

PyPVRPM: Photovoltaic Reliability and Performance Model in Python

Brandon Silva^{*1}, Paul Lunis^{†1}, Marios Theristis^{2¶}, and Hubert Seigneur^{1¶}

1 University of Central Florida 2 Sandia National Laboratories ¶ Corresponding author

DOI: [10.21105/joss.04093](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.04093)

Software

- [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

Editor: [Jeff Gostick](#) ↗

Reviewers:

- [@blthayer](#)
- [@sarthakjariwala](#)

Submitted: 13 January 2022

Published: 15 March 2022

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

The ability to perform accurate techno-economic analysis of solar photovoltaic (PV) systems is essential for bankability and investment purposes. Most energy yield models assume an almost flawless operation (i.e., no failures); however, realistically, components fail and get repaired stochastically. This package, PyPVRPM, is a Python translation and improvement of the Language Kit (LK) based PhotoVoltaic Reliability Performance Model (PVRPM), which was first developed at Sandia National Laboratories in Goldsim software ([Granata et al., 2011](#)) ([Miller et al., 2012](#)). PyPVRPM allows the user to define a PV system at a specific location and incorporate failure, repair, and detection rates and distributions to calculate energy yield and other financial metrics such as the levelized cost of energy and net present value ([Klise, Lavrova, et al., 2017](#)). Our package is a simulation tool that uses NREL's Python interface for System Advisor Model (SAM) ([National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 2020b](#)) ([National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 2020a](#)) to evaluate the performance of a PV plant throughout its lifetime by considering component reliability metrics. Besides the numerous benefits from migrating to Python (e.g., speed, libraries, batch analyses, etc.), it also expands on the failure and repair processes from the LK version by including the ability to vary monitoring strategies. These failures, repairs, and monitoring processes are based on user-defined distributions and values, enabling a more accurate and realistic representation of cost and availability throughout a PV system's lifetime.

Statement of need

As photovoltaic technology becomes cheaper and more widely used, solutions are needed to accurately assess their performance and monitor them to safeguard performance and reduce downtime. Existing PV performance models assume ideal operation without considering any failures or repairs. In contrast, the value of monitoring at different levels (e.g., inverter, combiner, string, or module-level monitoring) is unclear since these depend on the quality of components, financial metrics, and climatic conditions. PVRPM was initially developed in Goldsim ([Granata et al., 2011](#)) ([Miller et al., 2012](#)) and then adapted in LK script ([Klise, Freeman, et al., 2017](#)) to improve the accuracy of energy yield simulations by inclusion of realistic energy losses, including operations and maintenance (O&M) costs ([Freeman et al., 2018](#)). However, these models lack many needed features such as efficient matrix operations, command-line interfaces, and external libraries to perform computations.

Furthermore, the LK-based PVRPM does not include simulation of monitoring configurations (e.g., inverter-level vs. string-level monitoring), which PyPVRPM implements. PyPVRPM takes the original logic from PVRPM, refines it, and adds new features to make it faster, easily

^{*}co-first author

[†]co-first author

configurable, more powerful. This is done using established Python libraries such as NumPy and Pandas. PyPVRPM can also batch simulate in an automated manner on a computer cluster. PyPVRPM can simulate any component of a PV plant from the module level up to the grid while also considering different monitoring scenarios.

Researchers and relevant stakeholders will be able (but not limited) to use this package to a) determine realistic energy yields and revenues, b) examine whether new and improved monitoring solutions provide benefits to PV investments, and c) optimize O&M and end-of-life replacement strategies. Repair and failure rates can also be compared to perform risk assessments depending on how many failures are expected in a given PV system's lifetime. This library enables bankability and optimization studies. As an open-source software in Python, PyPVRPM opens up new opportunities to the PV community to accelerate the development of new capabilities within the PhotoVoltaic Reliability Performance Model.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) under the Solar Energy Technologies Office Award Number DE-EE0008157. Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525. This paper describes objective technical results and analysis. Any subjective views or opinions that might be expressed in the paper do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Department of Energy or the United States Government.

References

- Freeman, J., Klise, G., Walker, A., & Lavrova, O. (2018). Evaluating energy impacts and costs from PV component failures. *2018 IEEE 7th World Conference on Photovoltaic Energy Conversion (WCPEC) (a Joint Conference of 45th IEEE PVSC, 28th PVSEC 34th EU PVSEC)*, 1761–1765. <https://doi.org/10.1109/PVSC.2018.8547454>
- Granata, J., Miller, S., & Stein, J. (2011). *Sandia's Photovoltaic Reliability and Performance Model*. SAND2011-9293C. https://energy.sandia.gov/wp-content/gallery/uploads/PV-Rollout_PVRPM_SAND2011-9293C.pdf
- Klise, G., Freeman, J., Lavrova, O., & Gooding, R. (2017). *PV-RPM V2.0 beta - SAM implementation - DRAFT user instructions*. SAND2017-7294R. <https://doi.org/10.2172/1761998>
- Klise, G., Lavrova, O., & Freeman, J. (2017). *Validation of PV-RPM code in the system advisor model*. SAND2017-3676. <https://doi.org/10.2172/1367461>
- Miller, S., Granata, J., & Stein, J. (2012). *The comparison of three photovoltaic system designs using the photovoltaic reliability and performance model (PVRPM)*. SAND2012-10342. <https://doi.org/10.2172/1088066>
- National Renewable Energy Laboratory. (2020a). *PySAM source code*. GitHub. <https://github.com/nrel/pysam>
- National Renewable Energy Laboratory. (2020b). *SAM source code*. GitHub. <https://github.com/NREL/ssc>