





# JetFuelBurn: A Python package for calculating fuel burn of commercial aircraft

Michael P. Weinold <sup>1,2</sup> and Russell McKenna <sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratory for Energy Systems Analysis, PSI Centers for Nuclear Engineering & Sciences and Energy & Environmental Sciences, Villigen, Switzerland <sup>2</sup> Chair of Energy Systems Analysis, Institute of Energy and Process Engineering, Department of Mechanical and Process Engineering, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

DOI: [10.21105/joss.09280](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.09280)

## Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Kyle Niemeyer](#) 

## Reviewers:

- [@xoolive](#)
- [@aliaksei135](#)

Submitted: 30 April 2025

Published: 10 January 2026

## License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

## Summary

jetfuelburn is a Python package that implements different methods for calculating the fuel burn of commercial passenger aircraft. It is designed to be used in the context of environmental impact assessment of air travel, aircraft performance analysis and optimisation. It supports calculations in physical units, allowing for quick conversion between imperial and metric units and dimensionality checks of function inputs. It is lightweight (<40kB packaged) and has only a single dependency (pint), therefore allowing for easy integration into WebAssembly kernels for interactive use in the browser. The package is open-source and distributed under a permissive MIT license. Interactive documentation is available, which allows users to compute fuel burn directly in the browser without the need to install the package locally.


## Statement of Need

The environmental assessment of air travel has received increasing attention in the context of efforts to decarbonize transportation. In this context, life-cycle assessment has emerged as the primary method used to evaluate the magnitude of environmental burdens ([Keiser et al., 2023](#)). In air travel specifically, it has been shown that “*The most important life sequence is the use sequence, which makes up over 99% of emission for every aircraft.*” ([Jakovljević et al., 2018, p. 865](#)). Robust methods for computing two key parameters are therefore central to any reliable evaluation of the environmental impact of air travel: The fuel burn of the aircraft itself and the environmental burdens associated with fuel production.


Aerospace engineering researchers have proposed numerous methods for estimating commercial aircraft fuel burn, yet few are implemented in accessible, lightweight Python packages. Sun’s 2022 OpenAP package ([Sun, 2022](#)) is a standout, offering an innovative and user-friendly solution for fuel burn modeling. However, there is still no tool for comparative analysis of different models. The jetfuelburn package fills this gap as the first comprehensive Python package for comparing different aircraft fuel burn models from peer-reviewed publications. Tailored for environmental impact assessments, aircraft performance analysis, and optimization, it enhances existing calculators.

## Example Use Case

The `jetfuelburn` package can be used to compare different fuel burn models for specific flight routes and aircraft types. [Figure 1](#) shows a comparison of fuel burn estimates for five different route/aircraft-combinations, using several models implemented in the `jetfuelburn` package. The results show that while all models generally agree on the trend of increasing fuel burn with distance, there are significant differences in the absolute values predicted by each model. These differences become larger as the flight distance increases. This highlights the importance of selecting an appropriate model for specific applications, as the choice of model can have a significant impact on the results of environmental assessments.



**Figure 1:** Comparison of per-passenger economy-class carbon emissions derived from fuel burn estimates for different routes/aircraft-combinations. A conversion factor of 2.63 kg CO<sub>2</sub> per liter of Jet A/A-1 fuel was used. Colors indicate model type: orange - statistical, red: machine-learning, blue: reduced-order, green: closed-form. For a geographical representation of the routes, compare [Figure 2](#). Adapted from (Weinold et al., 2025, fig. 4)



**Figure 2:** Overview of the routes shown in [Figure 1](#). Note that in cases where a flight along the great-circle between origin and destination is not possible due to e.g., geopolitical reasons, a multiplier can be applied.

## Fuel Calculation Model Categories

The `jetfuelburn` package includes different methods for calculating fuel burn of commercial aircraft. These methods can be broadly categorized into four groups:

### Payload/Range Diagrams

As an initial estimate, the fuel burn of aircraft can be “read off” payload/range diagrams directly ([Burzlaff, 2017](#)). The `jetfuelburn` package includes a dedicated method for this purpose.

### Range Equation

If some basic aircraft performance parameters are known, the Breguet range equation is a good model for estimating fuel consumption in cruise ([Young, 2017, sec. 13.7.3](#)). The `jetfuelburn` package includes a dedicated method this purpose.

### Reduced Order Models

If access to proprietary aircraft performance simulation software is available, fuel burn for specific aircraft missions can be simulated with high resolution. However, these simulations can be computationally expensive. Reduced order models instead use regression to extract a simplified model from a large set of high resolution simulation results. While the simulations may include many aircraft and mission parameters, reduced order models only require a few key parameter, such as payload and range. The initial version of `jetfuelburn` implements methods from Young ([2017](#)), Dray et al. ([2019](#)), Seymour et al. ([2020](#)), Yanto & Liem ([2017](#)) and Lee & Chatterji ([2010](#)).

### Statistical Models

If only a statistical average of fuel burn per passenger-kilometer or ton-kilometer is required, data from the US Department of Transportation (DOT) can be used (“Form 41, Schedule T-100, Table T2”). The `jetfuelburn` package includes a method for this purpose.

## Auxiliary Functions

The `jetfuelburn` package includes helper functions for basic problems in atmospheric physics, such as computation of airspeed from mach number based on ambient pressure. In addition, the package includes a module for the allocation of fuel burn to different cabin classes (economy, business, etc.) according to the current approach of both [IATA](#) and [ICAO](#).

## Interactive Documentation

The package documentation allows users to compute fuel burn directly in the browser, without the need to install the package locally. This is achieved through the use of a [Pyodide](#) Web Assembly Python kernel. The interactive documentation is available at [jetfuelburn.readthedocs.io](https://jetfuelburn.readthedocs.io).

## Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Swiss Innovation Agency Innosuisse in the context of the WISER flagship project (PFFS-21-72). In addition, Michael P. Weinold gratefully acknowledges the support of the Swiss Study Foundation.

## References

- Burzlaff, M. (2017). *Aircraft fuel consumption - estimation and visualization* [University Student Project]. HAW Hamburg. <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/2HMEHB>
- Dray, L. M., Krammer, P., Doyme, K., Wang, B., Al Zayat, K., O'Sullivan, A., & Schäfer, A. W. (2019). AIM2015: Validation and initial results from an open-source aviation systems model. *Transport Policy*, 79, 93–102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2019.04.013>
- Jakovljević, I., Mijailović, R., & Mirosavljević, P. (2018). Carbon dioxide emission during the life cycle of turbofan aircraft. *Energy*, 148, 866–875. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.02.022>
- Keiser, D., Schnoor, L. H., Pupkes, B., & Freitag, M. (2023). Life cycle assessment in aviation: A systematic literature review of applications, methodological approaches and challenges. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, 110, 102418. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2023.102418>
- Lee, H.-T., & Chatterji, G. (2010). Closed-form takeoff weight estimation model for air transportation simulation. *10th AIAA Aviation Technology, Integration, and Operations (ATIO) Conference*, 9156. <https://doi.org/10.2514/6.2010-9156>
- Seymour, K., Held, M., Georges, G., & Boulouchos, K. (2020). Fuel estimation in air transportation: Modeling global fuel consumption for commercial aviation. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 88, 102528. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2020.102528>
- Sun, J. (2022). Openap. Top: Open flight trajectory optimization for air transport and sustainability research. *Aerospace*, 9(7), 383. <https://doi.org/10.3390/aerospace9070383>
- Weinold, M. P., Marty, N., Wild, P., & McKenna, R. (2025). Estimating fuel consumption of commercial aircraft. *CSFM Symposium 2025*. <https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000749349>
- Yanto, J., & Liem, R. P. (2017). Efficient fast approximation for aircraft fuel consumption for decision-making and policy analysis. *AIAA Modeling and Simulation Technologies Conference*, 3338. <https://doi.org/10.2514/6.2017-3338>
- Young, T. M. (2017). *Performance of the jet transport airplane: Analysis methods, flight operations, and regulations*. John Wiley & Sons. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118534786>