

Open.Make II - Implementing FAIR and open hardware

30 months project starting in 01/2024

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Roland Jochem

Chair of Quality Science, Institute for Machine Tools
and Factory Management, Technische Universität Berlin (TUB)

roland.jochem@tu-berlin.de*

+49 30 314 22005.

Prof. Matthew Larkum

Institute of Biology, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin (HUB).

matthew.larkum@hu-berlin.de†

+49 30 450 539 152.

Prof. Tim Landgraf

Dahlem Center for Machine Learning and Robotics,
Institute of Computer Science, Freie Universität Berlin (FUB)

tim.landgraf@fu-berlin.de‡

+49 30 838 75114.

*<mailto:roland.jochem@tu-berlin.de>

†<mailto:matthew.larkum@hu-berlin.de>

‡<mailto:tim.landgraf@fu-berlin.de>

Objectives

Summary

This project aims to set the foundations for a centre of competence (CoC) for open hardware in Berlin, integrating and connecting expertise within and beyond BUA, and fostering the recognition of research hardware as a research output, nationally and internationally.

We will build on the learnings of the Open.Make project, taking a socio-technical approach to create a expert and recognized community of open hardware makers in the BUA. On one side, we will consider the technical aspect of documenting and disseminating hardware. On the other side, we will work on the creation of tight relation between open hardware makers in the Berlin area, providing the community with space, advice, education, as well as networking opportunities. Eventually, we will see the emergence of recognition mechanisms for the open research hardware engineers.

This work will be strengthened through the interaction with existing international partners and the development of new partnerships in Berlin and in Germany, notably in the directions of open materials and medical hardware.

The open science hardware community has identified the lack of recognition of research hardware developers and their work as a main bottleneck towards broader adoption. Open.Make II will promote the recognition of research hardware as a valuable research output. In this respect, every discipline involving the use of hardware, especially non-commercial hardware, is addressed by this project (e.g. from biology to arts to machine tools).

General context

Open access publishing, open data, and free and open source software have become important pillars of responsible research and innovation (RRI), an approach that intends to maximize the integrity and impact of research. The use of the FAIR principles (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable) to promote the publication of quality data, and the recognition of data sharing as a valuable research task has helped in the development of new research policies. In return, the recent advances in these policies and changes in mandates from research funders have been successful in promoting greater adoption of open access to publications and data in science. Similarly, we see a larger recognition of the work of research software engineers who publish their work open source. In this context, the advocacy work of communities of practice (including academics, citizen scientists, entrepreneurs, artists and teachers) is also pushing towards the adoption of open hardware in research. Mostly represented by the Gathering for Open Science Hardware (GOSH)¹ and the Research Data Alliance interest group “FAIR principles for research hardware”²), these efforts aim to extend open science to include FAIR and open hardware.

Open hardware was first recognized as a pillar of open science strategies in the UNESCO Open Science recommendation in 2021³. This global consultation effort harmonized definitions of open science. It provides guidance towards institutionalization or open research practices. Researchers worldwide are

¹<https://forum.openhardware.science>

²<https://www.rd-alliance.org/groups/fair-principles-research-hardware>

³<https://en.unesco.org/science-sustainable-future/open-science/recommendation>

increasingly producing and sharing open science hardware designs. Fast-paced research requires highly customisable instruments, with patents slowing down collaborative innovation. As a result, the interest in methods and tools to better document and share hardware designs is growing. Moreover, FAIR and open hardware presents an opportunity for new career pathways and an alternative to traditional intellectual property rights practices, amplifying the impact of academic research in society.

Central project goals

Objective 1: Foster a BUA open hardware community We will adapt the strategy followed by the TU Delft to build an open hardware community ⁴ within the BUA context. We will first identify and connect to the Berlin actors aligned with the project's mission, either relevant in community building or in hardware production or dissemination: libraries, institute workshops, graduate schools, technology transfer officers, researchers at the universities, as well as different maker communities in Berlin.

In a second step, we will test different approaches to build a community of hardware developers and makers within the BUA. We will provide workshop and collaboration space for the community, direct mentoring with a research hardware engineer (M.Sc. Moritz Maxeiner, FUB), training opportunities, and networking with both maker communities (Top Lab e.V., Motion Lab, Happy Lab, Verbund offener Werkstätten) and technology transfer officers at the BUA partners.

We will develop and implement two different training and teaching approaches. We will adapt existing materials developed during Open.Make (6 ECTS course on open hardware at the TUB) to design teaching modules for BUA students, as well as short training formats for PhD students. This will result in the alignment of concrete needs and suitable formats for educational resources that can be later promoted, disseminated and referenced by the partners. These foundational materials will enable the development of a future curriculum for the research hardware engineer role.

We will document the decision criteria, failures and successes of this work as it takes place. These reflections will inform a sustainability strategy to continue the mission of the BUA CoC for open hardware beyond the duration of the project.

Objective 2: Foster research hardware publication and recognition Lack of recognition of open hardware work in academia is a socio-technical problem. In order to facilitate hardware documentation, publication and quality control, we will design an specific software platform based on requirements collected in the maker community and the institutional needs. At the same time, we will address the legitimacy issue and recognition mechanisms by interacting with science funders, university tech transfer, and career evaluation officers. As explained in the work schedule, we will build and adapt software tooling to facilitate the edition of the documentation (including generation of hardware-specific metadata to facilitate discovery), test automatically for compliance with best practices, create a citable and archived version, and add an extra layer of quality control. Finally, we will work on ways to deliver and consolidate the different tools into a user-friendly bundle. This work will also seek for collaboration and advice in technical infrastructure specialists in Berlin and beyond, especially in university libraries. A workshop in the coming open science conference in June 2023 will be a first instrumental step in the direction of defining the ecosystem more broadly and towards deriving a multi-perspective strategy.

⁴<https://www.tudelft.nl/en/open-science/about/about/open-hardware>

Moreover, the project team will actively foster the adoption of FAIR and open hardware in the international context, mostly continuing the ongoing work inside the RDA and the GOSH community. This work will allow the recognition of hardware publication and certification processes internationally, which is necessary for the success and adoption of the hardware publication platform.

In addition, we will build new local networks that will create use cases for the platform. Material scientists face similar issues in the documentation and discoverability of open materials. We will engage the newly created Center for the Science of Materials at the HU directly, as Prof. Larkum is a member of the center. This will broaden the scope of Open.Make and create further exploitation potential. Medical devices are driving a significant volume of work in open hardware. We will connect with medical device projects like the European TEF-Health initiative project ⁵ via Prof. Ritter at the Charité) and the OpenLab MedTec project led by PTB in Berlin. Documentation work on medical devices is advanced and can inform open hardware quality control and data sharing processes, as we learned during Open.Make by observing the VentMon project ⁶).

Subject of implementation / transfer potential

Open.Make II aims to achieve the following outputs : 1) An active and dynamic BUA open hardware community; 2) open educational resources on open research hardware for practitioners and for institutional stakeholders; 3) a publication platform demonstrator which delivers first insights from application in relevant environments and a diverse set of disciplines; and 3) community lead position papers and policy examples for adoption, for instance on FAIR principles for research hardware. Community building activities and teaching formats, combined with an internationally recognized infrastructure to document and communicate research hardware, will lay the foundation for and pioneer a centre of competence for open hardware in the BUA. The transfer potential is multi-fold as different industries and engineering disciplines will be inspired to engage in research and technology development activities based on open hardware principles. Moreover, developed and refined OERs for training programs for research hardware developers and makers will be shared to allow replicability of the approach.

Furthermore, we will release our self-hostable publication platform and all associated documentation under open-source licenses. Open source hardware maker communities outside of the traditional academic environment will therefore be able to reuse and improve upon them. We will indeed foster this behaviour as part of our continued outreach activities. A proposal for a national or international implementation project with higher technology readiness level is envisaged towards the end of the Open.Make II project to further develop and exploit the demonstrator.

Moreover, joint policy initiatives will create transfer potentials in different directions for research hardware. One example is the very active field of space science (e.g. NASA Transform to Open Science (TOPS) programme). Another is the engagement of research funding agencies such as EC / DFG / BMBF to initiate discussions on research hardware evaluation practices. Focus groups will be organised on evaluation needs and how to specify call topic requirements for sharing and collaborative development of research hardware. The results will be shared as open access policy briefs.

⁵<https://www.tefhealth.eu>

⁶<https://www.pubinv.org/project/ventmon/>

Relation to Open.Make (I)

Hardware publication system requirements

Based on accounts from 15 interviews with representatives of a diverse set of leading open hardware projects from academia all over the world, the Open.Make team gathered user stories and is deriving critical needs for open hardware development and sharing. The project will confront these needs in interactive workshops and focus groups with experts in scholarly communication and infrastructure builders in 2023. The community will design a roadmap for the creation of a hardware publication ecosystem,. The new project will transfer these knowledge into the creation of a scalable product.

Open hardware guidelines

Taking advantage of our liaison with an Open Hardware Makers training program via the incoming fellowship of Dr. Julieta Arancio, and with the Turing Way collaborative community, we will develop comprehensive guidelines for the development and sharing of open research hardware in the coming months. This knowledge will be used to design different training programs inside the BUA, and may guide the development of tools facilitating hardware documentation.

International connections

The project Open.Make has been highly community-oriented with the foundation of the Research Data Alliance (RDA) „FAIR Principles for Research Hardware Interest Group“ (endorsed by the RDA in 2022), the co-organisation of the global unconference Gathering for Open Science Hardware (GOSH) in 2022 in Panama. The Open.Make team has been tightening its relation with the TU Delft Open Hardware Community and its managers. Also, the two incoming fellowships (funded by the Fellowship Programme of Objective 3) of Dr. Sacha Hodencq from G-SCOP in Grenoble (6 months) and Dr. Julieta Arancio from University of Bath (3 months) have been instrumental solidifying strong relationships with these institutions that will be utilised in Open.Make II. We count with connections to open science hardware practitioners in the Global South through civil society partnerships like the Berlin-based association Global Innovation Gathering e.V. (GIG) and the Latin American chapter of the GOSH community, co-founded by Dr. Julietta Arancio. The international network is a prerequisite for the legitimacy and inclusive nature of of the proposed implementation solutions in an international context. This network will be strengthened and new connections will be created.

International competition and collaboration

Open hardware institutionalization

We have a strong connection to the TU Delft which has led the implementation of open science practices, in particular in terms of open hardware. The outgoing fellowship gave us a possibility to observe their work and strategy; we will build on this experiences to adapt the strategy to the BUA context. TU Delft was the first university to recently open a position of open hardware engineer, and build an open hardware community at the university level. They also developed a specific curriculum (the Open Hardware

Academy), which ran for the first time in 2022.

Research hardware recognition

By founding the FAIR for research hardware RDA interest group, we have been leading initiatives aiming at the recognition of research hardware as a research output. There is still much work to do to raise awareness, but the connection to the RDA community is allowing us to raise the issues of hardware recognition in international initiatives.

Hardware publication

Hardware journals exist, but they do not respond to the need of the community. In particular, the need for a streamlined (publication done directly from the documentation tool) and free of charge (diamond open access) system is not well represented in HardwareX, the leading journal for hardware publication.

Systems for the quality control and dissemination of open hardware have been emerging during the last years in Germany (OHO - Open Hardware Observatory e.V., Open Source Ecology Germany e.V.), where the DIN SPEC 3105 was also developed to define and attest open hardware. We are closely linked with these different players and plan to adopt or adapt their workflow into our hardware publication ecosystem.

On the other hand, tooling developed for the publication or archival of software are in development (REF:<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2201.09015>), and we are for instance taking contact with the HERMES team ⁷, which is developing tools for the archival of software into data publication platforms that are often used in university libraries (Dataverse and InvenioRDM, the latter being the software running Zenodo). Especially, the automatic transfer of specific metadata types is an interesting and pioneering approach for software publication.

⁷<https://project.software-metadata.pub/>

Work schedule

The project team anticipates obtaining support from different institutions in the four Berlin universities, especially libraries and technology centres. We will leverage our participation to the Open Science Conference in June 2023 ⁸ to start active collaboration and discussion with these partners.

WP1 - Community building [M1 - M24]

We will first [M1 - M7] connect with existing communities in Berlin and Brandenburg related to open science (Open Science Working Group of the FU Berlin), to maker spaces (TOP e.V., HappyLab, etc.), and to scholarly communication (Project HERMES, Open-Access-Büro Berlin). In addition, we will look for hardware maker nodes inside the universities (workshops, engineers, student groups), and of course connect with the BUA community. We will organise a community gathering event as milestone of this task.

Then [M8 - M24], following the Delft open hardware initiative example, we will provide spaces and mentoring to BUA hardware developers and makers. A research hardware engineer will be in charge not only to help create hardware, but also we will introduce developers and makers to the importance of opening their hardware project. We will introduce them to the guidelines developed during the current Open.Make project, and propose additional training (see WP2).

Concerning the space, we will test different strategies. First [start M8], we will have a central workshop. This will allow us to test what the workshop would need and the efficiency of having a central hub. Second [from M12], we will organize a pop-up or mobile workshop, which will be installed in different locations in Berlin. We expect this second approach to raise awareness by being more local and time constrained. Finally [start M18], we will provide advice on site, going into existing workshop in the different institutions. The end of these tests will depend on the feasibility and success of the approaches, it is not excluded that we will in the end provide all three types of spaces. Finally in M24, we will organise a mini-conference to present our results and discuss recommendation for the creation of a sustainable centre of competence for open hardware in Berlin.

Milestone (MS) 1 - Ramp up / Means of verification (MoV) - Connections established including as part of a community gathering event with the main target groups and detailed planning formalised to engage the research developer and hardware community [M6]

WP2 – Education and training [M7 – M30]

The first component of WP2 involves aligning and testing already existing training materials and OERs with the needs of the emerging community. To that end, we will run a community session inviting different status groups to first identify training needs and expectations. We will then combine this feedback with practical experiences made during open.Make and co-design the learning outcomes of training initiatives.

We aim to train two different but complementary audiences: hardware makers and university officers. In order to educate early career researchers, students and civil scientists interested in open source hardware

⁸<https://www.openmake.de/blog/2023/05/11/2023-05-11-workshop-at-the-open-science-conference/>

(as part of their research activities), the team will continuously develop both the university training course over two semesters (summer semesters 2024 & 2025) and some short training formats best fitted for practitioners and makers. In addition, developed resources will be published as OERs that can be taken up by anyone interested and modified for other target groups.

On the other hand, we will target university officers working on the social impact of academic production and technology transfer. To this end, we will run interactive awareness sessions to connect open hardware practitioners and university administration officers. We will join force with existing institutions like the Open Hardware Alliance Germany . These sessions will provide concrete information on how to support and recognize open hardware in research team projects , and how to capture the impact produced by publishing high quality FAIR and open hardware. the process.

MS2 - Needs analysis / **MoV** - Needs for education and trainings assessed and analysed [M9]

WP3 ICT infrastructure development [M1 – M15]

We will build the technical infrastructure needed to publish hardware in collaboration with the university libraries and IT infrastructure specialists that will be invited during the second Open.Make workshop in September/October 2023. The user needs discovered during the first phase of Open.Make will guide the work and be expanded by external software development resources as needed.

The principal goal for the design of the ecosystem will be providing support for hardware makers, interfacing with established practices, and reuse existing tools. We will have identified requirements based on interviews and observational studies, and therefore plan to work on the different aspects of the creation and publication of research hardware.

Documentation creation and automatic checks (M1 - M8) We will expand the GitBuilding tool, which enables automatic generation of HTML documentation, adding better onboarding functionalities and allow for PDF generation for example. Furthermore we will reuse the EU-funded software OSH-tool, which can be employed in continuous integration for compliance checks. We will add additional checks for documentation practices, such as testing for existence and validity of metadata files or the reusability of source documentation files.

Manual checks and peer review (M5 - M10) For the quality control process, we will reuse and integrate the workflow of the MediaWiki-based tool developed by OHO and the CADCloud prototype, which allows for online viewing of CAD files. The scalability of this approach will be monitored and other types of peer review systems may be built, tested and implemented.

Archival and Recognition (M6 - M10) We will reuse and adapt workflows developed for software archival by the HERMES project to export to existing repositories, such as Zenodo. We will also extend the OSH-tool to generate Open Badges for attestation of automatic tests. Open Badges will also be tried out for attestation of peer review quality, though this needs research about its efficacy.

Bundling (M6 - 15) The different components will work together in a modularised fashion and can be self-hosted. There will be a web-based control interfacier that facilitates the interoperation of the

individual components. Users will be able to register their git repositories with it to make use of its services. By using only open-source software, we will enable research engineers and libraries to set up their own instances. The use of metadata standards will allow for the discoverability of hardware independently of their place of publication.

MS3 – Technology validation / **MoV** – Working prototype ready for testing in the lab [M12]

WP4 Networking (M1 - M30)

Large communities tend to advance slowly, and the major obstacle in this last WP is time constraint. That is the main reason why the senior scientist position is envisaged to cover an additional 6 months after the doctoral student will finish. We plan to perform several workshops and present our work in different conferences during the project, especially RDA plenaries and open science conferences or festivals.

We will continue our efforts inside the RDA group that Dr. Colomb is presently co-chairing. A publication of a consolidated declaration document about the application of FAIR principles for research hardware is planned for 2025.

We will also build new connections with related projects in Berlin. In particular, we have direct contact to the newly created Center for the Science of Materials at the HU, as Prof. Larkum is a member of the centre. Also, we will connect with medical device development project. There are already highly detailed guidelines for the development of medical devices, which can potentially inspire our quality control for research hardware system. Also, we will connect to initiatives building open source hardware in the medical domain. A direct connection to the TEF-Health project will be established via Prof. Ritter (Charité) and with the OpenLab MedTec project from the PTB in Berlin.

MS4 - Closing out of RDA initiative / **MoV** - Submission of RDA declaration for final review [M24]

Risk assessment

By building on existing tools already in use, we can be pretty sure that the tools will be able to archive hardware documentation of a certain quality. On the other hand, no manual peer review system has been tested at scale yet. Indeed, attestation of quality is a difficult question and we may need to follow different socio-technological paths, and use different tools. We will therefore continue discussions with the scholarly communication ecosystem, research hardware and software engineers, and other interested communities, in order to find working solutions. Experience gathered inside the Open Hardware Observatory⁹ and Open Source Ecology Germany¹⁰ hardware review attempts will be additional resources to build the platform. We are confident that the expertise of the Open.Make team and BUA partners, combined with external help from the Open.Make network developed in WP4 will overcome these challenges.

⁹<https://en.oho.wiki/wiki/Home>

¹⁰https://wiki.opensourceecology.de/Open_Source_Ecology_Germany

Information on potential practical use of results

Our results will have a practical and replicable nature by design. OERs are meant to be reused in different training formats. The environment for hardware documentation and publication will be based on open source software and streamline the documentation, reviewing and archival of hardware design. The tool is therefore aimed at users both inside and outside academia. The Berlin hardware developer and maker community that we will build will help each of its member to thrive for producing quality open hardware, following the FAIR principles. This will widen the reach of every project, and we will therefore enable future practical use of these hardware pieces.

Concept of implementation and dissemination of potential applications

During the first phase, Open.Make has been researching best practices in research hardware development and dissemination. We have built a strong network with other actors in this sector. For the implementation project Open.Make II, we intend to bring back this knowledge to the research hardware developer and maker community and particularly students and interested researchers in a practical form. Having tested different strategies for the flourishing of this community, we will be able to provide universities with practical recommendations about building an open hardware program.

By continuing to interconnect through the BUA, e.g. through workshops and events, the project will increase visibility of the participating institutions and their activities. Within the BUA, the suggested activities will foster open hardware practices, demonstrating the importance of open hardware for open science and research quality. As mentioned above, the ultimate implementation of our work would be the creation of a centre of competence for open hardware within the BUA.

On the other hand, we will continue our international relations through GOSH and RDA to disseminate this knowledge and the created tools and recommendations to the wider research community. Using these channels, we will be able to reach to institutions implementing open science policies (like NASA TOPS or CERN), providing them with a practical example to follow.

This project will build the foundation for the development and recognition of open hardware in research (training concepts, guidelines aimed at the different target groups, publication and recognition system), and serve as an enabler for open hardware advocates. These communities that are working on changing funders and institutions policies about open research hardware, will have stronger positions in their challenging task to create more incentives for open hardware.

All our work will continue to be completely open sourced from the start, and other communities outside Berlin will be actively encouraged to follow the project's steps and implement open hardware strategies in Germany and beyond. The hardware publication platform (WP3) itself will be open source and designed to be decentralised. We will strengthen our contact with the open science community in library and library research, nurturing an exchange of ideas and the application of our solution in other universities and in other contexts. ## Exploitation plan for academic and non-academic users

Interactions between academia and civil society are common in the open hardware world. We will build on the existing interactions. In particular, we plan to involve maker spaces early in our process. This

strategy has proven useful in Delft and was a major enabler for the Libre Solar project ¹¹ which we interviewed during Open.Make. The two incoming guest researchers have been instrumental in strengthening our connections to active communities of practice like GOSH and the RDA, and in particular for continuous collaboration with Université Grenoble Alpes (through guest researcher Dr. Sacha Hodencq and long-term TUB partner Prof. Jean-Francois Boujut at G-SCOP labs) which allows us to check the usability of our work continuously.

Indeed, a large part of the open hardware community is outside of the academic context and there is a lot to learn from them. We will be able to provide them with additional training material and strategies to disseminate their hardware work wider: both academic and non-academic users will be able to use our outputs. A significant portion of WP1, community building, will be dedicated to bridge these currently disconnected areas of knowledge between academic and non-academic users. Through discussion sessions and networking, we aim to develop common language between research hardware developers, university officers, makers outside academia, citizen scientists and interested teachers. Connecting to WP2, these conversations will lead to training materials that can suit a variety of needs, according to different audiences: from university teaching to research training to school lessons, citizen science projects or entrepreneurial activities.

The connection to civil society partners is particularly important in this project, as open hardware is a global endeavor connecting practitioners around the world. We will continue to collaborate with the Open Hardware Alliance which is a project of the Open Knowledge Foundation doing policy work for the recognition of open hardware as a viable research output. Ingenieure ohne Grenzen e.V. is currently starting a working group together with OHO e.V. to explore potential benefits of open hardware documentation and sharing for Global South initiatives and in which TUB is closely involved. The incoming researcher, Dr. Julieta Arancio, is currently settling in Berlin, and very active in the Latin American open science hardware landscape. The work of the Global Innovation Gathering (GIG) network, based in Berlin, will further be key to inform the development of Open.Make II. GIG has connections with maker spaces in Africa and South Asia. We plan to leverage these connections in Berlin to better inform the development of the platform and the training materials, and increase their outreach potential. ## Partners and target groups in Berlin

As described earlier, significant efforts will be dedicated to search and connect with local hubs and BUA expertise. In particular, we will have closer relation with university libraries for the development and implementation of the publication platform. This will start later this year inside the Open.Make project. Similarly, we will reach to intellectual property officers, open access and research data management offices in this platform design phase. We will also network with Berlin graduate schools to foster the teaching of open hardware (and open science practices) at the PhD level. We have a particular relation with neuroscientist of the CRC1315, which have the publication of FAIR hardware documentation as one objective of their Infrastructure project.

During Open.Make II, we will collaborate with institute workshops (for instance the Feinwerktechnik, a workshop service at FUB) inside WP1. We will utilise the BUA network to find interested parties inside the four partner organisations. This network will then be leveraged to foster the adoption of hardware training and publication inside the BUA. This work will provide the ground knowledge and practical

¹¹<https://libre.solar/>

recommendation for a future implementation of an open hardware strategy in Berlin and beyond.

Concept for collaboration with project partners

The project team remains identical to the team that made Open.Make (I) a success. It pools expertise in hardware evaluation at the TUB, academic hardware & software development at the FUB, and scholarly communication and data management at the HUB. While Dr. Julien Colomb will continue to work part-time on this project (about 15%) inside his contract in the infrastructure project of the SFB1315, both Robert Mies and Moritz Maxeiner will be dedicating their full-time attention on open Make II. The project therefore involves officially three institutions of the BUA. Also, we will have special connection with Charité via Prof. Petra Ritter, who is the lead PI on the SFB1315-INF project and support the involvement of Dr. Colomb in Open Make II. She is also the Project Coordinator of the TEF-Health project and is interested to investigate the putative relation between medical devices and open hardware. Due to the short deadline, she could not join the project as a PI, but will write a letter of support/intent.

The team remains committed because it is necessary to achieve the objectives we have set for ourselves. In particular, the work planned for WP1 and WP2 will require high levels of collaboration to ensure the contents of the workshops and training programs are well-rounded. With regards to individual responsibilities, similar with Open.Make (I) the TUB will take the lead in project coordination while the HUB will head data management tasks and international networking. The FUB and TUB will have shared responsibilities for cooperation with OH communities with the TUB focusing on national groups. As mentioned previously, the FUB will furthermore lead the task of software development (WP3), on top of their role as research hardware engineer (WP1).

Robert Mies (TUB), Dr. Julien Colomb (HUB), and Moritz Maxeiner (FUB) will work as a team during the whole grant period. The current work organisation and data sharing habits will be kept. The TUB HiDrive will be used for internal data exchange, while public data will be pushed on GitHub. All partners will share relevant files in the consortium and prepare their documentation to be released and published. Where legally possible, we will work in the open, so that putative partners can follow in progress in real time, see www.openmake.de.

This will explicitly be the case for the publication system software, which will be open source and developed in a public git repository. For this, we will use the GitLab development and operations platform, unless we expand a project that is hosted elsewhere. The platform has been used successfully and heavily by the FUB lab for software project management, development, and continuous integration. We believe this open approach will foster adoption of the system. Bi-weekly meetings between the three Mies, Dr. Colomb, and Maxeiner have proven invaluable in monitoring the progress of the current project and we will thus keep with that process. We will also continue organising a whole team meeting at least once every six months, with the agenda being prepared by the TUB.

We will continue to actively recruit additional collaborators, especially within the new framework of the RDA group FAIR4RH that we co-founded as part of Open.Make (I). It is currently co-chaired by Dr. Nadica Miljković (University of Belgrade) and Dr. Julien Colomb and has grown to 45 members. We will also contact and involve university libraries in discussions on infrastructure needs for the publication system. We also plan to apply for a new BUA fellowship, as it proved very useful for our work in the

current project.

Research data management

As for open make I, all outputs of the project will be available as soon as possible for the community. We will continue to use our website (www.openmake.de) as a blog platform to share grey literature. Hardware and software will be build in the open using one or several git platform(s) and published (on Zenodo for software) once ready. The RDA platform will be used to publish our community-created outreach document, in particular our work on the application of FAIR principles for hardware.

Financial plan

Total estimated costs: 455 000 EUR (Personnel costs: 405 000 EUR, equipment 50 000 EUR)

The plan exceed slightly the maximum amount allowed. As the reviewer of the first round agreed, the objectives of the project are very ambitious and we cover three different partner institutions, with special connection to a fourth institution.

Personal costs

- TUB: One postdoc 24 month 100% + 6 months 50%: 235 662 EUR (103 015 EUR + 105 590 EUR + 108 230 EUR * 0.5)
- FUB: 24 person months for one doctoral researcher (FU): 151 831 EUR (74 978 EUR + 76 853 EUR)
- HUB: One student assistant HU (40 h per month * 30 months): 17 500 EUR

Note: The HUB, via the SFB1315, provides a senior post doc 15% as existing staff for the 30 months.

Publications, travels, conference fees, events, server hosting/maintenance.

- TUB: 5 000 EUR
- FUB: 5 000 EUR
- HUB: 5 000 EUR

Workshop tools and consumables (WP1)

TUB: 20 000 EUR (16 000 EUR for 2x machine tools, 4 000 EUR for materials and consumables)

External services (WP3)

FUB: 15 000 EUR (9 000 EUR software development, 6 000 EUR web and user experience design)