# Privacy Proofs for OpenDP: Row Fallible Transform

#### Grace Tian

#### Summer 2021

#### Contents

1	Algorithm Implementation		
	1.1	Code in Rust	1
	1.2	Pseudo Code in Python	1
2	Pro	of	2

## 1 Algorithm Implementation

#### 1.1 Code in Rust

The current OpenDP library contains the make\_row\_by\_row function implementing the row transform function. This is defined in lines 29-46 of the file manipulation.rs in the Git repository (https://github.com/opendp/opendp/blob/main/rust/opendp/src/trans/manipulation.rs#L29-L46).

### 1.2 Pseudo Code in Python

#### **Preconditions**

To ensure the correctness of the output, we require the following preconditions:

• User-specified types:

- Variable atom\_input\_domain has type DIA
- Variable atom\_output\_domain has type DOA
- Variable atom\_function has type F
- Types DIA and DOA have trait Domain
- Type F has trait Fn(&DIA::Carrier) -> Fallible(DOA::Carrier) (grace) Ask
   Mike about this.

#### **Postconditions**

• A Transformation is returned (i.e., if a Transformation cannot be returned successfully, then an error should be returned).

```
1 def make_row_by_row(atom_input_domain : DIA, atom_output_domain : DOA,
     atom_function : F):
      input_domain = VectorDomain(DIA);
      output_domain = VectorDomain(DOA)
3
      input_metric = SymmetricDistance()
4
      output_metric = SymmetricDistance()
5
6
      def Relation(d_in : u32, d_out : u32) -> bool:
          return d_out <= d_in*1</pre>
9
      # how do I incorporate "fallible" for function call?
10
      def function(data : Vec(DIA)) -> Vec(DOA):
11
          return list(map(atom_function, data))
12
13
      return Transformation(input_domain, output_domain, function,
14
      input_metric, output_metric, stability_relation=Relation)
```

#### 2 Proof

The necessary definitions for the proof can be found at "List of definitions used in the proofs".

Theorem 2.1. For every setting of the input parameters (atom\_input\_domain, atom\_output\_domain, atom\_function) to make\_row\_by\_row such that the given preconditions hold, the transformation returned by make\_row\_by\_row has the following properties:

- 1. (Appropriate output domain). For every element v in  $input\_domain$ , function(v) is in  $output\_domain$ .
- 2. (Domain-metric compatibility). The domain input\_domain matches one of the possible domains listed in the definition of input\_metric, and likewise output\_domain matches one of the possible domains listed in the definition of output\_metric.
- 3. (Stability guarantee). For every pair of elements v, w in  $input\_domain$  and for every pair  $(d\_in, d\_out)$ , where  $d\_in$  is of the associated type for  $input\_metric$  and  $d\_out$  is the associated type for  $output\_metric$ , if v, w are  $d\_in$ -close under  $input\_metric$  and  $Relation(d\_in, d\_out) = True$ , then function(v), function(w) are  $d\_out$ -close under  $output\_metric$ .

*Proof.* 1. (Appropriate output domain). In the case of make\_row\_by\_row, this corresponds to showing that for every vector v of elements of type DIA, function(v) is a vector of elements of type DOA.

The function(v) has type Vec(DOA) follows from the assumption that element v is in input\_domain and from the type signature of function in line 11 of the pseudocode (Section 1.2), which takes in an element of type Vec(DIA) and returns an element of type Vec(DOA). If the Rust code compiles correctly, then the type correctness follows from the definition of the type signature enforced by Rust. Otherwise, the code raises an exception for incorrect input type.

(grace) I think checking type signature is sufficient for this pf. How should this change if it is fallible?

- 2. (Domain-metric compatibility). The Symmetric distance is both the input\_metric and output\_metric. Symmetric distance is compatible with VectorDomain(T) for any generic type T, as stated in "List of definitions used in the pseudocode". The theorem holds because for make\_row\_by\_row\_fallible, the input domain is VectorDomain(DIA) and the output domain is VectorDomain(DOA).
- 3. (Stability guarantee). From Lemma 3.1 in "List of definitions used in the proofs" on the symmetric distance of row transform, we know that

$$d_{Sum}(\texttt{function}(v),\texttt{function}(w)) \leq d_{Sum}(v,w).$$

(grace) Not sure how to change this for fallible.

Because Relation(d\_in, d\_out) = True, it follows that d\_in  $\leq$  d\_out by the is\_equal stability relation defined in the pseduocode. Since vector inputs v, w are d\_in-close, then the symmetric distance is bounded by d\_in by definition the symmetric distance is bounded by  $d_{in}$ :  $d_{Sym}(v, w) \leq$  d\_in. It finally follows that the transformations are d\_out-close:  $d_{Sym}(\text{function}(v), \text{function}(w)) \leq$  d\_out.

3