

Meeting of the Technical Steering Committee (TSC) Board

Wednesday, April 08th, 2020 11:00am ET

Meeting Logistics

• https://zoom.us/j/556149142

United States : +1 (646) 558-8656
Meeting ID: 556 149 142

Antitrust Policy Notice

- Linux Foundation meetings involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of the Linux Foundation to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of, and not participate in, any activities that are prohibited under applicable US state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws.
- Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at Linux Foundation meetings and in connection with Linux Foundation activities are described in the Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy available at http://www.linuxfoundation.org/antitrust-policy. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel, or if you are a member of the Linux Foundation, feel free to contact Andrew Updegrove of the firm of Gesmer Updegrove LLP, which provides legal counsel to the Linux Foundation.

THELINUX FOUNDATION

Agenda/Updates

- Announcements:
 - ISC in-person event cancelled will be a digital event instead
 - have not heard anything yet regarding our accepted BoF
- Upcoming deadlines:
 - SC'20
 - <u>Tutorials</u>?: Due April 16, 2020, Extended to April 30 *Adrian leading a submission)
 - <u>BoFs</u>: Due July 31, 2020
- ARM compiler build setback...
- PEARC'20 tutorial/cloud working group updates (csim)
- Ganglia netdata review?
- Nagios plugins discussion update
- Revisit Singularity discussion
- Alternate build flag support
- Recipe updates: ip vs ifconfig
- Warewulf: vmlinuz changes
- Additional component deprecation
- 2.0 build status
- intel compiler –qnextgen option
- tech preview release

OHPC Cloud Working Group Updates (csim)

- Agreed to give PEARC Tutorial via web conference if needed
- Chris Downing's initial implementation is in our hands
- Currently in a private GitHub
- First goal is to document what is there
- Second goal is to strip it down to make it as simple as possible while still retaining advanced features as options
- Still no official CentOS 8 AMI; exploring making our own

Nagios update

- Last time we talked about availability of nagios-plugins we have been building in ohpc 1.3.x
- EPEL for CentOS8 has large number of plugins available
- Update from Craig (SUSE)
 - turns out Leap does indeed have plugins available
 - they have been renamed to avoid conflict with enterprise packaging from Nagios Enterprises
 - packages that were named nagios-plugins-* are now simply named monitoring-plugins-*.
- OS available versions:
 - Leap 15.1
 - nagios v4.3.4
 - 82 plugins available (monitoring-plugins-*)
 - CentOS 8.1:
 - nagios v4.4.5
 - 59 packages available (nagios-plugins-*)
- Our example setup in current recipes is very basic:
 - CI setup to check a web service
 - have confirmed we can do the same with OS provided nagios/plugins with minor tweaks to test
 - folks comfortable with dropping our nagios build in favor of installing distro version?

[s<mark>ms019:/home/ohpc-test/tests/admin #</mark> ./nagios.new

- ✓ [nagios] check for RPM
- ✓ [nagios] test nagiostats
- ✔ [nagios] test check_http
- tests, 0 failures

Revisit Singularity discussion

- Last time we talked about potentially dropping our singularity build and rely on distro version instead
 - an issue here is that current Leap 15.1 version is old (2.x series)
 - but, expecting Leap 15.2 soon with updated version
- I explored using current distro version on CentOS8 and was reminded additional benefits of our packaging
 - potential for co-installation of multiple versions
 - similar dev environment access for users a la "module load singularity"
 - installation into non-default path allows for easy sharing across smaller clusters
 - a la an NFS export of /opt/ohpc/pub like we use in all current recipes
 - otherwise, need to also pack singularity into compute image for WW and xCAT in order to resolve runtime dependencies
- Consequently, went back and sorted out go dependencies for our OBS builds and have a new'ish version built now (v3.4.2)
 - latest is v3.5.3 but requires go v1.13
- Q: Folks ok with continuing to maintain a separate singularity build?



Alternate build flag support

- Recall discussion from last year regarding tweaks to our macro builds and .spec files to allow easier override of compiler flags
- Baseline changes discussed previously landed in 2.0 branch that introduce additional macros for end-user customization
 - OHPC_CFLAGS
 - OHPC_CXXFLAGS
 - OHPC_FCFLAGS
 - OHPC_F77FLAGS
 - OHPC_CUSTOM_DELIM
- The OHPC_*FLAGS variables have a default set of compiler flags (per compiler variant) which can be overridden
 - these are subsequently exposed to build environment via standard CFLAGS, CXXFLAGS, FCFLAGS, etc.
- Intent of OHPC_CUSTOM_DELIM is to give end-user the ability to append a delimiter to the package so it can be co-installed with default ohpc version (and exposed as a different module name); envisioned usage:

rpmbuild -bb --define 'OHPC_CFLAGS "-00 -g"' --define "OHPC_CUSTOM_DELIM nonzippy" example.spec

• Review of current default flags:

• If we are good with these, final step is to update relevant development-oriented package .spec files (*packages* housed in serial-libs and parallel-libs of repo)

Warewulf recipe updates



- Have had an outstanding request to use **iproute** tools instead of **net-tools** for network interface related commands (https://github.com/openhpc/ohpc/pull/600)
- Initial attempts to change this over with a direct replacement did not work in our CI environment
 - recall we suggest in recipes to leverage two interfaces on SMS host
 - one for external access
 - one for internal cluster access (and this is our provisioning interface)
 - our CI systems are not all wired with multiple interfaces so we have historically relied on an alias interface for internal provisioning

ifconfig \${sms_eth_internal} \${sms_ip} netmask \${internal_netmask} up

where \${sms_eth_internal}=eth0:0

- While you can certainly setup an IP alias using the "ip" command, you do not get the same standalone interface visible (ie. no eth0:0)
 - this prevents Warewulf from being able to ascertain the current network for provisioning
- So, in order to use newer ip commands, our CI test environment is updated to not use separate interfaces (so our internal/external interface is the same)
- Recipe command now looks like the following (with \${sms_eth_internal}=eth0):
 - # ip link set dev \${sms_eth_internal} up
 - # ip address add \${sms_ip}/\${internal_netmask} broadcast + dev \${sms_eth_internal}

Warewulf bootstrap issue

- Recall from last time, I encountered issue when trying to get CentOS8.1 bootstrap kernel to work in Linaro's CI hosted environment in the UK
 - Using the cross architecture aarch64 shell that Fujitsu created (we saw demo of this previously)
 - Very convenient if you have an x86_64 host that you want to use to provision an aarch64 host
 - https://github.com/NaohiroTamura/cross-sms-aarch64.sh
- Upon more inspection, there was a combination of issues and one gotcha is related to creating a Warewulf bootstrap image from a kernel installed into a chroot
 - Warewulf is expecting to find the relevant vmlinuz file in /boot (same goes if the kernel RPM is installed into chroot)
 - With CentOS8, you will not get the file installed in \$CHROOT/boot if you only install the kernel
 - Instead, you need to install "grub2-common" first in order to have the tools necessary for the kernel install scriptlet to correctly install a copy of vmlinuz in /boot
 - two potential permanent fixes:
 - patch WW to search for vmlinuz files in \$CHROOT/lib/modules as well as this is where the kernel package installs the file
 - patch WW to include grub2-common during baseline chroot creation for the CentOS8 template
- With this (and getting necessary qlogic firmware into the Warewulf bootstrap image), I am now able to provision CentOS8 aarc64 hosts from an x86_64 server in Linaro hosted environment

Additional component deprecation

- We discussed deprecation of Ganglia last time
- Would like to discuss doing the same for two additional packages:
 - Open Community Runtime (ocr)
 - according to <u>https://01.org/open-community-runtime</u>, this project is **currently** archived and is no longer supported
 - links to relevant project pages no longer work
 - no new releases
 - mpiP
 - no releases since 2014
 - newer MPI has alternate profiling API (PMPI)

2.0 Build Status

- Have enabled a good number of packages in 2.0 Factory
 - introduction of arm1 compiler variant means 10 compiler/MPI permutations for MPI-based builds

Administrative Tools

Package	Built?	Notes
conman	\checkmark	
docs	\checkmark	
examples	\checkmark	
ganglia		
genders	\checkmark	
Imod-defaults	\checkmark	added (3/10/20)
losf	\checkmark	
mrsh	\checkmark	fixed (3/8/20)
nagios	\checkmark	3/25/20
nagios-plugins	Х	3/25/20
ndoutils		
nhc	\checkmark	added (3/10/20)
nrpe		(related to nagios)
pdsh	\checkmark	
prun	\checkmark	
test-suite	\checkmark	

Compiler Families

Package	Built?	Notes
gcc9	\checkmark	
intel-compatibility	\checkmark	
arm-compatibility	\checkmark	
llvm		

✗ = partial builds success

= all builds complete

X = all builds fail

Package	Built?	Notes				
easybuild	\checkmark					
autoconf	\checkmark					
automake	\checkmark					
cmake	\checkmark					
hwloc	\checkmark					
libtool	\checkmark					
python-mpi4py	*	3/24/20 – arm1 failures				
python-numpy	×	3/24/20 – arm1 failures				
python-scipy	×	3/19/20 – arm1 failures				
spack	\checkmark	[still targets root usage though]				
valgrind	\checkmark					
MPI Families	MPI Families					
Package	Built?	Notes				
impi-compatibility	\checkmark	no longer requires install of intel compat. package				
mpich	\checkmark					
mvapich2	\checkmark					
openmpi4	\checkmark					
Serial Libs						
Package	Built?	Notes				
R	\checkmark					
GSL	\checkmark					
metis	\checkmark					
openhlas	\checkmark					
openblas	-					
plasma	Ж	need tweaks for arm1/intel blas				

2.0 Build Status (cont.)

Parallel Libraries

Package	Built?	Notes
boost	Ж	intel error
fftw	\checkmark	build fixed
hypre	×	intel/arm1 blas issue
mfem	Ж	needs deps
mumps	Ж	arm1/impi issue
ananaarraya	~	leap/openmpi4
opencoarrays	*	failures
petsc	Ж	intel/arm1 issue
scotch	\checkmark	arm1 fixed (3/9/20)
scalapack	\checkmark	arm1 fixed (3/9/20)
slepc	*	waiting on petsc deps
superlu_dist	Ж	2 Leap 15.1 failures
trilinos	×	Intel/ARM failures
u 1111105	×	(4/8/20)

Performance Tools

Package	Built?	Notes
dimemas	Х	need some boost builds, suse failures
extrae	Ж	arm1 failures
geopm		Adrian built subset ok
imb	Ж	
likwid	\checkmark	(4/7/20)
msr-safe		
omb	\checkmark	3/4/20
papi	\checkmark	
paraver	\checkmark	added 3/5/20
pdtoolkit	Ж	intel/leap failure (3/5/20)
scalasca	Ж	need scorep deps (3/5/20)
scorep	Ж	variety of issues (3/5/20)
tau	*	need pdtoolkit on intel/leap and arm1 (3/24/20)

Resource Management

Package	Built?	Notes
PBS Pro	*	need libical-devel on aarch/centos
pmix	\checkmark	
slurm	\checkmark	

Runtimes

Package	Built?	Notes
charliecloud	\checkmark	3/23/20
ocr		
singularity	\checkmark	updated to use newer go version (4/6/20)

✗ = partial builds success

= all builds complete
= all builds fail

2.0 Build Status (cont.)

I/O Libraries

Package	Built?	Notes
adios	Ж	intel failures (and deps)
hdf5	×	Leap/arm1 failures
netcdf-cxx	Ж	Leap/arm1 failures
netcdf-fortran	Ж	Leap/arm1 failures
phdf5	Ж	arm1 failures
pnetcdf	\checkmark	
sionlib	×	arm1 failures

Provisioning

Package	Built?	Notes
warewulf	\checkmark	starting to run in CI (3/10/20)

✗ = partial builds success

all builds complete
all builds fail

2.0 Build Status (cont)

- Current package counts:
 - 800 RPMs as of 3/11/2020
 - 905 RPMs as of 3/25/2020
 - 982 RPMs as of 4/8/2020

Base OS	aarch64	x86_64	noarch
CentOS 8	166	310	29
Leap 15	163	285	29

2.0 (cont.) – CI updates



centos8.1/x86/WW/slurm (4/08/2020)



- From last time (3/10):
 - 610 user level tests passing
- As of 3/25:
 - 910 user-level tests passing
- As of this morning (4/08):
 - 982 user-level tests passing

2.0 (cont.) – CI updates

2.0	All	+						
	S	Categorized - Job	Last Success	Last Failure		Last Duration	Test Result	
-	0	» [aarch64]	4 days 18 hr - #3	3 hr 8 min - #9		7 min 57 sec	N/A	v1.3.9 totals
		(2.0) - (centos8.1,aarch64	4) (warewulf+slurm) (fabric=eth)	5 days 19 hr - #47	5 days 15 hr - #48	29 min	17 of 987 failed (+17)	1,166 tests
		(2.0) - (leap15.1,aarch64)) (warewulf+slurm) (fabric=eth)	4 days 18 hr - #3	3 hr 8 min - #9	7 min 57 sec	26 of 779 failed (+2)	1,166 tests
-	0	» [x86_64] - CentOS 8	14 days - #3	13 hr - #155		3 min 14 sec	N/A	
		(2.0) - (centos8.1,x86_64)) (warewulf+slurm) (fabric=eth)	29 days - #27	13 hr - #155	3 min 17 sec	16 of 1,036 failed (+6)	1,237 tests
		(2.0) - (centos8.1,x86_64)) (warewulf+slurm) (fabric=ib) + psxe	14 days - #3	16 hr - #49	3 min 14 sec	19 of 1,063 failed (±0)	2,510 tests
-	0	» [x86_64] - Leap15	14 days - #9	1 hr 59 min - #18	1	3 min 37 sec	N/A	
		(2.0) - (leap15.1,x86_64)	(warewulf+slurm) (fabric=eth)	22 days - #6 1	hr 59 min - #181	3 min 48 sec	18 of 783 failed (±0)	1,203 tests
		(2.0) - (leap15.1,x86_64)	(warewulf+slurm) (fabric=ib)	14 days - #9 1	4 days - #15	3 min 37 sec	35 of 823 failed (±0)	1,549 tests

- CI on aarch64 now running under our Jenkins instance in the UK:
 - resolved the CentOS8.1 kernel bootstrapping issue per previous slides

intel/boost -qnextgen and Boost

- From last time:
 - intel -qnextgen option proposed as way to get around build failure with Boost
 - did update our .spec with patches which allowed Boost/intel to build
- Unfortunately, our CI detects issues when compiling boost regex example with normal flags and linking to Boost built with –qnextgen option
 - Can see example linkage failure at https://github.com/openhpc/ohpc/pull/1166
 - Does not seem to be a current path forward for this on CentOS8
 - Intel compiler folks point to open gcc bug: <u>https://gcc.gnu.org/bugzilla/show_bug.cgi?id=91085</u>
- Seem to be stuck for the time being without Boost/intel builds
 - presumably only fixed by newer gcc when a fix lands upstream

Moving forward with a preview release

- Given where we are at, how do folks feel about moving forward with an incomplete preview release?
 - would have to work thru an updated release and test process as the public packages will be pushed to repos.openhpc.community
 - would have to start with a subset of recipes
 - document known issues and packages currently unavailable
- Can maintain upgrade path thru 1 or more tech preview releases
 - ie, if folks are willing to try what we make available currently, they can upgrade to newer package versions and final 2.0 release
- What would we want to tag the release as?
 - 2.0RC1, 2.0RC2,etc
 - 2.0alpha1, 2.0alpha2,...
 - 2.0preview1, 2.0preview2,...