

gospl: Global Scalable Paleo Landscape Evolution

Tristan Salles¹, Claire Mallard¹, and Sabin Zahirovic¹

¹ School of Geosciences, The University of Sydney, Australia

DOI: [10.21105/joss.02804](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.02804)

Software

- [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

gospl (short for *Global Scalable Paleo Landscape Evolution*) is an open source, GPL-licensed library providing a scalable parallelised Python-based numerical model to simulate landscapes and basins reconstruction at global scale.

Introduction

The source-to-sink (S2S) concept quantifies the different components of sedimentary systems: from source areas, through dispersal systems, to deposition in a number of sedimentary sinks. When applied to ancient sedimentary systems, it can be used to make inferences or predictions about upstream control, or downstream evolution of paleo-landscapes and stratigraphic record (Helland-Hansen et al., 2016). Such a concept is of keen interest to Earth system scientists studying the role of atmospheric circulation on physical denudation, the influence of mantle convection on erosion and deposition patterns, the location and abundance of natural resources or the implications of morphological changes and catchments dynamics on the evolution of life.

Statement of Need

Traditional techniques in characterising S2S have consisted in the development of physical experiments and the use of both modern and outcrop analogues to constrain the size, shape, and complexity of sedimentary bodies. Over the years, growing detailed global datasets have improved our general understanding of sedimentary systems and scaling relationships have been proposed to assess the morphology and connectivities between modern S2S segments (e.g., the catchment, continental shelf, continental slope and submarine fan) and infer their temporal variability (Nyberg et al., 2018).

It is recognised that such approaches provide a useful, first-order assessment of S2S in ancient sedimentary regions where the complete sediment routing system is not preserved (Bhattacharya et al., 2016; Hobley et al., 2011). However, they often oversimplify the temporal variability and complexity of the different forcing conditions influencing S2S systems.

The emergence of forward modelling of landscapes and sedimentary systems has proven to be a valuable avenue to integrate S2S concepts into a process-based framework that considers sedimentation, eustatic changes and tectonic influences (Granjeon & Joseph, 1999; Salles et al., 2011; Tucker & Hancock, 2010). Since the '90s, many software have been designed to estimate long-term landscape evolution as well as sedimentary basins formation in response to various mechanisms such as tectonic or climatic forcing (Bianchi et al., 2015; Braun & Sambridge, 1997; Tucker et al., 2001). These models rely on a set of mathematical and physical expressions that simulate sediment erosion, transport and deposition and can reproduce the first order complexity of Earth' surface geomorphological and sedimentary evolution (Braun & Willett, 2013; Hobley et al., 2017; Salles et al., 2018).

Yet, we are still missing a tool to evaluate global scale patterns of paleo-Earth surface and the interactions with both the atmosphere, the hydrosphere, the tectonics and mantle dynamics.

Editor: [Katy Barnhart](#) ↗

Reviewers:

- [@johnjarmitage](#)
- [@cmshobe](#)

Submitted: 19 October 2020

Published: 13 December 2020

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

gospl is designed to address parts of this gap and to make the link between deep Earth, climate and Earth' surface. It pioneers innovative and efficient techniques to estimate and predict ancient landscapes and sedimentary successions at global scale and over deep time (Salles, 2018). It can be used to test different hypothesis related to Earth past evolution and to characterise the role of several drivers such as precipitation, dynamic topography, sea-level on Earth landscape evolution and sedimentary basins formation.

Applications wise, the major difference between **gospl** and existing landscape evolution models (e.g., Badlands, Salles et al., 2018; LandLab, Barnhart et al., 2020; Hobley et al., 2017; or FastScape, Braun & Willett, 2013) is that it focusses on global scale and long term (several millions of years) problems and as such offers new possibilities to understand deep time, all-Earth surface evolution and associated stratigraphy formation. It is designed to be used as an independent tool but can also inform boundary conditions often required in aforementioned regional models (like incoming upstream sediment fluxes when considering continental scale catchments). In terms of implementation, the flow routing technique and river incision are based on a parallel approach and use a multiple flow direction algorithm both of which are not possible within Badlands. It greatly improves runtime performance and allows to better represent rivers routing in flat regions. Compared to eSCAPE (Salles, 2018) which has the capability to be ran at both regional and global scales, it allows for (1) horizontal advection to be accounted for and (2) multiple lithologies and associated compaction to be represented. While eSCAPE can be used at both scales, it fails short when one wants to evaluate the impacts of long term plate motions on landscape evolution and associated sedimentation. Therefore **gospl** is better suited for global scale applications requiring to account for both vertical and horizontal displacements. In addition to its ability to consider different sediment types, **gospl** can also be used with different mesh resolutions enabling better representation of surface processes in regions of interest (e.g., specific basins, continental regions) while using lower resolutions to save memory allocation in other parts (deep marine regions for example).

Package summary

gospl is able to simulate global-scale forward models of landscape evolution, dual-lithology (coarse and fine) sediment routing and stratigraphic history forced with deforming plate tectonics, paleotopographies and paleoclimate reconstructions. It relates the complexity of the triggers and responses of sedimentary processes from the complete sediment routing perspective accounting for different scenarii of plate motion, tectonic uplift/subsidence, climate, geodynamic and sedimentary conditions.

The following physical processes are considered:

- river incision using the stream power law (Howard et al., 1994) based on a multiple flow direction approach and an implicit parallel implicit drainage area method (Richardson et al., 2014),
- inland river deposition in depressions computed using priority-flood techniques (Barnes et al., 2014),
- dual-lithology marine deposition at river mouth based on a diffusion algorithm (Rivenaes, 1992),
- hillslope processes in both marine and inland areas (Salles, 2019), and
- sediment compaction as stratigraphic layers geometry and properties changes (Sclater & Christie, 1980; Yuan et al., 2019).

As mentioned previously, **gospl** can be forced with spatially and temporally varying tectonics (horizontal and vertical displacements) and climatic forces (temporal and spatial precipitation changes and sea-level fluctuations).

Internally, **gospl** is mostly written in Python and takes advantage of PETSc solvers (Balay et al., 2012) over parallel computing architectures using MPI (Barney, 2012). For a detailed description of the physical processes and implicit numerical scheme implementation, the user is invited to read the technical guide available within the documentation.

Additionally, the documentation contains some workflows and functions that helps, among others, to pre-process netCDF elevation and climate dataset, plate velocity files and to create an unstructured spherical mesh used as input for **gospl**. Outputs are generated as compressed hdf5 files (The HDF Group, 2000-2010) that can be simply visualise as a temporal series in ParaView (Ahrens et al., 2005). In addition, post-processing scripts are also provided to build the output as VTK (Schroeder et al., 2006) or netCDF files (Brown et al., 1993) and to extract some specific information from the results such as erosion deposition thicknesses, stratigraphic records or river sediment load over time.

Finally, we provide different approaches to get you started with **gospl** from Docker containers, to pip or conda. **gospl** depends on several libraries that are sometimes complicated to install locally, so the recommended starting approach is with Docker to ensure compatibility of all dependencies. Eventually, pip and conda are possible considering all dependency requirements are met.

References

- Ahrens, J., Geveci, B., & Law, C. (2005). *ParaView: An End-User Tool for Large Data Visualization, Visualization Handbook*. Elsevier.
- Balay, S., Brown, J., Buschelman, K., Gropp, W. D., Kaushik, D., Knepley, M. G., McInnes, L. C., Smith, B. F., & Zhang, H. (2012). *Argonne national laboratory, PETSc*. Web page. [Available at <http://www.mcs.anl.gov/petsc>].
- Barnes, R., Lehman, C., & Mulla, D. (2014). Priority-flood: An optimal depression-filling and watershed-labeling algorithm for digital elevation models. *Computers & Geosciences*, 62, 117–127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2013.04.024>
- Barney, B. (2012). *Message passing interface (MPI)*. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.
- Barnhart, K. R., Hutton, E. W. H., Tucker, G. E., Gasparini, N. M., Istanbuluoglu, E., Hobley, D. E. J., Lyons, N. J., Mouchene, M., Nudurupati, S. S., Adams, J. M., & Bandaragoda, C. (2020). Short communication: Landlab v2.0: A software package for earth surface dynamics. *Earth Surface Dynamics*, 8(2), 379–397. <https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-8-379-2020>
- Bhattacharya, J. P., Peter Copeland, P., Lawton, T. F., & Holbrook, J. (2016). Estimation of source area, river paleo-discharge, paleoslope, and sediment budgets of linked deep-time depositional systems and implications for hydrocarbon potential. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 153, 77–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.10.013>
- Bianchi, V., Salles, T., Ghinassi, M., Billi, P., Dallanave, E., & Duclaux, G. (2015). Numerical modeling of tectonically driven river dynamics and deposition in an upland incised valley. *Geomorphology*, 241, 353–370. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.04.007>
- Braun, J., & Sambridge, M. (1997). Modelling landscape evolution on geological time scales: A new method based on irregular spatial discretization. *Basin Research*, 9(1), 27–52. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2117.1997.00030.x>
- Braun, J., & Willett, S. D. (2013). A very efficient $O(n)$, implicit and parallel method to solve the stream power equation governing fluvial incision and landscape evolution. *Geomorphology*, 180–181(Supplement C), 170–179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.10.008>

- Brown, S. A., Folk, M., Goucher, G., & Rew, R. (1993). Software for portable scientific data management. *Computers in Physics, American Institute of Physics*, 7(3), 304–308. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4823180>
- Granjeon, D., & Joseph, P. (1999). *Concepts and applications of a 3D multiple lithology, diffusive model in stratigraphic modeling*. in: J. W. Harbaugh, W. L. Watney, E. C. Rankey, R. Slingerland, R. H. Goldstein & E. K. Franseen eds. Numerical Experiments in Stratigraphy: Recent Advances in Stratigraphic; Sedimentological Computer Simulations, vol. 62, SEPM Spec. Pub., Tulsa Ok, pp. 197–210. <https://doi.org/10.2110/pec.99.62.0197>
- Helland-Hansen, W., Somme, T. O., Martinsen, O. J., Lunt, I., & Thurmond, J. (2016). Deciphering Earth's Natural Hourglasses: Perspectives On Source-To-Sink Analysis. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, 86(9), 1008–1033. <https://doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2016.56>
- Hobley, D. E. J., Adams, J. M., Nudurupati, S. S., Hutton, E. W. H., Gasparini, N. M., Istanbuluoglu, E., & Tucker, G. E. (2017). Creative computing with Landlab: An open-source toolkit for building, coupling, and exploring two-dimensional numerical models of Earth-surface dynamics. *Earth Surface Dynamics*, 5(1), 21–46. <https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-5-21-2017>
- Hobley, D. E. J., Sinclair, H. D., Mudd, S. M., & Cowie, P. A. (2011). Field calibration of sediment flux dependent river incision. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 116(F4). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010jf001935>
- Howard, A. D., Dietrich, W. E., & Seidl, M. A. (1994). Modeling fluvial erosion on regional to continental scales. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 99(B7), 13971–13986. <https://doi.org/10.1029/94JB00744>
- Nyberg, B., Helland-Hansen, W., Gawthorpe, R. L., Sandbakken, P., Haug Eide, C., Somme, T., Hadler-Jacobsen, F., & Leiknes, S. (2018). Revisiting morphological relationships of modern source-to-sink segments as a first-order approach to scale ancient sedimentary systems. *Sedimentary Geology*, 373, 111–133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2018.06.007>
- Richardson, A., Hill, C. N., & Perron, J. T. (2014). IDA: An implicit, parallelizable method for calculating drainage area. *Water Resources Research*, 50(5), 4110–4130. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013WR014326>
- Rivenaes, J. C. (1992). Application of a dual-lithology, depth-dependent diffusion equation in stratigraphic simulation. *Basin Research*, 4(2), 133–146. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2117.1992.tb00136.x>
- Salles, T. (2018). eSCAPE: Parallel global-scale landscape evolution model. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 3(30), 964. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00964>
- Salles, T. (2019). ESCAPE: Regional to Global Scale Landscape Evolution Model v2.0. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 12(9), 4165–4184. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-4165-2019>
- Salles, T., Ding, X., & Brocard, G. (2018). pyBadlands: A framework to simulate sediment transport, landscape dynamics and basin stratigraphic evolution through space and time. *PLOS ONE*, 13(4), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195557>
- Salles, T., Griffiths, C., Dyt, C., & Li, F. (2011). Australian shelf sediment transport responses to climate change-driven ocean perturbations. *Marine Geology*, 282(3), 268–274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2011.02.014>
- Schroeder, W., Martin, K., & Lorensen, B. (2006). *The Visualization Toolkit (4th ed.)*. Kitware.

- Sclater, J. G., & Christie, P. A. F. (1980). Continental stretching: An explanation of the Post-Mid-Cretaceous subsidence of the central North Sea Basin. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 85(B7), 3711–3739. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JB085iB07p03711>
- The HDF Group. (2000-20102000-2010). *Hierarchical data format version 5*. <http://www.hdfgroup.org/HDF5>
- Tucker, G. E., & Hancock, G. R. (2010). Modelling landscape evolution. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 35(1), 28–50. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1952>
- Tucker, G. E., Lancaster, S., Gasparini, N., & Bras, R. (2001). The Channel-Hillslope Integrated Landscape Development Model (CHILD). In R. S. Harmon & W. W. Doe (Eds.), *Landscape erosion and evolution modeling* (pp. 349–388). Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-0575-4_12
- Yuan, X. P., Braun, J., Guerit, L., Simon, B., Bovy, B., Rouby, D., Robin, C., & Jiao, R. (2019). Linking continental erosion to marine sediment transport and deposition: A new implicit and O(N) method for inverse analysis. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 524, 115728. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115728>