Privacy Proofs for OpenDP: Impute Constant Transformation

Grace Tian

Summer 2021

Contents

1	Algorithm Implementation		1
	1.1 Code in F	Rust	. 1
	1.2 Pseudo C	ode in Python	. 1
	1.3 Proof		2

1 Algorithm Implementation

1.1 Code in Rust

The current OpenDP library contains the make_impute_constant function implementing the impute constant function. This is defined in lines 62-75 of the file impute.rs in the Git repository (https://github.com/opendp/opendp/blob/21-impute/rust/opendp/src/trans/impute.rs#L62-L75).

1.2 Pseudo Code in Python

Preconditions

To ensure the correctness of the output, we require the following preconditions:

• User-specified types:

- Variable constant must be of type DA::NonNull
- Type DA must have traits ImputableDomain.
- DA::NonNull must have traits Clone

Postconditions

• Either a valid Transformation is returned or an error is returned.

```
def make_impute_constant(constant : DA::NonNull):
      # instead of VectorDomain(DA), we add ::new() to get a new instance of
      DA. This is because DA has the ImputableDomain trait. Discuss among
      interns.
      input_domain = VectorDomain(DA::new())
3
      output_domain = VectorDomain(AllDomain(DA::NonNull))
4
      input_metric = SymmetricDistance()
5
      output_metric = SymmetricDistance()
6
      # check constant for nullity first
      assert(not constant.is_null); # not DA::is_null(constant)
9
      def Relation(d_in: u32, d_out: u32) -> bool:
10
          return d_out >= d_in*1
11
12
      def function(data: Vec[DA::Carrier]) -> Vec[DA::NonNull]:
13
          def impute_constant(x: DA) -> DA::NonNull:
14
              return constant if x.is_null else x
16
          return list(map(impute_constant, data))
17
      return Transformation(input_domain, output_domain, function,
18
      input_metric, output_metric, stability_relation=Relation)
      # return make_row_by_row(input_domain, output_domain, impute_constant);
19
```

(grace) Will need to change pseudocode so that it returns the result of a make row by row transformation (which the code does) instead of a Transformation directly.

1.3 Proof

(grace) Should this go in the proofs list? (grace) I'm also confused why we have implementation of DA::NonNull but it doesn't do what the name says. Seems pretty counter intuitive.

Lemma 1.1 (DA::NonNull contains null). var of type DA::NonNull can be of type null.

Proof. Let the domain of atom variable DA be InherentNullDomain<664>>. Recall that InherentNullDomain exists for types that can represent null inherently in the carrier type. Then the type

```
DA::NonNull == InherentNullDomain<AllDomain<f64>>::NonNull == f64.
```

The latter holds because in the InherentNullDomain implementation in the rust code https://github.com/opendp/opendp/blob/main/rust/opendp/src/trans/impute.rs# L48-L56, the type NonNull = Self::Carrier. The Carrier of VectorDomain<AllDomain<T>> has type T, so in this case the ::Carrier is type f64.

Therefore var is also of type f64. f64 can contain null values, so we are done.

Theorem 1.2. For every setting of the input parameters constant to make_impute_constant such that the given preconditions hold, the transformation returned by make_impute_constant has the following properties:

- 1. (Appropriate output domain). If vector v is in the input_domain, then function(v) is in the output_domain.
- 2. (Domain-Metric Compatibility). The domain input_domain matches one of the possible domains listed in the definition of input_metric, and likewise output_domain matches one of the possible domains listed in the definition of output_metric.
- 3. (Stability Guarantee). For every pair of elements v, w in $input_domain$ and for every pair (d_in, d_out) , where d_in is of the associated type for $input_metric$ and d_out is the associated type for $output_metric$, if v, w are d_{in} -close under $input_metric$ and $Relation(d_in, d_out) = True$, then function(v), function(w) are d_{out} -close under $output_metric$.
- Proof. 1. (Appropriate output domain). (grace) Things to confirm: (1) is the type signature correct (input and output type)? The input and output domain correct? (2) What is the reason the type signature is not sufficient? Is it because the output type is more general than the output domain? Or is it theoretically because constant can be null value even if it has the type DA::NonNull? (3) so, is it correct that a variable with type DA::NonNull can contain null values?

In the case of make_impute_constant, this corresponds to showing that for every vector v of elements of type DA, function(v) is a vector of elements of type DA::NonNull. We can also say that function(v) is a vector of elements that does not contain any NonNull values.

The function(v) has type Vec(DA) follows from the assumption that element v is in input_domain and from the type signature of function in line 13 of the pseudocode (Section 1.2), which takes in an element of type Vec(DA) and returns an element of type Vec(DA::NonNull). If the Rust code compiles correctly, then the type correctness follows from the definition of the type signature enforced by Rust. Otherwise, the code raises an exception for incorrect input type.

Lemma 1.1 tells us the type signature is not sufficient check because (1) the output type of VectorDomain(DA::NonNull) is more general than the output domain of VectorDomain(DA::NonNull). (grace) ?? The output type matches the output domain I wrote, so something's wrong. (2) constant can be null even if it is of type DA::NonNull. For (2), if the constant is null, then impute_constant function (line ??) could potentially return null values since it replaces a null input with constant.

- (1) and (2) are resolved because in the Rust code and pseudo code we check whether constant is null in pseudocode line 9.
- 2. (Domain-metric compatibility). The Symmetric distance is both the input_metric and output_metric. Symmetric distance is compatible with VectorDomain(T) for any generic type T, as stated in "List of definitions used in the pseudocode". The theorem holds because for make_impute_constant, the input domain is VectorDomain(DA) and the output domain is VectorDomain(AllDomain(DA::NonNull)).

3. (Stability guarantee). We know that $d_{in} \leq d_{out}$ because Relation (d_{in}, d_{out}) = True. Since the vectors v, w are d_{in} -close, then $d_{Sym}(v, w) \leq d_{in}$.

The function transformation just replaces the null element in vectors v and w with constant. Since the null element is also counted toward the symmetric distance of the transformation, the symmetric distance of function(v) and function(w) stays the same. Therefore the transformation is d_{out} close: $d_{sym}(\text{function}(v), \text{function}(w)) = d_{sym}(v, w) \le d_{in} \le d_{out}$

4