

# <sup>1</sup> BordAX: A High-Performance JAX Framework for Programmatic Reinforcement Learning

<sup>3</sup> Roman Kniazev  <sup>1</sup> and Nathanaël Fijalkow  <sup>1</sup>

<sup>4</sup> 1 CNRS, LaBRI, University of Bordeaux, France

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

## Software

- <sup>5</sup> [Review](#) 
- <sup>6</sup> [Repository](#) 
- <sup>7</sup> [Archive](#) 

Editor: 

Submitted: 13 February 2026

Published: unpublished

## License

Authors of papers retain copyright

and release the work under a

Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

International License ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)).

## <sup>5</sup> Summary

<sup>6</sup> BordAX is a Python framework for reinforcement learning (RL) built on JAX (Bradbury et al., 2018). It provides a modular, fully JIT-compilable training pipeline that supports multiple policy representations, including standard multilayer perceptrons (MLPs), differentiable decision trees (DTSemNet), and boolean function networks (HyperBool). BordAX currently implements Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO) (Schulman et al., 2017) and Deep Q-Networks (DQN) (Mnih et al., 2015), and is designed so that additional algorithms can be composed from interchangeable collector, batch-builder, and updater components. The framework supports both Gymnax (Lange, 2022) environments, which enable full JIT compilation of the training loop via `jax.lax.scan`, and standard Gymnasium (Brockman et al., 2016) environments. On a CartPole-v1 benchmark with identical hyperparameters, BordAX with Gymnax achieves approximately 3.2 times higher throughput than Stable-Baselines3 (Raffin et al., 2021).

## <sup>17</sup> Statement of need

<sup>18</sup> Programmatic Reinforcement Learning (PRL) is a subfield of RL concerned with learning structured, interpretable policies such as decision trees, boolean expressions, or symbolic programs, rather than opaque neural networks (Landajuela et al., 2021; Verma et al., 2018). Researchers in PRL often need to train and evaluate several types of policy representations under the same algorithmic conditions. Existing RL frameworks are typically built around a fixed neural network policy and do not provide straightforward mechanisms for swapping in non-standard architectures like differentiable decision trees (Silva et al., 2020) or boolean networks.

<sup>26</sup> Stable-Baselines3 (Raffin et al., 2021) is a widely used PyTorch-based RL library, but its reliance on Python-level iteration and PyTorch's eager execution model limits throughput. PureJaxRL (Lu, 2023) demonstrated that implementing the entire RL training loop in JAX and compiling it end-to-end via XLA yields large speedups, but it is structured as a collection of standalone scripts rather than a reusable library with modular components. Brax (Freeman et al., 2021) provides JIT-compiled environments and training but is focused on continuous control in simulated physics rather than serving as a general-purpose RL framework with pluggable policy types.

<sup>34</sup> BordAX addresses these gaps by providing:

- <sup>35</sup> <sup>36</sup> A modular architecture in which the algorithm is defined as the composition of a data collector, a batch builder, and a parameter updater, making it straightforward to implement new algorithms or modify existing ones.
- <sup>38</sup> <sup>39</sup> First-class support for non-neural policy representations (differentiable decision trees and boolean function networks) alongside standard MLPs, enabling controlled comparisons across policy types.

- 41     ▪ Full JIT compilation of the training loop when using Gymnax environments, and JIT
  - 42       compilation of the update step when using Gymnasium environments.
  - 43     ▪ A clean functional design compatible with JAX transformations (`jax.jit`, `jax.vmap`,
  - 44       `jax.grad`).
- 45     The target audience is researchers working on programmatic or interpretable reinforcement
- 46       learning who need a fast, modular framework for experimenting with different policy
- 47       representations and algorithms.

## 48     State of the field

49     Several frameworks exist for reinforcement learning in Python.

50     Stable-Baselines3 (Raffin et al., 2021) is among the most widely used. It provides reliable

51       implementations of standard algorithms (PPO, DQN, SAC, TD3, A2C) built on PyTorch. Its

52       design prioritizes usability and correctness, but training throughput is limited by Python-level

53       environment stepping and PyTorch's eager execution.

54     PureJaxRL (Lu, 2023) showed that writing the entire training loop in JAX and compiling it

55       with XLA can yield order-of-magnitude speedups over PyTorch-based frameworks. However,

56       PureJaxRL is organized as individual training scripts rather than a library with reusable,

57       composable components, and it does not support non-neural policy architectures.

58     Brax (Freeman et al., 2021) provides JIT-compiled physics simulation environments and training

59       utilities for continuous control. It is tightly coupled to its own environment interface and is

60       not designed as a general-purpose RL framework with interchangeable policy types.

61     Gymnax (Lange, 2022) offers JAX-based reimplementations of classic RL environments, enabling

62       `jax.vmap` vectorization and `jax.lax.scan` loop compilation. It provides environments but not

63       training infrastructure.

64     BordAX builds on Gymnax and JAX to provide a complete, modular training framework. Unlike

65       PureJaxRL, BordAX factors the training pipeline into composable components (collectors,

66       batch builders, updaters, loss functions) defined through abstract interfaces, allowing new

67       algorithms to be added by implementing a small number of well-defined functions. Unlike

68       Stable-Baselines3, BordAX achieves significantly higher throughput through JIT compilation.

69       Unlike any of the above, BordAX includes built-in support for non-neural policy representations

70       (differentiable decision trees and boolean function networks), which are relevant for research

71       on interpretable RL (Silva et al., 2020; Topin et al., 2021; Verma et al., 2018).

## 72     Software design

73     BordAX is organized into five modules:

- 74       ▪ **Agents** define the policy and value function interfaces. The abstract Agent class
- 75        specifies `init`, `policy`, `action`, and `value` methods. Concrete implementations
- 76        include `MLPPolicyValue` for discrete action spaces and `MLPPolicyValueContinuous` for
- 77        continuous action spaces. Each agent accepts a `policy_architecture` parameter that
- 78        selects among MLP, DTSemNet (differentiable decision tree), or HyperBool (boolean
- 79        function network) policy heads, all implemented as Flax (Heek et al., 2020) modules. A
- 80        DQNAgent provides Q-value estimation for off-policy learning.
- 81       ▪ **Algorithms** are defined as named tuples of three components: a Collector, a
- 82        BatchBuilder, and an Updater. Factory functions `ppo_algo()` and `dqn_algo()` wire
- 83        these components together with appropriate hyperparameters and loss functions. This
- 84        decomposition means that implementing a new algorithm requires writing at most three

85 small, focused components. The `Algorithm.train_step` method orchestrates a single  
86 training iteration: collect data, build batches, and update parameters.

87 ▪ **Data** provides the data collection and batching pipeline. `OnPolicyCollector`  
88 gathers rollouts using `jax.lax.scan` for JIT-compiled environments or a Python  
89 loop for Gymnasium environments. `EpsGreedyCollector` implements epsilon-greedy  
90 exploration for DQN. Batch builders are composable: `FullBufferBatch`, `MiniBatch`,  
91 and `NormalizeAdvantagesTargets` can be chained via `ComposedBatchBuilder`. A  
92 `ReplayBuffer` provides ring-buffer storage for off-policy methods.

93 ▪ **Environments** provide an `EnvAdapter` abstraction over `Gymax` and `Gymnasium`.  
94 `EnvGymaxAdapter` wraps `Gymax` environments with `jax.vmap` for vectorized execution  
95 and `jax.jit` for compilation. `EnvGymnasiumAdapter` wraps standard `Gymnasium`  
96 environments, handling the JAX-to-NumPy boundary. Both expose the same reset,  
97 `step`, `action_space`, and `obs_space` interface.

98 ▪ **Training** provides the `Trainer` class, which manages the training loop including  
99 initialization, warmup (for off-policy methods), epoch execution, evaluation, logging  
100 (with optional Weights & Biases integration), and checkpointing (via Orbax). When the  
101 environment is JIT-compilable and the algorithm is on-policy, the entire `train_step` is  
102 JIT-compiled. Otherwise, only the update step is JIT-compiled.

103 A key design decision is the use of pure functional state passing throughout the framework. All  
104 state (environment state, training state, optimizer state, replay buffer) is passed explicitly, with  
105 no hidden mutation. This makes the code compatible with JAX's functional transformation  
106 model and ensures that the training loop can be compiled end-to-end when conditions allow.

107 Loss functions are implemented as callable classes inheriting from `LossFn`. PPO uses  
108 a composite loss comprising `SurrogateLoss` (clipped policy gradient), `ValueLoss`, and  
109 `EntropyLoss`, each accepting schedule functions for their coefficients. DQN uses `DQNLoss`  
110 with a configurable base loss (squared error or Huber loss). Optimization is handled by Optax  
111 ([Hessel et al., 2020](#)), and probability distributions are provided by Distrax ([Budden et al.,](#)  
112 [2021](#)).

## 113 Research impact statement

114 BordAX was developed to support research on programmatic reinforcement learning. Its  
115 modular design enables systematic comparison of different policy representations (neural  
116 networks, decision trees, boolean functions) under identical algorithmic and environmental  
117 conditions. The framework is publicly available on GitHub under the MIT license and includes  
118 automated tests with 77% code coverage.

119 On a CartPole-v1 benchmark using PPO with identical hyperparameters across five random  
120 seeds and 51,200 timesteps, BordAX with Gymax completes training in 4.26 seconds (12,027  
121 steps/s), compared to 13.79 seconds (3,714 steps/s) for Stable-Baselines3, a 3.2x throughput  
122 improvement. With Gymnasium environments, BordAX achieves 8,021 steps/s (2.2x speedup),  
123 demonstrating that JAX-based optimization of the update step alone provides a meaningful  
124 improvement. The benchmark script is included in the repository for reproducibility.

## 125 AI usage disclosure

126 GitHub Copilot was used to assist with code generation, documentation drafting, and paper  
127 authoring during the development of this project. All AI-generated content was reviewed,  
128 edited, and validated by the human authors, who made all core design and architectural  
129 decisions.

## 130 Acknowledgements

131 The authors acknowledge the developers of JAX, Flax, Optax, Gymnax, Distrax, and the  
132 broader JAX ecosystem, on which BordAX is built.

## 133 References

- 134 Bradbury, J., Frostig, R., Hawkins, P., Johnson, M. J., Leary, C., Maclaurin, D., Necula, G.,  
135 Paszke, A., VanderPlas, J., Wanderman-Milne, S., & Zhang, Q. (2018). *JAX: Composable*  
136 *transformations of Python+NumPy programs* (Version 0.3.13). <http://github.com/jax-ml/jax>
- 138 Brockman, G., Cheung, V., Pettersson, L., Schneider, J., Schulman, J., Tang, J., & Zaremba, W.  
139 (2016). OpenAI Gym. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:1606.01540*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.01540>
- 140 Budden, D., Hessel, M., Quan, J., Kapturowski, S., Baumli, K., Bhupatiraju, S., Guy, A., &  
141 King, M. (2021). *Distrax: Probability distributions in JAX*. <http://github.com/google-deepmind/distrax>
- 143 Freeman, C. D., Frey, E., Raichuk, A., Girgin, S., Mordatch, I., & Bachem, O. (2021). *Brax –*  
144 *a differentiable physics engine for large scale rigid body simulation*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2106.13281>
- 146 Heek, J., Levskaya, A., Oliver, A., Ritter, M., Rondepierre, B., Steiner, A., & Zee, M.  
147 van. (2020). *Flax: A neural network library and ecosystem for JAX* (Version 0.5.0).  
148 <http://github.com/google/flax>
- 149 Hessel, M., Budden, D., Viola, F., Rosca, M., Sezener, E., & Hennigan, T. (2020). *Optax:*  
150 *Composable gradient transformation and optimisation, in JAX* (Version 0.0.6). <http://github.com/deepmind/optax>
- 152 Landajuela, M., Petersen, B. K., Kim, S., Santiago, C. P., Glatt, R., Mundhenk, N., Pettit, J.  
153 F., & Faissol, D. (2021). Discovering symbolic policies with deep reinforcement learning.  
154 *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning*, 5979–5989.
- 155 Lange, R. T. (2022). *gymnas: A JAX-based reinforcement learning environment library*  
156 (Version 0.0.4). <http://github.com/RobertTLange/gymnas>
- 157 Lu, C. (2023). *PureJaxRL: High-performance reinforcement learning in pure JAX*. <https://github.com/luchris429/purejaxrl>
- 159 Mnih, V., Kavukcuoglu, K., Silver, D., Rusu, A. A., Veness, J., Bellemare, M. G., Graves,  
160 A., Riedmiller, M., Fidjeland, A. K., Ostrovski, G., Petersen, S., Beattie, C., Sadik, A.,  
161 Antonoglou, I., King, H., Kumaran, D., Wierstra, D., Legg, S., & Hassabis, D. (2015).  
162 Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. *Nature*, 518(7540), 529–533.  
163 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14236>
- 164 Raffin, A., Hill, A., Gleave, A., Kanervisto, A., Ernestus, M., & Dormann, N. (2021). Stable-  
165 Baselines3: Reliable reinforcement learning implementations. *Journal of Machine Learning*  
166 *Research*, 22(268), 1–8. <http://jmlr.org/papers/v22/20-1364.html>
- 167 Schulman, J., Wolski, F., Dhariwal, P., Radford, A., & Klimov, O. (2017). Proximal policy  
168 optimization algorithms. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:1707.06347*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.06347>
- 170 Silva, A., Gombolay, M., Killian, T., Jimenez, I., & Son, S.-H. (2020). Optimization methods  
171 for interpretable differentiable decision trees applied to reinforcement learning. *Proceedings*  
172 *of the International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, 1855–1865.
- 173 Topin, N., Milani, S., Fang, F., & Veloso, M. (2021). Iterative bounding MDPs: Learning

<sup>174</sup> interpretable policies via non-interpretable methods. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference  
175 on Artificial Intelligence*, 35(11), 9923–9931.

<sup>176</sup> Verma, A., Murali, V., Singh, R., Kohli, P., & Chaudhuri, S. (2018). Programmatically  
177 interpretable reinforcement learning. *Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on  
178 Machine Learning*, 5045–5054.

DRAFT