Quality Circle International Limited

Food Safety Management System

Internal Audit Report

Audit Dates: September 5, 2018 - September 5, 2018

Audit Report: Food Safety Management	Audit: Report No. 56
System Internal Audit	
Company Limited	

Audited Facility: Company Limited

Address:

Audit Team: Khamisha Williams, Tiffani Stewart, Najorie Jennings-Dawkins,

Oshayne Malcolm, Clayton Berry

Date Of Audit: September 5, 2018 - September 5, 2018

Scope Of Audit: All process and activities related to the FSMS certification.

Contact Person:

<u>Summary of Non-Conformities and Opportunities for Improvement</u> Identified in The Internal Audit Process

Process #	Process Names	Non	Opportunities for
		Conformances	Improvements
1	Cleaning, Sanitizing and Environmental	1	4
	Monitoring Procedures		
2	Construction and Layout of Buildings,	2	3
	Premises and Workspace		
3	Continual Improvement	1	
4	Equipment Suitability, Cleaning and	2	3
	Maintenance		
5	Extraction of Pickapeppa Sauce	1	
6	Mixing of Pickapeppa Sauce and Tomato	1	
	Mixture		
7	Personnel Hygiene and Employee	1	1
	Facilities		
8	Pest Control	2	
9	Visitor Control	1	
	TOTAL	12	11

It should be noted that there may be other instances of non-conformances which exist but was not identified in the audit process due to the random selection of aspects of process. The non-conformances which have been identified provided an indication of variations which exist in the process implemented and should be used as a guideline to make corrections and improvements to deficiencies existing in the system. The audit was planned and conducted to fulfill the requirements of FSSC 22000 (ISO 22000/ISO/TS 22002-1), and the additional requirements of FSSC. The audit plan which follows provides details of the activities which composed the audit exercise. This report highlights: the process which was audited, conformities to audit criteria, non-conformities identified and opportunities for improvements.

AUDIT BRIEF

Audit Ref	15325	542450	Audit of:	Food Safety
				Management System
Date Scheduled	Septe	mber 5, 2018	Locations	1 Shooters Hill
	- Septe	ember 5, 2018		Road, Shooters Hill
				P.O., Manchester
Audit Team:		Process Own	er(s):	Khamisha Williams-Quality
Khamisha Williams,	, Tiffani			Assurance Manager
Stewart, Najorie Jeni	nings-			Tiffani Stewart-Product
Dawkins, Oshayne			Development Specialist	
Malcolm, Clayton Berry			Najorie Jennings-Dawki	
				Production Manager
Audit Team Leader	:			Dianna Tomlinson-General
Khamisha Williams				Manager
				_
Purpose:				

To ensure that the Food Safety Management System continues to conform to the company's, FSSC, Regulatory requirements and Customers requirements where applicable. The audit will also seek to determine if there is any improvement opportunities.

Background and Context:

This is a regular scheduled audit.

Scope:

All process and activities related to the FSMS certification.

Criteria:

The FSMS documentation, FSSC 22000:2017, any applicable Regulatory and Customer requirements.

Objectives:

Is ensure the FSMS conforming, effective and is there any opportunity to improve.

Company Limited Audit Plan

Opening Meeting:

Who: Khamisha Williams, Tiffani Stewart, Najorie Jennings-Dawkins, Oshayne Malcolm, Clayton Berry, Dianna Tomlinson

When: Thursday, `July` `26`, 2018

Where: Boardroom

What to cover: The Audit Plan and time of the closing meeting.

The Audit

Food Defense 07/30/2018 8:30

Closing Meeting:

Who: Khamisha Williams, Tiffani Stewart, Najorie Jennings-Dawkins, Oshayne

Malcolm, Clayton Berry, Dianna Tomlinson

When: Friday, 'August' '31', 2018

Where: Boardroom

AUDIT SCHEDULE

Processes	Auditor	Auditee	Date/Time
Equipment	Khamisha Williams	Najorie Jennings-	July 31, 2018
Suitability, Cleaning		Dawkins	10:06 AM - FJuly 31,
and Maintenance			2018 10:06 AM
Cleaning,	Khamisha Williams	Najorie Jennings-	July 31, 2018
Sanitizing and		Dawkins	10:04 AM - FJuly 31,
Environmental			2018 10:04 AM
Monitoring			
Procedures			
Milling of Dry	Tiffani Stewart		July 31, 2018
Ingredients			10:00 AM - FJuly 31,
			2018 10:00 AM
Weighing	Tiffani Stewart		July 31, 2018
			10:00 AM - FJuly 31,
			2018 10:00 AM
Dicing	Tiffani Stewart		July 31, 2018
			10:00 AM - FJuly 31,
			2018 10:00 AM
Mixing of	Khamisha Williams	Everton Powell	July 31, 2018
Pickapeppa Sauce			10:00 AM - FJuly 31,
and Tomato Mixture			2018 10:00 AM
Product Informatio	Najorie Jennings-		July 31, 2018
n/Consumer	Dawkins		10:00 AM - FJuly 31,
Awareness			2018 10:00 AM
Receival and	Tiffani Stewart	Najorie Jennings-	July 31, 2018
Verification of Raw		Dawkins	10:00 AM - FJuly 31,
and Packaging			2018 10:00 AM
Materials			
Training	Clayton Berry	Dianna Tomlinson	July 31, 2018
			10:00 AM - FJuly 31,
			2018 10:00 AM
Bottling Filling -	Tiffani Stewart	Clayton Berry	July 31, 2018
(OPRP - 2)			10:00 AM - FJuly 31,
,			2018 10:00 AM
FSSC Additional	Najorie Jennings-	Dianna Tomlinson	July 31, 2018
Requirements (Logo	Dawkins		10:00 AM - FJuly 31,
Use)			2018 10:00 AM
Construction and	Najorie Jennings-	Dianna Tomlinson	July 30, 2018
Layout of Buildings,	Dawkins		10:08 AM - FJuly 30,
Premises and			2018 10:08 AM
Workspace			
,			

Utilities - air, water,	Tiffani Stewart		July 30, 2018
	Tillani Stewart		-
energy			10:07 AM - FJuly 30,
Wasta Dispessed	Olavita in Danini	Diama Tambina	2018 10:07 AM
Waste Disposal	Clayton Berry	Dianna Tomlinson	July 30, 2018
			10:06 AM - FJuly 30,
			2018 10:06 AM
Pest Control	Najorie Jennings-	Tiffani Stewart	July 30, 2018
	Dawkins		10:03 AM - FJuly 30,
	_		2018 11:03 AM
Visitor Control	Clayton Berry	Dianna Tomlinson	July 30, 2018
			10:00 AM - FJuly 30,
			2018 11:00 AM
Destruction of	Khamisha Williams	Clayton Berry	July 30, 2018
Trademark Items			10:00 AM - FJuly 30,
			2018 11:00 AM
Packing List	Tiffani Stewart	Clayton Berry	July 30, 2018
			10:00 AM - FJuly 30,
			2018 11:00 AM
Processing of Hot	Oshayne Malcolm	Everton Powell	July 25, 2018
Pepper Sauce			10:00 AM - FJuly 25,
			2018 10:00 AM
Measures for	Clayton Berry		August 9, 2018
Prevention of Cross			3:04 PM - FAugust
Contamination			9, 2018 3:04 PM
Shipping	Tiffani Stewart	Dianna Tomlinson	August 9, 2018
			10:00 AM - FAugust
			9, 2018 10:00 AM
HACCP	Tiffani Stewart	Khamisha Williams	August 9, 2018
Programme			10:00 AM - FAugust
			9, 2018 10:00 AM
Internal Audit	Oshayne Malcolm	Khamisha Williams	August 9, 2018
			10:00 AM - FAugust
			9, 2018 10:00 AM
Management of	Oshayne Malcolm	Tiffani Stewart	August 9, 2018
Allergens	,		10:00 AM - FAugust
			9, 2018 10:00 AM
Traceability/Mock	Clayton Berry	Najorie Jennings-	August 9, 2018
Recall		Dawkins	10:00 AM - FAugust
rtodii		Dawkino	9, 2018 10:00 AM
Personnel Hygiene	Oshayne Malcolm		August 8, 2018
and Employee	Oonayno maloom		10:02 AM - FAugust
Facilities			8, 2018 10:02 AM
Verification and	Oshayne Malcolm	Khamisha Williams	August 8, 2018
Validation	Ostrayine Maicollil	TATIOTHISTIC VIIIICITIS	10:00 AM - FAugust
validation			8, 2018 10:00 AM
Warohousing	Tiffani Stewart	Najorio Janningo	· ·
Warehousing	i ilialii Slewall	Najorie Jennings-	August 7, 2018

		Dawkins	10:00 AM - FAugust
			7, 2018 10:00 AM
Chemical Control	Oshayne Malcolm	Tiffani Stewart	August 7, 2018
			10:00 AM - FAugust
			7, 2018 10:00 AM
Customer	Najorie Jennings-	Dianna Tomlinson	August 3, 2018
Complaint	Dawkins		10:00 AM - FAugust
			3, 2018 10:00 AM
Recall/Withdrawal	Najorie Jennings-	Dianna Tomlinson	August 3, 2018
	Dawkins		10:00 AM - FAugust
			3, 2018 10:00 AM
Preserved Peppers	Clayton Berry	Carl White	August 3, 2018
			10:00 AM - FAugust
			3, 2018 10:00 AM
Food Defense,	Khamisha Williams	Dianna Tomlinson	August 3, 2018
Biovigilance and			10:00 AM - FAugust
Bioterrorism			3, 2018 10:00 AM
Extraction of	Khamisha Williams	Everton Powell	August 2, 2018
Pickapeppa Sauce			11:00 AM - FAugust
i ionapoppa oauto			2, 2018 11:00 AM
Cooking (OPRP -	Khamisha Williams	Everton Powell	August 2, 2018
1)	Talamona Williamo		10:00 AM - FAugust
'/			2, 2018 10:00 AM
Continual	Khamisha Williams	Dianna Tomlinson	August 16, 2018
Improvement	Triamisma viillamis		11:08 AM - FAugust
Improvement			16, 2018 11:08 AM
Management of	Najorie Jennings-	Dianna Tomlinson	August 16, 2018
Purchased/Supplied	Dawkins	Diamia Tominison	10:05 AM - FAugust
Materials and	Dawkiiis		16, 2018 10:05 AM
Services			10, 2010 10.03 AW
Peeling	Tiffani Stewart		August 15, 2019
reening	Tillalli Stewart		August 15, 2018
			11:56 AM - FAugust
	Manajaha Williama		15, 2018 11:56 AM
FSSC Additional	Khamisha Williams		August 15, 2018
Requirements (In			10:09 AM - FAugust
conjunction with			15, 2018 10:09 AM
PreRequisite			
Programs)		1.0	
Non-conforming	Tiffani Stewart	Khamisha Williams	August 15, 2018
Raw Materials and			10:00 AM - FAugust
Finished Products			15, 2018 10:00 AM
Management	Khamisha Williams	Dianna Tomlinson	August 14, 2018
Responsibility			10:00 AM - FAugust
			14, 2018 10:00 AM
Lab Analysis	Najorie Jennings-	Tiffani Stewart	August 14, 2018
	Dawkins		10:00 AM - FAugust

			14, 2018 10:00 AM
FSSC Additional	Khamisha Williams	Dianna Tomlinson	August 14, 2018
Requirements			10:00 AM - FAugust
(TACCP & VACCP)			14, 2018 11:00 AM
Correction and	Najorie Jennings-	Khamisha Williams	August 14, 2018
Corrective Action	Dawkins		10:00 AM - FAugust
			14, 2018 10:00 AM
Control of Sharp	Najorie Jennings-	Khamisha Williams	August 13, 2018
Object	Dawkins		10:00 AM - FAugust
			13, 2018 10:00 AM

CONFORMITY REPORTS – CONSTRUCTION AND LAYOUT OF BUILDINGS, PREMISES AND WORKSPACE

Audit of:	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Dianna
Construction and	Jennings-Dawkins	22002-1:2009:	Tomlinson
Layout of Buildings,		Section 4	
Premises and			
Workspace			
Audit Evidence:			
A walkthrough was of sources of contamination	•	d it was observed that of the facility.	there were no
Evaluation:	·		
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – CONSTRUCTION AND LAYOUT OF BUILDINGS, PREMISES AND WORKSPACE

Audit of:	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: IS0	Auditees: Dianna
Construction and	Jennings-Dawkins	22002-1:2009-	Tomlinson
Layout of Buildings,		Section 5.2	
Premises and			
Workspace			
	•		

Audit Evidence:

Upon observation it was seen that there are separate storage areas for raw materials and finished products. Dry raw materials are stored in the Dry Materials Storage, Wet or semi wet material are stored in Wet Ingredients storage and onions are stored in onion house and finished products in finished products storage area.

Evaluation:

I						
Effectiveness:						
CONFORMITY F BUILD		ORTS – CON SS, PREMISES				
Audit of:	Aud	ditor: Najorie	Audit Criteri ISO-22002-1	a:	Auditees: Dianna Tomlinson	
Audit Evidence:						
production area and of accordance to ISO 22 shall not open directly Evaluation:	It was observed that the Microbiology laboratory was located away from the production area and did not serve as a source of contamination, which is in accordance to ISO 22002-1:2009:5.5, which states that" the Microbiology laboratories shall not open directly into production. Evaluation:					
Effectiveness:						
	1	NONCONFOR	MITY REP	ORT		
	ncide	ent Identification I	Number 0000	200 000	01	
Non-Conformity Rep	ort	Auditor (s): Najo Dawkins				
Audit of : Construction Audit Criteria: PRP and Layout of Buildings, Premises and Workspace						
Statement of Nonconformity:						
The door behind the from being left open	kitch	nen needs to be s	so built that it	can self	close to prevent it	
Responsible Party: I	Dianr	na Tomlinson				
Auditor Signature:			Signature:			

	NONCONFORMITY REPORT					
	lent Identification		,			
Non-Conformity Report	Auditor (s): Najo	rie Jennings	Date: J	uly 30, 2018		
#: 2	Dawkins					
Audit of : Construction	Audit Criteria: P	RP 1000;				
and Layout of Buildings,	4.9.1					
Premises and						
Workspace						
Statement of Nonconfor	mity:					
As soon as work is done	e on the facility the	ey should be	recorded	I .		
Responsible Party: Diar	nna Tomlinson					
Auditor Signature:		Signature:				
	ıditor: Tiffani wart	ITIES - AIF Audit Criteri 22002-1:200	ia: ISO	ER, ENERGY Auditees:		
CONFORMITY RE	PORTS – UTIL Iditor: Tiffani	ITIES - AIF		ER, ENERGY Auditees:		
		22002-1:200		Auditees.		
Audit Evidence:	vvait	<u> </u>	o, o. <u>e</u>	<u> </u>		
Addit Evidence.						
The most recent water t	est reports receive	ed-No TESR	26/2018	3/1404 and NO		
The most recent water test reports received-No. TESR 26/2018/1404 and NO. TESR 25/2018/1378 were compared to the WHO standards for drinking water and						
showed conformance.		o otaliat		and water and		
Evaluation:						
_ valuation.						

Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	REPORTS – UTIL	ITIES - AIR, WAT	ER. ENERGY
Audit of: Utilities -	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: PRP	Auditees:
air, water, energy	Stewart	1010:4.1.2.2	
Audit Evidence:		•	
1		r tests are done week	- 1
Evaluation:	<u>ai chiorine, bacteria ar</u>	nd yeast. Record QC -	4006 Rev#2.
Evaluation.			
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	DEDODTO LITI	ITIEC AID WAT	ED ENEDOV
	1	ITIES - AIR, WAT	
Audit of: Utilities -	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: PRP 1010:4.1.2.3	Auditees:
air, water, energy Audit Evidence:	Stewart	1010.4.1.2.3	
Addit Evidence.			
Quality Manager sta	ated that internal wate	er quality tests are valid	dated by sending
water sample twice p	er year to the Bureau	of Standards Jamaica	a . The most recent
1 -	•	18/1378 chemistry 21/3	3/18 Test Report No.
TESR 26/2018/1404	microbiology 1/3/18		
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
	_	<u> ITIES - AIR, WAT</u>	
Audit of: Utilities -	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees:
air, water, energy	Stewart	22002-1:2009: 6.6	
Audit Evidence:			
Observation was do	one of light fixtures an	d all were all shielded.	
Evaluation:	or light lixtuics air	an more an emercial	

Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	REPORTS – UTIL	ITIES - AIR, WAT	ER, ENERGY
Audit of: Utilities -	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees:
air, water, energy	Stewart	22002-1:2009: 6.5	
Audit Evidence:			
		mpressor is used to pl lue pump. Air does no	
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
CONFC	RMITY REPORT	S – WASTE DISPO	OSAI.
Audit of: Waste	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Dianna
Disposal	Berry	22002:7.1	Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:	,		
	P-1020 Revision # 15		
Waste Disposal PRI	P-1020 Revision # 15		
Evaluation:			
Evaluation: General manager sa	aid that there is a proo	gram for waste dispos	
Evaluation: General manager salso a team that was	aid that there is a proo	gram for waste dispos nsibility for waste disp	
Evaluation: General manager sa	aid that there is a proo	•	
Evaluation: General manager salso a team that was	aid that there is a proo	•	
Evaluation: General manager salso a team that was	aid that there is a proo	•	
Evaluation: General manager salso a team that was	aid that there is a proo	•	
Evaluation: General manager sa also a team that was Effectiveness:	aid that there is a prog set up with the respo	•	osal.
Evaluation: General manager sa also a team that was Effectiveness: CONFO	aid that there is a prog set up with the respo	S – WASTE DISPO	OSAL Auditees: Dianna
Evaluation: General manager salso a team that was Effectiveness: CONFO	aid that there is a prog set up with the respo	S – WASTE DISPO	osal. OSAL
Evaluation: General manager salso a team that was Effectiveness: CONFO	PRMITY REPORT	S – WASTE DISPO	OSAL Auditees: Dianna
Evaluation: General manager sa also a team that was Effectiveness: CONFO Audit of: Waste Disposal Audit Evidence:	PRMITY REPORT Auditor: Clayton Berry	S – WASTE DISPO Audit Criteria: ISO 22002-1:11.2	OSAL Auditees: Dianna Tomlinson
Evaluation: General manager salso a team that was Effectiveness: CONFO Audit of: Waste Disposal Audit Evidence: Rajii Senior Cleanin	PRMITY REPORT Auditor: Clayton Berry	S – WASTE DISPO	OSAL Auditees: Dianna Tomlinson
Evaluation: General manager sa also a team that was Effectiveness: CONFO Audit of: Waste Disposal Audit Evidence:	PRMITY REPORT Auditor: Clayton Berry	S – WASTE DISPO Audit Criteria: ISO 22002-1:11.2	OSAL Auditees: Dianna Tomlinson

the bins he explained	that the bins are clea	aned on a weekly bas	is or when there is a
need to do so.			
Effectiveness:			
CONFO	RMITY REPORTS	S – WASTE DISP	OSAL
Audit of: Waste	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria: ISO	•
	Berry	22002-1:7.3	Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:			
Mosts disposal to an	a maamah a may COLLINI		DALMED DODDICK
WILLIAMS, DOMAIN			PALMER, RODRICK
Evaluation:	VIICOIIA,REVIIA 7101 II		VLO.
'	ne general manager s		ste disposal team is
responsible for the re	moval of waste from t	the facility.	
Effectiveness:			
CONFO	RMITY REPORTS	S – WASTE DISP	OSAL
Audit of: Waste	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria: ISO	
_	Berry	22002-1:7.3	Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:			
LOG BOOK DATE-	AUGUST 2 2018 ANI	D AUGUST 14TH 201	8
Evaluation:			
	_		
1	ned Southern Parks a	` ,	'
removal of garbage fr every two weeks.	offi the factory. She s	stated that the garbag	e is normal collected
Effectiveness:			
00150		O MACTE DIOD	0041
	RMITY REPORTS		
Audit of: Waste Disposal	Auditor: Clayton Berry	Audit Criteria: ISO 22002-1:7.4	Auditees: Dianna Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:	ропу	<u> </u>	I OTTIII ISOTT

Evaluation:			
Based on observation	on of the drains by the	e bottling plant, cookin	g area and
processing area ISO-	<u>•</u>	31 ,	
Effectiveness:			
CONFO	RMITY REPORT	S – WASTE DISP	OSAL
Audit of: Waste	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Dianna
	Berry	22000:7.10.3.3	Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:			
MS-2070:4 2 2 NON	I-CONFORMING REI	PORT: Form:QA3315	REVISION 4 DATED
JUNE 23RD 2016	TOOM ORIVING INE	OKT. 1 01111.Q/10010	THE VIOLOTY + DITTED
Evaluation:			
Everton Powell state	ad that the non confor	ming products are dis	enocod of by diluting
		rdance with MS-2070	
Effectiveness:	<u> </u>	radioc with Me 2070	.T.L.L
21100111000.			
CONFORMITY RE			TY, CLEANING
	AND MAINT	1	·
1 ' '			Auditees: Najorie
Suitability, Cleaning	Williams	22002-1:8.2	Jennings-Dawkins
and Maintenance			
Audit Evidence:			
Equipment were obs	served and were com	patible with products a	and cleaning agents.
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
Ellectiveriess.			
CONFORMITY RE	EPORTS – EQUIF	PMENT SUITABILI	TY, CLEANING

AND MAINTENANCE

Audit of: Equipment | Auditor: Khamisha | Audit Criteria: ISO | Auditees: Najorie

and Maintenance	Williams	22002-1:8.2	Jennings-Dawkins
Audit Evidence:			
Mixers and homoge cooking and bottling r		nix raw materials and	finish products before
Evaluation:	, ,		
Equipment are design and the product.	gned to ensure to min	imize contact betwee	n the operators hand
Effectiveness:			
Endouvonoso.			
CONFORMITY RE			TY, CLEANING
	AND MAINT		T
1	Auditor: Khamisha		Auditees: Najorie
, ,	Williams	22002-1:8.4	Jennings-Dawkins
and Maintenance Audit Evidence:			
Audit Evidence.			
A kettle is used for t	hermal processing an	d it has a thermomete	er attached and a
monitor to display the		a it has a thomlonion	n allaonoa ana a
Evaluation:	I		
Effectiveness:			
CONEODMITY DE	EDODTS EOUI		ITV OLEANING
CONFORMITY RE			ITY, CLEANING
A codit of Environment	AND MAINT		A. dita a a Nais dia
1	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Najorie
Suitability, Cleaning and Maintenance	Williams	22002-1:8.6	Jennings-Dawkins
Audit Evidence:			
Addit Evidence.			
PRP-1030:4.3, Prev	entative Maintenance	;	
Evaluation:			
Najorie Dawkins sta	ted that there is a pre	ventive maintenance	program.
Effectiveness:			-

CONFORMITY REPORTS – EQUIPMENT SUITABILITY, CLEANING AND MAINTENANCE

Audit of: Equipment Au		Audit Criteri	a: ISO	Auditees: Najorie			
, , o ,	iams	22002-1:8.6		Jennings-Dawkins			
and Maintenance							
Audit Evidence:							
PRP-1030:4.5, Post Ma	intenance						
Evaluation:							
Naorie Dawkins stated t	hat there is a prod	cedure for rele	easing m	naintained equipment			
back into production. This	s procedure includ	des: The main	tenance	personnel ensures			
that the equipment is rea	ssembled and rea	dy for operati	on. He a	accounts for all tools			
and parts, cleans up all d	lebris and grease.	The Supervis	sor clear	ns and sanitizes the			
equipment and inspects i	t before it is used	in production					
Effectiveness:							
	NONCONFOR	MITY REP	ORT				
	dent Identification						
Non-Conformity Report	\ '	misha	Date: J	uly 31, 2018			
#: 1	Williams						
Audit of : Equipment	Audit Criteria:						
Suitability, Cleaning and	PRP-1030:4.3.2.1						
	Maintenance						
Statement of Nonconfor	mity:						
The maintenance aches	lula daga nat haya	the procedu	ra and fr	roquency for all			
The maintenance sched		•	re and ii	equency for all			
equipment as stated in P	KP-1030.4.3.2.1 a	diiu 4.3.1.1.					
Responsible Party: Najo	rie Jennings-Daw	ıkins					
Auditor Signature:	no dominigo Dan	Signature:					
		3.9					

NONCONFORMITY REPORT					
Incid	Incident Identification Number: 000000.00004				
Non-Conformity Report #: 2	Auditor (s): Khamisha Williams	Date: July 31, 2018			

Audit of : Equipment	Audit Criteria:			
Suitability, Cleaning a	and PRP-1030:4.3.1.	1		
Maintenance				
Statement of Nonco	nformity:			
The maintenance su	pervisor, Barrington I	Mclean, stated	d that he	does not carry out
any preventive mainte	enance on the extract	or. PRP-1030):4.3.1.1	states that Inspect
and service all Equipr	nent.			
-	Najorie Jennings-Daw			
Auditor Signature:		Signature:		
CONFO	RMITY REPORTS	S – MANAC	SEMEN	IT OF
PURCHASE	ED/SUPPLIED MA	TERIALS A	AND SE	ERVICES
Audit of:	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteri		Auditees: Dianna
	•	22002-1:200		Tomlinson
Purchased/Supplied		Section 9.1	.	
Materials and		00011011011		
Services				
Audit Evidence:				
radit Evidonoo.				
Based on interview	with Inventory Clerk, t	he Quality Ma	anager o	r Production
Manager is consulted		•	-	
According to "4.1.1.4				
materials	The inventory Clark	oro Quant	,	mor or rooting or rain
Evaluation:				
Effectiveness:				
CONFO	RMITY REPORTS	S – MANAC	SEMEN	IT OF
	ED/SUPPLIED MA	,		
Audit of:	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteri		Auditees: Dianna
_	Jennings-Dawkins	22002-1:200	9:	Tomlinson
Purchased/Supplied		Section 9.3		
Materials and				
Services				
Audit Evidence:				

1		that all containers are nsportation Log Form,	
	_		
Evaluation:	nat the vehicles must	meet before unloading	<u>y</u> .
L valuation.			
Effectiveness:			
CONEC	DMITY DEDODT	S – MANAGEMEN	IT OF
	_	_	_
	T	ATERIALS AND SI	T
Audit of:	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Dianna
Management of	Jennings-Dawkins	22002-1:2009:	Tomlinson
Purchased/Supplied		Section 9.3	
Materials and			
Services			
Audit Evidence:			
A P	-P(- B.4	-1	
_		als are tested before u	
1		I- solar salt- was rand	-
	ntations checked. A C	OA from Industrial Ch	emicai Company
dated August 14,			
Evaluation:			
Even though a COA	s is usually received fr	om the supplier it is re	eccommended to get
	-	ons can be made befo	_
I .	me tests that cannot be		io and product to
Effectiveness:			
CONFO	RMITY REPORT	S – MANAGEMEN	IT OF
	_	ATERIALS AND SI	_
Audit of:	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Dianna
Management of	Jennings-Dawkins	22002-1:2009:	Tomlinson
Purchased/Supplied		Section 9.3	
Materials and			
Services			

The procedure MS 2070, Non Conforming Materials and Finished Products.

Audit Evidence:

There is a detail procedure to be followed in handling non conforming materials.			
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY F	REPORTS – MEA	SURES FOR PRE	EVENTION OF
	CROSS CONT	AMINATION	
Audit of: Measures	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees:
for Prevention of	Berry	20002-1:13.4	
Cross Contamination			
Audit Evidence:			
N 1 " D 1 "			
Novelette Robinson			
Evaluation:			
In an interview with	Novelette Rohinson it	was revealed that sh	e have to change in
I .		wellery should be rem	_
must be worn.	onoco, an formo or je	Wellery Should be felli	
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY F	REPORTS - MEA	SURES FOR PRE	VENTION OF
	CROSS CONT	AMINATION	
Audit of: Measures	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria:	Auditees:
for Prevention of	Berry	PRP-1050:4.1.1.2	
Cross Contamination			
Audit Evidence:			
Shirley Williams and	Tracey Mullings		
Evaluation:			
Poor on chaomictica	of two ampleyees C	hirlay Williams and Tr	agov Mullinga tha
dress code was in ke		hirley Williams and Tra ·⊿ 1 1 2	acey wullings the
Effectiveness:	5ping with FRF-1030	.4.1.1.4	
Litouvoiloss.			

Evaluation:

CONFORMITY REPORTS - MEASURES FOR PREVENTION OF

CROSS CONTAMINATION

Audit of: Measures	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria:	Auditees:
for Prevention of	Berry	PRP-1050:4.1.1.6	
Cross Contamination			
Audit Evidence:			
Cleaning records PF	RP-1062 dated JUNE	15 2018 and JULY 20	2018
Evaluation:			
Upon interviewing a	n employee, Domain '	Virgin, it was explaine	d that all equipment
are washed and sanit	ized before and after	usage he also stated	that this procedure
includes all processin	g and bottling equipm	nent.	
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – MEASURES FOR PREVENTION OF CROSS CONTAMINATION

	011000 00111	/ ((V)))	
Audit of: Measures	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria:	Auditees:
for Prevention of	Berry	PRP-1050:4.3.1.2	
Cross Contamination			
Audit Evidence:			
Checks made of the	chemical storage sho	ows that the appropria	ite labelling was on
all chemicals			
Evaluation:			
Tiffany Stewart the	person in charge of ch	nemicals states that th	eir is a store room
specifically for chemic	cals and pesticides he	ence they are not store	ed to contaminate
products and raw ma	terials.		
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – MEASURES FOR PREVENTION OF CROSS CONTAMINATION

Audit of: Measures	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria:	Auditees:		
for Prevention of	Berry	PRP-1050:4.2.1.8			
Cross Contamination					
Audit Evidence:					
Broken bottles form PRP-1132 dated March 19 2018 and JUNE 6 2018					

Evaluation:							
Dualian hattles and n	Broken bottles are recorded and are placed in a drum immediately after breaking to						
	•	ea in a arum immedia	tely after breaking to				
prevent contaminatio	<u>n.</u>						
Effectiveness:							
CONFORMITY F	REPORTS - MEA	SURES FOR PRE	VENTION OF				
	CROSS CONT	AMINATION					
Audit of: Measures		Audit Criteria:	Auditees:				
	Berry	PRP-1050:4.1.2.2	7 taanooo.				
Cross Contamination	_	1111 1000.4.1.2.2					
Audit Evidence:							
Addit Evidence.							
Attendance record N	MS-2041 dated March	6 2018					
Evaluation:	10-20+1 dated March	102010					
L valuation.							
They were trained.							
Effectiveness:							
Lifective ress.							
CONFORMIT	V DEDODTO O		IZINIO ANID				
		LEANING, SANIT					
ENVIRO	NMENTAL MONI	CORING PROCED	URES				
Audit of: Cleaning,	Auditor: Khamisha		Auditees: Najorie				
Sanitizing and	Williams	ISO-22002-1:11.1	Jennings-Dawkins				
Environmental							
Monitoring							
Procedures							
Audit Evidence:							
Cleaning, Sanitizing	and Environmental M	onitoring PRP/AR-10	60 Rev#11.				
Evaluation:							
A procedure is in pla	ace for the cleaning, s	anitizing and environr	mental monitoring of				
the facility.	•	Ū	· ·				
Effectiveness:							

CONFORMITY REPORTS – CLEANING, SANITIZING AND ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROCEDURES

Audit of: Cleaning,	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Najorie			
Sanitizing and	Williams	22002-1:11.2	Jennings-Dawkins			
Environmental						
Monitoring						
Procedures						
Audit Evidence:						
It was observed tha	t a store room is sepa	arated from production	areas for the storing			
all chemicals. They a	re clearly labelled wit	h the manufacturers la	abel.			
Evaluation:						
Tiffani Stewart stated that cleaning and sanitizing chemicals are clearly identified						
and stored to preven	t contamination.					
Effectiveness:						
	<u> </u>	·	·			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – CLEANING, SANITIZING AND ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROCEDURES

Audit of: Cleaning,	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Najorie		
Sanitizing and	Williams	22002-1:11.2	Jennings-Dawkins		
Environmental					
Monitoring					
Procedures					
Audit Evidence:					
	• •	oom, mop and scotch	brite. There is a		
designated area fro cleaning tools and equipment.					
Evaluation:					

The Production Manager, Najorie Dawkins stated that the cleaning tools and chemicals are hygienically designed and maintained to prevent contamination.

Effectiveness:

CONFORMITY REPORTS – CLEANING, SANITIZING AND ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROCEDURES

Audit of: Cleaning,	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Najorie
Sanitizing and	Williams	ISO-22002-1:11.3	Jennings-Dawkins

Environmental			
Monitoring Procedures			
Audit Evidence:			
Addit Evidence.			
Cleaning, Sanitizing	and Environmental M	Monitoring PRP/AR-10	60: 28.0
Evaluation:		<u> </u>	
The Production Mar	nager stated that the o	cleaning program inclu	des the cleaning
equipment.			_
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMIT	Y REPORTS - C	LEANING, SANITI	ZING AND
		TORING PROCED	
Audit of: Cleaning,	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Najorie
J	Williams	22002-1:11.4	Jennings-Dawkins
Environmental			, o
Monitoring			
Procedures			
Audit Evidence:			
1 0	and Environmental M	Ionitoring, PRP/AR-10	060:20.0 ISO
22002-1:11.4			
Evaluation:			
	_	stainless pipes uses th	•
r	•	outside with potable v	vater, sanıtıze pıpes
	nutes and allow pipe t	to drain.	
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMIT	V DEDODTO O	I FANIINIO OANIITI	ZINIO ANID
		LEANING, SANITI	
ENVIRO	NMENTAL MONIT	CORING PROCED	URES
Audit of: Cleaning,	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Najorie
Sanitizing and	Williams	ISO-22002-1:11.3	Jennings-Dawkins
Environmental			
Monitoring			
Procedures			
Audit Evidence:			

PRP/AR-1060, Cleaning, Sanitising and Environmental Monitoring has the cleaning procedures which includes Area, Responsibility, Safety Info, Chemicals, Materials, Method of Cleaning and Frequency. Cleaning Records, PRP-1062 and PRP 1061						
Evaluation:						
items of equipment a	nd utensils to be clear I frequency, Monitorin	and sanitizing progran ned and sanitized, Re g and Verification and	sponsibilities,			
Effectiveness:	JUOII.					
Zirodavoriodo.						
CONFORMIT	V DEDODIO O	LEANUNIO CANUTI	ZINIO ANID			
		LEANING, SANITI				
	1	ORING PROCED				
Audit of: Cleaning,	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Najorie			
Sanitizing and Environmental	Williams	22000:2005 Clause 6.2.2	Jennings-Dawkins			
Monitoring		0.2.2				
Procedures						
Audit Evidence:						
7.00.011						
Attendance Record,	HR4001, Rev #6, Cle	eaning and Sanitation	training on July 7,			
2017. Training Sched	lule 2018, MS-2043, F	Rev # 6, Cleaning, Sar	nitizing and			
Environmental Monito	oring, set for August 2	018.				
Evaluation:						
l						
	•	anitation process on J	•			
	208 but it is on the tra	aining schedule for Au	gust 2018			
Effectiveness:						
CONFORMIT	Y REPORTS – C	LEANING, SANITI	ZING AND			
		ORING PROCED				
Audit of: Cleaning,	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Najorie			
1	Williams		Jennings-Dawkins			
Environmental	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	. 131 // 332 1000.0.0	Dawkiis			
Monitoring						
Procedures						
Audit Evidence:		1				

Cleaning, Sanitizing and Environmental Monitoring, PRP/AR-1060:6.0, Cleaning

process for the cooking	ng kettle.		
Evaluation:			
	ted that a scotch brite		
	with soap and rinse. H		
	micals used are blead	ch and soap and clear	ning is done before
and after usage			
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMIT	TY REPORTS – C	I FANING SANIT	IZING AND
	NMENTAL MONIT	1	1
Audit of: Cleaning,	Auditor: Khamisha Williams	Audit Criteria: PRP/AR-1060:30.0	Auditees: Najorie
Sanitizing and Environmental	VVIIIIams	PRP/AR-1000.30.0	Jennings-Dawkins
Monitoring			
Procedures			
Audit Evidence:			
Addit Evidence.			
Cleaning, Sanitizing	and Environmental M	Monitorina, PRP/AR-10	060:30.0. Rev # 11.
1 0	, Rev #0 showed that	•	
-	tion ranging from 2-12		
Evaluation:			
Tiffani Stewart confi	rmed the procedure P	PRP/AR-1060:30.0 by	stating that the areas
for environmental mo	nitoring area Process	ing Area, Cooking Are	ea, Sauce Holding
	nt. The procedure for		
· ·	CA) plates. Open solid	•	
	s and take to the Micro		
	nies per plate and rec	ord as Colony Formin	g Unit (CFU).
Effectiveness:			
00110011		. =	
	TY REPORTS – C	·	
ENVIRO	NMENTAL MONIT	TORING PROCED	URES
Audit of: Cleaning,	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Najorie
Sanitizing and	Williams	22000:4.2.3	Jennings-Dawkins
Environmental			

Monitoring Procedures

Audit Evidence:

Cleaning Records-Upstairs, PRP-1061, Rev #8. Week of August 13-17 showed that on August 16 and 17 the pots bowls, spatulas and knives were cleaned. Cleaning Records-Bottling Plant, PRP-1062, Rev #7, showed that the filling machine was cleaned and sanitised.						
Evaluation:						
The Production Manage Downstairs of the facility.	r provided the red	cords for the c	leaning	of Upstairs and		
Effectiveness:						
	NONCONFOR	MITY REP	ORT			
Incid	ent Identification	Number: 000	000.000	05		
Non-Conformity Report	Auditor (s): Kha	misha	Date: J	luly 31, 2018		
#: 1	Williams					
Audit of : Cleaning,	Audit Criteria:					
]	PRP/AR-1060:24	ł.U				
Environmental Monitoring Procedures						
Statement of Nonconform	l mitv:					
	Tility:					
The cleaning and sanitize pots were audited and it wase of soap and bleach as Williamson and Carl White states that "wash utensils other food and Apply distime." Responsible Party: Najo	vas proven that ness stated in intervient in contact surfact sinfectant to kill be	one of the cle ews with Mich ompliance wit e with deterg acteria and gi	aning properties of the part o	rocesses include the les, Rodrick AR-1060:24.0 which move grease and any		
Auditor Signature:		Signature:				
CONFORI	MITY REPOR	ΓS – PEST	CONT	ROL		
	ditor: Najorie	Audit Criteri		Auditees: Tiffani		
	nings-Dawkins	PRP-1070:4.		Stewart		
Audit Evidence:						

Upon questioning the owner of the process it was revealed that the baits are set

1 -		per month for the inte					
Evaluation:	checked and Form no. PRP 1072 and PRP 1073 supported the given information.						
L vardation.							
Records were availabaits.	able to show that there	e is a planned schedu	le for the setting of				
Effectiveness:							
Lifective fiess.							
	1	<u>TS – PEST CONT</u>					
Audit of: Pest	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: PRP	Auditees: Tiffani				
Control	Jennings-Dawkins	1070: 4.2.2	Stewart				
Audit Evidence:							
Upon observation it	was noted that all en	trance points have ba	it stations. The bait				
map also confirmed the		•					
Evaluation:							
1		wever, it may be nece	•				
1		bait station" in addition	•				
	here should be nothir	ng hindering pests from	m entering bait				
Effectiveness:							
2015		TO DECT CONT	D.O.I				
	1	TS - PEST CONT					
Audit of: Pest	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: PRP	Auditees: Tiffani				
Control	Jennings-Dawkins	1070;4.2.4	Stewart				
Audit Evidence:							
The process owner	chowed a man with a	Il the boit stations idea	atified. The man was				
•	•	II the bait stations ider nal and external bait s	•				
		and external balt s and twenty nine (29) in	=				
Evaluation:	ions were identified a	ind twenty fille (29) in	iterrial Ories.				
L valuation.							
A map identifying all	bait stations was pre	esent. However, it is re	ecommended that a				
control number be ad	•						
Effectiveness:							

CONFORMITY REPORTS – PEST CONTROL

Audit of: Pest	Au	ditor: Najorie	Audit Criter	ia: PRP	Auditees: Tiffani	
Control	Jenr	nings-Dawkins	1070:5.1-5.4		Stewart	
Audit Evidence:						
The owner of the pro	oces	s stated that reco	rds were ava	ilable to	show pest control	
activities. Records su	ch a	s the pest activity	register- inte	ernal and	l external, no. PRP	
1072 and 1073, show			•			
Evaluation:						
Records are availab	le to	show that pest c	ontrol activitie	es are ca	arried out at all areas	
on the bait map.						
Effectiveness:						
		NONCONFOR	MITY REP	ORT		
	!			OICI		
	ncid	ent Identification	Number: 000	000 000	06	
Non-Conformity Rep		Auditor (s): Najo				
#: 1		Dawkins	one deminigs	Date. J	diy 30, 2010	
Audit of : Pest Contr		Audit Criteria: IS	20			
Addit of . 1 est Conti		22000:2005 Clau				
Statement of Nonco			SE 0.2.2			
Statement of Nonconformity:						
There was no training records for post control applicator. Nails Dehinase. After						
There was no training records for pest control applicator, Neiko Robinson. After						
interview with process owner it was confirmed that he was not trained. This is						
contrary to ISO 22000:2005 clause which states "ensure that responsible personnel						
are trained						
 D	T.(/					
Responsible Party:	ııttaı	ni Stewart				
Auditor Signature:			Signature:			

NONCONFORMITY REPORT				
Incid	ent Identification Number: 000	000.00007		
Non-Conformity Report	Auditor (s): Najorie Jennings	- Date: July 30, 2018		
#: 2	Dawkins			
Audit of : Pest Control	Audit Criteria: ISO			
22002-1:2009: 12.3				
Statement of Nonconformity:				

1. The door leading from the dining area to the outside was left open. 2. A live lizard was seen under the face basin in the cooking area 3. The mesh in the utensils room						
was not tightly secured on wall 4. Light rays were seen coming through the eaves						
Responsible Party:	Tiffani Stewart					
Auditor Signature:		Signature:				
CONFORMITY REF	PORTS – PERSO	NNEL HYGIENE /	AND EMDI OVEE			
CON ORWITT INE	FACILI		AND LIVII LOTEL			
Audit of: Personnel		Audit Criteria: N/A	Auditees:			
73	Malcolm					
Employee Facilities						
Audit Evidence:						
Laundry Room						
Evaluation:						
Dagad on Observati	an thara is a lawadru f		maahina anda			
clothes dryer.	on there is a laundry f	acility with a washing	machine and a			
Effectiveness:						
CONFORMITY REF	OORTS _ DERSO	NNEL HYGIENE /	VID EMDI OVEE			
CON ONWITT INLI	FACILI		AND LIVII LOTEL			
Audit of: Personnel		Audit Criteria: N/A	Auditees:			
Hygiene and	Malcolm					
Employee Facilities						
Audit Evidence:						
Changing rooms						
Evaluation:						
	Based on Observation the company as two changing room for employees one for					
females and the othe Effectiveness:	i ioi ine maies					
Lifectiveriess.						

CONFORMITY REPORTS – PERSONNEL HYGIENE AND EMPLOYEE FACILITIES

	FACILI	HES	
Audit of: Personnel	Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteria: N/A	Auditees:
Hygiene and	Malcolm		
Employee Facilities			
Audit Evidence:			
Rodrick Williamsom	Clayton Berry Lennox	x Morgan	
Evaluation:			
On observing a few	of the employees they	y were properly attired	hear net, beard net
if necessary and prop	er clothing and shoes	3	
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY REF	PORTS - PERSO	NNEL HYGIENE A	VID EMBI OVEE
COM ONWITT INLI			AND LIVII LOTEL
	FACILI		
Audit of: Personnel	_	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees:
1 7 3	Malcolm	22002-1: 13.3	
Employee Facilities			
Audit Evidence:			
Kitchen Lunch Roon	n		
Evaluation:			
Based on observation	on their is a staff cante	een and their is an are	a for food storage
and lunch room to avoid cross contamination to products.			
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY REF	PORTS - PERSO	NNEL HYGIENE A	ND EMPLOYEE
OOM OMMITTINE		_	WAD EIVII EOTEE
	FACILI		
Audit of: Personnel	Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees:
73	Malcolm	22002-1: 13.2b	
Employee Facilities			
Audit Evidence:			
Kitchen			
Evaluation:			

	od and the washing of	equipment.	separated from the
Effectiveness:	<u> </u>		
CONFORMITY REF	DODTS DEDSO	NINIEL HVOIENIE /	AND EMDI OVEE
CONFORMITT KE	FACILI	_	AND EMPLOTEE
		Audit Criteria: N/A	Auditees:
73	Malcolm		
Employee Facilities			
Audit Evidence:			
Cleaning Checklist-	General Areas Form	No: PRP-1063 Revision	on #4 Date: July 23-
August 3	Conoral / Wodo i cilii	110.1111 1000 11011011	on n i bato. Gary 20
Evaluation:			
1 -		ing schedule for both	•
	ion supervisor that en	sure that all task are o	carried out
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY REF	PORTS – PERSO	NNFL HYGIENE A	AND EMPLOYEE
	FACILI		2 20.22
Audit of: Personnel	Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteria:	Auditees:
Hygiene and	Malcolm	PRP-1080: 4.1.7	
Employee Facilities			
Audit Evidence:			
Evaluation:			
L valuation.			
Naiorie Dawkins sta	ted that Pre and In Or	peration inspection are	e done by the
production manager a	•	,	, -
Effectiveness:			
Ī			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – PERSONNEL HYGIENE AND EMPLOYEE FACILITIES

Audit of: Personnel	Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteri	a:	Auditees:
Hygiene and	Malcolm	PRP-1080: 4	.1.8	
Employee Facilities				
Audit Evidence:				
Evaluation:				
Evaluation.				
 Najorie Dawskin sta	ted during in and pre	operation if a	worker s	shows any signs of
contagious illnesses	•	•		, ,
investigation and if th			•	
Effectiveness:				
	NONCONFOR	RMITY REP	ORT	
	Incident Identification			
Non-Conformity Rep	` '	nayne	Date: A	ugust 8, 2018
#: 1	Malcolm			
Audit of : Personnel		SO 22002-1:		
Hygiene and Employ	ee 13.2a			
Facilities				
Statement of Nonco	ntormity:			
Dagad on Observati	on come of the band	waahina atati		at autable for the
	on some of the hand	•		
washing of hands: Bo				
dispenser not working				
water and Soap dispenser not working Processing area- the mixer is damaged Need				
a hand washing station in barrel storage area 2. This not in conformance with				
13022002-1. 13.2a				
Responsible Party: I	Dianna Tomlinson			
Auditor Signature:		Signature:		
Tisanti Dignataro.		2.3.3.3.		
		1		

CONFORMITY REPORTS - WAREHOUSING

Audit of:	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Najorie
Warehousing	Stewart	22002-1:2009, 16.2	Jennings-Dawkins
Audit Evidence:			

Interview with Inventory Supervisor confirmed that stock rotation systems are in

blace -FIFO and FEF	O for raw materials a	nd finished products.	
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
Enectiveness:			
	ORMITY REPOR		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Audit of:	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Najorie
Warehousing	Stewart	22002-1:2009, 16.2	Jennings-Dawkins
Audit Evidence:			
Chemical house and	d garbage area are se	eparated.	
Evaluation:		,	
Effectiveness:			
CONF	ORMITY REPOR	TS – WAREHOUS	SING
CONF Audit of:	ORMITY REPOR Auditor: Tiffani	TS – WAREHOUS Audit Criteria: ISO	SING Auditees: Najorie
			1
Audit of:	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Najorie
Audit of: Warehousing Audit Evidence:	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Najorie
Audit of: Warehousing Audit Evidence: Areas were clearly s	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Najorie
Audit of: Warehousing Audit Evidence:	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Najorie
Audit of: Warehousing Audit Evidence: Areas were clearly s	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Najorie
Audit of: Warehousing Audit Evidence: Areas were clearly s	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Najorie
Audit of: Warehousing Audit Evidence: Areas were clearly s Evaluation:	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Najorie
Audit of: Warehousing Audit Evidence: Areas were clearly s Evaluation:	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Najorie
Audit of: Warehousing Audit Evidence: Areas were clearly s Evaluation:	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Najorie
Audit of: Warehousing Audit Evidence: Areas were clearly s Evaluation: Effectiveness:	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: ISO 22002-1:2009 5.7	Auditees: Najorie Jennings-Dawkins
Audit of: Warehousing Audit Evidence: Areas were clearly s Evaluation: Effectiveness:	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart seen and identified. ORMITY REPOR	Audit Criteria: ISO 22002-1:2009 5.7 TS – WAREHOUS	Auditees: Najorie Jennings-Dawkins
Audit of: Warehousing Audit Evidence: Areas were clearly s Evaluation: Effectiveness: CONF Audit of:	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart Seen and identified. ORMITY REPOR Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: ISO 22002-1:2009 5.7 TS – WAREHOUS Audit Criteria: PRP	Auditees: Najorie Jennings-Dawkins SING Auditees: Najorie
Audit of: Warehousing Audit Evidence: Areas were clearly s Evaluation: Effectiveness: CONF Audit of: Warehousing	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart seen and identified. ORMITY REPOR	Audit Criteria: ISO 22002-1:2009 5.7 TS – WAREHOUS	Auditees: Najorie Jennings-Dawkins
Audit of: Warehousing Audit Evidence: Areas were clearly s Evaluation: Effectiveness: CONF Audit of:	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart Seen and identified. ORMITY REPOR Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: ISO 22002-1:2009 5.7 TS – WAREHOUS Audit Criteria: PRP	Auditees: Najorie Jennings-Dawkins SING Auditees: Najorie
Audit of: Warehousing Audit Evidence: Areas were clearly s Evaluation: Effectiveness: CONF Audit of: Warehousing Audit Evidence:	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart Seen and identified. ORMITY REPOR Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: ISO 22002-1:2009 5.7 TS – WAREHOUS Audit Criteria: PRP 1090:4.1.7	Auditees: Najorie Jennings-Dawkins SING Auditees: Najorie
Audit of: Warehousing Audit Evidence: Areas were clearly s Evaluation: Effectiveness: CONF Audit of: Warehousing Audit Evidence:	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart Seen and identified. ORMITY REPOR Auditor: Tiffani Stewart Production Manager	Audit Criteria: ISO 22002-1:2009 5.7 TS – WAREHOUS Audit Criteria: PRP 1090:4.1.7	Auditees: Najorie Jennings-Dawkins SING Auditees: Najorie Jennings-Dawkins

I					
Effectiveness:					
CONF	ORMITY REPOR	ΓS – WAREHOUS	SING		
Audit of:	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: PRP	Auditees: Najorie		
Warehousing	Stewart	1090:4.2.3	Jennings-Dawkins		
Audit Evidence:					
According to the true	ck driver, the food car	rier is used for both fo	ood and non-food		
_	eaned between loads				
Evaluation:					
Effectiveness:					
Lifective 11033.					
0011					
	ORMITY REPORT	,			
Audit of:	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: ISO 22000:6.2.2	Auditees: Najorie		
Warehousing Audit Evidence:	Stewart	ZZUUU.0.Z.Z	Jennings-Dawkins		
Fludit Evidorioo.					
	2041 Rev # 9 dated N	March 15th and April 3	30th, 2018.		
Evaluation:					
Effectiveness:					
		DEEENOE DIOV	TOU ANOT AND		
CONFORMITY RE		·	IGILANCE AND		
Audit of: Food	BIOTERR Auditor: Khamisha		Auditees: Dianna		
Defense,		PRP/AR-1110:4.2.1	Tomlinson		
Biovigilance and	VVIIIIairis	FIXI //XIX-1110.7.4.1	Oriminati		
Bioterrorism					
Audit Evidence:		1			

PRP/AR-1110:4.2.1	, 4.3.1.8, 4.5.2.3, Foo	d Defense, Biovigiland	ce and Bioterrorism.
	•	oonsibility to monitor the IT technician who re	•
Effectiveness:			,
CONFORMITY RE	EPORTS – FOOD BIOTERR	,	IGILANCE AND
Audit of: Food	r	Audit Criteria: PRP/	Auditees: Dianna
	Williams	Ar-1110:4.3.1.10	Tomlinson
Biovigilance and	VVIIIIairis	AI-1110.4.3.1.10	
Bioterrorism			
Audit Evidence:			
/ tadit Evidence.			
was trained in PRP/A employees were train Record and Food Def	R-1110, Food Defensed in Visitors control	, 2018, Kedine Hanso se and PRP-1140, Vis on July 24, 2018, MS- 017, MS-2041, Attend	itor Control. Other 2041, Attendance
Evaluation: New and existing en	nployees are trained i	n Food Defense and \	√isitor Control.
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY RE	PORTS – FOOD BIOTERR		IGILANCE AND
Audit of: Food	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: PRP/	Auditees: Dianna
	Williams		Tomlinson
Biovigilance and	Villiarrio	7 (17 17 10.1.0.0.1	
Bioterrorism			
Audit Evidence:			
It was observed that	the perimeter is prote	ected by fence.	
Evaluation:			
The General Manag	er stated that the peri	meter is protected by	fencing.
Effectiveness:		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

CONFORMITY REPORTS – FOOD DEFENSE, BIOVIGILANCE AND BIOTERRORISM

Audit of: Food	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: PRP/	Auditees: Dianna
Defense,	Williams	AR-1110:4.3.3.2	Tomlinson
Biovigilance and			
Bioterrorism			
Audit Evidence:			
It was observed that	t all exterior doors are	secured.	
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – FOOD DEFENSE, BIOVIGILANCE AND BIOTERRORISM

Audit of: Food	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: PRP/	Auditees: Dianna
Defense,	Williams	AR-1110:4.34.2	Tomlinson
Biovigilance and			
Bioterrorism			
Audit Evidence:			
It was observed that	the storage tanks are	e locked and hence pr	otected from
unauthorized access.			
Evaluation:			
The General Manag	er stated that the stor	age tanks are locked.	
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – FOOD DEFENSE, BIOVIGILANCE AND BIOTERRORISM

Audit of: Food	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: PRP/	Auditees: Dianna
Defense,	Williams	AR-1110:4.3.4.3	Tomlinson
Biovigilance and			
Bioterrorism			
Audit Evidence:			

It was observed that the electrical panel systems are protected from unauthorized access with doors and "authorized personnel only" signs.					
Evaluation:	d "authorized personr	nel only" signs.			
Effectiveness:					
CONFORMITY RE	EPORTS – FOOD	DEFENSE, BIOV	IGILANCE AND		
	BIOTERR	ORISM			
Audit of: Food	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: PRP/			
Defense, Biovigilance and	Williams	AR-1110:4.3.6.3	Tomlinson		
Bioterrorism					
Audit Evidence:					
bottling area. The Pro	ocessing workers were essing areas. Supervi	llow shirts and were o e observed in burgund sors at bottling had bl	dy shirts and were		
facility. They are colo	ur coded for the bottli	ees do not have accesing are solves and processing are solves and	eas. Supervisors are		
Effectiveness:					
CONFORMITY RE	EPORTS – FOOD BIOTERR	•	IGILANCE AND		
Audit of: Food	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: PRP/	Auditees: Dianna		
Defense,	Williams	AR-1110:4.4.3.2	Tomlinson		
Biovigilance and Bioterrorism					
Audit Evidence:		I			
Oshayne Malcolm, t room designated for I Evaluation:		or stated that the labe	els are stored in a		

Label room was observed which was locked.				
Effectiveness:				
CONFORMITY RE	PORTS – RECEI\ AND PACKAGIN		ATION OF RAW	
Audit of Descinal	T	•	A dita a a . Niai a ni a	
Audit of: Receival and Verification of Raw and Packaging Materials	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: PRP 1120 Sec 4.3.1	Auditees: Najorie Jennings-Dawkins	
Audit Evidence:				
materials and service		Specification sheet for seen.	or raw, packaging	
Evaluation:				
Effectiveness:				
CONFORMITY RE	PORTS – RECEI\ AND PACKAGIN			
Audit of: Receival	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Najorie	
and Verification of	Stewart	PRP-1120 Rev # 8,	Jennings-Dawkins	
Raw and Packaging		5.0		
Materials Audit Evidence:				
Audit Evidence.				
	al QC 4002 Lab Analy	sportation Log Purcha sis Packaging Materia ion Log - z file		
Evaluation:				
Effectiveness:				

CONFORMITY REPORTS – RECEIVAL AND VERIFICATION OF RAW AND PACKAGING MATERIALS

Audit of: Receival and Verification of	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: ISO 22000:6.2.2	Auditees: Najorie Jennings-Dawkins			
Raw and Packaging			_			
Materials						
Audit Evidence:						
Training record MS -2041 Rev #9, dated March 6, 2018 Evaluation:						
Effectiveness:						

CONFORMITY REPORTS – RECEIVAL AND VERIFICATION OF RAW AND PACKAGING MATERIALS

Audit of: Receival	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Najorie			
and Verification of	Stewart	PRP-1120 Section	Jennings-Dawkins			
Raw and Packaging		4.1.1.1				
Materials						
Audit Evidence:						
Information was found on checklist for Transportation Log-Purchasing PRP-1042 Rev #3 and Field Crop Delivery PRP-1044 Rev # 5.						
Evaluation:						
Effectiveness:						

CONFORMITY REPORTS – RECEIVAL AND VERIFICATION OF RAW AND PACKAGING MATERIALS

Audit of: Receival	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Najorie			
and Verification of	Stewart	PRP-1120 Sec	Jennings-Dawkins			
Raw and Packaging		4.1.1.2				
Materials						
Audit Evidence:						
Field Crop delivery Form PRP 1044 Rev #5, number 2 on checklist						

Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY RE	PORTS – RECEI\	AL AND VERIFIC	ATION OF RAW
	AND PACKAGIN		
Audit of: Receival	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Najorie
	Stewart	PRP-1120: 4.3.3	Jennings-Dawkins
Raw and Packaging			
Materials			
Audit Evidence:			
This procedure was	explained by the Prod	duction and Quality M	anager
Evaluation:	oxplained by the Free	addition and addity in	anagon
Effectiveness:			
	DODTO DECEN	/AL AND \/EDIEIC	ATION OF DAMA
CONFORMITY RE			ATION OF RAW
	AND PACKAGIN	1	
Audit of: Receival	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: PRP	Auditees: Najorie
	Stewart	1120 :4.1.2.2	Jennings-Dawkins
Raw and Packaging Materials			
Audit Evidence:			
Addit Evidence.			
This was confirmed	by Carl White, Hot Pe	epper Inventory Clerk.	
Evaluation:	•		
Effectiveness:			
Ellectiveness.			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – RECEIVAL AND VERIFICATION OF RAW AND PACKAGING MATERIALS

	.	·	
Audit of: Receival	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: PRP	Auditees: Najorie
and Verification of	Stewart	1120: 4.1.2.4	Jennings-Dawkins
Raw and Packaging			
Materials			
Audit Evidence:			
Carl White gave the	following information	:date, vendor's name	,address ,phone
1	•	, reaping information	•
· ·	· · · · ·	tatement . There is als	· •
Crop Delivery PRP-1	044 Rev # 4 dated Au	gust 15, 2018 was se	en.
Evaluation:		-	
Effectiveness:			
LifeCtiveriess.			
CONFORMITY RE	PORTS – RECEI\	AL AND VERIFIC	CATION OF RAW
	AND PACKAGIN	G MATERIALS	
Audit of: Receival	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: PRP	Auditees: Najorie
and Verification of	Stewart	1120:4.3.1	Jennings-Dawkins
Raw and Packaging			
Materials			
Audit Evidence:			
, ,	<u> </u>	e of raw material,lot no	- .
· ·		brix and measureme	5 5
		eter, height, length,	
	002 Rev#2 for Brown	sugar QC-4003 Rev #	2 for 5 oz bottles
Evaluation:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS - CONTROL OF SHARP OBJECT

Sharp Object	Jennings-Dawkins	1130: 4.1.1,4.1.2	Williams
Audit of: Control of	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: PRP	Auditees: Khamisha

Audit Evidence:

Effectiveness:

Based on procedure PRP 1130 the only two objects controlled were knives and broken bottles which was correctly answered by the process owner.

Evaluation:			
The process owner controlled	stated that knives an	d broken bottles are t	ne only sharp objects
Effectiveness:			
	1	ONTROL OF SHA	1
Audit of: Control of	Auditor: Najorie		Auditees: Khamisha
Sharp Object	Jennings-Dawkins	1130:4.1.1.2, 4.2.2.5	Williams
Audit Evidence:			
PRP 1131 Sharp C	hiects Control Form	PRP 1132, Broken Bo	attles Form
Evaluation:	bjects Control i omi	TRI 1132, DIOREII DO	illes i oiiii
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMIT	V REDORTS _ C	ONTROL OF SHA	PD OR IECT
Audit of: Control of	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Khamisha
Sharp Object	Jennings-Dawkins	PRP1130:4.1, 4.3,	Williams
	borningo bawano	4.4	Villiano
Audit Evidence:		1	l
	•	•	saying that the Quality
	_		ger are responsible for
		th the procedure, PRF	
	_	Manager, and Genera	Manager are
responsible for ensur Evaluation:	ing that sharp objects	s are controlled.	
Evaluation.			
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – VISITOR CONTROL

Audit of: Visitor	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Dianna
Control	Berry	PRP-1140:4.1.1.3	Tomlinson

Audit Evidence:			
Evaluation:			
The security states also made to the office		d to present an identil	fication and a call is
Effectiveness:			
CONEO	DMITY DEDODT	S – VISITOR CON	JTPOI
Audit of: Visitor	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Dianna
Control	Berry	PRP-1140:4.2.1	Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:	,		
Evaluation:			
Mre Dianna Tomline	con evoluined that an	y visitor entering the p	production area is
escorted by a person	•		oroduction area is
Effectiveness:			
	RMITY REPORT	<u>S – VISITOR CON</u>	NTROL
Audit of: Visitor	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Dianna
Control Audit Evidence:	Berry	PRP-1140:4.2.2	Tomlinson
Addit Evidence.			
Visitors Agreement	form Sean Maxam si	gned on the 23rd July	2018 Ferron Senior
signed on the 25th Ju	uly 2018.		
Evaluation:			
Upon interviewing N	/Irs. Dianna Tomlinso	n it was revealed that	the visitors
			ne inside of the facility.
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – VISITOR CONTROL
Audit of: Visitor Auditor: Clayton Audit Criteria: PRP- Auditees: Dianna

43 / 109

Control	Berry	1140:4.1.1.4	-4.1.1.5	Tomlinson		
Audit Evidence:						
Issued 5th May 2017.	CD3126DT,Issued 5 Vendor badge - #VI	•		•		
Evaluation:						
Neiko Robinson stat to the office.	es that a badge is giv	en to the visit	tors and	he then escorts them		
Effectiveness:						
	NONCONFOR	RMITY REP	ORT			
	ncident Identification	Number: 000	000.000	09		
Non-Conformity Rep #: 1	oort Auditor (s): Cla	yton Berry	Date: J	uly 30, 2018		
Audit of : Visitor Con						
Statement of Nonco	PRP-1140:4.1.1.	2				
The log book the second incomplete, the signature was not in compliance Responsible Party: I	e with PRP-1140:4.1.	imeout sectio				
Auditor Signature:		Signature:				
CONFORMITY REI	PORTS – DESTR	UCTION O	F TRA	DEMARK ITEMS		
Audit of: Destruction of Trademark Items	Auditor: Khamisha Williams	Audit Criteri PRP-1150:4.		Auditees: Clayton Berry		
Audit Evidence: PRP-1151. Trademark Destruction Log, Revision # 0.						
Evaluation:	iik Destruction Log, i	VEVISION # O.				
Labels are destroyed Effectiveness:	d when they becomes	s non-conform	ning or e	xpired/outdated.		

CONFORMITY RE	:PORTS – DESTR	UCTION OF TRA	DEMARK ITEMS
Audit of:	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Clayton
Destruction of	Williams	PRP-1150:4.2.3	Berry
Trademark Items			
Audit Evidence:			
Evaluation:			
	which shreds the entir	e label, therefore the	trademark is
completely destroyed	<u>d</u>		
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY RE	PORTS - DESTR	UCTION OF TRA	DEMARK ITEMS
Audit of:	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Clayton
Destruction of	Williams	PRP-1150:4.2.4	Berry
Trademark Items			
Audit Evidence:			
Trademark Destruc	tion Log, PRP-1151, F	Revision # 0.	
Evaluation:			
, ,	d in an interview that a	•	
	records are kept. This		
	On the form 500 outda	ited Jerk Seasoning L	abels and 300
expired 1 Gallon labe	els were destroyed.		
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY RE	:PORTS – DESTR	UCTION OF TRA	DEMARK ITEMS
Audit of:	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Clayton
Destruction of	Williams	PRP-1150	Berry
Trademark Items			
Audit Evidence:			
PRP-1150, Destruc	tion of Trademark Iten	ns, Revision # 0.	
Evaluation:			

1			
In an interview with Mrs. Tomlinson, she stated that a procedure is in place for the destruction of trademark items that shows how they are destroyed and who is			
		how they are destroy	ed and who is
responsible for destro	ying them.		
Ellectiveness.			
CONFORMITY RE	DODTS DESTD	LICTION OF TRA	DEMADE ITEMS
Audit of:	Auditor: Khamisha		Auditees: Clayton
	Williams	22000:2005 Clause	Berry
Trademark Items	VVIIIIaiiis	6.2.2	Бепу
Audit Evidence:		0.2.2	
Addit Evidence.			
MS-2041 Attendance	ce Record, Revision #	£ Q	
Evaluation:	50 110001a, 1101101011 //	<u> </u>	
The Attendance Red	cord, MS-2041, Dated	l on April 30, 2018, sh	nowed that Clayton
Berry who shredded I			•
Effectiveness:		•	
CONFOR	MITY REPORTS	- CHEMICAL CO	NTROL
Audit of: Chemical	Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Tiffani
Control	Malcolm	22002-1:12.2	Stewart
Audit Evidence:			
PRP Programme Ch	nemical Control Contr	ol No: PRP-1160 RE	/ISION:0
Evaluation:			
	ew with Tiffani Stewa		. •
	of chemicals and this	was confirmed by the	procedure PRP-1160
Effectiveness:			
0011505			NITEON
	MITY REPORTS		1
Audit of: Chemical	Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Tiffani
	Malcolm	22002-1:12.2	Stewart
Audit Evidence:			
Approved shareign	list Form No: PRP-11	61 Davisian #: 1	
- ADDIOVED CDEMICAL	ust comi No: PKP-11	D L KEVISION #: T	

Evaluation: Upon questioning Tiffani Stewart she stated that there is a approved chemical list and this was proven by the PRP-1161 Effectiveness: CONFORMITY REPORTS – CHEMICAL CONTROL Audit of: Chemical Auditor: Oshayne Audit Criteria: Auditees: Tiffani Control Malcolm PRP-1160:4.2 Stewart Audit Evidence: MSDS- TECHNICAL DATA REPORT SECT-O-CIDE Evaluation:
CONFORMITY REPORTS – CHEMICAL CONTROL Audit of: Chemical Auditor: Oshayne Audit Criteria: Auditees: Tiffani Control Malcolm PRP-1160:4.2 Stewart Audit Evidence: MSDS- TECHNICAL DATA REPORT SECT-O-CIDE
CONFORMITY REPORTS – CHEMICAL CONTROL Audit of: Chemical Auditor: Oshayne Audit Criteria: Auditees: Tiffani Control Malcolm PRP-1160:4.2 Stewart Audit Evidence: MSDS- TECHNICAL DATA REPORT SECT-O-CIDE
CONFORMITY REPORTS – CHEMICAL CONTROL Audit of: Chemical Auditor: Oshayne Audit Criteria: Auditees: Tiffani Control Malcolm PRP-1160:4.2 Stewart Audit Evidence: MSDS- TECHNICAL DATA REPORT SECT-O-CIDE
CONFORMITY REPORTS – CHEMICAL CONTROL Audit of: Chemical Auditor: Oshayne Audit Criteria: Auditees: Tiffani Control Malcolm PRP-1160:4.2 Stewart Audit Evidence: MSDS- TECHNICAL DATA REPORT SECT-O-CIDE
Audit of: Chemical Auditor: Oshayne Audit Criteria: Auditees: Tiffani Control Malcolm PRP-1160:4.2 Stewart Audit Evidence: MSDS- TECHNICAL DATA REPORT SECT-O-CIDE
Audit of: Chemical Auditor: Oshayne Audit Criteria: Auditees: Tiffani Control Malcolm PRP-1160:4.2 Stewart Audit Evidence: MSDS- TECHNICAL DATA REPORT SECT-O-CIDE
Audit of: Chemical Auditor: Oshayne Audit Criteria: Auditees: Tiffani Control Malcolm PRP-1160:4.2 Stewart Audit Evidence: MSDS- TECHNICAL DATA REPORT SECT-O-CIDE
Audit of: Chemical Auditor: Oshayne Audit Criteria: Auditees: Tiffani Control Malcolm PRP-1160:4.2 Stewart Audit Evidence: MSDS- TECHNICAL DATA REPORT SECT-O-CIDE
Audit of: Chemical Auditor: Oshayne Audit Criteria: Auditees: Tiffani Control Malcolm PRP-1160:4.2 Stewart Audit Evidence: MSDS- TECHNICAL DATA REPORT SECT-O-CIDE
Control Malcolm PRP-1160:4.2 Stewart Audit Evidence: MSDS- TECHNICAL DATA REPORT SECT-O-CIDE
MSDS- TECHNICAL DATA REPORT SECT-O-CIDE
Evaluation:
Tiffani Stewart state that the supplier/manufacture provide a MSDS upon request
and if it is a new supplier she will request both the MSDS and a sample of the product
Effectiveness:
CONFORMITY REPORTS – CHEMICAL CONTROL
Audit of: Chemical Auditor: Oshayne Audit Criteria: ISO Auditees: Tiffani
Control Malcolm 22002-1:16.2, Stewart
PRP-1160:4.4.5
Audit Evidence:
Observing the chemical store room
Evaluation:
Based on observation there is a chemical store room which was stated by Tiffani
Stewart and it was in an area to avoid contamination to products and raw material
Effectiveness:
CONFORMITY REPORTS – CHEMICAL CONTROL
CONFORMITY REPORTS – CHEMICAL CONTROL Audit of: Chemical Auditor: Oshayne Audit Criteria: Auditees: Tiffani Control Malcolm PRP-1160:4.4.11 Stewart
Audit of: Chemical Auditor: Oshayne Audit Criteria: Auditees: Tiffani

Attendance Record	Form No: MS-2041 F	REVISION #: 8 Septem	ber 27 2017
Evaluation:		-	
		art she stated the Ever	
	ise harmful chemical	and this was confirme	d by the MS-2O41
Effectiveness:			
CONFOR	MITY REPORTS	- CHEMICAL CO	NTROI
Audit of: Chemical	Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Tiffani
Control	Malcolm	PRP-1160:4.3.1	Stewart
Audit Evidence:			
CHEMICAL CONTR	ROL LOG Bleach Forr	m No: PRP-1162	
Evaluation:			
Titta ai Otassa at at at a	والموادية والموادات		
		are controlled by storing	•
log which also tells th		e also stated that there	is a chemical control
Effectiveness:	ie usage.		
Zirodavoriodo.			
CONFORMITY RE	PORTS - PROD	UCT INFORMATION	ON/CONSUMER
	AWARE	ENESS	
Audit of: Product Inf	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees:
ormation/Consumer	-	22002-1-2009:	
Awareness		Section 17.1	
Audit Evidence:			
	, ,	s sufficient information	•
1 0		t numbers and a nutrit	ionai panei. This
	sundamanta madra ini	to woo o d do oioio o o	
	customers to make in	formed decisions.	
Evaluation:	customers to make in	formed decisions.	
	customers to make in	formed decisions.	
	customers to make in	formed decisions.	
Evaluation:	customers to make in	formed decisions.	

CONFORMITY REPORTS – PRODUCT INFORMATION/CONSUMER AWARENESS

Audit of: Product Inf	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: PRP	Auditees:
	Jennings-Dawkins	1100: 4.1.2	
Awareness			
Audit Evidence:			
Five bottles of sauce	e from the same palle	t were randomly checl	ked and they were
	•	information printed or	<u>♥</u>
		CL (second line). The	
boxes was check	,	,	
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY RE	PORTS - PRODI	JCT INFORMATIO	N/CONSUMER
ONI ORIVIITI INL			DIN/CONSOIVILIX
	AWARE	,	
Audit of: Product Inf	,	Audit Criteria: PRP	Auditees:
	Jennings-Dawkins	1100; 4.2.1, 4.2.2,	
Awareness		4.2.3	
Audit Evidence:			
		ducts have been verifi	
		form. This form was cl	necked and 2
samples of the produ	ct were recorded.		
Evaluation:			
- · ·			
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY RE	PORTS - PRODU	JCT INFORMATION	ON/CONSUMER
	AWARE	NESS	
Audit of: Product Inf	1	Audit Criteria: PRP	Auditees:
	Jennings-Dawkins	1100, section 4.1	,
Awareness	Dawinio		
Audit Evidence:		<u>l</u>	<u> </u>
Addit EVIDERICE.			

	•	ne one responsible to ϵ	
	· ·	cordance to PRP 1100	·
	irmed in an interview	by the product Develo	pment Specialist.
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	′ REPORTS – MA	NAGEMENT OF A	ALLERGENS
Audit of:	Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Tiffani
Management of	Malcolm	PRP-1170: 4.0	Stewart
Allergens			
Audit Evidence:			
I ahels were observ	ed and non of the pro	duct had any allergen	s as raw materials
1		uce Warbac label: Car	
		Raisins, Ginger, Mang	_
•	ic, Black Pepper and		, ,
Evaluation:			
	e company does not	use an allergenic raw	material.
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	′ REPORTS – MA	NAGEMENT OF A	ALLERGENS
Audit of:	Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Tiffani
Management of	Malcolm	PRP-1170: 6.2.1	Stewart
Allergens			- 10 11 011 1
Audit Evidence:		•	
E disco			
Evaluation:			
 Upon interviewing T	iffani she explained tl	nat if the company sho	ould start using any
,	declared on the label		raid start doing arry
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – MANAGEMENT OF ALLERGENS Auditees: Tiffani Audit of: Auditor: Oshayne Audit Criteria: Management of Malcolm PRP-1170: 5.0 Stewart Allergens Audit Evidence: **Evaluation:** The Food Safety Team is responsible for carrying out the risk assessment for allergens. Effectiveness: CONFORMITY REPORTS – MANAGEMENT OF ALLERGENS Audit of: Auditees: Tiffani Auditor: Oshayne Audit Criteria: Management of Malcolm PRP-1170: 7.0 Stewart Allergens Audit Evidence: **Evaluation:** The inventory supervisor is responsible for receiving and storage or allergenic raw material. Effectiveness: CONFORMITY REPORTS – MANAGEMENT OF ALLERGENS Audit of: Auditor: Oshayne Auditees: Tiffani Audit Criteria: Management of Malcolm PRP-1170: 7.1.1.2- Stewart Allergens 7.1.1.4 Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Tiffani explained that allergenic raw materials would be stored in a separate area from non-allergenic raw materials and tagged. Effectiveness:

CONFORMITY	REPORTS – MAI	NAGEMENT OF A	ALLERGENS

Audit of:	Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Tiffani
Management of	Malcolm	PRP-1170: 8.1.1.3	Stewart
Allergens			
Audit Evidence:			
Evaluation:			
Tiffore: Otomore et et et	- al 4la a.4 . a.v. ii.a.ua. a.u.4 4la a.4		
	ed that equipment that		n allergens must be
Effectiveness:	efore changing over to	o a new product.	
Ellectiveriess.			
CONFORMITY	/ DEDODTS MA	NIACEMENT OF	ALLED CENIC
	REPORTS – MA		1
Audit of:	Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Tiffani
Management of	Malcolm	PRP-1170: 8.1.2.2-	Stewart
Allergens		8.1.2.4	
Audit Evidence:			
Evaluation:			
L valuation.			
Tiffani stated that h	and must be washed a	and sanitised after co	ming in with
	nust be stored in a des		_
allergens.	iddi be diored in a dec	signated allergen area	Separate from from
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	REPORTS - MAI	NAGEMENT RES	PONSIBILITY
Audit of:	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Dianna
Management	Williams	22000:2005 5.2	Tomlinson
Responsibility	Villianio	22000.2000 0.2	
Audit Evidence:			
/ tdait Evidence.			
The Pickapeppa Co	mpany Limited Policy	v. signed by Dircetor. S	Stephen Lyn Kee
Chow, May 5, 2017.	,,	, - g ,	
Evaluation:			

a platform of growth,	competent human res	sources, efficient Inter	nal and external
communication, meas	surable objectives and	d evaluation and revie	w of the
management system.			
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	DEDODTO MAA		
	1	NAGEMENT RESI	
Audit of:	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Dianna
Management Responsibility	Williams	22000:2015:5.5	Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:			
Addit Evidence.			
Appointment of Food	d Safety Team Leade	r, May 5, 2017. Diann	a Tomlinson was
1	-	the following responsi	
ľ.	•	sure training and edu	
_	_	y management syster	•
-		port on the effectivene	
management system		•	·
Evaluation:			
1		omlinson, stated that	•
		there responsibilities	
1	procedures. Reports t	o Top Management. E	Ensures that the tean
is trained.			
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	DEDODTO MAN		ONCIDILITY
	,	NAGEMENT RESI	
Audit of:	Auditor: Khamisha Williams	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Dianna
	williams 	22000:2005:5.6.1	Tomlinson
Responsibility Audit Evidence:			
Addit Evidence.			
Evaluation:			

Upon interviewing Mrs. Dianna Tomlinson it was determined that she is responsible for communicating externally with any issues concerning food safety. Some of the authorities that she communicates with are Health Department Bureau of Standard

Jamaica and FDA.

There is a food safety policy in place which states that The company is committed to

Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	REPORTS - MAN	NAGEMENT RESI	PONSIBILITY
Audit of:	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Dianna
1 9	Williams	22000:2005:5.6.1	Tomlinson
Responsibility			
Audit Evidence:			
Pickanenna Regulat	ory List was reviewed	d. One of the requirem	ante is as follows:
	•	Public Health Act- Pu	
handling) Regulations			•
Establishment.	,	J	
Evaluation:			
	•	uirements from regula	•
available and was cor	nfirmed with viewing t	he list, Pickapeppa R	egulatory List Rev #
U. Effectiveness:			
Ellectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	REPORTS - MAN	NAGEMENT RESI	PONSIBILITY
Audit of:	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Dianna
Management	Williams	22000:2005:5.7	Tomlinson
Responsibility			
Audit Evidence:			
	dness and Response	, MS-2260, Rev #0, 4	.8
Evaluation:			
Tiffoni ototo dithoti o	nranadura far Emarca	nav Dranaradnasa an	d Dagnanaa ia in
place and was observ		ency Preparedness ar	id Response is in
Effectiveness:	760 WILLI TOTTI # 1VIO-22	200 Nev. #0.	
Lifective fiess.			
CONFORMITY	REPORTS - MAN	NAGEMENT RESI	PONSIBILITY
Audit of:	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Dianna
Management	Williams	22000:2005: 4.2.3	Tomlinson
Responsibility			

Audit Evidence:			
Quality/Environment	tal Management Revi	ew: Internal Audit:40 p	processes were
1	•	for attention and 11 o	
l .		ces were closed and a	
1 -		Analysis of the verifica	
trending, resources a		•	, , ,,
Evaluation:		•	
Dianna Tomlinson s	tated in an interview t	hat there is a report fo	or the last
management review		· ·	
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	REPORTS - MAN	NAGEMENT RESI	PONSIBILITY
Audit of:	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Dianna
	Williams	22000:2005:5.8.1	Tomlinson
Responsibility	Villianis	22000.2000.0.0.1	
Audit Evidence:			
Addit Evidence.			
Management Review	w MS-2030 Rev #1 s	tates that the General	Manager schedules
management review		tates that the Scholar	Manager soriedates
Evaluation:	or once per year.		
Upon interviewing D	ianna Tomlinson it wa	as noted that Top Mar	nagement reviews the
		stem at planned interv	
l ·		veness. This is done t	
Review which is done			
Effectiveness:	, том раз у сем		
CONEODMITY	DEDODTS MAN	NAGEMENT RESI	ONCIDII ITV
Audit of:	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: ISO:2	
3	Williams	2000:2005:5.8.2	Tomlinson
Responsibility Audit Evidence:			
Audit Evidence:			
The Dielegrance Co	manager Fand Cafater	Managamant Daview	A sign da Oatabar 04
	mpany, Food Safety i	Management Review A	Agenda, October 24,
2017.			
Evaluation:			

	Management Review	•	· ·
Effectiveness:	corrective actions and	analysis of verification	on activities.
Encouveriess.			
CONFORMITY	DEDODTO MAN		
Audit of:	REPORTS – MAN Auditor: Khamisha	1	Auditees: Dianna
Management	Williams	22000:2005:5.8.3	Tomlinson
Responsibility			
Audit Evidence:		•	
Evaluation:			
, ,	management review i		•
	Allocations Food Saf		rget Action Plan
	commendations for im	provement	
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	REPORTS - MAN	NAGEMENT RES	PONSIBILITY
Audit of:	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Dianna
Management	Williams	MS-2270	Tomlinson
Responsibility			
Audit Evidence:			
Organizational Chai	rt MS-2270		
Evaluation:	t, WO ZZI O		
There is an organiza	ational chart for the fa	cility that list employe	es from Owners to
ancillary staff and pro	duction line workers.		
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	REPORTS - VER	RIFICATION AND	VALIDATION
Audit of: Verification	T	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Khamisha
and Validation	Malcolm	MS-2050: 4.1	Williams
Audit Evidence:			

https://fsscverification	onsoftware.com/index	c.php/Company/perfo	rmance
Evaluation:			
Khamisha Williams do there inspection, in generated to show co	nput the the informati	on into the system ar	tool, create a checklist nd a report is
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	REPORTS – VER	RIFICATION AND	VALIDATION
Audit of: Verification	Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Khamisha
and Validation	Malcolm	MS-2050: 4.3.1	Williams
Audit Evidence:			
https://foosy.crificatio	ana aftarana ao malia da a	, nhn/Camanany/narfa	W100 0 10 0 0
Evaluation:	onsoftware.com/index	a.pnp/Company/peno	rmance
Evaluation.			
Khamisha Williams	stated that the Verifie	er and the Process Ov	wner are responsible
for the verification pro	ocess.		•
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	REPORTS – VER		VALIDATION
Audit of: Verification		Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Khamisha
and Validation	Malcolm	22000: 8.2	Williams
Audit Evidence:	<u> </u>	<u></u>	TTIMO TITO
	tesr 25/2018/4844 an	nd No. tesr 26/2018/4	842
Evaluation:			
Khamiaha Williama	atatad that tha aaron.	anu validation in dana	. h.v. a a n din a a a annala
1	•	•	by sending a sample by the BSJ. The HPS
wasn't sent in because		•	by the BSS. The HFS
Effectiveness:	, a trioro mao riorio irr	<u> </u>	

CONFORMITY REPORTS – TRACEABILITY/MOCK RECALL

Auditor: Clayton

Berry

Audit Criteria:

MS-2060:4.1.1.2

Audit of:

Traceability/Mock

Auditees: Najorie

Jennings-Dawkins

Audit Evidence:					
Evaluation:	COOKING LOG-HOT PEPPER HP-3006 YOUNG AUGUST 8TH 2018				
Evaluation.					
Carl White one of th	e person in charge of	receiving red peppers	s explained that the		
	s by using the surnan		-		
was received.					
Effectiveness:					
CONEODMITY	Y REPORTS – TR	ACEARII ITV/MO	CK DECVII		
Audit of:	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Najorie		
Traceability/Mock	Berry	MS-2060:4.1.1.4 -	Jennings-Dawkins		
Recall	Derry	4.1.1.5	Deminigo Dawkino		
Audit Evidence:		1			
No non-conforming	raw materials were re	ceived.			
Evaluation:					
	with Oshayne Malcolr	•	• •		
conforming raw mate	be notified then the ray	w material would be s	tored in the non-		
Effectiveness:	nai siorage area.				
Lifective 11633.					
CONFORMIT	Y REPORTS – TR	ACEABILITY/MO	CK RECALL		
CONFORMIT` Audit of:	Y REPORTS – TR Auditor: Clayton				
Audit of:	Auditor: Clayton	ACEABILITY/MO Audit Criteria: MS-2060:4.3.1.3	Auditees: Najorie		
	_	Audit Criteria:			
Audit of: Traceability/Mock	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Najorie		
Audit of: Traceability/Mock Recall	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Najorie		
Audit of: Traceability/Mock Recall Audit Evidence: Michael Nunes One	Auditor: Clayton Berry pallet of pickapeppa	Audit Criteria: MS-2060:4.3.1.3	Auditees: Najorie Jennings-Dawkins		
Audit of: Traceability/Mock Recall Audit Evidence: Michael Nunes One 14TH 2021 BNH1411	Auditor: Clayton Berry pallet of pickapeppa	Audit Criteria: MS-2060:4.3.1.3	Auditees: Najorie Jennings-Dawkins		
Audit of: Traceability/Mock Recall Audit Evidence: Michael Nunes One	Auditor: Clayton Berry pallet of pickapeppa	Audit Criteria: MS-2060:4.3.1.3	Auditees: Najorie Jennings-Dawkins		
Audit of: Traceability/Mock Recall Audit Evidence: Michael Nunes One 14TH 2021 BNH1411 Evaluation:	Auditor: Clayton Berry pallet of pickapeppa	Audit Criteria: MS-2060:4.3.1.3 sauce containing 200	Auditees: Najorie Jennings-Dawkins sauces, BB AUG		
Audit of: Traceability/Mock Recall Audit Evidence: Michael Nunes One 14TH 2021 BNH1411 Evaluation: Michael Nunes the p	Auditor: Clayton Berry pallet of pickapeppa	Audit Criteria: MS-2060:4.3.1.3 sauce containing 200 ackaging explained that	Auditees: Najorie Jennings-Dawkins sauces, BB AUG		
Audit of: Traceability/Mock Recall Audit Evidence: Michael Nunes One 14TH 2021 BNH1411 Evaluation: Michael Nunes the p	Auditor: Clayton Berry pallet of pickapeppa	Audit Criteria: MS-2060:4.3.1.3 sauce containing 200 ackaging explained that	Auditees: Najorie Jennings-Dawkins sauces, BB AUG		

CONFORMITY REPORTS - TRACEABILITY/MOCK RECALL

Audit of:	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Najorie	
Traceability/Mock	Berry	MS-2060:4.3.1.1	Jennings-Dawkins	
Recall				
Audit Evidence:				
Videojet excel 2000 Three bottles Pickapeppa sauce, BB AUG 15 2021 H15M113PCL				
Evaluation:				
Observation of the ir sprayed onto the bott the format stated in MEffectiveness:	les, three bottles of pi			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – TRACEABILITY/MOCK RECALL

Audit of:	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Najorie		
Traceability/Mock	Berry	MS-2060:4.4.1.1	Jennings-Dawkins		
Recall					
Audit Evidence:					
Transportation Log-	sales MS-2201 WAR	BAC 378			
Evaluation:					
Production Manage	Production Manager responded by saying that all finished products are traceable.				
Effectiveness:					

CONFORMITY REPORTS – RECALL/WITHDRAWAL

Audit of:	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: MS	Auditees: Dianna
Recall/Withdrawal	Jennings-Dawkins	2090	Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:			
MS 2090			
Evaluation:			
A recall procedure	exists with all the step	s that need to be follo	wed in the case of a
recall			

Effectiveness:			
CONFORI	MITY REPORTS -	- RECALL/WITHD	RAWAL
Audit of:	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: TBD	Auditees: Dianna
Recall/Withdrawal	Jennings-Dawkins		Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:			
· ·		Manager the facility ho show that a recall w	
Evaluation:	olus were available t	O SHOW that a recall w	as done.
Effectiveness:			
Lifectiveriess.			
CONFOR	MITY DEDODES		
Audit of:	Auditor: Najorie	 RECALL/WITHD Audit Criteria: MS 	Auditees: Dianna
Recall/Withdrawal	Jennings-Dawkins	2090; 4.2.4	Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:	<u> </u>		
Dood on procedure	ME 2000 SECTION	I 4 2 4 all diatributara	abould be petified
· •		I 4.2.4, all distributors the response that the	
Evaluation:			process commer general
Effectiveness:			
CONFORI	MITY REPORTS -	- RECALL/WITHD	RAWAI
Audit of:	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: MS	Auditees: Dianna
Recall/Withdrawal	Jennings-Dawkins	2090; Section 4.2.1	Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:			
MS 2090: Section 4	.2.1 states that the Ro	ecall coordinator is res	sponsible for
· ·		nse was given by the	•
Evaluation:			

[=# #			
Effectiveness:			
CONFORI	MITY REPORTS -	- RECALL/WITHD	RAWAL
Audit of:	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: MS	Auditees: Dianna
Recall/Withdrawal	Jennings-Dawkins	2090; Section 4.3.5	Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:			
The recall report wo	auld he the record to s	show all recalls that ha	ave heen done
1		all so no such record	
Evaluation:			
-			
Effectiveness:			
CONFORI	MITY REPORTS -	- RECALL/WITHD	RAWAL
Audit of:	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: N/A	Auditees: Dianna
Recall/Withdrawal	Jennings-Dawkins		Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:			
Evaluation:			
Evaluation.			
Based on an intervie	ew with Quality Mana	ger it was determined	that a recall would
1 '			ath. Such trigger could
	were in the procedure	e for future reference	
Effectiveness:			
CONF	ORMITY REPORT	ΓS – INTERNAL A	UDIT
Audit of: Internal	Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Khamisha
Audit	Malcolm	MS-2100:4.1.2	Williams
Audit Evidence:			
Evaluation:			
Khamisha Williams	stated that internal au	udit of the food safety	management system

is is a minimum of or	nce per vear and is do	ne in conjunction with	the verification
activities.	ioo poi your uniu io uo		
Effectiveness:			
Lifeotiveriess.			
CONF	ORMITY REPORT	ΓS – INTERNAL A	UDIT
Audit of: Internal	Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Khamisha
Audit	Malcolm	MS-2100:4.1.3	Williams
Audit Evidence:	.1		
, taan 2 maanaan			
Evaluation:			
Evaluation.			
Khamisha Williama	the internal lead and	itor cave it is her reco	ancibility to cobodula
		itor says it is her respective	•
	a they are scheduled	by the processes and	the requirements of
the standards.			
Effectiveness:			
CONF	ORMITY REPORT	ΓS – INTERNAL A	UDIT
Audit of: Internal	Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Khamisha
Audit	Malcolm	MS-2100:4.1.5	Williams
Audit Evidence:	Iviaiconn	WO 2100.4.1.0	IVVIIII arris
Addit Evidence.			
Audit Evenutive Cur	mman, MC 240C Day	. 40	
Audit Executive Sur	nmary, ivio-z rub, key		
		V #0	
Evaluation:		v #0	
	·		
Khamisha Williams	stated that a report is	generated after the ir	•
Khamisha Williams reviewing the report	stated that a report is the last internal audit	generated after the ir of the Food Safety Ma	nagement System
Khamisha Williams reviewing the report was done Septembe	stated that a report is the last internal audit r 6 to October 11, 201	generated after the ir of the Food Safety Ma 17. It includes the sum	nagement System mary of all Non-
Khamisha Williams reviewing the report was done Septembe	stated that a report is the last internal audit r 6 to October 11, 201	generated after the ir of the Food Safety Ma	nagement System mary of all Non-
Khamisha Williams reviewing the report was done Septembe conformities, opportu	stated that a report is the last internal audit r 6 to October 11, 201 inity for improvement	generated after the ir of the Food Safety Ma 17. It includes the sum	nagement System mary of all Non- n.lt also includes the
Khamisha Williams reviewing the report was done Septembe conformities, opportu	stated that a report is the last internal audit r 6 to October 11, 201 inity for improvement	generated after the ir of the Food Safety Ma 7. It includes the sum and areas for attentio	nagement System mary of all Non- n.lt also includes the
Khamisha Williams reviewing the report was done Septembe conformities, opportuaudit plan audit sche	stated that a report is the last internal audit r 6 to October 11, 201 inity for improvement	generated after the ir of the Food Safety Ma 7. It includes the sum and areas for attentio	nagement System mary of all Non- n.lt also includes the
Khamisha Williams reviewing the report was done Septembe conformities, opportuaudit plan audit sche	stated that a report is the last internal audit r 6 to October 11, 201 inity for improvement	generated after the ir of the Food Safety Ma 7. It includes the sum and areas for attentio	nagement System mary of all Non- n.lt also includes the
Khamisha Williams reviewing the report was done Septembe conformities, opportuaudit plan audit sche	stated that a report is the last internal audit r 6 to October 11, 201 inity for improvement	generated after the ir of the Food Safety Ma 7. It includes the sum and areas for attentio	nagement System mary of all Non- n.lt also includes the
Khamisha Williams reviewing the report was done Septembe conformities, opportuaudit plan audit sche	stated that a report is the last internal audit r 6 to October 11, 201 inity for improvement	generated after the ir of the Food Safety Ma 7. It includes the sum and areas for attentio	nagement System mary of all Non- n.lt also includes the
Khamisha Williams reviewing the report was done Septembe conformities, opportuaudit plan audit sche Effectiveness:	stated that a report is the last internal audit of 6 to October 11, 201 unity for improvement dule, conformity and r	generated after the ir of the Food Safety Ma 7. It includes the sum and areas for attentio non-conformity reports	nagement System mary of all Non- n.It also includes the
Khamisha Williams reviewing the report was done Septembe conformities, opportuaudit plan audit sche Effectiveness:	stated that a report is the last internal audit of 6 to October 11, 201 unity for improvement dule, conformity and i	generated after the ir of the Food Safety Ma 17. It includes the sum and areas for attentio non-conformity reports	unagement System mary of all Non- n.It also includes the s.
Khamisha Williams reviewing the report was done Septembe conformities, opportuaudit plan audit sche Effectiveness: CONFO Audit of: Internal	stated that a report is the last internal audit of 6 to October 11, 201 unity for improvement dule, conformity and occupant ORMITY REPORT Auditor: Oshayne	generated after the ir of the Food Safety Ma 17. It includes the sum and areas for attentio non-conformity reports TS – INTERNAL A Audit Criteria:	unagement System mary of all Non- n.It also includes the s. UDIT Auditees: Khamisha
Khamisha Williams reviewing the report was done Septembe conformities, opportuaudit plan audit sche Effectiveness:	stated that a report is the last internal audit of 6 to October 11, 201 unity for improvement dule, conformity and i	generated after the ir of the Food Safety Ma 17. It includes the sum and areas for attentio non-conformity reports	unagement System mary of all Non- n.It also includes the s.

Evaluation:			
effectiveness. He revand documents all no	riews the documents a	nternal auditor is to aud and records for the pro opportunities for improportunities	ocess being audited
Effectiveness:			
	1	S – INTERNAL A	
Audit of: Internal Audit	Auditor: Oshayne Malcolm	Audit Criteria: MS-2100:4.2.6	Auditees: Khamisha Williams
Audit Evidence:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<u></u>	
Evaluation:			
effectiveness of the o		r is responsible for ver auditor would review t	• •
Effectiveness:	s are being camed of	и.	
CONFORMITY	′ REPORTS – MIL	LING OF DRY IN	GREDIENTS
Audit of: Milling of Dry Ingredients	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: MS 2110 : 5.1	Auditees:
Audit Evidence:			
Spice Mill Log - MS	-2111 Rev #0		
Evaluation:			
Spice Mill Log prese	ent		
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – MILLING OF DRY INGREDIENTS

Audit of: Milling of Auditor: Tiffani Audit Criteria: Auditees:

63 / 109

Dry Ingredients	Stewart	PRP-1030:4.3.3.1	
Audit Evidence:		1	
	•	scales to show that sca	ales are calibrated
Evaluation:	calibrated in Septemb	per 2018.	
L valuation.			
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	OFDODTO MIL		ODEDIENTO
	Auditor: Tiffani	LING OF DRY ING Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees:
Audit of: Milling of Dry Ingredients	Stewart	22000:2005 Clause	Additees.
Bry mgrodiomo	Otowart	6.2.2	
Audit Evidence:	1	1	
		ned that workers are tr	ained . Training
	#9 dated July 31,2018	3.	
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
			_
		ORTS – WEIGHIN	
Audit of: Weighing	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria:	Auditees:
	Stewart	MS-2120: 4.2.1.1-	
		4.2.1.5 ,4.2.2.1-4.2.2.4	
Audit Evidence:		,4.2.2.1-4.2.2.4	
/ tauti = viaonosi			
Michael Nunes and	Everton Powell were	both interviewed and	were knowledgeable
	ss they were question	ned.	
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
LIIGUIVEIIGSS.			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – WEIGHING

Audit of: Weighing	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: ISO 22000:2005 Clause 6.2.2	Auditees:
Audit Evidence:		•	
	_		
Training record MS- record seen. Weighin		eptember 25,2017 was chedule for 2018.	s the most recent
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
С	ONFORMITY RE	PORTS - DICING	
Audit of: Dicing	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: MS-2	Auditees:
	Stewart	130:4.1.1.1-4.1.1.6	
Audit Evidence:			
Carl White explained	d the dicina process v	which is in line with pro	ocedure MS-2130
Evaluation:	а по спотурговов т		2100
F(():			
Effectiveness:			
С	ONFORMITY RE	PORTS - DICING	
Audit of: Dicing	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: ISO 22000:2005 Clause 6.2.2	Auditees:
Audit Evidence:		0.2.2	
		mber 2017 was when	
	dicing process is on	the schedule for 2018	
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS - PRESERVED PEPPERS

Audit of: Preserved	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Carl
Peppers	Berry	MS-2150:4.1.1	White
Audit Evidence:	,	1	
Evaluation:			
Carl White stated that	at the peppers are ins	spected and sorted. T	hey are then washed,
	olution and then rinsed	•	,
Effectiveness:			
CONFOR	AITY DEDODTS		
	1	- PRESERVED PE	
Audit of: Preserved	· ·	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Carl
	Berry	MS-2150:4.1.7	White
Audit Evidence:			
-			
Evaluation:			
	at 5% of the weight of	the pepper of salt is	used for preservation.
Effectiveness:			
CONFORM	MITY REPORTS -	- PRESERVED PE	EPPERS
Audit of: Preserved		Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Carl
	Berry	MS-2150:4.1.8	White
Audit Evidence:			
Cooking Log - Hot P	Penner (Kettle) HP-30	005, Rev # 2 Cooking	Log - Hot Pepper
(Stove), HP-3006, Re		,00, 1101 // 2 00011111g	209 11011 00001
Evaluation:	, v 11 0		
Evaluation.			
Carl White stated the	at when nenners are	cooked in the kettle fo	or preservation they
		they are cooked on th	
cooked for 20 mins at		iney are cooked on in	e stove triey are
Effectiveness:	. OJ C.		
LIIGUUVEIIESS.			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – PRESERVED PEPPERS

Audit of: Preserved	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Carl			
Peppers	Berry	MS-2150:4.1.10	White			
Audit Evidence:						
Evaluation:						
Carl White stated that	at the plastic drums u	sed for preserving the	e peppers are			
washed, rinsed and s	teamed.					
Effectiveness:						
CONFORM	MITY REPORTS -	- PRESERVED PE	EPPERS			
Audit of: Preserved	l •	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Carl			
— ' '	Berry	MS-2150:4.1.12	White			
Audit Evidence:						
Evaluation:						
'	Upon interviewing Carl White he stated that 5 gallons of 10 percent vinegar is used					
in the preservation of the peppers. Effectiveness:						
Zirodavonodo.						
CONFORMITY RE	ı	1	1			
Audit of: Extraction	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Everton			
of Pickapeppa Sauce	Williams		Powell			
Audit Evidence:		6.2.2				
Addit Evidence.						
Attendance Record, MS-2041, Rev #9, July 13, 2018.						
Evaluation:						
The American Broad later at the will be 18 and 18 a						
The Attendance Record showed that the auditee, Everton Powell, who operates the extractor had a refresher training on July 13, 2018.						
Effectiveness:	Ther training on July 1.	3, 2010.				
Zirodavonodo.						

C	CONFORMITY REPORTS – EXTRACTION OF PICKAPEPPA SAUCE				
[Audit of: Extraction of Pickapeppa Sauce	Auditor: Khamisha Williams		Auditees: Everton Powell	
	Audit Evidence:				
	Evaluation:		h	and since all before the	
	i ne production supe contents are removed		barrels are steamed a	and rinsed before the	
	Effectiveness:	4.			
C	ONFORMITY RE	PORTS – EXTRA	ACTION OF PICKA		
	Audit of: Extraction of Pickapeppa Sauce	Auditor: Khamisha Williams		Auditees: Everton Powell	
	Audit Evidence:	PPS and Tomato For			
	Evaluation:		622 623 624 625, 626	, 627	
	Effectiveness:				
CONFORMITY REPORTS – EXTRACTION OF PICKAPEPPA SAUCE					
	Audit of: Extraction of Pickapeppa Sauce	Auditor: Khamisha Williams	Audit Criteria: MS-2160:4.2.2	Auditees: Everton Powell	
	Audit Evidence:				
ć	Production supervisor confirmed that the sauce is pumped through stainless pipes as stated in the procedure, MS-2160.				
	Evaluation:				
į	The extracted sauce he kettle.	e is pumped from the	extractor through stair	nless steel pipes to	
f	Effectiveness:				

NONCONFORMITY REPORT

laaid	ent Identification	Nicconductor 0000	200 000	10
Non-Conformity Report #: 1	Auditor (s): Kha Williams	IIIISIIa	Date. A	ugust 2, 2018
Audit of : Extraction of	Audit Criteria:			
Pickapeppa Sauce	MS-2160:4.1.1			
Statement of Nonconfor				
	,			
The production supervis	or, Everton Powe	II, stated that	barrels a	are selected base on
the number and production	on date on the lab	el. One set of	barrels	was not selected
based on the numbers on	the labels. This i	s not in accor	dance w	ith MS-2160:4.1.1
which states that "Selects	aged sauce in b	arrels based o	on manuf	factured date, under
the directives of the Produ	uction Supervisor	." First In First	t Out wa	s not practiced.
Responsible Party: Najo	rie Jennings-Daw	kins		
Auditor Signature:		Signature:		
CONFORMITY REPO	RTS – PROCE	SSING OF	HOT F	PEPPER SAUCE
A dit of Dun a a a sin al A	alita v. Oalaas vaa	A 114 O 14 1		A 114 - 4
Audit of: Processing Au	ditor: Osnayne	Audit Criteri	a:	Auditees: Everton
of Hot Pepper Sauce Male	•	MS-2170: 4.1		Auditees: Everton Powell
1 9	•			
of Hot Pepper Sauce Mal	•			
of Hot Pepper Sauce Male Audit Evidence:	•			
of Hot Pepper Sauce Mal	•			
of Hot Pepper Sauce Male Audit Evidence: Evaluation:	colm	MS-2170: 4.1	.2	Powell
of Hot Pepper Sauce Male Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carl White stated that the	e barrels are sele	MS-2170: 4.1	.2	Powell
of Hot Pepper Sauce Male Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carl White stated that the barrel number and produce.	e barrels are sele	MS-2170: 4.1	.2	Powell
of Hot Pepper Sauce Male Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carl White stated that the	e barrels are sele	MS-2170: 4.1	.2	Powell
of Hot Pepper Sauce Male Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carl White stated that the barrel number and produce.	e barrels are sele	MS-2170: 4.1	.2	Powell
of Hot Pepper Sauce Male Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carl White stated that the barrel number and produce.	e barrels are sele	MS-2170: 4.1	.2	Powell
of Hot Pepper Sauce Male Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carl White stated that the barrel number and produce.	e barrels are sele	MS-2170: 4.1	.2	Powell
of Hot Pepper Sauce Male Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carl White stated that the barrel number and produce Effectiveness:	e barrels are selection date.	MS-2170: 4.1	n the lab	Powell els which have
of Hot Pepper Sauce Male Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carl White stated that the barrel number and productions.	e barrels are selection date.	MS-2170: 4.1	n the lab	Powell els which have
of Hot Pepper Sauce Male Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carl White stated that the barrel number and produce Effectiveness:	e barrels are selection date.	MS-2170: 4.1	n the lab	Powell els which have
of Hot Pepper Sauce Male Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carl White stated that the barrel number and produce Effectiveness: CONFORMITY REPORT Audit of: Processing Audit of the Pepper Sauce Male Audit Male Audit of the Pepper Sauce Male Audit Evidence Sauce S	e barrels are selection date. RTS – PROCE	MS-2170: 4.1	n the lab	Pels which have
of Hot Pepper Sauce Male Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carl White stated that the barrel number and produce Effectiveness: CONFORMITY REPORT Audit of: Processing Audit States Sauce Male Male Male Male Male Male Male Mal	e barrels are selection date. RTS – PROCE	ected based o	n the lab	Pels which have Pepper Sauce Auditees: Everton
of Hot Pepper Sauce Male Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carl White stated that the barrel number and produce Effectiveness: CONFORMITY REPORT Audit of: Processing Audit Desper Sauce Male Audit Evidence:	e barrels are selection date. RTS – PROCE ditor: Oshayne colm	ected based o SSING OF Audit Criteri MS-2170: 4.3	n the lab HOT F a: 3.1	Pels which have PEPPER SAUCE Auditees: Everton Powell
of Hot Pepper Sauce Male Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carl White stated that the barrel number and produce Effectiveness: CONFORMITY REPORT Audit of: Processing Audit Evidence: Lab Analysis-Processing	e barrels are selection date. RTS – PROCE ditor: Oshayne colm	ected based o SSING OF Audit Criteri MS-2170: 4.3	n the lab HOT F a: 3.1	Pels which have PEPPER SAUCE Auditees: Everton Powell
of Hot Pepper Sauce Male Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carl White stated that the barrel number and produce Effectiveness: CONFORMITY REPORT Audit of: Processing Audit Desper Sauce Male Audit Evidence:	e barrels are selection date. RTS – PROCE ditor: Oshayne colm	ected based o SSING OF Audit Criteri MS-2170: 4.3	n the lab HOT F a: 3.1	Pels which have PEPPER SAUCE Auditees: Everton Powell

Khamisha Williams stated that the tests of	arried out on the hot p	pepper sauce are
Yeast,, Acidity, pH, Brix, Sensory Evaluation	on, Extraneous Matte	r, Howard Mould
Count and Viscosity.		
Effectiveness:		
CONFORMITY REPORTS – PROCE	ESSING OF HOT	PEPPER SAUCE
Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton
of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm	MS-2170: 4.1.3	Powell
Audit Evidence:		
Mixing of Hot Donner Squar Form MS 2	171 rov #2 Parrala a	used of December
Mixing of Hot Pepper Sauce Form, MS-2 20, 2017 are 114, 29, 23, 132-135, 137, 13		used of December
Evaluation:	50.	
Carl White Barrels numbers are recorded	I on the Mixing of Hot	Pepper Sauce Form.
Effectiveness:		
CONFORMITY REPORTS - PROCE	ESSING OF HOT	PEPPER SALICE
		T
Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteria: MS-2170: 4.1	PEPPER SAUCE Auditees: Everton Powell
	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton
Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton
Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm Audit Evidence:	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton
Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton
Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm Audit Evidence: Evaluation:	Audit Criteria: MS-2170: 4.1	Auditees: Everton Powell
Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carlos White stated that he and the produce of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm Auditor: Oshayne Malcolm	Audit Criteria: MS-2170: 4.1 uction supervisor are	Auditees: Everton Powell
Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm Audit Evidence: Evaluation:	Audit Criteria: MS-2170: 4.1 uction supervisor are	Auditees: Everton Powell
of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carlos White stated that he and the producelecting the barrels to be used in the hot	Audit Criteria: MS-2170: 4.1 uction supervisor are	Auditees: Everton Powell
Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carlos White stated that he and the producelecting the barrels to be used in the hot	Audit Criteria: MS-2170: 4.1 uction supervisor are	Auditees: Everton Powell
Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carlos White stated that he and the producelecting the barrels to be used in the hot	Audit Criteria: MS-2170: 4.1 uction supervisor are	Auditees: Everton Powell
Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carlos White stated that he and the prodeselecting the barrels to be used in the hot Effectiveness:	Audit Criteria: MS-2170: 4.1 uction supervisor are pepper sauce process	Auditees: Everton Powell responsible for
Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carlos White stated that he and the produce selecting the barrels to be used in the hot Effectiveness: CONFORMITY REPORTS – PROCE	Audit Criteria: MS-2170: 4.1 uction supervisor are pepper sauce process	Auditees: Everton Powell responsible for s.
Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carlos White stated that he and the prodeselecting the barrels to be used in the hot Effectiveness: CONFORMITY REPORTS – PROCE Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteria: MS-2170: 4.1 uction supervisor are pepper sauce process ESSING OF HOT Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton Powell responsible for s. PEPPER SAUCE Auditees: Everton
Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carlos White stated that he and the produce selecting the barrels to be used in the hot Effectiveness: CONFORMITY REPORTS – PROCE Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm	Audit Criteria: MS-2170: 4.1 uction supervisor are pepper sauce process	Auditees: Everton Powell responsible for s.
Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carlos White stated that he and the produce selecting the barrels to be used in the hot Effectiveness: CONFORMITY REPORTS – PROCE Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne	Audit Criteria: MS-2170: 4.1 uction supervisor are pepper sauce process ESSING OF HOT Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton Powell responsible for s. PEPPER SAUCE Auditees: Everton
Audit of: Processing of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm Audit Evidence: Evaluation: Carlos White stated that he and the produce selecting the barrels to be used in the hot Effectiveness: CONFORMITY REPORTS – PROCE Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm	Audit Criteria: MS-2170: 4.1 uction supervisor are pepper sauce process ESSING OF HOT Audit Criteria: MS-2170: 4.3.2	Auditees: Everton Powell responsible for s. PEPPER SAUCE Auditees: Everton Powell

XM-Nil, Brix-23.8, Viscosity-13.5 cm, Howard Mold Count-0 Lab QC-4001g, Rev #0 Yeast-negative	Analysis-Yeast Test,			
Evaluation:				
Khamisha Williams stated that the results of the Hot Pepper Sa	auce is recorded on			
the Lab Analysis-Pickapeppa Hot Pepper Sauce.				
Effectiveness:				
CONFORMITY REPORTS - PROCESSING OF HOT	PEPPER SAUCE			
Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton			
	Powell			
Audit Evidence:				
Evaluation:				
L valdation.				
Carl White explained that the barrels are selected, rinsed and steamed. The barrel				
head is removed and context mixed. The liquid is extracted into	the homogeniser. All			
the other ingredients are added to the homogeniser and mixed f	or 20 mins. Sample is			
taken to the laboratory for testing.				
Effectiveness:				
CONFORMITY REPORTS - PROCESSING OF HOT	PEPPER SAUCE			
Audit of: Processing Auditor: Oshayne Audit Criteria: N/A	Auditees: Everton			
of Hot Pepper Sauce Malcolm	Powell			
Audit Evidence:				
Minimum of Hat Dannan Course Farms MOOA74 Day #0 Hat Dann				
Mixing of Hot Pepper Sauce Form, MS2171, Rev #2.Hot Pepper was processed on December 20, 2017 with the list of ingredients used and the quantity.				
Evaluation:	initity.			
Carl white stated that a checklist with the quantity of raw mater	ial is provided which			
is used to weigh the correct quantity.				
Effectiveness:				

CONFORMITY REPORTS – MIXING OF PICKAPEPPA SAUCE AND

TOMATO MIXTURE						
Audit of: Mixing of	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton			
1		MS-2180:4.1.2	Powell			
and Tomato Mixture	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Audit Evidence:						
l						
	PPS and Tomato forn	n MS-2081, Rev #1.				
Evaluation:						
Joseph Richards co	nfirmed that there is a	a form for recording the	e Mixing of Extracted			
· •		of Extracted PPS and	•			
Effectiveness:	<u>,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, </u>					
21100111000.						
CONFORMITY RE	PORTS - MIXING	G OF PICKAPEPE	PA SAUCE AND			
			7107100271112			
	TOMATO N					
Audit of: Mixing of	Auditor: Khamisha		Auditees: Everton			
Pickapeppa Sauce	Williams	MS-2180:4.1.1	Powell			
and Tomato Mixture						
Audit Evidence:						
Interview with the su	inervisor confirms tha	t the extracted sauce	and tomato mixture			
is mixed in the kettle.		it the extracted sadde	and tomato mixture			
Evaluation:						
The mixing of Extracted Pickapeppa and Tomato Mixture is done in the kettle.						
Effectiveness:						
CONFORMITY RE	EPORTS – MIXIN	G OF PICKAPEPF	PA SAUCE AND			
	TOMATO N	/IXTURF				
Audit of: Mixing of	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton			
'''	Williams	MS-2180:4.1.3	Powell			
and Tomato Mixture						
Audit Evidence:						
Evaluation:						
After the maintine of the main	na aantaminatiaa					
After the mixing for i	no contamination					

Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY RE	EPORTS – MIXING	G OF PICKAPEPE	PA SAUCE AND
	TOMATO N	,	
Audit of: Mixing of	Auditor: Khamisha		Auditees: Everton
Pickapeppa Sauce and Tomato Mixture	Williams	MS-2180:4.1.4	Powell
Audit Evidence:			
Evaluation:			
The production supe	ervisor stated that the	mixture is mixed for a	at least half an hour.
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY RE	PORTS – MIXING	G OF PICKAPEPE	PA SAUCE AND
	TOMATO N		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Audit of: Mixing of	Auditor: Khamisha		Auditees: Everton
1 '''	Williams	MS-2180:4.2.2	Powell
and Tomato Mixture			
Audit Evidence:			
Final Product Sample	le, QC-4007, Rev #5.		
Evaluation:			
Carl White stated the	at the form Final Drag	duat Campla is used	to record the
sampling of Pickapep	at the form, Final Prod pa Sauce.	duct Sample, is used	to record the
Effectiveness:	<u>pa Gaaco.</u>		
CONFORMITY RE	PORTS – MIXINO	G OF PICKAPEPE	PA SAUCE AND
	TOMATO N		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Audit of: Mixing of	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton
Pickapeppa Sauce	Williams	MS-2180:4.2.3	Powell
and Tomato Mixture			
Audit Evidence:			

Evaluation:	
The supervisor, Everton Powell, stated that he is responsible for informing the Bottling team when the sauce is ready to be bottled.	
Effectiveness:	

CONFORMITY REPORTS – MIXING OF PICKAPEPPA SAUCE AND TOMATO MIXTURE

Audit of: Mixing of	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton
Pickapeppa Sauce	Williams	MS-2180:4.3.1	Powell
and Tomato Mixture			

Audit Evidence:

Lab Analysis-Pickapeppa Sauce, QC-5001a Revision #2, shows that the tests done on the sauce are pH, Acidity, Organoleptic Evaluation, Extraneous Matter, Brix, Viscosity and Howard Mold Count. Lab Analysis - Yeast Test, QC-4001g, Rev #0, showed that Yeast test were done.

Evaluation:

Tiffani Stewart stated that the tests carried out on the sauce are pH, Acidity Brix, Viscosity, Extraneous Matter, Sensory Evaluation, Mold and Yeast.

Effectiveness:

CONFORMITY REPORTS – MIXING OF PICKAPEPPA SAUCE AND TOMATO MIXTURE

Audit of: Mixing of	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton
Pickapeppa Sauce	Williams	MS-2180:4.3.2	Powell
and Tomato Mixture			

Audit Evidence:

Lab Analysis-Pickapeppa Sauce, QC-5001a Revision #2, shows that the tests done on the sauce are pH, Acidity, Organoleptic Evaluation, Extraneous Matter, Brix, Viscosity and Howard Mold Count. Lab Analysis - Yeast Test, QC-4001g, Rev #0, showed that Yeast tests are done.

Evaluation:

Tiffani Stewart stated that the test results of the Pickapeppa Sauce are recorded on

the lab analysis forms.			
Effectiveness:			
	NONCONFOR	MITY REPORT	
lne	oidant Idantification I	Number 000000 00	044
Non-Conformity Repo	cident Identification rt Auditor (s): Kha		July 31, 2018
#: 1	Williams	misna Date.	July 31, 2016
Audit of : Mixing of	Audit Criteria: IS	SO	
Pickapeppa Sauce and		_	
Tomato Mixture			
Statement of Nonconf	ormity:	•	
		•	involved in the Mixing
of Pickapeppa Sauce a			_
ISO 22000:2005 clause	e which states "ensu	re that responsible	personnel are trained".
Responsible Party: Na	aiorie Jennings-Daw	kins	
Auditor Signature:	ajone deminigo Daw	Signature:	
/ taanor orginataror		o.g. a.a.o.	
CONFO	DRMITY REPOR	TS – PACKING	LIST
Audit of: Packing	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Clayton
	tewart	22000:6.2.2	Berry
Audit Evidence:			
Attandance December	- Ti-i MO 0044		.l. 0 0040
Attendance Record fo Evaluation:	r Training MS-2041	version 9 , dated Ju	lly 2,2018
Evaluation.			
Interview with Product	tion Manager confirm	ned that workers ar	e trained to calculate
the packing list.	non manager comm	noa that workers are	o trained to calculate
Effectiveness:			
CONFO	DRMITY REPOR	TS – PACKING	LIST
Audit of: Packing	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: MS	Auditees: Clayton
1			
List Si Audit Evidence:	tewart	2190:5.3.1	Berry

MS 2101 version 2	datad luly 10 2019		
Evaluation:	dated July 19,2018		
	Process Owner/Production of the particular to th	•	rie Dawkins proved
Encouveriess.			
CON	FORMITY REPOR	RTS - PACKING	LIST
Audit of: Packing List	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: MS 2190:5.1.1.1-5.1.1.5	,
Audit Evidence:	Otewart	<u> </u>	репу
about calculating the	Bottling Plant Supervis	or showed that he wa	as knowledgeable
Evaluation:	packing list.		
Effectiveness:			
Encouveriess.			
CON	FORMITY REPOR	RTS – PACKING I	LIST
Audit of: Packing	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Clayton
List	Stewart	ISO22000:4.2.3	Berry
Audit Evidence:			
MS 2191 Version 3	dated June 22nd and	July 19th. 2018 .	
Evaluation:			
Danasila			
Records were seer Effectiveness:	n for packing list.		
Zirodivoriodo.			
CC	ONFORMITY REP	ORTS – SHIPPIN	IG
Audit of: Shipping	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Dianna
	Stewart	22002-1:2009:16.3	Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:			

captures this informa	ation.		
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
C	NIFORMITY REC	PORTS – SHIPPIN	<u>-</u>
Audit of: Shipping	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Dianna
Addit of: Offipping	Stewart	22000:6.2.2	Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:			
Training attendance	e record MS 2041 Re	ev # 9 dated July 2,201	8
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
00			•
Audit of: Shipping	Auditor: Tiffani	PORTS – SHIPPIN Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Dianna
	Stewart	MS-2200: 4.1.2.5	Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:			
Bottling Plant Supe	ervisor confirmed infor	rmation on form MS 22	01 Rev #3.
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
			0
CO		PORTS – SHIPPIN	1
	ONFORMITY REF Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	PORTS – SHIPPIN Audit Criteria: MS-2200: 4.1.4.1	G Auditees: Dianna Tomlinson
CO	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Dianna
CO Audit of: Shipping Audit Evidence:	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Dianna Tomlinson

Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
CC		ORTS – SHIPPIN	G
Audit of: Shipping	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: MS-2200:5.2,5.3,5.4	Auditees: Dianna Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:		•	•
# 2 Packing List MS-		# 3 Certificate of Exce y Book Police /Custon	ellence MS 2202 Rev ns Contact Certificate
Evaluation:			
E(Cont.)			
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY RE	EPORTS – NON-0	CONFORMING RA	AW MATERIALS
	AND FINISHED		
Audit of: Non-	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Khamisha
conforming Raw	Stewart	MS-2070:	Williams
Materials and		4.1.1.1-4.1.1.2	
Finished Products			
Audit Evidence:			
Khamisha Williams	states that Non-confo	orming Raw Materials	are stored in the
		and informed of the n	
			r return.Information is
•		terial/Product Report.	
Evaluation:	<u> </u>	·	
Effectiveness			
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – NON-CONFORMING RAW MATERIALS

AND FINISHED PRODUCTS

Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: MS-2	Auditees: Khamisha
Stewart	070:4.2.1.1-4.2.1.2	Williams
	•	•
	Stewart states that Non-conf	

CONFORMITY REPORTS – NON-CONFORMING RAW MATERIALS AND FINISHED PRODUCTS

Audit of: Non- conforming Raw	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: MS-2070:4.1.1.2	Auditees: Khamisha Williams
Materials and		,4.3.1.2	
Finished Products			
Audit Evidence:			
	says that there is a de h areas were identifie	•	h raw materials and
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS - CORRECTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

Audit of: Correction	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: MS	Auditees: Khamisha
and Corrective	Jennings-Dawkins	2080, Section 4.2.2,	Williams
Action		4.4.2	

Audit Evidence:

According to the Quality Manager, if a non conformance is picked up during the receival of raw materials or other incoming items they are logged on the Transportation Log Form, PRP 1042 and the Non Conformance Report. If the

Report. Evaluation:			
Evaluation.			
Effectiveness:			
		CTION AND CORI	1
Audit of: Correction and Corrective Action	Auditor: Najorie Jennings-Dawkins	Audit Criteria: MS 2080, Section 4.5.3	Auditees: Khamish Williams
Action Audit Evidence:			
	resources to ensure	that all corrections an	General Manager ad corrective actions
"Allocates company's are carried out." Evaluation:	resources to ensure		•
are carried out."	resources to ensure		•
are carried out." Evaluation:	resources to ensure		•
are carried out." Evaluation: Effectiveness:			nd corrective actions
are carried out." Evaluation: Effectiveness: CO Audit of: Training		that all corrections an	nd corrective actions
are carried out." Evaluation: Effectiveness: CO Audit of: Training	NFORMITY REP Auditor: Clayton	ORTS – TRAINING Audit Criteria:	G Auditees: Dianna
are carried out." Evaluation: Effectiveness: CO Audit of: Training Audit Evidence: Attendance Record,	NFORMITY REP Auditor: Clayton Berry MS-2041, Rev #9 da	ORTS – TRAINING Audit Criteria: MS-2040:4.1.1	G Auditees: Dianna Tomlinson
are carried out." Evaluation: Effectiveness: CO Audit of: Training Audit Evidence: Attendance Record, was trained in Food E was trained on Perso	NFORMITY REP Auditor: Clayton Berry MS-2041, Rev #9 da	ORTS – TRAINING Audit Criteria: MS-2040:4.1.1	G Auditees: Dianna Tomlinson where Kedine Hanse 8 Dayna Harrison
are carried out." Evaluation: Effectiveness: CO Audit of: Training Audit Evidence: Attendance Record, was trained in Food E	NFORMITY REP Auditor: Clayton Berry MS-2041, Rev #9 da	ORTS – TRAINING Audit Criteria: MS-2040:4.1.1 ated August 20, 2018 (Control. On May 7, 201	G Auditees: Dianna Tomlinson where Kedine Hans 8 Dayna Harrison
are carried out." Evaluation: Effectiveness: CO Audit of: Training Audit Evidence: Attendance Record, was trained in Food Evaluation:	NFORMITY REP Auditor: Clayton Berry MS-2041, Rev #9 da Defense and Visitor Connel Hygiene, Waste	ORTS – TRAINING Audit Criteria: MS-2040:4.1.1 ated August 20, 2018 (Control. On May 7, 201	G Auditees: Dianna Tomlinson where Kedine Hans 8 Dayna Harrison efense.

CONFORMITY REPORTS – TRAINING

Audit of: Training	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Dianna
	Berry	MS-2040:4.1.4	Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:			
Training Schedule,	MS-2043, Rev #6 Ha	s a list of all areas for	training and the
_		raction of Pickapeppa	_
schedule for July and	d they were conducted	d July 24 and 13 respe	ectively.
Evaluation:	•	,	j
Tiffani Stewart state	ed that a training sche	edule is in place for all	refresher training and
training needs asses	sment.	·	
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – TRAINING

Audit of: Training	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Dianna
	Berry	MS-2040:4.2.1.1.3	Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:			
Evaluation:			
Khamisha Williams	stated that that training	ng needs assessment	is triggered customer
complaint or non-cor	formances from verif	ication, internal audit,	RAP process or
inspections.			
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – TRAINING

Audit of: Training Auditor: Clayton		Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Dianna	
	Berry	MS-2040:4.2.2	Tomlinson	
Audit Evidence:				

Attendance Record MS-2041, REv #9, Shows that on August 21, 2018, the New Employees Kedine Hanson was trained by his supervisor Clayton Berry in the Peeling and Bottling Process and the processes were evaluated by demonstration.

Evaluation:

Khamisha WIlliams stated that new employees are assigned to the work area to observe the task that will be assigned. The new employees then carries out the task

lunder observation.			by himself or herself
	The new employee is	then assessed.	
Effectiveness:			
	_	_	
0			10
	ONFORMITY REP	1	_
Audit of: Training	Auditor: Clayton	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Dianna
A 114 E 1 Lange	Berry	MS-2040:4.2.8.1	Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:			
Evaluation:			
l informação di but	171	- t f h two in in an	
1	Khamisha williams in	at rerresner trainings	are done on an annual
basis.			
Effectiveness:			
			_
	RMITY REPORTS		
Audit of: Cooking	Auditor: Khamisha		Auditees: Everton
(OPRP - 1)	Williams	HP-3080:4.1.1.4	Powell
Audit Evidence:			
	_		
	ons, Hp-3002, Rev #7.		
the temperature was	s taken and recorded	for the 36 pots that w	ere on the stove.
the temperature was Temperature taken		for the 36 pots that w	ere on the stove.
the temperature was	s taken and recorded	for the 36 pots that w	ere on the stove.
the temperature was Temperature taken Evaluation:	s taken and recorded was within the specific	for the 36 pots that w ed range of 90 - 112 o	ere on the stove. oC.
the temperature was Temperature taken Evaluation: Observation was n	s taken and recorded	for the 36 pots that w ed range of 90 - 112 o	ere on the stove. oC.
the temperature was Temperature taken Evaluation:	s taken and recorded was within the specific	for the 36 pots that w ed range of 90 - 112 o	ere on the stove. oC.
the temperature was Temperature taken Evaluation: Observation was n	s taken and recorded was within the specific	for the 36 pots that w ed range of 90 - 112 o	ere on the stove. oC.
the temperature was Temperature taken Evaluation: Observation was n	s taken and recorded was within the specific	for the 36 pots that w ed range of 90 - 112 o	ere on the stove. oC.
the temperature was Temperature taken Evaluation: Observation was n	s taken and recorded was within the specific	for the 36 pots that w ed range of 90 - 112 o	ere on the stove. oC.
the temperature was Temperature taken Evaluation: Observation was n	s taken and recorded was within the specific	for the 36 pots that w ed range of 90 - 112 o	ere on the stove. oC.
the temperature was Temperature taken Evaluation: Observation was n Effectiveness:	s taken and recorded was within the specific	for the 36 pots that wed range of 90 - 112 of the ature taken during the	ere on the stove. oC. cooking process.
the temperature was Temperature taken Evaluation: Observation was n Effectiveness:	s taken and recorded was within the specific made of onion tempera	for the 36 pots that wed range of 90 - 112 of the ature taken during the S - COOKING (OI	ere on the stove. oC. cooking process.
the temperature was Temperature taken Evaluation: Observation was n Effectiveness:	s taken and recorded was within the specific hade of onion temperators.	for the 36 pots that wed range of 90 - 112 of the ature taken during the S - COOKING (OI	ere on the stove. oC. cooking process.
the temperature was Temperature taken Evaluation: Observation was n Effectiveness: CONFO Audit of: Cooking	nade of onion tempera	for the 36 pots that we ded range of 90 - 112 of the sture taken during the S - COOKING (OF Audit Criteria:	ere on the stove. cooking process. PRP - 1) Auditees: Everton
the temperature was Temperature taken Evaluation: Observation was n Effectiveness: CONFO Audit of: Cooking (OPRP - 1)	nade of onion tempera	for the 36 pots that we ded range of 90 - 112 of the sture taken during the S - COOKING (OF Audit Criteria:	ere on the stove. cooking process. PRP - 1) Auditees: Everton
the temperature was Temperature taken Evaluation: Observation was n Effectiveness: CONFO Audit of: Cooking (OPRP - 1) Audit Evidence:	nade of onion tempera	for the 36 pots that wed range of 90 - 112 of the sture taken during the Audit Criteria: HP-3080:4.1.1.9	ere on the stove. cooking process. PRP - 1) Auditees: Everton

Oshayne Malcolm s	tated that the lot num	ber and quantity of ra	w materials used in
	ions are recorded on	•	
Effectiveness:			
CONFOR	RMITY REPORTS	- COOKING (OP	RP - 1)
Audit of: Cooking	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton
	Williams	HP-3080:4.1.3.1	Powell
Audit Evidence:			•
	LID 0004 D #5 0		0 =0/
Vinegar Acidity Log, was diluted to make o	HP-3001, Rev #5. O	n July 12, 2018, Vine	gar with acidity 8.5%
Evaluation:	niion vinegai 4.5 % .		
Colin Green, the vin	egar operator, stated	in an interview that th	ne laboratory
	ble for verifying the vi	inegar percentage/co	ncentration.
Effectiveness:			
CONFOR	RMITY REPORTS	- COOKING (OP	RP - 1)
Audit of: Cooking	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton
,	Williams	HP-3080:4.1.3.3	Powell
Audit Evidence:			
Darrala in the wareh		with name of product	Data and harral
	ouse were observed to	·-	
on February 7, 2018.		вет іскарерра вапе	is that were cooked
Evaluation:			
	d that the barrels are	labels with product na	ame, cooking date
and barrel number.			
Effectiveness:			
CONFOR	RMITY REPORTS	- COOKING (OP	RP - 1)
Audit of: Cooking	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton
(OPRP - 1)	Williams	HP-3080:4.1.3.2	Powell
Audit Evidence:			

	,QC-4014, Rev #1 shor extraneous matter by	•	2018 Barrel 717 to
Evaluation:			
	d in an interview that direcorded on Steami		rrels are checked for
Effectiveness:			
CONTO			DD 4)
Audit of: Cooking	RMITY REPORTS Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton
(OPRP - 1)		HP-3080:4.2.1.4	Powell
Audit Evidence:			
		_	
"	epper (Kettle) , HP-300		
Evaluation:	ber Ebanks 28-03-18.	Inree arums were o	bserved in storage.
L valuation.			
Carl White stated th	at the peppers used ir	n the mango sauces a	are cooked for 10
	when the temperature	•	
Effectiveness:			
CONFOR	DMITY DEDODTS		DD 1)
Audit of: Cooking	RMITY REPORTS Auditor: Khamisha	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Auditees: Everton
(OPRP - 1)		HP-3080:4.2.1.7	Powell
Audit Evidence:	<u> </u>	111 0000.1.2.1.7	i owon
Cooking Log-Mango	Sauce, HP-3004, Re	v. #6. On July 5, 201	8, Spicy Mango
· '	s cooked for 20 mins	at 90 °C and final cod	oking temperature of
99.3 °C.			
Evaluation:			
1	erton Powell, stated in fter the temperature re		mango sauces are
Effectiveness:	no tomporature re	3401100 00 0.	

CONFORMITY REPORTS – COOKING (OPRP - 1)

Audit of: Cooking	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton
(OPRP - 1)	Williams	HP-3080:4.2.2.1 -	Powell
		4.2.2.2	

Audit Evidence:

Mango Sauce Production Form (400 Gal), HP 3020a, Rev #2. Only July 5, 18, Spicy Mango Sauce was cooked and the lot number and quantity was recorded for all raw materials. Cooking Log-Mango Sauce, HP-3004, Rev #6, On July 5,18 Spicy mango sauce was cooked.

Evaluation:

Everton Powell stated that the lot number and quantity for the raw materials are recorded for each lot of mango cooked. The temperature and processing time for the mango sauces are also recorded.

_	•									
-1	tt.	Δ.	∩t	٠١١	/e	'n	Δ	c	c	٠

CONFORMITY REPORTS – COOKING (OPRP - 1)

	,	,	/
Audit of: Cooking	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton
(OPRP - 1)	Williams	HP-3080:4.3.1.4 -	Powell
		4.3.1.6	

Audit Evidence:

Water from Tomato Tank, QC-4017, Rev #0 was observed and on August 8 2018 water from tank #3 was tested for extraneous matter.

Evaluation:

Terrence Palmer confirmed that the holding tank is washed with soap, sanitized with bleach, steamed and rinsed. The final rinsing water is taken to the lab for testing.

Effectiveness:

CONFORMITY REPORTS – COOKING (OPRP - 1)

Audit of: Cooking	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton
(OPRP - 1)	Williams	HP-3080:4.3.1.3	Powell
Audit Evidence:	•		-

Cooking Log-Tomato Mixture, HP-3003, Rev #6. On June 29, 2018 Tomato was cooked for 15 minutes at starting temperature 90°C.

Evaluation:

1 '	ated that the Tomato	Mixture is cooked for 1	15 minutes after the
temperature reaches	90°C.		
Effectiveness:			
CONFOR	RMITY REPORTS	- COOKING (OP	RP - 1)
Audit of: Cooking	Auditor: Khamisha		Auditees: Everton
	Williams		Powell
()		4.3.2.2	
Audit Evidence:	•		
	orm, HP 3010, Rev #3		'
	g-Tomato Mixture, HP	-3003, Rev #6 records	s the cooking time
and temperature.			
Evaluation:			
losenh Richards sta	ated that for the tomat	o mixture the forms a	re the TVSM
· •	h records the raw mat		
ľ	Mixture which record	•	
Effectiveness:		<u> </u>	- 10111p 0101101101
CONFOR	RMITY REPORTS	- COOKING (OP	RP - 1)
Audit of: Cooking	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Everton
1	Williams	HP-3080:4.4	Powell
Audit Evidence:			
LID 2000.4 4 Caalsin	an Lan Hat Dannau (K	-#I-\ LID2000	
Evaluation:	ng Log-Hot Pepper (K	ettie), HP3006	
Evaluation.			
Carl White stated th	at the peppers are did	ced and placed in clea	n pots. The water
	with continuous stirring	•	•
1	er. The temperature r	_	-
	•	-	
Effectiveness:			
Effectiveness:			
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – COOKING (OPRP - 1)

Audit of: Cooking Auditor: Khamisha Audit Criteria: Auditees: Everton

(OPRP - 1)	Williams	HP-3080:4.5.1.4	Powell
Audit Evidence	:		
Cooking Log-H	ot Pepper (Kettle) -	HP-3005	
Evaluation:			
Carl White stat	ed that peppers are	cooked in the kettle for 45	minutes with constant
stirring at start to	emperature 85°C.		
Effectiveness:	•		

CONFORMITY REPORTS – COOKING (OPRP - 1)

Audit of: Cooking	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Everton
(OPRP - 1)	Williams	22000:2005 Clause	Powell
		6.2.2	

Audit Evidence:

Attendance Record, MS-2041, Rev #9, cooking of Pickapeppa Sauce and Mango Sauces.

Evaluation:

The employees that were interviewed, namely, Everton Powell, Carl White, Terrance Palmer, Clayton Berry, Oshayne Malcolm and Joseph Richards are trained to carry out the cooking processes that they are involved in.

Effectiveness:

CONFORMITY REPORTS - COOKING (OPRP - 1)

A 114 of O and 114 or	A 1'1 - 1Z1 '-1 -	A 111 O 211 22 100	A Prince E and a
Audit of: Cooking	Auditor: Knamisna	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Everton
(OPRP - 1)	Williams	22000:2005:7.5	Powell

Audit Evidence:

OPRP and HACCP Plan, HP-3100, Rev #8. For the Mango Sauces: Cooking time is 20 mins at temperature 90 - 110 °C. Product is processed in the kettle and monitored by the supervisor or a functional employee. Correction/Corrective Action is to extend the cooking time or dispose and the records kept and the Coking Log Mango Sauces and Production Form-Mango Sauces.

Evaluation:

Tiffani stated that there is an OPRP plan for the cooking of all the products. It includes the control measures (time and temperature control), monitoring procedures,

	tive pation and recov	J.	ı
correction and correction Effectiveness:	ctive action and record	JS.	
LifeCtiveriess.			
CONFORMIT\	/ REPORTS – BC	TTLING FILLING	- (OPRP - 2)
Audit of: Bottling	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Clayton
Filling - (OPRP - 2)	Stewart	HP-3090:	Berry
A. dit E. dalaman		4.1.1.1-4.1.1.7	
Audit Evidence:			
Raiii Senior -Sauce	is numbed into the ho	olding tank, compresso	or is turned on and
'	•	n packing table and a	
		channeled to the rota	
filled.	•		
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	/ REPORTS – BC	TTLING FILLING	- (OPRP - 2)
Audit of: Bottling	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: HP-3	
Filling - (OPRP - 2)	Stewart		Berry
Audit Evidence:			
Evaluation			
Evaluation:			
Oshanyne Maicolm	explained that sauce	in the holding tank is	turn down . simplex
line is loaded with bo	•	•	tarri dottri , omipiox
Effectiveness:			
	T	TTLING FILLING	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Audit of: Bottling	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Clayton
Filling - (OPRP - 2)	Stewart	HP-3900:4.1.2.5-	Berry
Audit Evidence:		4.1.2.6	
/ Mail Evidence.			

Rajii Senior-After filling ,the resin cap is affixed to the bottles .					
Evaluation:					
Effectiveness:					
Lifectiveness.					
CONFORMITY	/ REPORTS – BO	TTLING FILLING	- (OPRP - 2)		
	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Clayton		
Filling - (OPRP - 2)	Stewart	HP-3090:	Berry		
A 1'4 F 1 1		4.2.1.2-4.2.1.3			
Audit Evidence:					
Oshayne Malcom st	tates that after bottles	are filled they are the	en capped .		
Evaluation:		,			
Effectiveness:					
Ellectiveness.					
	REPORTS – BO		, ' 		
Audit of: Bottling	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: HP-3090: 4.1.1.9	Auditees: Clayton		
Filling - (OPRP - 2) Audit Evidence:	Stewart	mP-3090. 4.1.1.9	Berry		
Addit Evidence.					
	d that bottles are remo	oved from the packing	g table and relabelled.		
Evaluation:					
Effectiveness:					
CONFORMITY	/ REPORTS – BO	TTI ING FILLING	- (OPRP - 2)		
Audit of: Bottling	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Clayton		
Filling - (OPRP - 2)	Stewart	HP-3090:4.1.2.2	Berry		
Audit Evidence:					
Michael Nunce state	ad that the code for the	e inkiet is inputted by	a Functional		
Michael Nunes stated that the code for the inkjet is inputted by a Functional Employee and verified by a Supervisor					

Evaluation:			
	_		
Effectiveness:			
	_		
CONFORMITY	REPORTS - BO	TTLING FILLING	- (OPRP - 2)
Audit of: Bottling	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Clayton
Filling - (OPRP - 2)	Stewart	HP-3090:4.1.2.10	Berry
Audit Evidence:			•
Georgette Clarke sta	ated that the first line p	printed on the bottle h	nas BB , the month ,
day and expiry year.	The second line has the	he month, day, and ye	ear the sauce was
bottled, the lot number	er and PCL at the end	to represent Pickape	eppa Company
Limited.			
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	REPORTS - BO	TTLING FILLING	- (OPRP - 2)
Audit of: Bottling	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Clayton
	Stewart	HP-3090:4.1.1.10	Berry
Audit Evidence:			,
Mark Nunes stated t	that after UPC is appli	ed to the cartons, the	e batch numbers and
	stamped onto the car		
cartons: BB AUG 28,	•		•
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
-			
CONFORMITY	′ REPORTS – RO	TTI ING FILLING	- (OPRP - 2)
	' REPORTS – BO		,
Audit of: Bottling	Auditor: Tiffani	TTLING FILLING Audit Criteria: HP-3009	- (OPRP - 2) Auditees: Clayton Berry

Clayton Berry stated	d that the date , produ	cts, product lot numb	er, notes, unit,
1 *	· •	· •	oduct form. Document
HP 3009 version 2 wa	as seen.		
Evaluation:			
F(())			
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	/ REPORTS – BO	TTLING FILLING	- (OPRP - 2)
Audit of: Bottling	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Clayton
	Stewart	ISO22000:2005:	Berry
		6.2.2	
Audit Evidence:			
	MS -2041 Rev # 9 da	ted March 8, 2018	
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY	/ REPORTS – BO	TTLING FILLING	- (OPRP - 2)
Audit of: Bottling	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Clayton
	Stewart	HP-3090:4.1.2.9	Berry
Audit Evidence:			
Ochovno Molocim o	totoo that hattles that	are already conned a	roog through the
1	states that bottles that dy and neck labels are		•
	₹	•	ney then go through a
r ·	tamper evident seals	• • •	
Evaluation:		'	
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – HACCP PROGRAMME

Audit of: HACCP	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: HP	Auditees: Khamisha
Programme	Stewart	3070	Williams
Audit Evidence:			
On viewing docume	ent HP 3070 Hazard A	nalysis and OPRP de	termination -it was
confirmed that hazard	d analysis is done on	raw materials and at t	the process steps.
Evaluation:			
	_	_	
Effectiveness:			
CONFOR	MITY REPORTS	– HACCP PROGE	RAMME
Audit of: HACCP	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: HP	Auditees: Khamisha
Programme	Stewart	3000-3090	Williams
Audit Evidence:			
	stated that A hACCP	programme is in place	e as there are no
ccp's.			
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
CONFOR	MITY REPORTS	<u>– HACCP PROGE</u>	RAMME
Audit of: HACCP	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: HP	Auditees: Khamisha
Programme	Stewart	3000-3090	Williams
Audit Evidence:			
, ,	•	•	he following : HACCP
	ription, intended use F	_	_
1	and Process Flow Des	•	_
1	al Hazards Hazard Ar	nalysis and OPRP-CC	P Determination
OPRP + HACCP PLA	<u> </u>		
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
1			

CONFO	RMITT REPORTS	S - HACCP PROG	RAMME
Audit of: HACCP	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: HP	Auditees: Khamisha
Programme	Stewart	3000	Williams
Audit Evidence:			-
How the product is	to be used is stated	in document, HP3000	Rev#9.
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
CONFO	RMITY REPORTS	S – HACCP PROG	RAMME
Audit of: HACCP	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: HP	Auditees: Khamisha
	Stewart	3020	Williams
Programme Audit Evidence:	Stewart	βυΖυ	vviillaitis
Audit Evidence.			
Voc there is a flow	chart HP 3020 Rev#	: o	
Evaluation:	Chart HP 3020 Nev#	· O.	
Evaluation.			
Effectiveness:			
Ellective lless.			
		<u>S – HACCP PROG</u>	
Audit of: HACCP	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Khamisha
Programme	Stewart	22000:7.3.2	Williams
Audit Evidence:			
	•	r/ Food Safety Team L	
is a Food Safety Tea	am whose role is to:	Be knowledgeable in o	developing food safety
management systen	n as it relates to our f	food safety policy.	
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			

CONFOR	RIVITY REPORTS	- HACCP PROGI	KAIVIIVIE
Audit of: HACCP	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: HP	Auditees: Khamisha
Programme	Stewart	3070	Williams
Audit Evidence:			
Khamisha Williams	stated that yes there	are acceptable levels	determined for
hazards identified thi	s was seen in docum	ent HP-3070.	
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
CONFOR	RMITY REPORTS	- HACCP PROGI	RAMME
Audit of: HACCP	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: HP	Auditees: Khamisha
Programme	Stewart	3080	Williams
Audit Evidence:	otowart		
/ dan Evidonoo.			
Ouality Manager st	ated that two OPRPs	are present Cooking	and Filling
Evaluation:	atod that two of 1th o	are present leconing	and i ming.
Evaluation.			
Effectiveness:			
Zirodivoriodo.			
CONFOR			
	RMITY REPORTS		
Audit of: HACCP	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: HP	Auditees: Khamisha
Programme	Stewart	3100	Williams
Audit Evidence:			
	. (0.55.5.5.4.1)		
) (OPRP PLAN)was s		•
1	get, monitoring proced	dure, correction/ corre	ctive action , records
and where these rec	ords are stored.		
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			

CONFOR	RIVILLY REPORTS	- HACCP PROG	RAIVIIVIE
Audit of: HACCP	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: HP	Auditees: Khamisha
Programme	Stewart	3100	Williams
Audit Evidence:			
Quality Manager sta	ated that the time and	temperature are reco	orded for Cooking
, ,	Final filling temperatu	•	•
Bottling (OPRP 2)	Thia mining temperate	ino arra otornization tin	110 410 10001404 101
Evaluation:			
L valuation.			
T#ootiveneed			
Effectiveness:			
CONFOR	RMITY REPORTS	- HACCP PROG	RAMME
Audit of: HACCP	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: HP	Auditees: Khamisha
Programme	Stewart	3002-HP 3007	Williams
Audit Evidence:	Jordina	0002 1 11 000 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
/ dail Evidorioo.			
Evaluation:			
Lvaluation.			
T# a ative a a a a			
Effectiveness:			
CONFOR	RMITY REPORTS	- HACCP PROG	RAMME
Audit of: HACCP	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: HP	Auditees: Khamisha
Programme	Stewart	3100	Williams
Audit Evidence:		<u> </u>	1
/ taak Evidence.			
Khamisha Williams	stated that there has	never heen any devis	ations from the OPRP
		•	ections and corrective
f .		plan (HP 3100) Cone	ections and corrective
actions will be taken	•		
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – HACCP PROGRAMME

Audit of: HACCP	Auditor: Tiffani	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Khamisha
Programme	Stewart	22000:	Williams
		7.4.1.2-7.4.2.3	
Audit Evidence:			
HP-3070			
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
	•		

CONFORMITY REPORTS - LAB ANALYSIS

Audit of: Lab	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: TBD	Auditees: Tiffani
Analysis	Jennings-Dawkins		Stewart
Audit Evidence:			
Evaluation:			
The owner of the pro	ocess revealed that th	ere are two sets of re	ferences used as a
benchmark for ensuri	ng results fall into ran	ge: 1. Internal referen	ces which are based
on history and accept	able levels. These int	ernal references are u	used for sensory
analysis. 2.External F	References		
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS - LAB ANALYSIS

Audit of: Lab	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Tiffani		
Analysis			Stewart		
		Laboratory Manual;			
		QC 4000			
Audit Evidence:					
The form, Lab Analysis- Pickapeppa Gingery Mango QC 5001c					
Evaluation:					

The process owner gave the list of the various tests done. The form, Lab Analysis-Pickapeppa Gingery Mango QC 5001c confirmed the answer: Tests done were: Extraneous Matter, pH, acidity, Howard Mould Count, Yeast, Brix. Viscosity and

Sensory Evaluation			
Effectiveness:			
CONE		RTS – LAB ANALY	'SIS
Audit of: Lab	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Tiffani
Analysis	Jennings-Dawkins	Quality Control	Stewart
		Laboratory Manual;	
		QC 4000	
Audit Evidence:			
Form- Lab Analysis			
Evaluation:			
		eous matter, pH, Acid	•
_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	tion are done instantly obtained 5 days after	•
Effectiveness:	in the yeast lest are	obtained 5 days after	lesis are done.
CONI			7010
Audit of: Lab	_	RTS – LAB ANALY Audit Criteria: TBD	Auditees: Tiffani
Analysis	Auditor: Najorie Jennings-Dawkins	Audit Chlena. 160	Stewart
Audit Evidence:	porningo Dawiino		Otowart
		ango Sauce Form, No.	QC 5001C showed
all the tests done with Evaluation:	their corresponding	results.	
Lvaluation.			
Records are availab	le to show results ob	tained from various te	sts.
Effectiveness:			
CONF	ORMITY REPOR	RTS – LAB ANALY	'SIS
Audit of: Lab	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria:	Auditees: Tiffani
Analysis	Jennings-Dawkins	QC-4013	Stewart
Audit Evidence:			
External Calibration	Report ID CALR/21	/2018/1036 showed o	alibration results for
External Calibration Report, ID, CALR/21/2018/1036 showed calibration results for			

the pH meter. Only one report was present, dated March 03, 2018 Internal calibration record, QC-004, dated August 29, 2018 showed the last day of calibration for the pH meter. External Calibration Report, ID., CALR25/2018/1035showed calibration results for the refractometer. One report was present, dated February 22, 2018. According to the Quality Manager the next report is to be picked up since the 6 months calibration period has expired. Internal calibration record, QC-4005, dated August 29, 2018 showed the last day of calibration for the refractometer. External Calibration Report, I.D CAL/21/2018/1379 showed calibration results for the thermometer. One report was present, dated June 11, 2018 No external report was found for the scales. However, there was a stamp on them to show that they were calibrated in March and the next calibration date is in September.

Evaluation:

There are four equipment that are usually calibrated, based on response from process owner. These are: pH Meter, Scales, Thermometer and Refractometer. The pH meter and the refractometer are done internally on a daily basis as well as twice yearly by The Bureau of Standards, Jamaica. The scales are done by annually by the Bureau of Standards Jamaica. The thermometers are done yearly by the Bureau of Standards as well.

Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – FSSC ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS (LOGO USE)

(LOGO USE)				
Audit of: FSSC	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: http://	Auditees: Dianna	
Additional	Jennings-Dawkins	www.fssc22000.com/	Tomlinson	
Requirements (Logo		documents/pdf/guida		
Use)		nces/use-fssc-22000		
		-logo-		
		version-2015.pdf		
Audit Evidence:				
A sample of each type of label was checked and the FSSC logo was not present on				

A sample of each type of label was checked and the FSSC logo was not present on any of them, which is in conformance with the requirement which states that "The FSSC 22000 logo may not be used either on a product, its labeling or its packaging, or in any other misleading manner.

of in any other misleading mariner.	
Evaluation:	
Effectiveness:	

CONFORMITY REPORTS – FSSC ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS (LOGO USE)

	(2000	33 _/	
Audit of: FSSC	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: N/A	Auditees: Dianna
Additional	Jennings-Dawkins		Tomlinson
Requirements (Logo			
Use)			
Audit Evidence:			
	nat the person respons	_	the logo is correctly
	ce Officer, The Gener	al Manager.	
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:	-		
OONEODMITY D	EDODTO FOOO	ADDITIONAL DE	OLUBENENTO
CONFORMITY R	EPORTS – FSSC		QUIREMENTS
	(LOGO	USE)	
Audit of: FSSC	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: http://	Auditees: Dianna
Additional	Jennings-Dawkins	www.fssc22000.com/	Tomlinson
Requirements (Logo		documents/pdf/guida	
Use)		nces/use-fssc-22000	
		-logo-	
		version-2015.pdf	
Audit Evidence:			
Upon observing the	FSSC logo which is o	n the sign at the front	of the facility it was
seen that there is also	o an Intertek logo use	d in conjunction. The	standard states that "
I .	d organizations may us	-	
	mark of the certification		
Evaluation:		,	
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – FSSC ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS (LOGO USE)

Audit of: FSSC	Auditor: Najorie	Audit Criteria: http://	Auditees: Dianna
Additional	Jennings-Dawkins	www.fssc22000.com/	Tomlinson

Requirements (Logo		documents/pdf/guida				
Use)		nces/use-fssc-22000				
		-logo-				
		version-2015.pdf				
Audit Evidence:						
the standard. The FS company was compli-	The colours used on the FSSC logo was of the correct color and size as outline by the standard. The FSSC logo was of the same size as that of the Intertek logo. The company was compliant with the standard which states that "The FSSC 22000 logo must be reproduced in the specified colors and in a size that makes all features of the					
Evaluation:						
Effectiveness:						
CONFORMITY REPORTS – FSSC ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS (TACCP & VACCP)						
Audit of: FSSC	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: Food				
Additional	Williams	Defense Plan	Tomlinson			
Requirements						
(TACCP & VACCP)						
Audit Evidence:						
	t the property perimet entrance. CCTV mon ity.	<u>₹</u>	, ,			
Evaluation:						
Effectiveness:						
L						
CONFORMITY REPORTS – FSSC ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS (TACCP & VACCP)						
Audit of: FSSC	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: Food				
Additional	Williams	Defense Plan	Tomlinson			
Requirements						
(TACCP & VACCP)						
Audit Evidence:						

	t the external doors or	r all access points into	the building are
locked or secured.			
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
	(TACCP &	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Audit of: FSSC Additional Requirements (TACCP & VACCP)	Auditor: Khamisha Williams	Audit Criteria: Food Defense Plan	Auditees: Dianna Tomlinson
and was kept locked.		dry ingredient storage employees were only ocessing area.	
		eas are restricted to a and colour coded uni	•
Effectiveness:			
CONFORMITY R	EPORTS – FSSC (TACCP &	ADDITIONAL RE	QUIREMENTS
Audit of: FSSC Additional Requirements (TACCP & VACCP)	Auditor: Khamisha Williams	Audit Criteria: Food Defense Plan	Auditees: Dianna Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:			
	P-1140, Food Defens	e Plan, PRP/AR-1110	
Evaluation:			

The General Manager, Mrs. Tomlinson, stated that Visitors are given badges at the

entrance for identification in the facility and they then signs the agreement form. All visitors are escorted to the production areas. Workers are given oral permission to

101 / 109

enter restricted area.					
Effectiveness:					
00150014777		ADDITIONAL DE	01 110 51 45 1 70		
CONFORMITY R	EPORTS – FSSC (TACCP &	ADDITIONAL RE VACCP)	QUIREMENTS		
Audit of: FSSC	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: Food			
Additional	Williams	Defense Plan	Tomlinson		
Requirements (TACCP & VACCP)					
Audit Evidence:					
Evaluation:					
The Conerel Manage	or stated that keys ar	o rogularly obsolved to	angura that thay are		
_		e regularly checked to on a board with a sign	-		
Effectiveness:	labelled and placed t	ni a boara wiiii a sigii	in and out sheet.		
CONFORMITY REPORTS – FSSC ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS					
	(TACCP &		T – .		
Audit of: FSSC	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: Food			
Additional Requirements	Williams	Defense Plan	Tomlinson		
(TACCP & VACCP)					
Audit Evidence:		<u>I</u>			
		Rev #0. Baking Enter	•		
1	• •	nters audited who sup	plies labels, audit		
checklist were observ	/ea.				
Evaluation.					
Effectiveness:					

CONFORMITY REPORTS – FSSC ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

(TACCP & VACCP)					
Audit of: FSSC	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: Food	Auditees: Dianna		
Additional	Williams	Defense Plan	Tomlinson		
Requirements					
(TACCP & VACCP)					
Audit Evidence:					
Evaluation:					
The Beet of a Mar	Nicholo Bro Illia		. P (1 ! . 1		
	nager, Najorie Dawkins		ading of venicles are		
	inventory clerk and s	upervisors.			
Effectiveness:					
001150514171/5	EDODTO FOOO	ADDITIONAL DE	OLUBENIENIE		
CONFORMITY R	EPORTS – FSSC		QUIREMENTS		
	(TACCP &	VACCP)			
Audit of: FSSC	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: Food	Auditees: Dianna		
Additional	Williams	Defense Plan	Tomlinson		
Requirements					
(TACCP & VACCP)					
Audit Evidence:					
Police/Customs Cor	nfirmation Contact Cer	rtificate was observed	for June 22, 2018		
and the container was	s sealed with seal nur	nbers ZZC-121240 ar	nd PAJ0159827.		
Evaluation:	Evaluation:				
	d that the seal numbe		e Cargo Integrity		
	Customs Confirmation	Contact Certificate.			
Effectiveness:					
CONFORMITY REPORTS – FSSC ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS					
	(TACCP &	VACCP)			
Audit of: FSSC	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: Food	Auditees: Dianna		
Additional	Williams		Tomlinson		
Requirements		_ 5.555 1 14.11			
(TACCP & VACCP)					
Audit Evidence:	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			

It was observed that	t the storage tank hav	e a lock to prevent un	authorized access.		
It was observed that the storage tank have a lock to prevent unauthorized access. Evaluation:					
to restrict access.	ed that a black tank is	in place to store wate	er and a lock is used		
Effectiveness:					
CONFORMITY R	EPORTS – FSSC	ADDITIONAL RE	QUIREMENTS		
	(TACCP &	VACCP)			
Requirements	Auditor: Khamisha Williams	Audit Criteria: Food Defense Plan	Auditees: Dianna Tomlinson		
(TACCP & VACCP)					
Audit Evidence:					
restricted access. Per		e 1 and 2 were observ keys to enter these a			
Evaluation:					
· ·	•	stated that product lab ccess to authorised pe			
Effectiveness:					
CONFORMITY R	FPORTS – FSSC	ADDITIONAL RE	OUREMENTS		
CONFORMITY REPORTS – FSSC ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS (TACCP & VACCP)					
Audit of: FSSC	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: Food	Auditees: Dianna		
	Williams		Tomlinson		
Requirements		2010110011011			
(TACCP & VACCP)					
Audit Evidence:					
D : (()\OD OFD\	(ED) (D) E	DI D 111 ((
,	, , ,	se Plan Builder-ts foo	t detense.tdp -		
Vulnerability Assessn Evaluation:	nent				
Lvaluation.					
	<u>•</u>	ment was done on all accessibility and vulr			
Effectiveness:	John Gradian ma	and van			

CONFORMITY REPORTS – FSSC ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS (TACCP & VACCP) Audit of: FSSC | Auditor: Khamisha | Audit Criteria: Food | Auditees: Dianna

Audit of 1 000	Additor. Kriainisha	Addit Criteria. 1 00d	Additees. Diamia	
Additional	Williams	Defense Plan	Tomlinson	
Requirements				
(TACCP & VACCP)				
Audit Evidence:				
1		nd all process steps h		
	that the process steps	s are not vulnerable o	r accessible.	
Evaluation:				
Titte wi Otenwent etete	-1 111 11 1		alian da a fanad	
	•	ps are assessed by u	•	
· ·	_	accessibility there are essibility: Highly vulne		
I .	_	7-8 Somewhat vulne	-	
		lardly accessible 3-4		
1		dded for each level wh		
		ess step with a score a	_	
actionable step.	none doord. Tany proof	oo otop with a soore t	above o would be all	
Effectiveness:				
CONFORMITY R	FPORTS – FSSC	ADDITIONAL RE	QUIREMENTS	
	(TACCP &		QUI (EMEIVIO	
Audit of: FSSC	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: N/A	Auditees: Dianna	
Additional	Williams		Tomlinson	
Requirements				
(TACCP & VACCP)				
Audit Evidence:				
All process steps have a score of 4 so no threats are identified.				
Evaluation:				
	•	ts in the process and	hence no preventive	
measures are in place.				
Effectiveness:				
1				

CONFORMITY REPORTS – FSSC ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS (TACCP & VACCP)

- 1	Audit of: FSSC	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: Food	Auditees: Dianna
Α	dditional	Williams	Defense Plan	Tomlinson
R	Requirements			
(-	TACCP & VACCP)			
Ì	Audit Evidence:		•	
	Food Defense Plan:	Action Plan		
	Evaluation:			
	Action Plan items: T	he tags for the identif	ication of vehicles are	printed but are not
У	et given to security.	The target completion	n date was July 31, 20	18 which has
- 1		<u> </u>	cility. Lights are to be	
r		completion date is Aug		
	Effectiveness:	,		
CC	NIEORMITY RE	PORTS - FSSC A	DDITIONAL REQ	HIREMENTS (IN
			REQUISITE PRO	•
	1 1 1131 11 11311		RFにル ロスロ F PRにル	
	Audit of: FSSC	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	Auditees:
A	Audit of: FSSC dditional		Audit Criteria: Additional	
A R	Audit of: FSSC additional Requirements (In	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria:	
A R c	Audit of: FSSC additional Requirements (In onjunction with	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: Additional	
A R C P	Audit of: FSSC additional Requirements (In onjunction with PreRequisite	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: Additional	
A R C P	Audit of: FSSC additional Requirements (In onjunction with PreRequisite Programs)	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: Additional	
A R C P	Audit of: FSSC additional Requirements (In onjunction with PreRequisite	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: Additional	
A R C P	Audit of: FSSC additional Requirements (In onjunction with PreRequisite Programs)	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: Additional	
A R C P	Audit of: FSSC Additional Requirements (In onjunction with PreRequisite Programs) Audit Evidence:	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: Additional	
A R C P	Audit of: FSSC additional Requirements (In onjunction with PreRequisite Programs)	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: Additional	
A R C P P	Audit of: FSSC Additional Requirements (In onjunction with PreRequisite Programs) Audit Evidence:	Auditor: Khamisha Williams	Audit Criteria: Additional Requirements	Auditees:
A R C P P	Audit of: FSSC Additional Requirements (In Conjunction with PreRequisite Programs) Audit Evidence: Evaluation:	Auditor: Khamisha Williams quirements in conjunc	Audit Criteria: Additional Requirements tion with the Prerequis	Auditees:
A R C P P	Audit of: FSSC Additional Requirements (In Conjunction with PreRequisite Programs) Audit Evidence: Evaluation: FSSC additional recudited in the prerequisite	Auditor: Khamisha Williams quirements in conjunct uisite programs listed	Audit Criteria: Additional Requirements tion with the Prerequis below: Utilities Manag	Auditees: site programs are gement of Purchased
A R c P P a A	Audit of: FSSC Additional Requirements (In Conjunction with PreRequisite Programs) Audit Evidence: Evaluation: FSSC additional recudited in the prerequisite of the	Auditor: Khamisha Williams quirements in conjunct uisite programs listed Sanitizing and Environ	Audit Criteria: Additional Requirements tion with the Prerequis	Auditees: site programs are gement of Purchased
A R c P P a M Ir	Audit of: FSSC additional Requirements (In onjunction with PreRequisite Programs) Audit Evidence: Evaluation: FSSC additional recurred atterials Cleaning, September 2015	Auditor: Khamisha Williams quirements in conjunct uisite programs listed Sanitizing and Environ	Audit Criteria: Additional Requirements tion with the Prerequis below: Utilities Manag	Auditees: site programs are gement of Purchased
A R c P P a M Ir	Audit of: FSSC Additional Requirements (In Conjunction with PreRequisite Programs) Audit Evidence: Evaluation: FSSC additional recudited in the prerequisite of the	Auditor: Khamisha Williams quirements in conjunct uisite programs listed Sanitizing and Environ	Audit Criteria: Additional Requirements tion with the Prerequis below: Utilities Manag	Auditees: site programs are gement of Purchased
A R c P P a M Ir	Audit of: FSSC additional Requirements (In onjunction with PreRequisite Programs) Audit Evidence: Evaluation: FSSC additional recurred atterials Cleaning, September 2015	Auditor: Khamisha Williams quirements in conjunct uisite programs listed Sanitizing and Environ	Audit Criteria: Additional Requirements tion with the Prerequis below: Utilities Manag	Auditees: site programs are gement of Purchased

CONFORMITY REPORTS – PEELING

Audit of: Peeling P	Auditor: Liffani	Audit Criteria:	Auditees:

	Stewart	MS-2140:4.1.1-4.1.7	
Audit Evidence:			
	Sanitation Supervisor, with procedure MS-21	explained how the pe 40: 4.1.1-4.1.7.	eling of onions is
Evaluation:			
Effectiveness:			
CC	ONFORMITY REP	ORTS – PEELING)
Audit of: Peeling	Auditor: Tiffani Stewart	Audit Criteria: ISO 22000:2005 Clause 6.2.2	Auditees:
Audit Evidence:	•		
	-2041 Rev #8 dated S	eptember 25,2017 wa	s seen .
Evaluation:			
Peeling is on the tra	nining schedule for 20	18 .	
Effectiveness:	<u> </u>		
CONFORMI	TY REPORTS – C	ONTINUAL IMPR	OVEMENT
Audit of: Continual	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Dianna
Improvement Audit Evidence:	Williams	22000:8.5.1	Tomlinson
Addit Evidence.			
	nent ISO 22000:8.5.1		
Evaluation:			
Dianna Tomlinson s	stated that the continu	al improvement of the	Food Safety
		ssing non-conformand	•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	ement corrective action	
Effectiveness:	with top management	t. Carry out internal au	ait.

CONFORMITY REPORTS - CONTINUAL IMPROVEMENT

Audit of Continual	Auditor Khamiaha	Audit Critorio, ICO	Auditaga Dianna	
Audit of: Continual	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Dianna	
Improvement	Williams	22000:5.6.2	Tomlinson	
Audit Evidence:				
Evaluation:				
I was informed by T	he Food Safety Team	Leader, Dianna Tom	linson, that the Food	
Safety Management	System (FSMS) is co	mmunicated to the em	ployees through	
training. The Food Safety Team reviews and authorize changes in the FSMS.				
Effectiveness:				

CONFORMITY REPORTS – CONTINUAL IMPROVEMENT

Audit of: Continual	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Dianna	
Improvement	Williams	22000:7.8	Tomlinson	
Audit Evidence:	•	•		
A list of the process	es in the verification s	oftware can be found	at:	
· ·		hp/Company/perform		
1 '				
areas verified are Cross Contamination, CCTV Review, Pest Control and Purchasing. Evaluation:				
Lvaluation.				
Manification activities			ad Oafata	
Verification activities are carried out on the processes in the Food Safety				
Management System				
Effectiveness:				

CONFORMITY REPORTS – CONTINUAL IMPROVEMENT Audit of: Continual Auditor: Khamisha Audit Criteria: ISO Auditees: Dianna

Improvement	Williams	22000:7.3.2	Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:		·	
Evaluation:			
	_		
1		•	am is in place. Two of the
responsibility is to	evaluate and anal	yze the verification activ	vities and discuss
customer complain	ins.		
Effectiveness:			

CONFORMITY REPORTS -	CONTINUAL	INADROVENAENT
CONFORMITT REPORTS -	CONTINUAL	

CONFORMIT	Y REPORTS - C	ONTINUAL	. IMPR	OVEMENT
Audit of: Continual	Auditor: Khamisha	Audit Criteri	a: ISo	Auditees: Dianna
Improvement \(\)	Villiams	22000:7.3.2		Tomlinson
Audit Evidence:				
		_		
Food Safety Meeting	Minutes. Last two m	ninutes were c	lated Au	gust 2 and 8, 2018.
Evaluation:				
Tiffoni Ctowart the o	corotomy of the food (actory toom of	otod tha	t a minuta ia kant far
Tiffani Stewart, the so	•	sarety team st	ated tha	t a minute is kept for
all the food safety mee Effectiveness:	eurigs.			
Lifectiveriess.				
	NONCONFOR	RMITY REP	ORT	
Ir	ncident Identification	Number: 000	000.000	12
Non-Conformity Repo	ort Auditor (s): Kha	ımisha	Date: A	ugust 16, 2018
#: 1	Williams			
Audit of : Continual	Audit Criteria:			
Improvement	MS-2080:4.6.4			
Statement of Noncon	formity:			
			_	
Upon reviewing the C				
corrective actions were			•	
team meeting. This is				
food safety team Revi			•	he RAP and
Verification process to	determine if correct	ive action is re	equirea.	
Responsible Party: D	ianna Tomlineon			
Auditor Signature:	nama rominison	Signature:		
Additor Orginature.		Jigilatule.		
L				