Privacy Proofs for OpenDP: MakeCount

${\bf Connor~Wagaman-wagaman@college.harvard.edu}$

September 4, 2021

Contents

1	MakeCount		1
	1.1	Version of definitions docs	1
	1.2	Implementation of MakeCount in Rust	2
	1.3	Implementation of MakeCount in Python-style pseudocode, with preconditions	2
2	Pro	ofs for the pseudocode	4

Note: This proof document incorporates all suggestions made as of Sept. 4, 2021. The only "todos" that remain are those that concern inserting versioned links to the definitions documents. These documents will hopefully be pushed within the next two days, after which I will add the versioned links. There is also one question about the proof of lemma 2.3 (this lemma is new); the question appears immediately after the proof of the lemma.

1 MakeCount

1.1 Version of definitions docs

This document uses the version of the definitions docs published as of September 3, 2021. These documents are available at

TODO: Insert URL to version of definitions docs.

1.2 Implementation of MakeCount in Rust

In OpenDP (Rust), this is called make_count. See https://github.com/opendp/opendp/blob/main/rust/opendp/src/trans/count.rs.

This proof is based on the code in https://github.com/opendp/opendp/blob/c3b5c3bd9fc50c556362b628f08c5fddea069b4d/rust/opendp/src/trans/count.rs#L14-L27 from 12 July 2021. (It is from this pull request.) The Rust code can also be seen below.

```
pub fn make_count < TIA, TO > (
2 ) -> Fallible < Transformation < VectorDomain < AllDomain < TIA >> ,
     AllDomain <TO>, SymmetricDistance, AbsoluteDistance <TO>>>
      where T0: ExactIntCast <usize> + One + DistanceConstant <</pre>
4
     IntDistance>, IntDistance: InfCast<T0> {
      Ok(Transformation::new(
6
          VectorDomain::new_all(),
          AllDomain::new(),
          // think of this as: min(arg.len(), TO::MAX_CONSECUTIVE)
9
          Function::new(move | arg: &Vec<TIA>|
               TO::exact_int_cast(arg.len()).unwrap_or(TO::
     MAX_CONSECUTIVE)),
          SymmetricDistance::default(),
          AbsoluteDistance::default(),
13
          StabilityRelation::new_from_constant(TO::one())))
14
15 }
```

1.3 Implementation of MakeCount in Python-style pseudocode, with preconditions

We now use Python-style pseudocode to present a representation of the Rust function.

Recall that functions in the pseudocode are defined in the document "List of definitions used in the pseudocode".

TODO: provide versioned link to the "List of definitions used in the pseudocode"

The use of code-style parameters in the preconditions section below (for example, input_domain) means that this information should be passed along to the Transformation constructor.

Here, we use preconditions to check for traits, and to specify the domains and metrics.

Preconditions

- User-specified types: The make_count function takes two inputs: a generic input type TIA for the Transformation (meaning that the input vector to Transformation is of type Vec(TIA)), and a generic output type TO for the Transformation.
 - To has traits One, ExactIntCast(usize), and DistanceConstant(IntDistance). Examples: u32 and i64 have these traits because they have (1) a multiplicative identity element, (2) every value of type usize that falls within the minimum and maximum consecutive integers of type u32 has an exact representation of type u32 (the same applies for i64), and (3) multiplication and division apply to types u32 and i64, values of type u32 and i64 have a partial ordering, and every value of type usize can be inf_casted to a value of type u32 (meaning that the inf_cast will either result in an error or will result in a value of type u32 that is at least as large as the input value of type usize; this also applies for i64).
 - Currently, the ExactIntCast and DistanceConstant traits are implemented for casting between all numeric types, with the exception of InfCast not being implemented for going to or from usize and isize (and thus DistanceConstant not being implemented). Therefore, usize and isize do not have these traits.
 - IntDistance has trait InfCast(T0). (Note that this bullet point is not needed in this proof, but it is needed in the code so a hint can be constructed; otherwise a binary search would be needed to construct the hint.)
 - Examples: Recall that IntDistance is an alias for the type u32. IntDistance has trait InfCast(u32), because any unsigned 32-bit integer can be converted to an unsigned 32-bit integer (type IntDistance) that is at least as big. On the other hand, IntDistance has trait InfCast(u64), because every value of type u64 can either be infcasted to a value of type u32 that is at least as large, or an error can be returned.
 - On the other hand, IntDistance does not have trait InfCast(usize) because InfCast is not implemented for going to or from usize.

Question: Salil had recommended that examples of types that do and don't work be provided. Should these examples and anti-examples be provided? Should I just say, "u32 has these traits", or should I provide the description for why it has these traits (as I did above)?

Postconditions: a valid Transformation must be returned (i.e. if a Transformation cannot be returned successfully, a runtime error should be returned)

```
def MakeCount(TIA, TO):
      input_domain = VectorDomain(AllDomain(TIA))
3
      output_domain = AllDomain(TO)
      input_metric = SymmetricDistance()
      output_metric = AbsoluteDistance(TO)
6
      # give the Transformation the following properties
      max_value = get_max_consecutive_int(T0)
9
      def function(data: Vec<TIA>) -> TO:
              return exact_int_cast(len(data), T0)
12
          except FailedCast:
              return max_value
14
      def stability_relation(din:IntDistance, dout:T0) -> bool:
          return 1 * inf_cast(din,T0) <= dout</pre>
      # now, return the Transformation
18
      return Transformation(input_domain,output_domain,function,
     input_metric,output_metric,stability_relation)
```

2 Proofs for the pseudocode

Theorem 2.1. For every setting of the input parameters TIA, TO for MakeCount such that the given preconditions hold, MakeCount raises an exception (at compile time or run time) or returns a valid Transformation with the following properties:

- 1. (Appropriate output domain). For every vector v in the input_domain, function(v) is in the output_domain.
- 2. (Domain-metric compatibility). The domain input_domain matches one of the possible domains listed in the definition of input_metric, and likewise output_domain matches one of the possible domains listed in the definition of output_metric.
- 3. (Stability guarantee). For every input \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} drawn from the $input_domain$ and for every pair (d_{in}, d_{out}) , where d_{in} is of type $\mathbf{u}32$ and d_{out} is of type $\mathbf{T0}$ (see line 15 of the pseudocode), if \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} are d_{in} -close under the $input_metric$ and $stability_relation(din, dout) = True$, then $function(\mathbf{u})$, $function(\mathbf{v})$ are d_{out} -close under the $output_metric$.

Proof. (Part 1 – appropriate output domain). In line 4 of the pseudocode, we have output_domain = AllDomain(TO), so every value of type TO is in the output_domain, and in line 10 of the Python-style pseudocode, we see that the

function is always guaranteed to return a value of type TO. Because Rust employs "type checking", if the Rust code compiles correctly, then the type correctness follows from the definition of the type signature enforced by Rust. Otherwise, the code raises an exception for incorrect input type. Therefore, since our output domain is any value of type TO, we see that function has the appropriate output domain output_domain.

Proof. (Part 2 – domain-metric compatibility).

The input_domain is VectorDomain(AllDomain(TIA)). Because our input_metric of SymmetricDistance is compatible with any domain of the form VectorDomain(inner_domain), and because VectorDomain(AllDomain(TIA)) is of this form, we see that it is compatible with our input_metric of SymmetricDistance.

The output_domain is AllDomain(TO). Because our output_metric of AbsoluteDistance(TO) is compatible with any domain of the form AllDomain(T) where T has the trait Sub(Output=T), and because AllDomain(TO) is of this form and TO has the necessary trait, we see that it is compatible with our output_metric of AbsoluteDistance(TO).

Proof. (Part 3 – stability relation). We consider two inputs: a vector u of elements of type TIA; and a vector v of elements of type TIA. (This input_domain is specified in the pseudocode in section 1.3.)

Assume it is the case that $\mathtt{stability_relation}(d_{in}, d_{out}) = \mathtt{True}$. From the stability relation provided on line 16, this means that $\mathtt{inf_cast}(d_{in}, \mathtt{TO}) \leq d_{out}$. From the pseudocode definitions file at

TODO: Add versioned link to pseudocode definitions file

we know that inf_cast will cast d_{in} to a value at least as large as d_{in} , so this assumption that stability_relation is True also means that $d_{in} \leq d_{out}$. Also assume that v, v are d_{in} -close under the symmetric distance metric (in accordance with the input_metric specified in the preconditions in section 1.3).

We now refer to the definition of symmetric distance provided in the proof definitions document;

TODO: Add versioned link to proof defns doc

the definition is copied here for convenience:

Definition 2.2 (Symmetric distance). Let u, v be vectors of elements drawn from domain \mathcal{X} . Define $h_v(\ell)$ as the multiplicity of element ℓ in vector v. For example, if v contains five instances of the number "21", then $h_v(21) = 5$.

A definition of the symmetric distance between u and v, then, is

$$d_{\text{Sym}}(u,v) = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} |h_u(z) - h_v(z)|.$$

TODO: Add versioned link to proof defns doc.

Combining the assumptions that $inf_{-cast}(d_{in}, T0) \leq d_{out}$ and that v, w are d_{in} -close under the symmetric distance metric means that

$$d_{\text{Sym}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \le d_{\text{in}} \le d_{\text{out}}.$$
 (1)

Let \mathcal{X} be the domain of all elements of type TIA. Therefore, we see that the symmetric distance between u and v is

$$d_{\text{Sym}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} |h_{\mathbf{u}}(z) - h_{\mathbf{v}}(z)| \le d_{\text{in}} \le d_{\text{out}}.$$
 (2)

Lemma 2.3. For vector v with each element $\ell \in v$ drawn from domain \mathcal{X} , $len(v) = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} h_v(z)$.

Proof. Every element $\ell \in v$ is drawn from domain \mathcal{X} , so summing over all $z \in \mathcal{X}$ will sum over every element $\ell \in x$. Recall that definition 2.2 states that $h_v(z)$ will return the number of occurrences of value z in vector v. Therefore, $\sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} h_v(z)$ is the sum of the number of occurrences of each unique value; this is equivalent to the total number of items in the vector. By the definition of len

TODO: link to versioned pseudocode defs

, then, $\sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} h_v(z)$ is equivalent to len(v).

Question: I am unsure whether the proof of lemma 2.3 is good. It seems clear to me that $len(v) = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} h_v(z)$, so I had trouble knowing what needs to be written and what doesn't need to be written.

We now prove that len(u) and len(v) are d_{out}-close. By lemma 2.3, we know that len(v) = $\sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} h_v(z)$. Substituting, we have

$$|\mathtt{len(u)} - \mathtt{len(v)}| = |\sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} h_{\mathtt{u}}(z) - \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} h_{\mathtt{v}}(z)| = |\sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} \left(h_{\mathtt{u}}(z) - h_{\mathtt{v}}(z)\right)|. \tag{3}$$

By the triangle inequality,

$$\left| \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} \left(h_{\mathbf{u}}(z) - h_{\mathbf{v}}(z) \right) \right| \le \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} \left| h_{\mathbf{u}}(z) - h_{\mathbf{v}}(z) \right|. \tag{4}$$

Therefore, combining equation 3 and inequality 4, we have that

$$|\mathrm{len(u)} - \mathrm{len(v)}| \le \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} |h_{\mathrm{u}}(z) - h_{\mathrm{v}}(z)|. \tag{5}$$

Combining inequalities 5 and 2, we have

$$|len(u) - len(v)| \le d_{out},$$
 (6)

so len(u) and len(v) must be d_{out} -close. This, however, does not complete the proof that the stability relation holds because function(u) does not return len(u), but either exact_cast(len(u),T0) or - in the event exact_cast fails - get_max_consecutive_int(T0).

We now consider the two cases that could occur:

1. (Without loss of generality, exact_cast(len(u),T0) fails and exact_cast(len(v),T0) succeeds). Because TO has trait ExactIntCast(usize), if the exact_cast fails for len(u), we then know that len(u) is greater than get_max_consecutive_int(TO). Likewise, if the exact_cast succeeds for len(v), we then know that len(v) is no larger than get_max_consecutive_int(TO). Therefore, because the return value get_max_consecutive_int(TO) for u is smaller than the true length value len(u), the absolute difference between the output for u and the output for v will be smaller than the absolute distance between len(u) and len(v). Since we showed that the len(u) and len(v) are dout-close in inequality 6, therefore the outputs will still be dout-close.

Note that if exact_cast fails for both len(u) and len(v), then the output for both u and v is get_max_consecutive_int(TO), resulting in an absolute distance of 0 between the outputs – the smallest possible absolute distance – so the outputs for u and v must be d_{out}-close.

2. (Both exact_cast(len(u),T0) and exact_cast(len(v),T0) succeed). Because TO implements ExactIntCast(usize), we know exact_casts from len(u) to TO will be exact. Therefore, the returned values will be len(u) and len(v), except the values will now be of type TO. Since we showed that the len(u) and len(v) are dout-close in inequality 6, therefore the exact_casted lengths will also be dout-close.

Because the outputs will always be d_{out}-close for inputs that follow the conditions specified in part 2 of theorem 2.1, we see that the stability guarantee is proven.