

# <sup>1</sup> LowLevelFEM.jl: A lightweight finite element toolbox <sup>2</sup> in Julia

3 Balázs Pere  1

<sup>4</sup> 1 Department of Applied Mechanics, Széchenyi István University, Győr, Hungary

DOI: [10.xxxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review ↗](#)
  - [Repository ↗](#)
  - [Archive ↗](#)

Editor: Prashant Jha

### Reviewers:

- @cfarm6
  - @Tim Josephson

Submitted: 07 September 2025

**Published:** unpublished

## License

Authors of papers retain copyright  
and release the work under a  
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0  
International License ([CC BY 4.0](#))

## Summary

6 LowLevelFEM.jl is a finite element method (FEM) ([Zienkiewicz & Taylor, 2005](#)) toolbox written  
7 entirely in the Julia programming language ([Bezanson et al., 2017](#)). Its design philosophy  
8 emphasizes **simplicity, transparency, and performance**, making it suitable for both educational  
9 and research purposes in mechanics and engineering. Unlike large frameworks that rely on  
10 domain-specific languages or compiled backends, LowLevelFEM provides users with direct  
11 access to FEM building blocks in Julia, enabling full control over discretization, assembly, and  
12 solution steps.

The package currently supports two- and three-dimensional solid mechanics problems including plane stress, plane strain, axisymmetric, and 3D solid analyses. Its minimalist design lowers the entry barrier for students while still offering enough flexibility for advanced users to inspect and control algorithms step by step, process intermediate results during the solution procedure, and perform operations with scalar, vector, and tensor fields. LowLevelFEM uses Gmsh (Geuzaine & Remacle, 2009) as its pre- and post-processor, ensuring compatibility with a widely adopted meshing and visualization tool. The toolbox also supports geometrically nonlinear formulations based on a Total Lagrangian framework and energy-driven constitutive modeling, enabling research-level investigations beyond linear elasticity.

22 Thanks to Julia's just-in-time compilation and multiple dispatch, the code remains concise  
23 while achieving performance comparable to traditional FEM codes in C/C++ or Fortran.  
24 LowLevelFEM is released under the MIT license and distributed via the Julia General registry.  
25 Documentation, tutorials, and examples are available at <https://juliahub.com/ui/Packages/General/LowLevelFEM> or <https://perebalazs.github.io/LowLevelFEM.jl/stable/>.

## Example

Below is a simple example illustrating a typical LowLevelFEM workflow using Gmsh for pre- and post-processing:

using LowLevelFEM

```
# `gmsh` is exported by LowLevelFEM
gmsh.initialize()
gmsh.open("model.geo")
```

```
mat = Material("body", E=2e5, ν=0.3)
```

```
prob = Problem([mat], type=:PlaneStress) # :Solid, :PlaneStrain,
```

```
bc      = displacementConstraint("supp", ux=0, uy=0)
force = load("load", fy=-1)
```

```

u = solveDisplacement(prob, load=[force], support=[bc])
S = solveStress(u)

```

```

showDoFResults(u)
showDoFResults(u, :ux)
showStressResults(S)
showStressResults(S, :sxy, name="Shear stress")

```

```

openPostProcessor()
gmsh.finalize()

```

30 Note: physical group names in the geometry (created in Gmsh) must match the strings used  
 31 above (e.g., "body", "supp", "load").

32 Alternatively, a lower-level sequence:

```

K = stiffnessMatrix(prob)
f = loadVector(prob, [force])
u = solveDisplacement(K, f, support=[bc])

```

```

# Simple Hooke's law stress computation
E = mat.E
ν = mat.ν
A = (u ∘ ∇ + ∇ ∘ u) / 2
I = TensorField(prob, "body", [1 0 0; 0 1 0; 0 0 1])
S = E / (1 + ν) * (A + ν / (1 - 2ν) * trace(A) * I)

```

### 33 Statement of need

34 Finite element simulations are essential in many fields of engineering, especially in solid  
 35 mechanics and structural analysis. However, educational and research communities often face  
 36 two challenges:

- 37 1. **Accessibility:** Commercial FEM packages are expensive and closed-source, limiting their  
 38 use in academic teaching and reproducible research.
- 39 2. **Extensibility:** Large open-source frameworks such as FEniCS ([Logg et al., 2012](#)) or deal.II  
 40 ([Bangerth et al., 2015](#)) provide powerful high-level interfaces but are difficult to extend  
 41 at the low-level assembly stage without diving into C++ backends.

42 LowLevelFEM addresses these challenges by offering a **lightweight Julia-only implementation**  
 43 that exposes all the core FEM routines directly in the high-level language. This makes the  
 44 package particularly well-suited for:

- 45 ▪ Teaching FEM concepts in undergraduate and graduate courses.
- 46 ▪ Rapid prototyping of new FEM formulations and **non-standard algorithms**.
- 47 ▪ Research projects where step-by-step inspection of the solution process and manipulation  
 48 of intermediate fields is required.
- 49 ▪ Demonstrations of Julia's potential as a performant and expressive language for numerical  
 50 mechanics ([Bezanson et al., 2017](#)).

51 By combining transparent algorithms with Julia's scientific ecosystem (e.g. LinearAlgebra.jl,  
 52 Plots.jl) and by relying on **Gmsh** ([Geuzaine & Remacle, 2009](#)) for pre- and post-processing,  
 53 LowLevelFEM serves as a bridge between pedagogy and advanced research workflows. It  
 54 also complements existing Julia FEM frameworks such as Gridap.jl ([Badia et al., 2020](#)) and  
 55 interfaces naturally with linear algebra tools like Arpack.jl ([Knyazev, 2017](#)).

## <sup>56</sup> State of the field

<sup>57</sup> Several finite element frameworks are available in the Julia ecosystem and beyond. High-level  
<sup>58</sup> Julia packages such as Gridap.jl ([Badia et al., 2020](#)) provide domain-specific abstractions for  
<sup>59</sup> variational formulations, while Ferrite.jl and JuAFEM.jl focus on flexible but more structured  
<sup>60</sup> implementations. Outside Julia, frameworks such as FEniCS ([Logg et al., 2012](#)) and deal.II  
<sup>61</sup> ([Bangerth et al., 2015](#)) offer powerful and mature solutions implemented primarily in C++.

<sup>62</sup> LowLevelFEM differs from these frameworks in its explicit low-level design philosophy.  
<sup>63</sup> Instead of abstracting away the assembly process through domain-specific languages or  
<sup>64</sup> symbolic formulations, it exposes stiffness matrix construction, load vector assembly, and  
<sup>65</sup> boundary condition handling directly in Julia code. This makes it particularly suitable for  
<sup>66</sup> educational purposes and for researchers who need full algorithmic transparency and control  
<sup>67</sup> over intermediate computational steps.

## <sup>68</sup> Software design

<sup>69</sup> LowLevelFEM is structured around a minimal set of core abstractions. The Problem type  
<sup>70</sup> encapsulates material definitions, physical groups imported from Gmsh, and analysis settings  
<sup>71</sup> (e.g., plane stress, 3D solid, heat conduction). Assembly routines such as `stiffnessMatrix`,  
<sup>72</sup> `loadVector`, and `applyBoundaryConditions!` operate directly on these problem definitions.

<sup>73</sup> The package separates:

- <sup>74</sup> ▪ mesh and geometry handling (delegated to Gmsh),
- <sup>75</sup>
- <sup>76</sup> ▪ operator assembly,
- <sup>77</sup>
- <sup>78</sup> ▪ solution procedures,
- <sup>79</sup>
- <sup>80</sup> ▪ post-processing of scalar, vector, and tensor fields.

<sup>81</sup> This modular structure allows users to either follow a high-level workflow (`solveDisplacement`,  
<sup>82</sup> `solveStress`) or construct custom pipelines at a lower level. The implementation leverages  
<sup>83</sup> Julia's multiple dispatch and just-in-time compilation to maintain readable code while achieving  
<sup>84</sup> competitive performance.

<sup>85</sup> In addition to linear formulations, the software includes a Total Lagrangian nonlinear pipeline  
<sup>86</sup> based on strain energy functions. Constitutive models can be defined through free energy  
<sup>87</sup> densities, from which stress measures and corresponding tangent operators are automatically  
<sup>88</sup> derived. This operator-oriented design makes it possible to experiment with alternative bilinear  
<sup>89</sup> and nonlinear forms without modifying compiled backends.

<sup>90</sup> The package provides algebraic and differential operations on scalar, vector, and tensor fields,  
<sup>91</sup> allowing users to compose continuum-mechanics expressions directly in Julia syntax, including,  
<sup>92</sup> for example, gradient, divergence, tensor contraction, and trace operations defined at the  
<sup>93</sup> discrete level. This feature is particularly valuable for educational demonstrations and rapid  
<sup>94</sup> prototyping of new formulations.

<sup>95</sup>

## <sup>96</sup> Research impact statement

<sup>97</sup> LowLevelFEM supports both educational and research activities in computational mechanics.  
<sup>98</sup> In teaching, it enables students to inspect finite element algorithms step by step without  
<sup>99</sup> switching languages or interacting with opaque compiled backends. In research, it facilitates  
<sup>100</sup> rapid prototyping of new constitutive models, nonlinear formulations, and custom operators.

101 The package has been used in undergraduate and graduate mechanics courses and serves as a  
102 foundation for ongoing developments in nonlinear and multi-field finite element formulations. Its  
103 open-source MIT license and integration with the Julia ecosystem promote reproducible research  
104 and extensibility. Ongoing developments aim to extend the framework toward multi-field finite  
105 element formulations while preserving the same transparent operator-level philosophy.

## 106 AI usage disclosure

107 Generative AI tools were used for minor language editing and documentation refinement. All  
108 scientific concepts, algorithms, and software implementations were designed, developed, and  
109 verified by the author.

110

---

## 111 References

- 112 Badia, S., Martín, A., & Verdugo, F. (2020). Gridap: An extensible finite element toolbox in  
113 julia. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 5(52), 2520. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.0250>
- 114 Bangerth, W., Heister, T., Heltai, L., Kanschat, G., Kronbichler, M., Maier, M., Turcksin,  
115 B., & Young, D. (2015). The deal.II library, version 8.2. *Archive of Numerical Software*,  
116 3(100), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.11588/ans.2015.100.20553>
- 117 Bezanson, J., Edelman, A., Karpinski, S., & Shah, V. B. (2017). Julia: A fresh approach to  
118 numerical computing. *SIAM Review*, 59(1), 65–98. <https://doi.org/10.1137/141000671>
- 119 Geuzaine, C., & Remacle, J.-F. (2009). Gmsh: A three-dimensional finite element mesh  
120 generator with built-in pre- and post-processing facilities. *International Journal for Numerical  
121 Methods in Engineering*, 79(11), 1309–1331. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nme.2579>
- 122 Knyazev, A. (2017). Arpack.jl: A julia interface to ARPACK. *Journal of Open Source Software*,  
123 2(12), 142. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00142>
- 124 Logg, A., Mardal, K.-A., & Wells, G. (2012). *Automated solution of differential equations by  
125 the finite element method: The FEniCS book*. Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-23099-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-<br/>126 642-23099-8)
- 127 Zienkiewicz, O. C., & Taylor, R. L. (2005). *The finite element method* (6th ed.).  
128 Butterworth-Heinemann.