

Joule Technical Overview

A Modern Language for Energy-Efficient Computing

David Jean Charlot, PhD Open Interface Engineering, Inc. (openIE)
University of California, Santa Barbara (UCSB) david@openie.dev |
dcharlot@ucsb.edu

February 2026 | Version 1.0

This work is licensed under CC BY 4.0 | Open Access Research

Abstract

Joule is a systems programming language designed for energy-efficient, high-performance computing. It combines modern language features—strong static typing, memory safety without garbage collection, and first-class support for concurrent and heterogeneous computing—with an explicit focus on energy awareness. This document provides a technical overview of Joule’s design, capabilities, and the research foundations that inform its architecture.

Keywords: Joule, systems programming, energy efficiency, type system, ownership, heterogeneous computing, compiler architecture, LLVM, MLIR, Cranelift

1. Introduction

1.1 Design Goals

Joule addresses a critical gap in the programming language landscape: **energy efficiency as a first-class concern**. Research demonstrates that programming language choice can result in energy consumption differences of up to **75x** for equivalent computations [1]. Joule aims to provide:

- Energy consumption comparable to C/Rust (within 5% overhead)
- Memory safety without garbage collection
- First-class support for heterogeneous computing (CPU/GPU/TPU)
- Developer productivity through modern language features

1.2 Target Applications

- Systems software requiring predictable energy profiles
- Edge computing and IoT with power constraints
- High-performance computing with sustainability requirements
- AI/ML inference with energy budgets

1.3 Why Not Rust?

A fair question: Rust already provides memory safety without garbage collection and achieves energy efficiency comparable to C [1]. Why create a new language?

Joule builds on Rust's foundational insights while addressing different primary goals:

Aspect	Rust	Joule
Primary goal	Memory safety	Energy efficiency
Energy visibility	None (external tools only)	First-class <code>(@energy_budget, energy::Meter)</code>
Heterogeneous compute	Via external libraries (CUDA, etc.)	First-class <code>(@kernel, @target(GPU))</code>
Hardware telemetry	Manual integration	Built-in RAPL/thermal awareness (adjusts scheduling as hardware approaches thermal limits)
Compiler backends	LLVM only	Crankshaft + LLVM + MLIR
AI accelerator support	Limited	Native via MLIR backend

The key differentiators:

1. **Energy as a language primitive:** Rust optimizes for safety; Joule optimizes for energy. Energy budgets, profiling, and thermal awareness are built into the language, not bolted on.
2. **Heterogeneous computing by default:** Writing GPU/TPU code in Rust requires external crates and significant boilerplate. Joule's `@kernel` annotation makes cross-hardware code as natural as writing a function.
3. **Hardware-aware compilation:** Joule's MLIR backend enables targeting emerging AI accelerators (TPUs, NPUs) that LLVM doesn't support well.

Rust remains an excellent choice for safety-critical systems. Joule is designed for energy-critical systems where sustainability and hardware efficiency are primary concerns.

2. Core Language Features

2.1 Type System

Joule employs a strong, static type system with full type inference based on Hindley-Milner [2]:

```
// Type inference – the compiler determines types
let count = 42                      // Inferred as Int
let name = "Joule"                   // Inferred as String
let ratio = 3.14                     // Inferred as Float64

// Explicit types when needed
let precise: Float128 = 3.141592653589793238

// Algebraic data types
enum Result<T, E> {
    Ok(T),
    Err(E),
}

// Pattern matching with exhaustiveness checking
match result {
    Ok(value) => process(value),
    Err(error) => handle(error),
}
```

Key characteristics: - Types checked at compile time, eliminating runtime type errors - Algebraic data types (enums with associated data) - Pattern matching with exhaustiveness checking - Generic types with trait bounds - No null references—Option types enforce explicit handling

2.2 Memory Management

Joule uses an ownership and borrowing system for memory management, building on theoretical foundations established by RustBelt [3]:

```

// Ownership – each value has exactly one owner
let data = Vector::new([1, 2, 3, 4, 5])
process(data)           // Ownership transferred to process()
// data is no longer accessible here – compile error if used

// Borrowing – temporary access without ownership transfer
let data = Vector::new([1, 2, 3, 4, 5])
analyze(&data)         // Immutable borrow
data.push(6)            // Still accessible – borrow ended

// Mutable borrowing
let mut data = Vector::new([1, 2, 3, 4, 5])
modify(&mut data)      // Mutable borrow – exclusive access

```

Benefits: - **No garbage collector**—deterministic memory management - **No dangling pointers**—compiler prevents use-after-free - **No data races**—the type system prevents concurrent mutation [4] - **Predictable energy profile**—no GC pauses or spikes

Research shows that garbage collection can account for 5–25% of program energy consumption, depending on workload characteristics, heap size, and GC algorithm [5]. Memory-intensive applications with frequent allocations see the highest overhead. By eliminating GC, Joule achieves energy efficiency comparable to manually-managed languages while maintaining memory safety.

2.3 Energy Annotations

Joule introduces energy budgets as a language feature:

```

// Specify an energy budget for a function
@energy_budget(100.microjoules)
fn process_sensor_reading(data: &SensorData) -> ProcessedData {
    // Compiler estimates energy bounds based on hardware profile
    // Warnings issued if estimated consumption may exceed budget
    ...
}

// Energy-aware profiling in development
@energy_profile
fn main() {
    // Generates detailed energy consumption report
    ...
}

// Query energy consumption at runtime
let meter = energy::Meter::new()
meter.start()
compute_intensive_task()
let consumed = meter.stop()

```

Capabilities: - Compile-time energy bound estimation (using hardware profiles and static analysis heuristics) - Runtime energy measurement via Intel RAPL, ARM Energy Probe [6] - Energy-aware optimization hints to the compiler

Important caveat: Static energy estimation is inherently approximate due to hardware variability (cache behavior, DVFS, thermal throttling). Joule provides best-effort bounds rather than formal guarantees, complemented by runtime measurement for validation.

3. Concurrency Model

3.1 Structured Concurrency

Joule provides structured concurrency primitives ensuring concurrent operations complete within well-defined scopes [7]:

```

// Parallel iteration - automatic work distribution
let results = data
    .par_iter()
    .map(|item| expensive_computation(item))
    .collect()

// Async/await for I/O-bound operations
async fn fetch_all(urls: &[Url]) -> Vec<Response> {
    let futures = urls.iter().map(|url| fetch(url));
    join_all(futures).await
}

// Explicit task spawning with structured lifetimes
scope(|s| {
    s.spawn(|| task_one());
    s.spawn(|| task_two());
    // Both tasks guaranteed complete before scope exits
})

```

3.2 Channels and Message Passing

```

// Create a typed channel
let (sender, receiver) = channel::<Message>()

// Send from one task
sender.send(Message::Data(payload))

// Receive in another
match receiver.recv() {
    Message::Data(payload) => process(payload),
    Message::Shutdown => break,
}

```

Safety guarantees: - **No data races**—the ownership system prevents them at compile time - **Deadlock avoidance**—structured concurrency eliminates common patterns - **Energy-efficient synchronization**—primitives designed to enable processor sleep states

4. Heterogeneous Computing

4.1 First-Class Hardware Targets

Research shows that specialized processors can be **30–80x more energy-efficient** than CPUs for suitable workloads [8]. Joule treats GPUs, TPUs, and accelerators as first-class targets:

```
// Define a computation portable across backends
@kernel
fn matrix_multiply(a: &Matrix, b: &Matrix) -> Matrix {
    // Implementation compiles for CPU, GPU, and TPU
    parallel_for (i, j) in (0..a.rows, 0..b.cols) {
        result[i][j] = dot(a.row(i), b.col(j))
    }
}

// Explicit placement when needed
@target(GPU)
fn gpu_accelerated_render(scene: &Scene) -> Image {
    ...
}

// Automatic placement based on workload and energy constraints
@auto_place(optimize_for: Energy)
fn flexible_computation(data: &LargeDataset) -> Results {
    // Runtime selects most energy-efficient available hardware
    ...
}
```

4.2 Hardware Abstraction

```
// Query available hardware
let devices = Hardware::available_devices()
for device in devices {
    println!("{}: {} compute units, {} memory, {:.2} efficiency",
            device.name,
            device.compute_units,
            device.memory,
            device.energy_efficiency_rating)
}

// Select hardware based on energy efficiency
let target = Hardware::most_efficient_for(WorkloadType::MatrixOps)
```

5. Compiler Architecture

5.1 Tri-Backend Design

Joule's compiler supports three code generation backends to balance development speed, production optimization, and emerging hardware support:

Backend	Primary Use Case	Characteristics
Cranelift	Development	Fast compilation (~10x faster than LLVM), quick iteration
LLVM	Production	Maximum optimization, broad platform support [9]
MLIR	Emerging Hardware	AI accelerators, custom silicon, TPUs [10]

```
# Development build (fast compilation)
joule build --backend cranelift

# Release build (maximum optimization)
joule build --release --backend llvm

# Target specific AI hardware
joule build --backend mlir --target tpu-v4
```

5.2 Energy-Aware Optimization

The compiler performs optimizations specifically targeting energy consumption [11]:

Optimization	Energy Impact	Mechanism
Memory traffic minimization	~100x per DRAM vs cache access	Loop tiling, data layout
SIMD vectorization	2-8x throughput/watt	Automatic vectorization
Power state enablement	Variable	Avoid busy-waits, enable sleep
Code density	10-20% cache efficiency	Instruction selection, inlining

```

# Standard optimization levels
joule build -O0      # No optimization (debugging)
joule build -O2      # Full optimization
joule build -O3      # Aggressive optimization

# Energy-focused optimization
joule build -Oe      # Optimize for energy efficiency
joule build -Oez     # Energy + code size optimization

```

6. Standard Library

6.1 Core Modules

Module	Purpose
core	Fundamental types, traits, primitives
collections	Data structures (Vec, Map, Set, etc.)
io	Input/output operations
net	Networking primitives
async	Asynchronous runtime
compute	Heterogeneous computing abstractions
energy	Energy measurement and budgeting

6.2 Energy Module

```
use energy::{Budget, Meter, Profile}

// Measure energy consumption
let meter = Meter::new()
meter.start()
perform_computation()
let consumption = meter.stop()
println!("Energy used: {} microjoules", consumption.microjoules())

// Check against budget
let budget = Budget::new(500.microjoules)
if consumption > budget {
    log::warn!("Exceeded energy budget by {}", consumption - budget)
}

// Profile a code section
let profile = Profile::measure(|| {
    complex_algorithm()
})
profile.print_report() // Shows energy breakdown by function
```

7. Interoperability

7.1 C Foreign Function Interface

Joule provides zero-overhead C interoperability:

```
// Declare external C functions
extern "C" {
    fn legacy_algorithm(data: *const u8, len: usize) -> i32
}

// Export Joule functions for C consumption
@export
fn joule_entry_point(input: *const u8, len: usize) -> i32 {
    // Safe Joule code wrapping unsafe FFI boundary
    let slice = unsafe { slice::from_raw_parts(input, len) }
    process(slice)
}
```

7.2 WebAssembly Support

```
# Compile to WebAssembly
joule build --target wasm32-unknown-unknown

# With WASI support for system interfaces
joule build --target wasm32-wasi
```

8. Platform Support

Tier 1 (Full support, CI-tested)

- Linux x86_64, aarch64
- macOS x86_64, aarch64 (Apple Silicon)
- Windows x86_64

Tier 2 (Builds, community-tested)

- FreeBSD x86_64
- WebAssembly (wasm32)

Tier 3 (Experimental)

- RISC-V (rv64gc)
 - Embedded ARM (Cortex-M)
-

9. Getting Started

Installation

```
# Unix-like systems
curl -sSf https://joule-lang.org/install.sh | sh

# Verify installation
joule --version
```

Hello World

```
// main.joule
fn main() {
    println!("Hello, sustainable computing!")
}
```

```
joule run main.joule
```

References

- [1] Pereira, R., Couto, M., Ribeiro, F., et al. “Energy Efficiency across Programming Languages.” *Proceedings of the 10th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Software Language Engineering (SLE)*, 2017. <https://joule.openie.dev/research/energy-efficiency-languages>
- [2] Milner, R. “A Theory of Type Polymorphism in Programming.” *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 348-375, 1978. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0000\(78\)90014-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0000(78)90014-4)
- [3] Jung, R., Jourdan, J.H., Krebbers, R., Dreyer, D. “RustBelt: Securing the Foundations of the Rust Programming Language.” *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages*, vol. 2, no. POPL, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3158154>

- [4] Boyapati, C., Lee, R., Rinard, M. “Ownership Types for Safe Programming: Preventing Data Races and Deadlocks.” *Proceedings of OOPSLA*, 2002. <https://doi.org/10.1145/582419.582440>
- [5] Pinto, G., Castor, F., Liu, Y.D. “Mining Questions About Software Energy Consumption.” *Proceedings of the 11th Working Conference on Mining Software Repositories (MSR)*, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2597073.2597110>
- [6] Khan, K.N., Hirki, M., Niemi, T., et al. “RAPL in Action: Experiences in Using RAPL for Power Measurements.” *ACM TOMPECS*, vol. 3, no. 2, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3177754>
- [7] Sutter, H. “Structured Concurrency.” *ISO/IEC JTC1/SC22/WG21*, 2021. <https://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2021/p230oro.html>
- [8] Jouppi, N.P., et al. “In-Datacenter Performance Analysis of a Tensor Processing Unit.” *Proceedings of the 44th Annual International Symposium on Computer Architecture (ISCA)*, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3079856.3080246>
- [9] Lattner, C., Adve, V. “LLVM: A Compilation Framework for Lifelong Program Analysis & Transformation.” *Proceedings of CGO*, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CGO.2004.1281665>
- [10] Lattner, C., et al. “MLIR: Scaling Compiler Infrastructure for Domain Specific Computation.” *Proceedings of CGO*, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CGO51591.2021.9370308>
- [11] Schulte, E., Dorn, J., Harding, S., et al. “Post-compiler Software Optimization for Reducing Energy.” *Proceedings of ASPLOS*, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2541940.2541980>
- [12] Charlot, D.J. “Bounded Entropy in Formal Languages: A Mathematical Foundation for Deterministic Code Generation.” OpenIE Technical Report, December 2025.
- [13] Charlot, D.J. “Cortex: Neural-Symbolic Programming for Energy-Efficient Code Execution.” OpenIE Technical Report, January 2026.
- [14] Charlot, D.J. “Metabolic Cascade Inference: Hardware-Aware Adaptive Routing for Energy-Efficient AI.” OpenIE Technical Report, January 2026.

- [15] Charlot, D.J. “Cortex: The AI-First Programming Language Based on Selective State Spaces.” OpenIE Technical Report, February 2026.
-

This whitepaper describes independent academic research focused on energy-efficient programming language design. Published freely without patent protection under CC BY 4.0 license.

Contact: david@openie.dev | dcharlot@ucsb.edu **Latest Updates:** <https://openie.dev/projects/joule>