

¹ Finitewave: a lightweight and accessible framework for cardiac electrophysiology simulations

³ **Timur Nezlobinsky**  ^{1¶}, **Arstanbek Okenov¹**, **Nele Vandersickel¹**, and
⁴ **Alexander V Panfilov¹**

⁵ **1** Ghent University, Belgium ¶ Corresponding author

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review ↗](#)
- [Repository ↗](#)
- [Archive ↗](#)

Editor: Pi-Yueh Chuang  ¹

Reviewers:

- [@ashahide](#)
- [@sitic](#)

Submitted: 06 August 2025

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).¹⁸

⁶ Summary

⁷ The growing progress in cardiac and medical modeling opens broad opportunities for the development of tools and software packages that enable simulations for both research and clinical purposes. Mathematical modeling and numerical simulation have become essential for understanding cardiac arrhythmias, testing hypotheses in silico, and supporting the development of medical devices and therapies (Jaffery et al., 2024; Trayanova et al., 2024).

¹² We present **Finitewave**, a lightweight and extensible Python framework designed for fast, reproducible, and accessible simulations of cardiac electrical propagation using finite difference methods. Finitewave significantly lowers the entry barrier for students, researchers, and users without a strong technical background. Its modular structure, fast numerical core, and seamless integration with Python's scientific ecosystem allow for both rapid prototyping and advanced analysis using standard tools for visualization and post-processing.

¹⁷ Statement of need

¹⁹ Over the past decades, numerous tools have been developed to simulate normal and pathological cardiac activity, including electrical propagation, contraction, and anatomical features. As ²⁰ cardiac models have become more detailed and biophysically accurate, their computational cost and complexity have increased. Platforms like **OpenCARP**, **Chaste** or **Lifex-ep** ([Africa](#), ²¹ 2022; Cooper et al., 2020; Plank et al., 2021) offer powerful capabilities but are often difficult ²² to set up, tightly coupled to HPC environments, and challenging to use and modify - especially ²³ for users without a strong computational background. Other tools like **Myokit** ([Clerx et al.](#), ²⁴ 2016) focus on single-cell simulations but may lack multi-dimensional support.

²⁷ **Finitewave** addresses this gap by offering a lightweight, transparent, and Python-native ²⁸ framework for cardiac modeling. It is designed to enable early user engagement in the modeling ²⁹ process, with a clear and modular structure that supports experimentation, learning, and ³⁰ customization. Its Python foundation allows smooth integration with other libraries (e.g., ³¹ NumPy, Matplotlib, SciPy, Jupyter) and makes it ideal for use in educational and research ³² settings.

³³ Finitewave is particularly suited for:

- ³⁴ ▪ **Research:** Studying wave propagation, reentry, or arrhythmias under various physiological conditions (e.g., fibrosis).
- ³⁶ ▪ **Hypothesis testing:** Rapid prototyping of ideas and simulation protocols.
- ³⁷ ▪ **Education:** Teaching modeling concepts through its modular and readable design.
- ³⁸ ▪ **Custom development:** Creating tailored solutions via native Python integration.

39 ▪ **Dataset generation:** Producing synthetic data for machine learning or statistical analysis.
 40 This positions Finitewave as a complementary and accessible alternative to existing platforms,
 41 offering a more flexible and user-friendly environment for cardiac modeling and experimentation.

42 Usage philosophy

43 Finitewave supports both 2D and 3D simulations, offering an open and interactive space for
 44 implementing a wide range of computational experiments. A minimal working script requires
 45 only a few lines of clearly structured code, making it easy to get started. For exploratory use,
 46 simulations can also be run inside Jupyter notebooks, enabling immediate visualization and
 47 interactive analysis.
 48 Advanced users can easily extend base scripts with custom metrics, animations, or protocol
 49 logic. This makes it possible to scale from simple demonstrations to complex simulations while
 50 retaining full transparency and control over each step.
 51 The repository includes detailed examples, covering the main features of the framework, as
 52 well as tutorials demonstrating how Finitewave can be used for different types of research tasks.
 53 Our goal is to make Finitewave not only convenient for experienced users but also an accessible
 54 and modern entry point into cardiac modeling for students and early-career researchers.
 55 Despite being a relatively new open-source project, Finitewave has already been used as the
 56 primary simulation tool in at least two peer-reviewed publications ([Nezlobinsky et al., 2021](#);
 57 [Okenov, 2024](#)).

58 Usage example

59 To demonstrate the usage of Finitewave, we consider the initiation of a spiral wave - a
 60 well-known model representation of cardiac arrhythmia in cardiac tissue ([Figure 1](#)). For the
 61 electrophysiological model, we use the built-in Aliev-Panfilov model ([Aliev & Panfilov, 1996](#)),
 62 which captures the basic properties of cardiac tissue as an excitable medium.

63 Importing the finitewave package gives access to the framework's API:

```
64 import finitewave as fw
65
66 import numpy as np
67 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
68
69 n = 200
70 tissue = fw.CardiacTissue2D([n, n])
```

71 We first initialize the simulation domain by creating a 2D tissue grid of size 200×200:
 72
 73 Next, we create an instance of the Aliev-Panfilov model and define the key simulation parameters:
 74 time step (dt), spatial step (dr), and total simulation time (t_max). Recommended parameters
 75 are provided in the example scripts for each built-in model.

```
76 aliev_panfilov = fw.AlievPanfilov2D()
77 aliev_panfilov.dt = 0.01
78 aliev_panfilov.dr = 0.25
79 aliev_panfilov.t_max = 120
```

80 We initiate a spiral wave using the classic S1-S2 protocol: two consecutive stimuli applied at
 81 orthogonal orientations. For simplicity, we use rectangular stimuli defined by spatial coordinates,
 82 time of application, and stimulus amplitude:

```
83 stim_sequence = fw.StimSequence()
```

```

82     stim_sequence.add_stim(fw.StimVoltageCoord2D(time=0,
83                             volt_value=1,
84                             x1=1, x2=n-1, y1=1, y2=n//2))
85     stim_sequence.add_stim(fw.StimVoltageCoord2D(time=31,
86                             volt_value=1,
87                             x1=1, x2=n//2, y1=1, y2=n-1))

88 We then assign the tissue and stimulation protocol to the model and run the simulation:
89 aliev_panfilov.cardiac_tissue = tissue
90 aliev_panfilov.stim_sequence = stim_sequence
91
92 aliev_panfilov.run()

93 Once the simulation is complete, we visualize the final voltage distribution using matplotlib
94 (Figure 1):
95 plt.imshow(aliev_panfilov.u, cmap='Spectral_r')
96 plt.axis('off')
97 plt.show()

98 This example illustrates the minimalist and accessible design of Finitewave, which enables
99 users to run complete simulations with just a few lines of Python code - making it especially
100 suitable for education, prototyping, and research workflows.

101 Full code:
102 import finitewave as fw
103
104 import numpy as np
105 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
106
107
108 n = 200
109 tissue = fw.CardiacTissue2D([n, n])
110
111 aliev_panfilov = fw.AlievPanfilov2D()
112 aliev_panfilov.dt = 0.01
113 aliev_panfilov.dr = 0.25
114 aliev_panfilov.t_max = 120
115
116 stim_sequence = fw.StimSequence()
117 stim_sequence.add_stim(fw.StimVoltageCoord2D(time=0,
118                             volt_value=1,
119                             x1=1, x2=n-1, y1=1, y2=n//2))
120 stim_sequence.add_stim(fw.StimVoltageCoord2D(time=31,
121                             volt_value=1,
122                             x1=1, x2=n//2, y1=1, y2=n-1))

123 aliev_panfilov.cardiac_tissue = tissue
124 aliev_panfilov.stim_sequence = stim_sequence
125
126 aliev_panfilov.run()

127 plt.imshow(aliev_panfilov.u, cmap='Spectral_r')
128 plt.axis('off')
129 plt.show()
130
131

```




Figure 1: Spiral wave generated in 2D tissue using the Aliev-Panfilov model.

References

- 132 Africa, P. C. (2022). Lifex: A flexible, high performance library for the numerical solution of
133 complex finite element problems. *SoftwareX*, 20, 101252. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2022.101252>
- 136 Aliev, R. R., & Panfilov, A. V. (1996). A simple two-variable model of cardiac excitation. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 7(3), 293–301. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-0779\(95\)00089-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-0779(95)00089-5)
- 138 Clerx, M., Collins, P., Lange, E. de, & Volders, P. G. A. (2016). Myokit: A simple interface to
139 cardiac cellular electrophysiology. *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology*, 120(1–3),
140 100–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2015.12.008>
- 141 Cooper, F. R., Baker, R. E., Bernabeu, M. O., Bordas, R., Bowler, L., Bueno-Orovio, A.,
142 Byrne, H. M., Carapella, V., Cardone-Noott, L., Cooper, J., Dutta, S., Evans, B. D.,
143 Fletcher, A. G., Grogan, J. A., Guo, W., Harvey, D. G., Hendrix, M., Kay, D., Kursawe, J.,
144 ... Gavaghan, D. J. (2020). Chaste: Cancer, heart and soft tissue environment. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 5(47), 1848. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01848>
- 146 Jaffery, O. A., Melki, L., Slabaugh, G., Good, W. W., & Roney, C. H. (2024). A review
147 of personalised cardiac computational modelling using electroanatomical mapping data.
148 *Arrhythmia & Electrophysiology Review* 2024;13:e08. <https://doi.org/10.15420/aer.2023.25>
- 150 Nezlobinsky, T., Okenov, A., & Panfilov, A. V. (2021). Multiparametric analysis of geometric
151 features of fibrotic textures leading to cardiac arrhythmias. *Scientific Reports*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-00606-x>
- 153 Okenov, T. A. Z., Arstanbek AND Nezlobinsky. (2024). Computer based method for
154 identification of fibrotic scars from electrograms and local activation times on the epi- and

- 155 endocardial surfaces of the ventricles. *PLOS ONE*, 19(4), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0300978>
- 156
- 157 Plank, G., Loewe, A., Neic, A., Augustin, C., Huang, Y.-L., Gsell, M. A. F., Karabelas, E.,
158 Nothstein, M., Prassl, A. J., Sánchez, J., Seemann, G., & Vigmond, E. J. (2021). The
159 openCARP simulation environment for cardiac electrophysiology. *Computer Methods and*
160 *Programs in Biomedicine*, 208, 106223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2021.106223>
- 161
- 162 Trayanova, N. A., Lyon, A., Shade, J., & Heijman, J. (2024). Computational modeling of
163 cardiac electrophysiology and arrhythmogenesis: Toward clinical translation. *Physiological*
Reviews, 104(3), 1265–1333. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00017.2023>

DRAFT