

UCS310

Database Management System

Relational Design

Lecture-17

Date: 20 Feb 2023

Dr. Sumit Sharma
Assistant Professor

Computer Science and Engineering Department
Thapar Institute of Engineering and Technology, Patiala

Recap

- Relation design features
 - Attributes semantics
 - Redundant information in tuples & update anomaly
 - NULL values in tuples
 - Spurious tuples due to loopy decomposition
- Functional Dependencies

Functional Dependencies

- Functional dependencies (FDs) are used to specify **formal measures of the "goodness" of relational designs**
- FDs and keys are used to define normal forms for relations
- FDs are constraints that are derived from the meaning and interrelationships of the data attributes
- A set of attributes X functionally determines a set of attributes Y
 - if the value of X determines a unique value for Y

Functional Dependencies

- If A is key then,
 - all the other attributes, i.e., B and C can be uniquely identified using A

- Means,

$A \rightarrow BC$

A	B	C
1		
2	a	b
3		
4		

Functional Dependencies

- $X \rightarrow Y$ holds,
 - if whenever two tuples have the same value for X ,
 - they must have the same value for Y
- For any two tuples t_1 and t_2 in any relation instance $r(R)$:
 - If $t_1[X] = t_2[X]$,
 - then $t_1[Y] = t_2[Y]$
- $X \rightarrow Y$ in R specifies a constraint on all relation instances $r(R)$
- Written as $X \rightarrow Y$; can be displayed graphically on a relation schema as in Figures (denoted by the arrow:)
- FDs are derived from the real-world constraints on the attributes

Examples of FD constraints

- social security number determines employee name
 - $SSN \rightarrow ENAME$
- project number determines project name and location
 - $PNUMBER \rightarrow \{PNAME, PLOCATION\}$
- employee ssn and project number determines the hours per week that the employee works on the project
 - $\{SSN, PNUMBER\} \rightarrow HOURS$

Examples of FD constraints

- An FD is a property of the attributes in the schema R
- The constraint must hold on every relation instance $r(R)$
- If K is a key of R , then K functionally determines all attributes in R (since we never have two distinct tuples with $t_1[K]=t_2[K]$)

Functional Dependencies (FDs)

- If $A \rightarrow B$,
 - then A need not be key always
 - For every value of A, we should be able to uniquely identifying B values

A	B	C
a	1	d
a	1	e
b	2	f
b	2	g

FDs tells which are the areas that can further decompose

FD Example

- Rule out the FD based on the tables

Eid	Ename
1	a
2	b
3	b

Eid \rightarrow Ename

Ename \rightarrow Eid

FD Example

- Rule out the FD based on the tables

Eid	Ename
1	a
2	b
3	b

$Eid \rightarrow Ename$

~~$Ename \rightarrow Eid$~~

A	B
1	1
1	2
2	2

$A \rightarrow B$

$B \rightarrow A$

FD Example

- Rule out the FD based on the tables

Eid	Ename
1	a
2	b
3	b

$Eid \rightarrow Ename$

~~$Ename \rightarrow Eid$~~

A	B
1	1
1	2
2	2

~~$A \rightarrow B$~~

~~$B \rightarrow A$~~

FD Example

- Rule out the FD based on the tables

A	B	C
1	1	4
1	2	4
2	1	3
2	2	3
2	4	3

$A \rightarrow B$

$B \rightarrow C$

$B \rightarrow A$

$C \rightarrow B$

$C \rightarrow A$

$A \rightarrow C$

FD Example

- Rule out the FD based on the tables

A	B	C
1	1	4
1	2	4
2	1	3
2	2	3
2	4	3

~~$A \rightarrow B$~~

~~$B \rightarrow C$~~

~~$B \rightarrow A$~~

~~$C \rightarrow B$~~

$C \rightarrow A$

$A \rightarrow C$

FD Example

- Rule out the FD based on the tables

X	Y	Z
1	4	3
1	5	3
4	6	3
3	2	3

$XZ \rightarrow X$

$XY \rightarrow Z$

$Z \rightarrow Y$

$Y \rightarrow Z$

$XZ \rightarrow Y$

FD Example

- Rule out the FD based on the tables

X	Y	Z
1	4	3
1	5	3
4	6	3
3	2	3

$XZ \rightarrow X$

$XY \rightarrow Z$

~~$Z \rightarrow Y$~~

$Y \rightarrow Z$

~~$XZ \rightarrow Y$~~

FD Example

A	B	C
1	1	1
1	1	0
2	3	2
2	3	2

- B does not functionally determine C
- $A \rightarrow B$ is valid for the particular instance but may not hold for the entire database
- Therefore, A does not functionally determine B

Functional Dependencies (FDs)

- If $A \rightarrow B$,

	A	B
t1		
t2		
	If t1 and t2 agree here	Then they must agree here also
	If t1 and t2 disagree here	Then they may agree or Disagree here

A	B
1	a
1	a
2	a
3	b
1	a
1	a



A	B
1	a
2	a
3	b

Inference Rules for FDs

- Given a set of FDs F , we can infer additional FDs that hold whenever the FDs in F hold
- Armstrong's inference rules:
 - IR1. (**Reflexive**) If Y subset of X , then $X \rightarrow Y$
 - IR2. (**Augmentation**) If $X \rightarrow Y$, then $XZ \rightarrow YZ$
(Notation: XZ stands for $X \cup Z$)
 - IR3. (**Transitive**) If $X \rightarrow Y$ and $Y \rightarrow Z$, then $X \rightarrow Z$
- IR1, IR2, IR3 form a sound and complete set of inference rules

Inference Rules for FDs

Some additional inference rules that are useful:

- **(Decomposition)** If $X \rightarrow YZ$, then $X \rightarrow Y$ and $X \rightarrow Z$
- **(Union)** If $X \rightarrow Y$ and $X \rightarrow Z$, then $X \rightarrow YZ$
- **(Pseudotransitivity)** If $X \rightarrow Y$ and $WY \rightarrow Z$, then $WX \rightarrow Z$

The last three inference rules, as well as any other inference rules, can be deduced from IR1, IR2, and IR3 (completeness property)

Thanks!

