




# Homemaker: software for adaptive domestic design

Bruno Postle <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Independent Researcher, UK

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

## Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Arfon Smith](#) 

## Reviewers:

- [@JJ](#)
- [@abhishektiwari](#)
- [@Jesusbill](#)

Submitted: 28 March 2024

Published: unpublished

## License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

## Summary

The Homemaker stack of software evolves domestic buildings using a modified version of Christopher Alexander's Pattern Language as fitness criteria. Multiple buildings can be evolved in parallel, buildings with multiple-storeys, non-orthogonal plots, and courtyard layouts are supported. The software shows how evolutionary computation provides a design method complementary to the theory of Pattern Languages, thereby addressing a major criticism of Pattern Languages that they do not offer a practical design method.

## Statement of need

**The problem:** Christopher Alexander's 'A Pattern Language' ([Alexander et al., 1977](#)) describes principles for creating humane, liveable built environments and has profoundly influenced fields beyond architecture (notably Software Design Patterns ([Postle, 2019](#))). Despite being a best-seller with many advocates, very few people actually use it to design buildings. Even Alexander acknowledged this, calling it "my biggest failure" ([Hopkins, 2010](#)). Pattern Language theory lacks a practical design method—Alexander identified the missing element as iterative adaptation through many small steps, or "unfolding" ([Alexander, 1979](#)), but provided no computational framework for implementing this process.

**The gap in existing solutions:** Commercial generative design tools (e.g., Archistar, Spacemaker AI, TestFit) optimise for financial metrics like return on investment and regulatory compliance, not human-centred design quality. Academic 'AI' architectural design research ([Caetano et al., 2020](#)) similarly focuses on improving efficiency of commercial property development. There are apparently no tools that implement Pattern Language theory computationally, meaning the theory cannot be empirically tested—we cannot determine whether buildings designed according to Pattern Language principles would actually be judged viable and desirable by architects and potential occupants.

**This software addresses the gap:** Homemaker implements evolutionary computation as the iterative design method that Pattern Language theory was missing. The software generates building designs that evolve to fit constrained sites (irregular plots, adjacent structures, access limitations) whilst maximising compliance with configurable architectural patterns from Alexander's work. The fitness function balances usable floor area against pattern-based quality metrics and material costs. This enables researchers to empirically test whether Pattern Language theory is sound by evaluating whether high-scoring evolved designs meet professional architectural standards and human needs.

The software generates validated 3D Building Information Models (IFC format) suitable for actual construction workflows. Current functionality focuses on domestic buildings that maximally occupy their sites—typical of dense urban infill contexts where buildings adapt to constraints analogously to historic compact urbanism. Active development is extending the system to support arbitrary building types by accepting a spatial programme (room types, required areas, and connectivity requirements) as input, enabling the evolution engine to design

42 buildings beyond the residential domain.

## 43 Brief description

44 The Homemaker system (Postle, 2013) consists of a collection of software components  
45 intended to be run unattended. The system evolves multi-storey building designs using a  
46 Pattern Language (Alexander et al., 1977) as fitness criteria for selection in a Genetic Algorithm.

47 Buildings are represented using a novel binary tree data structure (Postle, 2024), with each  
48 division holding orientation and ratio numbers indicating a geometric partition of a 'room'  
49 into two smaller 'rooms'. The fitness function implementation consists of selected patterns  
50 from the Pattern Language assessing the model in the context of its local environment. The  
51 fitness calculation ultimately maximises a single value derived from this 'quality', multiplied by  
52 floor area, and divided by a simplified cost function representing a physical quantity of building  
53 materials.

54 The presumed construction process of a historic vernacular building is that it starts with a single  
55 room and grows by accretion and subdivision, with periodic removal of failed and unwanted  
56 parts of the structure. To simulate this, a 'load-bearing wall' form-language is used: buildings  
57 are nested agglomerations of rooms; each room is a four-sided quadrilateral (not necessarily  
58 orthogonal); walls are vertical; the geometry of storeys below informs the geometry of storeys  
59 above. A novel binary tree representation, with each division holding orientation and ratio  
60 numbers indicating a geometric partition of a 'room' into two smaller 'rooms', can be used  
61 to describe such a building. The graph/tree is recursive, so any subdivision of rooms and  
62 storeys can be represented. Each leaf-node in the tree is a room with an interchangeable  
63 'usage' (kitchen, bedroom etc..) that can be explored by optimisation. Typical historic vernacular  
64 buildings closely fit this model and can usually be represented with such a binary tree.

65 A specific advantage of a binary tree data structure is that branches can be 'grafted' from  
66 one model to another, an analogue of crossover or recombination in sexual reproduction of  
67 biological systems - this tree grafting is a standard technique in Genetic Algorithms. The  
68 fitness function consists of selected patterns from the Pattern Language assessing the model in  
69 the context of its local environment (the daylight field formed by surrounding buildings). The  
70 fitness calculation ultimately maximises a single value derived from this 'quality', multiplied  
71 by floor area, and divided by a simplified cost function representing a physical quantity of  
72 building materials. A population of models, each a variation of the same building, is produced  
73 through mutation, crossover and culling. When multiple buildings are evolved in parallel, the  
74 daylight field environment is periodically updated based on the geometry of the buildings as  
75 they change.

76 The software stack consists of: [Homemaker](#), a queue manager for evolving multiple buildings  
77 in parallel; [Urb](#), the data model for a single building; and [Molior](#), [File::IFC](#) and [File::DXF](#),  
78 which are used to generate a 3D BIM model on completion of the evolution.

## 79 Updates

80 Recent updates to the software include:

- 81     ▪ 'Sahn' Space Type, a courtyard circulation space prevalent in traditional Arab houses  
82       ([Hakim, 2013](#))
- 83     ▪ A configuration system allows users to tailor pattern fitness parameters and building  
84       costs for individual and groups of buildings.
- 85     ▪ The Algorithm::Evolutionary ([Merelo Guervós et al., 2010](#)) Perl module replaces the  
86       previous Makefile-based system for driving evolution in populations.

- 87     ▪ The Homemaker queue manager allocates resources for the parallel evolution of multiple
- 88       buildings.
- 89     ▪ Space centrality is calculated using the average path length over the circulation graph to
- 90       all other spaces.

## 91 Challenges

92 This software is fundamentally non-interactive. User control is limited to the setting initial  
 93 parameters, though the resulting IFC BIM model is readily editable in Native IFC software  
 94 such as BlenderBIM (Moult, 2025). Homemaker uses a binary tree to describe the building,  
 95 but this is conceptually hard for a human to manipulate directly as it has no visual relation  
 96 to a building design. The data structure is instructions to build (a genotype), rather than  
 97 the finished geometry (a phenotype). A separate but related project not described here is the  
 98 'Homemaker add-on' (Postle, 2023) that provides an entirely interactive experience based on  
 99 the same principles, using non-manifold spatial geometry and the Topologic library (Jabi &  
 100 Chatzivasileiadi, 2021).

101 Evolutionary design in this manner seems to be unsuitable for placing smaller buildings on larger  
 102 plots, or larger 'towers in a park' designs, the constraint of occupying the entire space appears  
 103 to be necessary. For a more suburban typology, pre-prepared standard designs distributed in a  
 104 'cookie-cutter' manner would likely achieve the desired result more efficiently. Homemaker is  
 105 also relentlessly domestic, producing good ordinary buildings, these buildings don't show the  
 106 hand of an architect, and as such may not be perceived as Architecture.

## 107 Comparison with Other Generative Design Approaches

108 Commercial generative design tools (e.g., Archistar (Archistar, 2025), Spacemaker AI (Autodesk  
 109 Spacemaker, 2025), TestFit (TestFit Inc., 2025)) primarily optimise for financial metrics like  
 110 return on investment and regulatory compliance. Based on publicly available documentation,  
 111 these tools evaluate design success through economic feasibility rather than optimising for  
 112 human-centred design criteria. Homemaker also differs from notable academic approaches:

113 Shape Grammar systems (Duarte, 2005; Stiny & Gips, 1972) focus on formal composition  
 114 rules rather than the human experiential qualities central to Pattern Language. Space Syntax  
 115 methodologies (Hillier & Hanson, 1984) operate predominantly at the urban scale, analysing  
 116 city-wide movement patterns and visibility networks. While Homemaker does analyse spatial  
 117 connectivity, it operates at the building scale and is underpinned by an evolvable binary tree  
 118 model structure particularly suited to evolutionary processes. Urban procedural tools like  
 119 CityEngine (CGA Shape Grammar) (Müller et al., 2006) and DeCodingSpaces (Koenig et al.,  
 120 2018) focus primarily on street networks and block configurations rather than building-level  
 121 design patterns.

122 Homemaker's distinctive contribution is its use of evolutionary computation with Pattern  
 123 Language as fitness criteria. This computational approach makes Alexander's influential but  
 124 unwieldy theory implementable for actual building design, addressing qualitative aspects of  
 125 human experience. The binary tree representation of building layouts enables evolutionary  
 126 processes while preserving the fundamental structure of load-bearing wall architecture. This  
 127 represents an approach distinct from approaches that prioritise financial optimisation or formal  
 128 composition.

## 129 Acknowledgements

130 Christopher Alexander (1936-2022) was the inspiration for this work.

## References

- Alexander, C. (1979). *The timeless way of building*. Oxford University Press.
- Alexander, C., Ishikawa, S., & Silverstein, M. (1977). *A pattern language: Towns, buildings, construction*. Oxford University Press. ISBN: 9780195019193
- Archistar. (2025). *Archistar platform*. <https://www.archistar.ai>. <https://www.archistar.ai>
- Autodesk Spacemaker. (2025). *Spacemaker: Site planning and analysis*. <https://www.autodesk.eu/content/autodesk/global/en/products/spacemaker/overview.html>. <https://www.autodesk.eu/content/autodesk/global/en/products/spacemaker/overview.html>
- Caetano, I., Santos, L., & Leitão, A. (2020). Computational design in architecture: Defining parametric, generative, and algorithmic design. *Frontiers of Architectural Research*, 9(2), 287–300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foar.2019.12.008>
- Duarte, J. P. (2005). Towards the mass customization of housing: The grammar of siza's houses at malagueira. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 32(3), 347–380.
- Hakim, B. S. (2013). *Arabic-islamic cities building and planning principles*. London Routledge 2013. ISBN: 9780710300942
- Hillier, B., & Hanson, J. (1984). *The social logic of space*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hopkins, R. (2010, December 23). *An interview with christopher alexander*. *Transition culture*. <https://www.transitionculture.org/2010/12/23/exclusive-to-transition-culture-an-interview-with-christopher-alexander/>
- Jabi, W., & Chatzivasileiadi, A. (2021). Topologic: Exploring spatial reasoning through geometry, topology, and semantics. In S. Eloy, D. Leite Viana, F. Morais, & J. Vieira Vaz (Eds.), *Formal methods in architecture* (pp. 277–285). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-57509-0\\_25](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-57509-0_25)
- Koenig, R., Beilik, M., Knecht, K., Abdulmawla, A., & Fuchkina, E. (2018). *New methods for urban analysis and simulation with grasshopper - using DeCodingSpaces-toolbox*. 65–68. <https://doi.org/10.52842/conf.ecaade.2018.1.065>
- Merelo Guervós, J. J., Castillo, P. A., & Alba, E. (2010). Algorithm::evolutionary, a flexible perl module for evolutionary computation. *Soft Computing*, 14(10), 1091–1109. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-009-0504-3>
- Moult, D. (2025). *Bonsai BIM add-on* (Version v0.8.2). <https://bonsaibim.org/>
- Müller, P., Wonka, P., Haegler, S., Ulmer, A., & Van Gool, L. (2006). Procedural modeling of buildings. *ACM SIGGRAPH 2006 Papers*, 614–623.
- Postle, B. (2013). An adaptive approach to domestic design. *Journal of Biourbanism*, 11(1&2/2013), 31. <https://doi.org/10.6084/M9.FIGSHARE.6267401.V2>
- Postle, B. (2019). On pattern languages, design patterns and evolution. *New Design Ideas*, 3(1), 44–52. <http://jomardpublishing.com/UploadFiles/Files/journals/NDI/V3N1/PostleB.pdf>
- Postle, B. (2023). *Homemaker add-on* (Version 2023-12-16). <https://github.com/brunopostle/homemaker-addon>
- Postle, B. (2024). *DOM file format specification*. [https://bitbucket.org/brunopostle/homemaker/src/master/DOM\\_SPEC.md](https://bitbucket.org/brunopostle/homemaker/src/master/DOM_SPEC.md)
- Stiny, G., & Gips, J. (1972). Shape grammars and the generative specification of painting and sculpture. *Information Processing*, 71, 1460–1465.
- TestFit Inc. (2025). *TestFit: Site feasibility and building design software*. <https://testfit.io>. <https://testfit.io>