

Code of Conduct

Open Scholarship Framework Initiative

Open Scholarship Framework Initiative at the Simon Fraser University is, by essence, a community project. We advocate for a culture change by connecting people and projects within the SFU community in the practice of open scholarship principles. As the main purpose of this community, we provide support in infrastructure and capacity building to empower SFU students and employees to practice open science and open scholarship.

We do that in the form of human resources, funding, capacity building and community engagement. Our community members meet and interact in person at the Vancouver, Burnaby and Surrey *campi*, and online. They also help to organise events and speak at them, and support each other on grant writing and policy proposals drafting, and are encouraged to take an active role in the strategic direction of the Framework.

The Open Scholarship Framework is funded by the Tanenbaum Open Science Institute and the Simon Fraser University, and therefore our community also complies with the community guidelines specified by both institutions.

Our pledge

The purpose of this Code of Conduct is to support a community where all people should feel safe to participate, introduce new ideas and inspire others, and applies to all participants of the Open Scholarship Framework Initiative - Simon Fraser University. This Code aims to promote a respectful, inclusive, and safe environment that supports the mission of the Initiative, within or beyond the limits of the SFU *campi*.

Scope

This Code of Conduct must be respected in all events, gatherings, meetings, or workshops, online or in-person, that are hosted by the Open Scholarship Initiative. Anyone who violates this code of conduct may be banned from the community.

Expected behaviours

In our community, we strive to:

1. **Be respectful:** All members of the OSFI community are expected to treat each other with respect and dignity, regardless of differences in background, beliefs, or opinions. We acknowledge that discussions around Open Science and Open Scholarship can be controversial and intertwines closely with each person's perspectives about the role of the scientific endeavour, and this can lead to disagreements. We strongly believe that our community members do not let these disagreements turn into personal attacks and poor behaviour.
2. **Be welcoming and inclusive:** Our members are committed to fostering an inclusive environment where diversity is valued and all individuals feel welcome and supported, regardless of age, race, ethnicity, culture, national origin, colour, immigration status, social and economic class, educational level, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity and expression, age, size, family status, political belief, religion, and mental and physical ability.
3. **Safety:** The safety and well-being of all members of the OSFI community is a priority. Harassment, discrimination, and violence will not be tolerated. This includes, but is not limited to: threats or language directed against another person, discriminatory and language, sharing sexually explicit or violent material, sharing (or threatening to share) other people's personally identifying information, insults, unwelcome sexual attention.
4. **Accountability:** Members of the OSFI community are responsible for their actions and must adhere to this Code of Conduct. We also commit to protect each other and to report harm whenever it occurs.

Reporting an Issue

Tensions can occur between community members even when they are trying their best to collaborate. Not every conflict represents a code of conduct violation, and this Code of Conduct reinforces encouraged behaviors and norms that can help avoid conflicts and minimize harm.

When an incident does occur, it is important to report it promptly. To report a possible violation, please contact the Open Scholarship Framework team at open_sci@sfu.ca.

The Community Management take reports of violations seriously and will make every effort to respond in a timely manner. They will investigate all reports of code of conduct violations, reviewing messages, logs, and recordings, or interviewing witnesses and other participants. The Community Management will keep investigation and enforcement actions as transparent as possible while prioritizing safety and confidentiality. In order to honor these values, enforcement actions are carried out in private with the involved parties, but communicating to the whole community may be part of a mutually agreed upon resolution.

Addressing and Repairing Harm

If an investigation by the Community Manager finds that this Code of Conduct has been violated, the following enforcement ladder may be used to determine how best to repair harm, based on the incident's impact on the individuals involved and the community as a whole. Depending on the severity of a violation, lower rungs on the ladder may be skipped.

1. Warning

- a) Event: A violation involving inappropriate language or other behavior deemed unprofessional or unwelcome in the community.
- b) Consequence: A private, written warning from the Community Manager.
- c) Repair: Examples of repair include a private written apology, acknowledgement of responsibility, and seeking clarification on expectations.

2. Temporary Suspension

- a) Event: A repeated incidence of a violation that previously resulted in a warning, or the first incidence of a more serious violation.
- b) Consequence: A private written warning with conditions for return from suspension.
- c) Repair: Examples of repair may include making an apology, using the cooldown period to reflect on actions and impact, and being thoughtful about re-entering community spaces after the period is over.

3. Permanent Ban

- a) Event: A pattern of repeated code of conduct violations that other steps on the ladder have failed to resolve, or a violation so serious that the Community Manager determines there is no way to keep the community safe with this person as a member.
- b) Consequence: Access to all community spaces, tools, and communication channels is removed. In general, permanent bans should be rarely used, should have strong reasoning behind them, and should only be resorted to if working through other remedies has failed to change the behavior.
- c) Repair: There is no possible repair in cases of this severity.

This enforcement ladder is intended as a guideline. It does not limit the ability of Community Managers to use their discretion and judgment, in keeping with the best interests of our community.

Attribution

This Code of Conduct is adapted from the [Contributor Covenant, version 3.0](#), and the [Open Life Science community guidelines](#), with contributions from the Open Scholarship Framework Initiative at the Simon Fraser University.

Contributor Covenant is stewarded by the Organization for Ethical Source and licensed under CC BY-SA 4.0. To view a copy of this license, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>

The enforcement ladder was inspired by the work of Mozilla's code of conduct team.