Privacy Proofs for OpenDP: Row Transform

Grace Tian

Summer 2021

Contents

1	Algorithm Implementation	1
	1.1 Code in Rust	1
	1.2 Pseudo Code in Python	1
2	Proof	2

1 Algorithm Implementation

1.1 Code in Rust

The current OpenDP library contains the make_row_by_row function implementing the row transform function. This is defined in lines 10-26 of the file manipulation.rs in the Git repository (https://github.com/opendp/opendp/blob/main/rust/opendp/src/trans/manipulation.rs#L10-L26).

```
/// Constructs a [`Transformation`] representing an arbitrary row-by-row transformation.
pub(crate) fn make_row_by_row<'a, DIA, DOA, M, F: 'static + Fn(&DIA::Carrier) -> DOA::Carrier>(
    atom_input_domain: DIA,
    atom_output_domain: DOA,
    atom_function: F
 -> Fallible<Transformation<VectorDomain<DIA>, VectorDomain<DOA>, M, M>>
    where DIA: Domain, DOA: Domain,
          DIA::Carrier: 'static, DOA::Carrier: 'static,
          M: DatasetMetric {
    Ok(Transformation::new(
        VectorDomain::new(atom_input_domain),
        VectorDomain::new(atom_output_domain),
        Function::new(move |arg: &Vec<DIA::Carrier>|
            arg.iter().map(|v| atom_function(v)).collect()),
        M::default(),
        M::default(),
        StabilityRelation::new_from_constant(1_u32)))
```

1.2 Pseudo Code in Python

Preconditions

To ensure the correctness of the output, we require the following preconditions:

• User-specified types:

- Variable atom_input_domain has type DIA
- Variable atom_output_domain has type DOA
- Variable atom_function has type F
- Types DIA and DOA have trait Domain
- Type F has trait Fn(&DIA::Carrier) -> DOA::Carrier)

Postconditions

• Either a valid Transformation is returned or an error is returned.

2 Proof

The necessary definitions for the proof can be found at "List of definitions used in the proofs".

Theorem 2.1. For every setting of the input parameters (atom_input_domain, atom_output_domain, atom_function) to make_row_by_row such that the given preconditions hold, the transformation returned by make_row_by_row has the following properties:

- 1. (Appropriate output domain). For every element v in $input_domain$, function(v) is in $output_domain$.
- 2. (Domain-metric compatibility). The domain input_domain matches one of the possible domains listed in the definition of input_metric, and likewise output_domain matches one of the possible domains listed in the definition of output_metric.
- 3. (Stability guarantee). For every pair of elements v, w in $input_domain$ and for every pair (d_in, d_out) , where d_in is of the associated type for $input_metric$ and d_out is the associated type for $output_metric$, if v, w are d_in -close under $input_metric$ and $Relation(d_in, d_out) = True$, then function(v), function(w) are d_out -close under $output_metric$.

Proof. 1. (Appropriate output domain). In the case of make_row_by_row, this corresponds to showing that for every vector v of elements of type DIA, function(v) is a vector of elements of type DOA.

The function(v) has type Vec[DOA] follows from the assumption that element v is in $input_domain$ and from the type signature of function in line 10 of the pseudocode (Section 1.2), which takes in an element of type Vec(DIA) and returns an element of type Vec[DOA]. If the Rust code compiles correctly, then the type correctness follows from the definition of the type signature enforced by Rust. Otherwise, the code raises a compile time error for incorrect input type. (grace) Silvia wrote that it raises compile time error for incorrect input type, does it do the same for incorrect output type?

(grace) I think checking type signature is sufficient for this pf.

- 2. (Domain-metric compatibility). The Symmetric distance is both the input_metric and output_metric. Symmetric distance is compatible with VectorDomain(T) for any generic type T, as stated in "List of definitions used in the pseudocode". The theorem holds because for make_row_by_row, the input domain is VectorDomain(DIA) and the output domain is VectorDomain(DOA).
- 3. (Stability guarantee). From Lemma 3.1 in "List of definitions used in the proofs" on the symmetric distance of row transform, we know that

$$d_{Sym}(\texttt{function}(v),\texttt{function}(w)) \leq d_{Sym}(v,w).$$

Because Relation(d_in, d_out) = True, it follows that d_in \leq d_out by the is_equal stability relation defined in the pseduocode. Since vector inputs v, w are d_in-close, then the symmetric distance is bounded by d_in by definition the symmetric distance is bounded by d_{in} : $d_{Sym}(v, w) \leq$ d_in. It finally follows that the transformations are d_out-close: $d_{Sym}(\text{function}(v), \text{function}(w)) \leq$ d_out.