Real Analysis Exams

Exam №2

Instructor: Dr. Eric Westlund

David Oniani Luther College

oniada01@luther.edu

January 2, 2021

- 1. (a) Let $S_n = (a \frac{1}{n}, b + \frac{1}{n})$. Then S_n is open. Now, it is easy to see that $S = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ is G_{δ} set. Furthermore, $[a, b] \subseteq S$ as $\forall n, [a, b] \subseteq S_n$. Now, suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that $x \in S$ and $x \notin [a, b]$. We have two cases:
 - (i) $x < a \implies \exists n \text{ s.t. } a x > \frac{1}{n} \text{ and thus, } x < a \frac{1}{n}.$ It follows that $x \notin S_n$ and $x \notin S$. Hence, we face a contradiction and $x \ge a$.
 - (ii) $x > b \implies \exists n \text{ s.t. } x b > \frac{1}{n} \text{ and thus, } x > b + \frac{1}{n}.$ It follows that $x \notin S_n$ and $x \notin S$. Hence, we face a contradiction and $x \leq b$.

Finally, from these two cases, we got that $x \ge a$ and $x \le b$ and thus $x \in [a, b]$. Therefore, [a, b] is G_{δ} set.

(b) Let $S_n = (a, b + \frac{1}{n})$. Then, by the argument presented in (a) part of the exercise, $S = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n = (a, b]$ and thus (a, b] is G_{δ} .

Now, suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that $U_n = [a + \frac{1}{n}, b], x \in U_n$, and $x \notin (a, b]$. Let us now consider these two cases:

(i) $x \le a \implies x < a + \frac{1}{n} \implies x \notin U_n$ and we face a contradiction.

(ii) $x > b \implies x \notin U_n$ and we face a contradiction.

Thus x > a and $x \le b$ which implies that $x \in (a, b]$ and therefore, (a, b] is F_{σ} . Hence, we have shown that any arbitrary half-open interval (a, b] is both G_{δ} and F_{σ} .

- (c) To prove that \mathbb{Q} is F_{σ} , we need to find a countable collection of closed subsets of \mathbb{Q} whose union is \mathbb{Q} . Now, since \mathbb{Q} , there exists a bijective function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$. Then, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $S_n = \{f(n)\}$ is closed. We have $\mathbb{Q} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{S_n\}$ is a union of closed sets. Thus, by definition, \mathbb{Q} is F_{σ} set.
- (d) Notice that $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{Q}$ is the set of irrational numbers which is the complement of the rational numbers in \mathbb{R} . Hence, $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{R} \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}^c$. From (c) we know that \mathbb{Q} can be represented as a countable union of closed sets. Then, per **De Morgan's Law**, we get that \mathbb{I} is the countable intersection of open sets (complement of a closed set is an open set). Hence, by definition, we get that $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{Q}$ is G_{δ} .
- (e) Since this is a if and only if question, let us first prove the statement directly and then prove its converse.
 - (i) Let us first show that a set is a G_{δ} set if its complement is an F_{σ} set.

Suppose that we have a set S which is a G_{δ} set. Then, by definition, $S = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ where every S_n is an open set. Then, by **De Morgan's Law**, it follows that $S^c = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n^c$ (with S_n^c being closed as the complement of an open set is a closed set) and by definition, S^c is a F_{σ} set.

(ii) Let us now prove the converse, that if a set is a complement of a F_{σ} set, then it is a G_{δ} set.

Suppose that we have a set S which is a F_{σ} set. Then, by definition, $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ where every S_n is a closed set. Then, by **De Morgan's Law**, it follows that $S^c = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n^c$ (with S_n^c being open as the complement of a closed set is an open set) and by definition, S^c is a F_{σ} set.

Finally, we have proven that a set is a G_{δ} set if and only if its complement is an F_{σ} set.

- 2. Let us first prove that $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C} = [0, 1]$. Recall that the Cantor set \mathbb{C} is the set of all numbers in [0, 1] that in the **ternary system** can be represented as the sequence of 0s and 2s only. Then $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C}$ must only contain 0s and 1s. Now, let $r \in [0, 1]$. If we show that $\exists x, y \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C}$ s.t $x + y \in [0, 1]$, then we have effectively shown that $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C} = [0, 1]$. Let us construct x and y in the following manner:
 - * Let x have 0s in the same places where it is in r and let x have 1s when the corresponding digit in r is either 1 or 2.
 - * Let y have 0s in the same places where r has 0s or 1s. Let y have 1s when the corresponding digit in r is 2.

Hence, we split all 2s in r in a way that half goes to x and half goes to y, and all 1s of r were given to x. Thus, x + y = r. For instance, if r = 0.120120..., then x = 0.110110... and y = 0.010010.... It follows that x + y = 0.120120... = r. Now, since we have already shown that $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C} = [0, 1]$, we can just multiply both sides of the equation by 2 and we get $\mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C} = [0, 2]$.

- 3. (a) Placeholder
 - (b) Placeholder
 - (c) Placeholder
 - (d) Placeholder
 - (e) Placeholder
- 4. (a) According to **Definition 4.2.1 (Functional Limit)**, we have to show that $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $0 < |x-3| < \delta \implies |x^2 5x + 4 (-2)| < \epsilon$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Let $\delta = -0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}}$ ($\delta > 0$ since $\sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} > 0.5$). Then suppose that $|x-3| = 0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} > 0.5$

 $|x-3| < -0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}}$ Notice that:

$$|x^{2} - 5x + 4 - (-2)| = |x^{2} - 5x + 6|$$

$$= |(x - 2)(x - 3)|$$

$$= |-0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} + 1| \times |-0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}}|$$

$$< |\sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} + 0.5| \times |\sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} - 0.5|$$

$$= |0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} - 0.25|$$

$$= |\frac{\epsilon}{2}| = \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$$

Hence, we showed that $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta = -0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} \text{ s.t. } 0 < |x - 3| < \delta \implies$ $|x^2 - 5x + 4 - (-2)| < \epsilon.$

(b) Per Exercise 4.2.9 (b) that I have completed as a part of the assignment, we can say $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = L$ if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists M > 0$ s.t. if x > M we have $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$. Let us now show that $\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{2x}{x+4}=2$. Let $\epsilon>0$ be given and let $M=\frac{8}{\epsilon}$. Then if x>M, we have $x > \frac{8}{\epsilon}$. We have $\frac{2x}{x+4} = \left|\frac{2\frac{8}{\epsilon}}{\frac{8}{\epsilon}+4} - 2\right| = \frac{8}{\frac{8}{\epsilon}+4} = \frac{2\epsilon}{\epsilon+2} = \epsilon - \frac{4}{\epsilon+2} < \epsilon$. Hence, $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{2x}{x+4} = 2$.

- 5. We need to prove that $\forall c \in [0, \infty)$ and $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. whenever $|x c| < \delta$ (with $x \in [0,\infty)$), it follows that $|\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{c}| < \epsilon$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Now, let us consider the following two cases:
 - (1) c = 0If c = 0, let $\delta = \epsilon^4$. Then $|x - c| = |x - 0| = |x| < \epsilon^4$. Now, $|\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{0}| = |\sqrt[4]{x}| < \epsilon$ is true as if we raise both sides of the inequality to the power of four, we get $|x| < \epsilon^4$ which is true. Hence, we have that $|x-c|<\delta$ implies $|\sqrt[4]{x}-\sqrt[4]{c}|<\epsilon$.

(2) c > 0

If c > 0, let $\delta = \epsilon \sqrt[4]{c}$. Then $|x - c| < \epsilon \sqrt[4]{c}$. Consider $|\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{c}|$. Now, notice that:

$$|\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{c}| = |\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{c} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{x} + \sqrt[4]{c}}|$$

$$= |\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{c}| \times \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{x} + \sqrt[4]{c}}|$$

$$= |x - c| \times \frac{1}{(\sqrt[4]{x} + \sqrt[4]{c})(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{c})}|$$

$$< \frac{|x - c|}{\sqrt[4]{c^3}}|$$

$$\leq \frac{|x - c|}{\sqrt[4]{c}}|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon \sqrt[4]{c}}{\sqrt[4]{c}} = \epsilon$$

Hence, we have that $|x-c|<\delta$ implies $|\sqrt[4]{x}-\sqrt[4]{c}|<\epsilon$.

Thus, we have now shown that $\forall c \in [0, \infty)$ and $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. whenever $|x - c| < \delta$ (with $x \in [0, \infty)$), it follows that $|\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{c}| < \epsilon$.

6. Note that function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ would not be well-defined if repeating 9s were allowed. If repeating 9s are allowed, then the decimal expansion of the number is not unique since 1 = 0.9999... and the function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is not well-defined. Hence, we do not allow for repeating 9s.

 $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is not continuous at points in $\left\{\frac{n}{10} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\right\}$. Hence, $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is not continuous at points $\cdots = 0.9, -0.8, \ldots, -0.1, 0.1, 0.2, \ldots 0.8, 0.9 \ldots$.

Consider an real number $\left\{\frac{n}{10} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\right\}$. Notice that r = a.b s.t. $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$. Then, due to the density property, $\exists (x_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $(x_n) \to r$. In fact, we can build (x_n) ourselves. For r = a.b (with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$, by considering the following two cases:

(i)
$$b = 0$$

If $b = 0$, $r = a.0$.

Now, if a > 0, pick $x_n = (a-1).9999 \cdots \rightarrow r$. Then f(r) = a.1 and $f(x_n) = (a-1).1999 \cdots = (a-1).12$. Thus, we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) \neq f(r)$ and the function is not continuous at r.

If a < 0, pick $x_n = (a+1).9999 \cdots \rightarrow r$. Then f(r) = a.1 and $f(x_n) = (a+1).1999 \cdots = (a-1).12$. Thus, we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) \neq f(r)$ and the function is not continuous at r.

(ii)
$$b \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$$

If $b \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$, $r = a.b$ with $b \neq 0$.

Now, pick $x_n = a.(b-1)9999 \cdots \rightarrow r$. Then f(r) = a.1 and $f(x_n) = a.1999 \cdots = a.12$. Thus, we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) \neq f(r)$ and the function is not continuous at r.

Finally, we have shown that $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is not continuous at points in $\left\{ \frac{n}{10} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0 \right\}$.

It is easy to see that $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at all points that are not in $\left\{\frac{n}{10} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\right\}$.

Recall that for a function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ to be continuous, it must be the case that $\forall (x_n) \to c$,

(with $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$), it follows that $f(x_n) \to f(c)$ (Theorem 4.3.2 (Characterizations of Continuity) (iii). Consider an arbitrary real number $r = a.b_1b_2b_3b_4\cdots \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, due to the density property, $\exists (x_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $(x_n) \to r$. Notice that $f(r) = a.1b_2b_3b_4\ldots$ and $f(x_n) = a.1b_2b_3b_4\ldots$ (This is due to $r \notin \left\{\frac{n}{10} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\right\}$. In other words, there is no way to change anything in the first position that will affect the rest of the expansion and thus, $\lim f(x_n) = f(r)$). Hence, we got that $f(x_n) \to f(r)$ and $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at all points not in $\left\{\frac{n}{10} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\right\}$.

7. Let us first prove that $f(x) = 1/x^2$ is uniformly continuous on $[0, \infty)$. Let $x, y \in [1, \infty)$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ be set. Then we have:

$$\left| \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{y^2} \right| = \left| \frac{y^2 - x^2}{x^2 y^2} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{(x+y)(x-y)}{x^2 y^2} \right|$$

$$= \frac{x+y}{x^2 y^2} |x-y|$$

Since $x, y \in [1, \infty)$, it follows that $\frac{x+y}{x^2y^2} \le 2$ and for $x, y \in [1, \infty)$, we have:

$$\left|\frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{y^2}\right| \le 2|x - y|$$

Now, let $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Then we have $|x - y| < \delta$ and it follows that $\left| \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{y^2} \right| < \epsilon$. Hence, by **Definition 4.4.4 (Uniform Continuity)**, $f(x) = 1/x^2$ is uniformly continuous on $[0, \infty)$.

Let us now prove that $f(x) = 1/x^2$ is not uniformly continuous on the interval (0,1]. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that f(x) is uniformly continuous on (0,1]. Then for $\epsilon > 0$ there must exist $\delta > 0$ s.t. $\forall x, y \in (0,1]$ with $|x-y| < \delta$, it follows that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$. Now, let $x = \frac{2}{n}$ and $y = \frac{1}{n}$ with $n \ge 2$. We have that |x-y| implies

$$\left| \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{y^2} \right| = \left| \frac{\frac{3}{n^2}}{\frac{4}{n^2}} \right| = \frac{3}{4} < \epsilon$$

Now, we got that $\epsilon > \frac{3}{4}$, however, $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ must be true $\forall \epsilon > 0$. Hence, we face a contradiction and $f(x) = 1/x^2$ is not uniformly continuous on (0,1].

Finally, we have shown that $f(x) = 1/x^2$ is uniformly continuous on $[0, \infty)$, but not on (0, 1].

- 8. (a) Placeholder
 - (b) Placeholder
 - (c) Placeholder