

During my interrogation by SEC attorney Jan Folena there were a lot of interesting moments.

Some from my viewpoint seemed to make her physically shake. My experience in the witness box was not anywhere near what I expected.

Particularly the actions and responses of Ms Folena.

IMHO she didn't appear to have a strong connection to this case. As she told my team during the trial "I'm just here to litigate".

This is her "just litigating"

The Q is SEC Jan Folena. The A is my response to her questions

Q. Okay. Now the information you post on Blogmaverick Mr. Cuban, that's true information, correct?

A. No, it's not meant to be literal at all. It's meant to communicate a point. It's meant to get an opinion out there. It's — it's not — I'm not a reporter. It's just, you know, some different ideas that I throw out there because part of the beauty of a blog is that people give you comments back. So it's not meant to be literal, but I certainly do share my ideas.Q. Okay. But the ideas that you share are — are — are ones that are yours, correct?

A. Yeah, they're my ideas, my opinions. But, again, none of this is meant to be a hundred percent literal. A lot of it is meant to create feedback. I want to know what people thought. There's a guy on the radio here, Randy Galloway, and he always says it's smart to check your hole card. So one of the things I like to do is throw out ideas that are going to gain a response.

So that's why I entitled it "Why do people fall in love with stocks?" because it's not — you know, people fall in love with stocks, and it's just a stock. It's not meant to be literal. I don't think people fall in love with their stocks in a literal sense

Q. Okay. My question wasn't whether it's meant to be literal. My question was if you post an opinion or idea on there, that's an opinion or an idea that you honestly hold; isn't that right, sir?

A. Not a hundred percent of the time, no. Again, a lot of times I'm trying to get people's response to something.

Q. Okay. So it's possible then, sir, that — that what you're tell me is you post ideas and opinions on blogmaverick that are not true?

A. I wouldn't characterize it as not true. I might talk about the Lakers. I might talk about a family thing. You know, again, it's not about true. It's just about trying, you know, to send a message or get a point across or get feedback. That's what blogs are for.

Q. I understand that. I'm not saying you ever have, but this is an example. If you post on your blog that you think the Lakers are going to stink in 2013, okay, you're not telling this jury that that's an opinion that you don't honestly hold, right

A. This year?

(Laughter) \*\*\*This is the court reporters notation of laughter, not mine. The entire courtroom cracked up\*\*\*\*

Q. I'm saying as an example, if you said that

A. Well, no. In 2004 I wouldn't say it. They had Shaq, they had Kobe, they actually went to the finals. So — no. And, yeah, if I said that they stink — To answer your question, if I said in 2004 that they stink, I didn't believe it

Q. You didn't believe it

A. No.