




# IPART: A Python Package for Image-Processing based Atmospheric River Tracking

Guangzhi XU<sup>1</sup>, Xiaohui MA<sup>1, 3</sup>, and Ping CHANG<sup>2, 3</sup>

**1** Key Laboratory of Physical Oceanography, Institute for Advanced Ocean Studies, Ocean University of China and Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology, Qingdao, China **2** Department of Oceanography and Department of Atmospheric Sciences, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA **3** The International Laboratory for High-Resolution Earth System Prediction, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

DOI: [10.21105/joss.02407](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.02407)

## Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Katy Barnhart](#) 

## Reviewers:

- [@sadielbartholomew](#)
- [@raternat](#)

Submitted: 20 May 2020

Published: 02 November 2020

## License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

## Background

An atmospheric river (AR) in the field of meteorology/climatology refers to enhanced water vapor content in the lower troposphere. The term was coined as an analogy to terrestrial rivers in a sense that when viewed from satellite imagery or large scale atmospheric observation, they appear as narrow and elongated vapor filaments, representing transient intensified horizontal moisture fluxes (e.g., Gimeno et al., [2014](#); Dettinger, [2011](#)). A typical atmospheric river can carry 7-15 times the water in the Mississippi River (Ralph et al., [2011](#)), and at any time in winter, there are four to five such systems in the Northern Hemisphere alone (Zhu & Newell, [1998](#)), accounting for 80-90% of the total north-south integrated vapor transport (Guan & Waliser, [2015](#); Zhu & Newell, [1998](#)). Its dual hydrological role, both as a fresh water source for some water-stressed areas (Dettinger, [2011](#), [2013](#); Rutz & Steenburgh, [2012](#)) and as a potential trigger for floods (Lavers et al., [2012](#); Lavers & Villarini, [2013](#); Moore et al., [2012](#); Neiman et al., [2008](#)), has granted it increasing attention among the research community. Their long-term change in a warming climate also stands as a pressing research question. However, an important prerequisite to answer such questions is a robust and consistent detection method. As meteorologists and climatologists often deal with observational or simulation data in large sizes, an algorithmic method can ensure better efficiency, consistency and objectivity compared with human identification.

In many existing applications, a magnitude thresholding approach is used. For instance, Ralph et al. ([2004](#)), Neiman et al. ([2008](#)), Hagos et al. ([2015](#)) and Dettinger ([2011](#)) identified ARs by first locating regions where the Integrated Water Vapor (IWV) is greater than 20 mm. A 250 kg/(m · s) threshold on the Integrated Vapor Transport (IVT) was used by Rutz et al. ([2014](#)) and Rutz et al. ([2015](#)). However, an implicit assumption with this magnitude thresholding approach is that the atmospheric moisture level stays unchanged throughout the analysis period. Such an assumption may not be fully justifiable under a warming climate as the atmospheric moisture level is expected to increase.

## Summary

In this package we propose a suite of new detection/tracking algorithms to help solve the above difficulties. Through a systematic analysis using seven years of reanalysis data (Dee et al., [2011](#)), we have found that the proposed detection algorithm has reduced sensitivity to parameters (Xu et al., [2020b](#)). Long-lived ARs spanning multiple days, having cross-continent or cross-basin tracks, can be more reliably traced through their tropical/sub-tropical origins to

high-latitude landfalls. As the research on ARs matures, new AR detection/tracking methods are being developed, and the inter-comparisons of various AR detection/tracking methods are carried out by, for instance, the Atmospheric River Tracking Method Intercomparison Project (ARTMIP; Rutz et al., 2019; Shields et al., 2018). Using the terminology of ARTMIP (Shields et al., 2018), the proposed method is a “tracking” (Lagrangian approach) type, with length and shape geometrical requirements. It imposes no threshold on IVT/IWV, but instead imposes absolute thresholds on the spatio-temporal scale of AR-like systems. The detected ARs can be optionally time-stitched to identify coherent AR objects. We have performed some systematic comparisons with two magnitude thresholding based AR detection methods included in ARTMIP, and the proposed method displays better correspondence between North Hemisphere AR tracks and storm tracks, better identification of the strong mid-latitude AR-related moisture transports, and longer AR track durations. The detailed comparison analysis is given in Xu et al. (2020a), and a more thorough description of the detection/tracking methods is given in Xu et al. (2020b).


IPART is therefore intended for researchers and students who are interested in the field of atmospheric river studies in the present day climate or future projections.

The IPART package includes a collection of Python functions and classes designed for an analysis workflow covering the detection of ARs, the simplification of the AR’s geographical location, to the subsequent tracking through time. The algorithms are implemented using the Python programming language as a wrapper to some well-established numeric packages including `numpy`, `scikit-image` and `networkx` etc. The input and output data use the NetCDF format, an industry standard in the geoscience field. Optional graphical outputs can also be saved, making it suitable for production usage and educational purposes as well. A series of [Jupyter notebooks](#) are also included to help guide the users through the entire workflow, and some example scripts are provided as templates to help the user quickly build their own production scripts.

## Example use case

The AR detection algorithm is inspired and modified from the image processing algorithm *Top-hat by Reconstruction (THR)*, which consists of subtracting from the original image a *greyscale reconstruction by dilation* image (Vincent, 1993).


In the context of AR detection, the greyscale image in question is the non-negative IVT distribution, denoted as  $I$ . The greyscale reconstruction by dilation component corresponds to the background IVT component, denoted as  $\delta(I)$ . The difference  $I - \delta(I)$  gives the transient IVT component, from which AR candidates are searched. [Figure 1](#) shows this decomposition process. It could be seen that after this separation of background/transient components, it becomes trivial to locate AR-like features.



**Figure 1:** (a) The IVT field in  $\text{kg}/(\text{m} \cdot \text{s})$  at 1984-01-26 00:00 UTC over the North Hemisphere. (b) the IVT reconstruction field ( $\delta(I)$ ) at the same time point. (c) the IVT anomaly field ( $I - \delta(I)$ ) from the THR process at the same time point.

After locating ARs at various time steps, a single curve is sought for each AR as a summary of its location. A directed planar graph model is used in this process, and weighted Dijkstra path searching algorithm is used to find this “AR axis”. Further details can be found in the [documentation page](#).

Lastly, a [modified Hausdorff distance definition](#) is used as an inter-AR distance estimate, and an exclusive nearest neighbor approach is used to link ARs at consecutive time points. [Figure 2](#) shows an example of this tracking process.



**Figure 2:** Locations of a track labelled “198424” found in year 1984. A color scheme of black to yellow through purple indicates the evolution, where black curves represent the AR at earlier times and yellow curves at later times.

## External libraries used

Manipulation of the NetCDF data is achieved using the Python interface of the NetCDF software (Unidata, 2020), numpy (Harris et al., 2020) and scipy (Virtanen et al., 2020) packages. The detection process utilizes the image-processing package scikit-image (Walt et al., 2014). The AR axis finding process utilizes the networkx package (Hagberg et al., 2008). Generated outputs are further manipulated with pandas (McKinney, 2010) and displayed using matplotlib (Hunter, 2007).

## Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China with grant NO. 2017YFC1404000. This work is also supported by the National Science Foundation of China (NSFC) with grant No. 41490644 (41490640). This is a collaborative project between the Ocean University of China (OUC), Texas A&M University (TAMU) and the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) and completed through the International Laboratory for High Resolution Earth System Prediction (iHESP) - a collaboration by the Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology Development Center, Texas A&M University, and NCAR. We also received support from the NSFC grant #41776013, and National Key Research and Development Program of China grant 2017YFC1404100 and 2017YFC1404101.

## References

- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., Berg, L. van de, Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., ... Vitart, F. (2011). The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the

- data assimilation system. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 137(656), 553–597. <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.828>
- Dettinger, M. (2011). Climate Change, Atmospheric Rivers, and Floods in California – A Multimodel Analysis of Storm Frequency and Magnitude Changes. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 47(3), 514–523. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2011.00546.x>
- Dettinger, M. D. (2013). Atmospheric Rivers as Drought Busters on the U.S. West Coast. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 14(6), 1721–1732. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-13-02.1>
- Gimeno, L., Nieto, R., Vázquez, M., & Lavers, D. A. (2014). Atmospheric rivers: A mini-review. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 2(March), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2014.00002>
- Guan, B., & Waliser, D. E. (2015). Detection of atmospheric rivers: Evaluation and application of an algorithm for global studies. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 120(24), 12514–12535. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024257>
- Hagberg, A. A., Schult, D. A., & Swart, P. J. (2008). Exploring network structure, dynamics, and function using networkx. In G. Varoquaux, T. Vaught, & J. Millman (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 7th Python in Science Conference* (pp. 11–15).
- Hagos, S., Leung, L. R., Yang, Q., Zhao, C., & Lu, J. (2015). Resolution and Dynamical Core Dependence of Atmospheric River Frequency in Global Model Simulations. *Journal of Climate*, 28, 2764–2776. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00567.1>
- Harris, C. R., Millman, K. J., Walt, S. J. van der, Gommers, R., Virtanen, P., Cournapeau, D., Wieser, E., Taylor, J., Berg, S., Smith, N. J., Kern, R., Picus, M., Hoyer, S., Kerkwijk, M. H. van, Brett, M., Haldane, A., R'io, J. F. del, Wiebe, M., Peterson, P., ... Oliphant, T. E. (2020). Array programming with NumPy. *Nature*, 585(7825), 357–362. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2>
- Hunter, J. D. (2007). Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. *Computing in Science & Engineering*, 9(3), 90–95. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.55>
- Lavers, D. A., & Villarini, G. (2013). Atmospheric Rivers and Flooding over the Central United States. *Journal of Climate*, 26(20), 7829–7836. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00212.1>
- Lavers, D. A., Villarini, G., Allan, R. P., Wood, E. F., & Wade, A. J. (2012). The detection of atmospheric rivers in atmospheric reanalyses and their links to British winter floods and the large-scale climatic circulation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 117(D20). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JD018027>
- McKinney. (2010). Data Structures for Statistical Computing in Python. In Stéfan van der Walt & Jarrod Millman (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 9th Python in Science Conference* (pp. 56–61). <https://doi.org/10.25080/Majors-92bf1922-00a%20>
- Moore, B. J., Neiman, P. J., Ralph, F. M., & Barthold, F. E. (2012). Physical Processes Associated with Heavy Flooding Rainfall in Nashville, Tennessee, and Vicinity during 1–2 May 2010: The Role of an Atmospheric River and Mesoscale Convective Systems. *Monthly Weather Review*, 140(2), 358–378. <https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-11-00126.1>
- Neiman, P. J., Ralph, F. M., Wick, G. A., Lundquist, J. D., & Dettinger, M. D. (2008). Meteorological Characteristics and Overland Precipitation Impacts of Atmospheric Rivers Affecting the West Coast of North America Based on Eight Years of SSM/I Satellite Observations. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 9(1), 22–47. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JHM855.1>



- Ralph, F. M., Neiman, P. J., Kiladis, G. N., Weickmann, K., & Reynolds, D. W. (2011). A Multiscale Observational Case Study of a Pacific Atmospheric River Exhibiting Tropical–Extratropical Connections and a Mesoscale Frontal Wave. *Monthly Weather Review*, 139(4), 1169–1189. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2010MWR3596.1>
- Ralph, F. M., Neiman, P. J., & Wick, G. A. (2004). Satellite and CALJET Aircraft Observations of Atmospheric Rivers over the Eastern North Pacific Ocean during the Winter of 1997/98. *Monthly Weather Review*, 132(7), 1721–1745. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(2004\)132%3C1721:SACAOO%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2004)132%3C1721:SACAOO%3E2.0.CO;2)
- Rutz, J. J., Shields, C. A., Lora, J. M., Payne, A. E., Guan, B., Ullrich, P., O'Brien, T., Leung, L. R., Ralph, F. M., Wehner, M., Brands, S., Collow, A., Goldenson, N., Gorodetskaya, I., Griffith, H., Kashinath, K., Kawzenuk, B., Krishnan, H., Kurlin, V., ... Viale, M. (2019). The Atmospheric River Tracking Method Intercomparison Project (ARTMIP): Quantifying Uncertainties in Atmospheric River Climatology. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 124, 13777–13802. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030936>
- Rutz, J. J., & Steenburgh, W. J. (2012). Quantifying the role of atmospheric rivers in the interior western United States. *Atmospheric Science Letters*, 13(4), 257–261. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asl.392>
- Rutz, J. J., Steenburgh, W. J., & Ralph, F. M. (2014). Climatological Characteristics of Atmospheric Rivers and Their Inland Penetration over the Western United States. *Monthly Weather Review*, 142, 905–921. <https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-13-00168.1>
- Rutz, J. J., Steenburgh, W. J., & Ralph, F. M. (2015). The Inland Penetration of Atmospheric Rivers over Western North America: A Lagrangian Analysis. *Monthly Weather Review*, 143(5), 1924–1944. <https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-14-00288.1>
- Shields, C. A., Rutz, J. J., Leung, L.-Y., Ralph, F. M., Wehner, M., Kawzenuk, B., Lora, J. M., McClenny, E., Osborne, T., Payne, A. E., Ullrich, P., Gershunov, A., Goldenson, N., Guan, B., Qian, Y., Ramos, A. M., Sarangi, C., Sellars, S., Gorodetskaya, I., ... Nguyen, P. (2018). Atmospheric River Tracking Method Intercomparison Project (ARTMIP): project goals and experimental design. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 11(6), 2455–2474. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-2455-2018>
- Unidata. (2020). *Network common data form (netCDF) version 4.7.4* (Version v4.7.4) [Computer software]. NCAR/Unidata Program Center. <https://doi.org/10.5065/D6H70CW6>
- Vincent, L. (1993). Morphological grayscale reconstruction in image analysis: Applications and efficient algorithms. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 2(2), 176–201. <https://doi.org/10.1109/83.217222>
- Virtanen, P., Gommers, R., Oliphant, T. E., Haberland, M., Reddy, T., Cournapeau, D., Burovski, E., Peterson, P., Weckesser, W., Bright, J., van der Walt, S. J., Brett, M., Wilson, J., Jarrod Millman, K., Mayorov, N., Nelson, A. R. J., Jones, E., Kern, R., Larson, E., ... Contributors, S. 1. 0. (2020). SciPy 1.0: Fundamental Algorithms for Scientific Computing in Python. *Nature Methods*, 17, 261–272. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0686-2>
- Walt, S. van der, Schönberger, J. L., Nunez-Iglesias, J., Boulogne, F., Warner, J. D., Yager, N., Gouillart, E., Yu, T., & contributors. (2014). Scikit-image: Image processing in Python. *PeerJ*, 2, e453. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.453>
- Xu, G., Ma, X., Chang, P., & Wang, L. (2020a). A comparison of northern hemisphere atmospheric rivers detected by a new image-processing based method and magnitude-thresholding based methods. *Atmosphere*, 11(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11060628>
- Xu, G., Ma, X., Chang, P., & Wang, L. (2020b). Image-processing-based atmospheric river tracking method version 1 (IPART-1). *Geoscientific Model Development*, 13(10), 4639–4662. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-4639-2020>

Zhu, Y., & Newell, R. E. (1998). A Proposed Algorithm for Moisture Fluxes from Atmospheric Rivers. *Monthly Weather Review*, 126(3), 725–735. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1998\)126%3C0725:APAFMF%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1998)126%3C0725:APAFMF%3E2.0.CO;2)