

There is a lot of money being spent trying to turn internet video into something it's not. It's not TV. It's certainly not going to be HDTV. What is shocking about the entire attempt to turn the internet into a tv/HDTV distribution medium is how much people lie to themselves about what is actually happening.

First of all, 100pct of the internet video that you see offered on the net as HD, is not HD. Plain and simple. What is HD video ? HD video is video you can watch on a screen of ANY size and say..."that looks good, almost as good as it can get ". What is currently being passed as HD, is video that looks pretty good because it's being played on a small PC or Laptop screen and for the big timers, even a 17" screen. Well guess what, everything and anything coded at 2mbps and above is going to look good on a small screen. But looking good on a small screen doesn't cut it. In fact, video that looks its best on a 27" TV is not going to cut it. For those of you who don't see it that way, do some research on the history of Fox's Wide Screen broadcasts and see how owners of HDTVs 40" in above felt about those broadcasts compared to what they got from other networks. In a nutshell, WideScreen is gone. The quality wasn't good enough.

I'm not going to go on my 19th nervous tangent about why video over the net has problems and HD over the net is not going to happen. Instead, I will give the quick and dirty on what should happen.

There are already multiple digital distribution systems in place that distribute video, in SD and HD to our homes in place. Not only can they get the signal to our home with 99pct uptime and zero buffering but there are any number of systems in place that allow the signals to be distributed around our homes. In other words, TV as TV works.

Which leads to the solution.

Move the video cloud to the node and encode and insert into the traditional video distribution systems.

Rather than Hulu sending its video directly across the net to your PC, and let the end user figure out how to watch and distribute from there, it should send it to a box hosted by your cable/telco and possibly even satellite provider, which then transcodes the video and places it on the existing TV distribution system and sends it across a channel branded with your name and the name of the file to your TV.

**The net result is that having subscribed to this "Internet Video to your TV" system for a buck or two per month, you will notice that on your electronic programming guide there is a subset of channels with your name on them.** When you click on a video at your favorite site, that video can easily be rerouted to the server at the node, transcoded into the right format and shown on your TV's programming guide as "mark Cuban channels" – 001 Diet Coke and Mentos – 002 Cat Flushing Toilet 003 – Softball game, etc. All you have to do is watch cable/telco/sat TV like you have always watched tv. Watching video anywhere in your house will be that easy.

Of course it will take coordination between the video sites and the video distributors, but that really should be easy. Even FTP would get it done.

This approach should result in a far better use of capital for them, and more importantly, it moves video off of the last internet mile, where bandwidth is constrained, to their new Switched Digital Video last mile, which is far less constrained now, and should quickly become completely unconstrained for long tail content.

if done right, it could also replace all local DVR storage. **If you think about it, it's pretty stupid to have redundant storage in every home. Paying for those ever growing hard drives, even with falling cost per bits, adds up to a lot of money. Moving that storage to a video cloud at the node or even on the backbone would make set top boxes smaller, cheaper and more flexible. The Network DVR, with space for remotely loaded internet video should be the way of the future.**

In addition, this approach could expand our ability to customize our TV viewing experience. Why can't we create playlists of our favorite shows? I personally would love to create a playlist, customized with a picture of my daughter, her name as the channel name, with a playlist or on demand list of shows that are appropriate for her. When there are videos of group activities like her ballet recitals, it would be great if we could mark the video as sharable with others we designate, or other parents could do the same, and we could easily watch them on our TV.

The video distribution networks already in place are designed to move gigabits of data simultaneously to millions of homes. As these distribution networks go to IP and Switched Digital Video, they will be able to integrate back to internet sites and to interact and offer more advanced customization and cloud based applications with far more sophistication and flexibility than the internet and the web can offer around video. As middleware like Tru2Way becomes more popular, and more TVs support it, the applications on our TVs will multiply quickly.

There is no reason to reinvent TV over the net when TV distributed as TV works, and all the technology is in place to move video from the net to TV distribution networks.