

---

# *Real Analysis Exams*

---

## **Exam №2**

Instructor: Dr. Eric Westlund

David Oniani

Luther College

[oniada01@luther.edu](mailto:oniada01@luther.edu)

January 2, 2021

1. (a) Let  $S_n = (a - \frac{1}{n}, b + \frac{1}{n})$ . Then  $S_n$  is open. Now, it is easy to see that  $S = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$  is  $G_\delta$  set. Furthermore,  $[a, b] \subseteq S$  as  $\forall n, [a, b] \subseteq S_n$ . Now, suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that  $x \in S$  and  $x \notin [a, b]$ . We have two cases:

- (i)  $x < a \implies \exists n$  s.t.  $a - x > \frac{1}{n}$  and thus,  $x < a - \frac{1}{n}$ . It follows that  $x \notin S_n$  and  $x \notin S$ . Hence, we face a contradiction and  $x \geq a$ .
- (ii)  $x > b \implies \exists n$  s.t.  $x - b > \frac{1}{n}$  and thus,  $x > b + \frac{1}{n}$ . It follows that  $x \notin S_n$  and  $x \notin S$ . Hence, we face a contradiction and  $x \leq b$ .

Finally, from these two cases, we got that  $x \geq a$  and  $x \leq b$  and thus  $x \in [a, b]$ . Therefore,  $[a, b]$  is  $G_\delta$  set.

- (b) Let  $S_n = (a, b + \frac{1}{n})$ . Then, by the argument presented in (a) part of the exercise,  $S = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n = (a, b]$  and thus  $(a, b]$  is  $G_\delta$ .

Now, suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that  $U_n = [a + \frac{1}{n}, b], x \in U_n$ , and  $x \notin (a, b]$ . Let us now consider these two cases:

- (i)  $x \leq a \implies x < a + \frac{1}{n} \implies x \notin U_n$  and we face a contradiction.

(ii)  $x > b \implies x \notin U_n$  and we face a contradiction.

Thus  $x > a$  and  $x \leq b$  which implies that  $x \in (a, b]$  and therefore,  $(a, b]$  is  $F_\sigma$ . Hence, we have shown that any arbitrary half-open interval  $(a, b]$  is both  $G_\delta$  and  $F_\sigma$ .

(c) To prove that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $F_\sigma$ , we need to find a countable collection of closed subsets of  $\mathbb{Q}$  whose union is  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Now, since  $\mathbb{Q}$ , there exists a bijective function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ . Then,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set  $S_n = \{f(n)\}$  is closed. We have  $\mathbb{Q} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{S_n\}$  is a union of closed sets. Thus, by definition,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $F_\sigma$  set.

(d) Notice that  $\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$  is the set of irrational numbers which is the complement of the rational numbers in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}^c$ . From (c) we know that  $\mathbb{Q}$  can be represented as a countable union of closed sets. Then, per **De Morgan's Law**, we get that  $\mathbb{I}$  is the countable intersection of open sets (complement of a closed set is an open set). Hence, by definition, we get that  $\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$  is  $G_\delta$ .

(e) Since this is a if and only if question, let us first prove the statement directly and then prove its converse.

(i) Let us first show that a set is a  $G_\delta$  set if its complement is an  $F_\sigma$  set.

Suppose that we have a set  $S$  which is a  $G_\delta$  set. Then, by definition,  $S = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$  where every  $S_n$  is an open set. Then, by **De Morgan's Law**, it follows that  $S^c = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n^c$  (with  $S_n^c$  being closed as the complement of an open set is a closed set) and by definition,  $S^c$  is a  $F_\sigma$  set.

□

(ii) Let us now prove the converse, that if a set is a complement of a  $F_\sigma$  set, then it is a  $G_\delta$  set.

Suppose that we have a set  $S$  which is a  $F_\sigma$  set. Then, by definition,  $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$  where every  $S_n$  is a closed set. Then, by **De Morgan's Law**, it follows that  $S^c = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n^c$  (with  $S_n^c$  being open as the complement of a closed set is an open set) and by definition,  $S^c$  is a  $G_\delta$  set.

□

Finally, we have proven that a set is a  $G_\delta$  set if and only if its complement is an  $F_\sigma$  set.

□

2. Let us first prove that  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C} = [0, 1]$ . Recall that the Cantor set  $\mathbb{C}$  is the set of all numbers in  $[0, 1]$  that in the **ternary system** can be represented as the sequence of 0s and 2s only. Then  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C}$  must only contain 0s and 1s. Now, let  $r \in [0, 1]$ . If we show that  $\exists x, y \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C}$  s.t.  $x + y \in [0, 1]$ , then we have effectively shown that  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C} = [0, 1]$ . Let us construct  $x$  and  $y$  in the following manner:

- \* Let  $x$  have 0s in the same places where it is in  $r$  and let  $x$  have 1s when the corresponding digit in  $r$  is either 1 or 2.
- \* Let  $y$  have 0s in the same places where  $r$  has 0s or 1s. Let  $y$  have 1s when the corresponding digit in  $r$  is 2.

Hence, we split all 2s in  $r$  in a way that half goes to  $x$  and half goes to  $y$ , and all 1s of  $r$  were given to  $x$ . Thus,  $x + y = r$ . For instance, if  $r = 0.120120\dots$ , then  $x = 0.110110\dots$  and  $y = 0.010010\dots$ . It follows that  $x + y = 0.120120\dots = r$ . Now, since we have already shown that  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C} = [0, 1]$ , we can just multiply both sides of the equation by 2 and we get  $\mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C} = [0, 2]$ .

□

3. (a)  $F_2 = \left[0, \frac{4}{25}\right] \cup \left[\frac{6}{25}, \frac{2}{5}\right] \cup \left[\frac{3}{5}, \frac{19}{25}\right] \cup \left[\frac{21}{25}, 1\right]$ .

Below find the sketch (blue segments are included and red segments are not included).



Figure 1: Sketch of  $F_2$

- (b) Notice that by definition,  $F$  is bounded by  $[0, 1]$ . Recall that arbitrary intersection of closed sets is closed (we have proved this in the past as a part of an exercise). Now, every  $F_n$  is closed and since  $F$  is an intersection of such sets, it follows that  $F$  is closed. Finally,  $F$  is both closed and bounded and by **Theorem 3.3.8 (Heine–Borel Theorem)**,  $F$  is compact.

□

- (c) Notice that in order to construct  $F$ , we first remove 1 interval of length  $\frac{1}{5}$ . Then we remove 4 intervals of length  $\frac{1}{25}$ , then 16 intervals of the length  $\frac{1}{125}$ , etc. Thus, the

removed intervals form the infinite geometric series of the following form:

$$\frac{1}{5} \times \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^0, \frac{4}{5} \times \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^1, \frac{1}{5} \times \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^2 \dots$$

Recall that the sum of such series is calculated by the formula  $S = \frac{s_1}{1-r}$  where  $s_1$  is the first element of the sequence and  $r$  is the ratio/quotient (next element over the previous one). Then, we have  $S = \frac{\frac{1}{5}}{1 - \frac{4}{5}} = \frac{\frac{1}{5}}{\frac{1}{5}} = 1$ . Now, notice that we had the length of 1 initially as the length of  $[0, 1]$  is 1. We subtracted  $S = 1$  from 1 and get  $1 - 1 = 0$ . Thus, the length of  $F$  is 0.

- (d) Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$  is countable. We now need to find some point  $x \in F$  s.t.  $x \notin S$ . Notice that  $F \subseteq [0, \frac{2}{5}], [\frac{3}{5}, 1]$  with  $s_1 \notin [0, \frac{2}{5}] \cup [\frac{3}{5}, 1]$  (i.e.,  $s_1$  is not in either of the two intervals). Let us denote  $[0, \frac{2}{5}]$  as  $I_1$ . Then  $s_1 \notin I_1$ . Similarly, after removing the middle fifth of  $I_1$ ,  $s_1$  will not be in neither of the resulting two intervals and  $s_2 \notin I_2$  (where  $I_2$  is one of the intervals [does not matter which one] obtained after removing the middle half of  $I_1$ , so  $I_2 \subset I_1$ ). If we continue in this fashion, after  $m$  steps, we get  $I_m \subset I_{m-1} \dots \subset I_2 \subset I_1$  with  $s_m \notin I_m$ . Finally, we have found a point  $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$  s.t.  $x \in F$  but  $\forall n, x \neq s_n$ . Hence, we face a contradiction and  $F$  is uncountable.

□

- (e) Notice that  $F_1$  has 2 intervals of the length  $\frac{2}{5}$ .  $F_2$ , on the other hand, has  $2^2$  intervals of the size  $\frac{2^2}{5^2}$ .  $F_3$  consists of  $2^3$  intervals of the size  $\frac{2^3}{5^3}$  and so forth. Hence, in general,  $F_n$  consists of  $2^n$  intervals of the size  $\frac{2^n}{5^n} = \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^n$ . Hence, magnifying  $F$  by the factor of  $\frac{5}{2}$  will give us 2 additional copies of  $F$ . The equation will be  $2 = \left(\frac{5}{2}\right)^n$  (for instance,  $[0, 1] \times 2.5 = [0, 2.5]$  and after taking the middle-fifth, we get two copies  $[0, 1]$  and  $[1.5, 2.5]$ ) and thus, the dimension of  $F$  is

$$\dim F = \frac{\log 2}{\log \frac{5}{2}} = \frac{\log 2}{\log 5 - \log 2}$$

4. (a) According to **Definition 4.2.1 (Functional Limit)**, we have to show that  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $0 < |x - 3| < \delta \implies |x^2 - 5x + 4 - (-2)| < \epsilon$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Let  $\delta = -0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}}$  ( $\delta > 0$  since  $\sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} > 0.5$ ). Then suppose that  $|x - 3| =$

$|x - 3| < -0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}}$  Notice that:

$$\begin{aligned}
|x^2 - 5x + 4 - (-2)| &= |x^2 - 5x + 6| \\
&= |(x - 2)(x - 3)| \\
&= \left| -0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} + 1 \right| \times \left| -0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} \right| \\
&< \left| \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} + 0.5 \right| \times \left| \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} - 0.5 \right| \\
&= \left| 0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} - 0.25 \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right| = \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we showed that  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta = -0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}}$  s.t.  $0 < |x - 3| < \delta \implies |x^2 - 5x + 4 - (-2)| < \epsilon$ .

□

(b) Per **Exercise 4.2.9 (b)** that I have completed as a part of the assignment, we can say

$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = L$  if  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists M > 0$  s.t. if  $x > M$  we have  $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$ . Let us now show that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2x}{x+4} = 2$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given and let  $M = \frac{8}{\epsilon}$ . Then if  $x > M$ , we

have  $x > \frac{8}{\epsilon}$ . We have  $\frac{2x}{x+4} = \left| \frac{2 \cdot \frac{8}{\epsilon}}{\frac{8}{\epsilon} + 4} - 2 \right| = \frac{8}{\frac{8}{\epsilon} + 4} = \frac{2\epsilon}{\epsilon + 2} = \epsilon - \frac{4}{\epsilon + 2} < \epsilon$ .

Hence,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2x}{x+4} = 2$ .

□

5. We need to prove that  $\forall c \in [0, \infty)$  and  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t. whenever  $|x - c| < \delta$  (with  $x \in [0, \infty)$ ), it follows that  $|\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{c}| < \epsilon$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Now, let us consider the following two cases:

(1)  $c = 0$

If  $c = 0$ , let  $\delta = \epsilon^4$ . Then  $|x - c| = |x - 0| = |x| < \epsilon^4$ . Now,  $|\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{0}| = |\sqrt[4]{x}| < \epsilon$  is true as if we raise both sides of the inequality to the power of four, we get  $|x| < \epsilon^4$  which is true. Hence, we have that  $|x - c| < \delta$  implies  $|\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{c}| < \epsilon$ .

□

(2)  $c > 0$

If  $c > 0$ , let  $\delta = \epsilon \sqrt[4]{c}$ . Then  $|x - c| < \epsilon \sqrt[4]{c}$ . Consider  $|\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{c}|$ . Now, notice that:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{c}| &= |\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{c}| \times \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{x} + \sqrt[4]{c}} \\
&= |\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{c}| \times \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{x} + \sqrt[4]{c}} \\
&= |x - c| \times \frac{1}{(\sqrt[4]{x} + \sqrt[4]{c})(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{c})} \\
&< \frac{|x - c|}{\sqrt[4]{c^3}} \\
&\leq \frac{|x - c|}{\sqrt[4]{c}} \\
&< \frac{\epsilon \sqrt[4]{c}}{\sqrt[4]{c}} = \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have that  $|x - c| < \delta$  implies  $|\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{c}| < \epsilon$ .

□

Thus, we have now shown that  $\forall c \in [0, \infty)$  and  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t. whenever  $|x - c| < \delta$  (with  $x \in [0, \infty)$ ), it follows that  $|\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{c}| < \epsilon$ .

□

6. Note that function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  would not be well-defined if repeating 9s were allowed. If repeating 9s are allowed, then the decimal expansion of the number is not unique since  $1 = 0.9999\dots$  and the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is not well-defined. Hence, we do not allow for repeating 9s.

**$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is not continuous at points in  $\left\{\frac{n}{10} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\right\}$ . Hence,  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is not continuous at points  $\dots - 0.9, -0.8, \dots, -0.1, 0.1, 0.2, \dots 0.8, 0.9 \dots$**

Consider an real number  $\left\{\frac{n}{10} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\right\}$ . Notice that  $r = a.b$  s.t.  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ . Then, due to the density property,  $\exists (x_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $(x_n) \rightarrow r$ . In fact, we can build  $(x_n)$  ourselves. For  $r = a.b$  (with  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ ), by considering the following two cases:

(i)  $b = 0$

If  $b = 0, r = a.0$ .

Now, if  $a > 0$ , pick  $x_n = (a - 1).9999\dots \rightarrow r$ . Then  $f(r) = a.1$  and  $f(x_n) = (a - 1).1999\dots = (a - 1).12$ . Thus, we have  $\lim f(x_n) \neq f(r)$  and the function is

not continuous at  $r$ .

If  $a < 0$ , pick  $x_n = (a + 1).9999 \dots \rightarrow r$ . Then  $f(r) = a.1$  and  $f(x_n) = (a + 1).1999 \dots = (a - 1).12$ . Thus, we have  $\lim f(x_n) \neq f(r)$  and the function is not continuous at  $r$ .

(ii)  $b \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$

If  $b \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ ,  $r = a.b$  with  $b \neq 0$ .

Now, pick  $x_n = a.(b - 1)9999 \dots \rightarrow r$ . Then  $f(r) = a.1$  and  $f(x_n) = a.1999 \dots = a.12$ .

Thus, we have  $\lim f(x_n) \neq f(r)$  and the function is not continuous at  $r$ .

Finally, we have shown that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is not continuous at points in  $\left\{ \frac{n}{10} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0 \right\}$ .

□

**It is easy to see that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous at all points that are not in  $\left\{ \frac{n}{10} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0 \right\}$ .**

Recall that for a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to be continuous, it must be the case that  $\forall(x_n) \rightarrow c$ , (with  $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ ), it follows that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(c)$  (**Theorem 4.3.2 (Characterizations of Continuity)** (iii)). Consider an arbitrary real number  $r = a.b_1b_2b_3b_4 \dots \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, due to the density property,  $\exists(x_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $(x_n) \rightarrow r$ . Notice that  $f(r) = a.1b_2b_3b_4 \dots$  and  $f(x_n) = a.1b_2b_3b_4 \dots$  (This is due to  $r \notin \left\{ \frac{n}{10} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0 \right\}$ . In other words, there is no way to change anything in the first position that will affect the rest of the expansion and thus,  $\lim f(x_n) = f(r)$ ). Hence, we got that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(r)$  and  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous at all points not in  $\left\{ \frac{n}{10} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0 \right\}$ .

□

7. Let us first prove that  $f(x) = 1/x^2$  is uniformly continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ . Let  $x, y \in [1, \infty)$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be set. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{y^2} \right| &= \left| \frac{y^2 - x^2}{x^2y^2} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{(x + y)(x - y)}{x^2y^2} \right| \\ &= \frac{x + y}{x^2y^2} |x - y| \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x, y \in [1, \infty)$ , it follows that  $\frac{x+y}{x^2y^2} \leq 2$  and for  $x, y \in [1, \infty)$ , we have:

$$\left| \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{y^2} \right| \leq 2|x - y|$$

Now, let  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Then we have  $|x - y| < \delta$  and it follows that  $\left| \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{y^2} \right| < \epsilon$ . Hence, by

**Definition 4.4.4 (Uniform Continuity)**,  $f(x) = 1/x^2$  is uniformly continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ .

□

Let us now prove that  $f(x) = 1/x^2$  is not uniformly continuous on the interval  $(0, 1]$ . Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that  $f(x)$  is uniformly continuous on  $(0, 1]$ . Then for  $\epsilon > 0$  there must exist  $\delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall x, y \in (0, 1]$  with  $|x - y| < \delta$ , it follows that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ . Now, let  $x = \frac{2}{n}$  and  $y = \frac{1}{n}$  with  $n \geq 2$ . We have that  $|x - y|$  implies

$$\left| \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{y^2} \right| = \left| \frac{\frac{3}{n^2}}{\frac{4}{n^2}} \right| = \frac{3}{4} < \epsilon$$

Now, we got that  $\epsilon > \frac{3}{4}$ , however,  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$  must be true  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ . Hence, we face a contradiction and  $f(x) = 1/x^2$  is not uniformly continuous on  $(0, 1]$ .

□

Finally, we have shown that  $f(x) = 1/x^2$  is uniformly continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ , but not on  $(0, 1]$ .

□

8. (a) Placeholder
- (b) Placeholder
- (c) Placeholder