

Nov. 7th, 2006

## DISTRICT CANVASS

## REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, 2ND DISTRICT

PRECINCT	REGISTERED VOTERS	BALLOTS CAST	PERCENTAGE TURNOUT	JACK ALAN BROWN JR. CON	CAROL VOISIN DEM	GREG WALDEN REP	OVER VOTES	UNDER VOTES	WRITE INS
101	495	290	58.59	19	88	171	0	10	2
102	1243	683	54.95	21	200	432	0	30	
103	1189	751	63.16	19	148	560	0	24	
104	1317	832	63.17	33	208	555	0	36	
105	1158	601	51.86	19	158	407	0	16	1
106	988	590	59.72	31	156	380	0	22	1
107	486	293	60.29	9	62	211	0	11	
108	260	174	66.92	3	13	156	0	2	
109	252	181	71.83	5	29	142	0	5	
110	878	659	75.06	13	106	518	0	22	
111	1613	916	56.79	23	251	616	0	25	1
112	90	72	80	2	10	59	0	1	
113	714	485	67.93	18	83	353	0	30	1
114	355	264	74.37	2	39	213	0	9	1
115	307	216	70.36	2	29	177	0	8	
116	160	101	63.13	5	28	65	0	3	
117	161	103	63.98	5	11	80	0	7	
119	146	109	74.66	2	31	74	0	2	
120	1083	749	69.16	16	139	561	0	33	
121	504	325	64.48	8	78	223	0	15	1
123	672	447	66.52	15	106	306	0	20	
124	823	597	72.54	10	101	468	0	17	1
125	642	403	62.77	17	107	269	0	10	
126	1085	605	55.76	21	170	393	0	21	
127	449	312	69.49	4	79	224	0	5	
128	1470	873	59.39	34	233	573	0	32	1
129	900	513	57	13	164	320	0	16	
130	1385	846	61.08	29	187	603	0	27	
131	607	342	56.34	7	109	204	0	20	2
132	924	597	64.61	19	175	368	0	34	1
133	756	465	61.51	13	119	312	0	20	1
136	1185	723	61.01	19	186	496	0	22	
137	1014	597	58.88	19	167	386	0	25	
138	1120	762	68.04	15	199	529	0	19	
139	1107	840	75.88	11	240	562	0	27	
140	254	154	60.63	3	36	106	0	9	
141	912	664	72.81	11	156	476	0	20	1
142	754	535	70.95	5	114	397	0	18	1
143	1069	680	63.61	18	203	427	0	31	1
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>30527</b>	<b>19349</b>	<b>63.38</b>	<b>538</b>	<b>4718</b>	<b>13372</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>704</b>	<b>17</b>

DISTRICT CANVASS				GOVERNOR					Nov. 7th, 2006		
PRECINCT	REGISTERED VOTERS	BALLOTS CAST	PERCENTAGE TURNOUT	JOE KEATING	TED KULONGOSKI	RICHARD MORLEY	MARY STARRETT	RON SAXTON	OVER VOTES	UNDER VOTES	WRITE INS
				PAC	DEM	LIB	CON	REP			
101	495	290	58.59%	5	92	7	21	160	0	3	2
102	1243	683	54.95%	2	271	16	31	348	0	14	1
103	1189	751	63.16%	4	216	12	28	478	0	13	0
104	1317	832	63.17%	12	267	13	47	479	0	13	1
105	1158	601	51.90%	13	214	15	35	320	0	3	1
106	988	590	59.72%	4	206	7	26	335	0	11	1
107	486	293	60.29%	2	106	3	13	163	0	6	0
108	260	174	66.92%	4	38	1	5	124	0	2	0
109	252	181	71.83%	2	56	3	8	109	0	3	0
110	878	659	75.06%	5	225	6	17	386	0	20	0
111	1613	916	56.79%	11	405	10	35	441	0	13	1
112	90	72	80.00%	0	14	1	1	53	0	3	0
113	714	485	67.93%	4	129	5	34	286	0	26	1
114	355	264	74.37%	1	64	1	11	177	0	10	0
115	307	216	70.36%	3	65	3	4	136	0	5	0
116	160	101	63.13%	0	44	0	2	55	0	0	0
117	161	103	63.98%	0	21	3	2	74	0	3	0
119	146	109	74.66%	2	33	0	8	63	0	3	0
120	1083	749	69.16%	6	186	10	26	487	0	31	3
121	504	325	64.48%	3	99	2	12	201	0	8	0
123	672	447	66.52%	9	133	8	10	271	0	16	0
124	823	597	72.54%	7	187	4	15	379	0	3	2
125	642	403	62.77%	7	137	6	16	229	0	8	0
126	1085	605	55.76%	4	253	10	23	311	0	4	0
127	449	312	69.49%	3	134	3	11	160	0	1	0
128	1470	873	59.39%	14	348	10	39	442	0	19	1
129	900	513	57.00%	3	209	8	25	261	0	7	0
130	1385	846	61.08%	11	295	13	35	483	1	8	0
131	607	342	56.34%	2	126	6	15	181	1	10	1
132	924	597	64.61%	10	238	11	22	293	0	22	1
133	756	465	61.51%	3	154	8	20	264	1	14	1
136	1185	723	61.01%	1	300	5	35	372	1	9	0
137	1014	597	58.88%	8	248	10	30	289	0	11	1
138	1120	762	68.04%	4	348	8	24	368	0	8	2
139	1107	840	75.88%	6	409	3	22	384	1	14	1
140	254	154	60.63%	1	74	2	7	68	0	2	0
141	912	664	72.81%	8	281	6	25	333	0	11	0
142	754	535	70.95%	1	242	2	8	276	0	5	1
143	1069	680	63.61%	8	315	2	27	315	0	13	0
GRAND TOTAL	30527	19349	63.38%	193	7182	243	775	10554	5	375	22

Nov. 7th, 2006

## DISTRICT CANVASS

## STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 57TH DISTRICT

PRECINCT	REGISTERED VOTERS	BALLOTS CAST	PERCENTAGE TURNOUT	TONIA P. ST. GERMAIN	GREG SMITH	OVER VOTES	UNDER VOTES	WRITE INS
				DEM	REP			
101	152	83	54.61%	24	55	0	4	0
103	62	34	54.84%	4	28	0	2	0
106	16	14	87.50%	2	12	0	0	0
107	139	80	57.55%	7	72	0	1	0
110	178	135	75.84%	23	100	0	12	0
112	1	1	100.00%	1	0	0	0	0
114	151	108	71.52%	20	82	0	6	0
115	138	95	68.84%	19	71	0	5	0
116	24	17	70.83%	4	13	0	0	0
117	161	103	63.98%	20	75	0	8	0
119	146	109	74.66%	30	76	0	3	0
120	1083	749	69.16%	158	547	0	42	2
121	504	325	64.48%	82	223	0	19	1
123	672	447	66.52%	108	318	0	21	0
124	11	8	72.73%	2	6	0	0	0
125	295	187	63.39%	49	132	0	6	0
131	607	342	56.34%	116	206	0	20	0
132	924	597	64.61%	193	371	0	33	0
133	756	465	61.51%	133	305	1	25	1
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>6020</b>	<b>3899</b>	<b>64.77%</b>	<b>995</b>	<b>2692</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>4</b>

Nov. 7th, 2006

## DISTRICT CANVASS

## STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 58TH DISTRICT

PRECINCT	REGISTERED VOTERS	BALLOTS CAST	PERCENTAGE TURNOUT	BEN TALLEY	BOB JENSON	OVER VOTES	UNDER VOTES	WRITE INS
				DEM	REP			
101	343	207	60.35%	74	120	0	11	2
102	1243	683	54.95%	238	410	0	35	0
103	1127	717	63.62%	168	525	0	24	0
104	1317	832	63.17%	255	532	0	41	4
105	1158	601	51.90%	193	386	0	20	2
106	972	576	59.26%	188	360	0	26	2
107	347	213	61.38%	86	119	0	8	0
108	260	174	66.92%	26	145	0	3	0
109	252	181	71.83%	40	134	0	7	0
110	700	524	74.86%	118	392	0	14	0
111	1613	916	56.79%	333	556	0	25	2
112	89	71	79.78%	15	55	0	1	0
113	714	485	67.93%	120	334	0	29	2
114	204	156	76.47%	28	127	0	1	0
115	169	121	71.60%	23	95	0	3	0
116	136	84	61.76%	31	52	0	1	0
124	812	589	72.54%	125	444	0	20	0
125	347	216	62.25%	68	129	1	16	2
126	1085	605	55.76%	204	377	0	21	3
127	449	312	69.49%	91	214	0	5	2
128	1470	873	59.39%	267	554	0	49	3
129	900	513	57.00%	179	308	0	26	0
130	1386	846	61.04%	226	595	0	25	0
136	1184	723	61.06%	244	452	0	27	0
137	1014	597	58.88%	215	356	0	26	0
138	1120	762	68.04%	223	500	0	36	3
139	1107	840	75.88%	259	557	0	21	3
140	254	154	60.63%	50	96	0	8	0
141	912	664	72.81%	183	463	0	18	0
142	754	535	70.95%	113	405	0	17	0
143	1069	680	63.61%	246	402	0	31	1
GRAND								
TOTAL	24507	15450	63.04%	4629	10194	1	595	31

Nov. 7th, 2006 DISTRICT CANVASS				Judge of the Supreme Court Position 6					Judge of the Court of Appeals Position 9				
PRECINCT	REGISTERED VOTERS	BALLOTS CAST	PERCENTAGE TURNOUT	VIRGINIA L. LINDER	JACK ROBERTS	OVER VOTES	UNDER VOTES	WRITE INS	ELLEN F ROSENBLUM	OVER VOTES	UNDER VOTES	WRITE INS	
101	495	290	58.59%	124	110	0	54	2	178	0	110	2	
102	1243	683	54.95%	261	302	0	118	2	422	0	255	6	
103	1189	751	63.16%	292	327	0	132	0	430	0	316	5	
104	1317	832	63.17%	342	328	0	162	0	500	0	326	6	
105	1158	601	51.90%	249	237	0	113	2	380	0	217	4	
106	988	590	59.72%	215	289	0	85	1	384	0	204	2	
107	486	293	60.29%	87	150	0	53	3	180	0	111	2	
108	260	174	66.92%	48	95	0	30	1	111	0	62	1	
109	252	181	71.83%	63	89	0	29	0	110	0	69	2	
110	878	659	75.06%	215	287	0	152	5	343	0	312	4	
111	1613	916	56.79%	371	404	0	139	2	577	0	327	12	
112	90	72	80.00%	24	40	0	8	0	50	0	22	0	
113	714	485	67.93%	146	235	0	103	1	306	0	174	5	
114	355	264	74.37%	71	152	0	41	0	150	0	113	1	
115	307	216	70.36%	63	113	0	39	1	134	0	81	1	
116	160	101	63.13%	44	46	0	11	0	65	0	36	0	
117	161	103	63.98%	31	51	0	21	0	51	0	51	1	
119	146	109	74.66%	31	58	0	20	0	58	0	51	0	
120	1083	749	69.16%	283	297	0	169	0	424	0	320	5	
121	504	325	64.48%	127	131	0	66	1	189	0	134	2	
123	672	447	66.52%	151	196	0	100	0	256	0	190	1	
124	823	597	72.54%	198	300	0	98	1	389	0	206	2	
125	642	403	62.77%	144	192	0	67	0	232	0	165	6	
126	1085	605	55.76%	246	258	0	100	1	394	0	209	2	
127	449	312	69.49%	126	134	0	52	0	182	0	129	1	
128	1470	873	59.39%	366	380	0	126	1	580	0	287	6	
129	900	513	57.00%	201	217	1	93	1	312	0	197	4	
130	1385	846	61.08%	357	338	0	149	2	558	0	283	5	
131	607	342	56.34%	148	130	0	64	0	218	0	123	1	
132	924	597	64.61%	244	228	0	124	1	371	0	221	5	
133	756	465	61.51%	162	189	0	113	1	287	0	176	2	
136	1185	723	61.01%	297	311	0	113	2	440	0	278	5	
137	1014	597	58.88%	230	264	0	102	1	388	0	208	1	
138	1120	762	68.04%	294	340	2	121	5	442	0	317	3	
139	1107	840	75.88%	326	365	0	149	0	454	0	377	9	
140	254	154	60.63%	53	74	0	27	0	89	0	65	0	
141	912	664	72.81%	243	300	0	119	2	382	0	274	8	
142	754	535	70.95%	203	240	0	88	4	324	0	210	1	
143	1069	680	63.61%	271	294	0	112	3	405	0	270	5	
GRAND TOTAL	30527	19349	63.38%	7347	8491	3	3462	46	11745	0	7476	128	

Nov. 7th, 2006 DISTRICT CANVASS				Judge of the Circuit Court 6th District				Umatilla County Commissioner Position 2					
PRECINCT	REGISTERED VOTERS	BALLOTS CAST	PERCENTAGE TURNOUT	CHRISTOPHER BRAUER	ANNETTA SPICER	OVER VOTES	UNDER VOTES	WRITE INS	LARRY GIVENS	BOB REEVES	OVER VOTES	UNDER VOTES	WRITE INS
101	495	290	58.59%	123	122	0	43	2	142	99	0	47	2
102	1243	683	54.95%	300	298	0	84	1	341	229	0	110	3
103	1189	751	63.16%	380	265	0	106	0	387	268	0	95	1
104	1317	832	63.17%	351	356	0	119	6	478	230	2	121	1
105	1158	601	51.90%	269	249	0	82	1	326	210	0	64	1
106	988	590	59.72%	234	278	0	77	1	296	201	2	90	1
107	486	293	60.29%	146	110	0	37	0	152	116	0	25	0
108	260	174	66.92%	127	31	0	15	1	89	70	0	13	2
109	252	181	71.83%	101	58	0	22	0	91	67	0	23	0
110	878	659	75.06%	412	173	0	73	1	267	337	0	52	3
111	1613	916	56.79%	483	352	0	80	1	426	418	0	68	4
112	90	72	80.00%	42	22	0	8	0	38	28	0	5	1
113	714	485	67.93%	259	168	0	56	2	234	203	1	47	0
114	355	264	74.37%	188	56	0	20	0	133	116	0	15	0
115	307	216	70.36%	116	69	0	31	0	85	112	0	19	0
116	160	101	63.13%	55	40	0	6	0	50	44	0	7	0
117	161	103	63.98%	49	28	0	26	0	43	41	0	19	0
119	146	109	74.66%	52	34	0	23	0	60	44	0	5	0
120	1083	749	69.16%	325	221	0	203	0	496	176	1	75	1
121	504	325	64.48%	144	99	0	79	3	220	63	0	41	1
123	672	447	66.52%	179	136	0	131	1	295	102	0	49	1
124	823	597	72.54%	362	159	0	75	1	304	249	0	44	0
125	642	403	62.77%	188	157	0	57	1	244	124	0	33	2
126	1085	605	55.76%	286	255	0	63	1	298	225	1	80	1
127	449	312	69.49%	147	147	0	18	0	148	131	1	32	0
128	1470	873	59.39%	407	372	0	91	3	466	304	1	102	0
129	900	513	57.00%	214	229	0	68	2	247	169	0	97	0
130	1385	846	61.08%	399	342	0	103	2	406	314	0	125	1
131	607	342	56.34%	139	137	0	66	0	208	94	1	39	0
132	924	597	64.61%	294	199	0	104	0	392	145	0	59	1
133	756	465	61.51%	194	168	0	101	2	325	93	0	45	2
136	1185	723	61.01%	390	268	0	63	2	277	380	1	63	2
137	1014	597	58.88%	297	228	0	71	1	238	291	1	66	1
138	1120	762	68.04%	457	246	0	57	2	273	432	0	55	2
139	1107	840	75.88%	515	242	0	82	1	271	502	0	67	0
140	254	154	60.63%	92	50	0	12	0	52	84	0	17	1
141	912	664	72.81%	406	205	0	53	0	234	364	1	65	0
142	754	535	70.95%	328	162	0	45	0	197	299	0	38	1
143	1069	680	63.61%	361	250	0	68	1	232	382	0	60	6
GRAND TOTAL	30527	19349	63.38%	9811	6981	0	2518	39	9461	7756	13	2077	42

Nov. 7, 2006 DISTRICT CANVASS				Soil & Water, Director Zone 2				Soil & Water, Director Zone 5			
PRECINCT	REGISTERED VOTERS	BALLOTS CAST	PERCENTAGE TURNOUT	BERKELEY F. DAVIS	OVER VOTES	UNDER VOTES	WRITE INS	CHARLES HEMPHILL	OVER VOTES	UNDER VOTES	WRITE INS
101	495	290	58.59	156	0	130	4	154	0	132	4
102	1243	683	54.95	412	0	266	5	404	0	277	2
103	1189	751	63.16	428	0	321	2	412	0	337	2
104	1317	832	63.17	483	0	347	2	456	0	371	5
105	1158	601	51.86	382	0	214	5	365	0	231	5
106	988	590	59.72	384	0	203	3	380	0	207	3
107	486	293	60.29	180	0	112	1	175	0	117	1
108	260	174	66.92	128	0	46	0	129	0	45	0
109	252	181	71.83	130	0	50	1	118	0	62	1
110	878	659	75.06	364	0	292	3	350	0	307	2
111	1613	916	56.79	605	0	304	7	575	0	335	6
112	90	72	80	48	0	24	0	47	0	25	0
113	714	485	67.93	310	0	171	4	352	0	129	4
114	355	264	74.37	181	0	82	1	201	0	60	3
115	307	216	70.36	148	0	68	0	138	0	77	1
116	160	101	63.13	70	0	31	0	63	0	38	0
117	161	103	63.98	64	0	39	0	61	0	42	0
119	146	109	74.66	63	0	46	0	58	0	51	0
120	1083	749	69.16	432	0	313	4	405	0	343	1
121	504	325	64.48	183	0	139	3	167	0	156	2
123	672	447	66.52	244	0	202	1	230	0	217	0
124	823	597	72.54	426	0	170	1	408	0	188	1
125	642	403	62.77	252	0	149	2	234	0	168	1
126	1085	605	55.76	381	0	223	1	367	0	237	1
127	449	312	69.49	180	0	131	1	176	0	135	1
128	1470	873	59.39	570	0	299	4	554	0	314	5
129	900	513	57	294	0	215	4	288	0	222	3
130	1385	846	61.08	532	0	312	2	512	0	333	1
131	607	342	56.34	221	1	120	0	210	0	132	0
132	924	597	64.61	369	0	222	6	353	0	237	7
133	756	465	61.51	284	0	177	4	270	0	193	2
136	1185	723	61.01	438	0	278	7	420	0	298	5
137	1014	597	58.88	383	0	214	0	378	0	219	0
138	1120	762	68.04	454	0	304	4	432	0	325	5
139	1107	840	75.88	513	0	322	5	488	0	348	4
140	254	154	60.63	94	0	60	0	85	0	69	0
141	912	664	72.81	401	0	256	7	382	0	276	6
142	754	535	70.95	313	0	220	2	305	0	229	1
143	1069	680	63.61	427	0	247	6	410	0	263	7
GRAND TOTAL	30527	19349	63.38	11927	1	7319	102	11512	0	7745	92

Nov. 7th, 2006				Soil & Water, Director			
DISTRICT CANVASS				At Large			
PRECINCT	REGISTERED VOTERS	BALLOTS CAST	PERCENTAGE TURNOUT	ROBERT ROSSELLE	OVER VOTES	UNDER VOTES	WRITE INS
101	495	290	58.59	155	0	130	5
102	1243	683	54.95	408	0	272	3
103	1189	751	63.16	418	0	331	2
104	1317	832	63.17	473	0	355	4
105	1158	601	51.86	367	0	225	9
106	988	590	59.72	372	0	215	3
107	486	293	60.29	177	0	115	1
108	260	174	66.92	128	0	45	1
109	252	181	71.83	117	0	63	1
110	878	659	75.06	360	0	296	3
111	1613	916	56.79	586	0	324	6
112	90	72	80	49	0	23	0
113	714	485	67.93	301	0	180	4
114	355	264	74.37	166	0	97	1
115	307	216	70.36	140	0	76	0
116	160	101	63.13	69	0	32	0
117	161	103	63.98	58	0	45	0
119	146	109	74.66	55	0	54	0
120	1083	749	69.16	409	0	334	6
121	504	325	64.48	177	0	145	3
123	672	447	66.52	236	0	211	0
124	823	597	72.54	395	0	200	2
125	642	403	62.77	230	0	171	2
126	1085	605	55.76	374	0	229	2
127	449	312	69.49	173	0	136	3
128	1470	873	59.39	565	0	303	5
129	900	513	57	290	0	220	3
130	1385	846	61.08	524	0	319	3
131	607	342	56.34	211	0	131	0
132	924	597	64.61	347	0	244	6
133	756	465	61.51	263	0	200	2
136	1185	723	61.01	442	0	277	4
137	1014	597	58.88	375	0	222	0
138	1120	762	68.04	446	0	311	5
139	1107	840	75.88	496	0	339	5
140	254	154	60.63	92	0	62	0
141	912	664	72.81	398	0	259	7
142	754	535	70.95	307	0	225	3
143	1069	680	63.61	414	0	259	7
GRAND TOTAL	30527	19349	63.38	11563	0	7675	111



39 PRECINCTS	R V E O G T I E S R T S E R E D	B C A A L S L T O T S	T P U E R R N C O E U N T T A G E	STATE MEASURE 39				STATE MEASURE 40			
				Y E S (NON)	N O (NON)	O V V O E T R E S	U V N O D T E E R S	Y E S (NON)	N O (NON)	O V V O E T R E S	U V N O D T E E R S
0101 101	495	290	58.59	225	61	0	4	173	105	0	12
0102 102	1243	683	54.95	486	161	0	36	406	243	0	34
0103 103	1189	751	63.16	557	174	0	20	460	265	0	26
0104 104	1317	832	63.17	687	123	0	22	542	266	0	24
0105 105	1158	601	51.90	471	110	0	20	372	204	0	25
0106 106	988	590	59.72	461	116	0	13	364	209	0	17
0107 107	486	293	60.29	224	52	0	17	172	101	0	20
0108 108	260	174	66.92	127	40	0	7	103	65	0	6
0109 109	252	181	71.83	144	35	0	2	103	75	0	3
0110 110	878	659	75.06	446	185	2	26	362	271	0	26
0111 111	1613	916	56.79	612	270	0	34	485	402	0	29
0112 112	90	72	80.00	58	12	0	2	41	30	0	1
0113 113	714	485	67.93	365	86	0	34	301	164	0	20
0114 114	355	264	74.37	203	49	0	12	173	83	0	8
0115 115	307	216	70.36	157	46	0	13	136	69	0	11
0116 116	160	101	63.13	72	26	0	3	61	37	0	3
0117 117	161	103	63.98	88	11	1	3	73	25	0	5
0119 119	146	109	74.66	87	18	0	4	66	32	0	11
0120 120	1083	749	69.16	585	127	0	37	454	246	0	49
0121 121	504	325	64.48	248	64	0	13	173	130	0	22
0123 123	672	447	66.52	348	86	1	12	281	146	1	19
0124 124	823	597	72.54	424	149	0	24	366	207	0	24
0125 125	642	403	62.77	309	73	0	21	250	137	0	16
0126 126	1085	605	55.76	436	143	0	26	326	261	0	18
0127 127	449	312	69.49	220	70	0	22	152	139	0	21
0128 128	1470	873	59.39	606	233	0	34	467	370	0	36
0129 129	900	513	57.00	374	118	0	21	296	196	0	21
0130 130	1385	846	61.08	607	191	0	48	467	340	0	39
0131 131	607	342	56.34	244	76	1	21	216	108	0	18
0132 132	924	597	64.61	389	167	1	40	308	256	0	33
0133 133	756	465	61.51	314	119	0	32	277	167	0	21
0136 136	1185	723	61.01	485	208	0	30	396	309	0	18
0137 137	1014	597	58.88	421	148	0	28	313	259	0	25
0138 138	1120	762	68.04	449	281	1	31	370	361	0	31
0139 139	1107	840	75.88	460	339	0	41	356	447	1	36
0140 140	254	154	60.63	95	55	1	3	64	88	0	2
0141 141	912	664	72.81	438	204	0	22	319	313	0	32
0142 142	754	535	70.95	331	178	0	26	270	239	0	26
0143 143	1069	680	63.61	422	218	0	40	304	338	0	38
GRAND TOTALS	30527	19349	63.38	13675	4822	8	844	10818	7703	2	826

## Ballot Title

# 39

### **PROHIBITS PUBLIC BODY FROM CONDEMNING PRIVATE REAL PROPERTY IF INTENDS TO CONVEY TO PRIVATE PARTY**

**RESULT OF "YES" VOTE:** "Yes" vote prohibits public body from condemning certain private real property if it intends to convey all or part to a private party, with exceptions.

**RESULT OF "NO" VOTE:** "No" vote retains current law, allowing government to acquire private real property required for an authorized public purpose that involves transferring property to private party.

**SUMMARY:** The Oregon Constitution allows public bodies to condemn real property required for a public purpose, requires compensation to property owner. Statutes permit owner to challenge amount of compensation in court. Measure prohibits public bodies from condemning private residence, business establishment, farm, or forest operation if government intends to convey all or part of the property to another private party. Measure excludes property condemned as dangerous to health or safety, or for transportation or utility services; allows government to lease condemned property for accessory retail uses. Requires court to decide whether public body unlawfully intended to convey the property to another private person. Expands rights to attorney fees and costs if court prohibits condemnation or if compensation awarded is more than government's initial offer. Other provisions.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT:** This measure could require annual state budget expenditures of approximately \$8 million to \$17 million a year.

This measure has no financial effect on state government revenue.

This measure could require local government expenditures of between \$8 million to \$13 million a year.

This measure has no financial effect on local government revenue.

**(See Voters' Pamphlet for Explanation of this financial estimate).**

Proposed by initiative petition to be voted on at the General Election, November 7, 2006. The information in the shaded area below will appear on your ballot.

## Ballot Title

# 40

### **AMENDS CONSTITUTION: REQUIRES OREGON SUPREME COURT JUDGES AND COURT OF APPEALS JUDGES TO BE ELECTED BY DISTRICT**

**RESULT OF "YES" VOTE:** "Yes" vote requires Oregon Supreme Court, Court of Appeals judges to be elected by, and reside in, legislatively established districts, which are based on population.

**RESULT OF "NO" VOTE:** "No" vote retains the current system for electing Oregon Supreme Court judges and Court of Appeals judges by statewide vote with no district residency requirement.

**SUMMARY:** Amends constitution. Currently, Oregon Supreme Court, Court of Appeals judges are elected by statewide vote; judges must live within state but have no other residency requirements. Measure divides state into seven population-based districts for electing Supreme Court judges; electors from each district elect one Supreme Court judge. Measure divides state into five population-based districts for electing other appellate court judges (except Tax Court); electors from each district elect two appellate court judges. Requires Supreme Court, Court of Appeals judges to reside within their districts; legislature to establish judicial districts and reapportion them when reapportioning legislative districts. Revises requirements for appointments to judicial vacancies and recall of judges; candidate is not considered incumbent when first running in newly created or reapportioned district. Other provisions.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT:** The financial effect of the measure on state government expenditures cannot be determined. The cost to implement the measure could range from none to \$1.5 million per two-year budget period.

The measure will have no financial effect on state government revenue.

The measure will have no direct financial effect on local government expenditures or revenue.

See Voters' Pamphlet for Explanation of this Financial Impact.

39 PRECINCTS	R V E O G T I E S R T S E R E D	B C A A L S L T O T S	T P U E R R N C O E U N T T A G E	STATE MEASURE 41				STATE MEASURE 42			
				Y E S (NON)	N O (NON)	O V V O E T R E S	U V N O D T E E R S	Y E S (NON)	N O (NON)	O V V O E T R E S	U V N O D T E E R S
0101 101	495	290	58.59	144	138	0	8	153	135	0	2
0102 102	1243	683	54.95	277	367	0	39	302	352	0	29
0103 103	1189	751	63.16	310	395	0	46	298	439	0	14
0104 104	1317	832	63.17	377	417	0	38	334	482	0	16
0105 105	1158	601	51.90	264	315	0	22	287	300	0	14
0106 106	988	590	59.72	242	313	0	35	300	282	0	8
0107 107	486	293	60.29	108	161	0	24	139	145	0	9
0108 108	260	174	66.92	55	109	0	10	80	87	0	7
0109 109	252	181	71.83	92	78	0	11	100	75	0	6
0110 110	878	659	75.06	223	396	0	40	206	437	1	15
0111 111	1613	916	56.79	302	567	0	47	395	506	0	15
0112 112	90	72	80.00	31	39	0	2	33	39	0	0
0113 113	714	485	67.93	194	252	0	39	256	206	0	23
0114 114	355	264	74.37	94	152	0	18	112	149	0	3
0115 115	307	216	70.36	91	112	0	13	84	125	0	7
0116 116	160	101	63.13	36	59	0	6	44	56	0	1
0117 117	161	103	63.98	47	51	0	5	63	39	0	1
0119 119	146	109	74.66	41	55	0	13	44	63	0	2
0120 120	1083	749	69.16	359	327	0	63	311	407	0	31
0121 121	504	325	64.48	158	138	0	29	126	187	0	12
0123 123	672	447	66.52	218	202	0	27	205	231	0	11
0124 124	823	597	72.54	238	327	0	32	244	331	0	22
0125 125	642	403	62.77	193	188	0	22	217	175	0	11
0126 126	1085	605	55.76	211	354	0	40	260	329	0	16
0127 127	449	312	69.49	110	185	0	17	112	183	1	16
0128 128	1470	873	59.39	304	519	0	50	326	523	0	24
0129 129	900	513	57.00	213	263	0	37	252	251	1	9
0130 130	1385	846	61.08	337	447	0	62	338	484	0	24
0131 131	607	342	56.34	139	173	0	30	144	179	1	18
0132 132	924	597	64.61	231	328	0	38	239	340	0	18
0133 133	756	465	61.51	177	254	0	34	213	234	0	18
0136 136	1185	723	61.01	250	435	0	38	304	404	0	15
0137 137	1014	597	58.88	186	367	1	43	269	311	0	17
0138 138	1120	762	68.04	213	507	1	41	275	471	1	15
0139 139	1107	840	75.88	206	583	0	51	258	557	1	24
0140 140	254	154	60.63	45	99	0	10	43	109	0	2
0141 141	912	664	72.81	227	398	0	39	237	415	0	12
0142 142	754	535	70.95	124	374	0	37	140	381	0	14
0143 143	1069	680	63.61	193	447	0	40	252	406	0	22
GRAND TOTALS	30527	19349	63.38	7260	10891	2	1196	7995	10825	6	523

## Ballot Title

# 41

### **ALLOWS INCOME TAX DEDUCTION EQUAL TO FEDERAL EXEMPTIONS DEDUCTION TO SUBSTITUTE FOR STATE EXEMPTION CREDIT**

**RESULT OF "YES" VOTE:** "Yes" vote allows personal income tax deduction equal to total federal deduction for all exemptions to substitute for state exemption credit; reduces revenue to state.

**RESULT OF "NO" VOTE:** "No" vote rejects allowing personal income tax deduction equal to total federal deduction for all exemptions to substitute for state exemption credit.

**SUMMARY:** To determine taxable income for federal personal income tax, taxpayers generally may claim deduction (\$3,100 maximum in 2004) for each exemption; exemption exists for taxpayer, spouse, each dependent. For state income tax purposes, taxpayers currently may not claim deductions based on federal return's exemptions but may subtract exemption credit (\$151 in 2004, multiplied by number of federally-allowed exemptions) from state income tax liability. Measure authorizes a deduction on state income tax return for each dependent, taxpayer, and spouse claimed as exemption on federal return; the deduction shall be no less than total deduction for all exemptions on federal return; exemption credit may substitute for the deduction if lower tax results. Reduces revenue available for state expenditures; provides no replacement revenue. Other provisions.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT:** This measure will reduce state budget revenues from personal income taxes. The reduction will be approximately \$151 million for 2006-07. In 2007-08 the measure will reduce state budget revenues by \$385 million and reduce the 2007 personal income tax "kicker" by \$151 million. The measure will reduce state budget revenues \$407 million for 2008-09 and \$430 million for 2009-10. The annual impact will increase over time due to population growth and increases in the amount of the federal income tax personal exemption, which is indexed for inflation.

The measure will require \$114,750 in state expenditures to pay for the cost of implementation.

The measure will have no direct financial effect on local government revenue or expenditures.

See Voters' Pamphlet for Explanation of this Financial Estimate.

## Ballot Title

# 42

### **PROHIBITS INSURANCE COMPANIES FROM USING CREDIT SCORE OR "CREDIT WORTHINESS" IN CALCULATING RATES OR PREMIUMS**

**RESULT OF "YES" VOTE:** "Yes" vote prohibits insurance companies and their agents from using the credit score or "credit worthiness" of insured or applicant in calculating rates or premiums.

**RESULT OF "NO" VOTE:** "No" vote retains existing law, which restricts, but does not prohibit, the use of credit scores or "credit worthiness" in calculating insurance rates or premiums.

**SUMMARY:** Current state law requires certain disclosures before a consumer's credit history may be obtained by an insurance company or agent and provides certain restrictions on the use of a consumer's credit history in determining insurance rates. This measure prohibits insurance companies and agents that sell or market medical, health, accident, automobile, fire, or liability insurance, or any combination of policies providing such coverage to consumers from quoting, offering, or charging, directly or indirectly, rates or premiums based solely or in part upon the credit score or "credit worthiness" of an insured or an applicant for insurance. This measure does not apply to policies already in effect, but it shall apply to all policies commenced, changed, amended, or renewed after the measure's effective date. Other provisions.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT:** There is no financial effect on state or local government expenditures or revenues.

39 PRECINCTS	R V E O G T I E S R T S E R E D	B C A A L S L T O T S	T P U E R R N C O E U N T T A G E	STATE MEASURE 43				STATE MEASURE 44			
				Y E S (NON)	N O (NON)	O V V O E T R E S	U V N O D T E E R S	Y E S (NON)	N O (NON)	O V V O E T R E S	U V N O D T E E R S
0101 101	495	290	58.59	189	89	0	12	197	87	0	6
0102 102	1243	683	54.95	420	244	0	19	482	176	0	25
0103 103	1189	751	63.16	474	261	0	16	508	219	0	24
0104 104	1317	832	63.17	538	276	0	18	573	232	0	27
0105 105	1158	601	51.90	387	202	0	12	462	115	0	24
0106 106	988	590	59.72	366	207	0	17	428	146	0	16
0107 107	486	293	60.29	193	89	0	11	212	63	0	18
0108 108	260	174	66.92	93	75	0	6	99	66	0	9
0109 109	252	181	71.83	105	70	0	6	105	70	0	6
0110 110	878	659	75.06	355	285	0	19	454	191	0	14
0111 111	1613	916	56.79	478	421	1	16	653	238	0	25
0112 112	90	72	80.00	42	28	0	2	52	18	0	2
0113 113	714	485	67.93	314	160	0	11	310	152	0	23
0114 114	355	264	74.37	170	86	0	8	163	86	0	15
0115 115	307	216	70.36	130	77	0	9	144	63	0	9
0116 116	160	101	63.13	54	46	0	1	72	26	0	3
0117 117	161	103	63.98	69	29	0	5	75	22	0	6
0119 119	146	109	74.66	56	49	0	4	68	32	0	9
0120 120	1083	749	69.16	464	252	0	33	498	209	0	42
0121 121	504	325	64.48	201	116	0	8	212	96	0	17
0123 123	672	447	66.52	276	156	0	15	281	149	0	17
0124 124	823	597	72.54	378	203	0	16	405	173	0	19
0125 125	642	403	62.77	238	146	0	19	271	116	0	16
0126 126	1085	605	55.76	388	204	0	13	416	163	2	24
0127 127	449	312	69.49	173	131	0	8	223	71	1	17
0128 128	1470	873	59.39	529	313	0	31	610	230	0	33
0129 129	900	513	57.00	338	166	0	9	356	133	0	24
0130 130	1385	846	61.08	564	261	0	21	574	236	0	36
0131 131	607	342	56.34	207	119	0	16	242	82	0	18
0132 132	924	597	64.61	351	223	0	23	437	134	1	25
0133 133	756	465	61.51	293	155	0	17	315	128	0	22
0136 136	1185	723	61.01	375	328	0	20	516	179	0	28
0137 137	1014	597	58.88	328	253	0	16	439	141	0	17
0138 138	1120	762	68.04	369	376	0	17	541	199	0	22
0139 139	1107	840	75.88	358	458	0	24	603	206	0	31
0140 140	254	154	60.63	73	78	0	3	118	31	0	5
0141 141	912	664	72.81	351	284	0	29	481	161	0	22
0142 142	754	535	70.95	258	257	0	20	347	165	0	23
0143 143	1069	680	63.61	338	321	0	21	499	156	0	25
GRAND TOTALS	30527	19349	63.38	11283	7494	1	571	13441	5160	4	744



## Ballot Title

# 44

### **ALLOWS ANY OREGON RESIDENT WITHOUT PRESCRIPTION DRUG COVERAGE TO PARTICIPATE IN OREGON PRESCRIPTION DRUG PROGRAM**

**RESULT OF "YES" VOTE:** Yes" vote expands eligibility to participate in Oregon Prescription Drug Program to Oregon residents who have no prescription drug coverage (except Medicare), eliminating current restrictions.

**RESULT OF "NO" VOTE:** "No" vote retains current law limiting participation in Oregon Prescription Drug Program to Oregon residents over age 54 who meet income limit, past coverage limitation.

**SUMMARY:** Existing law authorizes the Oregon Prescription Drug Program, which is intended to reduce prescription drug costs and to make prescription drugs available to participants at the lowest possible cost. Existing law authorizes the program's administrator, among other things, to negotiate price discounts, to purchase prescription drugs on behalf of participants, and to reimburse pharmacies. Under current law, Oregon residents over age 54 whose gross annual income does not exceed 185 percent of the federal poverty guidelines and who have not had private prescription drug benefit coverage for the past 6 months are eligible to participate in the Program. Measure eliminates those restrictions and expands Program eligibility to all Oregon residents who have no prescription drug coverage except Medicare Part D. Provides no funding. Other provisions.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT:** There is no direct financial effect on state or local government expenditures or revenue.

## Ballot Title

# 43

### **REQUIRES 48-HOUR NOTICE TO UNEMANCIPATED MINOR'S PARENT BEFORE PROVIDING ABORTION; AUTHORIZES LAWSUITS, PHYSICIAN DISCIPLINE**

**RESULT OF "YES" VOTE:** "Yes" vote requires abortion provider to give 48-hour written notice to unemancipated minor's parent, with certain exceptions. Authorizes administrative discipline for physicians, parental lawsuits.

**RESULT OF "NO" VOTE:** "No" vote retains current law allowing medical provider to provide minor 15 or older medical treatment, abortion, without parental notification; younger minors require parental consent.

**SUMMARY:** Current law provides that minor 15 years or older may consent to and obtain medical treatment, including abortion, without parent notification; physician may notify parent without minor's consent. Minors 14 years or younger must obtain parental consent before treatment. Measure requires that provider notify unemancipated minor's parent 48 hours before performing abortion. Notification means written notice to parent by certified mail at parent's residence. Exceptions to notice requirement for documented medical emergencies, which do not include rape or incest. Unemancipated minor may apply for administrative hearing requesting abortion without notice to parent. Hearing shall be confidential, open only to minor, counsel, witnesses, judge. Failure to notify parent may subject provider to civil liability to parent; physicians face administrative sanctions, license suspension, or revocation. Other provisions.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT:** This measure will require annual state budget expenditures of \$112,238.

This measure has no financial effect on state government revenues.

This measure has no direct financial effect on local government revenue or expenditures.

39 PRECINCTS	R V E O G T I E S R T S E R E D	B C A A L S L T O T S	T P U E R R N C O E U N T T A G E	STATE MEASURE 45				STATE MEASURE 46			
				Y E S (NON)	N O (NON)	O V V O E T R E S	U V N O D T E E R S	Y E S (NON)	N O (NON)	O V V O E T R E S	U V N O D T E E R S
0101 101	495	290	58.59	178	108	0	4	146	132	0	12
0102 102	1243	683	54.95	331	325	1	26	257	368	1	57
0103 103	1189	751	63.16	318	406	0	27	247	444	0	60
0104 104	1317	832	63.17	367	439	0	26	319	470	1	42
0105 105	1158	601	51.90	312	270	0	19	242	320	0	39
0106 106	988	590	59.72	315	256	0	19	226	327	0	37
0107 107	486	293	60.29	128	149	0	16	106	158	0	29
0108 108	260	174	66.92	87	84	0	3	48	115	0	11
0109 109	252	181	71.83	92	86	0	3	68	102	0	11
0110 110	878	659	75.06	218	423	0	18	200	411	0	48
0111 111	1613	916	56.79	411	473	0	32	316	549	0	51
0112 112	90	72	80.00	37	32	0	3	32	38	0	2
0113 113	714	485	67.93	258	205	0	22	192	252	0	41
0114 114	355	264	74.37	107	145	0	12	71	167	0	26
0115 115	307	216	70.36	79	129	0	8	61	141	0	14
0116 116	160	101	63.13	54	45	0	2	35	59	0	7
0117 117	161	103	63.98	64	35	0	4	38	55	0	10
0119 119	146	109	74.66	36	69	0	4	17	79	0	13
0120 120	1083	749	69.16	339	369	0	41	294	381	1	73
0121 121	504	325	64.48	158	151	0	16	135	167	0	23
0123 123	672	447	66.52	212	214	1	20	179	225	0	43
0124 124	823	597	72.54	245	326	0	26	191	353	1	52
0125 125	642	403	62.77	211	174	0	18	143	221	0	39
0126 126	1085	605	55.76	286	300	0	19	219	335	0	51
0127 127	449	312	69.49	140	158	0	14	108	178	0	26
0128 128	1470	873	59.39	379	463	0	31	324	493	0	56
0129 129	900	513	57.00	262	232	0	19	218	258	0	37
0130 130	1385	846	61.08	345	465	0	36	304	479	1	62
0131 131	607	342	56.34	185	134	0	23	146	169	0	27
0132 132	924	597	64.61	286	287	0	24	228	326	0	43
0133 133	756	465	61.51	221	213	0	31	157	260	1	47
0136 136	1185	723	61.01	304	399	0	20	246	435	0	42
0137 137	1014	597	58.88	250	328	0	19	227	332	0	38
0138 138	1120	762	68.04	244	498	1	19	230	486	0	46
0139 139	1107	840	75.88	244	571	0	25	265	527	0	48
0140 140	254	154	60.63	52	100	0	2	44	99	0	11
0141 141	912	664	72.81	242	396	0	26	229	389	0	46
0142 142	754	535	70.95	173	350	0	12	176	323	0	36
0143 143	1069	680	63.61	267	393	0	20	213	409	0	58
GRAND TOTALS	30527	19349	63.38	8437	10200	3	709	6897	11032	6	1414

Proposed by initiative petition to be voted on at the General Election, November 7, 2006. The information in the shaded area below will appear on your ballot.

## Ballot Title

# 45

**AMENDS CONSTITUTION: LIMITS STATE LEGISLATORS: SIX YEARS AS REPRESENTATIVE, EIGHT YEARS AS SENATOR, FOURTEEN YEARS IN LEGISLATURE**

**RESULT OF "YES" VOTE:** "Yes" vote limits state legislators to six years as representative, eight years as senator, total of fourteen years in Legislative Assembly. Includes previous legislative service.

**RESULT OF "NO" VOTE:** "No" vote retains current state law, which does not limit length of service as state representative, as state senator, or in the Legislative Assembly overall.

**SUMMARY:** Amends Constitution. Existing law does not limit the number of years or terms that a person may serve in the Oregon legislature. This measure provides that no person shall serve more than six years in the House of Representatives, eight years in the Senate, and no more than a total of 14 years in the Oregon legislature. Includes all years of legislative service before measure's effective date, but legislators duly elected on or before January 1, 2007, shall be allowed to complete their terms of office. Prohibits current legislators from seeking reelection if service will cause that person to exceed limits. Measure confers standing to enforce limits on all persons residing in Oregon and nonprofit business entities doing business in Oregon. Severability provision. Other provisions.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT:** There is no financial effect on state or local government expenditures or revenues.

Proposed by initiative petition to be voted on at the General Election, November 7, 2006. The information in the shaded area below will appear on your ballot.

## Ballot Title

# 46

**AMENDS CONSTITUTION: ALLOWS LAWS REGULATING ELECTION CONTRIBUTIONS, EXPENDITURES ADOPTED BY INITIATIVE OR 3/4 OF BOTH LEGISLATIVE HOUSES**

**RESULT OF "YES" VOTE:** "Yes" vote amends Constitution to allow laws limiting or prohibiting election contributions and expenditures if adopted by initiative process of 3/4 of both legislative houses.

**RESULT OF "NO" VOTE:** "No" votes retains current ban in Oregon Constitution on laws that limit or prohibit political campaign contributions or expenditures by any person or any entity.

**SUMMARY:** Amends the Oregon Constitution. The Oregon Constitution currently bans laws that impose involuntary limits on, or otherwise prohibit, political campaign contributions or expenditures by any person or any entity. The measure amends the Oregon Constitution to allow laws, if they are enacted or amended through the ballot initiative process or by the Legislative Assembly by a three-fourths vote of both houses, that limit or prohibit campaign contributions and expenditures to influence the outcome of any election. The measure allows such limitations or prohibitions to apply to election contributions and expenditures of any type or description. Other provisions.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT:** There is no financial effect on state or local government expenditures or revenues.



39 PRECINCTS	R V E O G T I E S R T S E R E D	B C A A L S L T O T S	T P U E R R N C O E U N T T A G E	STATE MEASURE 47				STATE MEASURE 48			
				Y E S (NON)	N O (NON)	O V V O T E R S	U V N O D T E E R S	Y E S (NON)	N O (NON)	O V V O T E R S	U V N O D T E E R S
0101 101	495	290	58.59	189	94	0	7	98	183	0	9
0102 102	1243	683	54.95	386	246	0	51	188	449	0	46
0103 103	1189	751	63.16	397	317	0	37	224	491	0	36
0104 104	1317	832	63.17	486	313	0	33	285	506	0	41
0105 105	1158	601	51.90	337	229	0	35	231	334	0	36
0106 106	988	590	59.72	346	220	0	24	190	363	1	36
0107 107	486	293	60.29	164	109	0	20	84	183	0	26
0108 108	260	174	66.92	81	82	0	11	47	117	0	10
0109 109	252	181	71.83	91	82	0	8	69	104	0	8
0110 110	878	659	75.06	311	306	0	42	173	440	0	46
0111 111	1613	916	56.79	464	417	0	35	243	631	0	42
0112 112	90	72	80.00	45	25	0	2	24	43	0	5
0113 113	714	485	67.93	303	149	0	33	148	301	0	36
0114 114	355	264	74.37	134	110	0	20	66	169	0	29
0115 115	307	216	70.36	100	101	0	15	69	127	0	20
0116 116	160	101	63.13	45	48	0	8	27	69	0	5
0117 117	161	103	63.98	57	38	0	8	35	62	0	6
0119 119	146	109	74.66	33	65	0	11	35	61	0	13
0120 120	1083	749	69.16	429	256	1	63	250	414	0	85
0121 121	504	325	64.48	195	106	0	24	94	196	1	34
0123 123	672	447	66.52	248	167	0	32	167	242	0	38
0124 124	823	597	72.54	296	267	0	34	192	372	0	33
0125 125	642	403	62.77	229	142	0	32	133	245	1	24
0126 126	1085	605	55.76	333	227	0	45	161	402	0	42
0127 127	449	312	69.49	163	127	0	22	88	204	0	20
0128 128	1470	873	59.39	480	348	0	45	265	567	0	41
0129 129	900	513	57.00	300	179	1	33	162	306	0	45
0130 130	1385	846	61.08	444	344	0	58	268	525	0	53
0131 131	607	342	56.34	195	118	1	28	123	184	0	35
0132 132	924	597	64.61	304	258	0	35	174	380	0	43
0133 133	756	465	61.51	247	174	0	44	142	273	1	49
0136 136	1185	723	61.01	418	273	0	32	209	478	0	36
0137 137	1014	597	58.88	336	228	0	33	167	399	0	31
0138 138	1120	762	68.04	346	383	0	33	175	549	0	38
0139 139	1107	840	75.88	356	441	0	43	173	625	0	42
0140 140	254	154	60.63	67	78	0	9	29	116	0	9
0141 141	912	664	72.81	318	310	0	36	156	471	0	37
0142 142	754	535	70.95	275	234	0	26	93	417	0	25
0143 143	1069	680	63.61	345	296	0	39	172	466	0	42
GRAND TOTALS	30527	19349	63.38	10293	7907	3	1146	5629	12464	4	1252

## Ballot Title

# 47

### **REVISES CAMPAIGN FINANCE LAWS: LIMITS OR PROHIBITS CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES; ADDS DISCLOSURE, NEW REPORTING REQUIREMENTS**

**RESULT OF "YES" VOTE:** "Yes" vote limits or prohibits certain contributions and expenditures to candidates, political committees, political parties; limits candidate's spending to own candidacy; adds disclosure, reporting requirements.

**RESULT OF "NO" VOTE:** "No" vote retains current law, which does not limit contributors, contributions to, or expenditures for state or local public office candidates; maintains existing reporting requirements.

**SUMMARY:** Current law requires reporting of certain contributions and expenditures, but does not limit contributors, contributions to, or expenditures for public office candidates. Measure limits individual contributions to candidates, political committees, "small donor committees," political parties, with annual cap for all contributions; limits political committee, political party contributions to candidates and each other; allows unlimited contributions by "small donor committees" (accepting only individual contributions not exceeding \$50 annually). Prohibits corporate, union, organizational contributions and expenditures except through political committees funded solely by individuals. Prohibits candidate loans. Limits: candidate's spending to own candidacy; "independent expenditures" (defined) by individuals, political entities, organizations. Establishes: new disclosure, reporting requirements; procedure for increasing measure's limits to comply with state and federal constitutions. Unspent candidate funds revert to state. Other provisions.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT:** The measure will require \$1,012,020 in state expenditures in the first year, and less than \$100,000 of state expenditures each year thereafter.

This measure has no financial effect on state government revenues.

This measure has no direct financial effect on local government revenue or expenditures.

## Ballot Title

# 48

### **AMENDS CONSTITUTION: LIMITS BIENNIAL PERCENTAGE INCREASE IN STATE SPENDING TO PERCENTAGE INCREASE IN STATE POPULATION, PLUS INFLATION**

**RESULT OF "YES" VOTE:** "Yes" vote amends constitution to limit the percentage increase in state spending from biennium to biennium to the percentage increase in state population plus inflation.

**RESULT OF "NO" VOTE:** "No" vote retains existing statute capping appropriations on basis of personal income in Oregon; rejects adding constitutional provision limiting spending increases to population increase, inflation.

**SUMMARY:** Amends constitution. Oregon statute currently limits state appropriations to 8% of projected personal income in Oregon (with certain exceptions). If Governor declares emergency, legislature may exceed current statutory appropriations limit by 60% vote of each house. Measure adds constitutional provision limiting increase in state spending from one biennium to next biennium to percentage increase in state population, plus inflation, over previous two years. Certain exceptions to limit, including spending of: federal, donated funds; proceeds from selling certain bonds, real property; money to fund emergency funds; money to fund tax, "kicker," other refunds. Measure provides that spending limit may be exceeded by amount approved by two-thirds of each house of legislature and approved by majority of voters voting in general election. Other provisions.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT:** The measure puts a new limit on state budget spending for each two-year budget.

It is unclear when the measure would first apply. If it first applies to the 2007-2009 budget, the measure would reduce money available to fund state services by \$2.2 billion. If it first applies to the current budget, state spending must be reduced by \$2.5 billion by July 2007, and expected spending must be reduced by \$4.9 billion for 2007-2009.

The state budget now pays for public schools, health care, prisons, roads, bridges, forest fire protection and other services. In addition, the state transfers approximately 2/3 of its funds to cities, counties, school districts, and health care providers. The measure does not specify which programs would be affected by the spending limit.

The measure will limit state bond programs and will have a negative impact on the state's credit rating.

The measure does not directly limit local government spending.

**(See the Voters' Pamphlet for explanation of this financial estimate)**

JURISDICTIONAL CANVASS

RUN DATE: 11/17/06 03:08 PM

REPORT-EL86

PAGE 001

CITY OF ADAMS

PRECINCT 109

REGISTERED VOTERS 184

TOTAL TURNOUT

	VOTES	PERCENT		VOTES	PERCENT					
01 = BALLOTS CAST	133		Councilor, At Large ADAMS							
Mayor ADAMS			Vote for 2							
Vote for 1			06 = John Thompson	84	42.64					
02 = Patrick L. Bryson	92	91.09	07 = Larry A. Pace	78	39.59					
03 = WRITE-IN	9	8.91	08 = Dick Johnson, Sr.	30	15.23					
04 = OVER VOTES	0		09 = WRITE-IN	5	2.54					
05 = UNDER VOTES	32		10 = OVER VOTES	4						
			11 = UNDER VOTES	65						
-----										
01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11
-----										
133	92	9	0	32	84	78	30	5	4	65

JURISDICTIONAL CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/17/06 03:08 PM

REPORT-EL86

PAGE 002

CITY OF ATHENA

PRECINCT 124

REGISTERED VOTERS 676

TOTAL TURNOUT

VOTES PERCENT			VOTES.PERCENT												
01 = BALLOTS CAST 495			Councilor, Position 5 ATHENA												
Councilor, Position 1 ATHENA			Vote for 1												
Vote for 1			12 = Chuck Vickery	268	58.77										
02 = Kevin Fernalld	255	55.56	13 = Connie M. Graybeal	186	40.79										
03 = Carol M. Speed	203	44.23	14 = WRITE-IN	2	.44										
04 = WRITE-IN	1	.22	15 = OVER VOTES	0											
05 = OVER VOTES	0		16 = UNDER VOTES	39											
06 = UNDER VOTES	36														
Councilor, Position 3 ATHENA															
Vote for 1															
07 = Robert L. Cannon	331	71.03													
08 = Raymond Miller	134	28.76													
09 = WRITE-IN	1	.21													
10 = OVER VOTES	0														
11 = UNDER VOTES	29														
-----															
01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
-----															
495	255	203	1	0	36	331	134	1	0	29	268	186	2	0	39

JURISDICTIONAL CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/17/06 03:08 PM

REPORT-EL86

PAGE 003

CITY OF ECHO

PRECINCT 107

REGISTERED VOTERS 307

TOTAL TURNOUT

	VOTES	PERCENT
01 = BALLOTS CAST	187	
Mayor ECHO		
Vote for 1		
02 = Richard C. Winter	116	66.67
03 = Donald A. Lancaster	54	31.03
04 = WRITE-IN	4	2.30
05 = OVER VOTES	0	
06 = UNDER VOTES	13	

Councilor, At Large ECHO

Vote for 3

	VOTES	PERCENT
07 = Pasquale Anolfo	108	53.47
08 = WRITE-IN	94	46.53
09 = OVER VOTES	0	
10 = UNDER VOTES	359	

01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10
187	116	54	4	0	13	108	94	0	359

JURISDICTIONAL CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/17/06 03:08 PM

REPORT-EL86

PAGE 004

CITY OF HELIX

PRECINCT 108

REGISTERED VOTERS 96

TOTAL TURNOUT

	VOTES	PERCENT
01 = BALLOTS CAST	58	
Mayor HELIX		
Vote for 1		
02 = WRITE-IN	45	100.00
03 = OVER VOTES	0	
04 = UNDER VOTES	13	
Councilor Position 1 HELIX		
Vote for 1		
05 = WRITE-IN	41	100.00
06 = OVER VOTES	0	
07 = UNDER VOTES	17	

Councilor Position 4 HELIX		
Vote for 1		
08 = WRITE-IN	44	100.00
09 = OVER VOTES	0	
10 = UNDER VOTES	14	

Councilor Position 5 HELIX

Vote for 1

11 = WRITE-IN	40	100.00
12 = OVER VOTES	0	
13 = UNDER VOTES	18	

Councilor Position 6 HELIX

Vote for 1

14 = WRITE-IN	42	100.00
15 = OVER VOTES	0	
16 = UNDER VOTES	16	

01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
58	45	0	13	41	0	17	44	0	14	40	0	18	42	0	16

**JURISDICTIONAL CANVASS**

**RUN DATE:11/17/06 03:08 PM**

**REPORT-EL86**

**PAGE 005**

**CITY OF MILTON-FREEWATER**

**TOTAL REGISTRATION 2287**

**TOTAL TURNOUT 61.3%**

	VOTES	PERCENT		VOTES	PERCENT
01 = BALLOTS CAST	1404		Councilor At Large, Pos.3		
Councilor At Large, Pos. 2			Vote for 1		
Vote for 1			06 = Edwin E. Chesnut	776	60.77
02 = Steve Irving	998	98.52	07 = Brad Humbert	497	38.92
03 = WRITE-IN	15	1.48	08 = WRITE-IN	4	.31
04 = OVER VOTES	0		09 = OVER VOTES	1	
05 = UNDER VOTES	391		10 = UNDER VOTES	126	

		01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10
Precinct Registration											
131	607	342	242	3	0	97	166	143	1	0	32
132	924	597	429	8	0	160	347	192	1	0	57
133	756	465	327	4	0	134	263	162	2	1	37

**CITY OF MILTON-FREEWATER**

**PRECINCT 131**

**REGISTERED VOTERS 607**

**TOTAL TURNOUT 56.3%**

	VOTES	PERCENT		VOTES	PERCENT
01 = BALLOTS CAST	342		Councilor, Ward 1 MILTON FRWTR WI		
			Vote for 1		
			02 = Orrin Lyon	249	50.00
			03 = WRITE-IN	5	50.00
			04 = OVER VOTES	0	
			05 = UNDER VOTES	88	

01	02	03	04	05
342	249	5	0	88

JURISDICTIONAL CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/17/06 03:08 PM

REPORT-EL86

PAGE 006

CITY OF PILOT ROCK

PRECINCT 113

REGISTERED VOTERS 714

TOTAL TURNOUT 67.9%

	VOTES	PERCENT		VOTES	PERCENT
01 = BALLOTS CAST	485		Councilor, At Large PILOT ROCK		
Mayor PILOT ROCK			Vote for 3		
Vote for 1			06 = Shawna Kirk	222	11.11
02 = Virginia C. Carnes	349	50.00	07 = Robin Whitmore	145	11.11
03 = WRITE-IN	46	50.00	08 = Nancy Lankford	311	11.11
04 = OVER VOTES	0		09 = Randall Zeigler	155	11.11
05 = UNDER VOTES	90		10 = Andrew N. Mentzer	235	11.11
			11 = John Standley	230	11.11
			12 = WRITE-IN	8	33.33
			13 = OVER VOTES	0	
			14 = UNDER VOTES	149	

01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14
485	349	46	0	90	222	145	311	155	235	230	8	0	149



JURISDICTIONAL CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/17/06 03:08 PM

REPORT-EL86

PAGE 007

CITY OF STANFIELD

PRECINCT 106

REGISTERED VOTERS 703

TOTAL TURNOUT 56.7%

	VOTES	PERCENT		VOTES	PERCENT
CITY OF STANFIELD CHARTER AMENDMENT					
01 = BALLOTS CAST	399		Vote for 1		
Mayor STANFIELD			12 = YES	244	58.64
Vote for 1			13 = NO	158	41.36
02 = Tom McCann	268	87.87	14 = OVER VOTES	0	
03 = WRITE-IN	37	12.13	15 = UNDER VOTES	17	
04 = OVER VOTES	0				
05 = UNDER VOTES	94				
Councilor, At Large STANFIELD					
Vote for 3					
06 = Jack V. Huxoll	253	31.16			
07 = Charles W. Gaede	247	30.42			
08 = Gene Jorgenson	279	34.36			
09 = WRITE-IN	33	4.06			
10 = OVER VOTES	3				
11 = UNDER VOTES	382				

01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15
399	268	37	0	94	253	247	297	33	3	382	244	158	0	17

JURISDICTIONAL CANVASS  
RUN DATE:11/17/06 03:08 PM  
REPORT-EL86 PAGE 008

CITY OF UKIAH

PRECINCT 117  
REGISTERED VOTERS 130  
TOTAL TURNOUT 60.7%

	VOTES	PERCENT
01 = BALLOTS CAST	79	
Mayor UKIAH		
Vote for 1		
02 = Clinton Barber	57	81.43
03 = WRITE-IN	13	18.57
04 = OVER VOTES	0	
05 = UNDER VOTES	9	

Councilor Position 2 UKIAH  
Vote for 1

06 = Randall Scarlett	61	96.83
07 = WRITE-IN	2	3.17
08 = OVER VOTES	0	
09 = UNDER VOTES	16	

Councilor Position 4 UKIAH

	VOTES	PERCENT
Vote for 1		
10 = Elaine Childers-Gilliland	53	86.89
11 = WRITE-IN	8	13.11
12 = OVER VOTES	0	
13 = UNDER VOTES	18	

01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13
79	57	13	0	9	61	2	0	16	53	8	0	18

JURISDICTIONAL CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/17/06 03:08 PM

REPORT-EL86 PAGE 009

CITY OF UMATILLA

PRECINCT 102

REGISTERED VOTERS 1243

TOTAL TURNOUT 54.9%

	VOTES	PERCENT		VOTES	PERCENT
Councilor Position 3 UMATILLA					
01 = BALLOTS CAST	683		Vote for 1		
Mayor UMATILLA			11 = Alvin Young	132	23.70
Vote for 1			12 = Bill Meade	422	75.76
02 = David Trott	468	94.16	13 = WRITE-IN	3	.54
03 = WRITE-IN	29	5.84	14 = OVER VOTES	0	
04 = OVER VOTES	0		15 = UNDER VOTES	126	
05 = UNDER VOTES	186				

Councilor Position 1 UMATILLA

	VOTES	PERCENT
Vote for 1		
06 = Richard Stokoe	248	42.98
07 = Cindy Roxbury	324	56.15
08 = WRITE-IN	5	.87
09 = OVER VOTES	0	
10 = UNDER VOTES	106	

01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15
683	468	29	0	186	248	324	5	0	106	132	422	3	0	126

	VOTES	PERCENT		VOTES	PERCENT
Councilor Position 5 UMATILLA			CITY OF UMATILLA CHARTER AMENDMENT		
Vote for 1			Vote for 1		
01 = Jonathan Edwards	285	57.34	06 = YES	480	74.65
02 = Rick Funderburk	209	42.05	07 = NO	163	25.35
03 = WRITE-IN	3	.60	08 = OVER VOTES	0	
04 = OVER VOTES	0		09 = UNDER VOTES	40	
05 = UNDER VOTES	186				

01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09
285	209	3	0	186	480	163	0	40

JURISDICTIONAL CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/17/06 03:08 PM

REPORT-EL86 PAGE 010

CITY OF WESTON

PRECINCT 125

REGISTERED VOTERS 331

TOTAL TURNOUT 61.6%

	VOTES	PERCENT		VOTES	PERCENT
01 = BALLOTS CAST	204		Councilor, At Large WESTON		
			vote for 2		
			02 = William C. Boyd	127	36.81
			03 = Dale Wolff	54	15.65
			04 = Chet W. Mills	160	46.38
			05 = WRITE-IN	4	1.16
			06 = OVER VOTES	0	
			07 = UNDER VOTES	63	

01	02	03	04	05	06	07
204	127	54	160	4	0	63

**JURISDICTIONAL CANVASS**

**RUN DATE:11/17/06 03:08 PM**

**REPORT-EL86**

**PAGE 011**

**PILOT ROCK FIRE 401**

**TOTAL REGISTERED VOTERS 1065**

**TOTAL TURNOUT 69.7%**


VOTES		PERCENT	PILOT ROCK FIRE DISTRICT 401					VOTES		PERCENT
01 = BALLOTS CAST		743	Vote for 1							
			02 = YES					374	51.73	
			03 = NO					349	48.27	
			04 = OVER VOTES					0		
			05 = UNDER VOTES					20		
			-----							
			01	02	03	04	05			
			-----							
Precinct Registration			45	29	14	0	0			
110	71		485	237	237	0	11			
113	714		213	108	98	0	7			
114	280									

**RECEIVED**

NOV 24 2006

**UMATILLA COUNTY  
ELECTIONS DIVISION**

30-61 Pilot Rock RFPD	
Yes	2
No	3
Over Votes	0
Under Votes	0
Total	5



*Bobbi Childers*

Morrow County Abstract - 30-61 Pilot Rock RFPD

Date 11/22/2006

**JURISDICTIONAL CANVASS**

**RUN DATE:11/17/06 03:08 PM**

**REPORT-EL86**

**PAGE 012**

**ECHO FIRE DISTRICT 403**

**TOTAL REGISTERED VOTERS 589**

**TOTAL TURNOUT 62%**

	VOTES	PERCENT		VOTES	PERCENT
<b>01 = BALLOTS CAST</b>	<b>366</b>		<b>ECHO FIRE DISTRICT 403</b>		
			<b>Vote for 1</b>		
			<b>02 = YES</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>62.50</b>
			<b>03 = NO</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>37.50</b>
			<b>04 = OVER VOTES</b>	<b>0</b>	
			<b>05 = UNDER VOTES</b>	<b>14</b>	

		01	02	03	04	05
<b>Precinct</b>	<b>Registration</b>					
<b>106</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>107</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>115</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>

JURISDICTIONAL CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/17/06 03:08 PM

REPORT-EL86

PAGE 013

RIVERSIDE FIRE DISTRICT 406

TOTAL REGISTERED VOTERS 551

TOTAL TURNOUT 67%

	VOTES	PERCENT		VOTES	PERCENT
01 = BALLOTS CAST	370		RIVERSIDE FIRE DISTRICT 406		
			Vote for 1		
			02 = YES	163	46.31
			03 = NO	189	53.69
			04 = OVER VOTES	1	
			05 = UNDER VOTES	17	

Precinct	Registration	01	02	03	04	05
110	145	101	28	63	0	10
111	406	269	135	126	1	7



JURISDICTIONAL CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/17/06 03:08 PM

REPORT-EL86 PAGE 014

SHERIFF'S LAW ENFORCEMENT DISTRICT

TOTAL REGISTRATION 11,156

TOTAL TURNOUT 63.8%

	VOTES	PERCENT		VOTES	PERCENT
01 = BALLOTS CAST	7124		SHERIFF LAW ENFORCEMENT DISTRICT		
			Vote for 1		
			02 = YES	3216	46.80
			03 = NO	3656	53.20
			04 = OVER VOTES	1	
			05 = UNDER VOTES	251	

Precinct	Registration	01	02	03	04	05
0101	495	290	133	154	0	3
0103	1187	751	396	322	0	33
0104	1318	832	369	439	0	24
0105	1161	601	245	336	0	20
0106	285	191	82	103	0	6
0107	179	106	51	49	0	6
0108	164	116	74	42	0	0
0109	68	48	27	20	0	1
0110	874	659	297	341	0	21
0111	1614	916	370	509	0	37
0112	90	72	29	41	0	2
0114	355	264	119	138	0	7
0115	307	216	108	100	0	8
0116	160	101	41	57	0	3
0117	31	24	4	18	0	2
0119	146	109	57	44	0	8
0120	1083	749	347	375	0	27
0121	504	325	156	148	1	20
0123	672	447	197	234	0	16
0124	147	102	51	45	0	6
0125	311	199	62	136	0	1
0126	0	0	0	0	0	0
0128	4	4	1	3	0	0
0129	0	0	0	0	0	0
0130	1	2	0	2	0	0

JURISDICTIONAL CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/17/06 03:08 PM

REPORT-EL86 PAGE 015

PILOT ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

TOTAL REGISTRATION 1071

TOTAL TURNOUT 69.9%

	VOTES	PERCENT
01 = BALLOTS CAST	749	

PILOT ROCK SCHOOL BOND

Vote for 1

	VOTES	PERCENT
02 = YES	335	45.15
03 = NO	407	54.85
04 = OVER VOTES	0	
05 = UNDER VOTES	7	

Precinct Registration

0107	2
0113	714
0114	355

01	02	03	04	05
0	0	0	0	0
485	216	264	0	5
264	119	143	0	2

**TOTAL TURNOUT 55.9%**

683 335 322 0 26

**JURISDICTIONAL CANVASS**

**RUN DATE:11/17/06 03:08 PM**

**REPORT-EL86**

**PAGE 017**

**MILTON-FREEWATER SCHOOL DISTRICT**

**TOTAL REGISTRATION 4692**

**TOTAL TURNOUT 64.6%**

	VOTES	PERCENT		VOTES	PERCENT
<b>MILTON FREEWATER SCHOOL BOND</b>					
<b>01 = BALLOTS CAST</b>	<b>3034</b>		<b>Vote for 1</b>		
			<b>02 = YES</b>	<b>1279</b>	<b>42.73</b>
			<b>03 = NO</b>	<b>1714</b>	<b>57.27</b>
			<b>04 = OVER VOTES</b>	<b>0</b>	
			<b>05 = UNDER VOTES</b>	<b>41</b>	

**Precinct Registration**

		01	02	03	04	05
<b>119</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>120</b>	<b>1083</b>	<b>749</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>497</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>121</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>325</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>123</b>	<b>672</b>	<b>447</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>131</b>	<b>607</b>	<b>342</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>132</b>	<b>924</b>	<b>597</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>133</b>	<b>757</b>	<b>465</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>

County: UMATILLA

User Name : USER, UMATILLA

## Election Participation Report

Date : 11/30/2006 11:37:18 AM  
Report No. : E-021

Election : 11/07/2006 - NOVEMBER 7, 2006 GENERAL ELECTION

## STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICTS

District Number	Dem. Registered	Dem. Voting	Rep. Registered	Rep. Voting	NAV's Registered	NAV's Voting	Others Registered	Others Voting	Total Registered	Total Voting
<b>SR57</b>	1659	1155	2796	1953	1347	686	218	107	6020	3901
<b>SR58</b>	7915	5361	10467	7266	5395	2482	730	343	24507	15452
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>9574</b>	<b>6516</b>	<b>13263</b>	<b>9219</b>	<b>6742</b>	<b>3168</b>	<b>948</b>	<b>450</b>	<b>30527</b>	<b>19353</b>

## UNITED STATES CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS

District Number	Dem. Registered	Dem. Voting	Rep. Registered	Rep. Voting	NAV's Registered	NAV's Voting	Others Registered	Others Voting	Total Registered	Total Voting
<b>2ND CONG</b>	9574	6516	13263	9219	6742	3168	948	450	30527	19353
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>9574</b>	<b>6516</b>	<b>13263</b>	<b>9219</b>	<b>6742</b>	<b>3168</b>	<b>948</b>	<b>450</b>	<b>30527</b>	<b>19353</b>
Election Turnout %	<b>68.1%</b>		<b>69.5%</b>		<b>47%</b>		<b>47.5%</b>		<b>63.4%</b>	

TOTAL INACTIVE VOTERS : 17291