

¹ TomoSphero: Fast Differentiable Tomographic Projector in Spherical Coordinates

³ Evan Widloski  ¹

⁴ 1 University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign 

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- ⁵ [Review](#) 
- ⁶ [Repository](#) 
- ⁷ [Archive](#) 

Editor: 

Submitted: 09 November 2025

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright¹² and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/))¹⁴

Summary

⁶ Computational tomography is a tool for determining the internal structure of objects from a set of projections, typically taken along some regular path (e.g. circular, helical). In recent years, methods and GPU-accelerated libraries have emerged that allow for fast reconstruction from projections along more complicated paths. Most of these libraries rely on a Cartesian discretization of the object. In planetary and solar tomography, projections are taken along an irregular spacecraft orbit of spherical bodies not well-suited to Cartesian grids.

Statement of need

We present TomoSphero, a differentiable tomographic projector over spherical grids which are often used in planetary and solar tomography. TomoSphero is designed to be used as a building block in reconstruction algorithms and includes common projection types such as cone-beam and parallel-beam, but is flexible enough to accommodate arbitrary projections. TomoSphero is implemented in PyTorch, which allows for fast projection computation on GPUs, easy integration into machine learning algorithms, and automatic differentiation for reconstruction algorithms which require access to gradients.

Tomographic Inversion

²¹ Tomography is a method for determining the internal structure of objects from a set of measurements that penetrate into the object being measured. These measurements (sometimes called projections or sinograms) are usually captured from a variety of locations and times²³ which are collectively referred to as the *view geometry*. Measurements are typically modeled as²⁴

$$y = Fx + \epsilon$$

²⁵ where y is a collection of measurements, F is a linear projection operator, x is the object under study, and ϵ is noise.

²⁷ Tomography has found application in a vast number of domains such as medical imaging, crystallography, and remote sensing, utilizing modalities like X-ray, ultraviolet (UV), ultrasound, seismic waves, and many more. In this paper we discuss TomoSphero, a Python library for²⁹ planetary and solar tomography.

³¹ Fast tomographic reconstruction algorithms that implement explicit inversion formulas typically³² work only for specific view geometries (such as circular or helical view geometry) and are referred³³ to as *filtered back projection* (FBP) algorithms ([Willemink & Noël, 2019](#)). However, some³⁴ situations (like an orbiting spacecraft) necessitate more complicated measurement paths than³⁵ are allowed by FBP-type algorithms. For these situations requiring more flexible view geometries

³⁶ where an exact inverse solution is not available, *iterative reconstruction* (IR) algorithms prevail,
³⁷ usually solving an optimization problem of the form

$$\hat{x} = \arg \min_c \|y - FM(c)\|_2^2 + \mathcal{R}(\dots) + \dots$$

³⁸ where M is a parametric model for the object under construction and \mathcal{R} is a regularization
³⁹ term.

⁴⁰ Examples include SIRT ([Pryse et al., 1993](#)), TV-MIN ([Sidky & Pan, 2008](#)), ART ([Austen et al., 1986](#)), CGLS ([Scales, 1987](#)), Plug-and-play ([Venkatakrishnan et al., 2013](#)) and many others. These algorithms obtain synthetic projections of a candidate object using a tomographic operator (sometimes called a *raytracer*) that simulates waves traveling through the object medium. They produce a reconstruction by repeatedly tweaking the candidate object to minimize discrepancy between synthetic and actual projections, and they stand to benefit the most from a fast operator implementation.

⁴⁷ TomoSphero is parallelized and GPU-enabled, and its speed has been benchmarked as described
⁴⁸ in the companion paper. In cases where a simultaneous computation for every pixel of every
⁴⁹ measurement would consume more memory than is available, some algorithms operate *out-*
⁵⁰ *of-core*, where they parallelize as many tasks as will fit into available memory, then serially
⁵¹ queue the remaining tasks for processing after current tasks are complete. TomoSphero is not
⁵² capable of out-of-core operation.

⁵³ Another consideration in tomographic reconstruction is the choice of grid type for discretization
⁵⁴ of the reconstructed object. Most publications consider a regular rectilinear grid, which is
⁵⁵ a reasonable choice when the underlying structure of the object is completely unknown or
⁵⁶ the scale of features is uniform throughout the object. The primary focus of TomoSphero is
⁵⁷ in the domain of atmospheric tomography, where regular spherical grids are well-suited for
⁵⁸ modeling solar and planetary atmospheres that exhibit spherical symmetries ([Butala et al., 2010](#)) ([Jackson et al., 2011](#)).

⁶⁰ Many reconstruction algorithms rely on gradient-based optimization to solve for an object
⁶¹ whose structure corresponds to measurement data. Automatic differentiation (*autograd*) is a
⁶² class of techniques that convert an arbitrary expression into a computational graph of simpler
⁶³ functions, then compute the overall derivative by applying chain rule at each node. Modern
⁶⁴ machine learning libraries such as PyTorch ([Paszke et al., 2019](#)) and Jax ([Bradbury et al., 2018](#))
⁶⁵ provide such capabilities for building this computational graph. TomoSphero is implemented
⁶⁶ on top of PyTorch and its autograd capabilities enable rapid prototyping of different parametric
⁶⁷ models and regularizations.

⁶⁸ TomoSphero development was motivated by the [Carruthers Geocorona Observatory](#), a spacecraft
⁶⁹ containing UV imagers which will survey the Earth's exosphere.

⁷⁰ A non-exhaustive comparison of TomoSphero's capabilities against other popular libraries is
⁷¹ shown below:

Name	Grid Type	Support	Autograd	Visualization	Out-of-Core
TIGRE (Biguri et al., 2016)	Cartesian	Yes	No	No	Yes
LEAP (Kim & Chamoley, 2023)	Cartesian	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
ASTRA (Aarle et al., 2016)	Cartesian	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
mbirjax (Bouman & Buzzard, 2024)	Cartesian	Yes	No	No	Yes

Name	Grid Type	GPU Support	Autograd	Visualization	Out-of-Core
ToMoBAR (Kazantsev & Wadeson, 2020)	Cartesian	yes	No	No	Yes
CIL (Jørgensen et al., 2021)	Cartesian	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Tomosipo (Hendriksen et al., 2021)	Cartesian	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

- 72 Aarle, W. van, Palenstijn, W. J., Cant, J., Janssens, E., Bleichrodt, F., Dabrowski, A.,
 73 Beenhouwer, J. D., Batenburg, K. J., & Sijbers, J. (2016). Fast and flexible x-ray
 74 tomography using the ASTRA toolbox. *Opt. Express*, 24(22), 25129–25147. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.24.025129>
- 76 Austen, J., Franke, S., Liu, C., & Yeh, K. (1986). Application of computerized tomography
 77 techniques to ionospheric research. *International Beacon Satellite Symposium on Radio*
 78 *Beacon Contribution to the Study of Ionization and Dynamics of the Ionosphere and to*
 79 *Corrections to Geodesy and Technical Workshop*, 25–35.
- 80 Biguri, A., Dosanjh, M., Hancock, S., & Soleimani, M. (2016). TIGRE: A MATLAB-GPU
 81 toolbox for CBCT image reconstruction. *Biomedical Physics & Engineering Express*, 2(5),
 82 055010. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2057-1976/2/5/055010>
- 83 Bouman, C. A., & Buzzard, G. T. (2024). MBIRJAX: High-performance tomographic recon-
 84 struction. Software library available from <https://github.com/cabouman/mbirjax>.
- 85 Bradbury, J., Frostig, R., Hawkins, P., Johnson, M. J., Leary, C., Maclaurin, D., Necula, G.,
 86 Paszke, A., VanderPlas, J., Wanderman-Milne, S., & Zhang, Q. (2018). JAX: Composable
 87 transformations of Python+NumPy programs (Version 0.3.13). <http://github.com/google/jax>
- 89 Butala, M., Hewett, R., Frazin, R., & Kamalabadi, F. (2010). Dynamic three-dimensional
 90 tomography of the solar corona. *Solar Physics*, 262, 495–509.
- 91 Hendriksen, A., Schut, D., Palenstijn, W. J., Viganò, N., Kim, J., Pelt, D., Leeuwen, T. van,
 92 & Batenburg, K. J. (2021). Tomosipo: Fast, flexible, and convenient 3D tomography
 93 for complex scanning geometries in Python. *Optics Express*. <https://doi.org/10.1364/oe.439909>
- 95 Jackson, B. V., Hick, P. P., Buffington, A., Bisi, M. M., Clover, J. M., Tokumaru, M., Kojima,
 96 M., & Fujiki, K. (2011). Three-dimensional reconstruction of heliospheric structure using
 97 iterative tomography: A review. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*,
 98 73(10), 1214–1227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2010.10.007>
- 99 Jørgensen, J. S., Ametova, E., Burca, G., Fardell, G., Papoutsellis, E., Pasca, E., Thielemans,
 100 K., Turner, M., Warr, R., Lionheart, W. R., & others. (2021). Core imaging library-part i:
 101 A versatile python framework for tomographic imaging. *Philosophical Transactions of the*
 102 *Royal Society A*, 379(2204), 20200192.
- 103 Kazantsev, D., & Wadeson, N. (2020). TOmographic MOdel-BAsed reconstruction (ToMo-
 104 BAR) software for high resolution synchrotron x-ray tomography. *CT Meeting*, 2020.
- 105 Kim, H., & Champlay, K. (2023). Differentiable forward projector for x-ray computed tomog-
 106 raphy. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.05801>
- 107 Paszke, A., Gross, S., Massa, F., Lerer, A., Bradbury, J., Chanan, G., Killeen, T., Lin, Z.,

- 108 Gimelshein, N., Antiga, L., Desmaison, A., Kopf, A., Yang, E., DeVito, Z., Raison, M.,
109 Tejani, A., Chilamkurthy, S., Steiner, B., Fang, L., ... Chintala, S. (2019). PyTorch: An
110 imperative style, high-performance deep learning library. In *Advances in neural information*
111 *processing systems 32* (pp. 8024–8035). Curran Associates, Inc. <http://papers.neurips.cc/paper/9015-pytorch-an-imperative-style-high-performance-deep-learning-library.pdf>
- 112
- 113 Pryse, S., Kersley, L., Rice, D., Russell, C., & Walker, I. (1993). Tomographic imaging of the
114 ionospheric mid-latitude trough. *Annales Geophysicae*, 11, 144–149.
- 115 Scales, J. A. (1987). Tomographic inversion via the conjugate gradient method. *Geophysics*,
116 52(2), 179–185.
- 117 Sidky, E. Y., & Pan, X. (2008). Image reconstruction in circular cone-beam computed
118 tomography by constrained, total-variation minimization. *Physics in Medicine & Biology*,
119 53(17), 4777.
- 120 Venkatakrishnan, S. V., Bouman, C. A., & Wohlberg, B. (2013). Plug-and-play priors for
121 model based reconstruction. *2013 IEEE Global Conference on Signal and Information
122 Processing*, 945–948. <https://doi.org/10.1109/GlobalSIP.2013.6737048>
- 123 Willemink, M. J., & Noël, P. B. (2019). The evolution of image reconstruction for CT—from
124 filtered back projection to artificial intelligence. *European Radiology*, 29, 2185–2195.

DRAFT