Spanish Town Tax Office ISO 9001:2015 Quality Management System Audit Internal Audit Report

CONFORMITY REPORTS – CONTEXT OF THE ORGANISATION

Audit of: Context of	Auditor: Natasha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Fitzroy
the Organisation	Whyte	9001:2015 clause	Wedderburn
		4.2	
A 114 E 11	•		

Audit Evidence:

The Stakeholders Analysis Matrix was created February 18, 2021. The matrix showed ten (10) categories of stakeholders and their needs, wants and expectations.

Evaluation:

During the review of whether the Spanish Town Tax Office understood the needs and expectations of its interested parties, it was established that the Office identified the needs and expectations of its interested parties which were relevant to the QMS. This was in conformity to ISO 9001:2014 4.2 (a, b), which required that the organization shall identify the interested parties that are relevant to the QMS and the requirement of these interested parties.

	cc _						
-1	IT E	ואי	I۱	10	n	29	Z.

CONFORMITY REPORTS - CONTEXT OF THE ORGANISATION

Audit of: Context of	Auditor: Natasha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Fitzroy
the Organisation	Whyte	9001:2015 clause	Wedderburn
		4.3	

Audit Evidence:

The scope was determined by looking at the functional areas of the tax office and selecting those core processes for each functional areas so the scope would touch on the entire operation of the tax office. The Spanish Town Tax Office has identified its external and internal issues. The sections of the ISO not used by Spanish Town Tax Office are 7.1.5.2, 8.2.3.1 (a,e). The scope is documented in the quality policy document and maintained as documented evidence. The scope covers 13 processes and states what products and services are covered by the QMS. Changes to the scope would be carried out by continued analysis to see if they are meeting the needs and capitalizing on strength and opportunity, and addressing some of the weaknesses noted in the SWOT analysis. Also, looking at the totality and maturity of the processes at the location to the extent they can conform to the ISO Standard. The changes in the legislation would be included in the PESTEL analysis to see the extent of those external factors affecting the operations internally.

Evaluation:

During the review of the scope of the QMS, it was determined that the Spanish

own Tax Office was in conformity to ISO 9001:2015 4.3 as the scope was	
etermined by considering the internal/external issues, stakeholder requirements an	d
s products and services and was documented in the approved Quality Policy	
ocument.	
Effectiveness:	
	_

CONFORMITY REPORTS – CONTEXT OF THE ORGANISATION

Audit of: Context of	Auditor: Natasha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Fitzroy
the Organisation	Whyte	9001:2015 clause	Wedderburn
		4.4.1(b)	

Audit Evidence:

The office has determined its sequence and interaction of the processes which were represented in the Macro process flow chart and the sequence and interaction of key QMS processes document. This document was created by the Organization Development Unit (OD) in consultation with the Policy and Tran-formation Unit. It was approved by the Commissioner General (CG) and maintained by the OD unit.

Evaluation:

During the review of whether the Office determined its sequence and interaction of the QMS process it was determined that the office has a documented Sequence and interaction of process which is in conformity to ISO 9001:2015 4.4.1(b) which states the the organization shall determine its sequence and interaction of processes.

Effectiveness:

CONFORMITY REPORTS – CONTEXT OF THE ORGANISATION

Audit of: Context of	Auditor: Natasha	Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Fitzroy
the Organisation	Whyte	9001:2015 clause	Wedderburn
		4.4.1 (c & d)	

Audit Evidence:

The criteria, methods and measurement needed to operate the processes were in place. This came from the Divisional Plan, work plan to the ISO Quality Objective Reports. The Quality Objective report was the monitoring tool which were prepared monthly and copies of these were received for April 2021 to July 2021. These reports provide information that management can use to determine areas for improvement. The resources needed for the QMS was outlined in the Process Flow Charts in the SOPs. The resources were made available through purchases by the Procurement Unit. Responsibilities for the processes were recorded in the SOP's which were all

approved for the proc	esses under review.			
Evaluation:				
		ce Quality Manageme	•	
	-	he criteria and method		
	•	rocess, they determin	•	
1		and authorities for the	processes. This was	
in conformity with ISC) 9001:2015 clause ²	4.4.1 (c, d and e).		
Effectiveness:				
OONEODMITY F	SEDODTO CON			
	1	TEXT OF THE OF	1	
Audit of: Context of		Audit Criteria: ISO	Auditees: Fitzroy	
the Organisation	Whyte	9001:2015 clasue	Wedderburn	
A 19 F 11		4.4.2 (a & b)		
Audit Evidence:				
The decrees to dist				
			are the SOP's which	
	•	AS. The SOP's were p	•	
	•	Evidence of the prepa		
		P's as well as revision		
	•	were carried out as pl		
Evaluation:	on and supervisory cr	hecks are embedded	in the SOP's.	
Evaluation:				
The review of the Ol	MS and its processes	has disclosed that th	a offica has	
	•		the form of SOP's and	
	•	·		
has retained documented information that the processes are being carried out as planned through verification exercises and supervisory controls which are embedded				
in the processes. This is in conformance to ISO 9001:2015 clause 4.4.2 (a, b) which				
states the the organizations shall maintain documented information to support is				
processes and retain documented information that the processes are being carried				
out as planned.				
Effectiveness:				
NONCONFORMITY REPORT				

NONCONFORMITY REPORT					
Incident Identification Number: 000000.00001					
Non-Conformity Report	Auditor (s): Natasha Whyte	Date: August 31, 2021			
#: 1					
Audit of : Context of the	Audit Criteria: ISO				

Organisation	9001:2015 clause	e 4.1	
Statement of Nonconfor	mity:		
July 2021, it was reveale Assessment, Taxpayer S registers for the monthly the risks for NMVR Unit v registers were presented	d that the functions ervice, Taxpayer a monitoring of the range were monitored for for this period. The hat the organization	al areas (Cor Accounts & C risks. Howeve June 2021 - is was not in	Collections) prepared risk er, there was no evidence that
Responsible Party: Chri	stine Webb		
Auditor Signature:		Signature:	
Inoic	OPPORTUN		
Opportunity Report #: 1	dent Identification I		Date: August 31, 2021
Audit of : Context of the Organisation	` /		Date: //agast 61, 2021
Statement of Opportunit	•		
	rview section of th	e register an	egister by correcting the name d the financial year in the subver service & Education).
Responsible Party: Chri	stine Webb		
Auditor Signature:		Signature:	

5/5