

HW3 (CSCI-C241)

Lillie Donato

30 January 2024

1. Question One

- (a) This proof is not valid, for the reason that in line six, they are not acknowledging what was assumed in the subproof.
- (b) This proof is valid.
- (c) This proof is not valid, because after they do cases they wrote their direct proof incorrectly.
- (d) This proof is valid.
- (e) This proof is valid
- (f) This proof is not valid, for that reason that when using $\vee - \text{Elimination}$, you must have a subproof for each case.

2. Question Two

- (a) Claim: $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R, P \wedge S, \neg\neg Q \vdash R$

Goal: R

Want: $P \wedge Q$ (Application)

Pf: Assume $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R, P \wedge S, \neg\neg Q$.

- 1. Since we know $\neg\neg Q$, we can conclude Q . (Double Negative)
- 2. Since we know $P \wedge S$, we can conclude P . ($\wedge - \text{Elimination}$)
- 3. From P and Q , we can conclude $P \wedge Q$. ($\wedge - \text{Introduction}$)
- 4. From $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R, P$ and Q , we can conclude R . ($\rightarrow - \text{Elimination}$)

□

- (b) Claim: $P \rightarrow \neg Q, \neg Q \vdash \neg\neg P$

Goal: $\neg\neg P$

P	Q	$P \rightarrow \neg Q$	$\neg Q$	$\neg\neg P$
T	T	F	F	n/a
T	F	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	n/a
F	F	T	T	F

This is not a valid argument, as shown in the following truth assignment:

$P = \text{false}$

$Q = \text{false}$

$P \rightarrow \neg Q = \text{true}$

$\neg Q = \text{true}$

$\neg\neg P = \text{false}$

- (c) Claim: $(A \wedge B) \rightarrow C, B, A \wedge \neg D \vdash C \wedge \neg D$

Goal: $C \wedge \neg D$

Pf: Assume $(A \wedge B) \rightarrow C, B, A \wedge \neg D$.

1. From $A \wedge \neg D$, we can conclude A and $\neg D$. (\wedge – Elimination)
2. From A and B , we can conclude $A \wedge B$. (\wedge – Introduction)
3. From $A \wedge B$, we can apply $(A \wedge B) \rightarrow C$ and conclude C . (Application)
4. From A and $\neg D$, we can conclude $A \wedge \neg D$. (\wedge – Introduction)

□

(d) Claim: $(A \wedge B) \rightarrow C, B \vdash (A \wedge \neg D) \rightarrow (C \wedge \neg D)$

Goal: $(A \wedge \neg D) \rightarrow (C \wedge \neg D)$

Pf: Assume $(A \wedge B) \rightarrow C, B$.

1. *Subproof*
2. Assume $A \wedge \neg D$.
3. From $A \wedge \neg D$, we can conclude A and $\neg D$. (\wedge – Elimination)
3. From A and B , we can conclude $A \wedge B$. (\wedge – Introduction)
4. From $A \wedge B$, we can apply $(A \wedge B) \rightarrow C$ and conclude C . (Application)
5. From $A \wedge \neg D$ we can apply $(A \wedge \neg D) \rightarrow (C \wedge \neg D)$ and conclude $C \wedge \neg D$. (Application)
6. Under the assumption of $A \wedge \neg D$, we proved C and $\neg D$. (Direct Proof)

□

(e) Claim: $\neg P \rightarrow (Q \wedge R) \vdash (\neg P \wedge S) \rightarrow (R \wedge S)$

Goal: $(\neg P \wedge S) \rightarrow (R \wedge S)$

Pf: Assume $\neg P \rightarrow (Q \wedge R)$.

1. *Subproof*
2. Assume $\neg P \wedge S$.
3. From $\neg P \wedge S$, we can conclude $\neg P$ and S . (\wedge – Elimination)
4. From $\neg P$, we can apply $\neg P \rightarrow (Q \wedge R)$ and conclude $Q \wedge R$. (Application)
5. From $Q \wedge R$, we can conclude R . (\wedge – Elimination)
6. From $\neg P$ and S , we can conclude $\neg P \wedge S$. (\wedge – Introduction)
7. From $Q \wedge R$ we can conclude Q and R . (\wedge – Elimination)
8. From R and S , we can conclude $R \wedge S$. (\wedge – Introduction)
9. Under the assumption $\neg P$ and S , we proved $R \wedge S$, therefore $(\neg P \wedge S) \rightarrow (R \wedge S)$. (Direct Proof)

□

(f) Claim: $X \wedge (X \rightarrow (Z \wedge Y)) \vdash X \wedge Y$

Goal: $X \wedge Y$

Pf: Assume $X \wedge (X \rightarrow (Z \wedge Y))$.

1. From $X \wedge (X \rightarrow (Z \wedge Y))$ we can conclude X and $X \rightarrow (Z \wedge Y)$
2. From X we can apply $X \rightarrow (Z \wedge Y)$ and conclude $Z \wedge Y$. (Application)
3. From $Z \wedge Y$ we can conclude Y . (\wedge – Elimination)
4. From X and Y we can conclude $X \wedge Y$. (\wedge – Introduction)

□

(g) Claim: $\vdash (X \wedge (X \rightarrow (Z \wedge Y))) \rightarrow (X \wedge Y)$

Goal: $(X \wedge (X \rightarrow (Z \wedge Y))) \rightarrow (X \wedge Y)$

Proof.

1. *Subproof*
2. Assume $X \wedge (X \rightarrow (Z \wedge Y))$
3. From $X \wedge (X \rightarrow (Z \wedge Y))$ we can conclude X and $X \rightarrow (Z \wedge Y)$
4. From X we can apply $X \rightarrow (Z \wedge Y)$ and conclude $Z \wedge Y$. (*Application*)
5. From $Z \wedge Y$ we can conclude Y . (\wedge – *Elimination*)
6. From X and Y we can conclude $X \wedge Y$. (\wedge – *Introduction*)
7. Under the assumption $X \wedge (X \rightarrow (Z \wedge Y))$, we proved $(X \wedge Y)$, therefore $(X \wedge (X \rightarrow (Z \wedge Y))) \rightarrow (X \wedge Y)$ (Direct Proof)

□

- (h) Claim: $P \vee (Q \wedge \neg\neg R), P \rightarrow R \vdash R$
Goal: R

Pf: Assume $P \vee (Q \wedge \neg\neg R), P \rightarrow R$.

1. Case 1: P
2. From P , we can apply $P \rightarrow R$, and conclude R . (*Application*)
3. Case 2: $Q \wedge \neg\neg R$
4. From $Q \wedge \neg\neg R$, we can conclude $\neg\neg R$. (\wedge – *Elimination*)
5. From $\neg\neg R$, we can conclude R . (Double Negation)
6. In either case of $P \vee (Q \wedge \neg\neg R)$, we proved R , so R is true in general. (Proof By Cases)

□

- (i) Claim: $C \rightarrow (D \vee E), D \rightarrow E, \neg\neg C \vdash E \wedge C$
Goal: $E \wedge C$

Pf: Assume $C \rightarrow (D \vee E), D \rightarrow E, \neg\neg C$.

1. From $\neg\neg C$, we can conclude C . (Double Negation)
2. From C , we can apply $C \rightarrow (D \vee E)$, and conclude $D \vee E$. (*Application*)
3. Case 1: D
4. From D , we can apply $D \rightarrow E$, and conclude E . (*Application*)
5. Case 2: E
6. We know E .
7. In either case of $D \vee E$, we proved E , so E is true in general. (Proof By Cases)
8. From E and C , we can conclude $E \wedge C$. (\wedge – *Introduction*)

□

- (j) Claim: $X \rightarrow Y \vdash (X \vee Z) \rightarrow Y$
Goal: $(X \vee Z) \rightarrow Y$

X	Y	Z	$X \rightarrow Y$	$(X \vee Z) \rightarrow Y$
T	T	T	T	true
T	T	F	T	true
T	F	T	F	n/a
T	F	F	F	n/a
F	T	T	T	true
F	T	F	T	true
F	F	T	T	false
F	F	F	T	true

This is not a valid argument, as shown in the following truth assignment:

$X = false$

$Y = false$

$Z = true$
 $X \rightarrow Y = true$
 $(X \vee Z) \rightarrow Y = false$

- (k) Claim: $J \rightarrow K \vdash (J \vee \neg\neg K) \rightarrow K$
 Goal: $(J \vee \neg\neg K) \rightarrow K$

Pf: Assume $J \rightarrow K$.

1. *Subproof*
2. Assume $J \vee \neg\neg K$.
3. Case 1: J
4. From J , we can apply $J \rightarrow K$, and conclude K . (*Application*)
5. Case 2: $\neg\neg K$
6. From $\neg\neg K$, we can conclude K . (*Double Negation*)
7. In either case of $J \vee \neg\neg K$, we proved K , so K is true in general. (*Proof By Cases*)
8. Under the assumption $J \vee \neg\neg K$, we proved K , so therefore $(J \vee \neg\neg K) \rightarrow K$. (*Direct Proof*)

□