Mean Absolute Error (MAE)

Mean Absolute Error (MAE) is a commonly used regression metric that measures the average magnitude of errors in predictions, ignoring their direction. It provides a straightforward and interpretable way to evaluate the performance of a regression model.

Formula

The formula for MAE is:

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i - \hat{y}_i|$$

Where:

- *n* is the number of data points,
- y_i is the actual value,
- \hat{y}_i is the predicted value,
- $|y_i \hat{y}_i|$ is the absolute error for each data point.

Characteristics of MAE

- 1. Scale-Dependent
 - MAE is measured in the same unit as the target variable, making it easy to interpret.
- 2. Non-Sensitivity to Direction
 - Since MAE considers the absolute value of errors, it treats overpredictions and under-predictions equally.
- 3. Linear Relationship with Errors
 - MAE increases linearly with the magnitude of errors. Large errors have a proportional impact on MAE, unlike metrics like MSE that penalize larger errors more heavily.

Advantages

- 1. Interpretability:
 - MAE directly reflects the average error in the same units as the dependent variable, making it intuitive for stakeholders.
- 2. Robustness to Outliers (Compared to MSE):
 - MAE is less sensitive to large deviations because it does not square the error terms, unlike Mean Squared Error (MSE).

3. Applicability Across Domains:

• MAE can be applied to various regression tasks due to its simplicity and wide applicability.

Disadvantages

1. Non-Differentiability:

 The absolute value function is not differentiable at zero, which can make optimization using gradient-based methods challenging. However, this is often addressed using subgradient methods or approximations.

2. Sensitivity to Dataset Scale:

• MAE does not account for the scale of the dataset, which can make comparisons across datasets difficult.

3. Equal Weighting of Errors:

• MAE assigns equal weight to all errors, which may not be ideal if larger errors are more critical for the application.

When to Use MAE

1. Interpretability Is Important:

 When you need an easily interpretable metric to communicate the average error to stakeholders.

2. Outliers Are Present but Not Dominant:

• When outliers exist but are not extreme enough to warrant more robust metrics like the Huber Loss.

3. Balanced Error Impact:

• When you want to treat all errors equally without emphasizing larger ones.

Comparison with Other Metrics

1. MAE vs. MSE:

- MAE treats all errors equally, while MSE penalizes larger errors more heavily by squaring them.
- MSE is more sensitive to outliers, whereas MAE is more robust.

2. MAE vs. RMSE:

• RMSE (Root Mean Squared Error) has the same units as the target variable but emphasizes larger errors more than MAE.

3. MAE vs. Median Absolute Error:

• The Median Absolute Error is more robust to outliers than MAE, as it focuses on the median of the absolute errors.

Example Calculation

Suppose we have the following actual (y_i) and predicted (\hat{y}_i) values:

• Actual: [3, -0.5, 2, 7] • Predicted: [2.5, 0.0, 2, 8]

The absolute errors are:

- |3 2.5| = 0.5,
- |-0.5-0.0|=0.5,
- |2-2| = 0, |7-8| = 1.0.

MAE is calculated as:

$$MAE = \frac{0.5 + 0.5 + 0 + 1.0}{4} = 0.5$$

Interpretation

An MAE of 0.5 in the above example means that, on average, the predictions deviate from the actual values by 0.5 units.

MAE serves as a practical and interpretable metric for evaluating regression models, especially when equal weighting of errors is appropriate.