

**OGC® DOCUMENT: YY-999**

External identifier of this OGC® document: <http://www.opengis.net/doc/WP/geosparql3d>



Open  
Geospatial  
Consortium

# GEOSPARQL 3D WHITE PAPER

---

**TECHNICAL PAPER**

**CANDIDATE SWG DRAFT**

**Version:** 1.0

**Submission Date:** 2029-03-30

**Approval Date:** 2029-03-30

**Publication Date:** 2029-03-30

**Editor:** Editor One, Editor Two

**Notice:** This document is not an OGC Standard. This document is an OGC White Paper and is therefore not an official position of the OGC membership. It is distributed for review and comment. It is subject to change without notice and may not be referred to as an OGC Standard. Further, an OGC White Paper should not be referenced as required or mandatory technology in procurements.

### License Agreement

Use of this document is subject to the license agreement at <https://www.ogc.org/license>

### Copyright notice

Copyright © 2025 Open Geospatial Consortium

To obtain additional rights of use, visit <https://www.ogc.org/legal>

### Note

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. The Open Geospatial Consortium shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Recipients of this document are requested to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent claims or other intellectual property rights of which they may be aware that might be infringed by any implementation of the standard set forth in this document, and to provide supporting documentation.

# CONTENTS

I. KEYWORDS .....	v
II. PREFACE .....	vi
III. SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS .....	vii
IV. SUBMITTING ORGANIZATIONS .....	viii
V. SUBMITTERS .....	viii
1. SCOPE .....	2
2. CONFORMANCE .....	4
3. NORMATIVE REFERENCES .....	6
4. TERMS AND DEFINITIONS .....	8
5. ABSTRACT .....	10
6. KEYWORDS .....	12
7. CONVENTIONS .....	14
8. INTRODUCTION .....	16
9. BENEFICIARIES AND BENEFITS .....	18
9.1. Beneficiaries .....	18
9.1.1. Beneficiary 1: Someone who benefits .....	18
9.2. Benefits .....	18
9.2.1. Benefit B1: My benefit .....	18
10. CURRENT CAPABILITIES .....	20
10.1. GeoSPARQL .....	20
10.1.1. Requirements addressed .....	20
10.1.2. Adoption of GeoSPARQL 1.1 .....	20
11. REQUIREMENTS FOR GEOSPARQL 3D .....	22
11.1. Feedback from Industries .....	22
11.2. Proposed extensions for GeoSPARQL 3D .....	22
11.2.1. Extension 1: Non-topological Query Functions – 3D Extension .....	22

11.2.1.1. GitHub Issue URI .....	22
11.2.1.2. Category .....	22
11.2.1.3. Description .....	22
12. ANNEX N: N .....	25
13. ANNEX O: HISTORY .....	27



## KEYWORDS

---

The following are keywords to be used by search engines and document catalogues.

OGC, GeoSPARQL, 3D



# PREFACE

---

To come...



# SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS

---

The following security considerations apply...

## IV

# SUBMITTING ORGANIZATIONS

The following organizations submitted this Document to the Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC):

- Organization one
- Organization two
- Organization three

## V

# SUBMITTERS

NAME	AFFILIATION	OGC MEMBER
Nicholas J. Car	KurrawongAI	Yes





1

# SCOPE

---



# SCOPE

---



2

# CONFORMANCE

---



## CONFORMANCE

---



3

# NORMATIVE REFERENCES

---



## NORMATIVE REFERENCES

---

There are no normative references in this document.



4

# TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

---



## TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

---

No terms and definitions are listed in this document.





5

# ABSTRACT

---



## ABSTRACT

---

To come...



6

# KEYWORDS

---



## KEYWORDS

---

To come...

7

# CONVENTIONS

---



## CONVENTIONS

---



8

# INTRODUCTION

---

GeoSPARQL is an open standard that enables storage and exchange of spatial data on the Web, based on the Resource Description Framework (RDF). Although not specifically bound, the current version of GeoSPARQL (1.1) is mainly geared towards spatial objects having zero to two dimensions. In other words, things that can be displayed on a flat surface. However, for three-dimensional spatial objects both supply and demand are increasing.

A future version of GeoSPARQL is expected to have extended capabilities supporting three-dimensional space. Not only would that make GeoSPARQL more useful for 3D geospatial data, it would also help in industries and knowledge domains that are not mainly focused on geospatial data, like Building Information Modelling (BIM) and Computer Graphics (CG). This paper describes which extensions to GeoSPARQL for 3D are most desirable, and how they could be achieved.

The paper consists of three main parts. The first explains the need for additional 3D capabilities in GeoSPARQL. It lists expected benefits, and describes how users and implementers of GeoSPARQL can reap them. The second part describes current capabilities of GeoSPARQL. In its current state, GeoSPARQL does allow modelling three-dimensional objects, but with relevant limitations. The last section describes requirements for extended 3D capabilities in GeoSPARQL. The latter is based on a market consultation, which resulted in a collection of use cases for additional 3D capabilities. By analysing those use cases, as well as current developments within and outside the OGC, insight in the most needed extensions to GeoSPARQL can be obtained. Requirements are then weighed against feasibility; some extensions are easier to achieve than others.

This paper should be interesting for the following audiences:

- Current and prospective users of GeoSPARQL;
- Current and prospective implementers of GeoSPARQL;
- Members of related OGC working groups.





9

# BENEFICIARIES AND BENEFITS

---

This section describes the beneficiaries and benefits of representing data, including geospatial data, using semantic and graph technologies. Furthermore, a collection of use cases demonstrate how semantic and graph technologies are used together with spatial data to tackle real world problems.

### 9.1. Beneficiaries

---

#### 9.1.1. Beneficiary 1: Someone who benefits

### 9.2. Benefits

---

The benefits of semantic and graph technologies are outlined below.

#### 9.2.1. Benefit B1: My benefit



10

# CURRENT CAPABILITIES

---

## 10.1. GeoSPARQL

---

GeoSPARQL is the most common geospatial extension of SPARQL. It was accepted as an OGC standard in 2012 and revised as GeoSPARQL 1.1 in 2024.

According to the standard document, “The OGC GeoSPARQL standard supports representing and querying geospatial data on the Semantic Web. GeoSPARQL defines a vocabulary for representing geospatial data in RDF, and it defines an extension to the SPARQL query language for processing geospatial data”.

### 10.1.1. Requirements addressed

GeoSPARQL addresses the following requirements with regards to 3D.

### 10.1.2. Adoption of GeoSPARQL 1.1

11

# REQUIREMENTS FOR GEOSPARQL 3D

---

This section provides an overview of feedback received on the current version of the GeoSPARQL standard (version 1.1) regarding 3D usage. This feedback helps to identify some of the barriers to use, and to outline requirements that have not been addressed that may encourage greater uptake.

## 11.1. Feedback from Industries

---

GeoSPARQL lacks some of the 3D functions that are common in popular geospatial databases. Some of those functions are required for professionals working in industries such as Architecture Engineering Construction and Operations (AECO) to complete their work tasks on a daily basis.

## 11.2. Proposed extensions for GeoSPARQL 3D

---

### 11.2.1. Extension 1: Non-topological Query Functions – 3D Extension

#### 11.2.1.1. GitHub Issue URI

- <https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogc-geosparql/issues/556>

#### 11.2.1.2. Category

Semantic improvement

#### 11.2.1.3. Description

GeoSPARQL 3D should provide the opportunity to execute non-topological query functions on 2D and 3D geometries commonly used in geospatial databases. Proposed extensions include following functions:

- geometry extrusion to the specified line segment
- geometry extrusion to the specified height

- spatiotemporal geometry extrusion to the specified line segment with specific start and end time



12

# ANNEX N: N

---







13

# ANNEX O: HISTORY

---





# BIBLIOGRAPHY





## BIBLIOGRAPHY

---

RDF	World Wide Web Consortium, <i>RDF 1.1 Concepts and Abstract Syntax</i> , W3C Recommendation (25 February 2014). <a href="https://www.w3.org/TR/rdf11-concepts/">https://www.w3.org/TR/rdf11-concepts/</a>
TTL	World Wide Web Consortium, <i>RDF 1.1 Turtle Terse RDF Triple Language</i> , W3C Recommendation (25 February 2014). <a href="https://www.w3.org/TR/turtle">https://www.w3.org/TR/turtle</a>