a case-by-case basis. A student with a disability who brings a firearm to school, or possesses a firearm at school, may be removed from school for ten school days or less, and in accordance with State law, placed in an interim alternative educational setting that is determined by the student’s individualized education program team, for up to 45 calendar days. If the student’s parents initiate due process proceedings under the IDEA, the student must remain in that interim alternative educational setting during authorized review proceedings, unless the parents and school district can agree on a different placement. Before an expulsion can occur, the IDEA requires a determination by a group of persons knowledgeable about the student on whether the bringing of a firearm to school, or the possession of a firearm at school, was a manifestation of the student’s disability. A student with a disability may be expelled only if this group of persons determines that the bringing of a firearm to school, or the possession of a firearm at school, was not a manifestation of the student’s disability, and the school follows applicable IDEA procedural safeguards before the expulsion occurs. Under IDEA, students with disabilities who are expelled in accordance with these conditions must continue to receive educational services during the expulsion period. Under Section 602 (a)(1) of the IDEA, the term “children with disabilities” is defined as:*

*children --*

(i) with mental retardation, hearing impairments including deafness, speech or language impairments, visual impairments, including blindness, serious emotional disturbance, orthopedic impairments, autism, traumatic brain injury, other health impairments, or specific learning disabilities; and

*(ii) who, by reason thereof, need special education and related services.*

LEA COMPLIANCE WITH GFSA

**5.** List the name and address of each LEA that has not provided an assurance that it is in compliance with the State law that requires that a student who brings a firearm to school, or possesses a firearm at school, be expelled for one year. *(If all LEAs have provided the necessary assurance, please indicate “none” in response to this item.)*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

(Attach a separate sheet if more space is required to list LEAs.)

**6.** List the name and address of each LEA that has not provided an assurance that it is in compliance with the requirement in Section 4141(h) that an LEA receiving *ESEA* funds have in place a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm to a school, or possesses a firearm at school. *(If all LEAs have provided the necessary assurance, please indicate “none” in response to this item.)*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

(Attach a separate sheet if more space is required to list LEAs.)

**7. a.** Please indicate the percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State in response to this annual data collection.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State:** |  |

1. Of the LEAs that submitted a GFSA report, what proportion of schools in those districts provided GFSA data to their LEAs?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Percentage of schools that submitted GFSA data to their LEAs:** |  |

1. Of those LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State, what percentage had reported one or more students for an offense under the GFSA related to firearms (as defined by Title 18 U.S.C.921)?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense:** |  |

1. If applicable, please provide information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted to us. What information can the State share with us that will help us to more accurately interpret the data submitted on this GFSA report form (e.g., fewer than 100 LEAs responded to the State; figures reported included all weapons, not only firearms)?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**STATE COMPLIANCE WITH GFSA**

1. Please indicate whether your State law related to GFSA has changed in the past 12 months.

* Yes, our State law has changed in the past 12 months. If “yes”, please attach a brief description of the changes or provide a copy of the new/revised statute.
* No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months.

**10.a.** How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?

* State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting.
* State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting.
* State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting.

**b.** Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?

* Yes, State funds are provided.
* No, State funds are not provided

**Appendix C**

The 2006–07 *GFSA* Data Collection Instruments for States,   
D.C., Puerto Rico, and the Outlying Areas

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT (ESEA), TITLE IV, PART A, Subpart 3, as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001  GUN-FREE SCHOOLS ACT REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2006-2007 | FORM APPROVED  OMB #: 1865-0002  Expiration Date:1/31/2009 |
| According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1865-0002. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 8 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. **If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate or suggestions for improving this form, please write to:** U.S. Department of Education, Washington, DC 20202-4651. **If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to:** Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20202-6450. | |

|  |
| --- |
| RESPONDENT INFORMATION |
| **State Name:** |
| Name of Agency Responding: |
| Name and Title of Individual Completing this Report: |
|  |
|  |
| Mailing Address: |
|  |
| E-Mail Address: |
| Telephone and Fax Number of Individual Completing this Report: |
| Phone: Fax: |

GUN-FREE SCHOOLS ACT REPORT

**INTRODUCTION**

The *Gun-Free Schools Act (GFSA)*, Part A, Subpart 3, under Title IV of the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)* of 1965, as amended (20 U.S.C. 7151) requires that each State have in effect a State law requiring local educational agencies (LEAs) to expel from school for a period of not less than one year a student found to have brought a firearm to school, or to have possessed a firearm at school. In addition, under the *GFSA*, LEAs receiving *ESEA* funds must adopt a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm to school or possesses a firearm at school.

Each State’s law also must allow the chief administering officer of the LEA to modify the expulsion requirement on a case-by-case basis, in writing. The *GFSA* also states that nothing in the *GFSA* shall be construed to prevent a State from allowing a local educational agency that has expelled a student from such student’s regular school setting from providing educational services to that student in an alternative setting.

The *GFSA* also requires States to provide annual reports to the Secretary of Education concerning implementation of the Act’s requirements.

**PLEASE USE THE ATTACHED FORM TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE *GFSA*.**

|  |
| --- |
| **GENERAL DIRECTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT** |
| 1. The time period covered by this report is the 2005–06 school year.  2. Please complete this entire form. If questions are left blank, we will not be able to interpret the results and will have to follow up with a phone call. If a response to a question is “0” or “none,” be sure to enter “0” or “none.” If information is not available, please indicate by using the following abbreviation: MD = Missing Data  3. Please retain a copy of the completed form for your files so that you will have a copy on hand to refer to if we have questions about your responses.  4. **Please complete the attached form and mail no later than April 17, 2006** to:  Westat  1650 Research Boulevard, Room RA 1245  Rockville, MD 20850  If questions arise about completing any of the items on the attached form, please do not hesitate to contact the Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools at (202) 260-3954 for clarification. |

|  |
| --- |
| **ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS** |
| LEA Local Educational Agency  *GFSA Gun-Free Schools Act*  *IDEA Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*  *ESEA Elementary and Secondary Education Act*  Elementary school A school classified as elementary by state and local practice and composed of any span of grades not above Grade 6. Combined elementary/junior high schools are considered junior high schools and combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are classified as high schools for this report.  Junior high school A separately organized and administered school intermediate between elementary and senior high schools, which might also be called a middle school, usually includes Grades 7, 8, and 9; Grade 7 and 8; or Grades 6, 7, and 8. Combined elementary/junior high schools are considered junior high schools for this report; junior/senior high school combinations are defined as senior high schools.  Senior high school A school offering the final years of school work necessary for graduation, usually including Grades 10, 11, and 12; or Grades 9, 10, 11, and 12. Combined junior and senior high schools are classified as high schools for this form; combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are classified as high schools.  Other firearms Firearms other than handguns, rifles or shotguns as defined in 18 USC 921. According to Section 921, the following are included within the definition: (Note: This definition does not apply to items such as toy guns, cap guns, bb guns, and pellet guns)  -- any weapon (including a starter gun) which will or is designed to or may readily be converted to expel a projectile by the action of any explosive;  -- the frame or receiver of any weapon described above;  -- any firearm muffler or firearm silencer;  -- any destructive device, which includes:  (a) any explosive, incendiary, or poison gas   1. Bomb; 2. Grenade, 3. Rocket having a propellant charge of more than four ounces, 4. Missile having an explosive or incendiary charge of more than one-quarter ounce, 5. Mine, or 6. Similar device   (b) any weapon which will, or which may be readily converted to, expel a projectile by the action of an explosive or other propellant, and which has any barrel with a bore of more than one-half inch in diameter  (c) any combination or parts either designed or intended for use in converting any device into any destructive device described in the two immediately preceding examples, and from which a destructive device may be readily assembled. |

**1. FIREARMS INCIDENTS**

**a. Please indicate the number of students in your State who were found to have brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm at school. Include all infractions in your answer.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| Junior High School |  |  |  |  |
| Senior High School |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

*Notes: Any student found to have brought a firearm (meeting the definition at 18 U.S.C. 921) to school or possessed a firearm at school should be reported as an infraction, even if the expulsion is shortened or no penalty is imposed. Any incidents in which a student covered by the provisions of IDEA brought a firearm to school or possessed a firearm at school should also be included, even if it is determined that the incident is a manifestation of the student’s disability. Modifications of the one-year expulsion requirement should also be reported in Question 2 of this report.*

*If a single student is found to have brought or possessed more than one firearm, report the student as a single incident. A note that explains the circumstances surrounding the incident, including the types of firearms that were removed from the student should be described in the data caveat section of this report.*

*If the same student is involved in more than one incident that involves bringing or possessing a firearm, each incident would be counted as one incident. A note explaining the circumstances surrounding the incident, including information about the disposition of that student, should be described in the data caveat section of this report.*

**2. MODIFIED EXPULSIONS**

**How many of the incidences reported in Item 1 were shortened to a term of less than one year by the chief administering officer of an LEA under the case-by-case modification provisions of Section 4141(b)(1) of the *GFSA*?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Number** |
| **a. Modified Expulsions:** |  |
| **b. Expulsion Not Modified:** |  |
| **Total:** |  |

*Note: The total figure shown in the table above should EQUAL the total number of expulsions reported in Item 1a.*

**3. ALTERNATIVE PLACEMENTS**

**How many of the incidences reported in Items 2a and Item 2b resulted in a referral of the student to an alternative school or program?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Number of Alternative Placements** |
| **a. Among students with MODIFIED expulsions:** |  |
| **b. Among students with expulsions NOT MODIFIED:** |  |
| **Total:** |  |

1. **STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES**

**How many of the modifications reported in Item 2a were for students with and without disabilities as defined in Section 602(a)(1) of the IDEA (see below)?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Number of Modifications** |
| **a. Student Disabled:** |  |
| **b. Student Not Disabled:** |  |
| **Total:** |  |

*Notes: The total figure shown in the table above should EQUAL the total number of modified expulsions reported in Item 2a.*

*The GFSA explicitly states that the Act must be construed in a manner consistent with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Compliance with the GFSA can be achieved consistent with the IDEA as long as discipline of such students is determined on a case-by-case basis under the GFSA provision that permits modification of the expulsion requirement on a case-by-case basis. A student with a disability who brings a firearm to school, or possesses a firearm at school, may be removed from school for ten school days or less, and in accordance with State law, placed in an interim alternative educational setting that is determined by the student’s individualized education program team, for up to 45 calendar days. If the student’s parents initiate due process proceedings under the IDEA, the student must remain in that interim alternative educational setting during authorized review proceedings, unless the parents and school district can agree on a different placement. Before an expulsion can occur, the IDEA requires a determination by a group of persons knowledgeable about the student on whether the bringing of a firearm to school, or the possession of a firearm at school, was a manifestation of the student’s disability. A student with a disability may be expelled only if this group of persons determines that the bringing of a firearm to school, or the possession of a firearm at school, was not a manifestation of the student’s disability, and the school follows applicable IDEA procedural safeguards before the expulsion occurs. Under IDEA, students with disabilities who are expelled in accordance with these conditions must continue to receive educational services during the expulsion period. Under Section 602 (a)(1) of the IDEA, the term “children with disabilities” is defined as:*

*children --*

(i) with mental retardation, hearing impairments including deafness, speech or language impairments, visual impairments, including blindness, serious emotional disturbance, orthopedic impairments, autism, traumatic brain injury, other health impairments, or specific learning disabilities; and

*(ii) who, by reason thereof, need special education and related services.*

LEA COMPLIANCE WITH GFSA

**5.** List the name and address of each LEA that has not provided an assurance that it is in compliance with the State law that requires that a student who brings a firearm to school, or possesses a firearm at school, be expelled for one year. *(If all LEAs have provided the necessary assurance, please indicate “none” in response to this item.)*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

(Attach a separate sheet if more space is required to list LEAs.)

**6.** List the name and address of each LEA that has not provided an assurance that it is in compliance with the requirement in Section 4141(h) that an LEA receiving ESEA funds have in place a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm to a school, or possesses a firearm at school. *(If all LEAs have provided the necessary assurance, please indicate “none” in response to this item.)*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

(Attach a separate sheet if more space is required to list LEAs.)

**7. a.** Please indicate the percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the State in response to this annual data collection.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the State:** |  |

1. Of the LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report, what proportion of schools in those districts provided *GFSA* data to their LEAs?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Percentage of schools that submitted *GFSA* data to their LEAs:** |  |

1. Of those LEAs that submitted a *GFSA* report to the State, what percentage had reported one or more students for an offense under the *GFSA* related to firearms (as defined by Title 18 U.S.C.921)?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense:** |  |

1. If applicable, please provide information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted to us. What information can the State share with us that will help us to more accurately interpret the data submitted on this *GFSA* report form (e.g., fewer than 100 LEAs responded to the State; figures reported included all weapons, not only firearms)?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**STATE COMPLIANCE WITH *GFSA***

1. Please indicate whether your State law related to *GFSA* has changed in the past 12 months.

* Yes, our State law has changed in the past 12 months. If “yes”, please attach a brief description of the changes or provide a copy of the new/revised statute.
* No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months.

**10.a.** How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?

* State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting.
* State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting.
* State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting.

**b.** Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the *GFSA*?

* Yes, State funds are provided.
* No, State funds are not provided

|  |
| --- |
| ***The Department of Education’s mission is to promote student achievement and preparation  for global competitiveness by fostering educational excellence and ensuring equal access.***  **www.ed.gov** |

1. **Elementary school:** A school classified as elementary by state and local practice and composed of any span of grades not above grade 6. Combined elementary and junior high schools (see definition to follow) are considered junior high schools, and combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K–12 buildings) are classified as senior high schools for this report.

   **Junior high school:** A separately organized and administered school intermediate between elementary and senior high schools, also might be called a middle school, usually includes grades 7, 8 and 9; grades 7 and 8; or grades 6, 7 and 8. Combined elementary and junior high schools are considered junior high schools for this report; combined junior and senior high schools are considered senior high schools (see definition to follow) for this report.

   **Senior high school:** A school offering the final years of school work necessary for graduation, usually including grades 10, 11 and 12 or grades 9, 10, 11, and 12. Combined junior and senior high schools are classified as senior high schools for this report; combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K–12 buildings) are also classified as senior high schools. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. For the purpose of *ESEA* funding, D.C. and Puerto Rico are counted as states. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. The term “outlying areas” referred to in this report are: American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. The term “firearm” includes handguns, rifles, shotguns, and other firearms. See the data collection instrument in Appendix B for a detailed definition of a firearm. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. One state did not provide information on the type of firearm for 2006-07. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. Six states did not provide data broken out by disabled and nondisabled students. Therefore, the reported total does not equal the sum total of modifications by disability status. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. Beginning with the 2002-03 school year, the reporting form asked for referrals broken out by expulsion modified and expulsion not modified. Prior to this reporting year all referrals to an alternative placement were reported as a single number. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
8. Arizona and Ohio did not provide referral data broken out by modified and not modified. As a result, their expulsion data is excluded from this number. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
9. Beginning with the 2002-03 school year, the reporting form asked for referrals broken out by expulsion modified and expulsion not modified. Prior to this reporting year all referrals to an alternative placement were reported as a single number. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)