

# tut3

February 15, 2019 2:21 PM

either one works

【round(t) - 4, round(t) + 4】 , smaller but not bigger.  
smaller: corner, there are no enough points

n is degree of freedom, line is 1

ransac: randomly choose n + 1, points to uniquely define a n-degree polynomial

2 count the number of points whose perpendicular distance to the line is < threshold(1? feeling?)

3 if count/total > fraction of inliners, p: (0.9? but sometimes, like shape x, it will never reach 0.9)

return fit or return inliners

else: try another n + 1

performance on test it means how good it solving the problem itself:  
not faster!!!

ransac perform better, robust to outlier.

PCA doesn't handle outliers itself.

second part: apply ransac

CAMERA!!!!!!!!!!

thin-lens law

$$\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{d} = \frac{1}{f}$$

d: 物距

u: 相距

f: 焦距

signal to noise:

$$\text{SNR}(I, p) = 10 \log_{10} \frac{\text{mean}(p^2)}{\text{var}(p)}$$

for pixel in image I

double the aperture,

#photons = k \* intensity

$\pi r^2$  photons, from a circles

$4\pi r^2$  photons, from the second circle

$\therefore I_2 = 4I_1$ , because of the linear response func

$\text{SNR}(I_2, p)$

$\text{SNR}(I, p)$

1 proton noise is proportional to the number of protons

2 readout noise is independent to the number of protons

Photon: Poisson distribution with mean = variance = number of incident photons

readout: normal distribution with  $\text{var}(I_2) = \text{var}(I)$

$$\text{SNR}(I_2, p) = \frac{\text{mean}^2}{\text{var}} = \frac{\hat{I}^2}{\sigma}$$

$$\text{SNR}(I_2, p) = \frac{\text{mean}^2}{\text{var}} = \frac{16\hat{I}^2}{\sigma}$$

$\hat{I}$ : the true intensity