

# Conservation laws in a nutshell

Cristóbal Bertoglio

January 10, 2021

Let consider a time-varying control volume  $\Omega = \Omega(t)$  with boundary  $\partial\Omega$  and its normal vector  $\mathbf{n}$ , given by the mapping  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}(X, t)$  from a reference configuration  $\hat{\Omega}$ , namely

$$\Omega = (I_{\hat{\Omega}} + \mathbf{y})(\hat{\Omega}, t), \quad \hat{\mathbf{w}}(X, t) = \partial_t \mathbf{y}(X, t) = \partial_t x(X, t) = \mathbf{w}(x, t)$$

and let us denote  $\mathbf{u}$  the velocity of an infinitesimal particle at a point  $x \in \Omega$ , with  $x(X, t) = X + \mathbf{y}(X, t)$ .

Let  $L = L(x(t), t) = \hat{L}(X, t)$  be a quantity to be conserved (for example the impulse  $L = \rho \mathbf{u}$ , mass  $L = \rho$  or energy density  $\rho \|\mathbf{u}\|^2$ ), with  $\mathbf{u}(x(X, t), t)$ . The general conservation law state that

$$\partial_t \int_{\Omega} L + \int_{\partial\Omega} L(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{n} + \int_{\partial\Omega} Q_b + \int_{\Omega} Q_v = 0 \quad (1)$$

with  $Q_b$  and  $Q_v$  boundary and volume source terms, respectively. We now will make use of the divergence theorem, namely

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}, \quad [\nabla]_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d$$

and the so-called *geometric conservation law*

$$\partial_t \int_{\Omega} = \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n} \Rightarrow \partial_t J(X, t) = J \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}(x, t) \quad (2)$$

with

$$J(X, t) = \det(\mathbf{F}(X, t)), \quad \mathbf{F}(X, t) = \frac{\partial x}{\partial X} = \mathbb{1} + \hat{\nabla} \mathbf{y}(X, t), \quad [\hat{\nabla}]_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d$$

Note that the term  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}$  is expressed in the coordinates  $x$ , but it has also a representation in  $X$ . However, for deriving the conservation laws, we do not need that representation.

We will assume now the structure  $Q_b = \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ . Hence, we can conveniently rewrite (1) as

$$\int_{\hat{\Omega}} \partial_t (\hat{L} J) + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (L(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w})) = \int_{\partial\Omega} Q_b + \int_{\Omega} Q_v \quad (3)$$

$$\int_{\hat{\Omega}} (\partial_t \hat{L} J + L J \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w} + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (L(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}))) = \int_{\partial\Omega} Q_b + \int_{\Omega} Q_v \quad (4)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} (\partial_t L + L \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w} + \nabla \cdot (L(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}))) = \int_{\partial\Omega} Q_b + \int_{\Omega} Q_v \quad (5)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} (\partial_t L + L \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w} + L \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}) + (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \nabla L) = \int_{\partial\Omega} Q_b + \int_{\Omega} Q_v \quad (6)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} (\partial_t L + L \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \nabla L - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}) = \int_{\Omega} Q_v \quad (7)$$

and since this relation has to be true for all  $\Omega$  it leads to the differential formulations

$$\partial_t L + L \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \nabla L - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (8)$$

In the case of the mass conservation, (1) reads

$$\partial_t \rho + \rho \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \nabla \rho = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (9)$$

with  $Q_b = Q_v = 0$ .

The momentum conservation in the  $i$ -th direction reads ( $\mathbf{b} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i,:}$ ,  $Q_v = \mathbf{f}_i$ )

$$\partial_t(\rho \mathbf{u}_i) + (\rho \mathbf{u}_i) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \nabla(\rho \mathbf{u}_i) - \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i,:} = \mathbf{f}_i \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (10)$$

Note that we can write up all terms obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\rho) \mathbf{u}_i + \rho \partial_t(\mathbf{u}_i) + \rho \mathbf{u}_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \nabla(\rho) \mathbf{u}_i + \rho(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{u}_i) - \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i,:} &= \mathbf{f}_i \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ \mathbf{u}_i(\partial_t \rho + \rho \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \nabla \rho) + \rho \partial_t(\mathbf{u}_i) + \rho(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{u}_i) - \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i,:} &= \mathbf{f}_i \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ \rho \partial_t(\mathbf{u}_i) + \rho(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{u}_i) - \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i,:} &= \mathbf{f}_i \quad \text{in } \Omega \end{aligned}$$

where from the second to the third line the general mass conservation equation (9) was used.

Finally, the energy density conservation reads ( $Q_b = Q_v = 0$ )

$$\partial_t(\rho \|\mathbf{u}\|^2/2) + \rho \|\mathbf{u}\|^2/2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \nabla(\rho \|\mathbf{u}\|^2/2) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (11)$$

or in integral form by replacing  $L = \rho \|\mathbf{u}\|^2/2$  directly in (1)

$$\partial_t \int_{\Omega} \rho \|\mathbf{u}\|^2/2 + \int_{\partial\Omega} \rho \|\mathbf{u}\|^2/2 (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad (12)$$

Integrating it in time

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\hat{\Omega}} \widehat{\rho \mathbf{u}^2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\hat{t}}^t \int_{\partial\Omega} \rho \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{n} \quad (13)$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$  denotes the velocity at  $\hat{t}$ , is the energy storage of the internal forces.