

# Privacy Proofs for OpenDP: Row Transform

Grace Tian

Summer 2021

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Algorithm Implementation</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Code in Rust	1
1.2	Pseudo Code in Python	1
<b>2</b>	<b>Proof</b>	<b>2</b>

## 1 Algorithm Implementation

### 1.1 Code in Rust

The current OpenDP library contains the `make_row_by_row` function implementing the row transform function. This is defined in lines 10-26 of the file `manipulation.rs` in the Git repository (<https://github.com/opendp/opendp/blob/main/rust/opendp/src/trans/manipulation.rs#L10-L26>).

```
9  /// Constructs a ['Transformation'] representing an arbitrary row-by-row transformation.
10 pub(crate) fn make_row_by_row<'a, DIA, DOA, M, F: 'static + Fn(&DIA::Carrier) -> DOA::Carrier>(
11     atom_input_domain: DIA,
12     atom_output_domain: DOA,
13     atom_function: F
14 ) -> Fallible<Transformation<VectorDomain<DIA>, VectorDomain<DOA>, M, M>>
15     where DIA: Domain, DOA: Domain,
16           DIA::Carrier: 'static, DOA::Carrier: 'static,
17           M: DatasetMetric {
18     Ok(Transformation::new(
19         VectorDomain::new(atom_input_domain),
20         VectorDomain::new(atom_output_domain),
21         Function::new(move |arg: &Vec<DIA::Carrier>|
22             arg.iter().map(|v| atom_function(v)).collect()),
23         M::default(),
24         M::default(),
25         StabilityRelation::new_from_constant(1_u32)))
26 }
```

### 1.2 Pseudo Code in Python

#### Preconditions

To ensure the correctness of the output, we require the following preconditions:

- User-specified types:

- Variable `atom_input_domain` has type `DIA`
- Variable `atom_output_domain` has type `DOA`
- Variable `atom_function` has type `F`
- Types `DIA` and `DOA` have trait `Domain`
- Type `F` has trait `Fn(DIA::Carrier) -> DOA::Carrier)` (grace) Ask Mike about this.

## Postconditions

- A `Transformation` is returned (i.e., if a `Transformation` cannot be returned successfully, then an error should be returned).

```

1 def make_row_by_row(atom_input_domain : DIA, atom_output_domain : DOA,
2   atom_function : F):
3   input_domain = VectorDomain(DIA);
4   output_domain = VectorDomain(DOA)
5   input_metric = SymmetricDistance()
6   output_metric = SymmetricDistance()
7
8   def Relation(d_in : u32, d_out : u32) -> bool:
9     return d_out <= d_in*1
10
11   def function(data : Vec(DIA)) -> Vec(DOA):
12     return list(map(atom_function, data))
13
14   return Transformation(input_domain, output_domain, function,
15     input_metric, output_metric, stability_relation=Relation)

```

## 2 Proof

The necessary definitions for the proof can be found at [“List of definitions used in the proofs”](#).

**Theorem 2.1.** *For every setting of the input parameters (`atom_input_domain`, `atom_output_domain`, `atom_function`) to `make_row_by_row` such that the given preconditions hold, the transformation returned by `make_row_by_row` has the following properties:*

1. (Appropriate output domain). *For every element  $v$  in `input_domain`, `function(v)` is in `output_domain`.*
2. (Domain-metric compatibility). *The domain `input_domain` matches one of the possible domains listed in the definition of `input_metric`, and likewise `output_domain` matches one of the possible domains listed in the definition of `output_metric`.*
3. (Stability guarantee). *For every pair of elements  $v, w$  in `input_domain` and for every pair  $(d\_in, d\_out)$ , where  $d\_in$  is of the associated type for `input_metric` and  $d\_out$  is the associated type for `output_metric`, if  $v, w$  are  $d\_in$ -close under `input_metric` and `Relation(d_in, d_out) = True`, then `function(v), function(w)` are  $d\_out$ -close under `output_metric`.*

*Proof.* 1. **(Appropriate output domain).** In the case of `make_row_by_row`, this corresponds to showing that for every vector  $v$  of elements of type `DIA`, `function(v)` is a vector of elements of type `DOA`.

The `function(v)` has type `Vec(DOA)` follows from the assumption that element  $v$  is in `input_domain` and from the type signature of `function` in line 10 of the pseudocode (Section 1.2), which takes in an element of type `Vec(DIA)` and returns an element of type `Vec(DOA)`. If the Rust code compiles correctly, then the type correctness follows from the definition of the type signature enforced by Rust. Otherwise, the code raises an exception for incorrect input type.

(grace) I think checking type signature is sufficient for this pf.

2. **(Domain-metric compatibility).** The Symmetric distance is both the `input_metric` and `output_metric`. Symmetric distance is compatible with `VectorDomain(T)` for any generic type `T`, as stated in “List of definitions used in the pseudocode”. The theorem holds because for `make_is_equal`, the input domain is `VectorDomain(DIA)` and the output domain is `VectorDomain(DOA)`.
3. **(Stability guarantee).** From Lemma 3.1 in “List of definitions used in the proofs” on the symmetric distance of row transform, we know that

$$d_{Sym}(\text{function}(v), \text{function}(w)) \leq d_{Sym}(v, w).$$

Because `Relation(d_in, d_out) = True`, it follows that  $d_{in} \leq d_{out}$  by the `is_equal` stability relation defined in the pseudocode. Since vector inputs  $v, w$  are  $d_{in}$ -close, then the symmetric distance is bounded by  $d_{in}$  by definition the symmetric distance is bounded by  $d_{in}$ :  $d_{Sym}(v, w) \leq d_{in}$ . It finally follows that the transformations are  $d_{out}$ -close:  $d_{Sym}(\text{function}(v), \text{function}(w)) \leq d_{out}$ .

□