

Peer review

Reviewing the work of peers is important for academic work. The idea is to let experts in the same field review articles submitted to journals and conferences to make sure each publication keeps a high standard.

You are now to review another students submission with the intention of helping the student to improve their work. Reviewing will also help you to reflect on your own work.

Also, write comments on their thesis document remember to be helpful and constructive. Sometimes it helps a lot to just say "I dont understand this part".

[Logga in på Google](#) för att spara förloppet. [Läs mer](#)

* Anger obligatorisk fråga

E-post *

hp222rn@student.lnu.se

Your LNU student ID *

hp222rn

First Slack name of the student you review *

Josh

Second Slack name of the student you review

Vilgot

In your own words, summarize (like the elevator pitch) the students work. One or two sentences each chapter

The thesis starts by introducing the reader to the subject, (LLM + education). The next part let the reader know what is a chatbot and its history and also the picking of LLM to be tested. The last chapter (method) introduces the reader on how they will compare by choosing the dataset as well as introduce which level of education they will consider + subjects and give brief motivation on why.

Introduction

- ☐ The introduction clearly describes the thesis subject area (Application and Research area(s))
- ☒ A challenge or problem is clearly described
- ☒ The challenge or problem is within computer science
- ☒ Related scientific works (computer science) are presented (what has been published in the problem area) and referenced.
- ☒ The introduction motivates the challenge or problem by referencing scientific work
- ☒ The introduction motivates the challenge from how it will benefit the society or industry

How can the student improve the introduction? *

Would be interesting to understand earlier that the thesis will target university level as this made me feel a bit lost when reading. They make a big thing about chatbots but their focus is LLM (they combine to LLM chatbots) but they don't compare against chatbots at all which just muddles it a bit as the chatbot part seems not to add any real value, later on in chapter 2 they dedicate a big portion to explain history of chatbots but none of this is used which feels a bit off. Basically I am a bit confused why chatbots needed to be in the thesis.

Method

- ☒ The method chapter describes how each part of the challenge will be addressed.
- ☒ The method chapter describes research methods used and references a source of that method.
- ☒ The research methods are well motivated and alternative research methods are discussed
- ☐ The method chapter describes reliability from the context
- ☐ The method chapter describes validity from the context
- ☐ The method chapter discusses how to increase the validity and reliability of the work.
- ☐ Ethical considerations are discussed
- ☐ I can imagine an ethical issue with this that is not discussed. How the work could intentionally or unintentionally harm?

How can the student improve the method chapter? *

I would like to see a bigger focus on 3.2.1 selecting questions and answers and maybe give a motivation on why MIT opencourseware set will be used, there's a motivation in that it's university level etc (which is the first time its mention it in fact is university level) - but its missing some reference or considerations [as I think choosing different set could yield drastic different results].

The next part is to motivate your motivation on subject's chosen, I mean to give some reference maybe - could be tricky yes but since claims has been made that seems reasonable about why the subjects is chosen should be backed up.

disclaimer: I understand the method much like the thesis is not done, but I cannot check the boxes like "Ethical considerations are discussed" even though I see Ethical part is there.

Theoretical background and Gap

- ☒ The author demonstrates good understanding of the area.
- ☐ Core areas are sufficiently described and explained
- ☒ There is a discussion on a specific challenge or set of challenges.
- ☒ Related work is discussed in relation to the challenges!
- ☒ A distinct knowledge gap is identified, described and framed.

Implementation and results

- ☐ All artifacts (for example prototypes) are described (if applicable)
- ☐ Data collection methods are described (could also be in method if planed)
- ☐ All results are presented in an understandable way
- ☐ Each result is presented and described with context.
- ☐ Graphs and images are readable and all texts can be read within a graph

How can the student improve the results chapter *

Ditt svar

Analysis and discussion

- ☐ Methods of analysis (how it was done) is clearly described and well-motivated.
- ☐ The findings is connected to the challenge faced
- ☐ All claims are supported by results or other sources
- ☐ The validity of the findings are discussed
- ☐ In discussion the author connects to related work

How can the student improve their analysis and discussion *

Ditt svar

Conclusions and future work

- ☐ A summary of the entire project is provided
- ☐ Results and findings are summarized
- ☐ All claims are well grounded with own results or other sources
- ☐ Future work is reasonable and discussed

How can the student improve the conclusion and future work chapters *

Ditt svar

Language and structure *

- ☐ I found some part(s) was hard to read
- ☒ I found errors that could have been avoided using a spell-checker such as grammarly/word
- ☐ Concepts and acronyms were not defined before they were used.
- ☐ I needed to jump forward or backward to understand something

How can the student improve their language to make their thesis more accessible? *

minor spell misstake (theit) but im sure a very quick spell check would easily find it!

How can the student improve their thesis project *

Introduce the reader which level of education is targeted, maybe give some insight in the subject of education to be able to bring motivation why the chosen set can be used and is good which will give a clear insight whether or not LLM's are good for education

Skicka

Rensa formuläret

Skicka aldrig lösenord med Google Formulär

Det här innehållet har varken skapats eller godkänts av Google. [Anmäl otillåten användning](#) - [Användarvillkor](#) - [Integritetspolicy](#)

Google Formulär