

Maui: A Python Visualization Framework for Ecoacoustics Data

Caio Ferreira Bernardo¹ and Maria Cristina Ferreira de Oliveira¹

¹ Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação - Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Carlos, Brazil

DOI: 10.xxxxxx/draft

Software

- Review
- Repository
- Archive

Editor: Nick Golding

Reviewers:

- @markur4
- @louisfh

Submitted: 10 September 2025

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

Summary

Passive Acoustic Monitoring (PAM) technology generates vast volumes of environmental audio recordings. There is a diverse range of tools, either to compute mathematical features (acoustic indices) or to perform machine learning tasks such as classification. However, researchers still lack unified tools for visually exploring soundscape repositories. We introduce Maui, an open-source Python framework designed to simplify exploratory analysis of large ecoacoustic datasets by placing visualization directly into the PAM workflow. Maui provides modules for data ingestion and metadata parsing, supports flexible incorporation of user-computed acoustic indices, and offers a suite of high-level plotting functions. It includes methods for creating false-color spectrograms (M. Towsey et al., 2014), customizable Diel plots, and radar, violin, and parallel plots for multivariate index comparison. These visualizations are useful to reveal temporal, spatial, and taxonomic patterns at scale. With a modular architecture, Maui leverages existing Python libraries for bioacoustic data processing, while focusing on interactive, publication-quality graphics that facilitate hypothesis generation and large-scale soundscape synthesis. By filling a gap in ecoacoustic visual data analytics and bringing together visualizations drawn from acoustic ecology literature (Phillips et al., 2018; M. Towsey et al., 2014; M. W. Towsey et al., 2015), Maui helps researchers study soundscape dynamics.

Statement of need

The sounds originating from anthrophonic, biophonic, and geophonic sources in a landscape define its soundscape (Pijanowski et al., 2011). Substantial amounts of acoustic data, particularly from biophonic sources, can be captured using low-cost autonomous recorders deployed for Passive Acoustic Monitoring (PAM) (Browning et al., 2017). An active research line in environmental ecology, acoustic ecology addresses the study of natural soundscapes (Grinfeder et al., 2022). The discipline relies heavily on computational methods for audio data processing and analysis (Napier et al., 2024; Pijanowski et al., 2024).

A diversity of PAM data processing pipelines are described in the literature. In the particular context of detecting the presence of animal species in the recordings, (Gibb et al., 2019) defines a seven-step pipeline (see 1). Departing from data acquisition, sampling the recordings before analysis is often necessary, given the large data volumes collected. Researchers extract the associated metadata from the resulting subset of audio files, including location, time, climate conditions, and recorder type. A fourth step involves preprocessing the audio files, e.g., to reduce noise and emphasize relevant signals. Researchers can then perform acoustic event detection and labeling, e.g., to identify animal species. This typically involves multiple iterations of computing metrics such as acoustic features, ecological indices, and conducting statistical analyses of intermediate results to gain a comprehensive understanding of the soundscape.

As PAM pipelines are instantiated multiple times (see Figure 1), researchers typically accumulate

42 vast datasets of audio recordings collected at multiple sites over extended periods. These large
43 repositories of environmental acoustic recordings are a valuable source of knowledge when
44 analyzed from a global perspective. Knowledge extraction requires practical software tools and
45 libraries to streamline data exploration and analysis. Analysts need flexibility to investigate
46 their accumulated data from multiple perspectives, considering different data and metadata
47 properties. Conducting global investigations can uncover insights beyond previous soundscape
48 analyses, help identify potential improvements in existing practices and methodologies, and
49 support large-scale PAM data analysis in the long term (Napier et al., 2024).




Figure 1: Multiple executions of the PAM pipeline generate soundscape repositories amenable to exploration with visualization methods.

50 Data visualization is a powerful tool for extracting insights in this inherently exploratory
51 context. It enables the representation of metadata and acoustic features across time and
52 locations, providing comprehensive overviews of the data repositories from multiple perspectives.
53 Compelling visualizations can enhance data exploration and summarization beyond the standard
54 processing pipeline. We consider an extended PAM pipeline that integrates visualization into a
55 framework to promote exploratory analysis of the data accumulated in acoustic repositories
56 resulting from multiple instantiations of the standard pipeline, as illustrated in Figure 1. The
57 dissemination of acoustic ecology practices has motivated many open-source tools and libraries
58 to facilitate tasks such as computing acoustic features and applying machine learning algorithms.
59 Following this trend, we introduce Maui, a Python package built on top of Plotly to support
60 visual exploratory tasks on ecoacoustic data repositories.

61 Modules architecture and useflow

62 Maui implements methods that focuses primarily on creating visualizations that require the
63 computation of acoustic features. We assume users already have their preferred tools for this
64 computation. A brief description of each module follows.

65 **File Metadata:** a helper module, it provides methods to decode the relevant metadata values
66 (e.g., location, date, time) encoded in audio file names. It is common practice to adopt some file
67 naming template to encode information; e.g., a file named "LEEC02_20161202_050100_br"
68 refers to an acoustic recording obtained in a landscape identified as "LEEC02" on December
69 2, 2016, recording capture started at time 05h:01m from a device placed at an environment
70 identified as "br". This module provides a method for users to specify how metadata must be
71 decoded from a given naming template. Once the encoding policy is informed, the method
72 parses the file names to extract the corresponding metadata values.

73 **IO:** implements multiple input and output methods, e.g., to load a single file or an entire
74 dataset consisting of multiple audio files and create a Python data frame that incorporates
75 the extracted metadata, as per the policy defined by the previous module. This module exists
76 so that users can focus on understanding the data without being concerned with low-level

operations, such as parsing metadata from file names to obtain the data frame. The remaining methods from this and other modules will operate on the resulting data frame.

Samples: a utility module to retrieve a small sample dataset already embedded in Maui for demonstration purposes.

EDA: facilitates creating visualizations that convey overviews of the dataset stored in the Python data frame, depicting data sample distributions across multiple user-defined dimensions, such as date, time, and location. It includes methods to generate summary reports, duration analysis views, daily distribution views, heatmaps, and histograms.

Acoustic Indices: Maui does not include modules or methods for acoustic index computation or feature extraction. Instead, this module provides an interface to incorporate into the working data frame the audio features obtained using some user-defined method or external tools. As feature computation on large datasets can be computationally demanding, we considered it necessary to streamline the acoustic feature computation task.

Visualizations: a core module that incorporates methods to create visualizations of audio data with a few lines of code, simplifying data exploration tasks.

Utils: another utility module that implements methods for data preprocessing operations, such as audio segmentation and data preparation steps required, e.g., to create false color spectrograms.

Figure 2 shows the different modules, their relationships, and the major tasks they implement. Each module focuses on a specific task and operates independently from the others. Still, they interact as a user executes data processing tasks and creates data visualizations. As such, they together implement a complete data visualization solution. The *IO* module is central to Maui because it provides methods that simplify the data loading process. Nonetheless, it is not required to load a dataset, as long as the user provides the required data frame. A complete example of each method and resulting visualizations created from real world datasets are available at example notebooks hosted on [GitHub](#)¹.




Figure 2: Flow of Maui software usage: each activity is represented within the respective module where it is performed.

Related Work

There are several softwares solutions that address acoustic ecology challenges, but, as far as we are concerned, Maui is the first visualization framework developed specifically to meet the needs

¹<https://github.com/maui-software/maui-software-examples>

of acoustic ecology. In the realm of open source Python packages, Scikit-maad (Ulloa et al., 2021) and Open Soundscape (Lapp et al., 2023) are tools that complement Maui. Scikit-maad encompasses a complete workflow to load, preprocess, and transform data, find regions of interest, compute temporal and spectral acoustic indices, estimate sound pressure levels, and calculate the distance from an audio source to the recording device. Open Soundscape is focused on data classification and spatial localization of acoustic events. The package includes methods for training convolutional neural networks (CNNs), performing data augmentation, and other utility functions required to execute machine learning tasks.

Acknowledgements

This project was supported by grants from the State of São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP 2021/08322-3) and the Brazilian Research Council (CNPq 301847/2017-7). We thank Dr. Milton Cezar Ribeiro, from LEEC, for insightful discussions.

References

- Browning, E., Gibb, R., Glover-Kapfer, P., & Jones, K. E. (2017). *Passive acoustic monitoring in ecology and conservation*.
- Gibb, R., Browning, E., Glover-Kapfer, P., & Jones, K. E. (2019). Emerging opportunities and challenges for passive acoustics in ecological assessment and monitoring. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 10(2), 169–185.
- Grinfeder, E., Lorenzi, C., Hauptert, S., & Sueur, J. (2022). What do we mean by “soundscape”? A functional description. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 10, 894232.
- Lapp, S., Rhinehart, T., Freeland-Haynes, L., Khilnani, J., Syunkova, A., & Kitzes, J. (2023). OpenSoundscape: An open-source bioacoustics analysis package for Python. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 14(9), 2321–2328.
- Napier, T., Ahn, E., Allen-Ankins, S., Schwarzkopf, L., & Lee, I. (2024). Advancements in preprocessing, detection and classification techniques for ecoacoustic data: A comprehensive review for large-scale passive acoustic monitoring. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 252, 124220. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2024.124220>
- Phillips, Y. F., Towsey, M., & Roe, P. (2018). Revealing the ecological content of long-duration audio-recordings of the environment through clustering and visualisation. *PloS One*, 13(3), e0193345.
- Pijanowski, B. C., Fuenzalida, F. R., Banerjee, S., Minghim, R., Lima, S. L., Bowers-Sword, R., Guzman, S. R., Revuelta-Acosta, J., Adeniji, A. E., Grimes, S. E., Sarker, S. K., Hossain, Md. R., Anika, T. T., & Savage, D. (2024). Soundscape analytics: A new frontier of knowledge discovery in soundscape data. *Current Landscape Ecology Reports*, 9(4), 88–107. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40823-024-00101-9>
- Pijanowski, B. C., Villanueva-Rivera, L. J., Dumyahn, S. L., Farina, A., Krause, B. L., Napoletano, B. M., Gage, S. H., & Pieretti, N. (2011). Soundscape ecology: The science of sound in the landscape. *BioScience*, 61(3), 203–216.
- Towsey, M. W., Truskinger, A. M., & Roe, P. (2015). The navigation and visualisation of environmental audio using zooming spectrograms. *2015 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining Workshop (ICDMW)*, 788–797.
- Towsey, M., Zhang, L., Cottman-Fields, M., Wimmer, J., Zhang, J., & Roe, P. (2014). Visualization of long-duration acoustic recordings of the environment. *Procedia Computer Science*, 29, 703–712.

150 Ulloa, J. S., Hauptert, S., Latorre, J. F., Aubin, T., & Sueur, J. (2021). Scikit-maad: An
151 open-source and modular toolbox for quantitative soundscape analysis in Python. *Methods*
152 *in Ecology and Evolution*, 12(12), 2334–2340.

DRAFT