The Journal of Open Source Software

The Global Energy System Model (GENeSYS-MOD) v4.0 - A Flexible Energy System Modelling Framework for Julia and GAMS

Konstantin Löffler [1,2,4¶], **Stian Backe** [2,3], **Julian Bornemann**[1], **Alena Diesing**[1], **Karlo Hainsch**[1], **Jonathan Hanto**[1,4], **Philipp Herpich**[1,4], **Nikita Moskalenko**[1], **Martha Pedersen**[3], **Dimitri Pinel**[3], **Dana Reulein**[5], **and Shweta Tiwari**[3]

1 Technische Universität Berlin, Workgroup for Infrastructure Policy (WIP), Straße des 17. Juni 135, 10623 Berlin, Germany **2** Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Industrial Economics and Technology Management (IØT), Alfred Getz vei 3, 7491 Trondheim, Norway **3** SINTEF Energy Research, Energy Systems Department, Sem Sælands vei 11, 7034 Trondheim **4** Europa-Universität Flensburg, Department for Sustainable Energy Transition (NEW), Auf dem Campus 1, 24943 Flensburg, Germany **5** Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Electric Energy (IEL), O. S. Bragstads plass 2E, 7491 Trondheim, Norway ¶ Corresponding author

DOI: 10.xxxxxx/draft

Software

- Review
- Repository
- Archive

Editor:

Submitted: 20 August 2025
Published: unpublished

Summary

GENeSYS-MOD is a flexible framework that allows the modelling of energy systems at various degrees of detail, with a focus on sector coupling and the computation of long-term pathways for the energy system. The generic formulation makes the framework suitable for a wide range of use cases, allowing for a widely user-defined resolution in terms of temporal, spatial, and technological detail. GENeSYS-MOD performs a cost-optimizing investment and dispatch calculation across all modeled subsectors of the energy system (usually covering at least electricity, buildings, industry, and transport). The newest, fourth version of the framework is now available in both GAMS and Julia for the core model, with optional data management tools written in Python.

Statement of need

Energy system models are powerful tools commonly used to create detailed insights into possible future developments of the energy system, providing valuable information to decision makers. This includes a variety of model outputs such as cost-efficient capacity planning for both generation and flexibility options, as well as information on the resulting costs, supply mixes, and emission trajectories. Noteworthy examples of other established open energy system modelling frameworks include PyPSA (Brown et al., 2018), OSeMOSYS (Howells et al., 2011), oemof (Hilpert et al., 2018), Balmorel (Wiese et al., 2018), TIMES (Loulou et al., 2005), or EMPIRE (Backe et al., 2022). A comparison of several open source energy system modelling frameworks, including GENeSYS-MOD can be found at Candas et al. (2022) .

GENeSYS-MOD, which stands for "The Global Energy System Model", was originally released in 2017 (Löffler et al., 2017) and has since then been updated and expanded several times. However, one major shortcoming of older GENeSYS-MOD versions was that it was only available for the General Algebraic Modeling Language (GAMS), a commercial software for model building, which restricted the openness of the framework. Therefore, with version 4.0, we now introduce a new Julia version of GENeSYS-MOD that offers the exact same

functionality as the GAMS-based version, but removes all commercial license requirements, especially when also using an open solver such as HiGHS.

Overview over the functionality and capabilities of GENeSYS-MOD

GENeSYS-MOD is a cost-optimizing linear program that computes cost-optimal pathways for the energy system across multiple sectors, usually focusing on long-term pathways for the energy system. Figure 1 shows some of the core inputs and outputs of the model. Contrary to what the name suggests, GENeSYS-MOD can not only be applied at the global level (even though that was the initial application (Löffler et al., 2017)), but instead is purely driven by the underlying input data and has been successfully used in both macro-regional (e.g. Europe) (Moskalenko et al., 2024), country-level (Hanto et al., 2021), and even regional levels (Herpich et al., 2024).



Figure 1: Main inputs and outputs of GENeSYS-MOD.

Methodological background

In its origin, GENeSYS-MOD is based on the Open Source Energy Modelling System (OSeMOSYS), but has been altered and expanded in functionality over time. Nevertheless, the overall structure and nomenclature have been kept as measures to make the model easy to learn and use. GENeSYS-MOD optimizes the investment decisions on an annual level for a defined model period, usually given in five-year steps towards 2050 or 2060. To do so, it starts with an existing system setup based on historic data (brown-field approach). It then assumes a planner's perspective with perfect foresight as the default option, however, a myopic approach can also be chosen. The time resolution within a year can be flexibly defined via a timeseries reduction algorithm following Gerbaulet & Lorenz (2017). This means that depending on the user's computational resources and model setup, almost any time resolution, up to full hourly operation, can be chosen.

The Journal of Open Source Software

General framework structure of GENeSYS-MOD version 4

The overall ecosystem of GENeSYS-MOD has been growing over time and now includes a multitude of features not only within, but also in conjunction with the core modelling framework. Figure 2 displays a graphic representation of the different repositories and features.



Figure 2: Ecosystem of GENeSYS-MOD v4.

GENeSYS-MOD.data

The GENeSYS-MOD.data repository contains all the individual input parameters for building models, stored in csv files. Python-based scripts enable a filtering, aggregation, and disaggregation of the data. The scripts then return standardized input files for the core model. Users can also directly download finished input data files, thus use of these features is optional.

GENeSYS-MOD core model

The core model source code of GENeSYS-MOD is available in both GAMS and Julia, with both versions being maintained side by side. The model also features a full hourly dispatch module, aimed at evaluating the electricity supply configuration that GENeSYS-MOD has provided.

GENeSYS-MOD.tools

At this current time, two tools are provided: one focused on generating renewable timeseries and capacity potentials, making use of the open source Atlite package (Hofmann et al., 2021), as well as one conversion script, allowing GENeSYS-MOD datasets to be converted into the IAMC nomenclature (see here).

Documentation

Encompassing all the other tools and the core model, the documentation is now provided via a readthedocs page that is continuously expanded. Also, there are additional resources in the form of video tutorials uploaded to a YouTube channel.

New features of GENeSYS-MOD version 4

Figure 3 displays the additions across multiple major versions of GENeSYS-MOD.



Figure 3: Functionality additions of major GENeSYS-MOD versions.

With version 4.0, the main focus was on the removal of entry barriers (in the form of commercial license requirements), improving data transparency, and making the framework easier to use. This has been tackled by various additions: 1. **Creation of a new GitHub organization:** previously, development of GENeSYS-MOD happened at a closed GitLab instance, hosted by TU Berlin, with one public repository that faced outwards. With the new structure, all development now happens in the new public GitHub repositories. 2. **Implementation of GENeSYS-MOD in Julia:** as stated above, GENeSYS-MOD used to be only available in GAMS, requiring a license which provides a cost barrier to prospective users. By offering a Julia implementation, the model can be used by a wider audience without any entry barriers. 3. **Fully open data repository:** in the past, completed data sets, usually accompanying a publication, would be uploaded to Zenodo. Now, instead, all raw input data is stored in a public repository, including individual sources of all data points, making the data more transparent and easier to use for other (e.g. regional) applications. The repository also comes with useful scripts for filtering and aggregation methods. 4. **A new and improved documentation:** the old documentation in the form of multiple PDF files has been replaced by a readthedocs page which serves as a wiki for everything related to the model and its tools. The nature of the readthedocs being hosted in a public GitHub repository also allows for easier and thus more collaboration

on the documentation side. 5. **Performance optimization and new modelling features:** last but not least, several improvements to the model source code have been performed, significantly improving the performance for higher time resolutions. Also, new features regarding e.g. the repurposing of natural gas infrastructure to hydrogen or the blending of hydrogen in natural gas grids have been introduced.

Past and ongoing research applications

GENeSYS-MOD has been used in a wide range of academic publications and research projects with several different regional focus points and research questions. Examples past the original global application (Löffler et al., 2017) include a multitude of analyses on the European continent, e.g. on the topic of asset stranding (Löffler et al., 2019), the phase out of Russian fossil fuel imports (Moskalenko et al., 2024), or the repurposing of the natural gas infrastructure for hydrogen applications (Hanto et al., 2024), but also a number of country-level case studies on India (Lawrenz et al., 2018), Germany (Bartholdsen et al., 2019), China (Burandt et al., 2019), Mexico (Sarmiento et al., 2019), South Africa (Hanto et al., 2021), or Japan (Burandt, 2021). GENeSYS-MOD has also been part of the model experiment (MODEX) project open_MODEX, where five open energy system modelling frameworks have been compared with each other, giving an overview in the respective strengths of the different frameworks (Berendes et al., 2022; Candas et al., 2022; Ouwerkerk et al., 2022). Also, noteworthy research projects include the Open ENTRANCE project (see here), iDesignRES (see here), Man0EUvRE (see here) or OpenMod4Africa (see here).

Perspective

The software and its ecosystem are under constant development and always looking to improve, be it in terms of functionalities, accessibility, or new exciting research opportunities. Therefore, a small community has established itself, with regular online meetings and an annual development workshop. The goal would be to follow great pioneers like the OSeMOSYS community in that regard, like described in Gardumi et al. (2018).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank everyone that has been involved in this project over the years, especially Thorsten Burandt, who has been one of the original co-creators of the framework, as well as Pao-Yu Oei and Christian von Hirschhausen who have guided us over the years. A special thanks also goes out to everyone that keeps contributing and using our tool, and provides valuable feedback for us. We also acknowledge funding from TU Berlin and SINTEF Energy Research, which has enabled us to work on the topic, as well as several research projects that have made contributions to the further development of the framework and surrounding tools. Most recently, this work was supported by the CETPartnership project Man0EUvRE under Grant Agreement number 101069750 as well as the Horizon Europe projects iDesignRES (GA number 101095849) and OpenMod4Africa (GA number 101118123).

References

Backe, S., Skar, C., Del Granado, P. C., Turgut, O., & Tomasgard, A. (2022). EMPIRE: An open-source model based on multi-horizon programming for energy transition analyses. *SoftwareX*, *17*, 100877. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2021.100877

Bartholdsen, H.-K., Eidens, A., Löffler, K., Seehaus, F., Wejda, F., Burandt, T., Oei, P.-Y., Kemfert, C., & Hirschhausen, C. von. (2019). Pathways for Germany's Low-Carbon

Energy Transformation Towards 2050. *Energies*, *12*(15), 2988. https://doi.org/10.3390/en12152988

Berendes, S., Hilpert, S., Günther, S., Muschner, C., Candas, S., Hainsch, K., Ouwerkerk, J. van, Buchholz, S., & Söthe, M. (2022). Evaluating the usability of open source frameworks in energy system modelling. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *159*, 112174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2022.112174

Brown, T., Hörsch, J., & Schlachtberger, D. (2018). PyPSA: Python for Power System Analysis. *Journal of Open Research Software*, *6*(1), 4. https://doi.org/10.5334/jors.188

Burandt, T. (2021). Analyzing the necessity of hydrogen imports for net-zero emission scenarios in Japan. *Applied Energy*, *298*, 117265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2021.117265

Burandt, T., Xiong, B., Löffler, K., & Oei, P.-Y. (2019). Decarbonizing China's energy system – Modeling the transformation of the electricity, transportation, heat, and industrial sectors. *Applied Energy*, *255*, 113820. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.113820

Candas, S., Muschner, C., Buchholz, S., Bramstoft, R., Ouwerkerk, J. van, Hainsch, K., Löffler, K., Günther, S., Berendes, S., Nguyen, S., & Justin, A. (2022). Code exposed: Review of five open-source frameworks for modeling renewable energy systems. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *161*, 112272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2022.112272

Gardumi, F., Shivakumar, A., Morrison, R., Taliotis, C., Broad, O., Beltramo, A., Sridharan, V., Howells, M., Hörsch, J., Niet, T., Almulla, Y., Ramos, E., Burandt, T., Balderrama, G. P., Moura, G. N. P. de, Zepeda, E., & Alfstad, T. (2018). From the development of an open-source energy modelling tool to its application and the creation of communities of practice: The example of OSeMOSYS. *Energy Strategy Reviews*, *20*, 209–228. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2018.03.005

Gerbaulet, C., & Lorenz, C. (2017). *dynELMOD: A Dynamic Investment and Dispatch Model for the Future European Electricity Market* [{DIW} {Berlin}, {Data} {Documentation} {No}. 88]. DIW Berlin. https://www.diw.de/sixcms/detail.php?id=diw_01.c.558131.de

Hanto, J., Herpich, P., Löffler, K., Hainsch, K., Moskalenko, N., & Schmidt, S. (2024). Assessing the implications of hydrogen blending on the European energy system towards 2050. *Advances in Applied Energy*, *13*, 100161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adapen.2023.100161

Hanto, J., Krawielicki, L., Krumm, A., Moskalenko, N., Löffler, K., Hauenstein, C., & Oei, P.-Y. (2021). Effects of decarbonization on the energy system and related employment effects in South Africa. *Environmental Science & Policy*, *124*, 73–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2021.06.001

Herpich, P., Löffler, K., Hainsch, K., Hanto, J., & Moskalenko, N. (2024). 100% renewable heat supply in Berlin by 2050 – A model-based approach. *Applied Energy*, *375*, 124122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2024.124122

Hilpert, S., Kaldemeyer, C., Krien, U., Günther, S., Wingenbach, C., & Plessmann, G. (2018). The Open Energy Modelling Framework (oemof) - A new approach to facilitate open science in energy system modelling. *Energy Strategy Reviews*, *22*, 16–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2018.07.001

Hofmann, F., Hampp, J., Neumann, F., Brown, T., & Hörsch, J. (2021). Atlite: A Lightweight Python Package for Calculating Renewable Power Potentials and Time Series. *Journal of Open Source Software*, *6*(62), 3294. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03294

Howells, M., Rogner, H., Strachan, N., Heaps, C., Huntington, H., Kypreos, S., Hughes, A., Silveira, S., DeCarolis, J., Bazillian, M., & Roehrl, A. (2011). OSeMOSYS: The Open Source Energy Modeling System. *Energy Policy*, *39*(10), 5850–5870. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.06.033

Lawrenz, L., Xiong, B., Lorenz, L., Krumm, A., Hosenfeld, H., Burandt, T., Löffler, K., Oei, P.-Y., & Von Hirschhausen, C. (2018). Exploring Energy Pathways for the Low-Carbon Transformation in India—A Model-Based Analysis. *Energies*, *11*(11), 3001. https://doi.org/10.3390/en11113001

Löffler, K., Burandt, T., Hainsch, K., & Oei, P.-Y. (2019). Modeling the low-carbon transition of the European energy system - A quantitative assessment of the stranded assets problem. *Energy Strategy Reviews*, *26*, 100422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2019.100422

Löffler, K., Hainsch, K., Burandt, T., Oei, P.-Y., Kemfert, C., & Von Hirschhausen, C. (2017). Designing a Model for the Global Energy System—GENeSYS-MOD: An Application of the Open-Source Energy Modeling System (OSeMOSYS). *Energies*, *10*(10), 1468. https://doi.org/10.3390/en10101468

Loulou, R., Remme, U., Kanudia, A., Lehtila, A., & Goldstein, G. (2005). Documentation for the TIMES Model - Part I. *Energy Technology Systems Analysis Programme*, *384*.

Moskalenko, N., Löffler, K., Hainsch, K., Hanto, J., & Herpich, P. (2024). Europe's independence from Russian natural gas — Effects of import restrictions on energy system development. *Energy Reports*, *11*, 2853–2866. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2024.02.035

Ouwerkerk, J. van, Hainsch, K., Candas, S., Muschner, C., Buchholz, S., Günther, S., Huyskens, H., Berendes, S., Löffler, K., Bußar, C., Tardasti, F., Köckritz, L. von, & Bramstoft, R. (2022). Comparing open source power system models - A case study focusing on fundamental modeling parameters for the German energy transition. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *161*, 112331. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2022.112331

Sarmiento, L., Burandt, T., Löffler, K., & Oei, P.-Y. (2019). Analyzing Scenarios for the Integration of Renewable Energy Sources in the Mexican Energy System—An Application of the Global Energy System Model (GENeSYS-MOD). *Energies*, *12*(17), 3270. https://doi.org/10.3390/en12173270

Wiese, F., Bramstoft, R., Koduvere, H., Pizarro Alonso, A., Balyk, O., Kirkerud, J. G., Tveten, A. G., Bolkesjø, T. F., Münster, M., & Ravn, H. (2018). Balmorel open source energy system model. *Energy Strategy Reviews*, *20*, 26–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2018.01.003