SPM 25: open source neuroimaging analysis software

Tim M. Tierney [1], **Nicholas A. Alexander** [1], **John Ashburner** [1], **Nicole Labra Avila**[1], **Yaël Balbastre** [1], **Gareth Barnes** [1], **Yulia Bezsudnova** [1], **Mikael Brudfors** [1], **Korbinian Eckstein** [1], **Guillaume Flandin** [1], **Karl Friston** [1], **Amirhossein Jafarian** [1], **Olivia S. Kowalczyk** [1,4], **Vladimir Litvak** [1], **Johan Medrano** [1], **Stephanie Mellor** [5,6], **George O'Neill** [1], **Thomas Parr** [1,3], **Adeel Razi** [1,2], **Ryan Timms**[1], and **Peter Zeidman** [1]¶

1 Department of Imaging Neuroscience, University College London, UK ROR **2** School of Psychological Sciences and Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, Monash University, Clayton 3180, Australia ROR **3** Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford ROR **4** Department of Neuroimaging, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London ROR **5** Spinal Cord Injury Center, Balgrist University Hospital, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland ROR **6** Translational Neuromodeling Unit, Institute for Biomedical Engineering, University of Zurich & ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland ROR ¶ Corresponding author

Summary

Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) is an integrated set of methods for testing hypotheses about the brain's structure and function, using data from imaging devices. These methods are implemented in an open source software package, SPM, which has been in continuous development for more than 30 years by an international community of developers. This paper reports the release of SPM 25.01, a major new version of the software that incorporates novel analysis methods, optimisations of existing methods, as well as improved practices for open science and software development.

Statement of need

SPM introduced many of the statistical foundations that underpin cognitive and clinical neuroimaging research today, including:

- The voxel-wise application of General Linear Models (GLMs) to neuroimaging data (K. J. Friston, Holmes, et al., 1994).
- Convolution modelling of functional MRI (fMRI) signals using haemodynamic response functions (K. J. Friston, Jezzard, et al., 1994).
- Correction for multiple comparisons using topological inference (Random Field Theory, RFT) (Worsley et al., 1996).
- Event-related fMRI (Josephs et al., 1997).
- Voxel-Based morphometry (VBM) for detecting changes in anatomy (Ashburner & Friston, 2000).
- Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM) for state-space modelling using variational Bayesian methods (K. J. Friston et al., 2003).
- Source localisation for M/EEG data using variational Bayesian methods (Phillips et al., 2005).

These methods share certain key principles: the use of generative models, the application of well-motivated parametric statistics and a commitment to open science practices. They are included in a major new release of SPM, which addresses a series of needs in the neuroimaging

community, set out below.

Open development

SPM was previously developed and tested using a private Subversion server within University College London. To enable community engagement in the future development of SPM and to increase transparency, development has recently moved to a public GitHub repository. SPM 25.01 is the first release of the software following the move to GitHub. The key advantages of using GitHub thus far have been:

- Introducing automated unit and regression tests across platforms.
- Automating the build process to conveniently generate and release source code and compiled versions.
- Issue tracking and distributing tasks among developers.

Documentation and training

The documentation for SPM was previously spread across multiple locations, most of which could not be edited by the community. SPM 25.01 is accompanied by a new documentation website, the source code for which is hosted in a public GitHub repository. The new website has step-by-step tutorials on all of SPM's main features, as well as freely available video recordings of lectures from previous SPM courses covering the mathematical theory.

Major new features

SPM 25 includes 10 years of new developments since the last major release (SPM 12, dated 1st October 2014). This section highlights some of the most significant new features for different neuroimaging modalities.

MRI

- Multi-Brain Toolbox (Brudfors et al., 2020). Generates population average-shaped brains, enabling more precise spatial normalisation with the option to automatically label brain structures (Yan et al., 2022).

- SCOPE Toolbox. Generates voxel displacement maps (VDMs) using phase-encode-reversed pairs of MRI images (blip-up and blip-down images) to correct geometrical distortion in MRI (Andersson et al., 2003). This is similar to the Topup toolbox in FSL.

M/EEG

- Methods for spectral decomposition - SPM 25.01 offers an implementation of an existing approach called FOOOF (Specparam) in the MEEGtools toolbox, based on code from Brainstorm (Donoghue et al., 2020), as well as a new Bayesian implementation that introduces formal statistical testing, called Bayesian Spectral Decomposition (BSD) (Medrano et al., 2024).

- Support for fusion of different MEG sensor types and EEG sensors in beamforming with pre-whitening (Westner et al., 2022).

- Support for MEG BIDS for specification of events, channels and fiducials (Westner et al., 2022).

- Proof-of-concept routines for fusing M/EEG and fMRI data under a unified physiological model, to investigate neurovascular coupling (K. J. Friston et al., 2019; Jafarian et al., 2020).

OPMs

A major recent innovation in neuroimaging is MEG using Optically Pumped Magnetometers (OPMs), which enable free movement of the head and body during neural recordings (Boto et al., 2018). This makes MEG available to new experimental paradigms (e.g., experiments involving free movement (Mellor et al., 2023)), new study populations who may not be amenable to traditional MEG (e.g., people with epilepsy (Mellor et al., 2024)) and recording of other biomagnetic fields (e.g., from the spinal cord (Spedden et al., 2024)). Developing analysis tools for OPM data is a major focus for SPM, with recently added features including:

- File IO for all major OPM manufacturers (Quspin, Cerca, Mag4Health, Fieldline).
- Methods to simulate arbitrary OPM arrays of differing densities and vector measurements.
- OPM interference cancellation algorithms for low channel systems: Homogeneous Field Correction (Tierney et al., 2021).
- OPM interference cancellation algorithms for large channel systems: Adaptive Multipole Models (Tierney et al., 2024).

Bayesian statistics

- Parametric Empirical Bayes (PEB) (K. J. Friston et al., 2016) extends the Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM) framework to include random effects modelling of neural connectivity parameters, enabling people to test hypotheses about the similarities and differences among research participants.

- Bayesian model reduction (BMR) (K. Friston et al., 2018) enables statistical evidence to be rapidly scored for large numbers of competing models, where models differ only in their priors.

Behavioural modelling

In addition to neuroimaging analysis, SPM includes a suite of tools for behavioural modelling, including a comprehensive repository for computational neuroscience using the Active Inference framework. The code in SPM 25.01 has undergone significant development, offering a range of demonstrations accessible via the SPM DEM toolbox and associated GUI, and detailed in an accompanying textbook (Parr et al., 2022). The key features are:

- A series of inversion schemes for generative models based upon Partially Observable Markov Decision Processes (POMDPs) that can be used to simulate sequential choices, decision making, and planning (K. Friston et al., 2017).
- An active (generalised) filtering scheme for numerical simulation of continuous movement behaviour and responses to continuous sensory signals, e.g., (K. Friston & Frith, 2015).
- Options for hierarchical composition of the above models (K. J. Friston et al., 2017) and composition with a range of other models (e.g., speech recognition (K. J. Friston et al., 2021)).
- Routines to fit the above models to behavioural data (Schwartenbeck & Friston, 2016).

SPM without MATLAB

Approximately 90% of the SPM 25.01 source code is written in MATLAB and the remainder is written in C. This code has been highly optimised and thoroughly tested over 30 years of development. We have therefore carefully considered how to capitalise on the stability of the SPM software, while making it more accessible for people who do not have access to a MATLAB license, or who prefer to write their analysis code in other languages.

Our strategy is as follows:

- SPM 25 will be the first version of SPM to be fully accessible from the Python programming language, without requiring MATLAB, using a new Python wrapper called spm-python. This is in the final stages of development and will be released in the first quarter of 2025.

- **SPM Standalone** is the compiled version of SPM that can be run from the command line without a MATLAB license. This enables people to run neuroimaging analyses from command line scripts written in any language, or using the GUI. It is now generated automatically with each new release, as part of the GitHub-based build process.
- **Docker and Singularity containers** are additionally provided and are now generated automatically as part of SPM's GitHub build process.

Software versions

`SPM 25.01` is the first release of SPM to use calendar versioning, thus `SPM 25.01` is the version issued in January 2025. All releases are available via https://github.com/spm/spm/releases.

Acknowledgements

References

Andersson, J. L., Skare, S., & Ashburner, J. (2003). How to correct susceptibility distortions in spin-echo echo-planar images: Application to diffusion tensor imaging. *NeuroImage*, *20*(2), 870–888. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1053-8119(03)00336-7

Ashburner, J., & Friston, K. J. (2000). Voxel-based morphometry—the methods. *NeuroImage*, *11*(6), 805–821. https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2000.0582

Boto, E., Holmes, N., Leggett, J., Roberts, G., Shah, V., Meyer, S. S., Muñoz, L. D., Mullinger, K. J., Tierney, T. M., Bestmann, S., & others. (2018). Moving magnetoencephalography towards real-world applications with a wearable system. *Nature*, *555*(7698), 657–661. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature26147

Brudfors, M., Balbastre, Y., Flandin, G., Nachev, P., & Ashburner, J. (2020). Flexible bayesian modelling for nonlinear image registration. *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2020: 23rd International Conference, Lima, Peru, October 4–8, 2020, Proceedings, Part III 23*, 253–263. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-59716-0_25

Donoghue, T., Haller, M., Peterson, E. J., Varma, P., Sebastian, P., Gao, R., Noto, T., Lara, A. H., Wallis, J. D., Knight, R. T., & others. (2020). Parameterizing neural power spectra into periodic and aperiodic components. *Nature Neuroscience*, *23*(12), 1655–1665. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-020-00744-x

Friston, K. J., Harrison, L., & Penny, W. (2003). Dynamic causal modelling. *NeuroImage*, *19*(4), 1273–1302. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-8119(03)00202-7

Friston, K. J., Holmes, A. P., Worsley, K. J., Poline, J.-P., Frith, C. D., & Frackowiak, R. S. (1994). Statistical parametric maps in functional imaging: A general linear approach.

Human Brain Mapping, *2*(4), 189–210. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.460020402

Friston, K. J., Jezzard, P., & Turner, R. (1994). Analysis of functional MRI time-series. *Human Brain Mapping*, *1*(2), 153–171. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.460010207

Friston, K. J., Litvak, V., Oswal, A., Razi, A., Stephan, K. E., Van Wijk, B. C., Ziegler, G., & Zeidman, P. (2016). Bayesian model reduction and empirical bayes for group (DCM) studies. *NeuroImage*, *128*, 413–431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.11.015

Friston, K. J., Parr, T., & Vries, B. de. (2017). The graphical brain: Belief propagation and active inference. *Network Neuroscience*, *1*(4), 381–414. https://doi.org/10.1162/netn_a_00018

Friston, K. J., Preller, K. H., Mathys, C., Cagnan, H., Heinzle, J., Razi, A., & Zeidman, P. (2019). Dynamic causal modelling revisited. *NeuroImage*, *199*, 730–744. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.02.045

Friston, K. J., Sajid, N., Quiroga-Martinez, D. R., Parr, T., Price, C. J., & Holmes, E. (2021). Active listening. *Hearing Research*, *399*, 107998. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heares.2020.107998

Friston, K., FitzGerald, T., Rigoli, F., Schwartenbeck, P., & Pezzulo, G. (2017). Active inference: A process theory. *Neural Computation*, *29*(1), 1–49. https://doi.org/10.1162/NECO_a_00912

Friston, K., & Frith, C. (2015). A duet for one. *Consciousness and Cognition*, *36*, 390–405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2014.12.003

Friston, K., Parr, T., & Zeidman, P. (2018). Bayesian model reduction. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:1805.07092*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1805.07092

Jafarian, A., Litvak, V., Cagnan, H., Friston, K. J., & Zeidman, P. (2020). Comparing dynamic causal models of neurovascular coupling with fMRI and EEG/MEG. *NeuroImage*, *216*, 116734. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116734

Josephs, O., Turner, R., & Friston, K. (1997). Event-related f MRI. *Human Brain Mapping*, *5*(4), 243–248. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0193(1997)5:4%3C243::AID-HBM7%3E3.0.CO;2-3

Medrano, J., Alexander, N. A., Seymour, R. A., & Zeidman, P. (2024). BSD: A bayesian framework for parametric models of neural spectra. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2410.20896*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2410.20896

Mellor, S., O'Neill, G. C., Bush, D., Ramaswamy, A., Doig, D., Tierney, T. M., Spedden, M. E., Walker, M. C., Barnes, G. R., & Vivekananda, U. (2024). Detection of mesial temporal lobe epilepsy with OP-MEG. *medRxiv*, 2024–2010. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.10.17.24315226

Mellor, S., Tierney, T. M., Seymour, R. A., Timms, R. C., O'Neill, G. C., Alexander, N., Spedden, M. E., Payne, H., & Barnes, G. R. (2023). Real-time, model-based magnetic field correction for moving, wearable MEG. *NeuroImage*, *278*, 120252. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2023.120252

Parr, T., Pezzulo, G., & Friston, K. J. (2022). *Active inference: The free energy principle in mind, brain, and behavior*. MIT Press.

Phillips, C., Mattout, J., Rugg, M. D., Maquet, P., & Friston, K. J. (2005). An empirical bayesian solution to the source reconstruction problem in EEG. *NeuroImage*, *24*(4), 997–1011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.10.030

Schwartenbeck, P., & Friston, K. (2016). Computational phenotyping in psychiatry: A worked example. *Eneuro*, *3*(4). https://doi.org/10.1523/eneuro.0049-16.2016

Spedden, M. E., O'Neill, G. C., Tierney, T. M., West, T. O., Schmidt, M., Mellor, S., Farmer, S. F., Bestmann, S., & Barnes, G. R. (2024). Towards non-invasive imaging through spinal-cord generated magnetic fields. *Frontiers in Medical Technology*, *6*, 1470970. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmedt.2024.1470970

Tierney, T. M., Alexander, N., Mellor, S., Holmes, N., Seymour, R., O'Neill, G. C., Maguire, E. A., & Barnes, G. R. (2021). Modelling optically pumped magnetometer interference in MEG as a spatially homogeneous magnetic field. *NeuroImage*, *244*, 118484. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118484

Tierney, T. M., Seedat, Z., St Pier, K., Mellor, S., & Barnes, G. R. (2024). *Adaptive multipole models of optically pumped magnetometer data*. Wiley Online Library. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.26596

Westner, B. U., Dalal, S. S., Gramfort, A., Litvak, V., Mosher, J. C., Oostenveld, R., & Schoffelen, J.-M. (2022). A unified view on beamformers for m/EEG source reconstruction. *NeuroImage*, *246*, 118789. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118789

Worsley, K. J., Marrett, S., Neelin, P., Vandal, A. C., Friston, K. J., & Evans, A. C. (1996). A unified statistical approach for determining significant signals in images of cerebral activation. *Human Brain Mapping*, *4*(1), 58–73. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0193(1996)4:1%3C58::AID-HBM4%3E3.0.CO;2-O

Yan, Y., Balbastre, Y., Brudfors, M., & Ashburner, J. (2022). Factorisation-based image labelling. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *15*, 818604. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2021.818604