

2 The MMAP and EMAP Protocols

The Minimalist Mutual Authentication Protocol (MMAP) and Efficient Mutual Authentication Protocol (EMAP) were first proposed in [1, 2]. The steps of the two protocols, starting with MMAP, are described as follows. In the MMAP protocol, the tag and the reader both store four secret keys, K_1 , K_2 , K_3 , and K_4 . The tag also stores an identifier IDP , which is used by the reader to identify the tag, as well as a secret quantity ID . All keys and identifiers are assumed to be bit strings of length k . **All computations are performed modulo 2^k . The notations \oplus , \wedge , and \vee denote bit-wise XOR, AND, and OR, respectively.** The steps in MMAP are:

1. The reader sends a *Hello* message to the tag, which powers the tag.
2. The tag responds with IDP .
3. Based on the value of IDP , the reader looks up the values of K_1 , K_2 , K_3 , and K_4 . The reader then generates two random bit strings n_1 and n_2 , and sends a message to the tag consisting of three bit strings, $A = IDP \oplus K_1 \oplus n_1$, $B = (IDP \wedge K_2) \vee n_1$, and $C = IDP + K_3 + n_2$.
4. Upon receiving A , B , and C , the tag computes $n_1 = A \oplus IDP \oplus K_1$ and $n_2 = C - IDP - K_3$. The tag then checks if $B = (IDP \wedge K_2) \vee n_1$. If so, the tag authenticates the reader and proceeds to the next step. Otherwise the tag terminates the protocol.
5. The tag sends a message to the reader consisting of the bit strings $D = (IDP \vee K_4) \wedge n_2$ and $E = (ID + IDP) \oplus n_1$.
6. The reader computes $ID = E \oplus n_1 - IDP$.
7. The tag and reader each update the values of IDP , K_1 , K_2 , K_3 , and K_4 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} IDP^{(n+1)} &= (IDP^{(n)} + (n_1 \oplus n_2)) \oplus ID \\ K_1^{(n+1)} &= K_1^{(n)} \oplus n_2 \oplus (K_3^{(n)} + ID) \\ K_2^{(n+1)} &= K_2^{(n)} \oplus n_2 \oplus (K_4^{(n)} + ID) \\ K_3^{(n+1)} &= (K_3^{(n)} \oplus n_1) + (K_1^{(n)} \oplus ID) \\ K_4^{(n+1)} &= (K_4^{(n)} \oplus n_1) + (K_2^{(n)} \oplus ID) \end{aligned}$$

Note that ID is unchanged.

In step 3, the role of the messages A , B , and C is as follows. Messages A and C are used to deliver the random numbers n_1 and n_2 to the tag without the adversary determining them. Messages B and D are used to authenticate the reader and tag, respectively. Message E is used to transmit the tag's secret information, ID , to the reader.

The EMAP protocol follows a similar idea. As in MMAP, the tag and reader maintain four shared keys, K_1 , K_2 , K_3 , and K_4 , as well as identifier IDP and secret information ID . The steps in EMAP are as follows.

1. The reader sends a *Hello* message to the tag, which powers the tag.
2. The tag responds with IDP .
3. Based on the value of IDP , the reader looks up the values of K_1 , K_2 , K_3 , and K_4 . The reader generates two random bit strings n_1 and n_2 and sends a message to the tag consisting of three bit strings, $A = IDP \oplus K_1 \oplus n_1$, $B = (IDP \vee K_2) \oplus n_1$, and $C = IDP \oplus K_3 \oplus n_2$.
4. The tag computes $n_1 = A \oplus IDP \oplus K_1$ and $n_2 = C \oplus IDP \oplus K_3$, and checks if $B = (IDP \vee K_2) \oplus n_1$. If the authentication check is passed, then the tag sends a message to the reader containing the bit strings $D = (IDP \wedge K_4) \oplus n_2$ and $E = (IDP \wedge n_1 \vee n_2) \oplus ID \oplus K_1 \oplus K_2 \oplus K_3 \oplus K_4$.
5. The reader computes ID using the received message E .
6. The tag and reader each update the values of IDP , K_1 , K_2 , K_3 , and K_4 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} IDP^{(n+1)} &= IDP^{(n)} \oplus n_2^{(n)} \oplus K_1^{(n)} \\ K_1^{(n+1)} &= K_1^{(n)} \oplus n_2^{(n)} \oplus ((ID)_{1:48} || F_p(K_4^{(n)}) || F_p(K_3^{(n)})) \\ K_2^{(n+1)} &= K_2^{(n)} \oplus n_2^{(n)} \oplus (F_p(K_1^{(n)}) || F_p(K_4^{(n)}) || (ID)_{49:96}) \\ K_3^{(n+1)} &= K_3^{(n)} \oplus n_1^{(n)} \oplus ((ID)_{1:48} || F_p(K_4^{(n)}) || F_p(K_2^{(n)})) \\ K_4^{(n+1)} &= K_4^{(n)} \oplus n_1^{(n)} \oplus (F_p(K_3^{(n)}) || F_p(K_1^{(n)}) || (ID)_{49:96}) \end{aligned}$$

The notation F_p is defined as follows. If x is a bit string, where the length of x is a multiple of 4, then $F_p(x)$ is computed by first dividing x into 4-bit blocks. The four bits in each block are then XORed. For example, if $x = 1011\ 0110\ 1000$, then $F_p(x) = 101$. The notation $(ID)_{1:48}$ refers to the 48 most significant bits of ID , while $(ID)_{49:96}$ denotes the 49 least significant bits of ID . As in MMAP, the ID is unchanged.

3 Attacks on MMAP and EMAP

The attacks on each protocol that you will implement are discussed in the references [3, 4]. The goal of both attacks is to determine the secret quantity ID . An example of the attack on MMAP is as follows. When the adversary eavesdrops on a protocol instance, the adversary has access to the messages $B = (IDP \wedge K_2) \vee n_1$ and IDP . By the properties of bit-wise OR and AND, if $(IDP)_i = 0$, then $(B)_i = (IDP \wedge K_2)_i \vee (n_1)_i = (n_1)_i$. Hence any bits of n_1 corresponding to 0 bits of IDP will become known to the adversary.

For example, suppose that the adversary observes that $B = 011000$ and $IDP = 101100$. Based on the above, the adversary has that $n_1 = *1 *00$. Using the observed message E , the adversary then determines that ID is given by $ID = (E \oplus n_1) - IDP = *1 *00 + 010100 = * * * 00$. This reveals the two least significant bits of ID ; the steps to recovering the remaining bits of E based on further protocol runs are described in [3].

The attack on EMAP is described as follows. Since the message D is given by $D = (IDP \wedge K_4) \oplus n_2$, whenever $(IDP)_i = 0$, $(n_2)_i = D_i$. Similarly, whenever $(IDP)_i = 1$, B_i is given by the complement of $(n_1)_i$. The remaining bits of n_1 and n_2 are obtained by tampering with the messages sent between the reader and tag, as described in Section 3.2 of [4].

4 Python Coding for this Project

You will need to create two **Python** classes, MMAPoracle and EMAPoracle, which simulate the MMAP and EMAP protocols, respectively. MMAPoracle must contain a constructor function, as well as the function

$$[outStruct, oracle] = protocolRun(oracle)$$

which takes as input an MMAPoracle and outputs a structure containing the strings A , B , C , D , and E , as well as the updated oracle. The values in outStruct will be used to mount the attack. Similarly, EMAPoracle must contain a constructor and the function

$$[outStruct, oracle] = protocolRun1(oracle).$$

EMAPoracle also implements a function impersonate_reader, defined by

$$[D, E, oracle] = impersonate_reader(oracle, A, B, C),$$

which takes as input an oracle and three messages A , B , C given to the tag, and gives as output the tag's response D and E . EMAPoracle simulates an attack in which the adversary sends a set of messages to the adversary in order to observe the response, as in Stage 2 in Section 3.2 of [4].

Lastly, the MMAPoracle and EMAPoracle must include a function verifyID, which returns 1 if the given ID is the true ID of the tag and 0 otherwise. This function can be used to check whether your simulation of the attack is returning the correct ID.

Then your task is to implement the **Python** functions MMAP_attack and EMAP_attack, which take as input an MMAPoracle (for MMAP_attack) or EMAPoracle (for EMAP_attack) and output the ID of the tag. Each function can make queries to the corresponding protocolRun function, as well as the impersonate_reader function in the case of EMAP_attack, as needed in order to implement the attacks. The correctness of the ID returned by MMAP_attack and EMAP_attack can be checked using the corresponding verifyID function.