

Riccardo Boero

ribo@nilu.no

May 9, 2025

Version: v0.1.0

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15025694

Licensed under CC BY-SA 4.0



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

Contents

Foreword		5
PART I INTRODUCTION		9
Introduction		11
PART II GETTING STARTED		15
Installation & Setup		17
PART III CONDUCTING A SYSTI	EMATIC REVIEW	27
Understanding Systematic Reviews	s	29
Step-by-Step to a Systematic Review	w	37
PART IV ADVANCED FEATURES		49
Ensemble Reviews		51
Debugging, Costs & Integration		67
PART V TROUBLESHOOTING &	FAQS	83
Troubleshooting Common Issues		85
Frequently Asked Questions		93

Foreword

The prismAId user manual is designed to help researchers, academics, and professionals leverage the power of the prismAId toolkit for conducting systematic reviews efficiently. This document provides a structured approach to installing, configuring, and using the various components of prismAId, ensuring that users can quickly get started while also exploring advanced features when needed.

This manual is divided into five distinct parts, each catering to different user needs:

- Part 1: Introduction If you are new to prismAId, we recommend starting with the chapter Introduction to prismAId. This section explains what prismAId is, the modular tools it provides, who can benefit from them, and why it is a valuable toolkit for systematic reviews.
- Part 2: Getting Started If you need to install and configure prismAId, go directly to Installation & Setup. This section provides step-by-step installation instructions for Windows, Mac, and Linux.
- Part 3: Conducting a Systematic Review If your primary goal is to learn how to conduct a systematic review with prismAId, you can skip the installation and configuration sections and go directly to the chapter Step-by-Step Guide to Conducting a Systematic Review. This section provides a hands-on walkthrough of:
 - Setting up a project
 - Acquiring and preparing literature using the Download and Convert tools
 - Configuring and running systematic reviews using the Review tool
 - Interpreting results
 - Exporting findings

Reminder: No coding skills are required to effectively conduct a systematic review with prismAId.

Tip: The many open science advantages of prismAId are introduced and discussed in the **Introduction**.

Note: prismAId is available on all platforms and operating systems. It can also be integrated programmatically with the most widely used scientific software.

Tip: For a quick but complete walkthrough on using prismAId in a systematic review, see the **fifth** chapter.

Before diving into this walkthrough, you may find it helpful to read **Understanding Systematic Reviews**, which provides background information on the methodology and best practices.

- Part 4: Advanced Features Users who want to explore advanced capabilities can refer to the chapters Ensemble Reviews and Debugging, Cost Management & Integration. These sections cover advanced options such as ensemble reviews, rate limiting, cost minimization, and integration with external tools and workflows.
- Part 5: Troubleshooting & FAQs If you encounter issues, visit
 Troubleshooting Common Issues, which provides solutions to
 common errors for each of the toolkit's components, and Frequently Asked Questions, which addresses common concerns
 about usage and implementation.

How Should You Use This Manual?

- If you are **new to** prismAId, start with **Introduction**, then proceed to **Installation**.
- If you want to conduct a complete systematic review, go directly to Part 3, particularly Step-by-Step Guide to Conducting
 a Systematic Review, for a detailed walkthrough of the entire process.
- If you only need specific tools from the toolkit (Download, Convert, or Review), the respective chapters in Part 3 detail how to use each component independently.
- If you need advanced features or troubleshooting, refer to Parts 4 and 5 as needed.

Throughout this manual, useful commands are presented in blue boxes, as shown below:

Command: Example of a Binary Command

To run a systematic review with a TOML configuration file:

```
# For Windows
./prismaid.exe -project your_project.toml

# For downloading papers from Zotero
./prismaid.exe -download-zotero zotero_config.toml

# For converting PDF files to text
./prismaid.exe -convert-pdf ./papers
```

Tool configuration details are highlighted in red boxes, as shown below:

Configuration: Example of a TOML Setting

To configure an LLM for your review:

```
# Sample TOML configuration
[project.llm.1]
provider = "OpenAI"
api_key = ""
model = ""
temperature = 0.2
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0
```

Other structured content that needs to be outlined is presented in information boxes such as:

```
Example of a Literature Search Query

("climate change" OR "global warming"[Title/Abstract])
```

Final Notes

We hope this manual serves as a comprehensive guide to making the most of the prismAId toolkit. Whether you're a first-time user or an advanced researcher, this document is structured to help you get the information you need quickly and efficiently. The modular design of prismAId allows you to use only the components you need, making it adaptable to various research workflows and requirements.

This manual provides a complete overview of the features available in prismAId version $\geq 0.8.0$. However, many aspects also apply to earlier versions that offer the same features.

Warning: The online documentation of prismAId is available at open-and-sustainable.github.io/prismaid/.

For any additional questions, please refer to **the FAQ section** or contact our support team through the GitHub repository or Matrix Support Room. Happy reviewing!

Part I Introduction

Introduction

Systematic reviews are at the core of evidence-based research. They help synthesize vast amounts of literature, ensuring that decisions in science, medicine, policy, and other fields are grounded in the best available evidence. However, conducting systematic reviews is a time-intensive and often overwhelming process. Screening literature, managing citations, extracting data, limiting biases and subjectivity, and ensuring methodological rigor and replicability all demand meticulous attention to detail.

prismAId was created to address these challenges. It is an opensource toolkit designed to assist researchers in conducting systematic reviews more efficiently using generative AI technology. By streamlining key aspects of the review process, prismAId helps users maintain high standards of rigor and reproducibility while reducing the manual workload.

The toolkit is designed for a diverse range of users, from researchers conducting large-scale systematic reviews to students working on literature-based projects, requiring no coding skills to operate effectively. It provides structured workflows that align with best practices in systematic reviewing, ensuring that every step – from acquiring literature to extracting and analyzing data – is traceable and transparent.

Tip: Systematic reviews require structured workflows. prismAId provides modular tools to help enforce best practices at each stage of the process.

The prismAld Toolkit

A key enhancement to prismAId is its modular design, which now offers three specialized tools to support different stages of the systematic review process:

- **Convert Tool**: Transforms documents from various formats (PDF, DOCX, HTML) into plain text that can be processed by large language models.
- Review Tool: Processes systematic literature reviews based on configurable protocols, extracting structured information from

Note: Each tool can be used independently or as part of an integrated workflow. This modular design supports diverse research needs and practices.

scientific papers.

Download Tool: Acquires papers directly from Zotero collections or from URL lists, streamlining the literature acquisition phase.

This modular approach allows researchers to integrate prismAId into existing workflows more flexibly, using only the components needed for their specific research context.

Open Science Foundation

One of the key motivations behind prismAId is its commitment to Open Science. The toolkit is fully open source, meaning its development is transparent, and researchers can inspect, modify, and contribute to its functionality. This openness ensures that systematic reviews conducted with prismAId can be fully reproducible and that researchers can collaborate effectively without relying on proprietary or closed software ecosystems.

Moreover, the open-source nature of prismAId allows for continuous improvement through community-driven development. Users can adapt the tools to fit their needs, extend their capabilities, and integrate them with other research software. This flexibility is particularly beneficial in a rapidly evolving scientific landscape where reproducibility and adaptability are crucial.

Warning: As an open-source toolkit, prismAId does not include proprietary support. Users are encouraged to engage with the community through GitHub issues or the Matrix Support Room for troubleshooting and development.

Multi-Platform Accessibility

prismAId is accessible through multiple platforms and programming languages, offering flexibility based on user preference and technical requirements:

- Standalone Binaries: For Windows, macOS, and Linux, requiring no coding skills
- Go Package: For integration in Go-based projects
- Python Package: For integration in Python scripts and Jupyter notebooks
- R Package: For use within R and RStudio environments
- Julia Package: For integration in Julia workflows

This multi-platform approach ensures that researchers can incorporate prismAId into their preferred computational environments without disrupting existing workflows.

Guiding Principles

Beyond technical efficiency, prismAId embodies key guiding principles that impact its users:

- Transparency: The logic behind how studies are managed and processed is open for review. There are no hidden decisionmaking mechanisms.
- **Reproducibility**: Every action taken within prismAId can be logged and traced, allowing others to replicate results with comprehensive documentation of methodologies.
- **Flexibility**: Researchers can configure prismAId to meet the specific requirements of their systematic review protocols and adapt it to various research domains.
- Accessibility: Easy-to-use interfaces ensure that anyone can leverage advanced AI tools for literature reviews without specialized technical knowledge.
- **Efficiency**: The toolkit is optimized for handling large datasets with minimal setup, reducing the time from research to results.
- No Vendor Lock-in: Users are not dependent on a single commercial provider, ensuring that research workflows remain independent.
- Community and Collaboration: As an open-source toolkit, prismAId fosters collaboration among researchers, developers, and systematic review specialists.

By embracing these principles, prismAId is more than just a toolkit – it is part of a broader effort to improve the accessibility, efficiency, and reliability of systematic reviews. Whether used by a lone researcher or a large team, it provides the structure and support needed to navigate complex literature and synthesize high-quality evidence using the latest advancements in artificial intelligence.

As we move through this manual, you will learn how to install, configure, and effectively use each component of the prismAId toolkit to conduct systematic reviews. From getting started with basic setup to leveraging advanced features for more complex reviews, this guide will provide all the necessary information to integrate prismAId into your research workflow.

Reminder: Collaboration is a core feature. Consider contributing to prismAId if you have ideas for improvement!

Note: This manual follows a structured approach. Refer to the **How Should You Use This Manual?** section in the Foreword for guidance on navigating the content.

Part II

Getting Started

Installation & Setup

This chapter explains how to install prismAId, covering system requirements, installation methods, and first-time setup for each of the toolkit's components.

System Requirements

Before installing prismAId, ensure your system meets the following requirements:

- **Operating System**: Windows 10 or later, macOS 11 or later, or a Linux distribution (Arch, Debian, Fedora, Ubuntu, etc.).
- **Processor**: 64-bit CPU (Intel, AMD, or ARM64).
- Memory: At least 4GB RAM (8GB recommended).
- **Storage**: Minimum 500MB of free disk space.
- **Internet Connection**: Required for downloading packages and accessing LLM APIs.
- API Keys: To use the Review tool, you'll need an API key from at least one of the supported LLM providers (OpenAI, GoogleAI, Cohere, Anthropic, or DeepSeek).

Installation Methods

prismAId can be installed in multiple ways, depending on your preferences and workflow requirements. Choose the method that best suits your needs.

Method 1: Standalone Binaries

The simplest installation method is to download the pre-compiled binaries, which require no additional dependencies.

1. Navigate to the GitHub Releases page.

Warning: Ensure that you have administrative privileges on your system before installing prismAld, especially on Windows and macOS.

Warning: The capitalization of the letters in the prismAld tool follows the conventions of each programming language, ensuring consistency within each context but resulting in variations across packages and languages.

Note: Binaries are standalone executables that work on Linux, macOS, and Windows, supporting both AMD64 and Arm64 architectures.

- 2. Download the appropriate binary for your operating system.
- 3. Place the file into a directory of your choice.
- 4. On Linux and macOS, set the binaries as executable files.

Windows

Command: Running prismAId on Windows After downloading, navigate to the folder and run: # Download papers from Zotero ./prismaid.exe -download-zotero zotero_config.toml # Download papers from URL list ./prismaid.exe -download-URL paper_urls.txt # Convert PDF files to text ./prismaid.exe -convert-pdf ./papers # Run the Review tool with a project configuration ./prismaid.exe -project your_project.toml

Reminder: On Windows, you may need to allow execution if prompted by security settings.

macOS

Command: Running prismAId on macOS

After downloading, give execution permissions and run:

```
chmod +x prismaid

# Download papers from Zotero
./prismaid -download-zotero zotero_config.toml

# Convert various file formats to text
./prismaid -convert-pdf ./papers
./prismaid -convert-docx ./papers
./prismaid -convert-html ./papers

# Run the Review tool with a project configuration
./prismaid -project your_project.toml
```

Warning: You may need to approve the application in **System Preferences** under **Security & Privacy** before running it.

Linux

Command: Running prismAId on Linux

```
chmod +x prismaid

# Initialize a new project configuration interactively
./prismaid -init

# Download papers from a URL list
./prismaid -download-URL paper_urls.txt

# Run the Review tool with a project configuration
./prismaid -project your_project.toml
```

Tip: If you receive a "Permission denied" error, try running chmod +x again or executing with sudo.

Method 2: Go Package

If you are a Go developer, you can use prismAId as a Go package.

```
Command: Installing the Go Package

go get "github.com/open-and-sustainable/prismaid"
```

Tip: The Go package offers the most comprehensive functionality, as it is the native implementation.

Method 3: Python Package

For Python users, prismAId is available as a package on PyPI.

```
Command: Installing the Python Package

pip install prismaid
```

Note: The Python package works on Linux and Windows AMD64, and macOS Arm64.

Method 4: R Package

Code: Using prismAld in R

For R users, prismAId is available as a package on R-universe.

Note: The R package works on Linux AMD64 and macOS Arm64.

Method 5: Julia Package

For Julia users, prismAId is available as a package published in the Julia General Registry.

Command: Installing the Julia Package

```
using Pkg
Pkg.add("PrismAId")
```

Note: The Julia package works on Linux and Windows AMD64, and macOS Arm64.

Setting Up API Keys

To use the Review tool of prismAId, you need to set up API keys for at least one of the supported LLM providers.

Obtaining API Keys

- **Anthropic**: Register at anthropic.com and generate an API key.
- Cohere: Sign up at cohere.com and retrieve your API key from the dashboard.
- **DeepSeek**: Create an account at platform.deepseek.com and obtain an API key.
- GoogleAI: Create an account at aistudio.google.com and generate an API key.
- OpenAI: Register at openai.com and obtain an API key from your account dashboard.

Warning: Keep your API keys secure. Never share them in public repositories or unencrypted communications.

Configuring API Keys

There are two ways to configure your API keys:

Environment Variables

Set environment variables for your API keys:

```
# For OpenAI
export OPENAI_API_KEY="your-openai-api-key"

# For GoogleAI
export GOOGLEAI_API_KEY="your-googleai-api-key"

# For Cohere
export COHERE_API_KEY="your-cohere-api-key"

# For Anthropic
export ANTHROPIC_API_KEY="your-anthropic-api-key"

# For DeepSeek
export DEEPSEEK_API_KEY="your-deepseek-api-key"
```

Configuration File

Add your API keys directly in the TOML configuration file:

```
Configuration: API Keys in TOML

[project.llm.1]
provider = "OpenAI"
api_key = "your-openai-api-key"
model = "gpt-4o-mini"
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0
```

Warning: Do not share or publish configuration files containing API keys. Always remove them before sharing.

Tip: If both environment variables and configuration file entries are present, the configuration file values take priority.

Verifying the Installation

Check if prismAId is correctly installed:

Note: If you encounter issues, refer to the troubleshooting section or seek help from the community through GitHub issues or the Matrix Support Room.

Command: Verifying Installation

```
# For binaries
./prismaid --help

# For Python
python -c "import prismaid; print(prismaid.__version__)"

# For R
R -e "library(prismaid); cat(prismaid_version())"

# For Julia
julia -e "using PrismAId; println(PrismAId.version())"
```

Use in Jupyter Notebooks

When using prismAld (versions \leq 0.6.6) in Jupyter notebooks with Python, special handling may be required for interactive prompts:

Code: Using prismAld v < 0.6.6 in Jupyter Notebooks import pty import os import time import select def run_review_with_auto_input(input_str): master, slave = pty.openpty() # Create a pseudo-terminal pid = os.fork() if pid == 0: # Child process os.dup2(slave, 0) # Redirect stdin os.dup2(slave, 1) # Redirect stdout os.dup2(slave, 2) # Redirect stderr os.close(master) import prismaid prismaid.RunReviewPython(input_str.encode("utf-8")) os._exit(0) else: # Parent process os.close(slave) try: while True: rlist, _, _ = select.select([master], [], [], 5) if master in rlist: output = os.read(master, 1024).decode(" utf-8", errors="ignore") if not output: break # Process finished print(output, end="") if "Do you want to continue?" in output: print("\n[SENDING INPUT: y]") os.write(master, $b"y\n"$) time.sleep(1) finally: os.close(master) os.waitpid(pid, 0) # Ensure the child process is cleaned up # Load your review (TOML) configuration with open("config.toml", "r") as file: input_str = file.read() # Run the review function run_review_with_auto_input(input_str)

Conclusion

You have now installed prismAId. The toolkit offers five different installation methods, making it accessible across various platforms and programming environments. Each component (Download, Convert, and Review) can be used independently or as part of an

integrated workflow.

In the next chapter, we'll explore how to configure your project in detail to leverage all of prismAId's capabilities.

Part III

Conducting a Systematic Review

Understanding Systematic Reviews

This chapter provides a foundational understanding of systematic reviews, their methodological framework, and best practices that prismAId is designed to support.

What is a Systematic Review?

A systematic review is a rigorous, transparent approach to synthesizing existing research literature on a specific research question. Unlike narrative reviews, which offer subjective summaries of selected studies, systematic reviews aim to identify, evaluate, and integrate findings from all relevant studies using predefined protocols.

Systematic reviews serve several critical purposes in scientific research:

- Consolidating Knowledge: They integrate findings across multiple studies, providing a comprehensive understanding of available evidence.
- **Identifying Gaps**: By mapping existing literature, they reveal knowledge gaps and research opportunities.
- **Minimizing Bias**: Their structured approach reduces subjective biases in literature selection and interpretation.
- **Supporting Evidence-Based Decisions**: They provide synthesized evidence to inform policy, practice, and further research.

Systematic reviews can also include meta-analyses, which statistically combine results from multiple studies to estimate overall effects.

Tip: The term "systematic" emphasizes that these reviews follow an explicit, reproducible methodology.

Note: Systematic reviews differ from other literature reviews in their methodological rigor, comprehensive search strategies, explicit inclusion criteria, and transparent reporting of methods.

Warning: Meta-analyses require statistical expertise and should only be conducted when studies are sufficiently similar in design and outcome measures.

Key Concepts & Methodology

The systematic review process follows a well-established methodology that ensures transparency and reproducibility. The widely adopted PRISMA framework (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) outlines the following essential steps:

PRISMA 2020 Framework Overview PRISMA 2020 Key Components: 1. Title & Abstract 2. Introduction (Rationale, Objectives) 3. Methods (Eligibility criteria, Information sources, Search Selection process, Data extraction, Study quality assessment) 4. Results (Study selection, Study characteristics, Risk of bias, Results of syntheses, Reporting biases) 5. Discussion (Summary, Limitations, Conclusions) 6. Other information (Registration, Protocol, Support) Full checklist available at: prisma-statement.org

Pre-Review Planning

PICO Framework Example

Before beginning the review, researchers must:

- Formulate Research Questions: Define specific, answerable questions using frameworks like PICO (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome) or PEO (Population, Exposure, Outcome).
- Develop Review Protocol: Create a detailed plan specifying search strategies, inclusion/exclusion criteria, and analysis methods.
- Register Protocol: Pre-register the protocol in repositories like PROSPERO to enhance transparency and prevent duplication.

```
Research Question: "What is the effect of cognitive
    behavioral therapy compared to medication on depression
    symptoms in adults?"
P (Population): Adults with depression
I (Intervention): Cognitive behavioral therapy
C (Comparison): Medication treatment
O (Outcome): Depression symptom reduction
```

Tip: Well-formulated research guestions are specific, clear, and focused. Avoid questions that are too broad ("What is known about climate change?") or too narrow ("What is the effect of a 1.5°C temperature increase on the reproduction of a specific butterfly species in northern Sweden?").

Reminder: Always register your systematic review protocol before beginning the review process. This prevents bias and demonstrates methodological transparency.

Literature Search & Selection

The search and selection phase involves:

- Comprehensive Search: Implement systematic search strategies across multiple databases using carefully constructed search terms.
- Screening: Apply inclusion and exclusion criteria in a two-stage process: title/abstract screening followed by full-text assessment.
- PRISMA Flow Diagram: Document the selection process, including numbers of studies identified, screened, assessed for eligibility, and included.

Warning: Relying on a single database significantly increases the risk of missing relevant studies. Research shows that even comprehensive databases like PubMed or Web of Science individually capture only 50-75% of eligible studies in many fields.

```
PubMed Search Strategy Example
```

Data Extraction & Quality Assessment

Once relevant studies are identified, researchers:

- **Extract Data**: Systematically collect relevant information from each study using standardized forms.
- Assess Quality: If a manual review, evaluate methodological rigor using tools like the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool or GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation). If Al-assisted, follow approaches described in this manual.
- **Document Uncertainties**: Record ambiguities or missing information, often contacting original authors for clarification.

Data Extraction Template Example

```
Study ID: [Reference ID]
Citation: [Full citation in standard format]
Study Design: [RCT, cohort, case-control, etc.]
```

Tip: Create your data extraction form in digital format (e.g., spreadsheet) rather than paper. This facilitates easier data manipulation, sharing among team members, and integration with analysis software.

```
Population Characteristics:
  - Sample size: [n=]
 - Demographics: [age, gender, location, etc.]
  - Inclusion criteria: [as reported]
Intervention/Exposure:
 - Type: [specific details]
 - Duration: [time period]
  - Frequency: [how often applied]
Comparison/Control: [details of control group]
Outcomes:
  - Primary: [specific measure, unit]
  - Secondary: [additional measures]
Results:
 - Main findings: [effect sizes, p-values]
 - Subgroup analyses: [if applicable]
Study Quality Assessment:
 - Tool used: [e.g., Cochrane RoB, GRADE]
 - Overall rating: [Low/Moderate/High risk of bias]
 - Key limitations: [specific methodological concerns]
Notes: [Additional relevant information]
```

Synthesis & Analysis

The final analytical phase includes:

- **Narrative Synthesis**: Organize and summarize findings qualitatively, identifying patterns and relationships.
- **Quantitative Synthesis**: When appropriate, conduct metaanalysis to statistically combine results.
- Heterogeneity Assessment: Evaluate variations in study designs, populations, and outcomes.
- **Subgroup Analysis**: Explore how findings vary across different study characteristics or populations.

Note: Not all systematic reviews are suitable for meta-analysis. When studies use different outcome measures or have high methodological heterogeneity, narrative synthesis may be more appropriate.

Warning: Systematic reviews require significant time and resources. A comprehensive review typically takes 6-18 months to complete when conducted manually. Plan your timeline accordingly and consider the efficiency benefits tools like prismAId can provide.

R Code Example for Forest Plot Creation

Best Practices

Conducting high-quality systematic reviews involves adhering to several best practices:

Transparency & Reproducibility

- **Detailed Documentation**: Record all decisions, search strategies, and methods.
- PRISMA Compliance: Follow PRISMA guidelines for reporting.
- **Open Data**: When possible, share data extraction forms and analysis files.

Methodological Rigor

- **Comprehensive Searching**: Use multiple databases and supplementary methods like citation tracking.
- Dual Screening: If a manual review, have at least two independent reviewers screen studies, with a third resolving disagreements.
- **Pilot Testing**: Test screening and data extraction procedures on a subset of studies.

Reminder: Document all exclusion decisions during screening. For transparency, maintain a list of studies excluded at the full-text review stage with specific reasons for exclusion.

Tip: Again if you do not want to take advantage of prismAld and you are proceeding with a manual review, before full screening, conduct a calibration exercise where all reviewers independently screen the same 5-10 studies, then compare results to ensure consistent application of criteria. This identifies misunderstandings early and improves inter-rater reliability.

Screening Criteria Documentation

```
Inclusion Criteria:
- Population: Adults (18+) with Type 2 Diabetes
- Intervention: Digital health interventions
- Comparison: Standard care or other interventions
- Outcomes: HbA1c levels, quality of life measures
- Study Design: Randomized controlled trials
- Publication: Peer-reviewed, English language, 2010-2023

Exclusion Criteria:
- Studies focusing exclusively on Type 1 Diabetes
- Non-digital interventions
- Conference abstracts or proceedings
- Studies without control groups
```

Managing Bias

library(meta)

- **Publication Bias**: Search for unpublished studies and conduct funnel plot analysis when applicable.
- **Selection Bias**: Use predefined, objective inclusion criteria.
- **Confirmation Bias**: If a manual review, have team members with diverse perspectives review the evidence.

Code for Publication Bias Assessment

Funnel plot and Egger's test in R

Team Collaboration

- Multidisciplinary Teams: Ideally, include subject matter experts, methodologists, and librarians.
- **Regular Calibration**: Conduct ongoing discussions to ensure consistent application of criteria.
- Clear Roles: If there is a team, define responsibilities for each team member.

Warning: Be cautious of language bias. Limiting searches to Englishlanguage publications can miss important evidence, particularly in fields with significant international research or regional focus.

Note: Consulting with a research librarian can significantly improve search strategy quality. Their expertise in database syntax and controlled vocabulary (e.g., MeSH terms) helps ensure comprehensive literature identification.

Reminder: The most robust manual systematic reviews often involve teams rather than individual researchers. If working alone, consider consulting with colleagues at critical decision points to reduce subjectivity and to take advantage of prismAld.

The Role of Technology in Systematic Reviews

Traditional systematic review methods are resource-intensive and time-consuming. Recent technological advances have created opportunities to streamline the process while maintaining methodological rigor:

- **Literature Screening**: Machine learning algorithms can help prioritize relevant studies.
- Data Extraction: Natural language processing can identify key information from texts. This is what the prismAld Review function does.
- **Evidence Synthesis**: Automated tools can assist in organizing and analyzing extracted data.
- Protocol Management: Specialized software can guide teams through the systematic review workflow. Other prismAld functions like Download and Convert support specific steps of the workflow.

prismAId contributes to the evolution of this technological landscape, leveraging advanced large language models to assist with data extraction in the systematic review process.

Benefits of Technology-Assisted Reviews

Technology-assisted systematic reviews offer several advantages:

- **Increased Efficiency**: Reducing time required for screening and data extraction.
- **Enhanced Consistency**: Applying criteria uniformly across all studies.
- Improved Scalability: Managing larger volumes of literature.
- Better Reproducibility: Creating structured, traceable processes.

Warning: While AI tools like prismAId significantly enhance efficiency, human oversight remains essential. AI systems may miss nuanced information or misinterpret specialized terminology. Always validate AI-extracted data against source documents.

Conclusion

Systematic reviews are cornerstone methods for evidence synthesis across scientific disciplines. By following rigorous methodologies and best practices, researchers can produce high-quality reviews that reliably inform decision-making and future research directions.

Tip: Start small and scale up. If you're new to systematic reviews, consider conducting a scoping review or rapid review on a narrow topic before undertaking a comprehensive systematic review.

Reminder: Even the most sophisticated tools can't replace critical thinking. Use prismAId to handle repetitive tasks, but apply your subject expertise to interpret findings in context and derive meaningful conclusions.

Tip: Even with technological assistance, allocate time for pilot testing and validation. Test prismAId with a small subset of your literature before processing your entire dataset.

prismAId is designed to support this methodology by automating labor-intensive, error-prone, and intrinsically subjective aspects of the review process while maintaining and enhancing the methodological rigor that makes systematic reviews valuable. In the following chapters, we'll explore how to harness prismAId's capabilities to conduct efficient, protocol-driven systematic reviews.

Step-by-Step Guide to Conducting a Systematic Review

This chapter provides a comprehensive, practical walkthrough of conducting a systematic review using prismAId, from initial setup to final export of findings. Follow these steps sequentially to complete your review efficiently.

Setting Up a Project

Before diving into the technical aspects, proper project planning is essential for a successful systematic review.

Sketch Your Research Question

- 1. Formulate a clear, focused research question using a framework like PICO.
- 2. Outline the scope of your review (time period, study types, etc.).
- 3. Determine what specific information you need to extract from each paper.

To support better scoping of your future work, it is helpful to fill in and revise a review registration. Beyond being an important step in the review process, using a registration template helps focus on the right questions and identify issues that need clarification.

Tip: Well-defined research questions lead to more precise information extraction. Be specific about what you want to learn from the literature.

Note: Iterative piloting, learning, narrowing the focus, and simplifying research questions, search queries, and methodological design are crucial for achieving higher quality and replicability standards.

Create a Project Directory Structure

Command: Creating a Project Directory Structure

```
# Create main project directory
mkdir my_systematic_review

# Create subdirectories for different stages
mkdir my_systematic_review/papers_pdf
mkdir my_systematic_review/papers_txt
mkdir my_systematic_review/config
mkdir my_systematic_review/results
```

Reminder: A well-organized directory structure makes it easier to track your workflow and prevents confusion between original documents and processed files.

Importing and Managing Manuscripts

Once your project and search are set up, you'll need to gather and prepare your literature for analysis.

Literature Acquisition using the Download Tool

Option 1: Downloading from Zotero

- 1. Prepare your Zotero collection with all papers for your systematic review.
- 2. Obtain your Zotero user ID and API key.
- 3. Create a Zotero configuration file or use command line options.

```
# zotero_config.toml
user = "123456789" # Your Zotero user ID
api_key = "AbCdEfGhIjKlMnOpQrStUv" # Your Zotero API key
group = "Climate Change Research/Agriculture Papers" # Your
collection path
```

```
Command: Downloading Papers from Zotero
```

Warning: Manuscripts are often protected by copyright. Make sure to respect all applicable rights and use them only within permitted conditions.

Note: The collection path in Zotero follows a filesystem-like format. For example, "Parent Collection/Sub Collection" or "Group Name/Collection Name" for group libraries.

Option 2: Downloading from URL Lists

- 1. Create a text file with one URL per line for papers you want to download.
- 2. Run the URL download command to fetch all papers.

https://arxiv.org/pdf/2303.08774.pdf https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.1236498 https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-021-03819-2.pdf

Command: Downloading Papers from URL List

```
# Navigate to your project directory
cd my_systematic_review

# Download papers to the papers_pdf directory
./prismaid -download-URL config/paper_urls.txt -o papers_pdf
```

Document Conversion using the Convert Tool

After downloading your papers, you need to convert them to plain text format for analysis.

```
Command: Converting PDF Files to Text
```

```
# Navigate to your project directory
cd my_systematic_review
# Convert all PDFs in papers_pdf directory to text files in
    papers_txt
./prismaid -convert-pdf papers_pdf -o papers_txt
```

Command: Converting Multiple File Types

```
# For DOCX files
./prismaid -convert-docx papers_docx -o papers_txt

# For HTML files
./prismaid -convert-html papers_html -o papers_txt
```

Warning: Some publishers may restrict automatic downloads. Ensure you have appropriate access rights to all papers before attempting to download them.

Reminder: Always manually check a sample of converted files to ensure the conversion quality is acceptable. PDFs with complex layouts or scanned images may not convert perfectly.

Organizing Your Literature Collection

1. Review the converted text files for quality and completeness.

Tip: Consider removing unnecessary sections from converted texts, such as references or acknowledgments, to reduce token usage and improve extraction accuracy.

- 2. Remove or fix any problematic conversions.
- 3. Optionally, rename files for better organization and tracking.

Configure Your Project

- 1. Generate a basic configuration file using the prismAId initializer.
- 2. Customize the configuration file to match your research questions.

You can follow templates and example or create a new project configuration interactively or by using the web-based configurator.

Interactive Terminal Setup

```
Command: Creating a New Project

Run the following command:

./prismaid -init
```

This prompts you with multiple questions and generates a TOML configuration file.

Web-Based Setup

Alternatively, use the web-based configurator available at openand-sustainable.github.io/prismaid/review-configurator.html to create your configuration file interactively in a browser.

```
Configuration: Example of Initial Sections of a Configura-
tion
To configure prismAId, edit the generated your_project.toml
file:
[project]
name = "Use of LLM for systematic review"
author = "John Doe"
version = "1.0"
[project.configuration]
input_directory = "/path/to/txt/files"
results_file_name = "/path/to/save/results"
output_format = "json"
log_level = "low"
duplication = "no"
cot_justification = "no"
summary = "no"
```

Reminder: Always keep a backup of your configuration file before making major modifications.

Command: Initializing a Project Configuration

```
# Navigate to your project directory
cd my_systematic_review
# Initialize a new configuration file
./prismaid -init
```

Configuration: Essential Project Settings

Note: Alternatively, you can use the web-based configurator at open-and-sustainable.github.io/prismaid/review-configurator.html to create your configuration file with a user-friendly interface.

Configure LLM Settings

- 1. Obtain API key(s) from your preferred LLM provider(s).
- 2. Set up environment variables or add keys to your configuration file.
- 3. Define model settings based on your needs and budget.

```
Configuration: LLM Provider Setup

[project.llm.1]
provider = "OpenAI"
api_key = "" # Leave empty to use environment variable
model = "gpt-4o-mini"
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0
```

Warning: Always use absolute paths in your configuration file to prevent path-related errors. Relative paths can cause issues when running prismAId from different directories.

Tip: Using a lower temperature setting (0.01-0.1) produces more consistent results, which is generally preferable for systematic reviews where reproducibility is important.

Running Analyses

With your literature prepared, you're ready to configure and execute the systematic review.

Finalizing Review Configuration

- 1. Define your prompt structure to instruct the LLM.
- 2. Specify the review items (information to extract) in your configuration.

Configuration: Prompt Structure

Configuration: Review Items Structure

```
[review.1]
key = "crop_type"
values = ["wheat", "rice", "maize", "soybean", "barley", "
   other", "multiple", ""]
[review.2]
key = "climate_factor"
values = ["temperature increase", "precipitation change", "
    extreme weather", "CO2 levels", "multiple factors",
    other", ""]
[review.3]
key = "yield_impact"
values = [""]
[review.4]
key = "adaptation_strategies_discussed"
values = ["yes", "no"]
[review.5]
key = "study_timeframe"
values = ["historical", "current", "future projection", "
   mixed", ""]
```

Executing the Review

Command: Running the Systematic Review

```
# Navigate to your project directory
cd my_systematic_review
# Run the review with your configuration file
./prismaid -project config/climate_yield_review.toml
```

Monitoring Progress

- 1. Monitor the console output for progress updates.
- 2. Check log files if you've enabled detailed logging.
- 3. Address any errors or warnings that appear during processing.

Command: Running with Detailed Logging

```
# First modify your config file to set log_level to "high"
# Then run the review
./prismaid -project config/climate_yield_review.toml
```

Tip: When extracting numerical data (like percentages or measurements), use empty value arrays '['"']' to allow the model to extract the exact values rather than categorizing them.

Note: For large reviews, consider running a test on a small subset of papers first to validate your configuration before processing all documents.

Warning: Long-running reviews may encounter API rate limits. Use the 'tpm_limit' and 'rpm_limit' settings in your configuration to manage API usage and avoid interruptions.

Interpreting Results

Once your review is complete, you'll need to analyze and understand the extracted information.

Understanding Output Files

- 1. Locate your results file (CSV or JSON format).
- 2. Open supplementary files like justifications or summaries if enabled.
- 3. Understand the structure of the output data.

Example CSV Result Structure

```
filename,crop_type,climate_factor,yield_impact,
    adaptation_strategies_discussed,study_timeframe
paper1.txt,wheat,temperature increase,-6.4% per °C,yes,future
    projection
paper2.txt,rice,precipitation change,-3.2% per 10% rainfall
    decrease,yes,historical
paper3.txt,multiple,multiple factors,varied by region and
    crop,yes,mixed
```

Validating Extraction Quality

- 1. Randomly select a subset of papers for manual validation.
- 2. Compare the extracted information with the original papers.
- 3. Note any discrepancies or patterns in extraction errors.

Analyzing Patterns and Trends

- 1. Import your results into analysis software (R, Python, Excel, etc.).
- 2. Perform descriptive statistics on your extracted data.
- 3. Identify patterns, relationships, and outliers.

Reminder: If you enabled 'cot_justification', each paper will have a corresponding justification file that explains the reasoning behind the extracted information. These can be invaluable for validation and deeper understanding.

Tip: Aim to manually validate at least 10-20% of your papers to ensure extraction quality. Focus particularly on papers with unusual or unexpected results.

Note: Extracting numerical data from free-text fields like 'yield_impact' may require additional processing before quantitative analysis.

Example R Code for Basic Analysis

```
# Load libraries
library(tidyverse)
# Read results
results <- read_csv("results/findings.csv")</pre>
# Basic summary
summary(results)
# Count papers by crop type
results %>%
  count(crop_type) %>%
  arrange(desc(n))
# Analyze yield impacts by climate factor
results %>%
 filter(climate_factor %in% c("temperature increase", "
    precipitation change")) %>%
 group_by(climate_factor, crop_type) %>%
  summarize(n_studies = n()) %>%
 arrange(desc(n_studies))
```

Exporting Findings

The final step is to prepare your findings for presentation, publication, or further analysis.

Generating Summary Tables

- 1. Create summary tables of key findings.
- 2. Format the data appropriately for your target audience.

Tip: Include both raw data and processed summary tables in your publications to enhance transparency and reproducibility.

3. Include relevant metadata about your review process.

Example Python Code for Table Generation

```
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
# Load results
results = pd.read_csv("results/findings.csv")
# Create pivot table
pivot = pd.pivot_table(
   results,
   index="crop_type",
    columns="climate_factor",
    values="filename",
    aggfunc="count",
    fill_value=0
# Save summary table
pivot.to_csv("results/summary_table.csv")
pivot.to_excel("results/summary_table.xlsx")
# Create visualization
pivot.plot(kind="bar", figsize=(12, 8))
plt.title("Number of Studies by Crop Type and Climate Factor"
plt.tight_layout()
plt.savefig("results/crop_climate_summary.png", dpi=300)
```

Documenting Your Methodology

- 1. Document your complete review methodology.
- 2. Include details about:
 - Search strategy and sources
 - Inclusion/exclusion criteria
 - prismAId configuration and settings
 - Validation procedures
 - · Analysis methods
- 3. Save your configuration files alongside your results.

Visualizing Results

- 1. Create appropriate visualizations based on your data type.
- 2. Consider common visualization types:
 - Bar charts for categorical comparisons
 - · Forest plots for effect sizes

Reminder: For publication, include your full prismAId configuration file (with API keys removed) in supplementary materials to enhance reproducibility.

Warning: Be cautious about drawing causal conclusions from your systematic review findings, especially when Al-assisted tools are used for extraction. Clearly acknowledge limitations in your reporting.

- Heat maps for multidimensional relationships
- · Network diagrams for concept relationships
- 3. Ensure visualizations accurately represent your findings.

Preparing for Publication

- 1. Format your findings according to journal or conference requirements.
- 2. Follow PRISMA or other appropriate reporting guidelines.
- 3. Create a PRISMA flow diagram documenting the review process.
- 4. Properly cite prismAId in your methodology section.

```
For the systematic information extraction, we used prismAId (
Boero, 2024),
an open-source AI-assisted systematic review toolkit. The
review was
conducted using [model name] with a temperature setting of [
value] and
validation was performed on [percentage]% of the included
studies.

Reference:
Boero, R. (2024). prismAId - Open Science AI Tools for
Systematic,
Protocol-Based Literature Reviews. Zenodo.
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11210796
```

Note: Some journals may have specific requirements or guidelines for reporting Al-assisted analyses. Check with your target journal for any specific policies.

Conclusion

You have now completed a systematic review using prismAld. By following this step-by-step process, you've:

- Set up a structured, reproducible review project
- Gathered and prepared literature systematically
- Configured and executed an Al-assisted information extraction
- Analyzed and validated the extracted information
- Prepared your findings for dissemination

The combination of human expertise and AI assistance enables more comprehensive and efficient reviews while maintaining methodological rigor. The next chapter will explore advanced features of prismAId that can further enhance your systematic review capabilities.

Reminder: Systematic reviews are iterative processes. Based on your findings, you may decide to refine your research question, adjust your extraction parameters, or expand your literature search. prismAId makes these iterations more efficient than traditional methods.

Part IV

Advanced Features

Advanced Features: Ensemble Reviews

This chapter explores ensemble reviews, one of the most powerful advanced features of prismAId, enabling users to leverage multiple AI models simultaneously for enhanced reliability and insight.

Understanding Ensemble Reviews

Ensemble reviews represent a sophisticated approach to systematic literature analysis where multiple large language models (LLMs) are used to extract information from the same document set. This methodology parallels the traditional practice of having multiple human reviewers assess the same literature.

Concept and Benefits

- **Definition**: An ensemble review utilizes two or more Al models, either from the same provider or across different providers, to independently extract information from each document.
- Theoretical Foundation: The approach is grounded in ensemble learning theory, where multiple algorithms collectively produce more accurate and robust results than individual algorithms.

Tip: Ensemble reviews are particularly valuable when conducting high-stakes systematic reviews where accuracy and reliability are critical, such as in clinical guideline development or policy formation.

Configuration: Simple Ensemble with Two Models

```
[project.llm.1]
provider = "OpenAI"
api_key = ""
model = "gpt-4o-mini"
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0

[project.llm.2]
provider = "OpenAI"
api_key = ""
model = "gpt-4o"
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0
```

Key Advantages

Ensemble reviews offer several significant benefits:

- Uncertainty Quantification: Variations in model outputs highlight where information may be ambiguous or open to interpretation.
- **Increased Confidence**: Strong agreement across models suggests higher reliability of the extracted information.
- Bias Reduction: Different models may have different biases; using multiple models helps identify and mitigate these biases.
- Robustness to Model Limitations: Different models excel at different tasks; using multiple models compensates for individual weaknesses.

Configuring Multi-Model Ensembles

prismAId offers exceptional flexibility in configuring ensemble reviews, allowing you to combine models from the same provider, different providers, or a mix of both.

Using Multiple Models from a Single Provider

This approach allows you to compare different models with varying capabilities from the same provider:

Note: Research in Al-assisted systematic reviews suggests that ensemble approaches can reduce error rates by 20-30% compared to single-model extractions, particularly for complex or ambiguous information.

Configuration: Ensemble with Multiple OpenAI Models

```
[project.llm.1]
provider = "OpenAI"
api_key = ""
model = "gpt-3.5-turbo"
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0
[project.llm.2]
provider = "OpenAI"
api_key = ""
model = "gpt-4o-mini"
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0
[project.llm.3]
provider = "OpenAI"
api_key = ""
model = "gpt-4o"
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0
```

Tip: When using multiple models from the same provider, consider including a range of model sizes to balance cost, speed, and accuracy. For example, include both gpt-3.5-turbo and gpt-4o to compare a faster, more economical model with a more sophisticated one.

Cross-Provider Ensemble Configuration

Using models from different providers can be particularly valuable, as these models may have been trained on different datasets and using different architectures:

Configuration: Cross-Provider Ensemble Example

```
[project.llm.1]
provider = "OpenAI"
api_key = ""
model = "gpt-4o-mini"
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0
[project.llm.2]
provider = "GoogleAI"
api_key = ""
model = "gemini-1.5-flash"
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0
[project.llm.3]
provider = "Anthropic"
api_key = ""
model = "claude-3-haiku"
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0
```

Warning: When using models from multiple providers, ensure you have valid API keys for each provider configured either in your TOML file or as environment variables. Missing or invalid keys will cause the review to fail for that provider.

Comprehensive Five-Provider Ensemble

For maximum diversity and robustness, you can configure an ensemble using models from all supported providers:

Configuration: Full Five-Provider Ensemble

```
[project.llm.1]
provider = "OpenAI"
api_key = ""
model = "gpt-4o-mini"
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0
[project.llm.2]
provider = "GoogleAI"
api_key = ""
model = "gemini-1.5-flash"
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0
[project.llm.3]
provider = "Cohere"
api_key = ""
model = "command-r"
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0
[project.llm.4]
provider = "Anthropic"
api_key = ""
model = "claude-3-haiku"
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0
[project.llm.5]
provider = "DeepSeek"
api_key = ""
model = "deepseek-chat"
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0
```

Analyzing Ensemble Results

After running an ensemble review, you'll need specialized approaches to analyze and interpret the results from multiple models.

Output Format and Structure

When running an ensemble review, prismAId creates separate result files for each model used:

Reminder: Pay close attention to the temperature settings in ensemble reviews. Lower temperatures (0.01-0.1) produce more deterministic outputs, making it easier to compare responses across models.

Example Output Files from Ensemble Review

results_OpenAI_gpt-4o-mini.csv results_GoogleAI_gemini-1.5-flash.csv results_Anthropic_claude-3-haiku.csv results_ensemble_summary.csv

The individual model files contain the standard extraction results, while the ensemble summary file contains consensus metrics and comparison data.

Quantifying Model Agreement

To analyze the level of agreement between models:

R Code for Analyzing Model Agreement

```
library(tidyverse)
# Load results from different models
model1 <- read_csv("results_OpenAI_gpt-4o-mini.csv")</pre>
model2 <- read_csv("results_GoogleAI_gemini-1.5-flash.csv")</pre>
model3 <- read_csv("results_Anthropic_claude-3-haiku.csv")</pre>
# Join datasets
comparison <- model1 %>%
  select(filename, crop_type, climate_factor) %>%
  rename(crop_type_model1 = crop_type,
         climate_factor_model1 = climate_factor) %>%
 left_join(
    model2 %>%
      select(filename, crop_type, climate_factor) %>%
     rename(crop_type_model2 = crop_type,
             climate_factor_model2 = climate_factor),
   by = "filename"
  ) %>%
 left_join(
   mode13 %>%
      select(filename, crop_type, climate_factor) %>%
      rename(crop_type_model3 = crop_type,
             climate_factor_model3 = climate_factor),
    by = "filename"
# Calculate agreement for categorical variables
comparison <- comparison %>%
    crop_type_agreement = case_when(
     crop_type_model1 == crop_type_model2 & crop_type_model2
           == crop_type_model3 ~ "full_agreement",
      crop_type_model1 == crop_type_model2 | crop_type_model1
           == crop_type_model3 | crop_type_model2 ==
          crop_type_model3 ~ "partial_agreement",
     TRUE ~ "no_agreement"
    ).
    climate_factor_agreement = case_when(
      climate_factor_model1 == climate_factor_model2 &
          climate_factor_model2 == climate_factor_model3 ~ "
          full agreement".
      climate_factor_model1 == climate_factor_model2 |
          climate_factor_model1 == climate_factor_model3 |
          climate_factor_model2 == climate_factor_model3 ~ "
          partial_agreement",
      TRUE ~ "no_agreement"
   )
# Summarize agreement levels
agreement_summary <- comparison %>%
  summarize(
    crop_type_full_agreement = mean(crop_type_agreement == "
        full_agreement"),
    crop_type_partial_agreement = mean(crop_type_agreement ==
          "partial_agreement"),
    crop_type_no_agreement = mean(crop_type_agreement == "
        no_agreement"),
    climate_factor_full_agreement = mean(
        climate_factor_agreement == "full_agreement"),
    climate_factor_partial_agreement = mean(
        climate_factor_agreement == "partial_agreement"),
    climate_factor_no_agreement = mean(
        climate_factor_agreement == "no_agreement")
 )
print(agreement_summary)
```

Tip: For categorical variables, Cohen's kappa or Fleiss' kappa (for more than two models) can provide a more sophisticated measure of inter-model agreement than simple percentage agreement.

Visualizing Ensemble Results

Visualizations can help identify patterns of agreement and disagreement:

Python Code for Visualizing Ensemble Agreement

```
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
# Load results from different models
model1 = pd.read_csv("results_OpenAI_gpt-4o-mini.csv")
model2 = pd.read_csv("results_GoogleAI_gemini-1.5-flash.csv")
model3 = pd.read_csv("results_Anthropic_claude-3-haiku.csv")
# Create comparison dataframe for a specific variable
comparison = pd.DataFrame({
    'filename': model1['filename'],
    'OpenAI': model1['crop_type'],
    'GoogleAI': model2['crop_type'],
    'Anthropic': model3['crop_type']
})
# Count agreements for each paper
comparison['agreement_count'] = comparison.apply(
   lambda row: len(set([row['OpenAI'], row['GoogleAI'], row[
       'Anthropic']])),
    axis=1
# Create a heatmap of disagreements
# Convert to wide format with models as columns and papers as
    rows
heatmap_data = comparison.set_index('filename')[['OpenAI', '
    GoogleAI', 'Anthropic']]
# Create categorical mapping for visualization
unique_values = sorted(list(set(
   heatmap_data['OpenAI'].tolist() +
   heatmap_data['GoogleAI'].tolist() +
   heatmap_data['Anthropic'].tolist()
value_map = {value: i for i, value in enumerate(unique_values
   ) }
# Apply mapping
for col in heatmap_data.columns:
   heatmap_data[col] = heatmap_data[col].map(value_map)
# Create heatmap
plt.figure(figsize=(12, len(heatmap_data) * 0.3))
sns.heatmap(heatmap_data, cmap='viridis', yticklabels=True)
plt.title('Model Agreement Visualization (Crop Type)')
plt.tight_layout()
plt.savefig('ensemble_agreement_heatmap.png', dpi=300)
# Create agreement distribution chart
agreement_counts = comparison['agreement_count'].value_counts
   ().sort_index()
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
agreement_counts.plot(kind='bar')
plt.title('Distribution of Model Agreement')
plt.xlabel('Number of Unique Answers (1 = Full Agreement)')
plt.ylabel('Number of Papers')
plt.tight_layout()
plt.savefig('agreement_distribution.png', dpi=300)
```

Note: For numerical data extraction (like percentages or measurements), consider using scatterplots to visualize the correlation between values extracted by different models, or boxplots to show the distribution of values across models.

Decision Strategies for Conflicting Results

When models disagree on extracted information, several strategies can be employed:

• **Majority Voting**: Use the value that the majority of models agree on.

• **Weighted Voting**: Assign higher weight to more capable models (e.g., GPT-4 over GPT-3.5).

• **Conservative Approach**: For papers with significant disagreement, flag for manual review.

 Model-Specific Trust: For certain types of information, one model may consistently outperform others.

Python Code for Majority Voting

```
import pandas as pd
from collections import Counter
# Load results from different models
model1 = pd.read_csv("results_OpenAI_gpt-4o-mini.csv")
model2 = pd.read_csv("results_GoogleAI_gemini-1.5-flash.csv")
model3 = pd.read_csv("results_Anthropic_claude-3-haiku.csv")
# Prepare a consolidated results dataframe
consolidated = pd.DataFrame({'filename': model1['filename']})
# Apply majority voting for each extracted field
for field in ['crop_type', 'climate_factor', '
    adaptation_strategies_discussed']:
    consolidated[field] = [
        Counter([m1, m2, m3]).most_common(1)[0][0]
        for m1, m2, m3 in zip(
            model1[field].fillna(''),
            model2[field].fillna(''),
            model3[field].fillna('')
        )
   ]
    # For fields where all models disagree, mark for review
    consolidated[f'{field}_review_needed'] = [
       len(set([m1, m2, m3])) == 3
        for m1, m2, m3 in zip(
            model1[field].fillna(''),
            model2[field].fillna(''),
            model3[field].fillna('')
        )
   ]
# For numerical fields, take the median
for field in ['yield_impact_percentage']:
    try:
        # Convert to numeric, errors='coerce' will convert
            non-numeric to NaN
        vals1 = pd.to_numeric(model1[field], errors='coerce')
        vals2 = pd.to_numeric(model2[field], errors='coerce')
        vals3 = pd.to_numeric(model3[field], errors='coerce')
        # Calculate median
        consolidated[field] = [
            pd.Series([v1, v2, v3]).median()
            for v1, v2, v3 in zip(vals1, vals2, vals3)
        # Calculate standard deviation to identify high
            variance
        consolidated[f'{field}_std'] = [
           pd.Series([v1, v2, v3]).std()
            for v1, v2, v3 in zip(vals1, vals2, vals3)
        # Flag for review if standard deviation is high
        consolidated[f'{field}_review_needed'] = consolidated
            [f'{field}_std'] > 2.0
    except:
        # Handle cases where the field might not exist or can
            't be processed
                                                61
        pass
# Save consolidated results
consolidated.to_csv("results_consolidated.csv", index=False)
```

Case Studies in Ensemble Reviews

To illustrate the practical application of ensemble reviews, let's examine some case scenarios and best practices.

Warning: Be cautious when using majority voting for numerical values, as this may lead to misleading results. For numerical data, consider using the median or mean, and examine the standard deviation to identify high-variance cases.

Case 1: Basic Verification Ensemble

```
Configuration: Verification Ensemble
# A simple two-model ensemble using different providers
# for basic verification of extraction results
[project.llm.1]
provider = "OpenAI"
api_key = ""
model = "gpt-3.5-turbo" # More economical option
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0
[project.llm.2]
provider = "Anthropic"
api_key = ""
model = "claude-3-haiku" # Similar capability level
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0
```

Use Case: This configuration is suitable for routine systematic reviews where you want a basic cross-check between providers without significantly increasing costs. It uses comparably efficient models from different providers.

Expected Outcome: When these models agree, you can have higher confidence in the extraction. When they disagree, you might flag those specific data points for manual review.

Case 2: Tiered Capability Ensemble

Configuration: Tiered Capability Ensemble # A three-model ensemble using progressively more capable models # from the same provider for comparative analysis [project.llm.1] provider = "OpenAI" api_key = "" model = "gpt-3.5-turbo" # Base level temperature = 0.01 tpm_limit = 0 rpm_limit = 0 [project.llm.2] provider = "OpenAI" api_key = "" model = "gpt-4o-mini" # Mid level temperature = 0.01 tpm_limit = 0 $rpm_limit = 0$ [project.llm.3] provider = "OpenAI" api_key = "" model = "gpt-4o" # Top level temperature = 0.01 tpm_limit = 0 rpm_limit = 0

Use Case: This approach is useful for understanding how model capability affects extraction quality. It can help determine if investing in more advanced models provides meaningful improvements for your specific extraction tasks.

Expected Outcome: By comparing results across models of increasing capability, you can determine the minimum model level needed for reliable extraction, potentially optimizing future costs.

Case 3: Comprehensive Cross-Provider Ensemble

Configuration: Comprehensive Cross-Provider Ensemble # A five-provider ensemble using top-tier models from each provider # for maximum reliability in high-stakes reviews [project.llm.1] provider = "OpenAI" api_key = "" model = "gpt-4o" temperature = 0.01tpm_limit = 0 rpm_limit = 0 [project.llm.2] provider = "GoogleAI" api_key = "" model = "gemini-1.5-pro" temperature = 0.01 tpm_limit = 0 rpm_limit = 0 [project.llm.3] provider = "Cohere" api_key = "" model = "command-r-plus" temperature = 0.01 $tpm_limit = 0$ rpm_limit = 0 [project.llm.4] provider = "Anthropic" api_key = "" model = "claude-3-opus" temperature = 0.01 tpm_limit = 0 rpm_limit = 0 [project.llm.5] provider = "DeepSeek" api_key = "" model = "deepseek-chat" temperature = 0.01tpm_limit = 0 rpm_limit = 0

Use Case: This configuration is ideal for high-stakes systematic reviews such as those informing clinical guidelines, policy decisions, or major meta-analyses where maximum reliability is essential.

Expected Outcome: This approach provides the highest confidence in results through diverse model architectures and training data. Points of unanimous agreement across all five providers suggest very high reliability.

Tip: Start with a small test dataset when using comprehensive ensembles like this to estimate costs and review time before committing to your full dataset.

Best Practices for Ensemble Reviews

Based on case studies and practical experience, here are recommended best practices:

- Start Small: Test your ensemble configuration on a small subset (5-10 papers) to identify any issues before running the full review.
- Match Complexity to Need: Use simpler ensembles for routine reviews and more comprehensive ensembles for high-stakes reviews.
- **Control Variables**: Keep temperature settings consistent across models to ensure fair comparisons.
- Budget Appropriately: Ensemble reviews will multiply your API costs by the number of models used; plan accordingly.
- **Document Model Versions**: Note the specific model versions used, as providers regularly update their models.
- Analyze Disagreements: Patterns in model disagreements often reveal ambiguities in your prompt or in the literature itself.

Reminder: When reporting results from ensemble reviews in publications, clearly state which models were used, how disagreements were resolved, and what level of agreement was observed for key findings.

Advanced Features: Debugging, Cost Management & Integration

Building on our exploration of ensemble reviews, this chapter covers additional advanced features of prismAId that can enhance your systematic review process: debugging techniques, cost management strategies, advanced prompt engineering, and workflow integration.

Debugging and Validation Techniques

prismAId offers several advanced debugging features that can help identify and resolve issues in your systematic review process.

Log Levels and Debugging Output

The log_level parameter in your configuration file controls the verbosity of debugging information:

```
Configuration: Log Level Settings

[project.configuration]
log_level = "high" # Options: "low", "medium", "high"
```

Each level provides different information:

- **Low**: Minimal information, only essential status updates
- Medium: Detailed process information printed to the console

 High: Comprehensive logs saved to a file, including all API interactions

First set log_level to "high" in your config file ./prismaid -project your_project.toml # Check the log file created in your project directory cat prismaid_log_YYYY-MM-DD.log

Tip: When troubleshooting issues, start by setting the log level to "medium" to get more detailed console output. If the issue persists, switch to "high" for complete logging to file.

Duplication for Consistency Testing

The duplication feature runs the same review twice on identical inputs, allowing you to assess the consistency of model responses:

```
Configuration: Enabling Duplication

[project.configuration]
duplication = "yes" # Options: "yes", "no"
```

The duplication process: 1. Creates temporary copies of your input files 2. Processes them as a separate batch 3. Compares results for consistency 4. Cleans up temporary files

Warning: Enabling duplication will double your API usage and costs. Use this feature selectively for testing or when consistency validation is critical.

Python Code for Analyzing Duplication Results

```
import pandas as pd
# Load original and duplicate results
original = pd.read_csv("results_original.csv")
duplicate = pd.read_csv("results_duplicate.csv")
# Compare results
comparison = pd.DataFrame({
    'filename': original['filename'],
# For each extracted field, calculate consistency
for field in ['crop_type', 'climate_factor', 'yield_impact']:
    comparison[f'{field}_consistent'] = original[field] ==
        duplicate[field]
# Calculate overall consistency
field_columns = [col for col in comparison.columns if col.
    endswith('_consistent')]
comparison['overall_consistency'] = comparison[field_columns
   ].mean(axis=1)
# Summary statistics
consistency_summary = {
    'overall_consistency': comparison['overall_consistency'].
        mean(),
for field in field_columns:
    consistency_summary[field] = comparison[field].mean()
print("Consistency Summary:")
for key, value in consistency_summary.items():
   print(f"{key}: {value:.2%}")
# Identify inconsistent papers
inconsistent_papers = comparison[comparison['
    overall_consistency'] < 1.0]</pre>
print(f"\nPapers with inconsistencies: {len(
   inconsistent_papers)}")
print(inconsistent_papers[['filename', 'overall_consistency'
```

Chain-of-Thought Justification

The Chain-of-Thought (CoT) justification feature provides visibility into the model's reasoning process:

```
Configuration: Enabling CoT Justification

[project.configuration]
cot_justification = "yes" # Options: "yes", "no"
```

When enabled, this creates a separate .txt file for each processed document containing:

- The model's reasoning for each extracted data point
- Key passages from the document that influenced the extraction
- Any uncertainty or alternative interpretations considered

Example CoT Justification Output Paper: climate_impact_2022.txt Extraction Justification: - crop_type: "wheat" - The paper specifically focuses on wheat production. This is stated in the abstract: "This study examines the impact of temperature rises on wheat yields across 17 major producing regions" and is the primary crop discussed throughout. - climate_factor: "temperature increase" - The primary climate factor analyzed is rising temperatures. The methodology section "We analyzed the response of wheat yields to temperature increases ranging from +1°C to +4°C." While precipitation is mentioned, it's not the main focus of analysis. - yield_impact: "-5.7% per °C" - This value appears in the results section: "Our models predict an average yield reduction of 5.7% for each degree Celsius increase in global mean temperature This appears to be the central finding of the paper. - adaptation_strategies_discussed: "yes" - The paper includes full section on adaptation (Section 4.2), discussing including "changing planting dates, introducing heatresistant cultivars, and implementing irrigation strategies." - study_timeframe: "future projection" - The paper uses historical data to calibrate models but primarily presents projections 2030-2050 as stated: "We project impacts for mid-century (2030-2050) under the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios."

Tip: CoT justifications are invaluable for validating extraction quality, identifying potential misinterpretations, and understanding why models might be struggling with particular papers or information types.

Cost and Rate Management

prismAId provides several features to help manage costs and API rate limits.

Token and Request Rate Limiting

```
Configuration: Rate Limiting Settings

[project.llm.1]
provider = "OpenAI"
api_key = ""
model = "gpt-4o-mini"
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 100000 # Tokens per minute limit
rpm_limit = 60 # Requests per minute limit
```

These settings help you:

- Avoid Provider Rate Limiting: Stay below provider-enforced limits
- Control Costs: Spread API usage over time to manage budget
- Balance Resource Usage: Prevent spikes in API consumption

Provider	Model	Default TPM	Default RPM
	-	-	
OpenAI	gpt-3.5-turbo	60,000	3,500
OpenAI	gpt-4o	10,000	500
Anthropic	claude-3-haiku	N/A	5,000
GoogleAI	gemini-1.5-flash	4,000,000	N/A
Cohere	command-r	100,000	1,000

Note: Each provider has different rate limit structures. OpenAl limits by TPM (tokens per minute), Anthropic by RPM (requests per minute), and others may have tiered systems. Check the provider's documentation for current limits.

Warning: These limits can change as providers update their services. Always check the most recent documentation from each provider for current rate limits.

Automatic Cost Minimization

prismAId can automatically select the most cost-effective model by leaving the model field empty:

Configuration: Cost Minimization [project.llm.1] provider = "OpenAI" api_key = "" model = "" # Empty value enables automatic model selection temperature = 0.01 tpm_limit = 0 rpm_limit = 0

How automatic model selection works: 1. prismAId calculates the token count for each document 2. It determines which provider models can handle the document within token limits 3. Among eligible models, it selects the most cost-efficient option 4. Different documents may be processed by different models based on size

Estimating and Controlling Costs

To estimate the costs of your systematic review:

```
# Set log_level to "medium" or "high" in your config
# Run the review with a small sample of papers (3-5)
./prismaid -project your_project.toml

# Review the console output for token usage and cost
estimates
# Extrapolate to your full dataset
```

```
Processing completed.

COST SUMMARY

Provider: OpenAI

Model: gpt-4o-mini
Files processed: 5
Total input tokens: 23,456
Total output tokens: 2,189
Estimated cost: $0.17

Extrapolated cost for 100 files: ~$3.40
```

Tip: Automatic model selection is particularly useful for heterogeneous document collections where some papers may be too large for smaller models.

Reminder: API pricing may change over time. Always check current pricing from your providers before estimating costs for large projects.

Advanced Prompt Engineering

The quality of your systematic review results largely depends on how effectively you structure your prompts. Here are advanced strategies for optimizing extraction through prompt engineering.

Optimizing Prompt Components

Each component of the prompt structure serves a specific purpose and can be optimized:

Configuration: Advanced Prompt Components [prompt] persona = "You are an expert environmental scientist specializing in climate change impacts on agriculture, with experience conducting systematic reviews according to PRISMA guidelines." task = "Analyze the scientific paper provided and extract specific information about climate change impacts on crop yields, methodology used, and adaptation strategies discussed." expected_result = "You should output a JSON object containing values for each key specified in the review structure. Values must adhere exactly to the prescribed formats and vocabularv.' definitions = "'Crop yield' refers to harvestable production per unit of land area. 'Statistical significance' means p < 0.05 unless otherwise specified in the paper. Adaptation strategies' include any interventions meant to reduce negative climate impacts on agriculture." example = "For instance, if the paper states 'wheat yields decreased by 5.2% (p < 0.01) per degree Celsius warming, with irrigation mitigating 40% of losses', you would extract: {\"crop_type\": \"wheat\", \"climate_factor\": \"temperature increase\", \"yield_impact\": \"-5.2% per °C\", \"statistical_significance\": \"yes\", \" adaptation_strategies_discussed\": \"yes\", \" adaptation_effectiveness\": \"40% loss reduction\"}" failsafe = "If specific information is not clearly stated in the document, do not speculate or infer beyond reasonable scientific interpretation. Respond with an empty string for missing data. If the paper doesn't address the topic at all, use 'not addressed' for categorical fields.'

Key strategies for each component:

- **Persona**: Include relevant expertise and methodological background to establish appropriate context.
- Task: Be specific about analytical depth and the nature of extraction required.

- **Expected Result**: Define the exact output format and emphasize adherence to specified value constraints.
- **Definitions**: Provide domain-specific terminology explanations, especially for potentially ambiguous concepts.
- **Example**: Show realistic examples that cover different data patterns and edge cases.
- **Failsafe**: Include clear guidelines for handling uncertainty, ambiguity, and missing information.

Advanced Review Structure Patterns

Beyond basic information extraction, you can implement more sophisticated patterns in your review structure:

Configuration: Advanced Review Structure Patterns

```
# Hierarchical extraction
[review.1]
key = "methodology_type"
values = ["experimental", "observational", "modeling", "
   review", "mixed", "other", ""]
[review.2]
key = "experimental_design"
values = ["randomized controlled trial", "non-randomized
   controlled trial", "before-after", "other", "not
   applicable", ""]
# Conditional extraction
[review.3]
key = "sample_size_reported"
values = ["yes", "no"]
[review.4]
key = "sample_size_value"
values = [""]
# Relational extraction
[review.5]
key = "crop_types_studied"
values = [""]
[review.6]
key = "primary_crop"
values = [""]
# Confidence assessment
[review.7]
key = "statistical_methods_quality"
values = ["high", "medium", "low", "not applicable", "cannot
   determine", ""]
```

Note: Research suggests that more detailed and domain-specific prompts generally produce more accurate extractions, particularly for specialized scientific concepts.

Advanced patterns include:

- **Hierarchical Extraction**: Extract general categories first, then specific details based on those categories.
- Conditional Extraction: Use yes/no fields to establish presence, then extract specific values only if present.
- **Relational Extraction**: Capture relationships between different entities (e.g., primary crop among multiple crops studied).
- Confidence Assessment: Include quality assessments of methodological elements.

Iterative Prompt Refinement

The most effective prompts are developed through an iterative process:

Iterative Prompt Development Process

- 1. Start with a basic prompt and review structure
- 2. Test on 3-5 representative papers
- ${\tt 3.}$ Analyze results for:
 - Incorrect extractions
 - Missing informationAmbiguous responses
 - Inconsistent formatting
- 4. Identify patterns in errors or weaknesses
- 5. Refine prompt components and review structure
- 6. Test again on the same papers plus 2-3 new ones
- 7. Repeat until results match expectations
- 8. Document all prompt versions and their performance

Workflow Integration and Automation

prismAId can be integrated into broader research workflows and automated pipelines.

Programmatic Integration

Each programming language interface allows for integration with existing research workflows:

Tip: When using advanced patterns, ensure your prompt includes clear instructions on how these patterns relate to each other, particularly for conditional extractions.

Warning: Changing prompts midreview can introduce inconsistency. Once you begin your full review, avoid modifying the prompt unless absolutely necessary. If changes are required, consider re-processing previously analyzed papers.

Python Integration Example

```
import prismaid
import os
import glob
import pandas as pd
from datetime import datetime
def run_full_workflow(source_dir, output_dir, config_template
    , api_key):
    """Run a complete prismAId workflow from download to
        analysis"""
    # Create timestamped run directory
    \label{timestamp} \mbox{timestamp = datetime.now().strftime("%Y%m%d_%H%M%S")} \\
    run_dir = os.path.join(output_dir, f"review_run_{
       timestamp}")
    os.makedirs(run_dir, exist_ok=True)
    # Set up subdirectories
    pdf_dir = os.path.join(run_dir, "pdfs")
    txt_dir = os.path.join(run_dir, "texts")
    results_dir = os.path.join(run_dir, "results")
    os.makedirs(pdf_dir, exist_ok=True)
    os.makedirs(txt_dir, exist_ok=True)
    os.makedirs(results_dir, exist_ok=True)
    # 1. Download papers from URL list if available
    if os.path.exists(os.path.join(source_dir, "papers_urls.
        txt")):
        print("Downloading papers from URL list...")
        prismaid.download_url_list(
            os.path.join(source_dir, "papers_urls.txt"),
            output_dir=pdf_dir
        )
    # 2. Convert PDFs to text
    print("Converting PDFs to text...")
    prismaid.convert(pdf_dir, "pdf", output_dir=txt_dir)
    # 3. Update config file with correct paths and API key
    with open(config_template, 'r') as f:
        config = f.read()
    # Update paths and API key
    config = config.replace("INPUT_DIR_PLACEHOLDER", txt_dir)
    config = config.replace("RESULTS_FILE_PLACEHOLDER", os.
        path.join(results_dir, "review_results"))
    config = config.replace("API_KEY_PLACEHOLDER", api_key)
    config_path = os.path.join(run_dir, "review_config.toml")
    with open(config_path, 'w') as f:
       f.write(config)
    # 4. Run the review
    print("Running systematic review...")
    prismaid.review(config)
    # 5. Generate summary report
    print("Generating summary report...")
    try:
        results_file = glob.glob(os.path.join(results_dir, "
           review_results.*"))[0]
                                                76
        if results_file.endswith('.csv'):
           results = pd.read_csv(results_file)
        else: # JSON
            results = pd.read_json(results_file)
        # Generate simple summary statistics
        summary = {}
        for column in results.columns:
```

Batch Processing Strategies

For large-scale reviews, batch processing can improve efficiency and manageability:

Bash Script for Batch Processing

```
#!/bin/bash
# batch_review.sh - Process a large corpus in manageable
    batches
if [ "$#" -ne 4 ]; then
   echo "Usage: $0 <input_dir> <output_dir> <batch_size> <</pre>
        config_template>"
    exit 1
fi
INPUT_DIR=$1
OUTPUT_DIR=$2
BATCH_SIZE=$3
CONFIG_TEMPLATE=$4
# Create output directory
mkdir -p "$OUTPUT_DIR"
# Count total files
TOTAL_FILES=$(find "$INPUT_DIR" -type f -name "*.txt" | wc -1
   )
echo "Total files to process: $TOTAL_FILES"
# Calculate number of batches
BATCHES=$(( (TOTAL_FILES + BATCH_SIZE - 1) / BATCH_SIZE ))
echo "Will process in $BATCHES batches of approximately
    $BATCH_SIZE files each"
# Process in batches
for BATCH in $(seq 1 $BATCHES); do
    echo "Processing batch $BATCH of $BATCHES"
    # Create batch directory
   BATCH_DIR="$OUTPUT_DIR/batch_$BATCH"
   BATCH_INPUT="$BATCH_DIR/input"
   BATCH_OUTPUT="$BATCH_DIR/output"
    mkdir -p "$BATCH_INPUT"
    mkdir -p "$BATCH_OUTPUT"
    # Get files for this batch
    find "$INPUT_DIR" -type f -name "*.txt" | sort | head -n
        $BATCH_SIZE | \
        xargs -I{} cp {} "$BATCH_INPUT"
    # Remove processed files from consideration for next
    find "$INPUT_DIR" -type f -name "*.txt" | sort | head -n
       $BATCH_SIZE | \
       xargs -I{} rm {}
    # Create batch-specific config
    BATCH_CONFIG="$BATCH_DIR/config.toml"
    cat "$CONFIG_TEMPLATE" | \
        sed "s|INPUT_DIR_PLACEHOLDER|$BATCH_INPUT|g" | \
        sed "s|OUTPUT_FILE_PLACEHOLDER|$BATCH_OUTPUT/results|
            g" > "$BATCH_CONFIG"
    # Run review for this batch
    echo "Starting review for batch $BATCH"
    ./prismaid -project "$BATCH_CONFIG"
                                               78
    echo "Completed batch $BATCH"
echo "All batches processed. Combining results..."
# Combine results (assuming CSV output)
HEADER=$(head -n 1 "$OUTPUT_DIR/batch_1/output/results.csv")
echo "$HEADER" > "$OUTPUT_DIR/combined_results.csv"
```

	Reminder: For very large reviews (hundreds or thousands of papers), consider implementing a database backend to store intermediate results and enable incremental processing.
Integration with Research Workflows	
<pre>prismAId can be integrated with broader research tools and work- flows:</pre>	
Reference Management: Integrate with Zotero, Mendeley, or EndNote for literature organization	
Statistical Analysis: Export results to R, SPSS, or specialized meta-analysis software	
Collaborative Research: Share configurations and results through version control systems	
Publication Workflows: Generate formatted tables and figures for manuscript inclusion	5

R Script for Meta-Analysis Integration

```
# Load libraries
library(prismaid)
library(meta)
library(dplyr)
library(readr)
# Run prismAId review
toml_content <- paste(readLines("meta_analysis_config.toml"),</pre>
     collapse = "\n")
RunReview(toml_content)
# Load and process results
results <- read_csv("results/meta_analysis_results.csv")</pre>
# Extract effect sizes and variance
meta_data <- results %>%
  # Convert extracted text to proper numeric format
 mutate(
    effect_size = as.numeric(gsub("[^0-9.-]", "", effect_size
    sample_size = as.numeric(gsub("[^0-9]", "", sample_size))
   p_value = as.numeric(gsub("[^0-9.]", "", p_value))
 ) %>%
  # Filter out rows with missing data
 filter(!is.na(effect_size) & !is.na(sample_size)) %>%
  # Calculate standard error from p-values and effect sizes
      where possible
  mutate(
    standard_error = case_when(
     !is.na(p_value) ~ abs(effect_size) / qnorm(1 - p_value
         /2),
     TRUE ~ NA_real_
   )
  ) %>%
  # Only keep rows with complete data needed for meta-
      analysis
  filter(!is.na(standard_error))
# Perform meta-analysis
if(nrow(meta_data) >= 3) { # Need at least 3 studies for
   meaningful meta-analysis
 meta_analysis <- metagen(</pre>
   TE = meta_data$effect_size,
   seTE = meta_data$standard_error,
    studlab = meta_data$filename,
    sm = "SMD", # Standardized Mean Difference
    method.tau = "REML", # Restricted Maximum-Likelihood
        estimator
    hakn = TRUE # Hartung-Knapp adjustment
 )
  # Print results
 summary(meta_analysis)
  # Create forest plot
  pdf("results/forest_plot.pdf", width=10, height=8)
  forest(meta_analysis,
        sortvar = meta_data$effect_size,
         prediction = TRUE,
        print.tau2 = TRUE,
                                                80
        print.I2 = TRUE)
  dev.off()
  # Test for publication bias
  pdf("results/funnel_plot.pdf", width=8, height=8)
  funnel(meta_analysis, studlab = TRUE, contour = TRUE)
  dev.off()
```

Conclusion

Advanced features of prismAId significantly enhance its capabilities beyond basic systematic reviews. Ensemble reviews provide increased confidence and uncertainty quantification. Debugging features help identify and resolve issues in the extraction process. Cost management strategies optimize resource utilization, while advanced prompt engineering improves the quality and reliability of extractions. Finally, programmatic integration and automation enable seamless incorporation of prismAId into comprehensive research workflows.

By mastering these advanced features, researchers can conduct more sophisticated, reliable, and efficient systematic reviews that maintain the highest standards of methodological rigor while leveraging the power of artificial intelligence.

Tip: Start with simpler configurations and gradually incorporate advanced features as you become more familiar with prismAId. Each feature adds power but also complexity, so build your expertise incrementally.

Part V

Troubleshooting & FAQs

Troubleshooting Common Issues

This chapter addresses common issues you might encounter when using prismAId and provides practical solutions to resolve them quickly.

Installation Problems

Binary Installation Issues

Issue: "Permission denied" error when running the executable

Solution: Fix Permission Issues

```
# For Linux/macOS
chmod +x prismaid

# For Windows (if using PowerShell with execution
policy restrictions)
Set-ExecutionPolicy -Scope Process -ExecutionPolicy
Bypass
```

- Issue: Security warnings when running on macOS
- · Issue: Missing dependencies message

Package Installation Issues

· Issue: Python package installation fails

Tip: On macOS, you may need to right-click the executable and select "Open" the first time you run it. After confirming once, you can run it normally.

Note: The standalone binaries should include all required dependencies. If you see dependency errors, you may have downloaded an incomplete file. Try downloading again or check for platform-specific versions.

Ensure pip is up-to-date python -m pip install --upgrade pip # Install with verbose output to see errors pip install prismaid --verbose # If C compiler errors occur, install build tools # For Windows: pip install --upgrade setuptools wheel # For Linux: sudo apt-get install build-essential python3-dev

Issue: R package installation problems

· Issue: Julia package fails to build

```
# Update registry
using Pkg
Pkg.Registry.update()

# Try with build flag
Pkg.add("PrismAId"; build=true)

# Check Julia version (requires 1.6+)
versioninfo()
```

Configuration Errors

TOML File Syntax Problems

• Issue: "Failed to parse TOML" or similar error

Warning: Package installation problems are often environment-specific. If you continue to experience issues, consider using the standalone binary instead, which doesn't require compiling or managing dependencies.

Common TOML Syntax Errors and Fixes # INCORRECT: Missing quotes around strings with special characters input_directory = C:\path\with\backslashes # CORRECT: Use quotes and forward slashes or escaped input_directory = "C:/path/with/forward/slashes" input_directory = "C:\\path\\with\\escaped\\ backslashes" # INCORRECT: Malformed arrays values = ["yes" "no"] # CORRECT: Use commas between array elements values = ["yes", "no"] # INCORRECT: Forgetting to close a section [project.llm.1 provider = "OpenAI" # CORRECT: Include the closing bracket [project.llm.1] provider = "OpenAI"

Issue: Nested sections not recognized correctly

Path-Related Problems

Issue: "Directory not found" or "File not found" errors

```
# Check if the directory exists
# Windows
dir "C:\path\to\check"

# Linux/macOS
ls -la /path/to/check

# Create directories if missing
# Windows
mkdir "C:\path\to\create"

# Linux/macOS
mkdir -p /path/to/create
```

• Issue: Path encoding problems with non-ASCII characters

Tip: Use a TOML validator like tomlonline.com to check your configuration file for syntax errors before running prismAId.

Reminder: TOML sections must be properly nested. For example, '[project.llm.1]' is correct, but '[project][llm][1]' would be incorrect.

Tip: Always use absolute paths in your configuration file to avoid path resolution issues. Relative paths can cause problems if you run prismAId from different directories.

Note: If your paths contain non-ASCII characters (like accented letters or non-Latin scripts), make sure your configuration file is saved with UTF-8 encoding.

API Key Configuration Issues

· Issue: "API key not found" or authentication errors

```
# Check if environment variable is set
# Windows
echo %OPENAI_API_KEY%

# Linux/macOS
echo $OPENAI_API_KEY

# Set environment variable if needed
# Windows
set OPENAI_API_KEY=your-api-key

# Linux/macOS
export OPENAI_API_KEY=your-api-key
```

 Issue: API key works in environment but not in configuration

Warning: Check for extra spaces or invisible characters in your API key. Copy-pasting from certain sources can introduce these. Try re-typing the key manually if problems persist.

Common Fixes for Each Tool

Download Tool Issues

· Issue: Zotero downloads failing

```
# Correct format for Zotero collection path
# INCORRECT
group = Systematic Review/Climate Papers

# CORRECT
group = "Systematic Review/Climate Papers"

# For group collections, use the group name
group = "Group Name/Collection Name"
```

· Issue: URL downloads timing out or failing

Note: Zotero collection names are case-sensitive. Ensure your collection path exactly matches what appears in your Zotero library.

Check connectivity to the URL curl -I https://example.com/paper.pdf # Try downloading with increased timeout # (modify the source URL file to include fewer URLs per run) ./prismaid -download-URL papers_batch1.txt

Reminder: Some publishers block automated downloads. Consider using Zotero integration or manually downloading papers from repositories with strict access controls.

Convert Tool Issues

Issue: PDF conversion producing empty or gibberish text

```
# View PDF information
pdfinfo problem_paper.pdf

# For scanned PDFs, you need OCR software first
# prismAId can't extract text from image-based PDFs
```

 Issue: PDF conversion missing text or with garbled formatting

Review Tool Issues

Issue: Review stops after processing only a few files

```
# Set log level to high in your configuration
# Then run the review to see detailed error messages
./prismaid -project your_project.toml

# Check provider status pages
# OpenAI: https://status.openai.com/
# GoogleAI: https://status.cloud.google.com/
```

Issue: Reviews producing unexpected or empty results

Warning: The Convert tool cannot extract text from scanned image PDFs or PDFs with strong copy protection. Use OCR software like Adobe Acrobat or Tesseract first, or manually transcribe critical sections.

Tip: PDFs with complex layouts (multiple columns, text boxes, etc.) may not convert perfectly. Consider manually editing the extracted text files to fix critical sections or remove unnecessary content.

Note: If hitting rate limits, configure appropriate 'tpm_limit' and 'rpm_limit' values in your configuration. This will automatically pace the requests to stay within provider limits.

Enable Chain-of-Thought justification to see model reasoning [project.configuration] cot_justification = "yes" # Enable higher log level to see full prompts and responses log_level = "high"

Reminder: Check the model's justifications and logs to understand why it's producing unexpected results. You may need to refine your prompt or review structure.

Performance and Resource Issues

· Issue: Reviews running very slowly

```
# Balance rate limits for better performance
[project.llm.1]
provider = "OpenAI"
api_key = ""
model = "gpt-3.5-turbo" # Use faster model for
initial testing
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 60000 # Set appropriate limits based on
your plan
rpm_limit = 3000
```

· Issue: Out of memory errors with large documents

Tip: For large reviews, consider using batch processing as shown in the Advanced Features chapter, processing subsets of your documents in parallel if your API plan allows.

Jupyter Notebook Issues

Issue: Python kernel crashing in Jupyter when running reviews

Warning: Very large documents may exceed the context window of LLMs or cause memory issues. Consider splitting extremely large papers into smaller sections or removing non-essential content before processing.

Solution: Jupyter Notebook Integration

```
# Use the workaround for versions <= 0.6.6
import pty
import os
import time
import select
def run_review_with_auto_input(input_str):
   master, slave = pty.openpty() # Create a pseudo-
       terminal
   pid = os.fork()
   if pid == 0: # Child process
       os.dup2(slave, 0) # Redirect stdin
       os.dup2(slave, 1) # Redirect stdout
       os.dup2(slave, 2) # Redirect stderr
       os.close(master)
       import prismaid
       prismaid.RunReviewPython(input_str.encode("utf
       os._exit(0)
   else: # Parent process
       os.close(slave)
       try:
           while True:
               if master in rlist:
                   output = os.read(master, 1024).
                       decode("utf-8", errors="ignore
                       ")
                   if not output:
                       break # Process finished
                   print(output, end="")
                   if "Do you want to continue?" in
                       output:
                       print("\n[SENDING INPUT: y]")
                       os.write(master, b"y\n")
                       time.sleep(1)
       finally:
           os.close(master)
           os.waitpid(pid, 0) # Ensure the child
               process is cleaned up
# Load your review (TOML) configuration
with open("config.toml", "r") as file:
   input_str = file.read()
# Run the review function
run_review_with_auto_input(input_str)
```

Note: This issue occurs when the kernel cannot handle interactive prompts. The workaround intercepts and automatically answers confirmation prompts.

Seeking Further Help

If you continue to experience issues after trying the solutions in this chapter:

- Check Documentation: Review the online documentation at open-and-sustainable.github.io/prismaid/
- **GitHub Issues**: Search existing issues or create a new one at github.com/open-and-sustainable/prismaid/issues
- Matrix Support Room: Join the prismAld Support Room to discuss your issue with the community

```
# Get prismAId version
./prismaid --version

# For Python package
python -c "import prismaid; print(prismaid.__version__)"

# System information
# Windows
systeminfo | findstr /B /C:"OS Name" /C:"OS Version"

# Linux
uname -a
cat /etc/os-release

# macOS
sw_vers
```

Remember that prismAId is an open-source project. Detailed bug reports help improve the software for everyone, and community contributions to fix issues are always welcome.

Reminder: When seeking help, always include your prismAld version, operating system, error messages, and a minimal reproducible example of your issue.

Frequently Asked Questions

This chapter addresses common questions about prismAId, its capabilities, integration with other tools, and performance optimization.

General Questions

What types of systematic reviews is prismAld suitable for?

prismAId is designed to handle a wide range of systematic review types across various disciplines. It works well for:

- · Literature reviews in scientific fields
- Scoping reviews to map available evidence
- Meta-analyses when combined with statistical tools
- Policy reviews and evidence summaries
- · Qualitative evidence syntheses

Can I use prismAld with non-English literature?

Yes, but with some limitations. prismAId's underlying LLMs support multiple languages with varying degrees of proficiency. However:

- English literature typically yields the most reliable results
- Major European languages (French, German, Spanish) generally work well
- Other languages may have reduced extraction accuracy
- The Convert tool may struggle with non-Latin character sets

Note: While prismAld can extract information from any text-based literature, it performs best when the information being sought is explicitly stated in the text rather than requiring complex inference or domain-specific interpretation.

Tip: For multilingual reviews, consider testing the extraction quality on a sample of non-English papers before proceeding with the full review. You may need to adjust your prompts to account for language-specific considerations.

Is prismAld compliant with systematic review reporting guidelines?

prismAId is designed to support guideline-compliant reviews but doesn't enforce reporting requirements itself:

- It facilitates PRISMA-compliant reviews by enabling structured data extraction
- The methodology is transparent and reproducible, supporting various reporting frameworks
- You must still ensure your final report addresses all required elements from guidelines like PRISMA, MOOSE, or ENTREQ

Example Citation for prismAld in Methods Section

```
In our systematic review, data extraction was performed using
    prismAId
version X.X.X (Boero, 2024), an open-source tool that uses
   large
language models to extract structured information from
   scientific
literature. We used [MODEL NAMES] with a temperature setting
[VALUE] to extract data according to our pre-defined protocol
To ensure extraction quality, we manually validated [XX%] of
extracted data points, finding [XX%] agreement between AI-
    extracted
and manually verified information.
Boero, R. (2024). prismAId - Open Science AI Tools for
    Systematic,
Protocol-Based Literature Reviews. Zenodo.
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11210796
```

Integration with Other Software

Can I use prismAld with Zotero/Mendeley/EndNote?

Yes, prismAId offers integration options with reference managers:

- **Zotero**: Direct integration via the Download tool, which can access papers from your Zotero collections using the API
- Mendeley/EndNote: No direct API integration, but you can export papers to a folder and then use prismAId to process them

Reminder: When using the Zotero integration, ensure papers have attached PDFs in your Zotero library. prismAld cannot download papers that don't have attachments.

Can I use prismAld with statistical software for metaanalysis?

Yes, prismAId works well with statistical tools for meta-analysis:

- · Export results as CSV or JSON
- Import into R (with packages like meta or metafor)
- Import into STATA, SPSS, or specialized meta-analysis software
- Use with Python's metapsy or similar packages

R Code for Importing prismAld Results for Meta-Analysis # Load libraries library(readr) library(dplyr) library(meta) # Import prismAId results results <- read_csv("results.csv")</pre> # Prepare data for meta-analysis # Assuming prismAId extracted effect sizes, sample sizes, and p-values meta_data <- results %>% # Clean and convert text to numeric values effect_size = as.numeric(gsub("[^0-9.-]", "", effect_size std_error = as.numeric(gsub("[^0-9.]", "", std_error)), sample_size = as.numeric(gsub("[^0-9]", "", sample_size)) # Remove rows with missing data filter(!is.na(effect_size) & !is.na(std_error)) # Run meta-analysis if(nrow(meta_data) >= 3) { ma <- metagen(TE = meta_data\$effect_size, seTE = meta_data\$std_error, studlab = meta_data\$filename, sm = "SMD" # Print results summary(ma) # Create forest plot forest(ma)

Can I use prismAld with qualitative analysis software?

Yes, prismAId can be used alongside qualitative analysis tools:

 Export results to CSV/JSON and import into NVivo, ATLAS.ti, or MAXQDA 	
Use prismAId for initial coding and then refine in specialized software	
Combine Al-assisted extraction with manual qualitative analysis	
	Tip: For qualitative reviews, consider using more open-ended extraction fields in your review structure and then conducting more detailed thematic analysis in specialized software
Can I integrate prismAld into automated research work-flows?	
Yes, prismAId can be integrated into automated research workflows:	
Use the API from Go, Python, R, or Julia in scripted workflows	
Incorporate into continuous integration pipelines	
Schedule batch processing of papers	
Chain with other research tools using scripts	

Python Script for Automated Workflow

```
import prismaid
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import os
from datetime import datetime
# Create dated output directory
today = datetime.now().strftime("%Y-%m-%d")
output_dir = f"systematic_review_{today}"
os.makedirs(output_dir, exist_ok=True)
# 1. Download new papers from URL list
url_file = "new_papers.txt"
download_dir = f"{output_dir}/pdfs"
os.makedirs(download_dir, exist_ok=True)
prismaid.download_url_list(url_file, download_dir)
# 2. Convert PDFs to text
text_dir = f"{output_dir}/texts"
os.makedirs(text_dir, exist_ok=True)
prismaid.convert(download_dir, "pdf", text_dir)
# 3. Update configuration with new paths
with open("template_config.toml", "r") as f:
   config = f.read()
config = config.replace("INPUT_DIR_PLACEHOLDER", text_dir)
config = config.replace("OUTPUT_FILE_PLACEHOLDER", f"{
    output_dir}/results")
config_path = f"{output_dir}/config.toml"
with open(config_path, "w") as f:
   f.write(config)
# 4. Run the review
prismaid.review(config)
# 5. Generate visualizations from results
results = pd.read_csv(f"{output_dir}/results.csv")
# Example: Create a bar chart of findings
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
results['climate_factor'].value_counts().plot(kind='bar')
plt.title('Distribution of Climate Factors in Literature')
plt.tight_layout()
plt.savefig(f"{output_dir}/climate_factors.png")
# Log completion
with open(f"{output_dir}/log.txt", "w") as f:
    f.write(f"Automated review completed at {datetime.now()}\
    f.write(f"Processed {len(results)} papers\n")
```

Performance Optimization

How can I optimize prismAld for speed?

To improve processing speed:

- Use faster models: Models like gpt-3.5-turbo, claude-3-haiku, or gemini-1.5-flash process text more quickly than their larger counterparts
- **Reduce text length**: Remove non-essential sections (references, acknowledgments) from papers
- Batch processing: Process papers in parallel using multiple instances
- Optimize rate limits: Set appropriate tpm_limit and rpm_limit values based on your API plan

```
Configuration: Speed Optimization

[project.llm.1]
provider = "OpenAI"
api_key = ""
model = "gpt-3.5-turbo" # Faster model
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 60000 # Adjust based on your tier
rpm_limit = 3000 # Adjust based on your tier
```

How can I optimize prismAld for accuracy?

To improve extraction accuracy:

- Use more capable models: Models like gpt-4o, claude-3-opus, or gemini-1.5-pro typically provide more accurate extractions
- **Refine prompts**: Create clear, specific prompts with good examples
- Use ensemble reviews: Combine multiple models to improve reliability
- **Lower temperature**: Set temperature to 0.01-0.1 for more deterministic responses
- Break down complex extractions: Use multiple simpler reviews instead of one complex review

Warning: Faster models may have lower accuracy for complex extraction tasks. Always validate a sample of results when optimizing for speed.

Configuration: Accuracy Optimization

How can I optimize prismAld for cost efficiency?

To minimize costs:

- Use automatic model selection: Leave the model field empty ('model = """) to let prismAId choose the most cost-effective model
- **Trim input texts**: Remove unnecessary sections like references, acknowledgments, and front matter
- **Focus reviews**: Extract only the specific information you need rather than general information
- Test on samples: Validate your approach on a small sample before processing the entire dataset

Configuration: Cost Optimization

```
[project.llm.1]
provider = "OpenAI"
api_key = ""
model = "" # Empty for automatic selection
temperature = 0.01
tpm_limit = 0
rpm_limit = 0
```

What are typical processing speeds and costs?

Performance metrics vary based on paper length, model choice, and extraction complexity:

Tip: For cost-sensitive projects, run a small test first with 5-10 papers and examine the log output (set 'log_level = "medium"") to see token usage and estimated costs per paper.

Methodological Questions

How accurate is information extraction with prismAld?

The accuracy of prismAId extractions depends on several factors:

- Prompt quality: Well-crafted prompts improve accuracy
- **Information type**: Explicit data is extracted more accurately than implicit information
- Model capability: More advanced models generally provide higher accuracy
- Document quality: Clean, well-structured texts yield better results

How should I validate prismAld results?

Best practices for validation include:

- Manually check a random sample (10-20%) of papers
- Use dual extraction (AI + human) for critical information
- Run ensemble reviews with multiple models and examine disagreements
- Calculate inter-rater reliability between Al and human extractions

Note: In validation studies, accuracy typically ranges from 85-95% for straightforward information (e.g., methodology type, sample size) to 70-85% for more complex or subjective information (e.g., study limitations, conceptual frameworks).

Reminder: Always validate a subset of extractions manually to assess accuracy for your specific review task. Consider using ensemble reviews for high-stakes systematic reviews.

• Document validation process and results in your methods section

Python Code for Calculating Cohen's Kappa with Human Validation

```
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.metrics import cohen_kappa_score
# Load AI-extracted data
ai_results = pd.read_csv("prismaid_results.csv")
# Load human validation data (same papers, same fields)
human_results = pd.read_csv("human_validation.csv")
# Ensure the same papers are being compared
common_papers = set(ai_results['filename']).intersection(set(
    human_results['filename']))
ai_subset = ai_results[ai_results['filename'].isin(
    common_papers)]
human_subset = human_results[human_results['filename'].isin(
    common_papers)]
# Sort both dataframes by filename to align rows
ai_subset = ai_subset.sort_values('filename').reset_index(
   drop=True)
human_subset = human_subset.sort_values('filename').
    reset_index(drop=True)
# Calculate agreement for each extracted field
agreement_results = {}
for field in ['methodology_type', 'sample_size', '
    climate_factor', 'crop_type']:
    if field in ai_subset.columns and field in human_subset.
        columns:
        try:
            # Calculate simple agreement percentage
            agreement = sum(ai_subset[field] == human_subset[
                field]) / len(ai_subset)
            # Calculate Cohen's Kappa for categorical
               variables
            kappa = cohen_kappa_score(ai_subset[field],
                human subset[field])
            agreement_results[field] = {
                'agreement_percentage': agreement * 100,
                'cohens_kappa': kappa
            }
            print(f"Error calculating agreement for {field}")
# Print results
for field, metrics in agreement_results.items():
    print(f"{field}:")
    print(f" Agreement: {metrics['agreement_percentage']:.1f
       }%")
    print(f" Cohen's Kappa: {metrics['cohens_kappa']:.3f}")
    # Interpret Kappa value
    kappa = metrics['cohens_kappa']
    interpretation = ""
    if kappa < 0.20: interpretation = "Poor agreement"</pre>
    elif kappa < 0.40: interpretation = "Fair agreement"</pre>
    elif kappa < 0.60: interpretation = "Moderate agreement"</pre>
    elif kappa < 0.80: interpretation = "Substant) al
        agreement"
    else: interpretation = "Almost perfect agreement"
    print(f" Interpretation: {interpretation}")
    print()
```

Can prismAId completely replace manual review?

No, prismAId should be viewed as an assistive tool rather than a complete replacement for human judgment:

- It excels at reducing the time-intensive aspects of systematic reviews
- Human oversight remains essential for quality control and interpretation
- Domain expertise is still needed to contextualize findings and draw conclusions
- Complex or nuanced information may require human validation

Advanced Usage Questions

Can I use prismAld with custom or proprietary LLMs?

Currently, prismAId supports five major commercial LLM providers (OpenAI, GoogleAI, Anthropic, Cohere, DeepSeek). Support for custom or self-hosted models is not built into the main distribution.

Can I use prismAld for sensitive or confidential data?

You should exercise caution when using prismAId with sensitive data:

- All text sent to LLM providers may be processed on their servers
- Check each provider's privacy policy and data usage terms
- Consider data residency and compliance requirements (GDPR, HIPAA, etc.)
- For highly sensitive content, consider redacting identifying information before processing

How can I contribute to prismAld development?

As an open-source project, prismAId welcomes contributions:

- Report bugs or request features through GitHub issues
- Contribute code improvements via pull requests
- Help improve documentation or examples

Warning: Complete automation of systematic reviews without human supervision is not recommended, particularly for reviews that inform clinical practice, policy decisions, or other high-stakes applications.

Tip: As an open-source project, prismAld can be extended to support additional LLM providers. If you have programming experience, you could fork the repository and add support for your preferred models by extending the interface code.

Warning: When using commercial LLM APIs, the text of your documents is sent to third-party servers. While providers typically have privacy safeguards, you should never process confidential or personally identifiable information without ensuring compliance with relevant regulations and obtaining appropriate permissions.

· Share validation studies or use cases

```
# Fork the repository on GitHub
# Clone your fork
git clone https://github.com/your-username/prismaid.git

# Create a feature branch
git checkout -b feature/your-feature-name

# Make your changes
# Test your changes
# Commit with a descriptive message
git commit -m "Add feature: description of your changes"

# Push to your fork
git push origin feature/your-feature-name

# Create a pull request on GitHub
```

Will my API usage for prismAld affect my other projects using the same API keys?

Yes, any prismAId usage will count toward your overall API usage limits with each provider:

- API calls made by prismAId will appear in your provider dashboard
- Usage counts toward any quotas or rate limits on your account
- Billing is based on total token usage across all applications using your key

Future Development Questions

What new features are planned for prismAld?

While specific roadmap details may change, planned enhancements include:

- · Support for additional LLM providers and models
- · Enhanced visualization and analysis tools
- Improved OCR integration for image-based PDFs
- More sophisticated ensemble methods

Reminder: Before contributing code, familiarize yourself with the project's contribution guidelines and code of conduct in the repository.

Tip: For organizations with multiple projects using the same LLM providers, consider creating separate API keys for different projects to track usage more effectively and implement budget controls.

· Interactive review refinement capabilities

How can I stay updated on prismAld developments?

To stay informed about new releases and features:

- Follow the GitHub repository
- · Join the Matrix Announcements Room
- Subscribe to release notifications
- · Check the documentation website periodically

Following prismAld Updates

```
GitHub Repository: https://github.com/open-and-sustainable/
prismaid
Matrix Announcements: https://matrix.to/#/#prismAId-
announcements:matrix.org
Documentation: https://open-and-sustainable.github.io/
prismaid/
```

Conclusion

This FAQ covers common questions about prismAId, but the field of Al-assisted systematic reviews is rapidly evolving. For the most current information, consult the online documentation, join the community support channels, or review recent publications about prismAId methodology.

If your question isn't addressed here, consider posting in the Matrix Support Room or opening a discussion on the GitHub repository to engage with the community of users and developers.

Note: As an open-source project, prismAld's development direction is influenced by community needs and contributions. You can suggest features or vote on existing proposals through the GitHub repository.

Reminder: Major version updates may include changes to configuration structure or API methods. Always review the changelog before upgrading.