

Math 340 HW 6

Asa Royal (ajr74) [collaborators: none]

March 8, 2024

1. **Meester 2.7.29.** Suppose that a given experiment has k possible outcomes, the i th outcome having probability p_i . Denote the number of occurrences of the i th outcome having probability p_i . Denote the number of occurrences of the i th outcome in n independent experiments by N_i . Show that

$$\mathbb{P}(N_1 = n_1, \dots, N_k = n_k) = \frac{n!}{n_1! n_2! \dots n_k!} p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \dots p_k^{n_k}$$

Proof. Since the experiments are independent, $\mathbb{P}(N_i = k) = p_i^k$ and the chance of seeing a specific ordering of outcomes where $N_1 = 1, N_2 = 2, \dots, N_k = k$ is $\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{n_i}$. But we are asked for an order-independent probability, so we must multiply that quantity by the total number of ways this could happen. That number is given in the counting writeup on canvas: the number of ways to partition n distinct results of an experiment to k possible outcomes is $n!/(n_1! n_2! \dots n_k!)$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}(N_1 = n_1, \dots, N_k = n_k) = \frac{n!}{n_1! n_2! \dots n_k!} p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \dots p_k^{n_k}$$

□

2. Let $p \in (0, 1)$. Suppose the random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent and have the Bernoulli(p) distribution.

- (i) Compute the function

$$\mu(\lambda) = \ln \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X_1}], \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

in terms of p and λ . In particular, what are $\mu(0)$ and $\mu'(0)$?

Compute $\mu(\lambda)$:

Per the definition of expected value,

$$\mu(\lambda) = \ln \sum_{x \in R(X)} e^{\lambda x} \mathbb{P}(X_1 = x) \quad (1)$$

Since X_1 is a Bernoulli random variable, it can only take on the values 0 and 1. Thus (1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\lambda) &= \ln \left(\sum_{x=0}^1 e^{\lambda x} \mathbb{P}(X_1 = x) \right) \\ &= \ln (e^{0 \cdot \lambda} \mathbb{P}(X_1 = 0) + e^{1 \cdot \lambda} \mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1)) \\ &= \boxed{\ln(1 - p + pe^\lambda)} \end{aligned}$$

Evaluate $\mu(0), \mu'(0)$:

Plugging $\lambda = 0$ into $\mu(\lambda)$, we see

$$\mu(0) = \ln(1 - p + pe^0) = \ln(1) = \boxed{0}$$

Taking the derivative of $\mu(\lambda)$, we find

$$\mu'(\lambda) = \frac{pe^\lambda}{e^\lambda p + (1 - p)}$$

So

$$\mu'(0) = \frac{pe^0}{e^0 p + 1 - p} = \boxed{p}$$

(ii) Compute the function

$$h(\lambda) = \ln \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda(X_1 + \dots + X_n)} \right]$$

in terms of $\mu(\lambda)$ and n .

$$\begin{aligned} h(\lambda) &= \ln \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda(X_1 + \dots + X_n)} \right] \\ &= \ln \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda X_1} e^{\lambda X_2} \dots e^{\lambda X_n} \right] && \text{split exponential term} \\ &= \ln \left(\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda X_1} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda X_2} \right] \dots \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda X_n} \right] \right) && \text{because the } X_j \text{ are indep.} \\ &= \ln \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda X_1} \right] + \ln \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda X_2} \right] + \dots + \ln \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda X_n} \right] && \text{log of product} \rightarrow \text{sum of logs} \\ &= n \ln \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda X_1} \right] && \text{because the } X_j \text{ have same dist.} \\ &= n\mu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 + \dots + X_n > n(p + \varepsilon)) \leq e^{n(\mu(\lambda) - \lambda(\varepsilon + p))} \quad (2)$$

Proof. Consider the function $f(x) = e^{\lambda x}$. Because f increases monotonically with x , for a positive r.v. Y ,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y > a) = \mathbb{P}(f(Y) > f(a))$$

So to prove (2), we can show that

$$\mathbb{P} \left(e^{\lambda(X_1 + \dots + X_n)} \geq e^{\lambda n(p + \varepsilon)} \right) \leq e^{n(\mu(\lambda) - \lambda(p + \varepsilon))} \quad (3)$$

Per Markov's inequality,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(e^{\lambda(X_1 + \dots + X_n)} \geq e^{\lambda n(p + \varepsilon)} \right) \leq \frac{1}{e^{\lambda n(p + \varepsilon)}} \mathbb{E} [e^{\lambda(X_1 + \dots + X_n)}] \quad (1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{e^{\lambda n(p + \varepsilon)}} e^{h(\lambda)} \quad \text{per 2.ii} \quad (2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{e^{\lambda n(p + \varepsilon)}} e^{n\mu(\lambda)} \quad \text{per 2.ii} \quad (3)$$

$$= e^{n\mu(\lambda) - \lambda n(p + \varepsilon)} \quad (4)$$

$$= e^{n(\mu(\lambda) - \lambda(\varepsilon + p))} \quad (5)$$

□

3. ..

(i) What are the marginal distributions of X and Y ?

$$\mathbb{P}(X = 0) = \sum_{y \in Y} \mathbb{P}(X = 0, Y = y) = 0 + 1/4 + 1/4 = 1/2$$

$$\mathbb{P}(X = 1) = \sum_{y \in Y} \mathbb{P}(X = 1, Y = y) = 1/4$$

$$\mathbb{P}(X = -1) = \sum_{y \in Y} \mathbb{P}(X = -1, Y = y) = 1/4$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = 0) = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{P}(X = x, Y = 0) = 0 + 1/4 + 1/4 = 1/2$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = 1) = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{P}(X = x, Y = 1) = 1/4$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = -1) = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{P}(X = x, Y = -1) = 1/4$$

(ii) Are X and Y independent?

No. As a counterexample of independence, note that $\mathbb{P}(X = 0, Y = 0) = 0$ but $\mathbb{P}(X = 0) * \mathbb{P}(Y = 0) = (1/2) * (1/2) = 1/4$.

(iii) Compute the covariance $\text{Cov}(X, Y)$

Generally, $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]$. In this case, the joint probability of X and Y is only nonzero when $XY = 0$, so $\mathbb{E}[XY] = 0$. In addition,

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{x \in R(X)} x \mathbb{P}(X = x) = -1(1/4) + 1(1/4) + 0(1/2) = 0$$

And symmetrically, $\mathbb{E}[Y] = 0$. Thus, because $\mathbb{E}[XY] = \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] = 0$, $\boxed{\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0}$.

4. (i) What is the joint distribution of B and X_L ?

By the definition of conditional probability,

$$\mathbb{P}(B = b, X_L = x) = \mathbb{P}(X_L = x|B = b) * \mathbb{P}(B = b) \quad (6)$$

If we are drawing from box b , b/n balls are red. The number of red balls we select in L draws with replacement is thus a binomial random $X_L|B = b \sim \text{Binomial}(L, b/n)$.

$$\mathbb{P}(X_L = x|B = b) = \binom{L}{x} \left(\frac{b}{n}\right)^x \left(1 - \frac{b}{n}\right)^{L-x} \quad (7)$$

Noting that $\mathbb{P}(B = b) = 1/n$ and plugging (7) into (6), we conclude

$$\mathbb{P}(B = b, X_L = x) = \frac{1}{n} \binom{L}{x} \left(\frac{b}{n}\right)^x \left(1 - \frac{b}{n}\right)^{L-x}$$

(ii) What is the conditional distribution of B given $X_L = j$? Per Baye's Rule,

$$\mathbb{P}(B = b|X_L = j) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_L = j|B = b)\mathbb{P}(B = b)}{\mathbb{P}(X_L = j)}$$

We worked the numerator out in 4i. The denominator is given by the partition rule:

$$\mathbb{P}(B = b|X_L = j) = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \binom{L}{j} \left(\frac{b}{n}\right)^j \left(1 - \frac{b}{n}\right)^{L-j}}{\sum_{b=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_L = j|B = b) * \mathbb{P}(B = b)}$$

$\mathbb{P}(B = b)$ in the denominator $= 1/n$. Since the same term exists in the numerator, we can cancel both. Further expanding the denominator, we find

$$\mathbb{P}(B = b|X_L = j) = \frac{\binom{L}{j} \left(\frac{b}{n}\right)^j \left(1 - \frac{b}{n}\right)^{L-j}}{\sum_{b=1}^n \binom{L}{j} \left(\frac{b}{n}\right)^j \left(1 - \frac{b}{n}\right)^{L-j}}$$

Cancelling the $\binom{L}{j}$ terms, we conclude

$$\mathbb{P}(B = b|X_L = j) = \frac{\left(\frac{b}{n}\right)^j \left(1 - \frac{b}{n}\right)^{L-j}}{\sum_{b=1}^n \left(\frac{b}{n}\right)^j \left(1 - \frac{b}{n}\right)^{L-j}}$$

(iii) What value of k maximizes the conditional distribution from part (ii)?

Maximizing the conditional probability in 4ii is equivalent to maximizing its numerator. We can find the value of k that maximizes the numerator by taking the numerator's derivative with respect to k , then setting that expression equal to 0 to find critical points.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dk} \left(\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^j \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)^{L-j} \right) &= \frac{j}{n} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{j-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)^{L-j} + \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^j \frac{-(L-j)}{n} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)^{L-j-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{j-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)^{L-j-1} \left[j \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) - \frac{k}{n} (L-j) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{j-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)^{L-j-1} \left(j - \cancel{\frac{j}{n}} - \frac{kL}{n} + \cancel{\frac{kj}{n}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{j-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)^{L-j-1} \left(j - \frac{kL}{n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Setting the derivative equal to 0, we see that $k = \frac{jn}{L}$ is a critical point, as are $k = n$ and $k = 0$, though neither of the latter two makes sense as a maximum.

We thus conclude that $k = \frac{jn}{L}$ maximizes the conditional probability in 4ii.

5. Let S_n be a simple random walk on the integers, starting from $S_0 = 0$. Let M_n denote the maximum of S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n . Let $N_n^{max}(b)$ denote the number of paths for which $S_k \geq b$ at some time $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $N_n^+(b)$ be the number of paths for which $S_n > b$. Assume b is an even integer and n is odd so that $\mathbb{P}(S_n = b) = 0$.

- (i) Explain why $N_n^{max}(b) = 2 \cdot N_n^+(b)$.

Any path in $N_n^+(b)$ must have some point $S_k = b$ and $S_{k+1} = b + 1$. Mirroring the reflection principle, imagine an alternate path S^* such that $S_{k+j}^* = b - (S_{k+j} - b)$ for $j \in \{k+1 \dots n\}$. i.e., an alternate path that is the same as the original up to time k where the path hits b , then for time $t \in \{K+1, \dots, n\}$ is the reflection of the original path over b .

Since every path constituting those counted in $N_n^+(b)$ has such an alternate path, $N_n^{max}(b) = 2 \cdot N_n^+(b)$.

- (ii) Write a formula for $\mathbb{P}(M_n \geq b)$ in terms of n and b .

$$\mathbb{P}(M_n \geq b) = \frac{N_n^{max}(b)}{\# \text{ paths}} \quad (8)$$

Per 5i, this is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{P}(M_n \geq b) = \frac{2N_n^+(b)}{\# \text{ paths}} = \frac{2N_n^+(b)}{2^n} = 2^{n-1}N_n^+(b) \quad (9)$$

$N_n^+(b)$ is the number of paths with $S_n \geq b$. Imagine that the direction of each step of our random walk is determined by the toss of a coin. For a walk to have $S_n \geq b$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{heads} - \text{tails} &\geq b \\ \text{heads} &\geq b + \text{tails} \\ \text{heads} &\geq b + (n - \text{heads}) \\ 2 * \text{heads} &\geq b + n \\ \text{heads} &\geq \frac{b + n}{2} \end{aligned}$$

The number of ways to flip k heads in n tosses is given by $\binom{n}{k}$. Any number of heads between $(b+n)/2$ and n will give $S_n \geq b$. Thus,

$$N_n^+(b) = \sum_{k=(b+n)/2}^n \binom{n}{k} \quad (10)$$

Finally, plugging this into (9), we conclude

$$\mathbb{P}(M_n \geq b) = \frac{\sum_{k=(b+n)/2}^n \binom{n}{k}}{2^{n-1}}$$