

---

# *Real Analysis Exams*

---

## Exam №2

Instructor: Dr. Eric Westlund

David Oniani

Luther College

[oniada01@luther.edu](mailto:oniada01@luther.edu)

January 2, 2021

1. (a) Placeholder  
(b) Placeholder  
(c) Placeholder  
(d) Placeholder  
(e) Placeholder
2. Let us first prove that  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C} = [0, 1]$ . Recall that the Cantor set  $\mathbb{C}$  is the set of all numbers in  $[0, 1]$  that in the **ternary system** can be represented as the sequence of 0s and 2s only. Then  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C}$  must only contain 0s and 1s. Now, let  $r \in [0, 1]$ . If we show that  $\exists x, y \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C}$  s.t.  $x + y \in [0, 1]$ , then we have effectively shown that  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C} = [0, 1]$ . Let us construct  $x$  and  $y$  in the following manner:
  - \* Let  $x$  have 0s in the same places where it is in  $r$  and let  $x$  have 1s when the corresponding digit in  $r$  is either 1 or 2.
  - \* Let  $y$  have 0s in the same places where  $r$  has 0s or 1s. Let  $y$  have 1s when the corresponding digit in  $r$  is 2.

Hence, we split all 2s in  $r$  in a way that half goes to  $x$  and half goes to  $y$ , and all 1s of  $r$  were given to  $x$ . Thus,  $x + y = r$ . For instance, if  $r = 0.120120\dots$ , then  $x = 0.110110\dots$  and  $y = 0.010010\dots$ . It follows that  $x + y = 0.120120\dots = r$ . Now, since we have already shown that  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C} = [0, 1]$ , we can just multiply both sides of the equation by 2 and we get  $\mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C} = [0, 2]$ .

□

3. (a) Placeholder

(b) Placeholder

(c) Placeholder

(d) Placeholder

(e) Placeholder

4. (a) According to **Definition 4.2.1 (Functional Limit)**, we have to show that  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $0 < |x - 3| < \delta \implies |x^2 - 5x + 4 - (-2)| < \epsilon$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Let  $\delta = -0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}}$  ( $\delta > 0$  since  $\sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} > 0.5$ ). Then suppose that  $|x - 3| = |x - 3| < -0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}}$ . Notice that:

$$\begin{aligned} |x^2 - 5x + 4 - (-2)| &= |x^2 - 5x + 6| \\ &= |(x - 2)(x - 3)| \\ &= \left| -0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} + 1 \right| \times \left| -0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} \right| \\ &< \left| \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} + 0.5 \right| \times \left| \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} - 0.5 \right| \\ &= \left| 0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} - 0.25 \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right| = \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we showed that  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta = -0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}}$  s.t.  $0 < |x - 3| < \delta \implies |x^2 - 5x + 4 - (-2)| < \epsilon$ .

□

(b) Per **Exercise 4.2.9 (b)** that I have completed as a part of the assignment, we can say  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = L$  if  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists M > 0$  s.t. if  $x > M$  we have  $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$ . Let us now show that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2x}{x + 4} = 2$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given and let  $M = \frac{8}{\epsilon}$ . Then if  $x > M$ , we

have  $x > \frac{8}{\epsilon}$ . We have  $\frac{2x}{x+4} = \left| \frac{2\frac{8}{\epsilon}}{\frac{8}{\epsilon}+4} - 2 \right| = \frac{8}{\frac{8}{\epsilon}+4} = \frac{2\epsilon}{\epsilon+2} = \epsilon - \frac{4}{\epsilon+2} < \epsilon$ .

Hence,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2x}{x+4} = 2$ .

□

5. We need to prove that  $\forall c \in [0, \infty)$  and  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t. whenever  $|x - c| < \delta$  (with  $x \in [0, \infty)$ ), it follows that  $|\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{c}| < \epsilon$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Now, let us consider the following two cases:

(1)  $c = 0$

If  $c = 0$ , let  $\delta = \epsilon^4$ . Then  $|x - c| = |x - 0| = |x| < \epsilon^4$ . Now,  $|\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{0}| = |\sqrt[4]{x}| < \epsilon$  is true as if we raise both sides of the inequality to the power of four, we get  $|x| < \epsilon^4$  which is true. Hence, we have that  $|x - c| < \delta$  implies  $|\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{c}| < \epsilon$ .

□

(2)  $c > 0$

If  $c > 0$ , let  $\delta = \epsilon \sqrt[4]{c}$ . Then  $|x - c| < \epsilon \sqrt[4]{c}$ . Consider  $|\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{c}|$ . Now, notice that:

$$\begin{aligned} |\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{c}| &= |\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{c}| \times \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{x} + \sqrt[4]{c}} \\ &= |\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{c}| \times \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{x} + \sqrt[4]{c}} \\ &= |x - c| \times \frac{1}{(\sqrt[4]{x} + \sqrt[4]{c})(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{c})} \\ &< \frac{|x - c|}{\sqrt[4]{c^3}} \\ &\leq \frac{|x - c|}{\sqrt[4]{c}} \\ &< \frac{\epsilon \sqrt[4]{c}}{\sqrt[4]{c}} = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have that  $|x - c| < \delta$  implies  $|\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{c}| < \epsilon$ .

□

Thus, we have now shown that  $\forall c \in [0, \infty)$  and  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t. whenever  $|x - c| < \delta$  (with  $x \in [0, \infty)$ ), it follows that  $|\sqrt[4]{x} - \sqrt[4]{c}| < \epsilon$ .

□

6. Note that function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  would not be well-defined if repeating 9s were allowed. If repeating 9s are allowed, then the decimal expansion of the number is not unique since  $1 = 0.9999\dots$  and the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is not well-defined. Hence, we do not allow for repeating 9s.

**$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is not continuous at points in  $\left\{\frac{n}{10} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\right\}$ . Hence,  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is not continuous at points  $\dots - 0.9, -0.8, \dots, -0.1, 0.1, 0.2, \dots 0.8, 0.9 \dots$**

Consider an real number  $\left\{\frac{n}{10} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\right\}$ . Notice that  $r = a.b$  s.t.  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ . Then, due to the density property,  $\exists (x_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $(x_n) \rightarrow r$ . In fact, we can build  $(x_n)$  ourselves. For  $r = a.b$  (with  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ , by considering the following two cases:

(i)  $b = 0$

If  $b = 0$ ,  $r = a.0$ .

Now, if  $a > 0$ , pick  $x_n = (a - 1).9999\dots \rightarrow r$ . Then  $f(r) = a.1$  and  $f(x_n) = (a - 1).1999\dots = (a - 1).12$ . Thus, we have  $\lim f(x_n) \neq f(r)$  and the function is not continuous at  $r$ .

If  $a < 0$ , pick  $x_n = (a + 1).9999\dots \rightarrow r$ . Then  $f(r) = a.1$  and  $f(x_n) = (a + 1).1999\dots = (a + 1).12$ . Thus, we have  $\lim f(x_n) \neq f(r)$  and the function is not continuous at  $r$ .

(ii)  $b \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$

If  $b \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ ,  $r = a.b$  with  $b \neq 0$ .

Now, pick  $x_n = a.(b - 1)9999\dots \rightarrow r$ . Then  $f(r) = a.1$  and  $f(x_n) = a.1999\dots = a.12$ . Thus, we have  $\lim f(x_n) \neq f(r)$  and the function is not continuous at  $r$ .

Finally, we have shown that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is not continuous at points in  $\left\{\frac{n}{10} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\right\}$ .

□

**It is easy to see that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous at all points that are not in  $\left\{\frac{n}{10} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\right\}$ .**

Recall that for a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to be continuous, it must be the case that  $\forall (x_n) \rightarrow c$ ,

(with  $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ ), it follows that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(c)$  (**Theorem 4.3.2 (Characterizations of Continuity)** (iii)). Consider an arbitrary real number  $r = a.b_1b_2b_3b_4 \dots \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, due to the density property,  $\exists(x_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $(x_n) \rightarrow r$ . Notice that  $f(r) = a.1b_2b_3b_4 \dots$  and  $f(x_n) = a.1b_2b_3b_4 \dots$  (This is due to  $r \notin \left\{ \frac{n}{10} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0 \right\}$ ). In other words, there is no way to change anything in the first position that will affect the rest of the expansion and thus,  $\lim f(x_n) = f(r)$ . Hence, we got that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(r)$  and  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous at all points not in  $\left\{ \frac{n}{10} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0 \right\}$ .

□

7. Let us first prove that  $f(x) = 1/x^2$  is uniformly continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ . Let  $x, y \in [1, \infty)$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be set. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{y^2} \right| &= \left| \frac{y^2 - x^2}{x^2y^2} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{(x+y)(x-y)}{x^2y^2} \right| \\ &= \frac{x+y}{x^2y^2} |x-y| \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x, y \in [1, \infty)$ , it follows that  $\frac{x+y}{x^2y^2} \leq 2$  and for  $x, y \in [1, \infty)$ , we have:

$$\left| \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{y^2} \right| \leq 2|x-y|$$

Now, let  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Then we have  $|x-y| < \delta$  and it follows that  $\left| \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{y^2} \right| < \epsilon$ . Hence, by **Definition 4.4.4 (Uniform Continuity)**,  $f(x) = 1/x^2$  is uniformly continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ .

□

Let us now prove that  $f(x) = 1/x^2$  is not uniformly continuous on the interval  $(0, 1]$ . Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that  $f(x)$  is uniformly continuous on  $(0, 1]$ . Then for  $\epsilon > 0$  there must exist  $\delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall x, y \in (0, 1]$  with  $|x-y| < \delta$ , it follows that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ . Now, let  $x = \frac{2}{n}$  and  $y = \frac{1}{n}$  with  $n \geq 2$ . We have that  $|x-y|$  implies

$$\left| \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{y^2} \right| = \left| \frac{\frac{3}{n^2}}{\frac{4}{n^2}} \right| = \frac{3}{4} < \epsilon$$

Now, we got that  $\epsilon > \frac{3}{4}$ , however,  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$  must be true  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ . Hence, we face a contradiction and  $f(x) = 1/x^2$  is not uniformly continuous on  $(0, 1]$ .

□

Finally, we have shown that  $f(x) = 1/x^2$  is uniformly continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ , but not on  $(0, 1]$ .

□

8. (a) Placeholder
- (b) Placeholder
- (c) Placeholder