

¹ drugfindR: Transcriptomic signature analysis and drug repurposing using iLINCS in R

³ **Ali Sajid Imami**  ^{1*}¶, **Smita Sahay**  ^{1*}, **Justin Fortune Creeden**  ³, and
⁴ **Robert Erne McCullumsmith**  ^{1,2}

⁵ 1 Department of Neurosciences and Psychiatry, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH, USA  2 Promedica
⁶ Neurosciences Institute, Toledo, OH, USA  3 Department of Psychiatry, University of California San
⁷ Diego, La Jolla, Diego, CA, USA  ¶ Corresponding author * These authors contributed equally.

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: 

Submitted: 02 December 2025

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#))

⁸ Summary

⁹ drugfindR is an R package that facilitates mining and analyzing an extensive collection of transcriptomic datasets, precomputed signatures, and their connections, available through the integrated web-based platform iLINCS ([Pilarczyk et al., 2022](#)). drugfindR enables users to compare differential gene expression (DGE), or transcriptomic, signatures of interest against standardized chemical perturbation, gene knockdown, or gene overexpression microarray data contained within the Library of Integrated Network-Based Cellular Signatures (LINCS) database ([Keenan et al., 2018](#)). The package supports precomputed and user-defined signatures, streamlining hypothesis generation in functional genomics and pharmacological research. The workflows implemented in drugfindR are based on methods developed and validated in our prior publications O'Donovan et al. ([2021](#)), which demonstrate the effectiveness of transcriptomic signature analysis for drug repurposing and functional genomics research. In summary, the output data generated by drugfindR allows researchers to understand how the overexpression or knockdown of a gene may affect the expression of genes within the same cellular system, identify downstream molecular consequences of gene perturbation within a system, and investigate drugs that may be repurposed for other physiological reasons.

²⁴ Statement of Need

²⁵ Traditional drug discovery is a resource-intensive process that often requires over a decade of research and billions of dollars to develop a single novel compound, followed by rigorous preclinical studies and multiple phases of clinical trials ([Sertkaya et al., 2024](#)). Despite these investments, many drugs fail during development due to unforeseen toxicity, lack of efficacy, or poor pharmacokinetics ([Sun et al., 2022](#)). In contrast, drug repurposing—the strategy of identifying new therapeutic uses for existing United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved drugs—offers a more time- and cost-efficient alternative. By leveraging prior safety and pharmacology data, repurposing can accelerate the path to clinical use while reducing the risk of failure ([Pinzi et al., 2024](#)). This approach has proven successful in several cases, such as using Allopurinol, originally developed for kidney stones, to treat gout. Gemcitabine, initially an antiviral compound, is now widely used in cancer treatment ([Park, 2019](#)).

³⁶ The National Institute of Health (NIH) LINCS project ([Keenan et al., 2018](#)) offers a large-scale resource of transcriptomic profiles in response to various chemical and biological perturbations; however, leveraging LINCS programmatically remains difficult due to limitations in existing tools. The integrated web-based platform, iLINCS ([Pilarczyk et al., 2022](#)), provides access to the LINCS data but lacks support for batch analyzes and scriptable workflows, limiting reproducibility and throughput ([Pilarczyk et al., 2022](#)). For example, if an end-user of iLINCS

⁴² were to investigate all the signatures associated with the gene knockdown signature of the
⁴³ gene DISC1, they would gain access to all the signatures. Still, they would need to process
⁴⁴ each signature individually to perform any meaningful statistical analyses.

⁴⁵ drugfindR fills this gap by offering an R-based, user-friendly interface that supports parallel
⁴⁶ processing, filtering, and analysis of transcriptomic signatures. drugfindR enables researchers to
⁴⁷ systematically mine concordant or discordant gene expression profiles across drug, knockdown,
⁴⁸ and overexpression conditions—unlocking new and efficient opportunities for downstream
⁴⁹ analysis pipelines, drug repurposing, systems-level discovery, and hypothesis generation.

⁵⁰ Package Design

⁵¹ drugfindR is built on top of R's S4 object-oriented system. It has five core, modular functions
⁵² that encapsulate key stages of signature processing and matching, and two higher-level wrapper
⁵³ functions that allow streamlined analysis using sensible defaults. These functions are outlined
⁵⁴ and described in Table 1.

Function	Type of Function	Description
getSignature()	Core Modular function	Retrieves L1000 gene expression signatures based on entered iLINCS ID.
prepareSignature()	Core Modular function	Processes user-supplied DGE / transcriptomic signature and returns the corresponding L1000 signature.
filterSignature()	Core Modular function	Filters a given L1000 signature based on user-defined parameter thresholds.
getConcordants()	Core Modular function	Retrieves the concordant LINCS signatures via the iLINCS application programming interface (API) for a given L1000 signature.
consensusConcordants()	Core Modular function	Takes concordant data results to return a ranked list of top candidate (gene or drug) matches to the input signature.
investigateTarget()	Higher-level wrapper function	Uses all signatures for a given drug or gene in the LINCS database and identifies concordant LINCS signatures.
investigateSignature()	Higher-level wrapper function	Processes user-submitted DGE data and queries LINCS to identify relevant matches (i.e., concordant signatures).

55 Typical Workflow

56 A typical analysis begins with a user-defined or LINCS-supplied transcriptomic signature
57 using the `getSignature()` function. After formatting and processing the signature with the
58 `prepareSignature()` function to ensure that the data shape and column names are correct, the
59 data is filtered either by a log₂-fold change threshold or a percentile threshold (e.g., the top
60 and bottom 5% of the signature genes), based on user preference of these parameters, using
61 the `filterSignature()` function. This curated signature is then passed to the iLINCS API using
62 the `getConcordants()` function along with the relevant metadata to query the LINCS database
63 and calculate the concordance values of the curated signature with the relevant ones available
64 in the database. Finally, the `consensusConcordants()` function aggregates results based on the
65 concordance values to generate a ranked list of the highest likelihood candidate targets (i.e.,
66 genes or drugs) that exhibit similar or opposing profiles relative to the input signature.

67 When querying gene perturbation signatures (e.g., knockdown or overexpression), concordant
68 gene signatures reveal functionally similar genes or shared pathway components. In contrast,
69 discordant gene perturbations may point to compensatory mechanisms or potential therapeutic
70 antagonists. When the output consists of chemical perturbagens (drugs), discordant signatures
71 are of particular interest, as they may reverse disease-associated gene expression patterns,
72 suggesting therapeutic potential and candidates for drug repurposing. Conversely, concordant
73 drug signatures may mimic the input condition and can serve as negative controls or drugs to
74 avoid in a disease context.

75 Future Directions

76 Planned enhancements for drugfindR include support for additional omics data types (e.g.,
77 proteomics), improved integration with enrichment analysis tools, and expanded compatibility
78 with signature databases beyond LINCS as they continue to be developed. User feedback will
79 guide iterative development, with a focus on scalability, reproducibility, and accessibility for
80 non-programmers through future Shiny-based graphic user interface (GUI) support.

81 Acknowledgements

82 We want to acknowledge the support of Dr. Sinead O'Donovan, who was present at the
83 conception of this project and has been a champion for drugfindR throughout all stages of its
84 development. ASI would like to acknowledge his family, Saadia Farooq, Romana Imami, and
85 Samara Imami, for their support in the development of this software and for their patience
86 through every debugging session.

87 Availability

88 drugfindR is an open-source R package with the source code available on GitHub under the
89 GNU General Public License v3 (GNU GPLv3). It can be installed directly from GitHub and
90 from the CogDisResLab repository at r-universe.

91 Funding

92 This project was supported by National Institutes of Health grants

- 93 ▪ NIMH R01; MH107487
- 94 ▪ NIMH R01; MH121102

95 References

- 96 Creeden, J. F., Imami, A. S., Eby, H. M., Gillman, C., Becker, K. N., Reigle, J., Andari, E.,
97 Pan, Z. K., O'Donovan, S. M., McCullumsmith, R. E., & McCullumsmith, C. B. (2021).
98 Fluoxetine as an anti-inflammatory therapy in SARS-CoV-2 infection [Journal Article].
99 *Biomed Pharmacother*, 138, 111437. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2021.111437>
- 100 Imami, A. S., O'Donovan, S. M., Creeden, J. F., Wu, X., Eby, H., McCullumsmith, C. B., Uvnäs-
101 Moberg, K., McCullumsmith, R. E., & Andari, E. (2020). Oxytocin's anti-inflammatory and
102 proimmune functions in COVID-19: A transcriptomic signature-based approach [Journal
103 Article]. *Physiol Genomics*, 52(9), 401–407. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physiolgenomics.00095.2020>
- 105 Keenan, A. B., Jenkins, S. L., Jagodnik, K. M., Koplev, S., He, E., Torre, D., Wang, Z.,
106 Dohlman, A. B., Silverstein, M. C., Lachmann, A., Kuleshov, M. V., Ma'ayan, A., Stathias,
107 V., Terryn, R., Cooper, D., Forlin, M., Koleti, A., Vidovic, D., Chung, C., ... others. (2018).
108 The library of integrated network-based cellular signatures NIH program: System-level
109 cataloging of human cells response to perturbations [Journal Article]. *Cell Syst*, 6(1),
110 13–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2017.11.001>
- 111 O'Donovan, S. M., Imami, A., Eby, H., Henkel, N. D., Creeden, J. F., Asah, S., Zhang,
112 X., Wu, X., Alnafisah, R., Taylor, R. T., Reigle, J., Thorman, A., Shamsaei, B., Meller,
113 J., & McCullumsmith, R. E. (2021). Identification of candidate repurposable drugs to
114 combat COVID-19 using a signature-based approach [Journal Article]. *Sci Rep*, 11(1),
115 4495. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-84044-9>
- 116 Park, K. (2019). A review of computational drug repurposing [Journal Article]. *Translational
117 and Clinical Pharmacology*, 27(2), 59. <https://doi.org/10.12793/tcp.2019.27.2.59>
- 118 Pilarczyk, M., Fazel-Najafabadi, M., Kouril, M., Shamsaei, B., Vasiliauskas, J., Niu, W., Mahi,
119 N., Zhang, L., Clark, N. A., Ren, Y., White, S., Karim, R., Xu, H., Biesiada, J., Bennett,
120 M. F., Davidson, S. E., Reichard, J. F., Roberts, K., Stathias, V., ... Medvedovic, M. (2022).
121 Connecting omics signatures and revealing biological mechanisms with iLINCS [Journal
122 Article]. *Nat Commun*, 13(1), 4678. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32205-3>
- 123 Pinzi, L., Bisi, N., & Rastelli, G. (2024). How drug repurposing can advance drug discovery:
124 Challenges and opportunities [Journal Article]. *Frontiers in Drug Discovery, Volume 4 -
125 2024*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fddsv.2024.1460100>
- 126 Sertkaya, A., Beleche, T., Jessup, A., & Sommers, B. D. (2024). Costs of drug development and
127 research and development intensity in the US, 2000-2018 [Journal Article]. *JAMA Network
128 Open*, 7(6), e2415445–e2415445. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.15445>
- 129 Sun, D., Gao, W., Hu, H., & Zhou, S. (2022). Why 90 [Journal Article]. *Acta Pharm Sin B*,
130 12(7), 3049–3062. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsb.2022.02.002>