

OGC® DOCUMENT: 23-020

External identifier of this OGC® document: XXXX



ENGINEERING REPORT FOR OGC CLIMATE RESILIENCE PILOT

ENGINEERING REPORT

DRAFT

Submission Date: # 2023-XX-XX

Approval Date: # 2023-XX-XX

Publication Date: # 2023-XX-XX

Editor: Guy Schumann, Albert Kettner, Nils Hempelmann

Notice: This document is not an OGC Standard. This document is an OGC Public Engineering Report created as a deliverable in an OGC Interoperability Initiative and is *not an official position* of the OGC membership. It is distributed for review and comment. It is subject to change without notice and may not be referred to as an OGC Standard.

Further, any OGC Engineering Report should not be referenced as required or mandatory technology in procurements. However, the discussions in this document could very well lead to the definition of an OGC Standard.

License Agreement

Use of this document is subject to the license agreement at <https://www.ogc.org/license>

Copyright notice

Copyright © 2023 Open Geospatial Consortium
To obtain additional rights of use, visit <https://www.ogc.org/legal>

Note

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. The Open Geospatial Consortium shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Recipients of this document are requested to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent claims or other intellectual property rights of which they may be aware that might be infringed by any implementation of the standard set forth in this document, and to provide supporting documentation.

CONTENTS

CONTENTS	vi
I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	vi
II. KEYWORDS	vii
III. SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS	viii
IV. CONTRIBUTORS	viii
1. TERMS, DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATED TERMS	11
1.2. Abbreviated terms	11
2. INTRODUCTION	14
2.1. Enhancing Interoperability for Climate Resilience Information Systems	14
2.2. The Role of the Pilot	15
2.3. Objectives	16
2.4. Background	16
2.5. Technical Challenges	19
2.6. How is this Pilot Relevant to the Climate Resilience Domain Working Group?	21
3. COMPONENTS	23
3.1. Pixalytics	23
3.2. Wuhan University (WHU)	26
3.3. Ecere Corporation	27
3.4. Jakub P. Walawender	34
3.5. Safe Software	35
3.6. GMU_CSISS	44
3.7. AlpS	44
3.8. Laubwerk	45
3.9. RSS-Hydro	45
3.10. Pelagis	46
3.11. Component workflow	47
4. USE CASES	50
4.1. Drought and Wildfire Impact Use-case	50
4.2. Analysis Ready Data Use-case	51
4.3. Analysis Ready Data (ARD) Use Case (D-100 Client instance by George Mason University)	53
4.4. Solar climate atlas for Poland – Climate Resilience Information System	56
4.5. Wildfire risk in P&C insurance (Intact Financial Corporation)	58

4.6. D-100 Client (Pelagis)	59
5. LESSONS LEARNED	61
6. FUTURE WORK	64
ANNEX A (INFORMATIVE) REVISION HISTORY	66
BIBLIOGRAPHY	68

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	14
Figure 2	17
Figure 3	18
Figure 4	19
Figure 5 – CRIS overview	23
Figure 6 – Pixalytics architecture	24
Figure 7 – Drought indicator calling code	24
Figure 8 – Pixalytics output (Not the correct figure, to be updated)	26
Figure 9	28
Figure 10	28
Figure 11	28
Figure 12 – GNOSIS Map Server information resource for GNOSIS Global Grid zone 5-24-6E	29
Figure 13 – GNOSIS Map Server information resource for ISEA9Diamonds zone 5-24-6E	30
Figure 14 – GNOSIS Map Server information resource for ISEA9Diamonds zone 5-24-6E sections	31
Figure 15	31
Figure 16	31
Figure 17	32
Figure 18	32
Figure 19 – GNOSIS Map Server Map of tiles 3/4/17 in GNOSISGlobalGrid	32
Figure 20	32
Figure 21 – Sentinel-2 with image classification styling	33
Figure 22	33
Figure 23	33
Figure 24 – Sentinel-2 map with NDVI band arithmetic	34
Figure 25 – High level FME ARD workflow showing generation of climate scenario ARD and impacts from climate model, EO, IoT, infrastructure and base map inputs	36

Figure 26 – Environment Canada NetCDF GCM time series downscaled to Vancouver area.	
From:	38
Figure 27 – Data Cube to ARD: NetCDF to KML, Geopackage, GeoTIFF	39
Figure 28 – Extracted timestep grids: Monthly timesteps, period mean T, period max T	39
Figure 29 – Convert raster temperature grids into temperature contour areas by class	40
Figure 30 – Geopackage Vector Area Time Series: Max Yearly Temp	40
Figure 31 – ARD Query: Monthly Max Temp Contours	41
Figure 32 – ARD Query: Max Mean Monthly Temp > 25C	41
Figure 33 – Town of Lytton - location where entire town was devastated by fire during the heat wave of July 2021 - same location highlighted in ARD query from heat risk query in previous figure	42
Figure 34 – FME approach for converting flood time series grids to geopackage ARD	43
Figure 35 – Flood Contour Geopackage ARD, showing flooded areas south of Winnipeg by date and depth, as displayed in FME Data Inspector.	43
Figure 36 – Architecture	47
Figure 37 – High level workflow diagram that illustrates the interactions between data, models and the various components	48
Figure 38 – The technical architecture of the use-case for drought and wildfire impact	50
Figure 39 – An example of the drought risk assessment in parts of Asia.	51
Figure 40 – The processing chain to produce harmonized ARD	52
Figure 41 – Sentinel-2 RGB composite (red Band4, green Band3, blue Band2), over Hubei, acquired on October 22, 2020. (a) corresponds to the reflectance at the top of the atmosphere (L1C product), (b) corresponds to the surface reflectance after pre-processing.	52
Figure 42 – Software Architecture	54
Figure 43 – Surface soil moisture percentile (year 2019-2022)	56
Figure 44 – Solar Climate atlas for Poland available on the IMGW website:	57



CONTENTS

I

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The OGC Climate Resilience Community brings decision makers, scientists, policy makers, data providers, software developers, and service providers together. The goal is to enable everyone to take the relevant actions to address climate change and make well informed decisions for climate change adaptation.

This pilot brought together data and processing pipelines in the form of various ‘components’ from lots of organizations, available at different scales for large and small areas to be integrated with scientific processes, analytical models, and simulation environments. These were all presented, including challenges. No single organization has all the data we need to understand the consequences of climate change. As such, the OGC Climate Resilience Pilot identified, discussed, and developed these resources a bit further in a first attempt, thereby enabling the OGC community to start building the guidebooks and Best Practices. This pilot also experimented with new technologies to share data and information to collaboratively start addressing shared challenges.

This pilot sets the starting point for the OGC Climate Resilience Community’s vision to support efforts on climate actions and enable international partnerships (SDG 17), and move towards global interoperable open digital infrastructures providing climate resilience information on users demand. In this sense, this pilot contributes to establishing an OGC climate resilience concept store for the community where all appropriate climate information to build climate resilience information systems as open infrastructures can be found in one place, be it Information about data services, tools, software, handbooks, or a place to discuss experiences and needs. The concept store covers all phases of Climate Resilience, from initial hazards identification and mapping to vulnerability and risk analysis to options assessments, prioritization, and planning, and ends with implementation planning and monitoring capabilities.

Broadly speaking, this pilot attempts to answer questions such as:

- What use-cases can be realized with the current data, services, analytical functions, and visualization capabilities that we have?
- How much effort is it to realize these use-cases?
- What is missing, or needs to be improved, in order to transfer the use-cases developed in the pilot to other areas?

KEYWORDS

The following are keywords to be used by search engines and document catalogues.

Climate Resilience, data, ARD, component, use case, FAIR, Drought, Heat, Fire, Floods

SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS

No security considerations have been made for this document.

CONTRIBUTORS

NAME	ORGANIZATION	ROLE OR SUMMARY OF CONTRIBUTION
Guy Schumann	RSS-Hydro	Lead ER Editor
Albert Kettner	RSS-Hydro/DFO	Lead ER Editor
Timm Dapper	Laubwerk GmbH	
Zhe Fang	Wuhan University	
Hanwen Xu	Wuhan University	
Tianyu Tuo	Wuhan University	
Dean Hintz	Safe Software, Inc.	
Kailin Opaleychuk	Safe Software, Inc.	
Jérôme Jacovella-St-Louis	Ecere Corporation	
Hanna Krimm	alpS GmbH	
Andrew Lavender	Pixalytics Ltd	
Samantha Lavender	Pixalytics Ltd	Development of drought indicator
Jenny Cocks	Pixalytics Ltd	
Jakub Walawender	Walawender, Jakub P.	
Eugene Yu	GMU	

NAME	ORGANIZATION	ROLE OR SUMMARY OF CONTRIBUTION
Gil Heo	GMU	
Glenn Laughlin	Pelagis Data Solutions	
Patrick Dion	Ecere	
Tom Landry	Intact Financial Corporation	
Nils Hempelmann	OGC	Climate resilience Pilot Coordinator

1

TERMS, DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATED TERMS

TERMS, DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATED TERMS

This document uses the terms defined in [OGC Policy Directive 49](#), which is based on the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, Rules for the structure and drafting of International Standards. In particular, the word "shall" (not "must") is the verb form used to indicate a requirement to be strictly followed to conform to this document and OGC documents do not use the equivalent phrases in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

This document also uses terms defined in the OGC Standard for Modular specifications ([OGC 08-131r3](#)), also known as the 'ModSpec'. The definitions of terms such as standard, specification, requirement, and conformance test are provided in the ModSpec.

For the purposes of this document, the following additional terms and definitions apply.

1.1. example term

term used for exemplary purposes

Note 1 to entry: DRAFT

Example Here's an example of an example term.

[SOURCE:]

1.2. Abbreviated terms

ADES	Application Deployment and Execution Service
AP	Application Package
API	Application Programming Interface
ARD	Analysis Ready Data
EMS	Exploitation Platform Management Service
SMA	Soil Moisture Anomaly
SPI	Standardized Precipitation Index

S3	Simple Storage Service
WPS	Web Processing Service

2

INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

2.1. Enhancing Interoperability for Climate Resilience Information Systems

The OGC Climate Resilience Pilot will be the first phase of multiple long term climate activities aiming to evolve geospatial data, technologies, and other capabilities into valuable information for decision makers, scientists, policy makers, data providers, software developers, and service providers so we can make valuable, informed decisions to improve climate action. The goal is to help the location community develop more powerful visualization and communication tools to accurately address ongoing climate threats such as heat, drought, floods, fires as well as supporting the national determined contributions for greenhouse gas emission reduction. Climate resilience is often considered the use case of our lifetime, and the OGC community is uniquely positioned to accelerate solutions through collective problem solving with this initiative.




Figure 1

As illustrated, big, raw data from multiple sources requires further processing in order to be ready for analysis and climate change impact assessments. Applying data enhancement steps, such as bias adjustments, re-gridding, or calculation of climate indicators and essential variables, leads to “Decision Ready Indicators.” The spatial data infrastructures required for this integration should be designed with interoperable building blocks following FAIR data principles. Heterogeneous data from multiple sources can be enhanced, adjusted, refined, or quality controlled to provide Science Services data products for Climate Resilience. The OGC

Climate Change Services Pilots will also illustrate the graphical exploration of the Decision Ready Climate Data. It will demonstrate how to design FAIR climate services information systems. The OGC Pilot demonstrators will illustrate the necessary tools and the visualisations to address climate actions moving towards climate resilience.

2.2. The Role of the Pilot

The OGC Climate Resilience Community brings decision makers, scientists, policy makers, data providers, software developers, and service providers together. The goal is to enable everyone to take the relevant actions to address climate change and make well informed decisions for climate change adaptation. This includes scientists, decision makers, city managers, politicians, and last but not least, it includes everyone of us. So what do we need? We need data from lots of organizations, available at different scales for large and small areas to be integrated with scientific processes, analytical models, and simulation environments. We need data visualization and communication tools to shape the message in the right way for any client. Many challenges can be met through resources that adhere to FAIR principles. FAIR as in: Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable. No single organization has all the data we need to understand the consequences of climate change. The OGC Climate Resilience Community identifies, discusses, and develops these resources. The OGC community builds the guidebooks and Best Practices, it experiments with new technologies to share data and information, and collaboratively addresses shared challenges.

The OGC Climate Resilience Community has a vision to support efforts on climate actions and enable international partnerships (SDG 17), and move towards global interoperable open digital infrastructures providing climate resilience information on users demand. This pilot will contribute to establishing an OGC climate resilience concept store for the community where all appropriate climate information to build climate resilience information systems as open infrastructures can be found in one place, be it Information about data services, tools, software, handbooks, or a place to discuss experiences and needs. The concept store covers all phases of Climate Resilience, from initial hazards identification and mapping to vulnerability and risk analysis to options assessments, prioritization, and planning, and ends with implementation planning and monitoring capabilities. These major challenges can only be met through the combined efforts of many OGC members across government, industry, and academia.

This Call for Participation solicits interests from organizations to join the upcoming Climate Resilience Pilot, an OGC Collaborative Solution and Innovation Program activity. This six-months Pilot is setting the stage for a series of follow up activities. It therefore focuses on use-case development, implementation, and exploration. It answers questions such as:

- What use-cases can be realized with the current data, services, analytical functions, and visualization capabilities that we have?
- How much effort is it to realize these use-cases?
- What is missing, or needs to be improved, in order to transfer the use-cases developed in the pilot to other areas?

2.3. Objectives

The pilot has three objectives. First, to better understand what is currently possible with the available data and technology. Second, what additional data and technology needs to be developed in future to better meet the needs of the Climate Resilience Community; and third, to capture Best Practices, and to allow the Climate Community to copy and transform as many use-cases as possible to other locations or framework conditions.

2.4. Background

With growing local communities, an increase in climate-driven disasters, and an increasing risk of future natural hazards, the demand for National Resilience Frameworks and Climate Resilience Information Systems (CRIS) cannot be overstated. Climate Resilience Information Systems (CRIS) are enabling data-search, -fetch, -fusion, -processing and -visualization. They enable access, understanding, and use of federal data, facilitate integration of federal and state data with local data, and serve as local information hubs for climate resilience knowledge sharing.

CRIS are already existing and operational, like the Copernicus Climate Change Service with the Climate Data Store. CRIS architectures can be further enhanced by providing climate scientific methods and visualization capabilities as climate building blocks. Based on FAIR principles, these building blocks enable in particular the reusability of Climate Resilience Information Systems features and capabilities. Reusability is an essential component when goals, expertises, and resources are aligned from the national to the local level. Framework conditions differ across the country, but building blocks enable as much reuse of existing Best Practices, tools, data, and services as possible.

Goals and objectives of decision makers vary at different scales. At the municipal level, municipal leaders and citizens directly face climate-related hazards. Aspects thus come into focus such as reducing vulnerability and risk, building resilience through local measures, or enhancing emergency response. At the state level, the municipal efforts can be coordinated and supported by providing funding and enacting relevant policies. The national, federal, or international level provides funding, science data, and international coordination to enable the best analysis and decisions at the lower scales.




Figure 2

Productivity and decision making are enhanced when climate building blocks are exchangeable across countries, organizations, or administrative levels (see Figure below). This OGC Climate Resilience Pilot is a contribution towards an open, multi-level infrastructure that integrates data spaces, open science, and local-to-international requirements and objectives. It contributes to the technology and governance stack that enables the integration of data including historical observations, real time sensing data, reanalyses, forecasts or future projections. It addresses data-to-decision pipelines, data analysis and representation, and bundles everything in climate resilience building blocks. These building blocks are complemented by Best Practices, guidelines, and cook-books that enable multi-stakeholder decision making for the good of society in a changing natural environment.

The OGC Innovation Program brings all groups together: The various members of the stakeholder group define use cases and requirements, the technologists and data providers experiment with new tools and data products in an agile development process. The scientific community provides results in appropriate formats and enables open science by providing applications that can be parameterized and executed on demand.




Figure 3

This OGC Climate Resilience Pilot is part of the OGC Climate Community Collaborative Solution and Innovation process, an open community process that uses the OGC as the governing body for collaborative activities among all members. A spiral approach is applied to connect technology enhancements, new data products, and scientific research with community needs and framework conditions at different scales. The spiral approach defines real world use cases, identifies gaps, produces new technology and data, and tests these against the real world use cases before entering the next iteration. Evaluation and validation cycles alternate and continuously define new work tasks. These tasks include documentation and toolbox descriptions on the consumer side, and data and service offerings, interoperability, and system architecture developments on the producer side. It is emphasized that research and development is not constrained to the data provider or infrastructure side. Many tasks need to be executed on the data consumer side in parallel and then merged with advancements on the provider side in regular intervals.

Good experiences have been made using OGC API standards in the past. For example, the remote operations on climate simulations (roocs) use OGC API Processes for subsetting data sets to reduce the data volume being transported. Other systems use OGC STAC for metadata and data handling or OGC Earth Observation Exploitation Platform Best Practices for the deployment of climate building blocks or applications into CRIS architectures. Still data handling regarding higher complex climate impact assessments within FAIR and open infrastructures

needs to be enhanced. There is no international recommendation or best practice on usage of existing API standards within individual CRIS. It is the goal of this pilot to contribute to the development of such a recommendation, respecting existing operational CRIS that are serving heterogenous user groups.




Figure 4

2.5. Technical Challenges

Realizing the delivery of Decision Ready Data on demand to achieve Climate Resilience involves a number of technical challenges that have already been identified by the community. A subset will be selected and embedded in use-cases that will be defined jointly by Pilot Sponsors and the OGC team. The goal is to ensure a clear value-enhancement pipeline as illustrated in Figure 1, above. This includes, among other elements, a baseline of standardised operators for data reduction and analytics. These need to fit into an overall workflow that provides translation services between upstream model data and downstream output – basically from raw data, to analysis-ready data, to decision-ready data. The following technical challenges have been identified and will be treated in the focus areas cycles of the Pilot accordingly:

- **Big Data Challenge:** Multiple obstacles still exist, creating big barriers for seamless information delivery starting from Data Discovery. Here the emergence of new data platforms, new processing functionalities, and thus new products, data discovery remains a challenge. In addition to existing solutions based on established metadata profiles and catalog services, new technologies such as OGC's Spatio-Temporal Asset Catalog (STAC) and open Web APIs such as OGC API Records will be explored. Furthermore, aspects of Data Access need to be solved where the new OGC API suite of Web APIs for data access, subsetting, and processing are currently utilized very successfully in several domains.

Several code sprints have shown that server-side solutions can be realized within days and clients can interact very quickly with these server endpoints, thus development time is radically reduced. A promising specialized candidate for climate data and non-climate data integration has been recently published in the form of the OGC API – Environmental Data Retrieval (EDR). But which additional APIs are needed for climate data? Is the current set of OGC APIs sufficiently qualified to support the data enhancement pipeline illustrated in Figure 1? If not, what modifications and extensions need to be made available? How do OGC APIs cooperate with existing technologies such as THREDDS and OPeNDAP? For challenges of data spaces, Data Cubes have recently been explored in the OGC data cube workshop. Ad hoc creation and embedded processing functions have been identified as essential ingredients for efficient data exploration and exchange. Is it possible to transfer these concepts to all stages of the processing pipeline? How to scale both ways from local, ad hoc cubes to pan-continental cubes and vice versa. How to extend cubes as part of data fusion and data integration processes?

- **Cross-Discipline Data Integration:** Different disciplines such as Earth Observation, various social science, or climate modeling use different conceptual models in their data collection, production, and analytical processes. How can we map between these different models? What patterns have been used to transform conceptual models to logical models, and eventually physical models? The production of modern Decision-ready information needs the integration of several data sets, including census and demographics, further social science data, transportation infrastructure, hydrography, land use, topography and other data sets. This pilot cycle uses 'location' as the common denominator between these diverse data sets and works with several data providers and scientific disciplines. In terms of Data Exchange Formats the challenge is to know what data formats need to be supported at the various interfaces of the processing pipeline? What is the minimum constellation of required formats to cover the majority of use cases? What role do container formats play? Challenging on technical level is also the Data Provenance. Many archives include data from several production cycles, such as IPCC AR 5 and AR 6 models. In this context, long term support needs to be realized and full traceability from high level data products back to the original raw data. Especially in context of reliable data based policy, clear audit trails and accountability for the data to information evolution needs to be ensured.
- **Building Blocks for processing pipelines:** With a focus on Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence which plays an increasing role in the context of data science and data integration. This focus area needs to evaluate the applicability of machine learning models in the context of the value-enhancing processing pipeline. What information needs to be provided to describe machine learning models and corresponding training data sufficiently to ensure proper usage at various steps of the pipeline? Upcoming options to deploy ML/AI within processing APIs to enhance climate services are rising challenges e.g. on how to initiate or ingest training models and the appropriate learning extensions for the production phase of ML/AI. Heterogeneity in data spaces can be bridged with Linked Data and Data Semantics. Proper and common use of shared semantics is essential to guarantee solid value-enhancement processes. At the same time, resolvable links to procedures, sampling & data process protocols, and used applications will ensure transparency and traceability of decisions and actions based on data products. What level is currently supported? What infrastructure is required to support shared semantics? What governance mechanisms need to be put in place?

2.6. How is this Pilot Relevant to the Climate Resilience Domain Working Group?

The Climate Resilience DWG will concern itself with technology and technology policy issues, focusing on geospatial information and technology interests as related to climate mitigation and adaptation as well as the means by which those issues can be appropriately factored into the OGC standards development process.

The mission of the Climate Resilience DWG is to identify geospatial interoperability issues and challenges that impede climate action, then examine ways in which those challenges can be met through application of existing OGC Standards, or through development of new geospatial interoperability standards under the auspices of OGC.

Activities to be undertaken by the Climate Resilience DWG include but are not limited to:

- Identify the OGC interface standards and encodings useful to apply FAIR concepts to climate change services platforms;
- Liaise with other OGC Working Groups (WGs) to drive standards evolution;
- Promote the usage of the aforementioned standards with climate change service providers and policy makers addressing international regional and local needs;
- Liaise with external groups working on technologies relevant to establishing ecosystems of EO Exploitation Platforms;
- Liaise with external groups working on relevant technologies;
- Publish OGC Technical Papers, Discussion Papers or Best Practices on interoperable interfaces for climate change services;
- Provide software toolkits to facilitate the deployment of climate change services platforms.



3

COMPONENTS

COMPONENTS

The various organizations and institutes that contribute to the Climate Resilience Pilot are described below. Their input to the pilot is indicated in the figure below Figure 5.




Figure 5 – CRIS overview

3.1. Pixalytics

Pixalytics are developing an OGC-compliant Application Programming Interface (API) service, see Figure 6, which will provide global information on droughts. The approach is to take global open data/datasets from organizations such as ESA/Copernicus, NASA/NOAA, and the WMO and combine meteorology, hydrology, and remote sensing data to produce ARD data based on a composite of different indicators. Where globally calculated drought indicators already exist, these are being used in preference to their re-calculation, although consistency and the presence of uncertainties are also being considered.




Figure 6 – Pixalytics architecture

Currently, the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) and Soil Moisture Anomaly (SMA) are being calculated using ERA5 reanalysis data from the Climate Data Store (CDS) of the Copernicus Climate Change Service. The API access is being set up following the Building Blocks for Climate Services (<https://climateintelligence.github.io/smarduck-docs/>) approach. Individual indices for precipitation (SPI), soil moisture (SMA), and vegetation drought are being worked on. Then, we will aim to combine them into a single view/indicator.

- Component: Drought indicator.
- Inputs: Meteorological data, including Precipitation, plus Land Surface Temperature, Soil Moisture, and Vegetation Index (or optical data to calculate it from).
- Outputs: Drought Indices — as a time-series dataset output in a choice of download formats: CSV, GeoJSON, CoverageJSON and NetCDF for point data and then COG for areas (to be developed).
- What other component(s) can interact with the component: a desire to link to visualization/DRI analysis components. A QGIS plugin has been updated to be able to perform a request and view the outputted JSON file (<https://github.com/pixalytics-ltd/qgis-wps-plugin>), and the Web Processing Service (WPS) link is <https://api.pixalytics.com/climate/wps?request=GetCapabilities&service=wps>

The WPS service remains in development/under improvement and currently provides access to individual precipitation and soil moisture related indices. Example Python query for a location in Canada (Latitude: 55.5 N Longitude: 99.1 W) for the SPI time series, with data for these dates/this location already cached, so runs quicker:

```
from owslib.wps import WebProcessingService, monitorExecution
```

```

# contact the WPS client
wps = WebProcessingService("http://api.pixalytics.com/climate/wps", skip_
caps=True, verbose=False)

    # GetCapabilities
    wps.getcapabilities()

# Execute
inputs = [ ("start_date", '20200101'),
           ("end_date", '20221231'),
           ("latitude", '55.5'),
           ("longitude", '-99.1')]

execution = wps.execute("drought", inputs, "output")

monitorExecution(execution, download=True, filepath="temp.json")

# Wait 5 seconds and check
execution.checkStatus(sleepSecs=5)

# show status
print('Percent complete: {}'.format( execution.percentCompleted))

# If there's an error print the error information
for error in execution.errors:
    print("Error: ",error.code, error.locator, error.text)

```

Figure 7 – Drought indicator calling code

- What OGC standards or formats does the component use and produce: Producing data on-the-fly using the WPS, so need to pull data through preferably an API route. The speed that the input data can be made available (i.e., extracting time-series subsets) governs the speed that the drought indicator provides data. To speed this up, input data that is not changing is being cached so that it runs significantly quicker when the API is called for a second time.

[Pixalytics-output] shows an example of the output visualized within Python using Streamlit with the intermediate data (cached as NetCDF files) as input.




Figure 8 – Pixalytics output (Not the correct figure, to be updated)

3.2. Wuhan University (WHU)

Wuhan University (WHU) is a university that plays a significant role in researching and teaching all aspects of surveying and mapping, remote sensing, photogrammetry, and geospatial information sciences in China. In this Climate Resilience Pilot, we will contribute two use-cases: a use-case for drought and wildfire impact, and a use-case for analysis ready data.

- Component: Data Cube and Drought Indicator.
- Inputs: Climate data, including precipitation and temperature. Optical data, such as Landsat-8 and sentinel-2.
- Outputs: Drought risk map and other results in the form of GeoTIFF after processing in a Data Cube.
- What other component(s) can interact with the component: .
- What OGC standards or formats does the component use and produce:
 - OGC API – Coverages to provide the data in Cube
 - OGC API – Processes to provide the calculation of drought indices

3.3. Ecere Corporation

Ecere is providing a deployment of its GNOSIS Map Server with a focus on a Sentinel-2 Level 2A data cube. OGC API - Tiles, OGC API - Coverages, OGC API - Maps, OGC API – Discrete Global Grid Systems, Common Query Language (CQL2), and OGC API – Processes – Part 3: Workflows & Chaining are the supported standards and extensions for this task.

The plan is to use machine learning process output from the Wildland Fire Fuel Indicator Workflow to identify vegetation fuel types from sentinel-2 bands, then combine with weather data to assess wildfire hazards risk in Australia. The workflow will use as input the sentinel-2 OGC API data cube from our GNOSIS Map Server.

- Component: Data Cube and Wildfire vegetation fuel map / risk analysis.
- Inputs: ESA Sentinel-2 L2A data (from AWS / Element 84), Temperature / Precipitation / Wind climate data, Reference data for training: vegetation fuel type classification, wildfire risk.

The sentinel-2 Level 2A collection is provided at <https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a>

- Outputs: OGC API (Coverage, Tiles, DGGS, Maps) for Sentinel-2 data (<https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a>) including full global coverage, all resolutions/scales, all bands that can be individually selected, CQL2 expressions for band arithmetics; climate data (to be added), vegetation fuel type (possibly by end of pilot, or for DP2023), wildfire risk workflow (possibly by end of pilot, or for DP2023).
- What other component(s) can interact with the component: Any OGC API client component requiring efficient access to Sentinel-2 data, clients requiring climate data once made available, clients presenting vegetation fuel type, wildfire risk (once ready, might extend into DP2023).
- What OGC standards or formats does the component use and produce:
 - OGC API (Coverage – with subsetting, scaling, range subsetting, coverage tiles; Tiles, DGGS (GNOSISGlobalGrid and ISEA9R), Maps (incl. map tiles), Styles), CQL2, OGC API – Processes with Part 3 for workflows (Nested Local/Remote Processes, Local/Remote Collection Input, Collection Output, Input/Output Field Modifiers)
 - Formats: GNOSIS Map Tiles (Gridded Coverage, Vector Features, Map imagery, and more); GeoTIFF; PNG (16-bit value single channel for coverage, RGBA for maps); JPEG.

3.3.1. Overview of standards and extensions available for outputs

3.3.1.1. OGC API – DGGS

There are two main requirements classes for this standard.

- Data Retrieval (What is here? – "give me the data for this zone"),
- Zones Query (Where is it? – "which zones match this collection and/or my query")

Example of data retrieval queries:

```
https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/dggs/
GNOSISGlobalGrid/zones/3-4-11/data https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/
collections/sentinel2-l2a/dggs/ISEA9Diamonds/zones/E7-FAE/data
```

Figure 9

Example of a zones query:

```
https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/
SRTM_ViewFinderPanorama/dggs/ISEA9Diamonds/zones https://
maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/SRTM_ViewFinderPanorama/dggs/
ISEA9Diamonds/zones?f=json (as a list of compact JSON IDs)
```

Figure 10

Level, Row, Column (which encoded differently in the compact hexadecimal zone IDs) can be seen on the zone information page at:

```
https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/dggs/
GNOSISGlobalGrid/zones/3-4-11 https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/
collections/sentinel2-l2a/dggs/ISEA9Diamonds/zones/E7-FAE
```

Figure 11

There are several different discrete global grids. Two are implemented in our service:

- Our GNOSIS Global Grid, which is geographic rather than projected, and is axis-aligned with latitudes and longitudes, but not equal area (though it tends towards equal area – maximum variation is ~48% up to a very detailed level)
- ISEA9R, which is a dual DGGS of ISEA3H even levels, using rhombuses/diamonds instead of hexagons, but much simpler to work with and can transport the hexagon area values as points on the rhombus vertices for those ISEA3H even levels. It is also axis-aligned to a CRS defined by rotating and skewing the ISEA projection.

The primary advantage of OGC API – DGGS is:

- for retrieving data from DGGS that are not axis-aligned or have geometry that cannot be represented as squares (e.g., hexagons), or
- for the zone query capability, most useful for specifying queries (e.g. using CQL2). The extent to which we implement Zones Query at this moment is still limited.

Examples of DGGS Zone information page:

GNOSIS Map Server

Zone 5-24-6E

for GNOSISGlobalGrid DGGS (sentinel2-l2a)

(View [JSON](#), [ECON](#), [GeoJSON](#) / on [geojson.io](#) representation)

[Back to GNOSISGlobalGrid DGGS zones](#)

Hierarchy Level: 5
 Latitude Row: 36
 Longitude Column: 110
 Zone Surface Area: **95,052.63** kilometers square (*1.82% more* than reference mean zone for level 5)
 GNOSIS Hash Code: SE
 Centroid: { lat: -12.65625, lon: 132.1875 }
 Extent: { { lat: -14.0625, lon: 129.375 }, { lat: -11.25, lon: 132.1875 } }

[Download data](#)

Parent: [4-12-37](#) 



Child	Zone ID	Code	Map	Neighbor	Sibling	Zone ID	Code	Map
North-West	6-48-DC	SEG		North	No	5-23-6E	SB	
South-West	6-49-DC	SEI		West	No	5-24-6D	SE	
North-East	6-48-DD	SEH		East	Yes	5-24-6F	SE	
South-East	6-49-DD	SEJ		South	Yes	5-25-6E	SE	

Figure 12 – GNOSIS Map Server information resource for GNOSIS Global Grid zone 5-24-6E

Zone A7-0

for ISEA9Diamonds DGGS (sentinel2-l2a)

(View [JSON](#), [ECON](#) representation)

[Back to ISEA9Diamonds DGGS zones](#)

Hierarchy Level: 0

Row: 4

Column: 3

Zone Surface Area: **51,054,321.41** kilometers square (**0.09% more** than reference mean zone for level 0)

Centroid: { lat: -14.1369208534205, lon: 179.9381166703972 }

Extent: { { lat: -60.1636837367554, lon: -179.4717320723485 }, { lat: 31.8898420299144, lon: 179.9381166703972 } }

[Download data](#)




Figure 13 – GNOSIS Map Server information resource for ISEA9Diamonds zone 5-24-6E

Child	Zone ID	Map	
<i>Top-Left</i>	B7-0		
<i>Top-Center</i>	B7-1		
<i>Top-Right</i>	B7-2		
<i>Middle-Left</i>	B7-3		
<i>Middle-Center</i>	B7-4		
<i>Middle-Right</i>	B7-5		
<i>Bottom-Left</i>	B7-6		
<i>Bottom-Center</i>	B7-7		
<i>Bottom-Right</i>	B7-8		
Neighbor	Sibling	Zone ID	Map
<i>Top</i>	Yes	A6-0	
<i>Right</i>	Yes	A8-0	

Figure 14 – GNOSIS Map Server information resource for ISEA9Diamonds zone 5-24-6E sections

3.3.1.2. OGC API – Coverages with OGC API – Tiles

Because they are axis-aligned, both of these DGGS can be described as a TileMatrixSet, and therefore equivalent functionality to the OGC API – DGGS Data Retrieval requirements class can be achieved using OGC API – Tiles and the corresponding TileMatrixSets instead.

Coverage Tile queries for the same zones:

<https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/coverage/tiles/GNOSISGlobalGrid/3/4/17> <https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/coverage/tiles/ISEA9Diamonds/4/373/288>

Figure 15

To request a different band than the default RGB (B04, B03, B02) bands:

<https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/coverage/tiles/GNOSISGlobalGrid/3/4/17?properties=B08> <https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/coverage/tiles/ISEA9Diamonds/4/373/288?properties=B08>

<https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/coverage/tiles/ISEA9Diamonds/4/373/288?properties=B08>

Figure 16

To retrieve coverage tiles with band arithmetic to compute NDVI:

[https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/coverage/tiles/GNOSISGlobalGrid/3/4/17?properties=\(B08/10000-B04/10000\)/\(B08/10000+B04/10000\)](https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/coverage/tiles/GNOSISGlobalGrid/3/4/17?properties=(B08/10000-B04/10000)/(B08/10000+B04/10000)) [https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/coverage/tiles/ISEA9Diamonds/4/373/288?properties=\(B08/10000-B04/10000\)/\(B08/10000+B04/10000\)](https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/coverage/tiles/ISEA9Diamonds/4/373/288?properties=(B08/10000-B04/10000)/(B08/10000+B04/10000))

Figure 17

3.3.1.3. OGC API – Maps with OGC API – Tiles

Map Tiles queries for the same zones:

<https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/map/tiles/GNOSISGlobalGrid/3/4/17> <https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/map/tiles/ISEA9Diamonds/4/373/288>

Figure 18



Figure 19 – GNOSIS Map Server Map of tiles 3/4/17 in GNOSISGlobalGrid

To retrieve a map of the Scene Classification:

<https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/styles/scl/map/tiles/GNOSISGlobalGrid/3/4/17> <https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/styles/scl/map/tiles/ISEA9Diamonds/4/373/288>

Figure 20




Figure 21 – Sentinel-2 with image classification styling

To filter out the clouds:

<https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/map/tiles/GNOSISGlobalGrid/3/4/17?filter=SCL<8%20or%20SCL%20>10> <https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/map/tiles/ISEA9Diamonds/4/373/288?filter=SCL<8%20or%20SCL%20>10>

Figure 22

To get an NDVI map:

<https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/styles/ndvi/map/tiles/GNOSISGlobalGrid/3/4/17> <https://maps.gnosis.earth/ogcapi/collections/sentinel2-l2a/styles/ndvi/map/tiles/ISEA9Diamonds/4/373/288>

Figure 23




Figure 24 – Sentinel-2 map with NDVI band arithmetic

The same filter= and properties= should also work with the /coverage and /dggs end-points. The filter= also works with the /map end-points.

3.4. Jakub P. Walawender

- Component: Solar climate atlas for Poland.
- Inputs: In situ solar radiation and sunshine duration data, satellite-based solar radiation and sunshine duration estimates (climate data records), various different geospatial data from different sources (e.g. digital elevation model, climate zones, etc.).
- Outputs:
 - This pilot outputs: Review of available solar radiation datasets and web services, 2 scripts (solar climate data exploratory analysis tool, solar climate data preprocessing tool), report summarizing results of the exploratory data analysis and quality control including discussion of inconsistency factors.

- In the final result: solar radiation data cube for Poland (40 years of high resolution dataset for selected solar radiation variables), and analysis ready data (dedicated products for different solar-smart applications in the fields of renewable energy, agriculture, spatial planning, tourism, etc.), detailed analysis of the solar climate in Poland (incl. solar regionalisation) and online web map service with an interactive, self-explainable interface enabling easy on-demand information access.
- What other component(s) can interact with the component: This component work (considering the final result) crosses all the components and all of them are actually important.
- What OGC standards or formats does the component use and produce:
 - NetCDF compliant with the CF (Climate and Forecast) convention.
 - WMS, WCS, OGC API

3.5. Safe Software

- Component:
 - Climate ARD component – Data Cube to ARD.
 - Impact Components general I/O (Heat, Drought, Flood).
- Inputs:
 - Climate ARD component – Data Cube to ARD: Climate scenario data from climate services (NetCDF), for historic and future time periods
 - Impact Components general I/O (Heat, Drought, Flood): Climate impact ARD from Safes ARD component, including EO data (MODIS, LANDSAT, SENTINEL products), Population/Infrastructure information (OSM), Basemaps, as well as specific requirements per impact:
 - Drought: vegetation, soils, hydrology, basins
 - Flood: DEM, hydrology, basins.
- Outputs:
 - Climate ARD component – Data Cube to ARD: Gridded data, including temperature, soil moisture and precipitation – aggregate grids (GeoTIFF/COG), as well as Vector data, including temperature, soil moisture and precipitation contours (Geopackage, GeoJSON, OGC API Features).

- Impact Components general I/O (Heat, Drought, Flood): Risk Contours (Geopackage, GeoJSON, OGC API Features).
- What other component(s) can interact with the component: Pixalytics Component: consume variables for Drought Indicator produced by Safe's ARD component. Any other component that requires climate scenario summary ARD to drive DRI.
- What OGC standards or formats does the component use and produce:
 - OGC API Features
 - Geopackage
 - NetCDF
 - GeoJSON
 - GeoTIFF/COG
 - As needed: GML, KML, PostGIS, geodatabase and about 400 other geospatial formats.




Figure 25 – High level FME ARD workflow showing generation of climate scenario ARD and impacts from climate model, EO, IoT, infrastructure and base map inputs

3.5.1. Company Description

Using the FME platform, Safe Software has been a leader in supporting geospatial interoperability for more than 25 years. A central goal has been to promote FAIR principles, including data sharing across barriers and silos, with unparalleled support for a wide array of both vendor specific formats and open standards. Safe Software also provides a range of tools to support interoperability workflows. FME Workbench is a graphical authoring environment that allows users to rapidly prototype transformation workflows in a no-code

environment. FME Server then allows users to publish data transforms to enterprise oriented service architectures. FME Cloud offers a low cost, easy to deploy and scalable environment for deploying transformation and integration services to the cloud.

Open standards have always been a core strategy for Safe in order to support data sharing. SAIF (Spatial Archive Interchange Format) – the first format FME was built to support and the basis for the company name – was an open BC government standard that ultimately served as a basis for GML. We have supported open standards such as XML, JSON and OGC standards such as GML, KML, WMS, WFS for many years. Safe has collaborated over the years with the open standards community. For example, we have actively participated in the CityGML and INSPIRE communities in Europe. We have also been active within the OGC community and participated in many OGC initiatives including Maritime Limits and Boundaries, IndoorGML pilots and most recently the 2021 Disaster Pilot. Safe also actively participates in a number of Domain and Standards working groups including CityGML SWG, MUDDI SWG, 3DIM, EDM, Digital Twins, Health DWGs to name a few.

3.5.2. Component Descriptions

D100 – Client instance: Analysis Ready Data Component

Our Analysis Ready Data component (ARD) uses the FME platform to consume regional climate model and EO data and generate FAIR datasets for downstream analysis and decision support.

The challenge to manage and mitigate the effects of climate change poses difficulties for spatial and temporal data integration. One of the biggest gaps to date has been the challenge of translating the outputs of global climate models into specific impacts at the local level. FME is ideally suited to help explore options for bridging this gap given its ability to read datasets produced by climate models such as NetCDF or OGC WCS. Then aggregate, interpolate and restructure it as needed, inter-relate it with higher resolution local data, and then output it to whatever format or service is most appropriate for a given application domain or user community.

Our ARD component supports the consumption of climate model outputs such as NetCDF, earth observation (EO) data, and the base map datasets necessary for downstream workflows including derivation of analysis ready datasets for impact analysis. It filters, interrelates and refines these datasets according to indicator requirements. After extraction, datasets are filtered by location and transformed to an appropriate resolution and CRS. Then the workflow classifies, resamples, simplifies and reprojects the data, and then defines feature IDs metadata and other properties to satisfy the target ARD requirements. This workflow is somewhat similar to what was needed to evaluate disaster impacts in DP21. Time ranges for climate scenarios are significantly longer – years rather than weeks for floods.

Once the climate model, and other supporting datasets have been adequately extracted, prepared and integrated, the final step is to generate the data streams and datasets required by downstream components and clients. The FME platform is well suited to deliver data in formats as needed. This includes Geopackage format for offline use. For online access, other open standards data streams are available, such as GeoJSON, KML or GML, via WFS and OGC Features APIs and other open APIs.

As our understanding of end user requirements continues to evolve, this will necessitate changes in which data sources are selected and how they are refined, using a model based rapid prototyping approach. We anticipate that any operational system will need to support a growing range of climate change impacts and related domains. Tools and processes must be able to absorb and integrate new datasets into existing workflows with relative ease. As the pilot develops, data volumes will increase, requiring scalability methods to maintain performance and avoid overloading downstream components. Cloud based processing near cloud data sources using cloud native datasets (COG, STAC, etc) supports data scaling. Regarding the FME platform, this involves deployment of FME workflows to FME Cloud.

It is worth underlining that our ARD component depends on the appropriate data sources in order to produce the appropriate decision ready data (DRI) for downstream components. Risk factors include being able to locate and access suitable climate models and EO data of sufficient quality, resolution and timeliness to support indicators as the requirements and business rules associated with them evolve. Any data gaps encountered are documented under the lessons learned section.




Figure 26 – Environment Canada NetCDF GCM time series downscaled to Vancouver area. From: <https://climate-change.canada.ca/climate-data/#/downscaled-data>




Figure 27 – Data Cube to ARD: NetCDF to KML, Geopackage, GeoTIFF

Data workflow: - Split data cube - Set timestep parameters - Compute timestep stats by band - Compute time range stats by cell - Classify by cell value range - Convert grids to vector contour areas by class




Figure 28 – Extracted timestep grids: Monthly timesteps, period mean T, period max T




Figure 29 – Convert raster temperature grids into temperature contour areas by class




Figure 30 – Geopackage Vector Area Time Series: Max Yearly Temp

3.5.3. D100 – Client Instance: Heat Impact Component

This component takes the climate scenario summary ARD results from the ARD component and analyzes them to derive estimated heat impacts over time, based on selected climate scenarios. Central to this is the identification of key heat impact indicators required by decision makers and the business rules needed to drive them. Process steps include data aggregation and statistical analysis of maximum temperature spikes, taking into account the cumulative impacts of multiple high temperature days. Data segmentation is based on maximum temperature exceeding a certain threshold T for N days in a row. This is because heat exhaustion effects are likely

dependent on duration of heat spells, in addition to high maximum temperatures on certain days.




Figure 31 – ARD Query: Monthly Max Temp Contours




Figure 32 – ARD Query: Max Mean Monthly Temp > 25C




Figure 33 – Town of Lytton - location where entire town was devastated by fire during the heat wave of July 2021 - same location highlighted in ARD query from heat risk query in previous figure

3.5.4. D100 – Client Instance: Flood and Water Resource Impact Component

This component takes the climate scenario summary ARD results from the ARD component and analyzes them to derive estimated flood risk impacts over time, based on selected climate scenarios. Central to this will be the identification of key flood risk impact indicators required by decision makers and the business rules needed to drive them. This process includes data aggregation and statistical analysis of rainfall intensity over time, taking into account the cumulative impacts of multiple consecutive days. This involves, for example, data segmentation based on cumulative rainfall exceeding a certain threshold T within a certain time window (N hours or days), since cumulative rainfall and rainfall intensity over a short period are often more crucial than total rainfall over a longer period. These precipitation scenarios are evaluated by catch basin. This also requires integration with topography, DEMs, and hydrology related data such as river networks, water bodies, aquifers and watershed boundaries.

The FME transformation workflow classifies and segments the time series grid data, followed by vectorization and generalization in order to generate flood contour polygons by time step. The results are loaded to a geopackage which is more readily consumable by a wider variety of GIS applications and analytic tools. We have found that this vectorized data is relatively easy to publish to OGC API Feature Services.




Figure 34 – FME approach for converting flood time series grids to geopackage ARD




Figure 35 – Flood Contour Geopackage ARD, showing flooded areas south of Winnipeg by date and depth, as displayed in FME Data Inspector.

3.5.5. D100 – Client Instance: Drought Impact Component

This component takes the climate scenario summary ARD results from the ARD component and analyze them to derive estimated drought risk impacts over time based on selected climate scenarios. This involves, for example, data segmentation based on cumulative rainfall below a certain threshold T within a certain time window (days, weeks or months), since cumulative rainfall over time will be crucial for computing water budgets by watershed or catch basin. Besides precipitation, climate models also generate soil moisture predictions which are used by this component to assess drought risk. This also requires integration with topography, DEMs and hydrology related data such as river networks, water bodies, aquifers and watershed boundaries. The specific business rules used to assess drought risk are still under development. FME

provides a flexible data and business rule modeling framework. This means that as indicators and drought threshold rules are refined, it's relatively straightforward to adjust the business rules in this component to refine our risk projections. Also, business rule parameters can be externalized as execution parameters so that end users can control key aspects of the scenario drought risk assessment without having to modify the published FME workflow.

3.6. GMU_CSISS

- Component: Analysis Ready Data (ARD).
- Inputs: ECV record information, OpenSearch service endpoint (currently CMR(CWIC) and FedEO), download URLs for accessing NetCDF or HDF files.
- Outputs: WCS service endpoint for accessing selected granule level product images (GeoTIFF, PNG, JPEG, etc.).
- What other component(s) can interact with the component: .
- What OGC standards or formats does the component use and produce:
 - WCS for downloading image
 - WMS for showing layers on basemap

3.7. AlpS

- Component: climate Communication and support for adaptation.
- Inputs: Selected climate indicators (past and future, different scenarios), cartographic data (hazard zones, HQ areas, etc.), existing plans, strategies and concepts (regional development plans, climate protection strategies, previous analyses).
- Outputs: Target group-specific communication material (factsheets, graphs), description of the vulnerability and visualization of risk maps, adaptation measures, strategies for adaptation to climate change. In the context of this pilot alpS will elaborate a guideline that helps to find a proper workshop-setup. alpS will illustrate the guideline with two to three best practice examples. As far as possible, alpS will test its findings in ongoing consultancies.
- What other component(s) can interact with the component: .
- What OGC standards or formats does the component use and produce: .

3.8. Laubwerk

Laubwerk is a private company working on building a large database of vegetation that includes fully detailed 3D models as well as metadata to represent its properties.

- Component: Visualization of an example tile of Los Angeles with full vegetation coverage and using our plant metadata to compute and visualize different climate scenarios and mitigation measures.
- Inputs:
 - Laubwerk plant database for 3D models and plant metadata
 - Los Angeles – Bureau of Street Services – Tree Inventory (<https://streetsla.lacity.org/tree-inventory>)
- Outputs:
 - Imagery of the different projected scenarios

3.9. RSS-Hydro

RSS-Hydro has been part of several successful OGC testbeds, including the DP 21 to which this pilot is linked, not only in terms of ARD and IRD but also in terms of use cases. In this pilot, RSS-Hydro's main technical contribution will be creating digestible OGC data types and formats for specific partner use cases, so the contribution will be focusing on producing ARD from publically available EO and model data, including hydrological model output as well as climate projections. These ARD will feed into all use cases for all participants, with a particular focus toward the use cases proposed for Heat, Drought and Health Impacts by other participants in the pilot.

Specifically, RSS-Hydro can provide access to the following satellite and climate projection data:

- * Wildfire – Fire Radiant Power (FRP) product from Sentinel 3 (NetCDF), 5p, MODIS products (fire detection), VIIRS (NOAA); possibly biomass availability (fire fuel).
- * Land Surface Temp – Sentinel 3
- * Pollution – Sentinel 5p
- * Climate Projection data (NetCDF, etc., daily downscaled possible): air temp (10 m above ground). Rainfall and possibly wind direction as well
- * Satellite-derived Discharge Data to look at Droughts/Floods etc. by basin or other scale
- * Can provide some hydrological model simulation outputs at (sub)basin scale (within reason)

The created ARD in various OGC interoperable formats will create digestible dataflows for the proposed OGC Use Cases. This proposed data chain by RSS-Hydro is similar to DP21.

The created climate and hydrological basin model outputs (NetCDF etc.) or EO remote sensed data (NASA, NOAA, ESA, etc.) from among other sources the Global Flood Observatory (DFO) and RSS-Hydro can be simplified to GeoTIFF and / or vectorized geopackage per time step by the FME software. Another option as an intermediate data type (IRD) would be COG – cloud optimized geotiff which would make access more efficient. The COG GeoTIFFs are optimized

for cloud so we could make sure we have a cloud based storage bucket to make the data sharing more efficient. ARD and IRD should become more service / cloud based wherever possible.

Besides the data format we need to think more about data structures and semantics required to support the desired DRI's. The time series / raster, and classification to vector contour transform is an approach that worked well in DP21 and may be a good starting point here. For example, together in the FME processing engine, we can take time series grids, aggregate them across timesteps to perhaps mean or max values, then classify them into ranges suitable for decision making, and then write them out and expose them as time tagged vector contour tables.

In summary, the different ARD and IRD data can be created from the following data sources:

* Inputs: EO (US sources fire related: MODIS, VIIRS); Climate projections, sub catchment polygons, assisting Albert with EO Europe sources; Sentinel-3, Sentinel 5-P * Outputs forma & instances: WCS, GeoTIFF spatial / temporal subset, Shape; NetCDF * Output parameters: e.g. hydrological condition of a basin (historically/current). So drought / flood etc. * Output themes: downscaled / subset outputs, hydrologic scenarios

3.10. Pelagis

The effects of climate change on coastal environments cannot be understated. As the carrying capacity of our oceans as a carbon sink is reaching its limits, research suggests that an integrated approach to oceans resource management can sustainably meet the needs of global food supplies, offset the rate of ocean acidification, and permanently remove CO₂ from the atmosphere. However, accurately measuring both the effect of climate change as well as the mitigation effects of nature-based approaches remains a challenge.

Our participation in the Climate Resilience pilot focuses on enhancing our view of a global oceans observation system by combining real-world ground observations with analysis ready datasets. Monitoring aspects of our oceans through both a temporal and spatial continuum while providing traceability through the observations process allows stakeholders to better understand the stressors affecting the health of our oceans and investigate opportunities to mitigate the longer term implications related to climate change.

3.10.1. About Us

Pelagis is an OceanTech venture located in Nova Scotia, Canada. Our foundation focuses on the application of open geospatial technology and standards designed to promote the sustainable use of our ocean resources. As a member of the Open Geospatial Consortium, we co-chair the Marine Domain Working Group with a focus on developing a federated model of the marine ecosystem (MSDI) compliant with the suite of OGC specifications and standards.

3.10.2. Scope of Work

The project effort centers around 3 key challenges * the ability to collect data relevant to Climate Resilience; * the ability to apply the data in a coherent and standardized manner in

which to draw out context; * and the ability to impart insight to community members and stakeholders so as to identify, anticipate and mitigate the effects of climate change across both local and international boundaries.

Each of these activities aligns with the best practices and standards of the OGC and are used as input to the MarineDWG MSDI reference model.

3.10.3. Approach

Our approach to address the needs for the shared use of our ocean resources is to make Marine Spatial Planning a core foundation on which to build out vertical applications. Our platform is based on a federated information model represented as a unified social graph. This provides a decentralized approach towards designing various data streams each represented by their well-known and/or standardized model. To date, service layers based on the OGC standards for Feature, Observations & Measurements, and Sensors APIs have been developed and extended for adoption within the marine domain model. Previous work provides for data discovery and processing of features based on the IHO S-100 standard (Marine Protected Areas, Marine Traffic Management, ...); NOAA open data pipelines for major weather events (Hurricane Tracking, Ocean Drifters, Saildrones ...); as well as connected observation systems as provided by IOOS and its Canadian variant, CIOOS.




Figure 36 – Architecture

3.11. Component workflow

The figure below shows a high level workflow diagram that illustrates the interactions between data, models and the various components.




Figure 37 – High level workflow diagram that illustrates the interactions between data, models and the various components

4

USE CASES

4.1. Drought and Wildfire Impact Use-case

Drought and wildfire caused by climate change are one of the important factors affecting agricultural production, food security, and water shortage. The goal of WHU is to develop a use-case for drought and wildfire risk assessment for rapid response to drought and wildfire occurrences. Figure 1 shows the technical architecture on how WHU contributed to the use-case. It has the following features: 1) For data discovery, a catalogue service from data center following OGC API is provided allowing users to search geospatial data both available from WHU data stores and remote data stores from USGS, ESA, and NASA. 2) For data integration, data can be integrated into the WHU software in the form of geo date cubes with three efforts: formalizing cube dimensions for multi-source geospatial data, processing geospatial data query along cube dimensions, and organizing cube data for high-performance geoprocessing. 3) For data processing, a processing chain is enabled in WHU software using a code editor and modelbuilder. 4) For data visualization, a Web-based client for visualization of spatial data and statistics is provided using a virtual globe and charts.




Figure 38 – The technical architecture of the use-case for drought and wildfire impact

Figure 2 a is the data center of the proposed use-case, which currently stores multiple sources data from different platforms including 20 years of precipitation data from GPM (Global Precipitation Measurement) used to calculate the drought index. The drought and wildfire risk assessment algorithms are integrated in the algorithm center, which can be invoked by the code editor or modelbuilder to perform drought and wildfire risk assessment. Figure 2b shows the Python code and visualization result of the drought risk assessment in Asia based on precipitation anomaly percentage (PAP) index.




Figure 39 – An example of the drought risk assessment in parts of Asia.

4.2. Analysis Ready Data Use-case

The technical design on how WHU contributed to the ARD use-case encompasses the query, download, and pre-processing of multi-source EO data. The query and download operators collect open EO data from open EO providers (USGS, ESA, and NASA). In addition to EO datasets, we also provide meteorological datasets including ECMWF climate reanalysis and MODIS atmospheric products. The pre-processing workflows create ARD for both optical and SAR imagery. Moreover, for applications that need spectral and angular harmonization, the pre-processing workflow supports the harmonization of multi-source optical imagery. Figure 1 shows the processing chain to produce harmonized ARD. The harmonization involves spatial co-registration, band conversion, and bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) correction.




Figure 40 – The processing chain to produce harmonized ARD

To demonstrate our ability to produce ARD and promote the use of Chinese satellite data, we will produce a surface reflectance ARD dataset through the harmonization of Gaofen-1 WFV (Wide Field of View), Gaofen-6 WFV, and Sentinel-2 data. Figure 2 shows the Sentinel-2 data before and after pre-processing. Besides, we'd like to coordinate with the ARD SWG to promote standards for ARD and ensure that the ARD we produce is stable and reusable. Furthermore, we wish to seek the assessment of CEOS-ARD in our long-term plan.




Figure 41 – Sentinel-2 RBG composite (red Band4, green Band3, blue Band2), over Hubei, acquired on October 22, 2020. (a) corresponds to the reflectance at the top of the atmosphere (L1C product), (b) corresponds to the surface reflectance after pre-processing.

4.3. Analysis Ready Data (ARD) Use Case (D-100 Client instance by George Mason University)

4.3.1. Background

Definition of Analysis Ready Data (ARD) (defined by CEOS):

Analysis Ready Data (ARD) is remote sensing data and products that have been pre-processed and organized to allow immediate analysis with little additional user effort and interoperability both through time and with other datasets.

Major steps in preparing satellite data into ARD include conversion of raw reading into radiometric quantity, quality assessment, quantity normalization, and temporal integration. The ARD should follow the FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable) Data Principles.

Immediate analysis requires that data obtained by the data users exactly matches users' specification in the format, projection, spatial/temporal coverage and resolution, and parameters so that it can be ingested into user's analysis system immediately without further efforts. Since individual data users and projects have different requirements personalized services for customizing the data must be provided in order to meet the requirement of immediate analysis, which we call ARD services.

Essential Climate Variables (ECV) are key data sets for climate change studies. [ECV Inventory](#) houses information on Climate Data Records (CDR) provided mostly by CEOS and CGMS member agencies. The inventory is a structured repository for the characteristics of two types of GCOS ECV CDRs:

- Climate data records that exist and are accessible, including frequently updated interim CDRs
- Climate data records that are planned to be delivered.

The ECV Inventory is an open resource to explore existing and planned data records from space agency sponsored activities and provides a unique source of information on CDRs available internationally. Access links to the data are provided within the inventory, alongside details of the data's provenance, integrity and application to climate monitoring.

The client is used the existing [CEOS WGISS Community Portal](#). The portal is capable of providing automated discovery and customization services of ECV and satellite data. The client will be able to discover and access ECV and other remote sensing data and customize them into ARD for anywhere in the world to support various climate change resilience analysis.

4.3.2. Approach

The client instance is implemented as a Web application to support the creation and delivery of ARD for climate change impact assessment.

The Carbon Portal conducted data discovery and access in two steps:

- step 1: Data collection search
- step 2: Granule search to search granules in the collection

ARD services are enabled on results of granule search if the collection is an ECV. If the ECV data provider has implemented the WCS service for the dataset, the portal will directly communicate with ECV provider's WCS server for ARD service. If the ECV data provider does not have the WCS service, the portal's server will download entire granule and stage it on the portal server to provide ARD service.

Most of ECV data providers don't provide such service.

The following figure is a software architecture of the CEOS WGISS Carbon Community Portal.




Figure 42 – Software Architecture

ECV Inventory v4.1 records are converted as a unified form of the portal predefined metadata format by a converting tool. Retrieve collection metadata for ECV entries from CWIC/FedEO OpenSearch referred by Data Record Information. There are 1251 ECV inventory records (Same as WGClimate, 870 for Existing, 381 for Planned). The portal supports totally 1910 predefined ECV relative collection datasets from ECV Records.

ARD service for ECVs in case that providers have no WCS services:

- Support when user select one granule entry
- Download granule dataset file from given repository, and manipulate it for serving WCS
- Stage the data in portal backend server and generate a list of all coverages in the granule
- User specifies the specifications of data to download
- User obtains the customized data by downloading via WCS GetCoverage request

ARD service for ECVs with data providers' WCS:

- Directly talk to provider's WCS
- Without granule downloading and stage steps in the portal's backend server.

4.3.3. Use Case: The climate change impact on crop production in Turkmenistan

The use case of the climate change impact on crop production in Turkmenistan. However, the portal can switch to another use case or support multiple use cases if this pilot requests us to do so.

Drought is one of the major climate-related natural hazards that cause significant crop production loss in Turkmenistan. Climate change increases the risk of drought in Turkmenistan. Crop models (such as WOFOST) are often used to support the decision-making in long-term adaptation and mitigation. The client will be used to prepare data to be readily used as parameters and drivers in such modeling processes. Drought impact analysis data may include long time series of precipitation, temperature, or indices for crop conditions, water content, or evapotranspiration. Many of these climate data and products from satellite sensors are served at NASA's Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center, such as GPM data products, MERRA assimilated climate data. These will be used in the case of drought impact assessment in Turkmenistan.

The drought impact ARD case will demonstrate:

1. Applicability of open standards and specifications in support of data discovery, data integration, data transformation, data processing, data dissemination and data visualization
2. Transparency of metadata, data quality and provenance
3. Efficiency of using ARD in modeling and analysis
4. Interoperable dissemination of ARD abiding by FAIR principles

The searching is starting with the following information:

- Keyword: surface soil moisture
- Filter: daily
- Date: 10/1/2021, 10/1/2020, 10/1/2019, 10/1/2018
- Area: Turkmenistan (Bbox: 52.264(Left), 35.129(Bottom), 66.69(Right), 42.8(Top))

Choose a collection dataset:

Groundwater and Soil Moisture Conditions from GRACE and GRACE-FO Data Assimilation L4 7-days 0.25 x 0.25 degree Global V3.0 (GRACEDADM_CLSM025GL_7D) at GES DISC

Choose the following granule data file:

GRACEDADM_CLSM025GL_7D.3.0:GRACEDADM_CLSM025GL_7D.A20220926.030.nc4 (for year 2022)
GRACEDADM_CLSM025GL_7D.3.0:GRACEDADM_CLSM025GL_7D.A20210927.030.nc4 (for year 2021)
GRACEDADM_CLSM025GL_7D.3.0:GRACEDADM_CLSM025GL_7D.A20200928.030.nc4 (for year 2020)
GRACEDADM_CLSM025GL_7D.3.0:GRACEDADM_CLSM025GL_7D.A20190930.030.nc4 (for year 2019)

Retreve the file and choose a variable:

sfsm_inst (Surface soil moisture percentile)

Adjust legend color (0 is the least soil moisture), and get the following results:




Figure 43 – Surface soil moisture percentile (year 2019-2022)

4.4. Solar climate atlas for Poland – Climate Resilience Information System

Jakub P. Walawender (Freelance climate scientist and EO/GIS expert)
email:contact@jakubwalawender.eu

The project aims at updating previously created solar climate atlas for Poland by:

- increasing spatial and temporal resolution of the datasets;
- extending time span
- replacing static maps with a dynamic and interactive interface;
- using practical solar radiation parameters instead of physical variables;

- making datasets (+ metadata) available for download in interoperable file formats for further use
- sharing a solar climate knowledge base and data/service user guide

in order to:

- advance development of the solar-smart society and economy in PL
- provide know-how and tools, which are easily reusable in other geographical regions




Figure 44 – Solar Climate atlas for Poland available on the IMGW website: <https://klimat.imgw.pl/en/solar-atlas>

Newly created **solar climate data cube and web map service** will be more **FAIR** as they will be made available online, possibly on the official website of the Polish Hydrometeorological Service (IMGW) for an increased findability, upon future agreement (to be discussed) to make them more **Findable** by the general public. The whole process of data access (including authentication) will be transparent and accompanied by appropriate instructions so that the **Accessibility** could be much higher. The format of the datasets in the data cube will be an OGC netCDF standard compliant with the CF (Climate and Forecast) convention, which is suitable for encoding gridded data for space/time-varying phenomena and commonly known in the climate science community but also easily readable with other common spatial data processing and visualization software including most of the GIS software to keep fully **Interoperable**. Finally, even though the proposed solar climate information system (maps+ dataset) are limited to the area of Poland, all

processing scripts will be made available on github along with a well-described processing steps (both Jupyter notebooks and instructional videos will be considered) to provide **Reusability** for other countries or geographical regions.

Two objectives for the pilot OGC Climate Resilience Pilot are:

- to document existing solar radiation datasets (satellite, model and reanalysis data) and services (both freely accessible and commercial)
- to verify the accuracy of the in situ measurements and satellite climate data records for the selected solar radiation parameters using proper statistical methods

4.5. Wildfire risk in P&C insurance (Intact Financial Corporation)

4.5.1. Background

Here we describe the role of an P&C insurance company in context of disaster and climate resilience. We introduce our main public references, being Intact Annual Report 2022 and Intact Social Impact & ESG Report 2022, both found on [Intact Annual Reports page](#). We lay out the goals of our participation.

4.5.2. Approach

Here we very briefly present several use cases related to wildfire and other physical risks, at a very high level. These use cases are presented in logical order, from disaster to climate resilience. The intent is to brush a large picture of how insurance companies can contribute to climate resilience, and to leave room for other participants to link their own contributions. We describe what use cases we selected for the pilot, in this case wildfire hazard modelling and wildfire resilience. We tell why we think those two use cases are appropriate for the climate resilience pilot.

- Restoration
- Claims
- Wildfire Hazard modelling
- Underwriting
- CAT modelling
- Risk management

- Loss prevention
- Wildfire resilience
- Adaptation

4.5.3. Use case 1: Wildfire hazard model

Here we describe the various experiments made on our components during the pilot. For this use case, the main actor is a scientist. The component was kept internal, we explain why. We enumerate the various open data repository we tested, and we describe the process at a high level. We show pictures of the output. We create logical links to other components that could have been used in the process.

4.5.4. Use case 2: Wildfire resilience

Here we describe the selected use case for insurance, where the main actor is either a forestry expert or a landscaper. We introduce the main reference that is [WILDFIRE-RESILIENCE BEST-PRACTICE CHECKLIST FOR HOME CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION AND LANDSCAPING](#). We explain why this use case is a relevant target for Climate Resilience pilot.

4.6. D-100 Client (Pelagis)

The following use cases focus on the impact of climate change to coastal communities and opportunities to mitigate these effects through sustainable aquaculture best practices. ====
Background

4.6.1. Approach

This project takes advantage of the efforts made through the OGC Marine DWG to define a 'federated marine spatial data infrastructure' (FMSDI).

Providers Table of service endpoints – their role, temporal and spatial resolution, and schema

5

LESSONS LEARNED

LESSONS LEARNED

The various organizations and institutes that contribute to the Climate Resilience Pilot noted the following gaps or challenges that still require some work (in future):

- The Pixalitics Drought indicator is currently implemented using data from the Copernicus Climate Data Store (CDS), and other sources/datasets are being tested to understand the speed/reliability/cost of accessing input data from different sources as the aim is to process on demand. We tested the input precipitation using the [ERA5 data held within the Registry of Open Data on AWS](#) versus the CDS API and found the Amazon Web Service (AWS) Simple Storage Service (S3) stored data could be accessed faster once virtual Zarrs has been setup, but there is a question over provenance as the data on AWS was put there by an organization other than the data originator and the Zarr approach didn't work for more recent years as the S3 stored NetCDFs have chunking that is inconsistent. An issue has been raised for the Python kerchunk library to be able to cope with variable chunking.
- One of the objectives of the pilot is also to lower the barriers for users accessing CDS/ADS (Atmospheric Data Store) data and services and engage with a broader user community. Knowing from users which are these barriers (gaps) will allow this pilot to evolve as well.
- A universal, well-defined, climate service workflow (from raw data to information) as a roadmap to guide developers/users through the process.
- Improve Sentinel-2 data cube performance, add Climate data, add vegetation fuel type classification, add a wildfire risk assessment workflow.
- Analysis ready data (ARD) principles can be applied to climate time series, not just EO. Good ARD should be useful for a range of scenarios and useful to answer a range of analytic questions.
- DP21: laid a good foundation for exploring data cube extraction and conversion to ARD with using the FME data integration platform.
- A variety of approaches were explored for extraction, simplification and transformation.
- Various approaches were explored to select, split, aggregate, and summarize time series
- Both band and cell statistics were evaluated
- The goal was to generate ARD that can be queried to answer questions about climate trends
- More experimentation needed: analytic, statistical, simplification & publication methods, including cloud native – NetCDF to COG, APIs
- Classification rules: need to more closely model impacts of interest. For example, the business rules for temperature range and stat type need to be part of the

- classification process before conversion to vector.
- At present participants have only implemented the first Drought Index (SPI) using precipitation data from the Copernicus Climate Data Store (CDS), but are open to / need other data sources.
- One of the objectives of the pilot is also to lower the barriers for users accessing CDS/ADS (Atmospheric Data Store) data and services and engage with a broader user community. Knowing from users which are these barriers (gaps) will allow this pilot to evolve as well.
- A universal, well-defined, climate service workflow (from raw data to information) as a roadmap to guide developers/users through the process.
- Improve Sentinel-2 data cube performance, add Climate data, add vegetation fuel type classification, add a wildfire risk assessment workflow.

6

FUTURE WORK

FUTURE WORK

During the pilot, participants agreed to the following items where future work would be needed:

- Further scenario tests should be explored, including comparison with historical norms
 - calculate difference between historical max temps and projected max temps. More analysis needs to be done with higher resolution time steps – weekly and daily. At the outset monthly time steps were used to make it easier to prototype workflows. Daily time step computations will take significantly more processing time.



A

ANNEX A (INFORMATIVE) REVISION HISTORY

A

ANNEX A (INFORMATIVE) REVISION HISTORY

DATE	RELEASE	AUTHOR	PRIMARY CLAUSES MODIFIED	DESCRIPTION
2023-03-28	0.1	G. Schumann; A.J. Kettner	all	First draft of ER
2023-03-29	0.2	Nils Hempelmann	adapt to new ER schema	revision draft of ER



BIBLIOGRAPHY



BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] OGC: OGC 10-092r3: NetCDF Binary Encoding Extension Standard: netCDF Classic and 64-bit Offset Format, 2011
- [2] OGC: OGC 14-083r2: Moving Features Encoding Part I: XML Core, 2015
- [3] OGC: OGC 14-084r2: Moving Features Encoding Extension: Simple Comma Separated Values
- [4] OGC: OGC 11-165r2: CF-netCDF3 Data Model Extension standard, 2012
- [5] Standardized Big Data Processing in Hybrid Clouds. In: Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Geographical Information Systems Theory, Applications and Management – Volume 1: GISTAM, pp. 205–210. SciTePress (2018).
- [6] Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory: NetCDF CF Metadata Conventions – <http://cfconventions.org/>
- [7] ESIP: Attribute Convention for Data Discovery (ACDD) – <http://wiki.esipfed.org/index.php/>