

anadrofish: Anadromous fish population responses to dams

Daniel S. Stich • 1,2¶, Joshua D. Hardesty • 1, Nicholas T. Jordan • 1, Samuel G. Roy • 3, Timothy F. Sheehan • 4, Shawn D. Snyder • 5, and Joseph D. Zydlewski • 6,5

1 Biology Department, State University of New York at Oneonta, NY 13280 USA 2 Biological Field Station, State University of New York at Oneonta, Cooperstown, NY 13326 USA 3 Maine Geological Survey, Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry, Augusta, ME, 04333 USA 4 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Fisheries Science Center, Woods Hole, MA 02543 USA 5 Department of Wildlife, Fisheries, and Conservation Biology, University of Maine, Orono, ME, 04469 USA 6 U.S. Geological Survey Maine Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, Orono, ME, 04469 USA ¶ Corresponding author

DOI: 10.21105/joss.08564

Software

■ Review 🗗

■ Repository 🗗

Archive ♂

Editor: Ethan White &

Reviewers:

@rmk118

• @k-doering-NOAA

Submitted: 09 June 2025 Published: 11 August 2025

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

Summary

Diadromous fishes world-wide experienced precipitous declines during the 19th and 20th centuries due to a combination of overfishing, pollution, and freshwater habitat loss through construction of dams (Limburg & Waldman, 2009). Following wide-spread fishing closures and large-scale remediation of many historical pollution sources, dams in coastal rivers remain as the largest tractable impediment to population recovery for many of these species (Waldman & Quinn, 2022). In some cases, dams reduce access to as much as 95% of freshwater and rearing habitat (Hall et al., 2011). These effects are especially pronounced for species that rely on long-distance migrations to spawning and rearing habitat upstream of barriers such as various alosines (herrings; e.g., American shad *Alosa sapidissima*, alewife *A. pseudoharengus*, and blueback herring *A. aestivalis* (Noonan et al., 2012)) and salmonines (trout and salmon; e.g., *Salmo* spp. (Parrish et al., 1998) and *Oncorhynchus* spp. (Quiñones et al., 2015).

Traditional stock assessment tools such as per-recruit analyses often fail to capture management complexities related to diadromous life histories such as fish passage at dams, and integrated assessment models can be difficult to parameterize for data-poor species such as herrings (Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission, 2024). This has resulted in the development of species- and system-specific approaches to fisheries stock assessment and management strategy evaluation (Barber et al., 2018; Nieland et al., 2015; Roy et al., 2018; Stich et al., 2019). We created the anadrofish package (Stich, Hardesty, et al., 2025) for R (R Core Team, 2025) to provide a generalized approach that also allows broader application to novel species, systems, and scenarios.

Statement of need

anadrofish is an R package that can be used to model anadromous fish populations, including responses to dams and other fishery management considerations (e.g., fishery harvest rates). We created it for use by fisheries scientists and managers interested in development of life-history theory, stock assessment, or decision making. The R package was developed for use in the 2020 Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC) American shad benchmark stock assessment (Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission, 2020) and the 2024 ASMFC river herring benchmark stock assessment (Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission, 2024).



It has also been used recently to answer coast-wide ecological research questions (Zydlewski et al., 2021) and evaluate local or regional fishery management scenarios.

The package includes a variety of helper functions for simulating life-history events and population dynamics as well as built-in data sets from empirical studies and population assessments for the native North American range of multiple species of fish from Florida, USA through maritime Canada. It generalizes similar routines implemented in other species- or population-specific modeling tools (Barber et al., 2018; Stich et al., 2019; Stich, Nieland, et al., 2025), is faster than other empirical life-history models (e.g., Stich & Gilligan-Lunda, 2024), and can be modified to use outputs of common empirical fisheries analyses from other R packages such as fishStan (Erikson et al., 2022) or FSA (Ogle et al., 2025). The package can also be used to simulate new populations in arbitrary watersheds. Default outputs are readily integrated into tidy workflows through packages in the tidyverse (Wickham et al., 2019), including plotting and visualization of results in ggplot2 (Wickham, 2016).

The sim_pop() function provides high-level functionality for end users interested in investigating theoretical questions or applied population management scenarios. This function links various helper functions and built-in datasets to simulate populations through time and space. By default, it includes functionality for 169 American shad, 222 alewife, and 238 blueback herring populations. Additionally, optional arguments to this function such as custom_habitat and the corresponding custom_habitat_template() helper function provide customization options or ability to extend to arbitrarily defined scales or novel systems. This flexibility has the potential to broaden the application of these modeling approaches to novel species and geographies or restoration scenarios for management strategy evaluation. Potential next steps for this project could include addition of generalized homing and straying routines that can be used to customize probability of fish migration to specific portions of empirical or derived watersheds, and creation of methods that allow for multiyear freshwater residence to accommodate a wider range of life histories, including catadromous fishes that grow in freshwater and spawn in the marine environment.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge contributions from M. Bailey, E. Gilligan-Lunda, J. Kipp, and K. Sprankle during initial package development for American shad, and contributions by K. Drew, W. Eakin, and B. Gahagan to package updates for alewife and blueback herring. We thank numerous ASMFC Technical Committee representatives and regional biologists for input during coast-wide stock assessments. This work was funded by the NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service Northeast Fisheries Science Center (award number NMFS-NEFSC-199 MOU), with additional support from the State University of New York Oneonta Biological Field Station, in kind support from the U.S. Geological Survey Maine Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, and the University of Maine. We thank the reviewers for helpful guidance and comments that greatly improved the quality of this paper and the open-source software package. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

References

Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. (2020). *American shad benchmark stock assessment and peer-review report - 2020*. Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. https://asmfc.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/AmShadBenchmarkStockAssessment_PeerReviewReport_2020_web.pdf

Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. (2024). River herring benchmark stock assessment and peer-review report - 2024. Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. https://asmfc.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/RiverHerringAssessment_



PeerReviewReport_2024.pdf

- Barber, B. L., Gibson, A. J., O'Malley, A. J., & Zydlewski, J. (2018). Does what goes up also come down? Using a recruitment model to balance alewife nutrient import and export. *Marine and Coastal Fisheries*, 10(2), 236–254. https://doi.org/10.1002/mcf2.10021
- Erikson, R. A., Stich, D. S., & Hebert, J. L. (2022). fishStan: Hierarchical Bayesian models for fisheries. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 7(71), 3444. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03444
- Hall, C. J., Jordaan, A., & Frisk, M. G. (2011). The historic influence of dams on diadromous fish habitat with a focus on river herring and hydrologic longitudinal connectivity. *Landscape Ecology*, 26(1), 95–107. https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2009.59.11.7
- Limburg, K. E., & Waldman, J. R. (2009). Dramatic declines in North Atlantic diadromous fishes. *BioScience*, 59(11), 955–965. https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2009.59.11.7
- Nieland, J. L., Sheehan, T. F., & Saunders, R. (2015). Assessing demographic effects of dams on diadromous fish: A case study for Atlantic salmon in the Penobscot River, Maine. *International Council for the Exploration of the Sea Journal of Marine Science*, 72(8), 2423–2437. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsv083
- Noonan, M. J., Grant, J. W., & Jackson, C. D. (2012). A quantitative assessment of fish passage efficiency. *Fish and Fisheries*, 13(4), 450–464. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2979.2011.00445.x
- Ogle, D. H., Doll, J. C., Powell, W., & Dinno, A. (2025). FSA: Fisheries stock analysis. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.597719
- Parrish, D. L., Behnke, R. J., Gephard, S. R., McCormick, S. D., & Reeves, G. H. (1998). Why aren't there more Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*)? *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 55(S1), 281–287. https://doi.org/10.1139/d98-012
- Quiñones, R. M., Grantham, T. E., Harvey, B. N., Kiernan, J. D., Klasson, M., Wintzer, A. P., & Moyle, P. B. (2015). Dam removal and anadromous salmonid (*oncorhynchus* spp.) conservation in California. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*, *25*, 195–215. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-014-9359-5
- R Core Team. (2025). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://doi.org/10.32614/r.manuals
- Roy, S. G., Uchida, E., de Souza, S. P., Blachly, B., Fox, E., Gardner, K., Gold, A. J., Jansujwicz, J. S., Klein, McGreavy, B., Mo, W., Smith, S. M. C., Vogler, K., E. Wilson, Zydlewski, J., & D., H. (2018). A multiscale approach to balance trade-offs among dam infrastructure, river restoration, and cost. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(47), 12069–12074. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1807437115
- Stich, D. S., & Gilligan-Lunda, E. (2024). *shadia: Dam passage performance standard models for R.* https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13375033
- Stich, D. S., Hardesty, J. D., Jordan, N. T., Roy, S. G., Sheehan, T. F., Snyder, S. D., & Zydlewski, J. D. (2025). anadrofish: Anadromous fish population responses to habitat changes. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14285894
- Stich, D. S., Nieland, J. L., & Sheehan, T. F. (2025). dia: An R package for the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration dam impact analysis. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 10(105), 7475. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.07475
- Stich, D. S., Sheehan, T. F., & Zydlewski, J. D. (2019). A dam passage performance standard model for American shad. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 76(5), 762–779. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2018-0008
- Waldman, J. R., & Quinn, T. P. (2022). North American diadromous fishes: Drivers of



- decline and potential for recovery in the Anthropocene. *Science Advances*, 8(4), eabl5486. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abl5486
- Wickham, H. (2016). *ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York. https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.ggplot2
- Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., D'Agostino McGowan, L., François, R., Grolemund, G., Hayes, A., Henry, L., Hester, J., Kuhn, M., Lin Pedersen, T., Miller, E., Bache, S. M., Müller, Ooms, J., Robinson, D., Seidel, D. P., Spinu, V., ... Yutani, H. (2019). Welcome to the tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 4(43), 1686. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686
- Zydlewski, J., Stich, D. S., Roy, S., Bailey, M., Sheehan, T., & Sprankle, K. (2021). What have we lost? Modeling dam impacts on American shad populations through their native range. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.734213