

OGC® DOCUMENT: YY-999

External identifier of this OGC® document: <http://www.opengis.net/doc/WP/geosparql3d>



Open
Geospatial
Consortium

GEOSPARQL 3D WHITE PAPER

TECHNICAL PAPER

CANDIDATE SWG DRAFT

Version: 1.0

Submission Date: 2029-03-30

Approval Date: 2029-03-30

Publication Date: 2029-03-30

Editor: Editor One, Editor Two

Notice: This document is not an OGC Standard. This document is an OGC White Paper and is therefore not an official position of the OGC membership. It is distributed for review and comment. It is subject to change without notice and may not be referred to as an OGC Standard. Further, an OGC White Paper should not be referenced as required or mandatory technology in procurements.

License Agreement

Use of this document is subject to the license agreement at <https://www.ogc.org/license>

Copyright notice

Copyright © 2025 Open Geospatial Consortium

To obtain additional rights of use, visit <https://www.ogc.org/legal>

Note

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. The Open Geospatial Consortium shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Recipients of this document are requested to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent claims or other intellectual property rights of which they may be aware that might be infringed by any implementation of the standard set forth in this document, and to provide supporting documentation.

CONTENTS

- I. KEYWORDS v
- II. PREFACE vi
- III. SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS vii
- IV. SUBMITTING ORGANIZATIONS viii
- V. SUBMITTERS viii
- 1. SCOPE 2
- 2. CONFORMANCE 4
- 3. NORMATIVE REFERENCES 6
- 4. TERMS AND DEFINITIONS 8
- 5. ABSTRACT 10
- 6. KEYWORDS 12
- 7. CONVENTIONS 14
- 8. INTRODUCTION 16
- 9. BENEFICIARIES AND BENEFITS 18
 - 9.1. Beneficiaries 18
 - 9.1.1. Beneficiary 1: Someone who benefits 18
 - 9.2. Benefits 18
 - 9.2.1. Benefit B1: My benefit 18
- 10. CURRENT CAPABILITIES 20
 - 10.1. GeoSPARQL 20
 - 10.1.1. Requirements addressed 20
 - 10.1.2. Adoption of GeoSPARQL 1.1 20
- 11. REQUIREMENTS FOR GEOSPARQL 3D 22
 - 11.1. Proposed extensions for GeoSPARQL 3D 22
 - 11.1.1. Extension 1: 3D representations 22
 - 11.1.1.1. GitHub Issue URI 22

11.1.1.2. Category	22
11.1.1.3. Description	22
11.1.2. Extension 2: Relations of 3D geometries	23
11.1.2.1. GitHub Issue URI	23
11.1.2.2. Category	23
11.1.2.3. Description	23
11.1.3. Extension 3: Appearance of 3D geometries	23
11.1.3.1. GitHub Issue URI	23
11.1.3.2. Category	23
11.1.3.3. Description	23
11.1.4. Extension 4: Multi-component 3D geometries	24
11.1.4.1. GitHub Issue URI	24
11.1.4.2. Category	24
11.1.4.3. Description	24
11.1.5. Extension 5: Positioning of 3D geometries	24
11.1.5.1. GitHub Issue URI	24
11.1.5.2. Category	24
11.1.5.3. Description	24
11.1.6. Extension 6: Alignments of GeoSPARQL 3D	25
11.1.6.1. GitHub Issue URI	25
11.1.6.2. Category	25
11.1.6.3. Description	25
11.1.7. Extension 7: Alignments of Engineering CRS to Geospatial CRS	25
11.1.7.1. GitHub Issue URI	25
11.1.7.2. Category	25
11.1.7.3. Description	25
11.1.8. Extension 8: Geometry Extrusion	26
11.1.8.1. GitHub Issue URI	26
11.1.8.2. Category	26
11.1.8.3. Description	26
12. ANNEX N: N	28
13. ANNEX O: HISTORY	30



KEYWORDS

The following are keywords to be used by search engines and document catalogues.

OGC, GeoSPARQL, 3D



PREFACE

To come...



SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS

The following security considerations apply...

IV

SUBMITTING ORGANIZATIONS

The following organizations submitted this Document to the Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC):

- Organization one
- Organization two
- Organization three

V

SUBMITTERS

NAME	AFFILIATION	OGC MEMBER
Nicholas J. Car	KurrawongAI	Yes



1

SCOPE



SCOPE



2

CONFORMANCE



CONFORMANCE



3

NORMATIVE REFERENCES



NORMATIVE REFERENCES

There are no normative references in this document.



4

TERMS AND DEFINITIONS



TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

No terms and definitions are listed in this document.



5

ABSTRACT



ABSTRACT

To come...



6

KEYWORDS



KEYWORDS

To come...



7

CONVENTIONS



CONVENTIONS



8

INTRODUCTION



INTRODUCTION



9

BENEFICIARIES AND BENEFITS

BENEFICIARIES AND BENEFITS

This section describes the beneficiaries and benefits of representing data, including geospatial data, using semantic and graph technologies. Furthermore, a collection of use cases demonstrate how semantic and graph technologies are used together with spatial data to tackle real world problems.

9.1. Beneficiaries

9.1.1. Beneficiary 1: Someone who benefits

9.2. Benefits

The benefits of semantic and graph technologies are outlined below.

9.2.1. Benefit B1: My benefit



10

CURRENT CAPABILITIES

10.1. GeoSPARQL

GeoSPARQL is the most common geospatial extension of SPARQL. It was accepted as an OGC standard in 2012 and revised as GeoSPARQL 1.1 in 2024.

According to the standard document, “The OGC GeoSPARQL standard supports representing and querying geospatial data on the Semantic Web. GeoSPARQL defines a vocabulary for representing geospatial data in RDF, and it defines an extension to the SPARQL query language for processing geospatial data”.

10.1.1. Requirements addressed

GeoSPARQL addresses the following requirements with regards to 3D.

10.1.2. Adoption of GeoSPARQL 1.1



11

REQUIREMENTS FOR GEOSPARQL 3D

This section provides an overview of feedback received on the current version of the GeoSPARQL standard (version 1.1) regarding 3D usage. This feedback helps to identify some of the barriers to use, and to outline requirements that have not been addressed that may encourage greater uptake.

11.1. Proposed extensions for GeoSPARQL 3D

11.1.1. Extension 1: 3D representations

11.1.1.1. GitHub Issue URI

<https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogc-geosparql/issues/583>

11.1.1.2. Category

Semantic improvement

11.1.1.3. Description

GeoSPARQL should include ways to represent 3D data in a knowledge graph.

3D data should be included in common 3D formats and 3D data should be includable as a text literal and a file representation.

Some common formats which could be considered for inclusion are:

- [Polygon File Format \(PLY\)](#)
- [Wavefront OBJ Format \(OBJ\)](#)
- [GLTF Format \(GLTF\)](#)
- [X3D Format](#)

11.1.2. Extension 2: Relations of 3D geometries

11.1.2.1. GitHub Issue URI

<https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogc-geosparql/issues/416>

11.1.2.2. Category

Semantic improvement

11.1.2.3. Description

GeoSPARQL should include ways to represent relations between 3D geometries and relations between 3D geometries and geometries of lower dimensions. The relations should be expressable in property relations and should be queryable using SPARQL extension functions.

11.1.3. Extension 3: Appearance of 3D geometries

11.1.3.1. GitHub Issue URI

<https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogc-geosparql/issues/592>

11.1.3.2. Category

Semantic improvement

11.1.3.3. Description

GeoSPARQL should include ways to represent materials and textures of 3D geometries, so that geometries can be styled accordingly. Materials include:

- Colors of surfaces with light diffusion parameters
- Images as textures, which are associated with surfaces of the 3D object

11.1.4. Extension 4: Multi-component 3D geometries

11.1.4.1. GitHub Issue URI

<https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogc-geosparql/issues/591>

11.1.4.2. Category

Semantic improvement

11.1.4.3. Description

GeoSPARQL should include ways to define multi-component 3D geometries, whereas each component expresses its own semantics. For example, parts of a building could have different semantics according to the function of the building components and would be classified as such in an RDF graph.

11.1.5. Extension 5: Positioning of 3D geometries

11.1.5.1. GitHub Issue URI

<https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogc-geosparql/issues/591>

11.1.5.2. Category

Semantic improvement

11.1.5.3. Description

GeoSPARQL should include ways to position 3D geometries in a 3D space. Commonly 3D geometries are rotated, translated and scaled using commonly defined operators in computer graphics. Similar operations are needed for the relative positioning of 3D objects in GeoSPARQL, as properties and potentially as functions.

11.1.6. Extension 6: Alignments of GeoSPARQL 3D

11.1.6.1. GitHub Issue URI

<https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogc-geosparql/issues/590><https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogc-geosparql/issues/574>

11.1.6.2. Category

Semantic improvement

11.1.6.3. Description

GeoSPARQL 3D should be aligned to other vocabularies and standard which currently provide 3D support in different knowledge domains. Especially alignments to ifcOWL and the X3D vocabulary would position the GeoSPARQL vocabulary as a link between these different standards.

11.1.7. Extension 7: Alignments of Engineering CRS to Geospatial CRS

11.1.7.1. GitHub Issue URI

<https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogc-geosparql/issues/586>

11.1.7.2. Category

Semantic improvement

11.1.7.3. Description

GeoSPARQL 3D should provide the opportunity to align a local coordinate system in which most 3D geometries are defined with a coordinate reference. While this work might only be partially done within the scope of GeoSPARQL itself, GeoSPARQL should be aligned with the emerging Ontology CRS developments of OGC and provide necessary functions or properties to create the link.

11.1.8. Extension 8: Geometry Extrusion

11.1.8.1. GitHub Issue URI

<https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogc-geosparql/issues/556><https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogc-geosparql/issues/547>

11.1.8.2. Category

Semantic improvement

11.1.8.3. Description

GeoSPARQL 3D should provide the opportunity to extrude 2D geometries to 3D geometries and vice versa.



12

ANNEX N: N



13

ANNEX O: HISTORY



BIBLIOGRAPHY





BIBLIOGRAPHY

RDF	World Wide Web Consortium, <i>RDF 1.1 Concepts and Abstract Syntax</i> , W3C Recommendation (25 February 2014). https://www.w3.org/TR/rdf11-concepts/
TTL	World Wide Web Consortium, <i>RDF 1.1 Turtle Terse RDF Triple Language</i> , W3C Recommendation (25 February 2014). https://www.w3.org/TR/turtle