

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0001

	TOTAL	PERCENT		TOTAL	PERCENT
01 = REGISTERED VOTERS - TOTAL	38,875		03 = VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL	72.21	
02 = BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL	28,072				

	01	02	03
0101 101	644	465	72.20
0102 102	1918	1161	60.53
0103 103	1460	1107	75.82
0104 104	1761	1347	76.49
0105 105	1592	1074	67.46
0106 106C	987	706	71.53
0107 106R	364	301	82.69
0108 107C	364	265	72.80
0109 107R	233	211	90.56
0110 108C	106	83	78.30
0111 108R	165	138	83.64
0112 109C	207	158	76.33
0113 109R	61	48	78.69
0114 110	980	851	86.84
0115 111	1899	1331	70.09
0116 112	126	99	78.57
0117 113	850	619	72.82
0118 114	426	356	83.57
0119 115	318	233	73.27
0120 116	187	144	77.01
0121 117C	118	88	74.58
0122 117R	32	23	71.88
0123 119	173	134	77.46
0124 120	1240	984	79.35
0125 121	560	432	77.14
0126 123	764	589	77.09
0127 124C	754	598	79.31
0128 124R	182	159	87.36
0129 125C	403	292	72.46
0130 125R	330	246	74.55
0131 126	1596	1024	64.16
0132 127	668	509	76.20
0133 128	2207	1448	65.61
0134 129	1435	917	63.90
0135 130	1961	1400	71.39
0136 131	728	438	60.16
0137 132	1056	765	72.44
0138 133	946	608	64.27
0139 136	1255	809	64.46
0140 137	1633	1070	65.52
0141 138	1393	1034	74.23
0142 139	1156	1007	87.11
0143 141	1486	1171	78.80
0144 142	823	656	79.71
0145 143	1328	974	73.34

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0002

US President and Vice President

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

Vote for 1

01 = Donald J Trump/Mike Pence (REP)

17,059 61.81

02 = Hillary Clinton/Tim Kaine (DEM)

7,673 27.80

03 = Jill Stein/Ajamu Baraka (PCG)

489 1.77

04 = Gary Johnson/Bill Weld (LBT)

1,457 5.28

05 = WRITE-IN

919 3.33

06 = OVER VOTES

16

07 = UNDER VOTES

459

	01	02	03	04	05	06	07
0101 101	336	73	7	27	13	0	9
0102 102	640	386	21	58	42	0	14
0103 103	769	215	13	50	42	0	18
0104 104	983	256	14	49	38	0	7
0105 105	678	286	13	46	35	0	16
0106 106C	440	177	15	43	24	0	7
0107 106R	212	53	1	21	7	0	7
0108 107C	159	77	1	18	5	0	5
0109 107R	160	32	0	11	7	0	1
0110 108C	53	12	5	8	5	0	0
0111 108R	97	23	1	7	6	0	4
0112 109C	108	29	2	6	11	1	1
0113 109R	41	3	0	2	2	0	0
0114 110	584	190	7	30	21	1	18
0115 111	695	476	37	54	43	1	25
0116 112	77	18	1	0	1	1	1
0117 113	452	97	10	36	12	0	12
0118 114	278	53	5	8	6	0	6
0119 115	175	38	1	5	6	1	7
0120 116	88	39	3	7	6	0	1
0121 117C	62	20	1	4	0	0	1
0122 117R	17	6	0	0	0	0	0
0123 119	88	36	3	5	1	0	1
0124 120	698	187	12	47	23	0	17
0125 121	298	83	6	24	12	1	8
0126 123	400	130	8	29	14	0	8
0127 124C	401	137	4	26	16	0	14
0128 124R	127	21	3	5	2	0	1
0129 125C	192	73	3	19	3	0	2
0130 125R	182	38	5	8	6	0	7
0131 126	524	369	16	67	34	0	14
0132 127	278	142	18	38	21	0	12
0133 128	793	474	25	84	51	0	21
0134 129	515	264	23	57	45	1	12
0135 130	822	376	22	90	71	1	18
0136 131	244	133	9	21	18	3	10
0137 132	401	263	14	47	26	0	14
0138 133	304	209	21	44	17	0	13
0139 136	463	220	29	52	35	0	10
0140 137	578	348	32	53	34	1	24
0141 138	552	347	20	57	40	0	18
0142 139	481	406	15	52	29	0	24
0143 141	673	363	17	53	39	1	25
0144 142	393	193	7	31	19	1	12
0145 143	548	302	19	58	31	2	14

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0003


										VOTES	PERCENT			VOTES	PERCENT			
US Senator																		
Vote for 1																		
01 = Steven C Reynolds (IND)										1,085	4.03							
02 = Ron Wyden (DEM)										11,279	41.92	06 = Shanti S Lewallen (WFP)		878	3.26			
03 = Mark Callahan (REP)										12,899	47.94	07 = WRITE-IN		29	.11			
04 = Eric Navickas (PCG)										332	1.23	08 = OVER VOTES		9				
05 = Jim Lindsay (LBT)										403	1.50	09 = UNDER VOTES		1,158				
										01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09
0101	101									28	137	251	2	14	10	1	1	21
0102	102									69	484	468	14	20	50	4	0	52
0103	103									32	393	597	8	12	20	1	1	43
0104	104									58	436	738	12	12	37	0	1	53
0105	105									44	389	524	11	30	29	1	0	46
0106	106C									33	261	327	8	8	36	1	0	32
0107	106R									23	97	164	0	3	7	0	0	7
0108	107C									14	107	119	2	7	8	0	0	8
0109	107R									2	61	136	1	5	0	1	0	5
0110	108C									3	29	42	3	1	1	0	0	4
0111	108R									5	57	67	3	2	0	1	0	3
0112	109C									6	48	89	1	1	6	0	1	6
0113	109R									1	6	41	0	0	0	0	0	0
0114	110									14	359	435	4	6	10	0	0	23
0115	111									40	679	486	26	14	39	0	0	47
0116	112									2	28	67	0	0	2	0	0	0
0117	113									30	206	324	2	10	19	3	0	25
0118	114									1	128	212	3	2	3	0	0	7
0119	115									6	90	120	1	0	5	0	0	11
0120	116									6	56	69	3	2	5	0	0	3
0121	117C									4	30	51	0	1	0	0	0	2
0122	117R									0	9	14	0	0	0	0	0	0
0123	119									4	43	76	1	0	5	0	0	5
0124	120									48	274	573	11	12	27	0	0	39
0125	121									21	136	240	2	9	14	0	0	10
0126	123									14	192	326	9	8	17	0	1	22
0127	124C									23	218	311	5	6	14	1	0	20
0128	124R									2	51	99	0	1	3	0	0	3
0129	125C									14	110	144	4	3	8	0	0	9
0130	125R									14	60	151	1	4	9	0	0	7
0131	126									44	470	392	8	9	51	0	0	50
0132	127									20	219	208	9	6	21	0	0	26
0133	128									55	583	626	27	18	56	4	0	79
0134	129									35	343	401	13	19	50	0	0	56
0135	130									52	571	628	17	24	44	2	0	62
0136	131									27	155	198	9	4	22	1	0	22
0137	132									26	304	350	12	7	32	0	0	34
0138	133									34	241	241	18	12	31	1	0	30
0139	136									42	345	315	19	18	31	1	1	37
0140	137									55	520	372	20	21	35	1	1	45
0141	138									24	510	381	10	22	35	3	0	49
0142	139									24	546	367	7	9	18	1	0	35
0143	141									31	546	484	16	21	23	0	1	49
0144	142									19	317	271	3	8	7	0	0	31
0145	143									36	435	404	7	12	38	1	1	40

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager


		VOTES		PERCENT		VOTES		PERCENT	
US Representative, 2nd District									
Vote for 1									
01 = Greg Walden (REP)		20,746		77.62					
02 = James (Jim) Crary (DEM)		5,907		22.10		04 = OVER VOTES		1	
03 = WRITE-IN		73		.27		05 = UNDER VOTES		1,345	
		01	02	03	04	05			
0101	101	373	67	2	0	23			
0102	102	742	346	4	0	69			
0103	103	923	140	2	0	42			
0104	104	1071	221	7	0	48			
0105	105	767	251	4	0	52			
0106	106C	510	159	1	0	36			
0107	106R	251	39	0	0	11			
0108	107C	189	65	0	0	11			
0109	107R	180	25	1	0	5			
0110	108C	71	11	0	0	1			
0111	108R	127	6	0	0	5			
0112	109C	129	24	0	0	5			
0113	109R	46	2	0	0	0			
0114	110	702	128	0	0	21			
0115	111	902	359	1	0	69			
0116	112	88	10	0	0	1			
0117	113	498	94	4	0	23			
0118	114	309	37	0	0	10			
0119	115	202	22	0	0	9			
0120	116	108	30	1	0	5			
0121	117C	66	17	0	0	5			
0122	117R	16	6	1	0	0			
0123	119	102	27	1	0	4			
0124	120	796	148	1	0	39			
0125	121	339	67	1	0	25			
0126	123	444	112	2	0	31			
0127	124C	489	87	1	0	21			
0128	124R	144	13	0	0	2			
0129	125C	226	53	1	0	12			
0130	125R	200	33	1	0	12			
0131	126	668	294	1	0	61			
0132	127	377	104	1	0	27			
0133	128	995	369	0	0	84			
0134	129	629	214	8	0	66			
0135	130	1026	304	3	0	67			
0136	131	293	118	0	0	27			
0137	132	523	204	0	0	38			
0138	133	393	173	7	0	35			
0139	136	589	169	4	0	47			
0140	137	722	281	4	0	63			
0141	138	744	230	4	0	56			
0142	139	687	280	1	0	39			
0143	141	867	249	1	1	53			
0144	142	512	106	2	0	36			
0145	143	711	213	1	0	49			

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

		VOTES		PERCENT				VOTES		PERCENT	
Governor											
Vote for 1											
01 = James Foster (LBT)		683	2.54	05 = Bud Pierce (REP)		16,269	60.61				
02 = Kate Brown (DEM)		8,541	31.82	06 = WRITE-IN		40	.15				
03 = Cliff Thomason (IND)		849	3.16	07 = OVER VOTES		14					
04 = Aaron Donald Auer (CON)		459	1.71	08 = UNDER VOTES		1,217					
		01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08		
0101	101	24	102	18	11	293	1	0	16		
0102	102	29	421	54	34	555	3	0	65		
0103	103	14	245	28	20	761	0	0	39		
0104	104	35	282	52	25	904	2	1	46		
0105	105	34	305	41	23	624	3	0	44		
0106	106C	19	212	36	18	382	1	1	37		
0107	106R	6	60	8	7	211	0	0	9		
0108	107C	16	86	6	4	142	0	0	11		
0109	107R	6	34	5	0	161	0	0	5		
0110	108C	7	17	2	3	52	0	0	2		
0111	108R	3	24	1	0	106	1	0	3		
0112	109C	1	38	4	1	107	0	1	6		
0113	109R	0	4	0	0	43	0	0	1		
0114	110	8	197	8	1	615	0	0	22		
0115	111	37	518	36	13	667	0	2	58		
0116	112	2	15	2	3	75	0	0	2		
0117	113	21	120	16	6	435	0	0	21		
0118	114	3	61	6	1	275	0	0	10		
0119	115	1	50	4	4	163	0	0	11		
0120	116	1	47	3	3	88	0	0	2		
0121	117C	2	18	7	3	53	1	0	4		
0122	117R	0	7	1	0	15	0	0	0		
0123	119	3	38	1	2	87	0	0	3		
0124	120	16	222	28	11	665	0	0	42		
0125	121	15	100	13	13	274	0	0	17		
0126	123	16	147	9	8	380	1	0	28		
0127	124C	13	155	12	6	383	2	1	26		
0128	124R	0	23	2	1	129	0	0	4		
0129	125C	5	88	6	9	171	0	0	13		
0130	125R	6	43	9	10	168	0	0	10		
0131	126	22	415	47	12	478	2	2	46		
0132	127	12	162	20	10	277	0	0	28		
0133	128	31	478	55	25	764	4	2	89		
0134	129	25	301	36	25	473	2	1	54		
0135	130	43	438	42	15	800	1	0	61		
0136	131	10	158	20	6	218	1	0	25		
0137	132	18	281	20	13	398	0	0	35		
0138	133	22	233	23	18	277	1	1	33		
0139	136	19	279	31	15	423	0	0	42		
0140	137	34	401	34	22	530	3	0	46		
0141	138	27	376	17	19	548	5	1	41		
0142	139	18	413	12	13	514	1	0	36		
0143	141	21	389	25	8	676	2	1	49		
0144	142	14	207	10	3	388	0	0	34		
0145	143	24	331	39	15	521	3	0	41		

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager


		VOTES		PERCENT				VOTES		PERCENT	
Secretary of State											
Vote for 1											
01 = Sharon L Durbin (LBT)		705		2.71							
02 = Dennis Richardson (REP)		16,795		64.58		06 = Michael Marsh (CON)		345		1.33	
03 = Paul Damian Wells (IND)		1,158		4.45		07 = WRITE-IN		25		.10	
04 = Brad Avakian (DEM)		6,612		25.42		08 = OVER VOTES		10			
05 = Alan Zundel (PCG)		367		1.41		09 = UNDER VOTES		2,055			
		01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	
0101	101	16	293	34	68	4	11	1	0	38	
0102	102	36	580	52	355	12	20	3	1	102	
0103	103	15	762	45	200	10	11	0	0	64	
0104	104	24	925	62	230	11	19	0	0	76	
0105	105	34	631	37	268	15	22	1	1	65	
0106	106C	22	393	30	201	6	10	1	0	43	
0107	106R	3	214	13	48	1	3	0	0	19	
0108	107C	19	151	13	65	2	1	0	0	14	
0109	107R	7	166	4	23	2	0	0	0	9	
0110	108C	3	54	3	12	2	3	0	0	6	
0111	108R	4	109	5	12	0	0	0	0	8	
0112	109C	4	111	6	25	3	0	0	1	8	
0113	109R	0	42	0	3	0	1	0	0	2	
0114	110	11	621	21	141	4	6	0	0	47	
0115	111	36	696	50	405	39	10	0	1	94	
0116	112	0	75	3	16	1	1	0	0	3	
0117	113	19	429	27	93	4	9	1	0	37	
0118	114	4	283	2	50	1	3	0	0	13	
0119	115	9	169	6	33	0	1	0	0	15	
0120	116	3	91	4	35	2	2	1	0	6	
0121	117C	0	58	4	19	1	1	0	0	5	
0122	117R	0	17	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	
0123	119	2	88	3	30	1	3	0	0	7	
0124	120	24	684	43	156	7	7	0	0	63	
0125	121	12	291	27	67	7	5	2	0	21	
0126	123	10	389	33	118	5	3	0	0	31	
0127	124C	10	417	19	104	7	9	1	0	31	
0128	124R	1	121	8	18	0	2	0	0	9	
0129	125C	4	182	6	64	9	6	1	0	20	
0130	125R	4	167	10	33	5	9	1	0	17	
0131	126	29	492	58	333	12	13	1	0	86	
0132	127	10	298	26	113	11	7	0	0	44	
0133	128	38	773	69	398	24	23	0	0	123	
0134	129	26	490	42	249	9	17	1	0	83	
0135	130	38	823	46	352	17	13	3	1	107	
0136	131	11	234	25	117	6	7	1	0	37	
0137	132	20	420	26	228	11	7	0	0	53	
0138	133	19	302	34	184	10	10	1	0	48	
0139	136	25	431	40	190	21	10	0	1	91	
0140	137	41	551	57	298	21	9	1	0	92	
0141	138	33	580	27	270	19	15	2	1	87	
0142	139	17	543	30	319	9	9	0	1	79	
0143	141	23	708	31	281	14	9	0	2	103	
0144	142	18	396	22	140	5	4	0	0	71	
0145	143	21	545	54	243	17	14	2	0	78	

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager


		VOTES		PERCENT				VOTES	PERCENT
State Treasurer									
Vote for 1									
01 = Chris Henry (PRO)		899		3.53					
02 = Tobias Read (DEM)		6,833		26.85		05 = WRITE-IN		26	.10
03 = Jeff Gudman (REP)		15,237		59.88		06 = OVER VOTES		3	
04 = Chris Telfer (IND)		2,452		9.64		07 = UNDER VOTES		2,622	
		01	02	03	04	05	06	07	
0101 101		14	84	279	51	0	0	37	
0102 102		48	344	532	122	1	0	114	
0103 103		16	224	685	81	0	1	100	
0104 104		32	224	844	146	2	0	99	
0105 105		30	270	609	85	1	0	79	
0106 106C		18	181	381	60	1	0	65	
0107 106R		3	43	205	26	0	0	24	
0108 107C		15	79	127	28	0	0	16	
0109 107R		2	29	155	11	0	0	14	
0110 108C		6	10	52	8	0	0	7	
0111 108R		5	18	96	6	0	0	13	
0112 109C		3	30	108	10	0	0	7	
0113 109R		0	5	38	4	0	0	1	
0114 110		12	151	550	71	0	0	67	
0115 111		54	427	608	124	0	0	118	
0116 112		3	12	69	7	0	0	8	
0117 113		10	108	386	54	3	0	58	
0118 114		5	48	255	23	0	0	25	
0119 115		5	36	156	14	0	1	21	
0120 116		8	33	77	14	1	0	11	
0121 117C		1	19	53	11	0	0	4	
0122 117R		0	6	12	4	0	0	1	
0123 119		3	31	83	9	0	0	8	
0124 120		18	175	650	65	0	0	76	
0125 121		10	74	280	42	0	0	26	
0126 123		14	125	356	49	0	0	45	
0127 124C		18	112	380	49	1	0	38	
0128 124R		1	18	109	19	0	0	12	
0129 125C		12	61	171	21	0	0	27	
0130 125R		10	28	157	30	1	0	20	
0131 126		36	323	454	98	2	0	111	
0132 127		29	127	239	54	0	0	60	
0133 128		54	431	703	123	0	0	137	
0134 129		36	238	471	75	1	0	96	
0135 130		51	339	772	114	1	0	123	
0136 131		15	115	229	39	0	0	40	
0137 132		26	225	385	60	0	0	69	
0138 133		28	182	266	63	2	0	67	
0139 136		43	189	384	95	0	0	98	
0140 137		61	290	487	116	1	0	115	
0141 138		35	296	496	84	3	0	120	
0142 139		29	346	454	68	1	0	109	
0143 141		32	310	613	75	1	1	139	
0144 142		12	164	341	45	1	0	93	
0145 143		36	253	480	99	2	0	104	

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

		VOTES		PERCENT				VOTES		PERCENT	
Attorney General											
Vote for 1											
01 = Lars D H Hedbor (LBT)		825		3.25		04 = WRITE-IN		38		.15	
02 = Ellen Rosenblum (DEM)		9,125		35.99		05 = OVER VOTES		2			
03 = Daniel Zene Crowe (REP)		15,366		60.61		06 = UNDER VOTES		2,716			
		01	02	03	04	05	06				
0101	101	21	108	296	1	0	39				
0102	102	36	437	562	1	0	125				
0103	103	35	254	712	0	0	106				
0104	104	40	323	872	3	0	109				
0105	105	32	333	619	2	0	88				
0106	106C	21	245	382	1	0	57				
0107	106R	11	65	199	0	0	26				
0108	107C	10	96	139	0	0	20				
0109	107R	6	35	155	0	0	15				
0110	108C	5	15	53	0	0	10				
0111	108R	3	29	91	1	0	14				
0112	109C	5	41	102	1	0	9				
0113	109R	0	4	40	0	0	4				
0114	110	18	219	551	0	0	63				
0115	111	32	566	606	2	0	125				
0116	112	0	21	71	0	0	7				
0117	113	19	141	392	2	0	65				
0118	114	2	70	254	0	0	30				
0119	115	2	53	156	0	0	22				
0120	116	1	59	76	0	0	8				
0121	117C	1	26	56	1	0	4				
0122	117R	0	7	15	0	0	1				
0123	119	3	36	88	1	0	6				
0124	120	24	231	647	0	0	82				
0125	121	15	112	278	1	0	26				
0126	123	15	158	371	0	0	45				
0127	124C	12	173	367	1	0	45				
0128	124R	3	29	113	0	0	14				
0129	125C	4	88	171	0	0	29				
0130	125R	3	50	168	1	0	24				
0131	126	33	431	454	0	0	106				
0132	127	16	168	265	0	1	59				
0133	128	45	524	722	1	0	156				
0134	129	30	316	467	1	0	103				
0135	130	51	450	768	3	0	128				
0136	131	11	151	233	0	0	43				
0137	132	17	281	394	0	0	73				
0138	133	28	233	274	2	0	71				
0139	136	32	303	368	1	0	105				
0140	137	52	425	485	3	0	105				
0141	138	32	389	486	4	0	123				
0142	139	20	445	448	0	0	94				
0143	141	25	425	585	2	1	133				
0144	142	19	209	339	1	0	88				
0145	143	35	351	476	1	0	111				

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0009

State Senator, 29th District

VOTES PERCENT


VOTES PERCENT

Vote for 1

01 = Barbara E Dickerson (IND)
02 = Bill Hansell (REP)
03 = WRITE-IN4,782 18.91
20,412 80.70
100 .4004 = OVER VOTES
05 = UNDER VOTES5
2,773

	01	02	03	04	05
0101 101	87	340	1	0	37
0102 102	281	742	2	0	136
0103 103	140	884	1	0	82
0104 104	197	1036	5	1	108
0105 105	193	788	3	0	90
0106 106C	143	497	5	0	61
0107 106R	41	240	1	0	19
0108 107C	44	192	1	0	28
0109 107R	19	170	0	0	22
0110 108C	15	63	0	1	4
0111 108R	17	112	0	0	9
0112 109C	26	120	0	0	12
0113 109R	1	44	0	0	3
0114 110	103	680	7	0	61
0115 111	237	982	1	0	111
0116 112	14	79	0	0	6
0117 113	84	470	7	0	58
0118 114	36	292	4	0	24
0119 115	35	174	0	0	24
0120 116	24	105	2	0	13
0121 117C	15	63	0	0	10
0122 117R	4	19	0	0	0
0123 119	31	97	0	0	6
0124 120	146	742	3	0	93
0125 121	70	312	1	0	49
0126 123	113	409	4	0	63
0127 124C	94	472	1	0	31
0128 124R	16	139	0	0	4
0129 125C	43	225	1	0	23
0130 125R	35	189	2	0	20
0131 126	194	713	3	0	114
0132 127	74	386	1	0	48
0133 128	276	1000	3	0	169
0134 129	172	625	2	0	118
0135 130	224	1037	4	0	135
0136 131	87	298	1	0	52
0137 132	122	567	1	0	75
0138 133	137	398	4	0	69
0139 136	159	530	1	0	119
0140 137	235	700	4	0	131
0141 138	207	709	10	1	107
0142 139	147	745	4	1	110
0143 141	194	821	3	1	152
0144 142	87	496	2	0	71
0145 143	163	710	5	0	96

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0010

State Representative, 57th District

Vote for 1

01 = Greg Smith (REP)

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

11,328 98.73
146 1.27


03 = OVER VOTES
04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

0
3,128

	01	02	03	04
0101 101	350	6	0	109
0102 102	894	15	0	252
0103 103	826	6	0	218
0104 104	1084	11	0	252
0105 105	839	19	0	216
0106 106C	572	5	0	129
0107 106R	201	2	0	50
0109 107R	15	0	0	1
0111 108R	67	0	0	19
0119 115	12	0	0	2
0123 119	100	1	0	33
0124 120	699	2	0	190
0125 121	91	3	0	34
0126 123	95	1	0	36
0128 124R	28	0	0	8
0131 126	786	14	0	224
0132 127	410	2	0	97
0133 128	1105	9	0	334
0134 129	691	10	0	216
0135 130	1095	20	0	285
0136 131	334	6	0	98
0137 132	584	10	0	171
0138 133	450	4	0	154

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0011

State Representative, 58th District

Vote for 1

01 = Greg Barreto (REP)

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

9,376 97.50
240 2.50


03 = OVER VOTES
04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

1
3,853

	01	02	03	04
0103 103	39	0	0	18
0107 106R	38	0	0	10
0108 107C	185	3	0	77
0109 107R	145	0	0	50
0110 108C	59	3	0	21
0111 108R	43	0	0	9
0112 109C	128	1	0	29
0113 109R	43	0	0	5
0114 110	634	10	0	207
0115 111	968	23	0	340
0116 112	72	1	0	26
0117 113	469	6	0	144
0118 114	265	4	0	87
0119 115	155	1	0	63
0120 116	106	3	0	35
0121 117C	60	1	0	27
0122 117R	17	1	0	5
0124 120	66	1	0	26
0125 121	208	0	0	96
0126 123	294	9	0	154
0127 124C	464	6	0	128
0128 124R	105	0	0	18
0129 125C	212	2	0	78
0130 125R	181	3	0	62
0139 136	545	25	0	239
0140 137	691	28	0	351
0141 138	672	21	0	341
0142 139	630	39	0	338
0143 141	774	27	1	369
0144 142	441	5	0	210
0145 143	667	17	0	290

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0012

Judge of the Supreme Court, Position 6

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

Vote for 1

01 = Lynn R Nakamoto

17,375 98.25

03 = OVER VOTES

2

02 = WRITE-IN


309 1.75

04 = UNDER VOTES

10,386

	01	02	03	04
0101 101	278	7	0	180
0102 102	791	17	0	353
0103 103	627	8	0	472
0104 104	826	14	1	506
0105 105	658	15	0	401
0106 106C	501	9	0	196
0107 106R	171	4	0	126
0108 107C	172	0	0	93
0109 107R	113	0	0	98
0110 108C	45	3	0	35
0111 108R	86	0	0	52
0112 109C	107	0	0	51
0113 109R	31	1	0	16
0114 110	478	8	0	365
0115 111	842	13	0	476
0116 112	52	0	0	47
0117 113	369	7	0	243
0118 114	202	4	0	150
0119 115	132	0	0	101
0120 116	86	1	0	57
0121 117C	52	1	0	35
0122 117R	16	0	0	7
0123 119	89	2	0	43
0124 120	595	6	0	383
0125 121	250	2	0	180
0126 123	330	5	0	254
0127 124C	391	4	0	203
0128 124R	97	1	0	61
0129 125C	198	0	0	94
0130 125R	144	3	0	99
0131 126	708	13	0	303
0132 127	323	1	0	185
0133 128	978	12	0	458
0134 129	619	15	0	283
0135 130	920	27	0	453
0136 131	291	6	0	141
0137 132	473	7	0	285
0138 133	417	5	0	186
0139 136	467	18	0	324
0140 137	634	17	0	419
0141 138	601	17	0	416
0142 139	581	18	0	408
0143 141	666	11	1	493
0144 142	368	1	0	287
0145 143	600	6	0	368

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0013

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

Judge of the Court of Appeals, Pos. 5

Vote for 1


01 = Scott Shorr

02 = WRITE-IN

16,820 98.79
206 1.2103 = OVER VOTES
04 = UNDER VOTES2
11,044


	01	02	03	04
0101 101	271	8	0	186
0102 102	767	16	0	378
0103 103	605	7	0	495
0104 104	812	7	0	528
0105 105	654	8	0	412
0106 106C	492	6	0	208
0107 106R	166	1	0	134
0108 107C	170	0	0	95
0109 107R	110	1	0	100
0110 108C	42	2	0	39
0111 108R	81	1	0	56
0112 109C	101	0	0	57
0113 109R	32	1	0	15
0114 110	464	5	0	382
0115 111	792	10	0	529
0116 112	51	0	0	48
0117 113	356	6	0	257
0118 114	192	2	0	162
0119 115	122	1	0	110
0120 116	83	2	0	59
0121 117C	50	1	0	37
0122 117R	12	0	0	11
0123 119	84	0	0	50
0124 120	576	3	0	405
0125 121	242	3	0	187
0126 123	312	4	0	273
0127 124C	379	2	0	217
0128 124R	92	1	0	66
0129 125C	192	1	0	99
0130 125R	138	2	0	106
0131 126	692	11	0	321
0132 127	310	0	0	199
0133 128	945	4	0	499
0134 129	606	7	0	304
0135 130	898	19	0	483
0136 131	295	1	0	142
0137 132	457	4	0	304
0138 133	402	3	0	203
0139 136	460	10	0	339
0140 137	615	11	0	444
0141 138	585	11	1	437
0142 139	545	10	0	452
0143 141	635	7	1	528
0144 142	348	2	0	306
0145 143	587	5	0	382

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

		VOTES		PERCENT		VOTES		PERCENT	
Judge of the Court of Appeals, Pos. 8									
Vote for 1									
01 = Roger J DeHoog		16,033		98.74		03 = OVER VOTES		2	
02 = WRITE-IN		204		1.26		04 = UNDER VOTES		11,833	
		-----		-----					
		01	02	03	04				
		-----	-----	-----	-----				
0101	101	255	8	0	202				
0102	102	748	15	0	398				
0103	103	583	8	0	516				
0104	104	777	9	0	561				
0105	105	618	9	0	447				
0106	106C	466	6	0	234				
0107	106R	153	1	0	147				
0108	107C	161	0	0	104				
0109	107R	106	1	0	104				
0110	108C	41	2	0	40				
0111	108R	82	0	0	56				
0112	109C	96	0	0	62				
0113	109R	31	1	0	16				
0114	110	441	5	0	405				
0115	111	744	11	0	576				
0116	112	49	0	0	50				
0117	113	341	5	0	273				
0118	114	189	2	0	165				
0119	115	118	1	0	114				
0120	116	76	1	0	67				
0121	117C	47	0	1	40				
0122	117R	12	0	0	11				
0123	119	81	0	0	53				
0124	120	548	3	0	433				
0125	121	230	3	0	199				
0126	123	295	4	0	290				
0127	124C	366	1	0	231				
0128	124R	87	1	0	71				
0129	125C	186	0	0	106				
0130	125R	130	2	0	114				
0131	126	662	11	0	351				
0132	127	296	0	0	213				
0133	128	903	5	0	540				
0134	129	576	7	0	334				
0135	130	859	17	0	524				
0136	131	274	2	0	162				
0137	132	441	3	0	321				
0138	133	386	4	0	218				
0139	136	436	8	0	365				
0140	137	577	9	0	484				
0141	138	551	13	0	470				
0142	139	526	9	0	472				
0143	141	611	7	1	552				
0144	142	321	3	0	332				
0145	143	557	7	0	410				

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

Umatilla County, Oregon

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

General Election

November 8, 2016

REPORT-EL52

PAGE 0015

County Commissioner, Position 3

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

Vote for 1

01 = Bill Elfering

02 = WRITE-IN

17,879 97.90

384 2.10

03 = OVER VOTES


04 = UNDER VOTES

1

9,808

	01	02	03	04
0101 101	300	6	0	159
0102 102	789	11	0	361
0103 103	730	17	0	360
0104 104	946	8	0	393
0105 105	736	19	0	319
0106 106C	511	4	0	191
0107 106R	192	2	0	107
0108 107C	176	5	0	84
0109 107R	133	11	0	67
0110 108C	47	3	0	33
0111 108R	84	5	0	49
0112 109C	104	3	0	51
0113 109R	36	0	0	12
0114 110	509	14	0	328
0115 111	829	23	0	479
0116 112	61	0	0	38
0117 113	419	5	0	195
0118 114	242	6	0	108
0119 115	136	2	0	95
0120 116	79	2	0	63
0121 117C	51	1	0	36
0122 117R	12	1	0	10
0123 119	80	1	0	53
0124 120	567	12	0	405
0125 121	244	3	0	185
0126 123	303	6	0	280
0127 124C	397	8	0	193
0128 124R	114	0	0	45
0129 125C	188	1	0	103
0130 125R	140	5	0	101
0131 126	713	14	0	297
0132 127	336	9	0	164
0133 128	1008	13	0	427
0134 129	643	10	0	264
0135 130	978	22	0	400
0136 131	285	2	0	151
0137 132	449	11	0	305
0138 133	386	5	0	217
0139 136	466	17	0	326
0140 137	632	18	0	420
0141 138	615	19	0	400
0142 139	542	30	0	435
0143 141	675	12	1	483
0144 142	382	5	0	269
0145 143	614	13	0	347

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0016

		VOTES		PERCENT		VOTES		PERCENT	
Sheriff									
Vote for 1									
01 = Terry L Rowan		13,614		55.37					
02 = Ryan M Lehnert		10,902		44.34		04 = OVER VOTES		3	
03 = WRITE-IN		72		.29		05 = UNDER VOTES		3,481	

		01	02	03	04	05			

0101	101	264	152	2	0	47			
0102	102	630	348	4	0	179			
0103	103	732	276	2	0	97			
0104	104	877	343	3	1	123			
0105	105	668	273	2	0	131			
0106	106C	399	217	3	1	86			
0107	106R	184	86	1	0	30			
0108	107C	131	105	0	0	29			
0109	107R	115	70	0	0	26			
0110	108C	27	41	1	0	14			
0111	108R	54	72	1	0	11			
0112	109C	57	84	0	0	17			
0113	109R	14	33	0	0	1			
0114	110	300	490	0	0	61			
0115	111	438	742	5	0	146			
0116	112	45	45	0	0	9			
0117	113	150	433	1	0	35			
0118	114	105	234	0	0	17			
0119	115	93	119	0	0	21			
0120	116	52	70	0	0	22			
0121	117C	22	52	0	0	14			
0122	117R	9	14	0	0	0			
0123	119	76	45	0	0	13			
0124	120	501	304	0	0	179			
0125	121	199	118	1	0	114			
0126	123	267	189	1	0	132			
0127	124C	295	243	2	0	58			
0128	124R	95	55	1	0	8			
0129	125C	139	100	0	0	53			
0130	125R	105	99	1	0	41			
0131	126	607	288	4	0	125			
0132	127	322	123	0	0	64			
0133	128	830	422	1	0	195			
0134	129	513	249	1	0	154			
0135	130	841	381	3	0	175			
0136	131	238	123	1	0	76			
0137	132	406	215	3	0	141			
0138	133	339	163	3	0	103			
0139	136	332	389	2	0	86			
0140	137	408	525	8	0	129			
0141	138	415	506	8	0	105			
0142	139	357	537	2	0	111			
0143	141	413	627	2	1	128			
0144	142	233	359	0	0	64			
0145	143	317	543	3	0	111			

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0017

Mayor CITY OF ADAMS

Vote for 1

01 = M Dane Holmes

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

113 88.98

14 11.02

03 = OVER VOTES

04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

0


31

01 02 03 04

113 14 0 31

0112 109C

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.



Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0018

Council, At Large CITY OF ADAMS

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

Vote for 3

01 = NO CANDIDATE FILED

02 = WRITE-IN

0

78 100.00

03 = OVER VOTES

04 = UNDER VOTES

0

396

01	02	03	04
0	78	0	396

0112 109C

WRITE-IN CANDIDATES

TOTAL

Judy Pace	11
John Thompson	9
Eric Sederburg	7
Christopher Hamby	6
James Pace	6
Bruce Bugbee	5
Dale McNee	4
Larry Blue	3
Graham Alderson	1
Les Lathrop	1
Jeana Drew	2
John Hoffman	1
Mary Patterson	1
Kathy Thompson	2
Mark Younce	1
Mike Cleveland	1
Tom Smith	1
Paul Hull	1
Peggy Eakin	1
Jesse Taylor	2
Don Records	1
Eva Ely	1
Robert Thompson	1
Justin Stewart	1
Trevor James	1
David Jennings	2
Jennifer Davison	1
Patti Sederburg	2
Brandon Taylor	2

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0019

Mayor CITY OF ATHENA

Vote for 1

01 = John Shafer

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

480 96.39
18 3.61

03 = OVER VOTES
04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

0
100

01	02	03	04
480	18	0	100

0127 124C

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0020

Council, Position 2 CITY OF ATHENA

Vote for 1

01 = Rebecca Schroeder

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

447 98.68
6 1.32

03 = OVER VOTES
04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

0
145

01	02	03	04
447	6	0	145

0127 124C

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0021

Council, Position 4 CITY OF ATHENA

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

Vote for 1

01 = Pat Gibson

02 = WRITE-IN

428 98.39

7 1.61

03 = OVER VOTES

04 = UNDER VOTES


0

163

01	02	03	04
428	7	0	163

0127 124C

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0022

Mayor CITY OF ECHO

Vote for 1

01 = Eujeana Hampton

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

191 86.43
30 13.57

03 = OVER VOTES
04 = UNDER VOTES


VOTES PERCENT

0
44

01	02	03	04
191	30	0	44

0108 107C

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

Umatilla County, Oregon

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

General Election

November 8, 2016

REPORT-EL52

PAGE 0023

Council, At Large CITY OF ECHO

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

Vote for 3

01 = Robert W Harris

02 = Jerry L Gaunt

03 = WRITE-IN

161 39.56

186 45.70

60 14.74

04 = OVER VOTES

05 = UNDER VOTES

3

385

0108 107C

01	02	03	04	05
161	186	60	3	385

WRITE-IN CANDIDATE

TOTAL

Lou Nakapalau

8

Patricia Cisneros

7

Melvin Wagner

4

Karl Jensen

4

Richard Winter

3

Mike Barzee

2

Vickie Ranger

2

Patrick Wood

2

Justin Morris

2

Jon Reuter

2

Jay Wyse

2

Dale Fife

2

Gene Hampton

2

Kayla Walker

1

Don Walker

1

Frankie Sewell

1

Eujeana Hampton

1

Jay Bales

1

Marie Rose

1

William Manchester

1

Douglas Jacobs

1

Todd Winters

1

Abigail Hamibrook

1

Rocky Dill

1

Jon Evans

1

Andrew Wilson

1

Delbert Gehrke

1

Jim Fagan

1

Ben Campbell

1


Michael Duffy

1

Diane Berry

1

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon

General Election

November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52

PAGE 0024

Mayor CITY OF HELIX

Vote for 1

01 = NO CANDIDATE FILED

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

0

51 100.00

03 = OVER VOTES

04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

0

32

01	02	03	04
0	51	0	32

0110 108C

WRITE-IN CANDIDATES

TOTAL

Jack Bascomb

33

Mark Mathews

3

Matt Wood

2

Larry Parker

2

Glen Tipton

2

Sue Herbert Holden

2

Stephanie Miller

1

Dustin Curtis

1

Ashley Boland

1

Robert Fowler

1

Derrick Clark

1


Nick Parker

1

Renae Swilling

1

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon

General Election

November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0025

Council, Position 1 CITY OF HELIX

Vote for 1

01 = NO CANDIDATE FILED

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

0

39 100.00

03 = OVER VOTES

04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

0

44

0110 108C

01 02 03 04

0 39 0 44

WRITE-IN CANDIDATES

TOTAL

Larry Parker

26

Sue Herbert Holden

2

Nick Parker

2

Jim Smith

2

Monica Curtis

2

Tammie Parker

1

Kim Herron

1

Cliff Bracher

1


Derrick Cope

1

Mark Woodbright

1

I certify that the votes reported on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0026

Council, Position 2 CITY OF HELIX

Vote for 1

01 = NO CANDIDATE FILED

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

0
37 100.00

03 = OVER VOTES
04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

0
46

01	02	03	04
0	37	0	46

0110 108C

WRITE-IN CANDIDATES

Karen Woolbright

Jim Smith

Dustin Curtiss

Larry Parker

Sue Holden

Dan Dunn

Nick Parker

Liz Smith

Jan Mize

Monica Holden

TOTAL

23

2

2

2

2

1


1

1

1

1

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon

General Election

November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52

PAGE 0027

Council, Position 3 CITY OF HELIX

Vote for 1

01 = NO CANDIDATE FILED

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

0

35 100.00

03 = OVER VOTES

04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

0

48

01	02	03	04
0	35	0	48

0110 108C

WRITE-IN CANDIDATES

TOTAL

James Smith

24

Sue Herbert Holden

2

Loren Kubishta

2

Terry Case

1

Nick Parker

1

Janel Leake

1

Breanne Parker

1

Brad Holden

1


Karen Woolbright

1

Larry Parker

1

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon

General Election

November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52

PAGE 0028

Council, At Large CITY OF HERMISTON

Vote for 4

01 = Rod S Hardin

02 = Manuel Gutierrez

03 = Mark Gomolski

04 = John F Kirwan

VOTES PERCENT

3,052 21.96

2,601 18.71

2,495 17.95

2,531 18.21

05 = Doug Primmer

06 = WRITE-IN

07 = OVER VOTES

08 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

3,101 22.31

121 .87

32

7,259

	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08
0131 126	592	508	492	511	607	23	4	1359
0132 127	280	265	249	205	289	11	4	733
0133 128	883	694	700	685	869	26	4	1931
0134 129	508	418	390	442	513	12	16	1369
0135 130	789	716	664	688	823	49	4	1867

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.

Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon

General Election

November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52

PAGE 0029

Mayor CITY OF MILTON-FREEWATER

Vote for 1

01 = Lewis S Key

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

1,308 95.34
64 4.66


03 = OVER VOTES
04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

0
439

	01	02	03	04
0136 131	321	10	0	107
0137 132	547	25	0	193
0138 133	440	29	0	139

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon

General Election

November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52

PAGE 0030

Council, Ward 2 CITY OF MILTON-FREEWATER, WARD 2

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

Vote for 1

01 = Bradley Humbert

505 98.44

03 = OVER VOTES

0

02 = WRITE-IN

8 1.56

04 = UNDER VOTES


252

01 02 03 04

0137 132

505 8 0 252

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.



Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon

General Election

November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52

PAGE 0031

Council, Ward 3 CITY OF MILTON-FREEWATER, WARD 3

Vote for 1

01 = Jeff Anliker

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

423 99.06

4 .94

03 = OVER VOTES

04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

0

181

0138 133

01 02 03 04

423 4 0 181

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon

General Election

November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52

PAGE 0032

Council, At Large 1 CITY OF MILTON-FREEWATER

Vote for 1

01 = Verl D Pressnall

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

1,212 97.66

29 2.34

03 = OVER VOTES

04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

0

570

	01	02	03	04
0136 131	302	2	0	134
0137 132	487	17	0	261
0138 133	423	10	0	175

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon

General Election

November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0033

Council, At Large CITY OF PENDLETON

Vote for 1

01 = Paul Chalmers

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

4,039 96.90
129 3.10


03 = OVER VOTES
04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

1
2,552

	01	02	03	04
0139 136	474	13	0	322
0140 137	639	27	0	404
0141 138	617	22	0	395
0142 139	598	24	0	385
0143 141	699	21	1	450
0144 142	382	10	0	264
0145 143	630	12	0	332

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0034


	VOTES	PERCENT		VOTES	PERCENT
Council, At Large CITY OF PILOT ROCK					
Vote for 3					
01 = Chris Thornton	377	45.64			
02 = Jackie I Carey	334	40.44	04 = OVER VOTES	0	
03 = WRITE-IN	115	13.92	05 = UNDER VOTES	1,031	

	01	02	03	04	05

0117 113	377	334	115	0	1031

See Next Pages for Write-in Tallies

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

Pilot Rock City Councilor (Vote for 3)	TOTAL
WRITE-IN CANDIDATES	
Raymond Doherty	8
Harley Jeffers	5
John Standley	4
Deacon Perkins	4
Daniel Christensen	4
Dennis Moffit	4
Darren Richman	3
Teresa LaChapelle	3
Bob Deno	3
George Ficenec	2
Dennis Waite	2
Dawndi Johnson	2
Richard Moffit	2
Tim Brittain	2
Shandi Christensen	2
Loren Newberry	2
Jeremy Talbot	2
Isaac Rigby	2
Joey GrosJacques	2
Tom Callahan	2
Kevin Clark	2
Rebecca Burke	1
Jim Hatley	1
Annie Tester	1
Raleigh Winks	1
Christina Smith	1
Lara Croft	1
Bart Simpson	1
Brad Pitt	1
Jason Wilson	1
Jason Walker	1
Patrick Roe	1
Justin Makin	1
Steve Penner	1
Renata Baker	1
Butch Wilson	1
Becky Bigham	1
Chris Hays	1
D. Waite	1
Ray Corwin	1
Var Rigby	1
Bruce Hilde	1
Jeremy Hascall	1
Terry Womack	1
Linda Hill	1
Ransom Golden	1
Joel Johnson	1
Ruth Snively	1
Lizette Berryessa	1
Robbie Young	1
Greg Elloitt	1
Bob Den	1
Joseph Byrnes	1
Ron Neeley	1
Mathew Endersby	1
Savannah Kirk	1
Nicole Byrnes	1
Heather Fitzpatrick	1
Terry Corwin	1
Joseph Benson	1
Jimmy Doherty	1

(continued)

Jennifer Heimgartner	1
Gary Porter	1
Andy Speten	1
James Richardson	1
Glen Snively	1
Craig Krosting	1
Cindy Fitzpatrick	1
Brenda Bense	1
Jerry Johnson	1
Morgan Perkinson	1
Mickey Mouse	1
Fred Flintstone	1

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0035

Mayor CITY OF STANFIELD

Vote for 1

01 = Tom McCann

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

534 93.19
39 6.81

03 = OVER VOTES
04 = UNDER VOTES


VOTES PERCENT

0
133

01	02	03	04
534	39	0	133

0106 106C

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0036

Council, At Large CITY OF STANFIELD

Vote for 3

01 = Susan Whelan

02 = Don Tyrrell

03 = Pamela McSpadden

VOTES PERCENT

494 35.51

454 32.64

396 28.47

04 = WRITE-IN

05 = OVER VOTES

06 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

47 3.38


0

727

01	02	03	04	05	06
494	454	396	47	0	727

0106 106C

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0037

Mayor CITY OF UKIAH

Vote for 1

01 = Clinton Barber

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

67 93.06 03 = OVER VOTES
5 6.94 04 = UNDER VOTES


VOTES PERCENT

0
16

01	02	03	04
67	5	0	16

0121 117C

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon

General Election

November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0038

Council, Position 1 CITY OF UKIAH

Vote for 1

01 = Louise McKinnon

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

69 97.18

2 2.82

03 = OVER VOTES

04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

0

17

01 02 03 04

69 2 0 17

0121 117C

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0039

Council, Position 3 CITY OF UKIAH

Vote for 1

01 = Tyanna Billings-Aguilar

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

53 84.13

10 15.87

03 = OVER VOTES

04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

0

25

01 02 03 04

0121 117C

53 10 0 25

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon

General Election

November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52

PAGE 0040

Council, Position 2 CITY OF UMATILLA

Vote for 1

01 = Michael A Roxbury

02 = Cheryl Vermillion

03 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

566 58.84

388 40.33

8 .83

04 = OVER VOTES

05 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

1


198

01 02 03 04 05

0102 102

566 388 8 1 198

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.



Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0041

Council, Position 4 CITY OF UMATILLA

Vote for 1

01 = Mark Ribich

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

761 97.94
16 2.06

03 = OVER VOTES
04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

0
384

01	02	03	04
761	16	0	384

0102 102

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0042

Council, Position 6 CITY OF UMATILLA

Vote for 1

01 = Roak TenEyck

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

781 98.24
14 1.76

03 = OVER VOTES
04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

0
366

01	02	03	04
781	14	0	366

0102 102

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0043

Mayor CITY OF WESTON

Vote for 1

01 = Jennifer McClure-Spurgeon

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

189 85.91

31 14.09

03 = OVER VOTES

04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

0

72

0129 125C

01 02 03 04

189 31 0 72

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0044

Council, At Large CITY OF WESTON

Vote for 2

01 = Suellen Harra

02 = Duane R Thul

03 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

201 55.37

129 35.54

33 9.09

04 = OVER VOTES

05 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

0

221

0129 125C

01	02	03	04	05
201	129	33	0	221

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

Umatilla County, Oregon

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

General Election

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0045

November 8, 2016

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

Director, Zone 1 SOIL & WATER AT LARGE

Vote for 1

01 = Aaron Madison

16,588 99.10

03 = OVER VOTES

4

02 = WRITE-IN


150 .90

04 = UNDER VOTES

11,330

	01	02	03	04
0101 101	265	6	0	194
0102 102	772	7	0	382
0103 103	641	5	1	460
0104 104	808	5	0	534
0105 105	644	5	0	425
0106 106C	498	7	0	201
0107 106R	183	1	0	117
0108 107C	186	4	0	75
0109 107R	140	1	0	70
0110 108C	46	1	0	36
0111 108R	85	1	0	52
0112 109C	93	1	0	64
0113 109R	33	0	0	15
0114 110	445	4	0	402
0115 111	761	9	0	561
0116 112	46	0	0	53
0117 113	369	3	0	247
0118 114	195	2	0	159
0119 115	120	0	0	113
0120 116	80	0	0	64
0121 117C	50	1	0	37
0122 117R	14	0	0	9
0123 119	76	0	0	58
0124 120	543	3	0	438
0125 121	219	2	0	211
0126 123	292	0	0	297
0127 124C	383	1	0	214
0128 124R	105	0	0	54
0129 125C	190	2	0	100
0130 125R	130	1	0	115
0131 126	695	4	0	325
0132 127	310	1	0	198
0133 128	933	6	0	509
0134 129	609	6	0	302
0135 130	912	11	0	477
0136 131	280	3	0	155
0137 132	451	1	0	313
0138 133	403	6	0	199
0139 136	436	4	0	369
0140 137	573	4	2	491
0141 138	545	9	0	480
0142 139	518	10	0	479
0143 141	602	9	1	559
0144 142	335	1	0	320
0145 143	574	3	0	397

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

Umatilla County, Oregon

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

General Election

November 8, 2016

REPORT-EL52

PAGE 0046

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

Director, Zone 3 SOIL & WATER AT LARGE

Vote for 1

01 = NO CANDIDATE FILED

02 = WRITE-IN

0

03 = OVER VOTES

0


1,403 100.00

04 = UNDER VOTES

26,669

	01	02	03	04
0101 101	0	36	0	429
0102 102	0	67	0	1094
0103 103	0	39	0	1068
0104 104	0	74	0	1273
0105 105	0	67	0	1007
0106 106C	0	43	0	663
0107 106R	0	16	0	285
0108 107C	0	17	0	248
0109 107R	0	1	0	210
0110 108C	0	4	0	79
0111 108R	0	4	0	134
0112 109C	0	2	0	156
0113 109R	0	4	0	44
0114 110	0	28	0	823
0115 111	0	72	0	1259
0116 112	0	0	0	99
0117 113	0	27	0	592
0118 114	0	6	0	350
0119 115	0	7	0	226
0120 116	0	4	0	140
0121 117C	0	5	0	83
0122 117R	0	2	0	21
0123 119	0	6	0	128
0124 120	0	31	0	953
0125 121	0	20	0	412
0126 123	0	19	0	570
0127 124C	0	26	0	572
0128 124R	0	2	0	157
0129 125C	0	15	0	277
0130 125R	0	10	0	236
0131 126	0	64	0	960
0132 127	0	25	0	484
0133 128	0	92	0	1356
0134 129	0	54	0	863
0135 130	0	88	0	1312
0136 131	0	24	0	414
0137 132	0	37	0	728
0138 133	0	32	0	576
0139 136	0	53	0	756
0140 137	0	70	0	1000
0141 138	0	55	0	979
0142 139	0	54	0	953
0143 141	0	35	0	1136
0144 142	0	18	0	638
0145 143	0	48	0	926

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon

General Election

November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0047

Director, Zone 4 SOIL & WATER AT LARGE

Vote for 1

01 = Thomas Straughan

02 = WRITE-IN

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

16,140 99.27 03 = OVER VOTES
119 .73 04 = UNDER VOTES

1
11,812

	01	02	03	04
0101 101	253	5	0	207
0102 102	733	6	0	422
0103 103	573	6	0	528
0104 104	769	4	0	574
0105 105	620	7	0	447
0106 106C	464	7	0	235
0107 106R	158	0	0	143
0108 107C	152	2	0	111
0109 107R	114	0	0	97
0110 108C	43	0	0	40
0111 108R	89	0	0	49
0112 109C	100	1	0	57
0113 109R	37	0	0	11
0114 110	473	5	0	373
0115 111	778	8	0	545
0116 112	47	0	0	52
0117 113	365	4	0	250
0118 114	212	2	0	142
0119 115	122	0	0	111
0120 116	77	0	0	67
0121 117C	47	1	0	40
0122 117R	13	0	0	10
0123 119	78	0	0	56
0124 120	532	3	0	449
0125 121	209	1	0	222
0126 123	287	1	0	301
0127 124C	370	2	0	226
0128 124R	101	0	0	58
0129 125C	185	1	0	106
0130 125R	131	1	0	114
0131 126	648	4	0	372
0132 127	279	0	0	230
0133 128	877	4	0	567
0134 129	578	3	0	336
0135 130	873	6	0	521
0136 131	274	0	0	164
0137 132	433	2	0	330
0138 133	381	1	0	226
0139 136	436	7	0	366
0140 137	584	5	0	481
0141 138	564	5	0	465
0142 139	532	6	0	469
0143 141	619	6	1	545
0144 142	351	1	0	304
0145 143	579	2	0	393

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

Umatilla County, Oregon

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

General Election

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0048

November 8, 2016

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

Director, At Large 1 SOIL & WATER AT LARGE

Vote for 1

01 = Lynn M (Gus) Wahner

15,799 99.15

03 = OVER VOTES

2

02 = WRITE-IN


136 .85

04 = UNDER VOTES

12,135

	01	02	03	04
0101 101	263	7	0	195
0102 102	717	7	0	437
0103 103	596	9	0	502
0104 104	787	6	0	554
0105 105	648	6	0	420
0106 106C	464	7	0	235
0107 106R	165	3	0	133
0108 107C	156	2	0	107
0109 107R	115	0	0	96
0110 108C	41	0	0	42
0111 108R	82	0	0	56
0112 109C	90	1	0	67
0113 109R	30	0	0	18
0114 110	428	3	0	420
0115 111	745	9	0	577
0116 112	45	0	0	54
0117 113	339	0	0	280
0118 114	182	2	0	172
0119 115	117	0	0	116
0120 116	76	0	0	68
0121 117C	47	2	0	39
0122 117R	12	0	0	11
0123 119	76	1	0	57
0124 120	529	6	0	449
0125 121	207	1	0	224
0126 123	286	1	0	302
0127 124C	358	2	0	238
0128 124R	94	0	0	65
0129 125C	184	3	0	105
0130 125R	125	1	0	120
0131 126	647	4	0	373
0132 127	287	3	0	219
0133 128	860	4	0	584
0134 129	576	3	0	338
0135 130	868	8	0	524
0136 131	261	0	0	177
0137 132	426	1	0	338
0138 133	367	1	0	240
0139 136	433	4	0	372
0140 137	566	8	1	495
0141 138	531	7	0	496
0142 139	508	6	0	493
0143 141	587	5	1	578
0144 142	320	1	0	335
0145 143	558	2	0	414

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0049

Director At Large

EAST UMATILLA COUNTY AMBULANCE AREA HEAL

Vote for 5

01 = Chrys J Wernlund

02 = Elizabeth Powell McIntyre

03 = Vickie Jackson

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

729 28.28 04 = WRITE-IN
936 36.31 05 = OVER VOTES
784 30.41 06 = UNDER VOTES

129 5.00
10
5,647

	01	02	03	04	05	06
0110 108C	30	39	30	6	0	310
0111 108R	38	50	35	4	0	433
0112 109C	77	86	81	17	0	529
0113 109R	4	5	3	0	0	33
0120 116	3	4	4	4	0	20
0123 119	0	2	0	0	0	8
0127 124C	303	401	312	47	0	1927
0128 124R	53	85	59	6	5	492
0129 125C	135	162	163	27	5	968
0130 125R	86	102	97	18	0	927

See Write-in Candidates on the next pages

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

		VOTES		PERCENT		VOTES		PERCENT		
Director At Large										
EAST UMATILLA COUNTY AMBULANCE AREA HEALTH										
Vote for 5										
WRITE-IN CANDIDATES TOTALS BY PRECINCT	108C	108R	109C	109R	116	119	124C	124R	125C	125R
Loren Kubishta	1									
Kim Herron	1									
Emily Smith	1									
Pat Gibson	1									
Nick Holmes	1									
Robert Park	1									
Tyson Raymond		1								
Bruce Brogoitti		3								
Don Lieuallen			2							
Jennifer Davis			2							
Amanda Cherry			1							
Craig Farley			1							
Dale McNee			1							
Justin Gridley			1							
Jennifer :)			1							
Tim Snyder			1						2	
Jerrold Steele			1							

WRITE-IN CANDIDATES TOTALS BY PRECINCT	108C	108R	109C	109R	116	119	124C	124R	125C	125R
Jeremy Lasater			1				4	3		
Bruce Bugbee			1							
Jennifer Davison			1							
Sarah Sparks			1							
Melanie Sederburg			1							
Don Jackson			1							1
Loretta Thompson					1					
Tina Lewis					1					
Michael Dabulskis					1					
Mark Hogge					1					
Jan Reitz							7		6	3
John Reitz							3			
Jerry Baker							2			
Mark Moore							2			
Robert Corwin							2			
Megan Corwin							2			
Audra Lee Smalley							1			
Hallie Mays							1			
Mickey Mouse							1			
Donald Duck							1			
Tiffany Andrews							1			
Jeff Rost							1			

[illegible]

[illegible]

WRITE-IN CANDIDATES TOTALS BY PRECINCT 108C 108R 109C 109R 116 119 124C 124R 125C 125R

Leonard Carter										1
Emery Gentry										1
Granella Thompson										1
Jackie Gentry										1
Molly Botts										1
Kathleen Schmidtgal										1
Jim Stiles										1
Jim Davis										1
Kathy Rohde										1
Donna Grimes										1
Dave Grimes										1

=====

=====

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0050

		VOTES PERCENT		VOTES PERCENT	
State Measure 94					
Vote for 1					
01 = Yes		7,382	27.86	03 = OVER VOTES	5
02 = No		19,116	72.14	04 = UNDER VOTES	1,569
		01	02	03	04
0101 101		99	349	0	17
0102 102		311	771	0	79
0103 103		281	779	0	47
0104 104		320	960	0	67
0105 105		276	748	1	49
0106 106C		171	504	0	31
0107 106R		61	223	0	17
0108 107C		76	175	0	14
0109 107R		48	151	0	12
0110 108C		25	52	0	6
0111 108R		44	83	0	11
0112 109C		47	105	0	6
0113 109R		8	39	0	1
0114 110		232	583	1	35
0115 111		373	883	1	74
0116 112		11	81	0	7
0117 113		112	464	0	43
0118 114		78	271	0	7
0119 115		54	166	0	13
0120 116		37	100	0	7
0121 117C		20	65	0	3
0122 117R		4	18	0	1
0123 119		46	82	0	6
0124 120		250	689	0	45
0125 121		117	283	0	32
0126 123		157	396	0	36
0127 124C		155	418	0	25
0128 124R		43	103	0	13
0129 125C		70	209	0	13
0130 125R		49	186	0	11
0131 126		305	651	1	67
0132 127		123	356	0	30
0133 128		389	969	1	89
0134 129		231	623	0	63
0135 130		390	936	0	74
0136 131		96	315	0	27
0137 132		197	528	0	40
0138 133		172	393	0	43
0139 136		214	546	0	49
0140 137		322	685	0	63
0141 138		302	648	0	84
0142 139		294	668	0	45
0143 141		335	765	0	71
0144 142		165	443	0	48
0145 143		272	654	0	48

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

Senate Joint Resolution 4 – Referred at the 78th Legislative Assembly's 2015 Regular Session to the Voters of the State of Oregon for their approval or rejection at the November 8, 2016, General Election.

Ballot Title

94

Amends Constitution: Eliminates mandatory retirement age for state judges

Estimate of Financial Impact	43
Text of Measure	44
Explanatory Statement	44
Legislative Argument in Support	44
Arguments in Favor	45
Arguments in Opposition	none

Result of “Yes” Vote

“Yes” vote amends constitution, state judges not required to retire from judicial office after turning 75 years old. Statutes cannot establish mandatory retirement age.

Estimate of Financial Impact

There is no financial impact to state revenue or expenditures. There is no financial impact on local government revenue or expenditures.

Result of “No” Vote

“No” vote retains constitutional provisions requiring state judges to retire from judicial office after turning 75 years old, authorizing statutes establishing lesser mandatory retirement age.

Summary

Article VII (Amended), section 1a, of the Oregon Constitution, requires state judges to “retire from judicial office at the end of the calendar year” in which they turn 75 years old. Section 1a(1) authorizes laws that establish a lesser age—not younger than 70 years—for mandatory retirement. Measure amends constitution to remove provision requiring mandatory retirement at age 75, as well as provision authorizing statutes requiring mandatory retirement at age 70 or older. Measure retains constitutional provision that authorizes statutes permitting retired judges to be recalled to temporary active service. Measure retains constitutional provision that authorizes laws permitting or requiring judges to retire due to a physical or mental disability or any other cause that renders them incapable of performing their judicial duties.

Text of Measure

Be It Resolved by the Legislative Assembly of the State of Oregon:

PARAGRAPH 1. Section 1a, Article VII (Amended) of the Constitution of the State of Oregon, is amended to read:

Sec. 1a. *[Notwithstanding the provisions of section 1, Article VII (Amended) of this Constitution, a judge of any court shall retire from judicial office at the end of the calendar year in which he attains the age of 75 years.]* The Legislative Assembly or the people may by law:

[(1) Fix a lesser age for mandatory retirement not earlier than the end of the calendar year in which the judge attains the age of 70 years;]

[(2)] (1) Provide for recalling retired judges to temporary active service on the court from which they are retired; and

[(3)] (2) Authorize or require the retirement of judges for physical or mental disability or any other cause rendering judges incapable of performing their judicial duties.

[This section shall not affect the term to which any judge shall have been elected or appointed prior to or at the time of approval and ratification of this section.]

PARAGRAPH 2. The amendment proposed by this resolution shall be submitted to the people for their approval or rejection at the next regular general election held throughout this state.

Note: **Boldfaced** type indicates new language; *[brackets and italic]* type indicates deletions or comments.

Explanatory Statement

Ballot Measure 94 amends the Oregon Constitution to remove provisions establishing a mandatory retirement age for state judges.

Currently, a state judge must retire from judicial office at the end of the calendar year in which the judge attains the age of 75 years. The measure removes this requirement. The measure also removes the provision allowing the Legislative Assembly or the people to establish a statutory mandatory retirement age for state judges.

Committee Members:
Senator Floyd Prozanski
Representative Jeff Barker
Kevin Kelley
Jack Stillwell
Bill Riggs

Appointed by:
President of the Senate
Speaker of the House
Secretary of State
Secretary of State
Members of the Committee

(This committee was appointed to provide an impartial explanation of the ballot measure pursuant to ORS 251.215.)

Legislative Argument in Support

The 2015 Legislative Assembly referred SJR 4 to the ballot for a vote of the people. The Legislature recommends a “yes” vote.

SJR 4 would amend the Oregon Constitution by taking out the requirement that Oregon state and certain county judges must retire at the end of the calendar year in which they turn 75 years of age. This discriminatory provision does not allow judges to serve out their full terms; it requires judges to retire even in the middle of a current term.

No other elected officials in Oregon are subject to mandatory age-based retirement. The Oregon Constitution should not prohibit state and certain county judges from serving just because they have reached the age of 75. This discriminatory provision should be removed from the state’s constitution. It serves no useful purpose.

Oregon’s judicial system includes the Oregon Commission on Judicial Fitness and Disability. This commission provides the means to address issues of physical, mental or emotional challenges that state judges might face that could impede them from performing competent judicial work, no matter their age. The commission can recommend to the Oregon State Court the removal of a judge who the commission determines is unfit to continue to serve as a judge.

Individuals live and work longer than previous generations. Many of our most effective judges have acquired their knowledge and experience during the time they have served as judges. They should not be forced to retire simply because they have reached the age of 75.

Oregonians should be allowed to vote for anyone who meets the general qualifications to serve as a judge in the state. They should not be denied this right simply because the person has reached a certain age. The current prohibition prevents good judges from continuing to serve their community and the state.

Oregonians should end this discrimination. The Legislature urges a “yes” vote.

Committee Members:
Senator Floyd Prozanski
Representative Jeff Barker
Representative Mitch Greenlick

Appointed by:
President of the Senate
Speaker of the House
Speaker of the House

(This Joint Legislative Committee was appointed to provide the legislative argument in support of the ballot measure pursuant to ORS 251.245.)

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0051

State Measure 95

Vote for 1

01 = Yes

02 = No


VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

16,809 65.69 03 = OVER VOTES
8,780 34.31 04 = UNDER VOTES0
2,483

	01	02	03	04
0101 101	265	177	0	23
0102 102	725	330	0	106
0103 103	620	394	0	93
0104 104	738	504	0	105
0105 105	607	387	0	80
0106 106C	433	233	0	40
0107 106R	164	109	0	28
0108 107C	162	80	0	23
0109 107R	104	88	0	19
0110 108C	52	24	0	7
0111 108R	75	47	0	16
0112 109C	78	64	0	16
0113 109R	27	20	0	1
0114 110	507	276	0	68
0115 111	832	389	0	110
0116 112	37	49	0	13
0117 113	354	210	0	55
0118 114	196	135	0	25
0119 115	112	87	0	34
0120 116	84	46	0	14
0121 117C	44	37	0	7
0122 117R	9	11	0	3
0123 119	72	49	0	13
0124 120	538	349	0	97
0125 121	233	152	0	47
0126 123	341	203	0	45
0127 124C	382	178	0	38
0128 124R	83	59	0	17
0129 125C	201	69	0	22
0130 125R	124	100	0	22
0131 126	611	330	0	83
0132 127	336	137	0	36
0133 128	886	438	0	124
0134 129	573	265	0	79
0135 130	872	409	0	119
0136 131	247	142	0	49
0137 132	458	247	0	60
0138 133	400	152	0	56
0139 136	480	238	0	91
0140 137	675	283	0	112
0141 138	647	269	0	118
0142 139	651	268	0	88
0143 141	764	292	0	115
0144 142	389	190	0	77
0145 143	621	264	0	89

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

House Joint Resolution 203 – Referred at the 78th Legislative Assembly's 2016 Regular Session to the Voters of the State of Oregon for their approval or rejection at the November 8, 2016, General Election.

Ballot Title

95

Amends Constitution: Allows investments in equities by public universities to reduce financial risk and increase investments to benefit students.

Estimate of Financial Impact	46
Text of Measure	47
Explanatory Statement	47
Legislative Argument in Support	47
Arguments in Favor	48
Arguments in Opposition	none

Result of “Yes” Vote

“Yes” vote allows public universities to invest in equities to reduce financial risk and increase funds available to help students.

Result of “No” Vote

“No” vote prevents public universities from investing in equities.

Summary

This measure allows investments in equities by public universities to reduce financial risk and increase investments to benefit students. Additional investment income could benefit students by minimizing tuition increases and enhancing student programs.

Estimate of Financial Impact

This measure amends Article XI, section 6 of the Oregon Constitution to exempt public universities from a constitutional prohibition on ownership by the State of stock of any company, association, or corporation.

There is no financial effect on either state or local government expenditures or revenues required by the measure. The revenue and expenditure impact on public universities is dependent upon decisions by each university on the type and amount of private equity in which they choose (or choose not) to invest, and on the return on these investments.

Text of Measure

Be It Resolved by the Legislative Assembly of the State of Oregon:

PARAGRAPH 1. Section 6, Article XI of the Constitution of the State of Oregon, is amended to read:

Sec. 6. (1) Except as provided in subsection (3) of this section, the state shall not subscribe to, or be interested in the stock of any company, association or corporation. However, as provided by law the state may hold and dispose of stock, including stock already received, that is donated or bequeathed; and may invest, in the stock of any company, association or corporation, any funds or moneys that:

(a) Are donated or bequeathed for higher education purposes;

(b) Are the proceeds from the disposition of stock that is donated or bequeathed for higher education purposes, including stock already received; or

(c) Are dividends paid with respect to stock that is donated or bequeathed for higher education purposes, including stock already received.

(2) Notwithstanding the limits contained in subsection (1) of this section, the state may hold and dispose of stock:

(a) Received in exchange for technology created in whole or in part by a public institution of post-secondary education; or

(b) Received prior to December 5, 2002, as a state asset invested in the creation or development of technology or resources within Oregon.

(3) Subsections (1) and (2) of this section do not apply to public universities.

PARAGRAPH 2. The amendment proposed by this resolution shall be submitted to the people for their approval or rejection at the next regular general election held throughout this state.

Note: **Boldfaced** type indicates new language; *[brackets and italic]* type indicates deletions or comments.

Explanatory Statement

In 2013, the Oregon Legislature passed Senate Bill 270, which granted public universities the authority to manage their finances, including the ability to invest in equities, an important financial and risk management tool. However, a provision in the Oregon Constitution may prevent universities from exercising the authority granted in Senate Bill 270. Ballot Measure 95 (House Joint Resolution 203) would ensure that public universities, as described in Senate Bill 270, could invest in equities. The public universities affected by this measure are Eastern Oregon University, Oregon Institute of Technology, Oregon State University, Portland State University, Southern Oregon University, University of Oregon and Western Oregon University.

(This impartial statement explaining the measure was adopted at the 78th Legislative Assembly's 2016 Regular Session.)

Legislative Argument in Support

A "YES" vote allows investments in equities by public universities to reduce financial risk and increase investments to benefit students.

In 2013, the Oregon Legislature passed Senate Bill 270, which granted public universities the authority to manage their finances, including the ability to invest in equities, an important financial and risk management tool. However, a provision in the Oregon Constitution may prevent public universities from exercising the authority granted in Senate Bill 270. A "YES" vote would ensure that public universities could invest in equities, as intended in Senate Bill 270.

A "YES" vote allows public universities to invest in equities to reduce financial risk and increase funds available to help students.

A "YES" vote could benefit students by helping to minimize tuition increases and by funding programs important to students.

A "YES" vote would help protect university and state assets.

(This legislative argument in support of the measure was adopted at the 78th Legislative Assembly's 2016 Regular Session.)

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0052

State Measure 96

Vote for 1

01 = Yes

02 = No

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

22,591 84.33 03 = OVER VOTES
4,199 15.67 04 = UNDER VOTES2
1,280

	01	02	03	04
0101 101	389	61	0	15
0102 102	952	137	0	72
0103 103	892	174	0	41
0104 104	1114	183	0	50
0105 105	861	168	0	45
0106 106C	586	96	0	24
0107 106R	241	49	0	11
0108 107C	230	28	0	7
0109 107R	166	34	0	11
0110 108C	68	10	0	5
0111 108R	100	27	0	11
0112 109C	131	23	0	4
0113 109R	37	10	0	1
0114 110	644	183	0	24
0115 111	1065	216	0	50
0116 112	74	18	0	7
0117 113	506	86	0	27
0118 114	283	63	0	10
0119 115	184	41	0	8
0120 116	105	33	0	6
0121 117C	64	19	0	5
0122 117R	20	3	0	0
0123 119	103	24	0	7
0124 120	768	174	0	42
0125 121	333	75	0	24
0126 123	464	102	1	22
0127 124C	493	82	0	23
0128 124R	120	32	0	7
0129 125C	244	32	1	15
0130 125R	197	39	0	10
0131 126	850	117	0	57
0132 127	404	84	0	21
0133 128	1183	183	0	82
0134 129	771	96	0	50
0135 130	1153	175	0	72
0136 131	331	84	0	23
0137 132	589	141	0	35
0138 133	493	79	0	36
0139 136	688	85	0	36
0140 137	896	128	0	46
0141 138	784	193	0	57
0142 139	776	197	0	34
0143 141	946	172	0	53
0144 142	505	102	0	49
0145 143	788	141	0	45

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

House Joint Resolution 202 – Referred at the 78th Legislative Assembly's 2016 Regular Session to the Voters of the State of Oregon for their approval or rejection at the November 8, 2016, General Election.

Ballot Title

96

Amends Constitution: Dedicates 1.5% of state lottery net proceeds to funding support services for Oregon veterans

Estimate of Financial Impact	50
Text of Measure	51
Explanatory Statement	51
Legislative Argument in Support	51
Arguments in Favor	52
Arguments in Opposition	53

Result of “Yes” Vote

“Yes” vote dedicates 1.5% of state lottery net proceeds to fund veterans’ services, including assistance with employment, education, housing, and physical/mental health care.

Result of “No” Vote

“No” vote retains current list of authorized purposes for spending state lottery net proceeds; 1.5% dedication to fund veterans’ services not required.

Summary

Amends Constitution, dedicates lottery funding for veterans’ support services. Currently, constitution requires that state lottery proceeds be used to create jobs, further economic development, and finance public education; dedicates some net lottery proceeds as follows: 18% to finance education stability fund, 15% to finance state parks, restoration and protection of native fish and wildlife, watersheds, water quality and wildlife habitats, 15% to finance school capital matching fund. Measure dedicates 1.5% of lottery net proceeds to fund services for Oregon veterans. Veterans’ services include assistance with employment, education, housing, physical/ mental health care, addiction treatment, reintegration, access to government benefits, and other services for veterans, spouses and dependents. Other provisions.

Estimate of Financial Impact

This referral amends the Oregon Constitution to dedicate 1.5% of net proceeds from the State Lottery to be deposited in a veteran’s services fund, to be created by the Legislature. The money in the veteran’s services fund is to be expended on veterans’ services, which may include: (1) reintegration, employment, education benefits and tuition, housing, physical and mental health care and addiction treatment programs; (2) assistance for veterans or their dependents to access state and federal benefits; or (3) funding for services provided by county veterans’ services officers, campus veterans’ service officer or nonprofit or tribal veterans’ services officers. The referral defines a veteran as a resident of the State of Oregon who served in the Armed Forces of the United States.

Based on the June 2016 forecast from the Office of Economic Analysis 1.5% of net lottery proceeds for veterans’ services would be approximately \$9.3 million annually for the 2017-19 biennium. This measure would not have an impact on the constitutionally dedicated amounts for the Educational Stability Fund or the Parks and Natural Resources Fund. The measure does not affect the overall amount of funds collected for or expended by state government. The measure would result in an expenditure shift of \$9.3 million annually, during the 2017-19 biennium, to the Veterans’ Services Fund from economic development and public education expenditures.

Text of Measure

Be It Resolved by the Legislative Assembly of the State of Oregon:

PARAGRAPH 1. The Constitution of the State of Oregon is amended by creating a new section 4f to be added to and made part of Article XV, such section to read:

SECTION 4f. (1) Effective July 1, 2017, 1.5 percent of the net proceeds from the State Lottery shall be deposited, from the fund created by the Legislative Assembly under paragraph (d) of subsection (4) of section 4 of this Article, in a veterans' services fund created by the Legislative Assembly. The Legislative Assembly may appropriate other moneys or revenue to the veterans' services fund.

(2) The moneys in the veterans' services fund may be used only to provide services for the benefit of veterans. Such services may include, without limitation:

(a) Assistance for veterans with reintegration, employment, education benefits and tuition, housing, physical and mental health care and addiction treatment programs;

(b) Assistance for veterans, spouses of veterans or dependents of veterans in accessing state and federal benefits; and

(c) Funding services provided by county veterans' service officers, campus veterans' service officers or nonprofit or tribal veterans' service officers.

(3) As used in this section, "veteran" means a resident of the State of Oregon who served in the Armed Forces of the United States.

PARAGRAPH 2. The amendment proposed by this resolution shall be submitted to the people for their approval or rejection at the next regular general election held throughout this state.

Note: **Boldfaced** type indicates new language; *[brackets and italic]* type indicates deletions or comments.

Explanatory Statement

Ballot Measure 96 would amend the Oregon Constitution to require that 1.5% of net proceeds from the State Lottery be used to provide services for the benefit of veterans.

Originally the Oregon Constitution dedicated net lottery proceeds to job creation and economic development. Subsequent initiatives have dedicated 15% of net proceeds from the State Lottery to a parks and natural resources fund, which is used to create and maintain parks and natural resources, and 18% to an education stability fund, which is used to supplement public education funding.

The remaining 67% of net lottery proceeds is currently allocated by the Legislature, including specific amounts for lottery backed bonds, county economic development, college athletics, gambling addiction treatment and other legislative priorities associated with job creation and economic development.

By creating a new 1.5% dedication, the measure would reduce the undedicated portion of net lottery proceeds to 65.5%. Dedicating this percentage to veterans' services may increase Oregon's eligibility for available federal matching funds.

Committee Members:
 Senator Alan Olsen
 Representative Paul Evans
 Vicki Berger
 Jean Cowan
 Cory Streisinger

Appointed by:
 President of the Senate
 Speaker of the House
 Secretary of State
 Secretary of State
 Secretary of State

(This committee was appointed to provide an impartial explanation of the ballot measure pursuant to ORS 251.215.)

		VOTES		PERCENT		VOTES		PERCENT	
State Measure 97									
Vote for 1									
01 = Yes		8,999		33.25		03 = OVER VOTES		12	
02 = No		18,063		66.75		04 = UNDER VOTES		998	
		-----		-----					
		01	02	03	04				
		-----	-----	-----	-----				
0101	101	144	303	0	18				
0102	102	415	704	0	42				
0103	103	256	826	0	25				
0104	104	336	962	1	48				
0105	105	305	734	1	34				
0106	106C	220	473	1	12				
0107	106R	84	207	0	10				
0108	107C	88	174	0	3				
0109	107R	40	168	0	3				
0110	108C	24	55	0	4				
0111	108R	19	117	0	2				
0112	109C	43	110	0	5				
0113	109R	9	39	0	0				
0114	110	209	621	0	21				
0115	111	471	820	1	39				
0116	112	22	71	0	6				
0117	113	144	458	0	17				
0118	114	75	269	1	11				
0119	115	52	167	0	14				
0120	116	36	102	0	6				
0121	117C	26	59	0	3				
0122	117R	4	19	0	0				
0123	119	47	79	0	8				
0124	120	328	612	0	44				
0125	121	151	254	0	27				
0126	123	183	382	1	23				
0127	124C	167	409	0	22				
0128	124R	34	122	0	3				
0129	125C	94	181	0	17				
0130	125R	66	171	0	9				
0131	126	347	636	2	39				
0132	127	167	327	0	15				
0133	128	495	896	0	57				
0134	129	320	559	0	38				
0135	130	463	896	0	41				
0136	131	167	252	0	19				
0137	132	284	450	0	31				
0138	133	255	323	1	29				
0139	136	260	516	0	33				
0140	137	386	632	1	51				
0141	138	380	608	1	45				
0142	139	412	572	0	23				
0143	141	444	679	1	47				
0144	142	198	437	0	21				
0145	143	329	612	0	33				

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

Proposed by initiative petition to be voted on at the General Election, November 8, 2016.

Ballot Title

97

Increases corporate minimum tax when sales exceed \$25 million; funds education, healthcare, senior services

Estimate of Financial Impact	54
Text of Measure	55
Explanatory Statement	55
Citizens’ Review Statement	56
Arguments in Favor	57
Arguments in Opposition	63

Result of “Yes” Vote

“Yes” vote increases corporate minimum tax when sales exceed \$25 million; removes tax limit; exempts “benefit companies”; increased revenue funds education, healthcare, senior services.

Result of “No” Vote

“No” vote retains existing corporate minimum tax rates based on Oregon sales; tax limited to \$100,000; revenue not dedicated to education, healthcare, senior services.

Summary

Current law requires each corporation or affiliated group of corporations filing a federal tax return to pay annual minimum tax; amount of tax is determined by tax bracket corresponding to amount of corporation’s Oregon sales; corporations with sales of \$100 million or more pay \$100,000. Measure increases annual minimum tax on corporations with Oregon sales of more than \$25 million; imposes minimum tax of \$30,001 plus 2.5% of amount of sales above \$25 million; eliminates tax cap; benefit companies (business entities that create public benefit) taxed under current law. Applies to tax years beginning on/after January 1, 2017. Revenue from tax increase goes to: public education (early childhood through grade 12); healthcare; services for senior citizens.

Estimate of Financial Impact

The measure is anticipated to increase state revenues by \$548 million from January 1st to June 30th of 2017, and approximately \$3 billion for every year beginning July 1st after that.

The financial impact on state expenditures by program is indeterminate. The increased revenue will require increased expenditures by the state in the areas of public early childhood and kindergarten through grade 12 education, health care, and senior services, but the exact amount and the specific uses within the three identified programs cannot be determined.

Although there is no direct financial effect on local government expenditures or revenues, there is likely to be an indirect and indeterminate effect on the state economy and local government revenues and expenditures.

Text of Measure

Section 1. ORS 317.090 is amended to read:

(1) As used in this section:

(a) "Oregon sales" means:

(A) If the corporation apportions business income under ORS 314.650 to 314.665 for Oregon tax purposes, the total sales of the taxpayer in this state during the tax year, as determined for purposes of ORS 314.665;

(B) If the corporation does not apportion business income for Oregon tax purposes, the total sales in this state that the taxpayer would have had, as determined for purposes of ORS 314.665, if the taxpayer were required to apportion business income for Oregon tax purposes; or

(C) If the corporation apportions business income using a method different from the method prescribed by ORS 314.650 to 314.665, Oregon sales as defined by the Department of Revenue by rule.

(b) If the corporation is an agricultural cooperative that is a cooperative organization described in section 1381 of the Internal Revenue Code, "Oregon sales" does not include sales representing business done with or for members of the agricultural cooperative.

(2) Each corporation or affiliated group of corporations filing a return under ORS 317.710 shall pay annually to the state, for the privilege of carrying on or doing business by it within this state, a minimum tax as follows:

(a) If Oregon sales properly reported on a return are:

(A) Less than \$500,000, the minimum tax is \$150.

(B) \$500,000 or more, but less than \$1 million, the minimum tax is \$500.

(C) \$1 million or more, but less than \$2 million, the minimum tax is \$1,000.

(D) \$2 million or more, but less than \$3 million, the minimum tax is \$1,500.

(E) \$3 million or more, but less than \$5 million, the minimum tax is \$2,000.

(F) \$5 million or more, but less than \$7 million, the minimum tax is \$4,000.

(G) \$7 million or more, but less than \$10 million, the minimum tax is \$7,500.

(H) \$10 million or more, but less than \$25 million, the minimum tax is \$15,000.

(I) \$25 million or more, but less than \$50 million, the minimum tax is \$30,000.

(J) \$50 million or more, but less than \$75 million, the minimum tax is \$50,000. **More than \$25 million, the minimum tax is \$30,001 plus 2.5% of the excess over \$25 million.**

(K) \$75 million or more, but less than \$100 million, the minimum tax is \$75,000.

(L) \$100 million or more, the minimum tax is \$100,000.

(b) If a corporation is an S corporation, the minimum tax is \$150.

(3) The minimum tax is not apportionable (except in the case of a change of accounting periods), and is payable in full for any part of the year during which a corporation is subject to tax.

Section 2. The amendments to the minimum tax made by Section 1 of this 2016 Act do not apply to any legally formed and registered "benefit company," as that term is defined in ORS 60.750. A legally formed and registered "benefit company" shall pay the minimum tax set forth in ORS 317.090(2) in effect prior to the passage of this 2016 Act.

Section 3. All of the revenue generated from the increase in the tax created by this 2016 Act shall be used to provide additional funding for: public early childhood and kindergarten through twelfth grade education; healthcare; and, services for senior citizens. Revenue distributed pursuant to this section shall be in addition to other funds distributed for: public early childhood and kindergarten through twelfth grade education; healthcare; and, services for senior citizens.

Section 4. The amendments to ORS 317.090 made by Section 1 of this 2016 Act and Sections 2 and 3 of this 2016 Act apply to tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2017.

Section 5. If any provision of this 2016 Act is held invalid for any reason, all remaining provisions of this Act shall remain in place and shall be given full force and effect.

Explanatory Statement

Ballot Measure 97 increases the corporate minimum tax for corporations with at least \$25 million in Oregon sales. Currently, Oregon C corporations pay the higher of either an excise tax or a minimum tax based on the corporation's sales in Oregon.

Ballot Measure 97 increases the annual minimum tax on corporations with Oregon sales of more than \$25 million. It imposes a minimum tax of \$30,001 plus 2.5 percent of amount of sales above \$25 million. Oregon sales under \$25 million would not be affected.

Ballot Measure 97 exempts "benefit companies" from the increased rate of minimum tax. "Benefit companies" are defined under Oregon law.

Ballot Measure 97 states that revenues generated from the increase in the corporate minimum tax are to be used to provide additional funding for education, healthcare and services for senior citizens.

Committee Members:

Dan Rayfield
Ben Unger
Pat McCormick
Rebecca Tweed
Bill Riggs

Appointed by:

Chief Petitioners
Chief Petitioners
Secretary of State
Secretary of State
Members of the Committee

(This committee was appointed to provide an impartial explanation of the ballot measure pursuant to ORS 251.215.)

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0054

State Measure 98

Vote for 1

01 = Yes

02 = No

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

13,528 51.63
12,676 48.3703 = OVER VOTES
04 = UNDER VOTES2
1,866

	01	02	03	04
0101 101	198	249	0	18
0102 102	600	465	0	96
0103 103	408	644	0	55
0104 104	569	711	0	67
0105 105	473	533	0	68
0106 106C	338	334	0	34
0107 106R	118	166	0	17
0108 107C	134	114	0	17
0109 107R	82	113	0	16
0110 108C	37	38	0	8
0111 108R	53	73	0	12
0112 109C	65	85	0	8
0113 109R	13	34	0	1
0114 110	369	441	0	41
0115 111	719	547	1	64
0116 112	25	62	0	12
0117 113	232	334	0	53
0118 114	141	202	0	13
0119 115	84	133	0	16
0120 116	58	76	0	10
0121 117C	37	46	0	5
0122 117R	9	14	0	0
0123 119	73	53	0	8
0124 120	428	506	0	50
0125 121	177	225	0	30
0126 123	266	280	1	42
0127 124C	306	261	0	31
0128 124R	60	87	0	12
0129 125C	160	109	0	23
0130 125R	109	119	0	18
0131 126	522	412	0	90
0132 127	244	230	0	35
0133 128	723	613	0	112
0134 129	445	401	0	71
0135 130	700	596	0	104
0136 131	215	179	0	44
0137 132	384	327	0	54
0138 133	329	228	0	51
0139 136	425	333	0	51
0140 137	613	391	0	66
0141 138	544	403	0	87
0142 139	575	377	0	55
0143 141	643	449	0	79
0144 142	289	303	0	64
0145 143	536	380	0	58

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

Proposed by initiative petition to be voted on at the General Election, November 8, 2016.

Ballot Title

98

Requires state funding for dropout-prevention, career and college readiness programs in Oregon high schools

Estimate of Financial Impact	81
Text of Measure	82
Explanatory Statement	85
Arguments in Favor	85
Arguments in Opposition	none

Result of “Yes” Vote

“Yes” vote requires state legislature to fund dropout-prevention, career and college readiness programs through grants to Oregon high schools; state monitors programs.

Result of “No” Vote

“No” vote retains current law: legislature not required to commit funds to career-technical/college-level education/ dropout-prevention programs, retains discretion to allocate funds.

Summary

Currently, the Oregon legislature provides General Fund revenues to the State School Fund based on constitutionally required quality goals; those funds are distributed directly to school districts under a specified formula. Measure requires legislature to separately provide at least \$800 per high school student—adjusted upward annually for inflation/population—to a Department of Education (ODE) administered account. ODE distributes those funds to school districts to establish or expand high school programs providing career-technical education, college-level courses, and dropout-prevention strategies. School districts must apply for grants, meet specified requirements. Districts may use limited portion of fund for administration costs but not unrelated activities. ODE monitors school district performance, ensures compliance, facilitates programs; Secretary of State audits biannually. Other provisions.

Estimate of Financial Impact

The measure does not affect the aggregate amount of funds collected or expended by state or local government.

The measure does, however, commit a minimum increase of \$147 million annually to expenditures on career and technical education, accelerated learning and high school graduation improvement programs. This number could be lower if state revenues do not grow by \$1.5 billion in the 2017-2019 biennium.

Because the measure does not raise additional revenue, the measure specifically provides that the Legislature determine how these program expansions will be funded.

Text of Measure

PREAMBLE

Oregon's high schools have become a dead end for too many students. For others, they are a poor launching pad for college and career success. Targeted use of state funds can update and expand career-technical education programs, expand access to college-level courses, prevent students from dropping out and keep them on track to graduate in all Oregon high schools.

WHEREAS, Oregon has one of the worst high school graduation rates in the nation. More than 10,000 Oregon students fail to graduate each year. As a result, they face a future of lower earnings, higher unemployment and greater reliance on public assistance. This problem persists for white students, African-American students, Hispanic students and Native American students -- all of whom are less likely to graduate than their peers in other states.

WHEREAS, too many students who graduate from high school find themselves unprepared for college and work. Career-technical courses that connect students to the world of work have been scaled back or eliminated in most school districts or are badly out of date. And, nearly 75% of the Oregon high school graduates who enroll in our community colleges need remedial education.

WHEREAS, states with similar student populations have substantially higher graduation rates and show better results for students who move on to college and careers after high school.

WHEREAS, some school districts in Oregon have been able to update and expand their career-technical education programs in areas like health care and information technologies. Other districts have been able to offer more college-level courses that give students an opportunity to earn college credits, save on tuition and improve their chances of earning certificates and degrees in our community colleges and universities. Still other districts have used dropout-prevention strategies to identify and intervene with students at risk of not graduating, keeping them in school and getting them back on track to earn a high school diploma.

WHEREAS, by dedicating a portion of future state funding to implement the most effective strategies of other states and bring to scale the most successful high school programs demonstrated by Oregon school districts, we can significantly increase high school graduation rates and improve college and career readiness in all of our high schools.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that we establish a state fund for career-technical education programs, access to college-level courses and proven dropout-prevention strategies in all Oregon high schools to improve graduation rates and college and career readiness.

The Citizens of Oregon Establish the High School Graduation and College and Career Readiness Fund

SECTION 1. Sections 2 to 16 of this 2016 Act shall be known as the High School Graduation and College and Career Readiness Act.

SECTION 2. The High School Graduation and College and Career Readiness Fund is established in the General Fund for the purposes of improving the graduation rates and college and career readiness of all high school students in Oregon. The Legislative Assembly shall appropriate, allocate or otherwise make available to the fund an amount not less than \$800 per high school student per school year. The fund is continuously appropriated to the Department of Education for the purposes of sections 2 to 16 of this 2016 Act.

SECTION 3. (1)(a) Subject to sections 10 and 14 of this 2016 Act, for school years beginning on or after July 1, 2017, the High School Graduation and College and Career Readiness Fund shall be apportioned to each school district based on the extended weighted average daily membership of high school students computed as provided in ORS 327.013 (1)(c).

(b) In the event the Department of Education is unable to determine the extended weighted average daily membership of high school students for a school district, the department may determine the average extended weighted average daily membership for all students in the school district and apply the average extended weighted average daily membership to the number of high school students in the school district.

(2)(a) For school years beginning on or after July 1, 2018, the amount appropriated, allocated or otherwise made available to the fund under section 2 of this 2016 Act shall be increased each school year in a biennium by the amount derived from the application of the process in Executive Order 14-14 used to calculate the cost to maintain the current level of service.

(b) The intent of paragraph (a) of this subsection is to apply the process in Executive Order 14 – 14 in the event Executive Order 14 – 14 is canceled, superseded or otherwise made ineffective.

SECTION 4. The amounts appropriated, allocated or otherwise made available under section 2 of this 2016 Act and apportioned under section 3 of this 2016 Act shall be in addition to the total amount the Legislative Assembly would otherwise appropriate, allocate or make available for a biennium for funding kindergarten through grade 12 public education.

Establishment of Career-Technical Education Programs in High Schools

SECTION 5. A school district shall use a portion of the funds apportioned under section 3 of this 2016 Act to establish and expand career-technical education programs in high schools that are relevant to the job market in the community or region the school district serves. Establishment and expansion of a career-technical education program includes the purchase of equipment, the construction of facilities and the recruitment, licensing, employment and training of personnel to provide career-technical education.

Access to College-Level Courses in High Schools

SECTION 6. (1) A school district shall use a portion of the amount apportioned under section 3 of this 2016 Act to establish and expand college-level educational opportunities for students in high schools.

(2) The college-level educational opportunities must include:

(a)(A) Advanced placement, International Baccalaureate or comparable college-level courses; or

(B) Dual credit, co-enrollment programs or extended co-enrollment programs offered in conjunction with an Oregon community college, public university or other accredited institutions of higher learning or post-high school career schools;

(b) Assisting students with the selection and successful completion of college-level educational opportunities; and

(c) The recruitment, licensing, employment and training of personnel to provide college-level educational opportunities for students in all high schools.

Implementation of Dropout-Prevention Strategies in All High Schools

SECTION 7. (1) A school district shall use a portion of the amount apportioned under section 3 of this 2016 Act to establish and expand dropout-prevention strategies in all high schools.

(2) The dropout-prevention strategies must include:

- (a) Implementing activities designed to reduce chronic absenteeism;
- (b) Establishing and maintaining data management systems that provide timely reports on students' grades, absences and discipline by school and by course;
- (c) Beginning with grade 8, using attendance, course grades, credits earned and disciplinary referrals to identify students at risk of not graduating;
- (d) Beginning in the summer after grade 8, providing academic and social supports for students at risk of not graduating to ensure that the students are on track to graduate by the time the students enter grade 10 and stay on track to graduate after entering grade 10, including such supports as summer programs, additional instructional time before and after school hours, tutoring or small-group instruction during the school day or counseling services; and
- (e) Providing counseling and coaching to provide early exposure for students to employment opportunities and requirements and options for post-secondary education.

SECTION 8. (1) A school district must use the amount apportioned under section 3 of this 2016 Act to establish and expand programs, opportunities and strategies under sections 5, 6 and 7 of this 2016 Act and may not use the amount apportioned to maintain programs, opportunities and strategies established prior to the effective date of this 2016 Act, except when a use is necessary to replace the loss or expiration of time-limited grants, federal funds and funds that support extended co-enrollment programs in effect prior to the effective date of this 2016 Act.

(2) School districts may, and are encouraged to:

- (a) Cooperate, coordinate or act jointly with other school districts and with education service districts, including through the use of professional learning communities, to achieve the purposes of the High School Graduation and College and Career Readiness Fund and to maximize benefits from apportionments under section 3 of this 2016 Act;
 - (b) Cooperate, coordinate or act jointly with nonprofit programs and community-based organizations that have demonstrated achievement of positive outcomes in work with underserved student populations; and
 - (c) Use evidence-based criteria to determine appropriate staffing ratios and class sizes to achieve the purposes of the fund and to maximize benefits from apportionments under section 3 of this 2016 Act.
- (3) When establishing and expanding career-technical education programs and college-level educational opportunities, school districts may, and are encouraged to, give preference to programs and opportunities in science, technology, engineering and mathematics.

Oversight and Accountability of and Technical Assistance for School Districts

SECTION 9. To ensure the High School Graduation and College and Career Readiness Fund improves students' progress toward graduation beginning with grade 9, graduation rates and college and career readiness, the Department of Education shall:

- (1) Monitor the performance of school districts that receive apportionments under section 3 of this 2016 Act, including students' progress toward graduation beginning with grade 9, graduation rates, rates of college attendance and need for remedial classes in college;
- (2) Intervene where necessary to ensure appropriate and effective use of amounts apportioned under section 3 of this

2016 Act; and

- (3) Facilitate continuous improvement of use of amounts apportioned under section 3 of this 2016 Act by implementing strategies for school districts to share best practices for improving students' progress toward graduation beginning with grade 9, graduation rates and college and career readiness.

SECTION 10. (1) For the biennium beginning July 1, 2017, the Department of Education may retain up to one and one-half percent of the High School Graduation and College and Career Readiness Fund for purposes of administering sections 2 to 16 of this 2016 Act.

(2) For biennia beginning on or after July 1, 2019, the department may retain up to one and one-quarter percent of the fund for purposes of administering sections 2 to 16 of this 2016 Act.

SECTION 11. (1) Not later than December 31, 2020, and every two years thereafter, the Secretary of State shall conduct financial and program audits of the uses of the High School Graduation and College and Career Readiness Fund and the effectiveness of the fund in achieving the purposes of the fund.

(2) The Secretary of State shall submit the audit reports to the Legislative Assembly and the Governor.

Requirements for District Participation

SECTION 12. (1) By March 1, 2017, the State Board of Education shall by rule adopt eligibility requirements, biennial plan guidelines, biennial plan submission deadlines, reporting criteria and audit processes to ensure that amounts apportioned under section 3 of this 2016 Act improve students' progress toward graduation beginning with grade 9, increase the graduation rates of high schools and improve high school graduates' readiness for college or career.

(2) The requirements for eligibility adopted under subsection (2) of this section must include:

- (a) A school district's providing sufficient time for teachers and staff of students in grade 9 to review data on students' grades, absences and discipline by school and by course and to develop strategies to ensure at-risk students stay on track to graduate;
- (b) A school district's implementing district-wide evidence-based practices for reducing chronic absenteeism in grades 9 through 12;
- (c) A school district's assignment of high school students to advanced and dual-credit courses based on academic qualifications in order to avoid bias in course assignments; and
- (d) A school district's implementing systems to ensure that high school students, including English Language Learners, are taking courses required for on-time graduation.

SECTION 13. To qualify for an apportionment under section 3 of this 2016 Act, a school district must:

- (1) Meet the requirements for eligibility adopted by the State Board of Education under section 12 of this 2016 Act; and
- (2) Obtain approval of a biennial plan for the proposed use of the amount apportioned under section 3 of this 2016 Act.

SECTION 14. (1) If a school district applies, but does not qualify for, an apportionment under sections 3 and 13 of this 2016 Act, the Department of Education shall:

- (a) Retain the amount of the apportionment the school district would have received if the school district had qualified for the apportionment; and

(b) Prepare a corrective action plan for the school district;

(2) The department may use a portion of an amount retained under subsection (1)(a) of this section to prepare and assist a school district to implement a corrective action plan;

(3) If a school district that does not qualify for an apportionment qualifies for an apportionment in the next year, the department shall apportion to the school district the amount of the retained apportionment that the department did not use under section (2) of this 2016 Act; and

(4) If a school district that does not qualify for an apportionment in one year does not qualify for an apportionment in the next year, or if a school district does not apply for an apportionment in any year, the department shall, using the process described in section 3 of this 2016 Act, apportion the amount of the retained apportionment to school districts that have qualified for apportionments.

Controls on Uses of Funds

SECTION 15. (1) For the biennium beginning July 1, 2017, a school district may not use more than five percent of an apportionment under section 3 of this 2016 Act for administrative costs.

(2) For biennia beginning on or after July 1, 2019, a school district may not use more than four percent of an apportionment for administrative costs.

(3) A school district may not use an apportionment to administer activities not directly related to the programs, opportunities and strategies described in sections 5, 6 and 7 of this 2016 Act.

(4) From the portion of the apportionment used for administrative costs, a school district must conduct an annual analysis of:

(a) Student attendance in grades 9 through 12; and

(b) Disciplinary referrals, suspensions and expulsions in grades 9 through 12 disaggregated by race and ethnicity.

Definitions

SECTION 16. (1) As used in sections 7 and 12 of this 2016 Act, “chronic absenteeism” means a student’s missing two weeks or more in a school year.

(2) As used in section 12 of this 2016 Act, “English Language Learner” means a child whose native language is other than English or who speaks a language other than English in the child’s home.

(3) As used in this section and sections 6 and 8 of this 2016 Act, “extended co-enrollment program” means a program in which a student who has satisfied the requirements for a diploma established by the State Board of Education under ORS 329.451:

(a) Does not receive a diploma;

(b) Remains enrolled at a school district;

(c) Attends a community college for at least half of the student’s coursework; and

(d) Has some or all of the student’s tuition, fees and books for coursework at the community college paid by the school district where the student is enrolled.

(4) As used in sections 3 and 12 of this 2016 Act, “high school student” means a student enrolled in grades 9 through 12 or age level equivalent.

(5) As used in section 11 of this 2016 Act, “program audit” means determining:

(a) The extent to which the desired results or benefits of a program are being achieved;

(b) The extent to which the need for or objectives of an ongoing program are necessary or relevant;

(c) Whether the program complements, duplicates, overlaps or conflicts with other related programs;

(d) The effectiveness of organizations, programs, activities or functions; and

(e) Whether the entity that is the subject of the audit has complied with laws and regulations applicable to the program.

(6) As used in sections 2 to 16 of this 2016 Act, “school district” means a common or union high school district.

Contingencies

SECTION 17. (1) In the event that the Office of Economic Analysis in the May 2017 quarterly economic and revenue forecast estimates that the increase in General Fund revenues for the biennium beginning July 1, 2017, will be less than \$1.5 billion above General Fund revenues estimated for the biennium beginning July 1, 2015, in the August 26, 2015, quarterly economic and revenue forecast, the amounts appropriated, allocated or otherwise made available under section 2 of this 2016 Act and apportioned under section 3 of this 2016 Act for the biennium beginning July 1, 2017, shall be prorated as set forth in subsection (2) of this section.

(2) The proportion for making a proration required by subsection (1) of this section is the amount of General Fund revenues estimated by the Office of Economic Analysis for the biennium beginning July 1, 2017, in the May 2017 quarterly economic and revenue forecast, divided by an amount equal to \$1.5 billion above the General Fund revenues estimated for the biennium beginning July 1, 2015, in the August 26, 2015, quarterly economic and revenue forecast.

SECTION 18. Sections 13 and 14 of this 2016 Act apply to school years beginning on or after July 1, 2018.

SECTION 19. Section 17 of this 2016 Act is repealed January 2, 2022.

Explanatory Statement

Ballot Measure 98 requires state funds to be distributed to public school districts for approved plans to establish or expand career and technical education programs in high schools, to establish or expand college-level educational opportunities for students in high schools and to establish or expand dropout-prevention strategies in high schools.

The measure directs the Legislative Assembly to appropriate at least \$800 per enrolled high school student per school year for the distributions to school districts with approved plans. Funding would be adjusted each school year based on the cost to maintain the current level of performance. The measure creates no new revenue sources, and relies initially on growth of state General Fund revenue. If the state General Fund does not increase by at least \$1.5 billion in the next budget period, initial funding for the measure would be reduced and phased in over three years. In year three and beyond, state funding would be adjusted based on the cost to maintain the current level of performance. These adjustments would be made regardless of the amount of state revenue available.

A school district would receive funds under the measure if the school district submits a spending plan that says how its funds would be apportioned among the three program areas specified by the measure. A district's plan must be approved by the Department of Education every two years. A school district would receive distributions based on the state's current funding formula which takes into account the number of enrolled students in the school district and their characteristics. If a district applies but does not qualify, the Department of Education may use a portion of the funds to assist the district in preparing a qualifying plan. If a district does not apply or still does not qualify, the remaining funds will then be reallocated to other districts in subsequent years.

Distributions of funds to school districts under the measure are in addition to other funds provided to school districts by the state. These funds may not be used to maintain current school programs, opportunities or strategies, except when replacing a time-limited grant, federal funding or funds that support dual enrollment programs.

The measure directs the Department of Education to monitor the performance of school districts receiving distributions of funds under the measure, to intervene when necessary to ensure appropriate and effective uses of the funds and to facilitate continuous improvement in the uses of the funds by school districts.

The measure directs the Secretary of State to conduct biennial financial and program audits of the uses of the funds in improving the graduation rates of high school students and their readiness for college and careers. The Department of Education may retain up to 1.5 percent of the funds for oversight of the program for the first two years, and 1.25 percent thereafter. School districts may retain up to 5 percent of the funds for implementation of the new programs for the first two years, and 4 percent thereafter.

Committee Members:

Greg Chaimov
Tim Nesbitt
Patrick Cowan
Dave Hunt
Cory Streisinger

Appointed by:

Chief Petitioners
Chief Petitioners
Secretary of State
Secretary of State
Secretary of State

(This committee was appointed to provide an impartial explanation of the ballot measure pursuant to ORS 251.215.)

NUMBERED KEY CANVASS

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

Umatilla County, Oregon
General Election
November 8, 2016

OFFICIAL FINAL RESULTS

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0055

	VOTES	PERCENT		VOTES	PERCENT
State Measure 99					
Vote for 1					
01 = Yes	16,879	63.58	03 = OVER VOTES	3	
02 = No	9,670	36.42	04 = UNDER VOTES	1,520	
	01	02	03	04	
0101 101	249	199	0	17	
0102 102	727	365	0	69	
0103 103	619	438	0	50	
0104 104	690	590	0	67	
0105 105	613	404	0	57	
0106 106C	413	268	2	23	
0107 106R	163	125	0	13	
0108 107C	175	80	0	10	
0109 107R	109	88	0	14	
0110 108C	48	29	0	6	
0111 108R	72	59	0	7	
0112 109C	104	46	0	8	
0113 109R	31	16	0	1	
0114 110	495	317	0	39	
0115 111	887	376	0	68	
0116 112	48	42	0	9	
0117 113	322	257	0	40	
0118 114	192	151	0	13	
0119 115	134	88	0	11	
0120 116	77	61	0	6	
0121 117C	46	38	0	4	
0122 117R	11	12	0	0	
0123 119	73	53	0	8	
0124 120	505	419	1	59	
0125 121	212	187	0	33	
0126 123	286	267	0	36	
0127 124C	312	256	0	30	
0128 124R	77	74	0	8	
0129 125C	182	90	0	20	
0130 125R	113	118	0	15	
0131 126	632	335	0	57	
0132 127	295	186	0	28	
0133 128	906	450	0	92	
0134 129	593	265	0	59	
0135 130	856	476	0	68	
0136 131	251	153	0	34	
0137 132	417	309	0	39	
0138 133	398	170	0	40	
0139 136	570	193	0	46	
0140 137	763	251	0	56	
0141 138	668	293	0	73	
0142 139	682	281	0	44	
0143 141	796	322	0	53	
0144 142	412	205	0	39	
0145 143	655	268	0	51	

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

Proposed by initiative petition to be voted on at the General Election, November 8, 2016.

Ballot Title

99

Creates "Outdoor School Education Fund," continuously funded through Lottery, to provide outdoor school programs statewide

Estimate of Financial Impact	104
Text of Measure	105
Explanatory Statement	105
Arguments in Favor	106
Arguments in Opposition	111

Result of “Yes” Vote

“Yes” vote creates separate fund, financed through Oregon Lottery Economic Development Fund and administered by Oregon State University (OSU), to provide outdoor school programs statewide.

Result of “No” Vote

“No” vote rejects creation of fund to provide outdoor school programs statewide; retains current law under which OSU administers outdoor school grants if funding available.

Summary

Presently, Oregon does not fund outdoor school programs statewide, but, under current law, OSU assists school districts by awarding grants according to specified criteria and providing program maintenance, conditioned on funding. Measure creates separate “Outdoor School Education Fund” (Fund) that is financed by Oregon State Lottery money distributed for economic development. Caps annual distributions of Lottery revenues to Fund. Specifies Fund’s purpose to provide every Oregon fifth- or sixth-grade student week-long outdoor school program or equivalent. Continuously appropriates Fund to OSU to administer and fund outdoor school programs statewide consistent with current law’s grant program criteria; may require Fund dispersal outside of grant program. Allocations to Fund shall not reduce lottery proceeds dedicated under Oregon Constitution to education, parks, beaches, watersheds, fish, wildlife.

Estimate of Financial Impact

This measure amends Oregon Revised Statutes to dedicate a portion of lottery proceeds for a statewide outdoor school program. In 2015, the Oregon State Legislature established an Outdoor Education Account for the purpose of funding a six day, residential, hands-on outdoor school program or equivalent for fifth and sixth grade students across the state. The Legislature did not provide funding at that time. This measure would affect distributions from the Department of Administrative Services Economic Development Fund. It dedicates the lesser of the following two amounts to the Outdoor Education account: either four percent of the quarterly transfers to this fund or \$5.5 million quarterly, with a maximum of \$22 million each year.

The measure would result in an expenditure shift of \$22 million annually to the Outdoor Education Account from the Department of Administrative Services Economic Development Fund. The measure does not affect the overall amount of funds collected for or expended by state government. This measure would not have an impact on the constitutionally dedicated amounts for the Educational Stability Fund or the Parks and Natural Resources Fund.

Text of Measure

The people of the State of Oregon adopt this **ACT TO CREATE THE OUTDOOR SCHOOL EDUCATION FUND TO ALLOW ALL OREGON STUDENTS TO ATTEND OUTDOOR SCHOOL**, to be made a part of the Oregon Revised Statutes, Chapter 461.

Section 1. Findings

(1) Since the late 1950s, nearly one million Oregon students have attended Outdoor School, a unique week-long, field science program giving students the opportunity to study natural sciences and responsible use of natural resources in collaboration with students from other schools.

(2) Currently, only about half of Oregon students attend Outdoor School. Most remaining programs have been significantly shortened. Rural and lower income districts have been particularly affected.

(3) Every Oregon student in the fifth or sixth grade should have the opportunity to attend a week-long outdoor school program or a comparable outdoor education program.

(4) Outdoor School builds self-sufficiency and leadership skills, helps students understand the interdependence of Oregon's rural and urban areas, develops critical thinking skills and improves school attendance and retention rates.

(5) Fully supporting Outdoor School for all Oregon students will help students meet state standards in the areas of science, technology, engineering and mathematics through direct, hands-on experience, which is shown to strongly influence learning and career choices.

(6) Fully supporting Outdoor School for all Oregon students will create jobs throughout Oregon as well as support economic development in rural areas.

(7) Under Article XV, Section 4 of the Oregon Constitution, net proceeds from the Oregon Lottery shall be used to create jobs, further economic development, finance public education and restore and protect Oregon's parks, beaches, watersheds, and native fish and wildlife.

Section 2. Creates Outdoor School Education Fund

(1) The Outdoor School Education Fund is created within the State Treasury, separate and distinct from the General Fund.

(2) Moneys in the fund shall consist of:

- a) Amounts donated to the fund;
- b) Amounts allocated under Section 2(3) below or otherwise transferred to the fund by the Legislative Assembly;
- c) Investment earnings received on moneys in the fund; and
- d) Other amounts deposited in the fund from any source.

(3) In each fiscal quarter of a biennium, commencing with the first quarter of the biennium beginning July 1, 2017, there is allocated from the Administrative Services Economic Development Fund to the Outdoor School Education Fund established by this measure an amount equal to the lesser of:

- a) Four percent of the moneys transferred from the Oregon State Lottery Fund in that fiscal quarter; or
- b) \$5.50 million, but not to exceed \$22 million annually, adjusted annually pursuant to the Consumer Price Index, as defined in ORS 327.006.

(4) The allocation of funds to the Outdoor School Education Fund shall not reduce lottery proceeds dedicated to education under Article XV, Section 4 of the Oregon Constitution, or to the restoration and preservation of parks, beaches, watersheds, and native fish and wildlife under Article XV, Sections 4a and 4b of the Oregon Constitution.

Section 3. Purpose of Outdoor School Education Fund

(1) The primary purpose of the Outdoor School Education Fund is to provide every Oregon student in fifth or sixth grade the opportunity to attend a week-long outdoor school program, or an equivalent outdoor education experience that reflects local community needs, consistent with provisions of Enrolled Senate Bill 439 (2015 Regular Session).

(2) Any moneys remaining in the Outdoor School Education Fund after providing every Oregon student in fifth or sixth grade with an opportunity to attend a week-long Outdoor School may be used by the Oregon State University Extension Service to support the development and delivery of additional outdoor education programs in Oregon's K-12 public schools.

Section 4. Continuous Appropriation

Moneys in the fund are continuously appropriated to the Oregon State University Extension Service to support, administer and fund an Outdoor School program as set forth in Enrolled Senate Bill 439 (2015 Regular Session) and additional outdoor education programs for Oregon K-12 children.

Explanatory Statement

Ballot Measure 99 requires a portion of lottery-related moneys to be dedicated for the purpose of providing every student in fifth or sixth grade in this state with the opportunity to attend a week-long outdoor school program or an equivalent outdoor education experience that reflects local community needs.

Historically, "Outdoor School" is a week-long residential field science program designed to give students the opportunity to study natural sciences and the interrelationship of nature, natural resources, economic development, and career opportunities in Oregon in collaboration with students from other schools. Outdoor school programs have been offered throughout the state since the 1950's. Due to budget constraints, many school districts have either shortened the length of outdoor school or eliminated it altogether.

During the 2015 session, the Oregon Legislative Assembly passed Senate Bill 439, a bill authorizing the Oregon State University Extension Service to support, administer, and fund outdoor school programs throughout the state. Under Senate Bill 439, grants would be made to local school districts and education service districts consistent with the priorities outlined in the bill. However, the Legislative Assembly provided no funding for the program.

The measure establishes the Outdoor School Education Fund for the purpose of receiving moneys made available under the measure. Moneys in the fund are appropriated to the Oregon State University Extension Service to support, administer and fund outdoor education programs as authorized by the Legislative Assembly in Senate Bill 439.

The measure authorizes the transfer of no more than \$22 million annually from the Oregon State Lottery Fund to the Administrative Services Economic Development Fund. The minimum amount will be adjusted each year based on the Consumer Price Index.

The measure prohibits any reductions in the amounts of lottery proceeds that are constitutionally dedicated to education or to the restoration and preservation of parks, beaches, watersheds and native fish and wildlife.

Committee Members:

Margaret Olney
Paige Richardson
Pamela Fitzsimmons
Craig Pope
Ginny Lang

Appointed by:

Chief Petitioners
Chief Petitioners
Secretary of State
Secretary of State
Members of the Committee

(This committee was appointed to provide an impartial explanation of the ballot measure pursuant to ORS 251.215.)

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

REPORT-EL52 PAGE 0056

State Measure 100

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

Vote for 1


01 = Yes

02 = No

13,074 50.35
12,893 49.6503 = OVER VOTES
04 = UNDER VOTES1
2,104

	01	02	03	04
0101 101	195	249	0	21
0102 102	558	519	0	84
0103 103	448	578	0	81
0104 104	573	682	0	92
0105 105	440	562	0	72
0106 106C	297	373	0	36
0107 106R	117	167	0	17
0108 107C	133	119	0	13
0109 107R	75	120	0	16
0110 108C	40	34	0	9
0111 108R	56	72	0	10
0112 109C	70	81	0	7
0113 109R	13	34	0	1
0114 110	376	433	0	42
0115 111	628	615	0	88
0116 112	39	51	0	9
0117 113	227	339	0	53
0118 114	131	209	0	16
0119 115	90	120	0	23
0120 116	73	59	0	12
0121 117C	47	37	0	4
0122 117R	7	16	0	0
0123 119	59	66	0	9
0124 120	471	451	0	62
0125 121	197	203	0	32
0126 123	282	270	0	37
0127 124C	265	294	0	39
0128 124R	68	82	0	9
0129 125C	119	148	0	25
0130 125R	103	122	0	21
0131 126	528	418	0	78
0132 127	255	218	0	36
0133 128	766	562	0	120
0134 129	424	420	0	73
0135 130	636	664	0	100
0136 131	197	199	0	42
0137 132	363	353	0	49
0138 133	302	256	0	50
0139 136	409	325	0	75
0140 137	547	433	0	90
0141 138	514	408	1	111
0142 139	558	379	0	70
0143 141	592	475	0	104
0144 142	316	263	0	77
0145 143	470	415	0	89

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

Proposed by initiative petition to be voted on at the General Election, November 8, 2016.

Ballot Title

100

Prohibits purchase or sale of parts or products from certain wildlife species; exceptions; civil penalties

Estimate of Financial Impact	112
Text of Measure	113
Explanatory Statement	114
Arguments in Favor	114
Arguments in Opposition	none

Result of “Yes” Vote

"Yes" vote prohibits purchase/sale of parts/products from certain wildlife species; exceptions for specified activities, gift/inheritances, and certain antiques/musical instruments; civil penalties.

Estimate of Financial Impact

There is less than a \$100,000 financial effect on state government expenditures or revenues. There is no financial effect on local government expenditures or revenues.

Result of “No” Vote

Maintains current Oregon law which does not prohibit purchase or sale of parts or products from species not native to Oregon, except for shark fins.

Summary

Existing Oregon law does not prohibit sale of wildlife parts/products for non native species, except shark fins. Existing federal law does not prohibit intrastate sales of wildlife parts, with exceptions. Measure amends ORS 498.022 to prohibit purchase, sale, or possession with intent to sell of parts/products from elephant, rhinoceros, whale, tiger, lion, leopard, cheetah, jaguar, pangolin, sea turtle, shark, ray. Imposes civil penalties. Creates exceptions: law enforcement activities; activities authorized by federal law; fish managed under federal plan; certain antiques (over 100 years old) and musical instruments with less than 200 grams of parts; non-commercial transfers through estates, trusts, gifts; possession by tribal members. Other exceptions. Fish and Wildlife Commission may adopt rules, including prohibiting purchase/sale of parts "closely" resembling listed species parts.

Text of Measure

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF OREGON:

SECTION 1. This Act shall be known and cited as the "Wildlife Trafficking Prevention Act."

SECTION 2. ORS 498.022 is amended to read:

498.022. (1) Except as the State Fish and Wildlife Commission by rule may provide otherwise, but subject to subsection (2) below, no person shall purchase, sell or exchange, or offer to purchase, sell or exchange any wildlife, or any part thereof.

(2) Wildlife Trafficking Prevention.

(a) Except as provided in subsection (2)(b) of this section, and notwithstanding any other provision of law, or rule enacted pursuant to subsection (1) of this section, a person shall not purchase, sell, offer for sale, or possess with intent to sell, any item that the person knows or should know is a covered animal species part or product.

(b) Subsection (2) (a) of this section shall not apply:

(A) To employees or agents of the federal or state government undertaking any law enforcement activities pursuant to federal or state law or any mandatory duties required by federal or state law;

(B) When the activity is expressly authorized by federal law;

(C) When the activity involves a species that is subject to a federal management plan under Title III of P.L. 94-265 (16 U.S.C. §§ 1851-1869), as amended;

(D) When the activity is exempted by ORS 498.257(3) or ORS 509.160(3);

(E) When the covered animal species part or product is a fixed component of an antique that is not made wholly or primarily of the covered animal species part or product, provided that the antique status is established by the owner or seller thereof with documentation evidencing provenance and showing the covered animal species part or product to be not less than one hundred years old, and provided that the total weight of the covered animal species part or product is less than 200 grams;

(F) When the covered animal species part or product is a fixed component of a musical instrument, including, but not limited to, string instruments and bows, wind and percussion instruments, and pianos, provided that the covered animal species part or product was legally acquired and provided that the total weight of the covered animal species part or product is less than 200 grams;

(G) To the noncommercial transfer of ownership of a covered animal species part or product to a legal beneficiary of an estate, trust, or other inheritance;

(H) To the donation of a covered animal species part or product to a bona fide scientific or educational institution for scientific or educational purposes; or

(I) To the possession of a covered animal species part or product by any enrolled member of a federally-recognized Indian tribe.

(c) There is a presumption of possession with intent to sell a covered animal species part or product when the part or product is possessed by a retail or wholesale establishment or other forum engaged in the business of buying or selling of similar items. This rebuttable presumption shall not preclude a finding of intent to sell based on any other evidence which may serve to independently establish such intent.

(d) Each violation of subsection (2) of this section shall be punishable by a civil penalty not to exceed \$6,500 or an amount equal to two times the total value of the covered animal species part or product that is the subject of the violation, whichever is higher. The civil penalty authorized by this subsection shall be imposed in the manner provided by ORS 183.745.

(e) Any covered animal species part or product that is subject to seizure by or forfeiture to the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife shall not be sold by the Department.

(f) The Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission may adopt rules necessary for the implementation of subsection (2) of this section, including rules restricting the purchase, sale, offer for sale, or possession with intent to sell, of parts or products of any animal species that so closely resemble in appearance parts or products of a covered animal species that law enforcement personnel would have substantial difficulty in attempting to differentiate between the species.

(g) As used in subsection (2) of this section:

(A) "Covered animal species" means any species of:

(i) elephant;

(ii) rhinoceros;

(iii) whale;

(iv) tiger;

(v) lion;

(vi) leopard;

(vii) cheetah;

(viii) jaguar;

(ix) pangolin;

(x) sea turtle;

(xi) shark (excluding spiny dogfish as defined in ORS 498.257(1)); or

(xii) ray.

(B) "Covered animal species part or product" means any item that contains, or is wholly or partially made from, any covered animal species.

(C) "Person" means any individual, firm, partnership, joint venture, corporation, limited liability company, joint stock company, estate, trust, receiver, syndicate, association, or other legal entity.

(D) "Sale" or "sell" means any act of selling, trading, or bartering for monetary or nonmonetary consideration, and includes any transfer of ownership that occurs in the course of a commercial transaction, but does not include a nonmonetary transfer of ownership by way of gift, donation, or bequest.

(E) "Total value" means either the fair market value or the actual price paid for a covered animal species part or product, whichever is greater.

SECTION 3. If any provision of this Act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of this Act which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this Act are severable.

SECTION 4. This Act shall take effect on July 1, 2017.

Note: **Boldfaced** type indicates new language; [brackets and *italic*] type indicates deletions or comments.

Explanatory Statement

Ballot Measure 100 amends Oregon law to expressly prohibit the purchase of, sale of, offer for sale of or possession of with intent to sell a “covered animal species” part or product. The measure defines “covered animal species” to mean any species of elephant, rhinoceros, whale, tiger, lion, leopard, cheetah, jaguar, pangolin, sea turtle, ray and, with the exception of spiny dogfish, shark.

The measure creates nine exceptions to the prohibition. The exceptions are for law enforcement activities, activities otherwise expressly authorized by state or federal law, activities involving federally managed fish, certain antiques more than 100 years old, certain fixed components of musical instruments, transfers of ownership by inheritance, certain donations for scientific or education purposes, and covered animal species parts or products possessed by enrolled members of federally-recognized Indian tribes.

Existing federal law generally allows for the sale, trade or distribution of wildlife parts if the transaction occurs wholly within the boundaries of a single state. The Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission may authorize the purchase, sale or exchange of other wildlife by rule. The rules currently adopted by the commission generally allow persons to purchase, sell or exchange the parts of animal species that are not native to Oregon. Current Oregon statutes expressly prohibit possessing, selling, trading or distributing only shark fins, except spiny dogfish fins.

The measure provides that a violation of the prohibition is punishable by a civil penalty of up to \$6,500, or twice the total value of the prohibited part or product, whichever is greater, and allows the Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission to adopt rules necessary to implement the prohibition.

Committee Members:

Scott Beckstead
Bruce Starr
Roger Beyer
John Woodmark
Jake Tanzer

Appointed by:

Chief Petitioners
Chief Petitioners
Secretary of State
Secretary of State
Members of the Committee

(This committee was appointed to provide an impartial explanation of the ballot measure pursuant to ORS 251.215.)

30-119 City of Hermiston

Vote for 1

01 = Yes

02 = No

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

2,786 54.31

03 = OVER VOTES

3

2,344 45.69

04 = UNDER VOTES

165

	01	02	03	04
0131 126	546	450	0	28
0132 127	267	228	0	14
0133 128	755	642	1	50
0134 129	467	411	1	38
0135 130	751	613	1	35

Ballot Title

30-119Prohibit Sale, Manufacture, Warehousing, and
Distribution of Marijuana In CityQUESTION: Shall the City of Hermiston prohibit the sale,
manufacture, warehousing, and distribution of marijuana in
the city's commercial zones?SUMMARY: The City of Hermiston is eligible to prohibit the
sale, manufacture, warehousing, and distribution of marijuana
within the city limits under the provisions of HB 3400. The
city council chose to adopt the prohibitions allowed under HB
3400 and to refer the question of prohibition to the voters
of the city. If passed, sale, manufacture, warehousing, and
distribution of recreational and medical marijuana will not be
allowed in the city's commercial and residential zones.

Explanatory Statement

The City Council of Hermiston, Oregon refers to the voters
an amendment to §157.151 of the Hermiston Zoning Code
regulating prohibited activities. The amendment is a text
amendment adding new activities to the prohibited activities list.
The new prohibited activities are as follows:(B) Marijuana Related Activities. The following activities shall be
prohibited activities in the R-3, R-4, C-1, C-2, DCO, M-1, and
M-2 zones:

1. Marijuana processing sites;
2. Medical marijuana dispensaries registered under ORS
475.314;
3. Marijuana producers licensed under section 10, chapter 1,
Oregon Laws 2015;
4. Marijuana processors licensed under section 20, chapter 1,
Oregon Laws 2015;
5. Marijuana wholesalers licensed under section 21, chapter 1,
Oregon Laws 2015;
6. Marijuana retailers licensed under section 22, chapter 1,
Oregon Laws 2015; or
7. Any combination of the entities described in this section.

The amendment is permitted by the State of Oregon under
the provisions of HB 3400 which allowed any city or county
that voted against Measure 01 by at least 51% to enact a local
prohibition against marijuana distribution. The City of Hermiston
and Umatilla County both voted against Measure 01 by 62%.Approval of the measure will explicitly prohibit the sale,
manufacture, warehousing, and distribution of recreational and
medical marijuana within the city's commercial zones. The city
will also not be eligible to receive any tax revenues from the sale
of marijuana in the state of Oregon. Rejection of the measure
will maintain the current zoning requirements which prohibit the
operation or issuance of permits for any activity in violation of
federal law.(This information furnished by Lily Alarcon-Strong, Assistant City Re-
corder, City of Hermiston.)I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day
of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

VOTES				PERCENT	VOTES				PERCENT
30-058 City of Milton-Freewater									
Vote for 1									
01 = Yes		911	51.82	03 = OVER VOTES		1			
02 = No		847	48.18	04 = UNDER VOTES		52			

01		02	03	04					

0136 131	218	204	0	16					
0137 132	392	358	1	14					
0138 133	301	285	0	22					

Ballot Title**30-058****Milton-Freewater, Approval of Local Ban On Marijuana Business Activities**

QUESTION: Shall Ordinance 975, banning certain marijuana business activities, be approved and continue in force?

SUMMARY: Oregon State law allows and regulates certain marijuana business activities subject to the option of local jurisdictions to condition or ban them. On 12/14/15 the City Council adopted Ordinance 975 banning the business of marijuana processing, and banning marijuana dispensaries, producers, processors, wholesalers, and retailers in the City. The ban also prohibited establishing businesses for medical marijuana processing/dispensing in the City. As part of Ordinance 975, the City Council mandated a referral of the Ordinance to city electors for approval. Electors are asked whether Ordinance 975 and the local ban it imposes should continue in effect.

A "Yes" vote will approve Ordinance 975 and continue the local ban indefinitely.

A "No" vote will repeal Ordinance 975 and the local ban, which in turn will have the effect of allowing the stated marijuana business activities within the City subject to the regulatory system set up by state law.

Explanatory Statement


This measure asks the voters to decide whether Milton-Freewater Ordinance No. 975 should be repealed. That ordinance established a city-wide ban on the following: recreational marijuana processing, and recreational marijuana dispensaries, producers, processors, wholesalers, and retailers; it also prohibited establishing businesses for medical marijuana processing and dispensing in the City.

A "yes" vote would indefinitely continue the city-wide ban on all of these marijuana businesses.

A "no" vote would repeal the ban and allow the stated marijuana businesses to operate within the City of Milton-Freewater subject to regulatory systems set up by State law.

(This information furnished by Linda Hall, City Manager, City of Milton-Freewater.)

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

30-059 City of Milton-Freewater

Vote for 1

01 = Yes

02 = No

VOTES PERCENT

1,433 82.26
309 17.7403 = OVER VOTES
04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

0
69

	01	02	03	04
0136 131	341	79	0	18
0137 132	615	124	0	26
0138 133	477	106	0	25

Ballot Title

30-059

Milton-Freewater, Approval of 3% Sales Tax On Marijuana Items

QUESTION: Shall City of Milton-Freewater Ordinance 976, allowing a 3% sales tax on marijuana items, be affirmed?**SUMMARY:** State law allows local jurisdictions to impose a sales tax of 3% of the retail sales price of items containing marijuana. The City enacted Ordinance 976 to impose the tax to be collected by the retailer at the point of sale. The Council also mandated that the ordinance be referred to the electorate.

The City Council has banned the retail sales of recreational marijuana in the City, but it has referred that ban to the electorate under a separate measure. If that ban is repealed, thereby allowing regulated recreational marijuana sales, then Ordinance 976 could be used by the City to collect a 3% sales tax on such sales.

A "Yes" vote will have the effect of retaining Ordinance 976 and allowing the imposition of the sales tax when, if ever, the ban on recreational marijuana sales is repealed.

A "No" vote will have the effect of eliminating the sales tax.

Neither a "Yes" vote nor a "No" vote would have the effect of actually allowing marijuana sales.

Explanatory Statement

This measure asks the voters to decide whether Milton-Freewater Ordinance No. 976 should remain in effect. That ordinance imposed a 3% sales tax on retail recreational marijuana sales within the City of Milton-Freewater.

This measure, if passed, would result in the actual collection of taxes only if the existing ban on retail recreational marijuana sales within the City is repealed.

A "yes" vote on this measure would allow the imposition of a 3% sales tax on recreational marijuana retail sales when, if ever, the city-wide ban on such sales is repealed.

A "no" vote would result in no taxes being imposed on such sales when, if ever, the ban is repealed.

Neither a "yes" vote nor a "no" vote on this measure would have an effect on the ban itself. At this time there is a separate measure before the voters concerning the question of whether that ban should continue.

(This information furnished by Linda Hall, City Manager, City of Milton-Freewater.)

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.



Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

RUN DATE:11/23/16 12:51 PM

General Election

November 8, 2016

REPORT-EL52

PAGE 0060

30-116 City of Pendleton

Vote for 1

01 = Yes

02 = No

VOTES PERCENT

3,613 55.89

2,851 44.11

03 = OVER VOTES

04 = UNDER VOTES

VOTES PERCENT

3

254

	01	02	03	04
0139 136	470	313	1	25
0140 137	626	393	1	50
0141 138	538	455	1	40
0142 139	554	426	0	27
0143 141	593	543	0	35
0144 142	314	306	0	36
0145 143	518	415	0	41

Ballot Title

30-116

Repeal of Local Prohibition of Recreational Marijuana Business Activities

QUESTION: Shall City of Pendleton repeal its prohibition of recreational marijuana producers, processors, wholesalers, retailers in Pendleton?

SUMMARY: State law allows that a city council may adopt an ordinance to be referred to the voters to repeal a previously approved prohibition of licensed recreational marijuana producers, processors, wholesalers, and retailers. Approval of this measure would repeal the city's ordinance which prohibits the establishment of recreational marijuana producers, processors, wholesalers, and retailers within the area subject to the jurisdiction of the city. If this measure is approved, along with approval of measure relating to repeal of prohibition of medical marijuana businesses, the city will be eligible to receive distributions of state marijuana tax revenues. If this measure is approved, along with approval of measure relating to repeal of prohibition of medical marijuana businesses and measure approving of local taxation of recreational marijuana businesses, the city will be able to impose a local tax or fee on the production, processing or sale of marijuana or any product into which recreational marijuana has been incorporated.

Explanatory Statement

In December 2015, the Pendleton City Council adopted an Ordinance to prohibit the establishment of certain marijuana activities within the city subject to referral to voters in the November 2016 general election. Approval of this measure will repeal the prohibition on the establishment of recreational marijuana producers (those who manufacture, plant, cultivate, grow or harvest marijuana), processors, wholesalers and retailers subject to zoning restrictions for these activities.

Approval of this measure has revenue impacts. Cities who do not prohibit the establishment of recreational producers, processors, wholesalers, and retailers, as well as medical marijuana processors and dispensaries, will receive ten percent of state marijuana tax revenues to assist local law enforcement in performing their duties. Through July 1, 2017, this state tax revenue will be distributed proportionally to cities based on their population. After July 1, 2017, five percent of state revenues will be distributed proportionally to cities based upon the number of production, processor, and wholesale licenses, and five percent will be distributed based upon the number of retail licenses in each city.

To receive state tax revenues both ballot measures repealing the prohibitions would need to be passed by the voters.

All three ballot measures (medical sales, recreational sales and local tax) must pass in order for there to be a local tax on marijuana.

(This information furnished by Andrea Denton, City Recorder, City of Pendleton.)

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.

Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

30-117 City of Pendleton

Vote for 1

01 = Yes

02 = No

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

3,930 60.97

2,516 39.03

03 = OVER VOTES

04 = UNDER VOTES

0

275

	01	02	03	04
0139 136	483	299	0	27
0140 137	653	364	0	53
0141 138	599	390	0	45
0142 139	627	354	0	26
0143 141	646	481	0	44
0144 142	362	255	0	39
0145 143	560	373	0	41

Ballot Title

30-117**Repeal of Local Prohibition of Medical Marijuana Business Activities**

QUESTION: Shall City of Pendleton repeal its prohibition of medical marijuana producers and dispensaries in Pendleton?

SUMMARY: State law allows that a city council may adopt an ordinance to be referred to the voters to repeal a previously approved prohibition of registered medical marijuana processors and medical marijuana dispensaries. Approval of this measure would repeal the city's ordinance which prohibits the establishment of medical marijuana processors and medical marijuana dispensaries within the area subject to the jurisdiction of the city. If this measure is approved, along with approval of measure relating to repeal of recreational marijuana businesses, the city will be eligible to receive distributions of state marijuana tax revenues. If this measure is approved, along with approval of measure relating to repeal of recreational marijuana business and measure approving of local taxation of recreational marijuana businesses, the city will be able to impose a local tax or fee on the production processing or sale of marijuana or any product into which recreational marijuana has been incorporated.

Explanatory Statement

In December 2015, the Pendleton City Council adopted an Ordinance to prohibit the establishment of certain marijuana activities within the city subject to referral to voters in the November 2016 general election. Approval of this measure would repeal an existing prohibition on the establishment of medical marijuana processors and dispensaries, subject to zoning restrictions for these activities.

Medical marijuana processors compound or convert marijuana into concentrates, extracts, edible products, and other products intended for human consumption and use. Medical marijuana dispensaries facilitate the transfer of marijuana and marijuana products between patients, caregivers, processors, and growers.


Approval of this measure has revenue impacts. Cities who do not prohibit the establishment of recreational producers, processors, wholesalers, and retailers, as well as medical marijuana processors and dispensaries, will receive ten percent of state marijuana tax revenues to assist local law enforcement in performing their duties. Through July 1, 2017, this state tax revenue will be distributed proportionally to cities based on their population. After July 1, 2017, five percent of state revenues will be distributed proportionally to cities based upon the number of production, processor, and wholesale licenses, and five percent will be distributed based upon the number of retail licenses in each city.

To receive state tax revenues both ballot measures repealing the prohibitions would need to be passed by the voters.

All three ballot measures (medical sales, recreational sales and local tax) must pass in order for there to be a local tax on marijuana.

(This information furnished by Andrea Denton, City Recorder, City of Pendleton.)

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.



Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

30-118 City of Pendleton

Vote for 1

01 = Yes

02 = No

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

5,401 83.96

1,032 16.04

03 = OVER VOTES

04 = UNDER VOTES

1

287

	01	02	03	04
0139 136	631	145	1	32
0140 137	808	204	0	58
0141 138	830	156	0	48
0142 139	850	132	0	25
0143 141	989	135	0	47
0144 142	521	95	0	40
0145 143	772	165	0	37

Ballot Title

30-118

Imposes City Tax On Marijuana Retailer's Sale of Marijuana Items

QUESTION: Shall City of Pendleton impose a three percent tax on the sale in the City of Pendleton of marijuana items by a marijuana retailer?

SUMMARY: Under state law, a city council may adopt an ordinance to be referred to voters of the city imposing up to a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed retailer. The tax would be collected at the point of sale and remitted by the marijuana retailer. The city would not impose the tax if marijuana retailers are otherwise prohibited in the city. This measure would become operative only if it passes by a majority of votes and both measures repealing the ban on marijuana business activities pass by a majority of votes.

Explanatory Statement

Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items by a marijuana retailer within the city. If approved, the revenues from this tax are not estimated. There are no restrictions on how the city may use the revenues generated by this tax. This measure will become operative only if both ballot measures authorizing the establishment of certain medical and recreational marijuana activities pass.

State law provides that a city may adopt an ordinance imposing up to a three percent tax on the sale of recreational marijuana items (which include marijuana concentrates, extracts, edibles, and other products intended for human consumption and use) by retail licensees in the city, but must refer that ordinance to the voters at a statewide general election. The City of Pendleton, in this ballot measure, refers a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items by a retail licensee in the city to the voters.

Under state law, if the voters fail to pass both ballot measures authorizing recreational and medical marijuana activities; then this tax measure will not become operative, even if it receives a majority of votes. In other words, all three ballot measures must pass in order for there to be a local tax on marijuana.

(This information furnished by Andrea Danton, City Recorder, City of Pendleton.)

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

30-115 Umatilla School District

Vote for 1

01 = Yes

02 = No

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

879	56.53	03 = OVER VOTES	0
676	43.47	04 = UNDER VOTES	71

01	02	03	04
202	246	0	17
677	430	0	54

0101 101

0102 102

Ballot Title

30-115**Bonds For Safety, Security, And Facility Repairs, Renovations And Addition**

QUESTION: Shall Umatilla School District construct and improve schools by issuing \$10,500,000 in bonds and receive \$4,000,000 in State grant? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

SUMMARY: The currently estimated tax rate is not expected to increase and is estimated to extend until 2035. Actual rates may differ based upon interest rates incurred and changes in assessed value. Bonds would mature in a period not to exceed 19 years.

\$4,000,000 in State matching funds have been awarded if voters approve this measure. If voters reject the measure, these funds will be diverted to another district.

If approved, the bond and grant proceeds are expected to fund:

- Roof replacements at McNary Heights Elementary School (MHES), Clara Brownell Middle School (CBMS), and Umatilla High School (UHS).
- Entry and office remodeling to limit visitor/intruder access at MHES, CBMS, UHS.
- Security updates including cameras and electronic alarms and lock-down assistance at MHES, CBMS, and UHS.
- Plumbing, electrical, HVAC, window, flooring, and bleacher replacement at CBMS.
- Cafeteria/Multi-Purpose and learning space addition at MHES.
- HVAC DDC controls at MHES, CBMS and UHS.
- Cooling tower replacement for UHS.
- Site improvements, furnishing and equipping of projects and bond issuance costs.

What Would the Bond Do? If approved by voters, the \$10.5 million bond would provide additional space, remodel existing entrances for greater school security and provide for major maintenance projects.

- Roof replacements at MHES, CBMS, and UHS.
- Entry and office remodeling to limit visitor/intruder access at MHES, CBMS, UHS.
- Security updates including cameras and electronic alarms and lock-down assistance at MHES, CBMS and UHS.
- Plumbing, electrical, HVAC, window, flooring, and bleacher replacement at CBMS.
- Cafeteria/Multi-Purpose and learning space addition at MHES.
- HVAC DDC controls at MHES, CBMS and UHS.
- and a cooling tower replacement for UHS.

What Are the Detailed Costs? The Umatilla School District projects will total \$14.5 million. The District has been awarded \$4,000,000 in Oregon School Facility Matching dollars to off-set costs; however, the voters must approve the \$10.5 million bond to receive the \$4 million from the state.

How Was This Plan Developed? A full facility review was conducted by an outside agency to assess the facility needs and create a long-term facility plan for the District.

What Will the Bond Cost? The current bonds (used to construct Umatilla High School) are fully paid in 2023. The new bonds are estimated to continue at the same tax rate of the current bonds (an estimated \$3.133/\$1,000 of assessed value in 2023) until 2035. It is estimated that the average home-owner would not see any increase of taxes for this measure in the coming year should the bond measure pass.

How Will the Public Be Informed of Progress? Should the bond pass, the Umatilla School District would report progress on bond projects monthly to citizens as part of the regular school board meetings and would also provide periodic mailings with construction and renovation updates.

(This information furnished by Heidi Sipe, Superintendent, Umatilla School District.)

Explanatory Statement

Summary of the Situation: While the District has worked to maintain facilities, major systems updates are necessary and cannot be done without significant funding. McNary Heights (MHES) is in need of a new roof, updated HVAC systems and controls and additional cafeteria/gym space. MHES currently runs just under 700 students through a single cafeteria two times per day to provide breakfast and lunch services. A recently facility study showed that Clara Brownell Middle School (CBMS), built in 1947, is structurally sound but in need of a full remodel. The boiler from 1947 is still in operation but has frequent failures and has resulted in the cancelation of school due to lack of heat or plumbing on numerous occasions. Umatilla High School (UHS) continues to be the flagship building for the district and replacing the roof and updating the HVAC systems and controls allows it to remain in top order for the years to come. All three schools present significant safety concerns due to the entrances and offices which allow full access to the entire building which could prove especially worrisome if an intruder were to enter the school with intent to harm.

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.

Kim Lindell
Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

30-123 Pilot Rock Fire District

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

Vote for 1

01 = Yes

02 = No

617 68.25

03 = OVER VOTES

0

287 31.75

04 = UNDER VOTES

59

01	02	03	04
40	17	0	7
376	200	0	43
201	70	0	9

0114 110

0117 113

0118 114

Ballot Title

30-123

Five-year Local Option Tax For General Operations

QUESTION: Shall the District impose \$.82 per \$1,000 of assessed value to maintain its current level of service? This measure renews current local option taxes.

SUMMARY: If approved, the tax revenue from this measure would be used to operate the District at its present level of service.

The funds generated by this measure will allow the District to continue providing emergency medical services by the Pilot Rock Quick Response Team (QRT). This is an optional, but vital, service the District has been providing since 1990.

The funds will be used to provide adequate and appropriate safety and personal protective equipment for the firefighters of the District, which enables them to engage in structural and wildland fire suppression activities.

The funds will also be used to repair, replace and maintain the District's vehicles as needed.

- If the District is unable to maintain safety standards, the result could mean a change in fire tactics resulting in an all defensive fire suppression mode. That would cause a change from the current Insurance Service Organization rating of a PC-5 in the city to a possible PC-7, which would mean an increase in fire insurance premiums for homeowners.
- Money currently being set aside to maintain and replace apparatus and equipment would need to be used for "general fund" items. That will make it nearly impossible to update apparatus as needed and that increases the likelihood of the change in protection class described above.

How long will the levy last?

- If approved, the levy will be in place for 5 years. If additional funds are still needed at that time, the District will need to ask voters to approve another 5-yr local option levy.

(This information furnished by Timothy Weinke, Board President.)

Explanatory Statement

On the November 8th ballot, the Pilot RFPD is asking voters to renew the existing 5-year Local Option Tax. The requested 82 cents per \$1,000 of assessed value will equal \$82 per year on a house assessed at \$100,000 in value. That amounts to less than \$7 per month (or 23 cents/day).

The funds are needed to continue providing the current level of service. This includes the Quick Response Team (QRT), the purchase (or replacement) of Personnel Protective Equipment, Breathing Apparatus and Safety Equipment to meet Oregon - OSHA standards. Funding will also be used for updating Firefighting Equipment and Training, and Station needs.

The Fire District covers the City of Pilot Rock and the surrounding 342 square miles. The District provides fire protection, rescue, and emergency medical services with an all volunteer force of dedicated individuals that care about our community. The District serves a population of over two thousand people and has a total property valuation of nearly 100 million dollars.


Funding Issues:

1. The Fire District's permanent tax rate of 78 cents per \$1,000 of assessed value is not adequate to maintain the current level of service. (The average tax rate for fire districts in the state of Oregon, per Oregon Fire District Directors Association, is about \$2.50 per \$1,000.)
2. Costs of equipment, supplies, fuel, and training continue to increase.
3. Although we are a volunteer department, we are required to meet the same training and safety standards (OR-OSHA) as "full-time paid" fire departments.

What happens if the levy fails?

- The District will be unable to continue providing emergency medical services in the form of the Pilot Rock QRT. As a Fire District, we must provide fire suppression services. The QRT is a vital, but optional, service we added more than 20-years ago. If the levy fails, the District will discontinue providing emergency medical services.

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

30-122 East Umatilla County Health Dist.

Vote for 1

01 = Yes

02 = No

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

875	66.69	03 = OVER VOTES	0
437	33.31	04 = UNDER VOTES	125

	01	02	03	04
0120 116	66	55	0	23
0127 124C	401	163	0	34
0128 124R	109	39	0	11
0129 125C	182	84	0	26
0130 125R	117	96	0	31

Ballot Title**30-122****Dissolution of East Umatilla County Health District**

QUESTION: Shall the EUCHD be dissolved to form the new East Umatilla County Ambulance Area Health District?

SUMMARY: This measure will dissolve the East Umatilla County Health District effective June 30, 2017 at 11:59 p.m. If approved, the District's current permanent tax rate of \$0.14 per \$1,000.00 of assessed valuation will be eliminated.

If this ballot measure and the measure to create East Umatilla County Ambulance and Health District are approved, EUCHD will cease operation on June 30, 2017 and transfer all of its lands, buildings, equipment and vehicles to the new Health District.

Beginning July 1, 2017, the new District will provide emergency medical services and ambulance shuttles. The District's taxing area will include the cities of Adams, Athena, Helix, Tollgate and Weston.

This measure will take effect only if the voters approve measures approving formation of the new district and its new permanent taxing rate.

Explanatory Statement

Ballot measure 30-122 dissolves the existing EUCHD. The measure is necessary in order for ballot measure 30-120, forming and funding a new Health District, to become effective if passed by a majority of the voters within boundaries of the new district.

If ballot measure 30-120 to form and fund a new Health District does not pass, this measure, to dissolve the existing EUCHD will not take effect. Passage or failure of this measure will not leave any person without emergency medical services that are protected under the current district boundaries.

(This information furnished by Vickie Jackson, President of the board, East Umatilla County Health District.)

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.


Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

30-120 East Umatilla County Ambulance

Vote for 1

01 = Yes

02 = No

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

960 62.87

03 = OVER VOTES

0

567 37.13

04 = UNDER VOTES

120

	01	02	03	04
0110 108C	45	30	0	8
0111 108R	55	45	0	12
0112 109C	85	67	0	6
0113 109R	4	3	0	2
0120 116	4	2	0	1
0123 119	2	0	0	0
0127 124C	394	173	0	31
0128 124R	97	33	0	10
0129 125C	167	100	0	25
0130 125R	107	114	0	25

Ballot Title


30-120**Formation of East Umatilla County Ambulance Area Health District**

QUESTION: Shall the East Umatilla County Ambulance Area Health District be formed with a permanent tax rate of \$1.00/\$1,000 beginning FY2017-18?

SUMMARY: A "Yes" vote forms the East Umatilla County Ambulance Area Health District, effective July 1, 2017, and establishes a permanent tax rate of \$1.00 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The district will provide ambulance services to residents in the Ambulance Service Area #5, including the Athena, Adams, Helix and Weston areas.

The East Umatilla County Health District will operate until June 30, 2017, when equipment would be turned over to the new district. On July 1, 2017, the East Umatilla County Ambulance Area Health District would begin providing services. Property taxes will generate approximately \$300,000 annually. Taxes will pay for the current career and volunteer staff, operational expenses, and capital reserve fund.

This measure will take effect only if Measure #30-122 is also approved by the voters.

**Explanatory Statement**

The East Umatilla County Health District was formed in 1988 to provide ambulance services to the communities of Athena and Weston. The district boundaries coincide with the boundaries of the Athena-Weston School District.

The district, though, provides ambulance services within the area identified as the Umatilla County Ambulance Service Area #5, which encompasses over 400 square miles with a year round population of over 4000 in the communities of Athena, Weston, Helix, Adams, and Tollgate.

Many of those being served reside outside of the district boundaries. The district is comprised of one full time Paramedic/Administrator, a training officer, a supply officer and several volunteer Emergency Medical Technicians.

The purpose of this measure is to form a new district to be known as the East Umatilla County Ambulance Area Health District with boundaries that match the ambulance service area. The proposed rate for taxes will be \$1 per \$1,000 assessed value, for estimated total property taxes of \$300,000 a year.

Another measure being voted on will dissolve the old district.

If both measures pass — to form a new district and to dissolve the old district — then the East Umatilla County Ambulance Area Health District will begin operating on July 1, 2017.

(This information furnished by Doug Olsen, Umatilla County Counsel.)

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016.

Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager

30-121 West Umatilla Mosquito Cont.

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

Vote for 1

01 = Yes

02 = No

5,665 49.76

5,719 50.24

03 = OVER VOTES

04 = UNDER VOTES

0

585

	01	02	03	04
0101 101	238	211	0	16
0102 102	606	495	0	60
0103 103	535	533	0	39
0104 104	667	630	0	50
0105 105	497	532	0	45
0106 106C	332	338	0	36
0107 106R	181	109	0	11
0108 107C	139	114	0	12
0109 107R	97	94	0	12
0111 108R	6	8	0	0
0119 115	8	19	0	1
0131 126	423	541	0	60
0132 127	238	247	0	24
0133 128	661	701	0	86
0134 129	379	475	0	63
0135 130	658	672	0	70

Ballot Title

30-121**Five-Year Local Option Levy For Mosquito Control Operations**

QUESTION: Shall the District impose \$.05 per \$1,000 of assessed value for operating purposes for 5 years beginning 2017-2022? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

SUMMARY: The West Umatilla Mosquito Control District will use the tax revenue from this measure to provide improvements to general operations, including the following:

- Maintain the response to West Nile Virus or other mosquito-borne disease.
- Continued utilization of aircraft for mosquito control operations.
- Continued outreach programs and public education efforts.
- Maintain and upgrade vehicle and equipment.
- Increased surveillance for emerging diseases including Zika virus.

The proposed levy will raise approximately \$133,000 in 2017-18, \$135,660 in 2018-19, \$138,373 in 2019-2020, \$141,140 in 2020-2021, \$143,963 in 2021-2022.

This estimate tax cost for this measure is an **ESTIMATE ONLY** based on the best information available from the County Assessor at the time of estimate and may reflect the impact of early payment discounts, compression and the collection rate. The levy would cost the owner of a home with an assessed value of \$100,000, \$5.00 per year.

Explanatory Statement

The West Umatilla Mosquito Control District is not a part of the county government, but is a "special service district" serving a 525 square mile area of Western Umatilla County including the Cities of Hermiston, Umatilla, Stanfield, and Echo.

For over 40 years the West Umatilla Mosquito Control District has provided a program of Integrated Mosquito Management that has allowed citizens of Western Umatilla County the ability to enjoy outdoor activities such as golfing, fishing, picnicking, hiking, and biking, and other outdoor activities free from most mosquito annoyances. Mosquitoes are not only a nuisance, but can transmit potentially harmful diseases such as West Nile Virus to humans.

The West Umatilla Mosquito Control District's Board of Trustees is requesting that taxpayers of the district consider a 5-year local option levy for the specific purpose of:

- Maintaining a surveillance and treatment program for West Nile Virus and other emerging mosquito borne diseases including Dengue Fever, Chikungunya, and Zika Virus.
- Continued utilization of aerial application for mosquito control operations.
- Continued Public Education and Public Outreach.
- Continued utilization of GIS/GPS technology for mosquito control operations.

Property valued at \$100,000 will pay \$5.00 per year to maintain this vital public health service.

(This information furnished by Randy Gerard, Manager, West Umatilla Mosquito Control District.)

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast in the General Election held on the 8th day of November 2016

Kim Lindell, Umatilla County Elections Manager