

# PyTeNet: A concise Python implementation of quantum tensor network algorithms

Christian B. Mendl<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Technische Universität Dresden, Institute of Scientific Computing, Zellescher Weg 12-14, 01069 Dresden, Germany

DOI: [10.21105/joss.00946](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00946)

## Software

- [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

**Submitted:** 14 September 2018

**Published:** 14 September 2018

## License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC-BY](#)).

## Summary

The simulation of strongly correlated quantum systems on classical computers is an essential tool for investigating and understanding quantum materials. Due to the inherent “curse of dimensionality” of quantum systems, such simulations pose a challenging task, and have spurred the development of several computational approaches and approximations. For quasi one-dimensional lattice systems, the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) framework (Schollwöck, 2005; White, 1992, 1993) has emerged as one of the most successful methods. Modern formulations are based on matrix product states (MPS) and operators (MPO) (Schollwöck, 2011): briefly speaking, a matrix product state is an Ansatz for the quantum many-body wavefunction amenable to efficient numerical manipulation. The favorable approximation properties of matrix product states have been theoretically proven for ground states of gapped Hamiltonians with local interactions (Hastings, 2007; Verstraete & Cirac, 2006), and recent work has extended this to quantum systems at finite temperature (Barthel, 2017). Over the years many variations and generalizations of the DMRG framework have been devised (Barthel, 2013; Stoudenmire & White, 2010; Verstraete, Murg, & Cirac, 2008; Vidal, 2003, 2008), and further developments and improvements of associated algorithms remain an active field of research.

PyTeNet is a concise Python implementation of the core MPS framework; besides its use for research on quantum system, it serves as tool for algorithmic experimentation, as didactic reference and as blueprint for future implementations using, e.g., compiled languages to optimize computational efficiency. Specifically, PyTeNet employs NumPy (Walt, Colbert, & Varoquaux, 2011) for tensor manipulations, and is structured around MPS and MPO classes and associated operations, like left- or right-orthonormalization, computing expectation values, local gate operations etc. Features of PyTeNet include the conversion of arbitrary operator chains (like  $\sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x + \sigma_i^y \sigma_{i+1}^y + \Delta \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z$ ) to MPOs, which facilitates the concise construction of common quantum Hamiltonian operators. PyTeNet can also generate vector and matrix representations of matrix product states and operators, respectively, thus enabling reference calculations for example. Another major feature is the (real and imaginary) time evolution based on the time-dependent variational principle (TDVP) (Haegeman, Lubich, Oseledets, Vandereycken, & Verstraete, 2016), making use of Krylov subspace methods for local operations (Hochbruck & Lubich, 1997). Energy minimization and ground state computation are achieved via one-site local energy minimization using Lanczos iteration. PyTeNet unobtrusively supports additive quantum numbers by associating an integer with each physical and virtual bond dimension, and uses corresponding reference checks on the sparsity patterns of tensors. Several simultaneously conserved quantum numbers (like particle and spin for the Fermi-Hubbard model) are then realized by encoding them as single integers.

## Acknowledgments

I'd like to thank Thomas Barthel, Lexing Ying and Miles Stoudenmire for inspiring discussions.

## References

- Barthel, T. (2013). Precise evaluation of thermal response functions by optimized density matrix renormalization group schemes. *New J. Phys.*, *15*, 073010. doi:[10.1088/1367-2630/15/7/073010](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/15/7/073010)
- Barthel, T. (2017). One-dimensional quantum systems at finite temperatures can be simulated efficiently on classical computers. *arXiv:1708.09349*. Retrieved from <https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.09349>
- Haegeman, J., Lubich, C., Oseledets, I., Vandereycken, B., & Verstraete, F. (2016). Unifying time evolution and optimization with matrix product states. *Phys. Rev. B*, *94*, 165116. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.94.165116](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.165116)
- Hastings, M. B. (2007). An area law for one-dimensional quantum systems. *JSTAT*, *2007*(08), P08024. doi:[10.1088/1742-5468/2007/08/P08024](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2007/08/P08024)
- Hochbruck, M., & Lubich, C. (1997). On Krylov subspace approximations to the matrix exponential operator. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, *34*, 1911–1925. doi:[10.1137/S0036142995280572](https://doi.org/10.1137/S0036142995280572)
- Schollwöck, U. (2005). The density-matrix renormalization group. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, *77*, 259–315. doi:[10.1103/RevModPhys.77.259](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.77.259)
- Schollwöck, U. (2011). The density-matrix renormalization group in the age of matrix product states. *Ann. Phys.*, *326*, 96–192. doi:[10.1016/j.aop.2010.09.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2010.09.012)
- Stoudenmire, E. M., & White, S. R. (2010). Minimally entangled typical thermal state algorithms. *New J. Phys.*, *12*, 055026. doi:[10.1088/1367-2630/12/5/055026](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/5/055026)
- Verstraete, F., & Cirac, J. I. (2006). Matrix product states represent ground states faithfully. *Phys. Rev. B*, *73*, 094423. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.73.094423](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.094423)
- Verstraete, F., Murg, V., & Cirac, J. I. (2008). Matrix product states, projected entangled pair states, and variational renormalization group methods for quantum spin systems. *Adv. Phys.*, *57*, 143–224. doi:[10.1080/14789940801912366](https://doi.org/10.1080/14789940801912366)
- Vidal, G. (2003). Efficient classical simulation of slightly entangled quantum computations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, *91*, 147902. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.147902](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.147902)
- Vidal, G. (2008). Class of quantum many-body states that can be efficiently simulated. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, *101*, 110501. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.110501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.110501)
- Walt, S. van der, Colbert, S. C., & Varoquaux, G. (2011). The NumPy array: A structure for efficient numerical computation. *Comput. Sci. Eng.*, *13*, 22–30. doi:[10.1109/MCSE.2011.37](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2011.37)
- White, S. R. (1992). Density matrix formulation for quantum renormalization groups. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, *69*, 2863–2866. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2863](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2863)
- White, S. R. (1993). Density-matrix algorithms for quantum renormalization groups. *Phys. Rev. B*, *48*, 10345–10356. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.48.10345](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.10345)