

---

# *Real Analysis Exams*

---

## **Exam №4**

Instructor: Dr. Eric Westlund

David Oniani

Luther College

[oniada01@luther.edu](mailto:oniada01@luther.edu)

January 25, 2021

1. (a) Notice that we have:

$$\limsup a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(4 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{4}\right) = 4 \times 1 = 4$$

Similarly,  $\liminf a_n = 4 \times (-1) = -4$ . Hence,  $\limsup a_n = 4$  and  $\liminf a_n = -4$ .

- (b) Notice that the subsequence  $a_k = \left(4 + \frac{1}{8k}\right) \cos(2\pi k)$  ( $n = 8k$  with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) converges to 0 since  $\cos(2\pi k) = 0$  and thus, every  $a_k = \left(4 + \frac{1}{8k}\right) \times 0 = 0$ .

- (c) This set will be  $A = (-4, 4)$ .

2. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given,  $x_0$  be fixed, and let  $\delta = \min\left(1, \frac{\epsilon}{5(1+2|x_0|)}\right)$ . Then for  $|x - x_0| < \delta$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(x) - f(x_0)| &= |5x^2 + 3 - 5x_0^2 - 3| \\
&= |5(x^2 - x_0^2)| \\
&= 5|x - x_0||x - x_0 + 2x_0| \\
&< 5\delta(|x - x_0| + |2x_0|) \\
&< 5\delta(\delta + 2|x_0|) \\
&\leq 5\frac{\epsilon}{5(1+2|x_0|)}(\delta + 2|x_0|) \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{1+2|x_0|}(1 + 2|x_0|) \\
&= \epsilon \qquad \qquad \qquad (\text{Thus, } |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f(x) = 5x^2 + 3$  is continuous at each point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

□

3. (a) Counterexample: let us define

$$f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto \begin{cases} n & \text{if } 0 < x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ or } \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Then notice that  $\int_0^1 f_n = 1$  and the pointwise limit of  $f_n$  is  $f(x) = 0$  ( $x \in [0, 1]$ ) for which we have  $\int_0^1 f = 0$ . Hence, we have  $\int_0^1 f_n \rightarrow 1 \neq \int_0^1 f = 0$ . Finally, we get that every  $f_n$  is Riemann-integrable, but  $f$  is not.

- (b) As  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly, pick  $n_1$  s.t. the following holds:

$$|f_{n_1}(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3 \cdot (b - a)}$$

Now, since every  $f_n$  is integrable, take  $n_2$  such that

$$|U(f_{n_1}, P_{n_2}) - L(f_{n_1}, P_{n_2})| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}.$$

Now, choose  $n = \max(n_1, n_2)$ ,

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
|U(f, P_n) - U(f_n, P_n)| &\leq \sum_{x_k} |f(x_k) - f_n(x_k)| \Delta x_k \\
&< \sum_{x_k} \frac{\epsilon}{3(b-a)} \Delta x_k \\
&= \frac{\epsilon}{3(b-a)} \sum_{x_k} \Delta x_k \\
&= \frac{\epsilon}{3(b-a)} (b-a) \\
&= \frac{\epsilon}{3}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, notice that over  $[x_k, x_{k+1}]$ ,  $|\sup f(x) - \sup f_n(x)| \leq |f_n(x) - f(x)|$  (since every point of  $f_n$  is close to  $f$ ).

A similar results holds for

$$|L(f, P_{n_2}) - L(f_n, P_{n_2})| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

Hence, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
|U(f, P_n) - L(f, P_n)| &\leq \left| U(f, P_n) - U(f_n, P_n) + U(f_n, P_n) - L(f_n, P_n) - \left( L(f, P_n) - L(f_n, P_n) \right) \right| \\
&\leq |U(f, P_n) - U(f_n, P_n)| + |U(f_n, P_n) - L(f_n, P_n)| + |L(f, P_n) - L(f_n, P_n)| \\
&< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we got that if  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $[a, b]$ , then  $f$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

□

- (c) We have already shown in (b) part of the exercise that  $f$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ . We now need to show that the following holds:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n = \int_a^b f$$

It follows by the uniform convergence of  $f_n$  that

$$|f_n - f| < \epsilon \implies f - \epsilon < f_n < f + \epsilon \quad (1)$$

$$\int_a^b (f - \epsilon) < \int_a^b f_n < \int_a^b (f + \epsilon) \implies \left| \int_a^b f_n - \int_a^b f \right| < \epsilon(b-a) \quad (2)$$

Hence, we can make the difference  $|\int_a^b f_n - \int_a^b f|$  arbitrarily small and we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n = \int_a^b f$ .

□

4. Yes,  $G$  is differentiable at 1. In order to show this, we must show that the following limit exists:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{G(x) - G(1)}{x - 1}$$

Notice that the antiderivative  $A$  of function  $g$  can be calculated as:

$$A = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \neq 1 \\ 2x & \text{if } x = 1 \end{cases}$$

We have:

$$G(1) = \int_0^1 g = A'(1) - A'(0) = 2 - 1 = 1$$

Plugging in the values into the limit formula above, we get:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{\int_0^x g - 1}{x - 1}$$

Now, clearly  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1-1}{x-1} = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{1-1}{x-1} = 0$ . Hence,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{\int_0^x g - 1}{x - 1} = 0$  and always exists. Finally, we got that  $G$  is differentiable at 1.

□

5. No,  $H$  is not differentiable at 1. In order to show this, we must show that the following limit does not exist:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{H(x) - H(1)}{x - 1}$$

Notice that the antiderivative  $A$  of function  $h$  can be calculated as:

$$A = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x < 1 \\ 2x & \text{if } x \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

We have:

$$G(1) = \int_0^1 g = A'(1) - A'(0) = 2 - 1 = 1$$

Plugging in the values into the limit formula above, we get:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{\int_0^x h - 1}{x - 1}$$

Now, clearly  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1-1}{x-1} = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{1-2}{x-1} \neq 0$ . Hence,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \neq \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+}$  and the two-sided limits are not the same which implies that the limit does not exist. Finally, we got that  $H$  is not differentiable at 1.

□

6. Let  $A(t)$  be the antiderivative of  $t^2 \sin(t^2)$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^{x^2} t^2 \sin(t^2) dt &= \frac{d}{dx} A(x^2) - A(0) \\ &= 2x A'(x^2) \\ &= 2x \times (x^2)^2 \sin((x^2)^2) \\ &= 2x^5 \sin(x^4) \end{aligned}$$

7. Since  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ , it follows that  $f$  achieves both the absolute maximum  $M$  and the absolute minimum  $m$  in  $[a, b]$ . Suppose, without a loss of generality, that these points are  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  with  $c_1 < c_2$ . We then have:

$$m(b-a) \leq \int_a^b f \leq M(b-a) \quad (3)$$

$$m \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f \leq M \quad (4)$$

Now, it follows by **Intermediate Value Theorem** that  $\exists c \in (c_1, c_2) \subset [a, b]$  s.t.  $f(c) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f$ .

□

8. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that  $f$  has Generalized Riemann integrals  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  with  $Q_1 \neq Q_2$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then, it follows that  $\exists \delta_1(x)$  s.t.  $\forall \delta_1(x)$ -fine tagged partitions,  $|R(f, P) - Q_1| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Similarly,  $\exists \delta_2(x)$  s.t.  $\forall \delta_2(x)$ -fine tagged partitions,  $|R(f, P) - Q_2| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Now, let  $\delta(x) = \min(\delta_1(x), \delta_2(x))$ . It follows by **Theorem 8.1.5** that there exists a tagged partition  $(P, \{c_k\})$  s.t. it is both  $\delta_1(x)$ -fine and  $\delta_2(x)$ -fine. We have:

$$|Q_1 - Q_2| \leq |Q_1 - R(f, P)| + |R(f, P) - Q_2| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

Hence, we got that  $Q_1 = Q_2$  and we face a contradiction since we have assumed that  $Q_1 \neq Q_2$ . Finally, we conclude that if  $f$  has a generalized Riemann integral on  $[a, b]$ , then the value of the integral  $\int_a^b f$  is unique.

□

9. (a) Placeholder.

(b) Placeholder.

(c) Placeholder.

(d) Placeholder.

(e) Placeholder.

(f) Placeholder.