The Probability of an Event:

A **probability**, or **probability measure**, is a function P which maps events in the sample space S to real numbers.

In order to assign probabilities in a meaningful way, P must satisfy the following called the **postulates** (or axioms) of probability.

P1: The probability of any event A in S is a non-negative real number, i.e. $P(A) \ge 0$.

P2:
$$P(S) = 1$$
.

P3: If A_1, A_2, A_3, \ldots , is a finite or infinite sequence of (pairwise) mutually exclusive events in S then

$$P(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup \cdots) = P(A_1) + P(A_2) + P(A_3) + \cdots$$

(P is countably additive)

2.1.5 Postulates of Probability

- Interpreting a probability as a frequency, or a proportion of time, it makes sense that $P(A) \geq 0$; in fact we will show that $0 \leq P(A) \leq 1$ for any event A.
- P2 says that the probability that outcome of the experiment lies in S must be assigned value 1. Since this is certain to happen, we interpret P(A) = 1 as "A happens 100 percent of the time."
- P3 is for consistency. For example, if events A_1 and A_2 share no common outcomes, then the probability that either event occurs, $P(A_1 \cup A_2)$, is the sum of their individual probabilities.

A technical detail has been overlooked in the postulates of probability presented above. In a discrete sample space S, an "event" can be any subset of S, however in the continuous case one has to be more careful about which subsets of S are allowed as events. A precise definition for these allowable events comes in a course on measure theory. In this course we won't require that level of detail; i.e. the subsets we assign probabilities to will be allowable events.

Single Die Roll:

Let S be the sample space for rolling a die once.

Example 2.1.8 Each outcome in S is its own event, call these A_1, \ldots, A_6 . 5= {1,2,3,4,5,6}

Events A_1, \ldots, A_6 are mutually exclusive, and any event E in S is a union of these, for example let $E = A_2 \cup A_4 \cup A_5$. $A = \{1\}$ $A_2 = \{2\}$ By the classical probability concept, $P(E) = \frac{3}{6}$ (successes/number $A_3 = \{3\}$)

of outcomes), and $P(A_i) = \frac{1}{6}$ for each i. $P(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{3}{6} = \frac{1}{2}$ It follows that this satisfies the postulates of probability: A = 46}

- $P(B) \ge 0$ for any $B \subset S$. $P(S) = \frac{6}{6} = 1$. $P(S) = \frac{6}{6} \# \text{ outcomes}$
- P3 is satisfied: for example $P(E) = \frac{3}{6} = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} = P(A_2) + P(A_4) + P(A_5)$.

$$\frac{3}{6} = P(E)$$

$$E = \frac{1}{4} 2UA_{4}UA_{5}$$

$$E = \frac{1}{4} 2UA_{4}UA_{5}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} 2UA_{4}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} 2UA$$

Example 2.1.9 Suppose we assigned probabilities in this experiment in a different way. Using the same notation as before say for any event B we specify that

$$P(B) = \sum_{A_i \in B} P(A_i)$$
, and

$$P(A_1) = \frac{1}{2}, P(A_2) = \frac{1}{4}, P(A_3) = \frac{1}{8},$$

 $P(A_4) = 0, P(A_5) = \frac{1}{16}, P(A_6) = \frac{1}{16}$

Are the postulates of probability still satisfied?

probabilities are not permissible.

PI) Let
$$B \in S$$
. $P(B) = \sum P(A;)$ and since each $P(A;) \ge 0$, $A \in B$ we have $P(B) \ge 0$

P(S) = $P(A_1) + P(A_2) + P(A_3) + P(A_4) + P(A_5) + P(A_6)$ Example 2.1.10 An experiment has four possible outcomes A, B, C, Dthat are mutually exclusive. Explain why the following assignments of

(a)
$$P(A) = 0.12, P(B) = 0.63, P(C) = 0.45, P(D) = -0.20$$

 $P(D) < O$; so, this probability assignment is not permissible.

(b)
$$P(A) = \frac{9}{120}$$
, $P(B) = \frac{45}{120}$, $P(C) = \frac{27}{120}$, $P(D) = \frac{46}{120}$

First, observe that all numbers are negative.

$$P(S) = P(A \cup B \cup C \cup D) = P(A) + P(B) + P(C) + P(D)$$

$$28 = \frac{9}{120} + \frac{45}{120} + \frac{27}{120} + \frac{46}{120} = \frac{127}{120} \neq 1$$

So, 4 P2 fails therefore this probability assignment postulate postulate is not permissible.

2.1.6 The Probability of an Event

Theorem 2.1.11 If A is an event in a discrete sample space S, then P(A) is the sum of the probabilities of the individual outcomes (elements) of A.

(Note that the theorem assumes that P is a probability measure, and hence satisfies the postulates.)

Example 2.1.12 Experiment: Tossing a coin three times.

Sample space: {HHH, HHT, HTH, HTT, THH, THT, TTH, TTT}

Event A: Getting at least two heads {HHH, HHT, HTH, THH}

Event B: Getting exactly two tails { HTT, THT, TTH}

Event C: Getting two consecutive heads {HHH, HHT, THH}

Assuming this is a balanced (fair) coin, i.e. equal likely heads or tails, what are the probabilities of the events above?

$$P(A) = P(HHH) + P(HHT) + P(HTH) + P(THH) = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{4}{8} = \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{8}$$

$$P(B) = P(HTT) + P(THT) + P(TTH) = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{3}{8} = \frac{3}{8}$$

$$P(C) = P(HHH) + P(HHT) + P(THH) = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{3}{8} = \frac{3}{8}$$

Example 2.1.13 Suppose our six sided die is weighted so that each odd number is twice as likely to occur than each even number.

What is the probability of rolling a number greater than 3? S= {1,2,3,4,5,6} A= {4,5,6} rolling a number greater than 3 P(1)=2x P(2) = x P(S) = 1 P(3)=2x P(4)=x P(S) = P(1)+P(2)+P(3)+P(4)+P(5)+P(6) P(5) = 2x P(6) = x 1'' = 2x + x + 2x + x + 2x + x1 = 9x => x= == P(1)=== P(2)==== Then, P(A)=P(4)+P(5)+P(6) P(3)=== P(4)==== = 9+ 3+ = 4 P(5)= = P(6) = 7 What if instead each even number is four times as likely to occur than each odd number? A = {4,5,6} > rolling a number
greater than 3 S= {1,2,3,4,5,6} P(1)= x P(2)=4x P(S) = 1 P(3) = x P(4) = 4x P(S) = P(1)+P(Z)+P(3)+P(4)+P(5)+P(6) P(51= x P(6) = 4x x + Hx + x + 4x + x + 4x 1= 15x => x= 1 $P(1) = \frac{1}{15}$ $P(2) = \frac{4}{15}$ Then, AG P(A) = P(4)+P(5)+P(6) P(3) = 1 P(4) = 15 = 4 + 15 + 4 30 P(5) = 1 P(6) = 4 = 9 = 3