

Brief Background & Summary for this Lawsuit

The scientific world today knows only one large and most widely practiced flawed dominant paradigm, which is the 16th-century geocentric paradox/illusion. The BoK (Body of Knowledge) for the geocentric paradox had been accumulated for 1800 years (by relying on the 2300-year-old flawed myth that Earth is at the center) and was filled with mysterious or inexplicable epicycles and retrograde motions.

Astronomy and astrology were one of the most widely practiced academic and scholarly crafts until the 17th century. The magnitude of a scientific discipline can be measured based on the size of its BoK and the research efforts invested to accumulate the huge BoK (e.g., by thousands of researchers for many decades or even centuries).

“By denying scientific principles, one may maintain any paradox.” — Galileo Galilei

This most insightful quote survived the test of the time and established that it is impossible to maintain or sustain any such large and most widely practiced scientific paradox/illusions without denying or violating proven principles of the scientific method.

The scientific method was created by researchers who played major roles in the greatest scientific revolution in the 17th century, particularly to prevent the creation of such scientific paradoxes/illusions based on their painful firsthand experience.

Hence, only fake/junk scientists are ignorant of the proven fundamental principles of the scientific method or justify blatant violations of the proven scientific principles. Only fake/junk scientists try to maintain or sustain a scientific paradox/illusion by ignoring or justifying blatant violations of proven fundamental principles of the scientific method.

The existing dominant paradigm for software engineering is one of the most widely practiced paradigms, having tens of millions of active practitioners today. The existing huge BoK for software engineering has been accumulated by investing huge research efforts of tens of thousands of researchers for over five decades.

We have accumulated a body of evidence that shows that the existing dominant paradigm for software engineering is a flawed paradigm since its huge BoK has been accumulated by relying on 55-year-old flawed first principles that are based on flawed myths and misconceptions.

The scientific foundation for software engineering is a paradox that is under the stranglehold of fake/junk scientists who are determined to maintain or sustain the existing paradox/illusions even after being clearly informed in no uncertain terms by fiercely or even fraudulently defending blatant violations of proven scientific principles.

Isn't it shocking and most deplorable that I am left with no other option but to file a lawsuit to force scientists of the 21st century to learn elementary scientific principles and not justify violating them (to expose deadly scientific crises and illusions)?