



MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY

---

Term Project Report

IE407

---

January 11, 2021

*Students:*

John Doe

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Project Body</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Proposed Model . . . . .	3
2.1.1	Q2 . . . . .	5
2.1.2	Q3 . . . . .	5
2.1.3	Q4 . . . . .	5
2.1.4	Q5 . . . . .	5
2.1.5	Q6 . . . . .	5
2.1.6	Q7 . . . . .	5
2.2	Discussion . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>6</b>
4.1	Data Set for Q1,Q2 . . . . .	6
4.2	Rules . . . . .	8

# 1 Introduction

In this project, we have developed a solution for "Assortment Selection and Shelf Space Allocation Problem". Although in the literature, the problem has different variations, we studied a simplified version of the problem where,

- If a product is chosen for the assortment, then all the facings of the product have to be filled fully. This restriction is especially important since dealing with variable size allocations makes the problem more complex. Such situation can be found in [1]
- The manager does not want to allocate more than four facings for a product. The importance of this constraint is explained in 2
- Other constraints can be found in 4.2

# 2 Project Body

Initially, we approached the problem by defining two decision variables:

$X_{ik}$  : Will the product i be on shelf k. Such that,

$$X_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \text{ is allocated in } k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$f_{ik}$ : Number of allocated facings for product i on shelf k.

With these decision variables, the objective function (since our aim is maximizing the profit) became:

$$\max \sum_{i=1}^{|I|} \sum_{k=1}^{|K|} \pi_i \gamma_k d_i (f_{ik} * b_i)^{\beta_i}$$

As can be confirmed, if we choose  $f_{ik}$  as a decision variable then the given objective function makes the model non-linear. Since the "opensolver" is not good at solving non-linear problems, we decided to modify the initial model to obtain a linear model.

The proposed model 2.1 has been built considering (with the help of) Rule

2. Since for a product we can not allocate more than 4 facings (which gives us a constant domain for facings), defining a separate decision variable for facings is unnecessary. Rather we define a new decision variable instead of the previous ones,

$X_{ijk}$  : Will the product  $i$  have  $j$  allocations on shelf  $k$ . Such that,

$$X_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \text{ is allocated } j \text{ times in } k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . With this new approach, we have achieved to develop a linear model which can be reached in 2.1.

## 2.1 Proposed Model

### Parameters:

$I$  : Set of products

$I^1$ : Set of product pairs  $(i_1, i_2)$  that cannot be placed on the same shelf.

$I^2$ : Set of product pairs  $(i_1, i_2)$  that will be included together in the assortment.

$K$  : Set of shelves

$\pi_i$ : Profit made by selling one unit of product  $i$

$w_k$  : Width of shelf  $k$

$\gamma_k$  : Shelf  $k$ 's effect on demand

$ds_k$  : Depth of shelf  $k$

$dp_i$  : Depth of unit product  $i$

$b_i$ : Width of a facing for product  $i$

$d_i$ : Coefficient for demand rate for product  $i$  per unit width and one facing

$\beta_i$ : Space elasticity factor for product  $i$

$s_i^l$  : Lower bound on the shelf inventory of product, if  $i$  is selected in the assortment

$s_i^u$  : Upper bound on the shelf inventory of product, if  $i$  is selected in the assortment

### Notation:

$|I|$ : Size of the set of products

$|K|$ : Size of the set of shelves

### Calculated Data Sets:

$N_{ik}$ : number of product i in shelf k per allocation:

$$N_{ik} = (ds_k/dp_i) - ((ds_k/dp_i)\%1)$$

### Decision Variables:

$X_{ijk}$  : Will the product i have j allocations on shelf k. Such that,

$$X_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \text{ is allocated } j \text{ times in } k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

### Objective function:

$$\max \sum_{i=1}^{|I|} \sum_{k=1}^{|K|} \sum_{j=1}^4 \gamma_k * \pi_i * d_i * (b_i)^{\beta_i} * (j)^{\beta_i} * x_{ijk}$$

### Subjected To:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{|K|} \sum_{j=1}^4 X_{ijk} \leq 1 \quad \forall i \in I \quad (\text{Rule 1})$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{|K|} (N_{ik} * (\sum_{j=1}^4 X_{ijk} * (j)^{\beta_i}) - s_i^u * (\sum_{j=1}^4 X_{ijk})) \leq 0 \quad \forall i \in I \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{|K|} (N_{ik} * (\sum_{j=1}^4 X_{ijk} * (j)^{\beta_i}) - s_i^l * (\sum_{j=1}^4 X_{ijk})) \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in I \quad (2)$$

Constraint (1) and (2) come from Rule 3 and 4.

$$-w_k + \sum_{i=1}^{|I|} (b_i * (\sum_{j=1}^4 X_{ijk} * (j)^{\beta_i})) \leq 0 \quad \forall k \in K \quad (\text{Rule 6})$$

$$(\sum_{k=1}^{|K|} \sum_{j=1}^4 X_{i_1jk}) - (\sum_{k=1}^{|K|} \sum_{j=1}^4 X_{i_2jk}) = 0 \quad \forall (i_1, i_2) \in I^2 \quad (\text{Rule 7})$$

$$(\sum_{j=1}^4 X_{i_1jk}) + (\sum_{j=1}^4 X_{i_2jk}) \leq 1 \quad \forall k \in K \quad \forall (i_1, i_2) \in I^1 \quad (\text{Rule 8})$$

### **Restrictions:**

$$X_{ijk} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i \in I \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \quad \forall k \in K$$

#### **2.1.1 Q2**

In this section, solution of the problem for given data set 4.1 obtained by using the given model and our observation about the results can be found.

#### **2.1.2 Q3**

Q3

#### **2.1.3 Q4**

In this section, we analysed how the solution given in 2.1.1 changes if we add the 6th shelf with  $w_6 = 40$ ,  $ds_6 = 25$ ,  $\gamma_6 = 0.45$  to the  $K$  given in 4.1.

#### **2.1.4 Q5**

In this section, we analysed how the solution and profit given in 2.1.1 change, if the shortest (in width) available shelf is allocated to some other category. The shortest shelf in 4.1 is the one with Shelf number 1. Since the shelves are allocated for only the products belong to the same category, by allocating it to some other category, in fact we remove it from our data set.

#### **2.1.5 Q6**

In this section, we analysed how the profit found in 2.1.1 changes and what are the basic variables when two separate cases happen:

- the width of Shelf 5 ( $w_5$ ) is increased by 5 cm
- the width of Shelf 5 ( $w_5$ ) is increased by 10 cm

#### **2.1.6 Q7**

In this section, we analysed how the solution found in 2.1.1 changes when the restriction requiring Product 3 and Product 8 to be placed on different shelves is removed and the restriction requiring these two products to be assort together and placed to the same shelf is added.

## 2.2 Discussion

Discussion...

## 3 Conclusion

Conclusion

## 4 Appendix

### 4.1 Data Set for Q1,Q2

Table 1: Sets of product pairs  
 $I^1 : (2, 5), (3, 8), (16, 20)$   
 $I^2 : (1, 12), (3, 8), (9, 15), (16, 20)$

Product number	$\pi_i$	$b_i$	$dp_i$	$d_i$	$\beta_i$	$s_i^l$	$s_i^U$
1	15	10	7	4	0.5	2	15
2	8	9	6	10	0.2	1	19
3	12	5	10	10	0.3	1	23
4	6	7	7	7	0.2	1	9
5	11	9	9	5	0.9	1	16
6	14	6	8	2	0.4	1	21
7	14	9	6	1	0.5	1	11
8	6	5	9	6	0.3	1	18
9	5	9	9	7	0.8	2	11
10	11	10	9	3	0.8	1	11
11	12	7	5	4	0.1	2	17
12	8	5	6	7	0.8	2	22
13	11	7	6	2	0.1	1	12
14	13	8	9	9	0.8	3	12
15	7	9	8	11	0.8	3	19
16	14	23	5	2	0.1	2	16
17	9	25	6	9	0.1	1	10
18	10	17	8	1	0.8	2	16
19	13	15	9	4	0.2	2	20
20	5	23	6	2	0.6	3	19
21	11	19	8	6	0.6	2	24
22	11	19	9	6	0.4	1	16
23	7	16	7	8	0.5	2	13
24	10	14	5	2	0.8	1	16
25	13	16	10	4	0.9	2	14

Shelf number	$w_k$	$ds_k$	$\gamma k$
1	50	34	0.25
2	65	30	0.60
3	80	26	1
4	95	27	0.60
5	110	29	0.25

## 4.2 Rules

- 1- If a product is selected in the assortment, than all facings for the product must be placed on the same shelf. ✓
- 2- The manager does not want to allocate more than four facings for a product. ✓
- 3- If a product is selected in the assortment, then a minimum shelf inventory amount must be placed on the shelves. Similarly, for each product there is an upper bound on the shelf-inventory. ✓
- 4- If a product is selected in the assortment, lower and upper bounds on its facing number are calculated by using shelf depth, product depth and lower and upper bounds on the shelf-inventory. ✓
- 5- *Each product provides a certain profit per unit sold.*
- 6- Each shelf has a certain width and the total width of the facings placed in the shelf cannot exceed its width. ✓
- 7- For some pairs of products, there is a restriction that if one is included in the assortment, the other product must also be included. ✓
- 8- For some pairs of products, there is a restriction that they cannot be on the same shelf. ✓

## References

- [1] HÜBNER, A., AND SCHAAL, K. An integrated assortment and shelf-space optimization model with demand substitution and space-elasticity effects. *European Journal of Operational Research* 261, 1 (2017), 302 – 316.