

#### Stack Information

Name: Project ordgp  
Description: Description of ordgp.  
Version: WIP  
Date Created: 2022-01-21T10:15:30  
Git Commit: 1e84b5100e09d9b6c5ea1b6c2ccee8957391beec  
Git Tag: ods-generated-v3.0-3.0-0b11-D  
Git URL: http://wiremockTest/ordgp/ordgp-releasemanager  
OpenShift Cluster API URL: https://openshift-sample  
Created by Jenkins Job Name: ordgp-cd/ordgp-releasemanager  
Created by Jenkins Build Number: 13

## Configuration and Installation Testing Plan for 'Project ordgp'

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1 [PURPOSE](#)
- 2 [SCOPE](#)
- 3 [ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES](#)
- 4 [OVERVIEW OF TECHNICAL ARCHITECTURE](#)
- 5 [TEST CASES](#)
- 6 [CIT DISCREPANCY REPORT](#)
- 7 [CONCLUSION](#)
- 8 [DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS](#)
  - 8.1 [DEFINITIONS](#)
  - 8.2 [ABBREVIATIONS](#)
- 9 [REFERENCE DOCUMENTS](#)
- 10 [DOCUMENT HISTORY](#)
- 11 [TEST CASES TEST GROUP 01 COMPONENTS](#)
  - 11.1 [SERVER](#)
  - 11.2 [VERIFICATION OF COMPUTER ROOM CONDITIONS](#)
  - 11.3 [REPOSITORY TYPE](#)
- 12 [TEST CASES TEST GROUP 02 SYSTEM LEVEL](#)
  - 12.1 [OPERATIONAL DOCUMENTS ON SYSTEM LEVEL](#)
  - 12.2 [CONFIGURATION DATABASE CMDB](#)

## **1 PURPOSE**

The purpose of the Configuration and Installation Testing Plan (hereafter referred to as CIT for Installation Qualification) is to check the performance of installation activities, demonstrating that the installation performed for all system hardware, network components, system software, application software, client desktop, and associated peripherals was performed according to the System and Software Design Specifications taking into account the manufacturer and software supplier specifications. Furthermore the existence of all needed documents for the operation of the system will be checked.

For details, please see System and Software Design Specification including Source Code Review Report, referenced in the 'Reference Documents' section.

## 2 SCOPE

Automated Configuration and Installation Testing for software components will be performed, based on the below components (and their type):

Component Name	Repository Type	Installed by ODS
<i>backend</i>	ods	true
<i>frontend</i>	ods	true
<i>test</i>	ods-test	false

Attention: Chapters 12 and 13 of the original LeVA template do not apply, since they are not relevant if documentation is generated in an automated way.

Hardware verification is out of scope, similar runtime platform verification, because those are qualified.  
||Runtime Platform||Qualification Summary Report||  
|BI-IT-CONTAINER-PAAS|tbd|

### 3 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Role	Responsibility
Jenkins (Technical Role)	To run the verification of the system, execute tests if applicable and collect all the needed information.
Test Administrator	In case of full automation (and no further test cases) - N/A, otherwise Test Administrators will supervise the Administrator execution of the test by the Testers and will review the test cases.
Tester	In case of full automation (and no further test cases) - N/A, otherwise Testers will execute the test cases and document the results.

#### **4 OVERVIEW OF TECHNICAL ARCHITECTURE**

This Plan considers correctly all relevant content of the System and Software Design Specification including Source Code Review Report - see section *Reference Documents*. The referenced System and Software Design Specification including Source Code Review Report is generated within the same Jenkins Build Run as this IVP/R - so consistency, and traceability is always given. The report will provide the actually referenced version.

## 5 TEST CASES

Automated test cases contained within the application components mentioned in the scope section were executed at this point.

Other test cases:

Test case	SSDS Ref.	Passed (Y/N)
ORDGP-147: Installation Test 1	ORDGP-146	

## **6 CIT DISCREPANCY REPORT**

All discrepancies that occur during the CIT execution will be recorded in the respective Jenkins Build Run XML logfile. The Build Run will stop.

## **7 CONCLUSION**

The conclusion will be drawn on basis of the test results and documented in this chapter of the IVR.



## 8 DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS

### 8.1 DEFINITIONS

Term	Definition
Jenkins	Build engine supplied by cloudbees - part of OpenDevStack (BI-IT-DEVSTACK)
xUnit	Unit testing framework, aggregaults across multiple languages

### 8.2 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Meaning
ODS	OpenDevStack
EDP	Enterprise Development Platform

## 9 REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

- System and Software Design Specification including Source Code Review Plan (version BI-IT-DEVSTACK / WIP-13-WIP)
- Overall Development Test Report (version BI-IT-DEVSTACK / WIP-13-WIP)
- Overall Technical Installation Report (version BI-IT-DEVSTACK / WIP-13-WIP)

## 10 DOCUMENT HISTORY

The following table provides extra history of the document.

Version	Date	Author	Reference
	See summary of electronic document or signature page of printout.		

## **11 TEST CASES TEST GROUP 01 COMPONENTS**

### **11.1 SERVER**

Containers are volatile, that means the underlying platform decides depending on resource usage and consumption - where (on which server(s)) and how a container is run.

Only the TIR (that is created as part of the installation) contains the information where a pod was located at that point in time - hence we leave this information out of the CIT, which is generated at a later point in time.

### **11.2 VERIFICATION OF COMPUTER ROOM CONDITIONS**

Containers are volatile, that means the underlying platform decides depending on resource usage and consumption - where (on which server(s)) and how a container is run.

Only the TIR (that is created as part of the installation) contains the information where a pod was located at that point in time - hence we leave this information out of the CIT, which is generated at a later point in time.

### **11.3 REPOSITORY TYPE**

#### **11.3.1 ODS**

N/A

#### **11.3.2 ODS-SERVICE**

N/A

## 12 TEST CASES TEST GROUP 02 SYSTEM LEVEL

### • 12.1 OPERATIONAL DOCUMENTS ON SYSTEM LEVEL

Operational documents at system level:

System level monitoring:

Config Item	Description	Items Doc Id (Plan / Report)
BI-IT-Container-PAAS	The platform that runs ODS based applications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Plan ID: ...</li><li>Release date:</li><li>Report ID: ....</li><li>Release date:</li></ul>

System level backup :

Config Item	Description	Items Doc Id (Plan / Report)
BI-IT-Container-PAAS	The platform that runs ODS based applications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Plan ID: ...</li><li>Release date:</li><li>Report ID: ....</li><li>Release date:</li></ul>

System level restore/recovery:

Config Item	Description	Items Doc Id (Plan / Report)
BI-IT-Container-PAAS	The platform that runs ODS based applications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Plan ID: ...</li><li>Release date:</li><li>Report ID: ....</li><li>Release date:</li><li></li></ul>

System Operational instructions:

Config Item	Description	Items Doc Id (Plan / Report)
BI-IT-Container-PAAS	The platform that runs ODS based applications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Plan ID: ...</li><li>Release date:</li><li>Report ID: ....</li><li>Release date:</li><li></li></ul>

Service Agreement :

Config Item	Description	Items Doc Id
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Document ID: ...</li><li>Release date:</li></ul>

AAP/IAP/TAP :

Config Item	Description	Items Doc Id
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Document ID: ...</li><li>Release date:</li></ul>

Configuration and Installation Testing Plan, Config Item: BI-IT-DEVSTACK  
Doc ID/Version: see auto-generated cover page

• 12.2 CONFIGURATION DATABASE CMDB

Configuration Data of Config Item 'Project ordgp' is correct and verified

Instructions	Expected result / Evidence	Observed result	Comments
<b>Role assignment:</b> Check if name of contact persons are entered to CMDBWeb: IT System Lead Validation Manager System Owner CSV&C function	<p>The diagram illustrates the Galaxy Project ecosystem. It shows the flow from Tool Developer (via Workshops Hackathons) to Tools (Bioconda) and Packaging (Docker). These lead to Registries (Workflow Hub, BioContainers, OpenAIRE). The ecosystem also includes Workflows (Galaxy Project, Nextflow, Snakemake) and WP7 Infrastructure (Galaxy Project, Kubernetes, OpenStack). The final stage is Testing (OpenEBench, Galaxy WF-testing, Life monitor). The diagram is powered by SEEK.</p>	RAL is required and available <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No CMDB entries are correct <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
<b>Related components:</b> Check if components are related to Environments as described in System Design Specification.	Components are related to Environments as listed in System Design Specification	CMDB entries are correct <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
<b>Emergency class:</b> Check if emergency class is correctly set according to the related specification document	Emergency class per environment (env) is correctly set <enter defined class number per environment> Productive env: class Validation env: class Training env: class	Productive env: Validation env: Training env:	

Configuration and Installation Testing Plan, Config Item: BI-IT-DEVSTACK  
Doc ID/Version: see auto-generated cover page

<b>GxP relevance</b>	Suspendisse potenti. Cras ante quam, hendrerit vel massa quis, ultricies pellentesque mauris. Pellentesque eu odio dictum, luctus massa vitae, dignissim enim. Morbi pretium massa quis nunc pharetra, id faucibus purus condimentum. Sed augue lacus, faucibus in erat non, rutrum rhoncus dolor. Proin ornare rutrum tristique. In dictum purus sit amet justo dignissim tristique. Sed ligula ante, tempus non turpis eget, iaculis consequat dui. Aenean orci tortor, interdum at magna vitae, euismod lacinia odio. Nullam ac ante orci. Quisque in mattis purus. Maecenas volutpat bibendum felis, in porttitor dui imperdiet et. Mauris ac feugiat lacus. Interdum et malesuada fames ac ante ipsum primis in faucibus. Maecenas feugiat, turpis nec finibus pellentesque, lectus arcu pellentesque ex, in tempus metus velit sit amet purus. Phasellus ut volutpat orci.	Actual setting in CMDB:	
<b>Support category:</b> Check if support category is correctly set according to the related specification document	Support category per environment (env) is correctly set <enter defined category number per environment> <i>Productive env: class</i> <i>Validation env: class</i> <i>Training env: class</i>	<i>Productive env:</i> <i>Validation env:</i> <i>Training env:</i>	