

HW4 (CSCI-C241)

Lillie Donato

6 February 2024

1. Question One

- (a) This proof is valid.
- (b) This proof is not valid, as in their proof by contradiction, they are not proving the entire conclusion and only one part of it.
- (c) This proof is valid.
- (d) This proof is valid.

2. Question Two

- (a) Claim: $P \wedge Q \vdash \neg(P \rightarrow \neg Q)$
Goal: $\neg(P \rightarrow \neg Q)$

Pf: Assume $P \wedge Q$.

- 1. From $P \wedge Q$, we can conclude P and Q (\wedge – Elimination)
- 2. *Subproof*
- 3. Suppose towards a contradiction that $P \rightarrow \neg Q$
- 4. From P , we can apply $P \rightarrow \neg Q$ and conclude $\neg Q$ (Application)
- 5. Under the assumption of $P \rightarrow \neg Q$, we proved an impossibility,
so therefore $\neg(P \rightarrow \neg Q)$ (Proof By Contradiction)

□

- (b) Claim: $\vdash \neg((P \wedge Q) \wedge (P \rightarrow \neg Q))$
Goal: $\neg(X \wedge Z)$

Proof.

- 1. *Subproof*
- 2. Suppose $(P \wedge Q) \wedge (P \rightarrow \neg Q)$ towards a contradiction
- 3. From $(P \wedge Q) \wedge (P \rightarrow \neg Q)$, we can conclude $P \wedge Q$ and $P \rightarrow \neg Q$ (\wedge – Elimination)
- 4. From $P \wedge Q$, we can conclude P and Q (\wedge – Elimination)
- 5. From P , we can apply $P \rightarrow \neg Q$ and conclude $\neg Q$ (Application)
- 6. Under the assumption of $(P \wedge Q) \wedge (P \rightarrow \neg Q)$ we proved an impossibility of
 Q and $\neg Q$ so therefore $\neg((P \wedge Q) \wedge (P \rightarrow \neg Q))$ (Proof By Contradiction)

□

- (c) With the truth assignment ($A = false$, $B = true$), the proof is invalid.
- (d) Claim: $(W \wedge X) \rightarrow \neg Y, X \vdash \neg(W \wedge Y)$
Goal: $\neg(W \wedge Y)$

Assume $(W \wedge X) \rightarrow \neg Y, X$.

1. *Subproof*
2. Suppose $W \wedge Y$ towards a contradiction
3. From $W \wedge Y$, we can conclude W and Y ($\wedge - \text{Elimination}$)
4. From W and X , we can conclude $W \wedge X$ ($\wedge - \text{Introduction}$)
5. From $W \wedge X$, we can apply $(W \wedge X) \rightarrow \neg Y$ and conclude $\neg Y$ (Application)
6. Under the assumption of $W \wedge Y$ we proved an impossibility of Y and $\neg Y$
so therefore $\neg(W \wedge Y)$ (Proof By Contradiction)

□

(e) Claim: $(W \wedge X) \rightarrow \neg Y \vdash X \rightarrow \neg(W \wedge Y)$

Goal: $X \rightarrow \neg(W \wedge Y)$

Assume $(W \wedge X) \rightarrow \neg Y$.

1. Assume X
2. Suppose $W \wedge Y$ towards a contradiction
3. From $W \wedge Y$, we can conclude W and Y ($\wedge - \text{Elimination}$)
4. From W and X , we can conclude $W \wedge X$ ($\wedge - \text{Introduction}$)
5. From $W \wedge X$, we can apply $(W \wedge X) \rightarrow \neg Y$ and conclude $\neg Y$ (Application)
6. Under the assumption of $W \wedge Y$ we proved an impossibility of Y and $\neg Y$
so therefore $\neg(W \wedge Y)$ (Proof By Contradiction)
7. Under the assumption of X , we proved $\neg(W \wedge Y)$, so therefore $X \rightarrow \neg(W \wedge Y)$ (Direct Proof)

□

(f) Claim: $U \rightarrow V, \neg V \vdash \neg(U \wedge W)$

Goal: $\neg(U \wedge W)$

Assume $U \rightarrow V, \neg V$.

1. *Subproof*
2. Suppose $U \wedge W$ towards a contradiction
3. From $U \wedge W$, we can conclude U and W ($\wedge - \text{Elimination}$)
4. From U , we can apply $U \rightarrow V$ and conclude V (Application)
5. Under the assumption of $U \wedge W$ we proved an impossibility of V and $\neg V$
so therefore $\neg(U \wedge W)$ (Proof By Contradiction)

□

(g) With the truth assignment ($U = \text{false}, V = \text{false}, W = \text{true}$), the proof is invalid.

(h) Claim: $U \rightarrow V, W \rightarrow V, \neg V \vdash \neg U \wedge \neg W$

Goal: $\neg U \wedge \neg W$

Assume $U \rightarrow V, W \rightarrow V, \neg V$.

1. *Subproof*
2. Suppose U towards a contradiction
3. From U , we can apply $U \rightarrow V$ and conclude V (Application)
4. Under the assumption of U we proved an impossibility of V and $\neg V$
so therefore $\neg U$ (Proof By Contradiction)
5. *Subproof*
6. Suppose W towards a contradiction
7. From W , we can apply $W \rightarrow V$ and conclude V (Application)
8. Under the assumption of U we proved an impossibility of V and $\neg V$
so therefore $\neg W$ (Proof By Contradiction)
9. From $\neg U$ and $\neg W$, we can conclude $\neg U \wedge \neg W$ (\wedge - Introduction)

□

3. Question Three

- (a) This would be true because the main connective in this statement holds the same meaning as the word "and".
- (b) This would not be the case, as there are no assignments that satisfy both A and $\neg A$, but there are assignments that satisfy $B \leftrightarrow (X \oplus \neg Q)$.
- (c) This argument would be valid for the reason that there are no assignments where the premises are satisfied and the conclusion is not.
- (d) Yes, it would be possible but only if the premises were contradictions as well.
- (e) Yes, this would be possible but only if that other formula was also a contradiction.

4. Question Four

Claim: $\vdash P \vee \neg P$

Goal: $P \vee \neg P$

Proof.

1. Suppose $\neg(P \vee \neg P)$ towards a contradiction
2. Suppose P towards a contradiction
3. From P , we can conclude $P \vee \neg P$ (Weakening)
4. Under the assumption of P we proved an impossibility of
 $(P \vee \neg P) \wedge \neg(P \vee \neg P)$ so therefore $\neg P$ (Proof By Contradiction)
5. From P , we can conclude $P \vee \neg P$ (Weakening)
6. Under the assumption of $\neg(P \vee \neg P)$ we proved an impossibility of
 $(P \vee \neg P) \wedge \neg(P \vee \neg P)$, so therefore $P \vee \neg P$ (Proof By Contradiction)

□

5. Question Five

- (a) Claim: $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C \equiv (\neg A \rightarrow C) \wedge (B \rightarrow C)$

Pf: Assume $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C \equiv (\neg A \rightarrow C) \wedge (B \rightarrow C)$.

1. $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C \equiv \neg(\neg A \vee B) \vee C$ (Material Implication)
2. $\equiv (\neg\neg A \wedge \neg B) \vee C$ (De Morgan's)
3. $\equiv (A \wedge \neg B) \vee C$ (Double Negation)
4. $(\neg A \rightarrow C) \wedge (B \rightarrow C) \equiv (\neg\neg A \vee C) \wedge (\neg B \vee C)$ (Material Implication)
5. $\equiv (A \vee C) \wedge (\neg B \vee C)$ (Double Negation)
6. $\equiv (A \wedge \neg B) \vee C$ (Distributive)

□

- (b) With the truth assignment ($A = \text{true}$, $B = \text{false}$, $C = \text{false}$), the two formulas are not equivalent.
- (c) With the truth assignment ($W = \text{true}$, $X = \text{false}$, $Y = \text{true}$, $Z = \text{false}$), the two formulas are not equivalent.
- (d) Claim: $\neg((W \wedge \neg X) \rightarrow (\neg Y \vee Z)) \equiv (Y \wedge \neg Z) \wedge (W \wedge \neg X)$

Pf: Assume $\neg((W \wedge \neg X) \rightarrow (\neg Y \vee Z)) \equiv (Y \wedge \neg Z) \wedge (W \wedge \neg X)$.

- | | | | |
|----|---|---|------------------------|
| 1. | $\neg((W \wedge \neg X) \rightarrow (\neg Y \vee Z))$ | $\equiv \neg(\neg(W \wedge \neg X) \vee (\neg Y \vee Z))$ | (Material Implication) |
| 2. | | $\equiv \neg((\neg W \vee \neg \neg X) \vee (\neg Y \vee Z))$ | (De Morgan's) |
| 3. | | $\equiv \neg(\neg W \vee \neg \neg X) \wedge \neg(\neg Y \vee Z)$ | (De Morgan's) |
| 4. | | $\equiv (\neg(\neg W \vee X) \wedge \neg(\neg Y \vee Z))$ | (Double Negation) |
| 5. | | $\equiv (\neg \neg W \wedge \neg X) \wedge (\neg \neg Y \wedge \neg Z)$ | (De Morgan's) |
| 6. | | $\equiv (W \wedge \neg X) \wedge (Y \wedge \neg Z)$ | (Double Negation) |
| 7. | | $\equiv (Y \wedge \neg Z) \wedge (W \wedge \neg X)$ | (Commutative) |

□

- (e) Claim: $P \wedge (\neg Q \rightarrow R) \equiv (P \rightarrow \neg R) \rightarrow (P \wedge Q)$

Pf: Assume $P \wedge (\neg Q \rightarrow R) \equiv (P \rightarrow \neg R) \rightarrow (P \wedge Q)$.

- | | | | |
|----|---|---|------------------------|
| 1. | $P \wedge (\neg Q \rightarrow R)$ | $\equiv P \wedge (\neg \neg Q \vee R)$ | (Material Implication) |
| 2. | | $\equiv P \wedge (Q \vee R)$ | (Double Negation) |
| 3. | $(P \rightarrow \neg R) \rightarrow (P \wedge Q)$ | $\equiv \neg(\neg P \vee \neg R) \vee (P \wedge Q)$ | (Material Implication) |
| 4. | | $\equiv (\neg \neg P \wedge \neg \neg R) \vee (P \wedge Q)$ | (De Morgan's) |
| 5. | | $\equiv (P \wedge R) \vee (P \wedge Q)$ | (Double Negation) |
| 6. | | $\equiv P \wedge (Q \vee R)$ | (Distributive) |

□

- (f) Claim: $(M \rightarrow N) \wedge (\neg M \rightarrow N) \equiv N$

Pf: Assume $(M \rightarrow N) \wedge (\neg M \rightarrow N) \equiv N$.

- | | | | |
|----|---|--|------------------------|
| 1. | $(M \rightarrow N) \wedge (\neg M \rightarrow N)$ | $\equiv (\neg M \vee N) \wedge (\neg \neg M \vee N)$ | (Material Implication) |
| 2. | | $\equiv N \vee (\neg M \wedge \neg \neg M)$ | (Distributive) |
| 3. | | $\equiv N \vee (\neg M \wedge M)$ | (Double Negation) |
| 4. | | $\equiv N \vee \perp$ | (Contradiction) |
| 5. | | $\equiv N$ | (\vee - Identity) |

□