

¹ SemanticDistance: Compute Semantic Relatedness between Constituents of Sequential Continuous Text

³ **Jamie Reilly**  ^{1,2¶}, **Emily B. Myers**  ^{3,4}, **Hannah Mechtenberg**  ⁴, and ⁴ **Jonathan E. Peelle**  ^{5,6,7}

⁵ 1 Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders, Temple University, United States ²
⁶ Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, Temple University, United States ³ Department of Speech,
⁷ Language, and Hearing Sciences, University of Connecticut, United States ⁴ Department of
⁸ Psychological Sciences, University of Connecticut, United States ⁵ Institute for Cognitive and Brain
⁹ Health, Northeastern University, United States ⁶ Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders,
¹⁰ Northeastern University, United States ⁷ Department of Psychology, Northeastern University, United
¹¹ States ¶ Corresponding author

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: 

Submitted: 02 September 2025

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a ²⁰
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)).²¹

¹² Summary

¹³ SemanticDistance computes pairwise distance between units of language (e.g., word-to-word,
¹⁴ ngram-to-word, turn-to-turn) in both structured language samples and unstructured word
¹⁵ lists. SemanticDistance has cleaning and formatting options including stopword removal
and lemmatization. The package computes two complementary cosine distance indices for
each pairwise contrast of interest. SemanticDistance can also be used to examine clustering
properties within unstructured word lists, generating dendograms and simple igraph network
plots.

²⁰ Statement of Need

²¹ There are many compelling theoretical and clinical applications for measuring conceptual
²² similarity between words and larger chunks of language (e.g., detection of subtle semantic
²³ impairments based on naturalistic language sampling, designing controlled word pair stimuli for
²⁴ language experiments). Although word embeddings such as Word2Vec (Mikolov et al. (2013)),
²⁵ LSA (Landauer et al. (1998)), and GloVe (Pennington et al. (2014)) are widely available,
²⁶ SemanticDistance is unique in its capacity to produce distance metrics *in situ* within running
²⁷ discourse samples while also offering text cleaning and visualization options.

²⁸ State of the Field

²⁹ We know of no software application that computes running measures of semantic distance in
³⁰ continuous unstructured language samples. In addition, SemanticDistance computes different
³¹ but complementary pairwise semantic distance metrics over the same set of stimuli, allowing
³² researchers to examine semantic flow across continuous stories and narratives.

³³ Software Design

³⁴ SemanticDistance is an open-source R package designed to accommodate a wide range of user
³⁵ expertise (e.g., neuroscience, linguistics, computer science). The software does not leverage
³⁶ artificial intelligence or use any proprietary dependencies. The package instead indexes an
³⁷ internal lookup database containing publicly available lexical norms.

³⁸ Research Impact Statement

³⁹ SemanticDistance has supported one peer-reviewed publication to date in the journal, Cortex
⁴⁰ ([Mechtenberg et al., 2026](#)) along with one refereed conference presentation at the annual
⁴¹ conference of the Society for the Neurobiology of Language. Importantly, the application
⁴² enables a variety of analyses that have been formerly difficult or impossible, including
⁴³ comparing semantic distance measures with other measure of prediction in language, including
⁴⁴ those generated by large language models.

⁴⁵

⁴⁶ Description

⁴⁷ Semantic distance has been broadly defined an empirical measure of conceptual relatedness
⁴⁸ between two or more words situated within an n-dimensional space ([Reilly et al., 2025](#)).
⁴⁹ Semantic spaces differ in their dimensionality and biological plausibility. As an R
⁵⁰ package, SemanticDistance bundles text cleaning and formatting options (e.g., stopword
⁵¹ removal, lemmatization) with two two complementary semantic distance metrics that
⁵² characterize/quantify similarity between pairs of language constituents (e.g., words, ngrams,
⁵³ turns). CosDist_Glo reflects cosine distance between vectors derived from training a GLOVE
⁵⁴ word embedding model ([Pennington et al., 2014](#)). CosDist_SD15 reflects cosine distances
⁵⁵ derived from a 15 dimension sensorimotor and affective space derived from explicit human
⁵⁶ ratings ([Reilly et al., 2023](#)).

⁵⁷

⁵⁸ SemanticDistance computes indices of relatedness between lexical constituents within the
⁵⁹ following text formats: 1: **monologues**: stories, narratives, and structured text
⁶⁰ 2: **dialogues**: turn-to-turn within two-person conversation transcripts.
⁶¹ 3: **word pairs in columns**: word pairs
⁶² 4: **unordered lists**: bags-of-words

⁶³

⁶⁴ One of the most innovative functions of SemanticDistance is its capacity to generate rolling
⁶⁵ measures of semantic distance within stories or narratives with chunk sizes (e.g., word-to-word,
⁶⁶ turn-to-turn). Chunk size is an optional argument for many of the software's functions. For
⁶⁷ example, if a user specified a chunk or n-gram size of 5 words, the software would automatically
⁶⁸ compute a rollinhg measure of semantic distance between each new word in a language sample
⁶⁹ relative to the five words that preceded it.

⁷⁰ AI Usage

⁷¹ SemanticDistance uses an internal database composed of many words with a fixed set of
⁷² embeddings. The software does not use AI or rely on proprietary package dependencies. We
⁷³ did use GPT 4.0 (OpenAI) to troubleshoot R coding errors and assist with generating complex
⁷⁴ regular expressions (regex) used for cleaning text data.

⁷⁵ References

- ⁷⁶ Landauer, T. K., Foltz, P. W., & Laham, D. (1998). An introduction to latent semantic
⁷⁷ analysis. *Discourse Processes*, 25(2-3), 259–284.
- ⁷⁸ Mechtenberg, H., Reilly, J., Peelle, J. E., & Myers, E. B. (2026). Measuring brain sensitivity
⁷⁹ to semantic distance in spoken narrative comprehension. *Cortex*, 195, 28–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2025.12.004>

- 81 Mikolov, T., Sutskever, I., Chen, K., Corrado, G. S., & Dean, J. (2013). Distributed
82 representations of words and phrases and their compositionality. *Advances in Neural*
83 *Information Processing Systems*, 3111–3119. <http://papers.nips.cc/paper/5021-distributed-representations-of-words-and-phrases-and-their-compositionality>
- 85 Pennington, J., Socher, R., & Manning, C. D. (2014). Glove: Global vectors for word
86 representation. *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural*
87 *Language Processing (EMNLP)*, 1532–1543. <https://doi.org/10/gfshwg>
- 88 Reilly, J., Finley, A. M., Litovsky, C. P., & Kenett, Y. N. (2023). Bigram semantic distance as
89 an index of continuous semantic flow in natural language: Theory, tools, and applications.
90 *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 152(9), 2578–2590. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0001389>
- 92 Reilly, J., Shain, C., Borghesani, V., Kuhnke, P., Vigliocco, G., Peelle, J. E., Mahon, B. Z.,
93 Buxbaum, L. J., Majid, A., Brysbaert, M., Borghi, A. M., De Deyne, S., Dove, G., Papeo, L.,
94 Pexman, P. M., Poeppel, D., Lupyan, G., Boggio, P., Hickok, G., ... Vinson, D. (2025). What
95 we mean when we say semantic: Toward a multidisciplinary semantic glossary. *Psychonomic*
96 *Bulletin & Review*, 32(1), 243–280. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-024-02556-7>

DRAFT