

OPEM: Open Source PEM Cell Simulation Tool

Sepand Haghighi¹, Kasra Askari², Sarmin Hamidi³, and Mohammad Mahdi Rahimi⁴

1 Sharif University of Technology 2 Isfahan University of Technology 3 University of Tehran 4 Amirkabir University of Technology

DOI: 10.21105/joss.00672

Software

■ Review 🗗

■ Repository 🗗

■ Archive ☑

Submitted: 11 April 2018 Published: 11 April 2018

Licence

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY).

Summary

The sun and wind as renewable energy sources are attracting more regard as alternative energy sources. In addition to the decreasing fuel sources, also pollution and global warming are important problems. Fuel cells are a beneficial energy technology that generates electric energy through the reaction between hydrogen-rich fuel source and oxygen. The advantage of these devices owing to high efficiency relative to combustion engines, low emissions and producing only heat and water as waste products. Proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs) have attracted much interest recently. PEM fuel cells are a high-efficiency power source without pollution for urban vehicles, corroborated by recent legislative initiatives, That's why these devices have become a great deal of attention to the option of fuel-cell-powered vehicles. Previously, some research on modeling and simulation of PEMFC has been performed. The fundamental structure of a PEMFC can be described as two electrodes (anode and cathode) separated by a solid polymer membrane that acting as an electrolyte. Best fuel for fuel cell powered vehicle is hydrogen, this gas causing the highest conversion efficiency for fuel and generating zero tail-pipe emission because the water is an only product of the reaction between hydrogen and air. By flowing Hydrogen fuel through a network of channels to the anode, hydrogen separates into protons that, flow via the membrane to the cathode. An external circuit that linked to the two electrodes containing the collection of electrons as electrical current. Through a similar network of channels the oxygen that comes from the air, named oxidant, flows to the cathode and then, the electrons coming from the external electrical circuit will be received by oxygen and finally, water and heat will be produced from the protons that flowing via the electrolyte membrane. (Feroldi and Basualdo 2011; Gottesfeld 1999)

With a valid mathematical model, PEMFC system performance can be better understood. Moreover, the time and cost are reduced in the analysis and design of FC systems. Nowadays, programming in other sciences has a very special place because the importance of computers cannot be ignored as a very effective application in technical and research affairs. The OPEM (software named based on open proton exchange membrane) written in Python, Python is a language that is very powerful for developers, but is also accessible to scientists. Simulation models in PEMFC include dynamic and static models. Static models focus more on electrochemical techniques and can predict the performance of PEMFC. Simulated parametric models combined with the empirical approach that describes the important concepts such PEMFC polarization losses, efficiency, and Nernst voltage. In addition, dynamic models improve static models and complete the simulation process. In dynamic models, fluid science and material merge with electrochemical principles and dynamic concepts, by considering the rules of absorption and penetration of gases based on their pressure and density, make the simulation of fuel cell more accurate and high quality. Also, sometimes several terms should be made for calculations regarding fuel cell efficiency-power-size. For applied operating range of most fuel cells, a linear estimation






Figure 1: Block diagram of software

is practically a very good fit. Simple linear regression used for this approximation and useful overall parameters such P(Thermal) and P(Elec) calculated by Simpson's Rule. The method for using in this software is the specified inputs are presented according to the equations of each model by the user and outputs is in the three format CSV, HTML, and OPEM. The block diagram of software shown in figure 1.

Supported models include two general categories of static models and dynamic models. Static models are briefly outlined here: ### Amphlett analysis that main concepts include Nernst voltage, PEMFC losses (activation polarization loss, ohmic polarization loss, and concentration polarization loss), power and efficiency of the fuel cell.(Amphlett 1995; Correa et al. 2005; Saadi et al. 2013)

Larminie analysis

that was developed to proportionate the experimental cell potential (E) vs. current density (J) data for proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs), at various pressures, temperatures, and oxygen compositions in the cathode gas mixture. (Sadli et al. 2006; Saadi et al. 2013)

Chamberline-Kim analysis

that is represented by means of its voltage-current characteristic obtained in static operating mode. In fact, Larminie-Dicks static model presents the fuel cell voltage as a function of the current magnitude. (Kim 1995; Saadi et al. 2013)

And also dynamic models includes: ### Padulles analysis I,II

that the electrodes channel temperatures/pressures, transient response of cell voltage, gases outflow rates and the temperature of the cell under the sudden change in load current can be predicted. In model I, Nernst and fuel cell potential were modeled as a function of oxygen and hydrogen gases partial pressure that can be calculated from independent variables or constants and in model II, Nernst and fuel cell potential were modeled as a function of water, oxygen and hydrogen gases partial pressure that can be calculated from independent variables or constants.(Padullés, Ault, and McDonald 2000)

Padulles-Hauer analysis

that is a model includes a methanol reformer to generate hydrogen from methanol and the PEM stack and this is an advantage for dynamic simulation of padulles analysis. (???; Padullés, Ault, and McDonald 2000)



Padulles-Amphlett analysis

that is an integration of Padulles-Hauer dynamic model with Amphlett static model. The superiority of this model is using Amphlett equation for simulating the polarization values. (Padullés, Ault, and McDonald 2000; ???; Amphlett 1995)

Website: opem.ecsim.ir

References

Amphlett, J. C. 1995. "Performance Modeling of the Ballard Mark IV Solid Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cell." *Journal of the Electrochemical Society* 142 (1). The Electrochemical Society:9. https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2043959.

Correa, J.M., F.A. Farret, V.A. Popov, and M.G. Simoes. 2005. "Sensitivity Analysis of the Modeling Parameters Used in Simulation of Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells." *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion* 20 (1). Institute of Electrical; Electronics Engineers (IEEE):211–18. https://doi.org/10.1109/tec.2004.842382.

Feroldi, Diego, and Marta Basualdo. 2011. "Description of PEM Fuel Cells System." In PEM Fuel Cells with Bio-Ethanol Processor Systems, 49–72. Springer London. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-84996-184-4 2.

Gottesfeld, Shimshon. 1999. "The Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cell: Materials Issues in a Hydrogen Fueled Power Source." Argonne National Laboratories.

Kim, Junbom. 1995. "Modeling of Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell Performance with an Empirical Equation." *Journal of the Electrochemical Society* 142 (8). The Electrochemical Society:2670. https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2050072.

Padullés, J, G.W Ault, and J.R McDonald. 2000. "An Integrated SOFC Plant Dynamic Model for Power Systems Simulation." *Journal of Power Sources* 86 (1-2). Elsevier BV:495–500. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-7753(99)00430-9.

Saadi, A., M. Becherif, A. Aboubou, and M.Y. Ayad. 2013. "Comparison of Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell Static Models." *Renewable Energy* 56 (August). Elsevier BV:64–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2012.10.012.

Sadli, I., P. Thounthong, J.-P. Martin, S. Raël, and B. Davat. 2006. "Behaviour of a PEMFC Supplying a Low Voltage Static Converter." *Journal of Power Sources* 156 (1). Elsevier BV:119–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2005.08.021.

3