

PVDeg: a python package for modeling degradation on solar photovoltaic systems

Rajiv Daxini  ¹, Silvana Ovaitt  ¹, Martin Springer  ¹, Tobin Ford  ¹, and Michael Kempe  ¹

¹ National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL)

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: 

Submitted: 05 December 2025

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)).

Summary

PVDeg is an open-source Python package for modeling photovoltaic (PV) degradation, developed at the National Laboratory of the Rockies (NLOR), previously known as National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), and supported by the Durable Module Materials (DuraMAT) consortium. It provides modular functions, materials databases, and calculation workflows for simulating degradation mechanisms (e.g., LeTID, hydrolysis, UV exposure) using weather data from the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB) and the Photovoltaic Geographical Information System (PVGIS). By integrating Monte Carlo uncertainty propagation and geospatial processing, PVDeg enables field-relevant predictions and uncertainty quantification of module reliability and lifetime.

PVDeg is developed openly on GitHub and releases are distributed via the Python Package Index (PyPi). The source code is freely available under the BSD 3-Clause license, and copyrighted by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy allowing permissive use with attribution. PVDeg follows best practices for open-source python software, with a robust testing framework across Python 3.x environments, semantic versioning, and supporting documentation available at pvdegradationtools.readthedocs.io.

Statement of Need

As PV deployment expands, especially into new and demanding operational environments, material degradation poses a challenge to the lifetime of PV modules. Modeling degradation is crucial for anticipating performance losses, guiding material selection, and enabling proactive maintenance strategies that extend the operational lifetime of PV modules in diverse environments. Existing PV modeling tools such as pvlib-python ([William F. Holmgren et al., 2018a](#)) and SAM ([Blair et al., 2018](#)) can simulate system energy yield, but not degradation. PVDeg fills this gap by providing modular degradation models, material databases, and uncertainty quantification workflows. PVDeg supports both research and industry use by automating degradation modeling, enabling reproducible studies of module lifetime and performance worldwide. It also supports ongoing standardization work, including contributions to IEC TS 63126 ([International Electrotechnical Commission, 2020](#)). PVDeg is an important component of a growing ecosystem of open-source tools for solar energy ([William F. Holmgren et al., 2018b](#)).




Figure 1: Example of geospatial degradation modeling in PVDeg: (a) calculated standoff distances for IEC TS 63126 across the continental U.S.

35 Software Functionality

36 Core Functions

37 The core API provides dedicated functions for calculating physical degradation mech-
 38 anisms, accessing material properties and environmental stressors. Examples include
 39 `pvdeg.humidity.module()` for moisture ingress modeling (Pickett & Coyle, 2013), and
 40 `pvdeg.letid.calc_letid_outdoors()` for modeling light and elevated temperature induced
 41 degradation (LeTID) (Joseph Karas et al., 2022; Repins et al., 2023). These functions rely on
 42 standardized environmental stressors such as temperature, irradiance, and humidity, and can
 43 be chained to produce lifetime predictions under realistic field conditions.

44 Scenario Class

45 To simplify complex workflows, PVDeg wraps its core functions into a Scenario class that
 46 defines locations, module configurations, and degradation mechanisms. This enables user-
 47 friendly workflows, simplifying the setup and execution of complex multi-parameter degradation
 48 studies. This layer provides an intuitive interface for multiple analyses of different degradation
 49 modes climates, and configurations. Tutorials in Jupyter notebooks and hosted examples on
 50 *Read the Docs* demonstrate full end-to-end analyses.

51 Geospatial Analysis

52 The geospatial analysis layer enables large-scale spatial analyses by automatically distributing
 53 degradation calculations across geographic regions using parallel processing and advanced data
 54 structures. It integrates environmental data from NSRDB and PVGIS and automates sampling
 55 across latitude-longitude grids to produce maps, such as standoff distance distribution used
 56 in IEC TS 63126 compliance studies (International Electrotechnical Commission, 2020). The
 57 geospatial layer includes specialized visualization functions for mapping results and supports
 58 both uniform and stochastic spatial sampling strategies to balance computational efficiency
 59 with geographic coverage. Parallelization routines are compatible with NREL's open-source
 60 `GeoGridFusion` framework (Ford et al., 2025; Ford, 2025), allowing users to down-select
 61 meteorological datasets efficiently and strategically, and execute computations without high-
 62 performance computing access. This capability supports national- and global-scale analyses of
 63 degradation phenomena.

64 Monte Carlo Framework

65 Laboratory-to-field extrapolation carries significant uncertainty in kinetic parameters. PVDeg's
66 Monte Carlo engine samples parameter distributions and their correlations to generate thou-
67 sands of realizations, producing confidence intervals on degradation rates rather than single
68 deterministic values. This capability, described in ([Springer et al., 2022](#)), can help quantify un-
69 certainty in complex and non-linear module lifetime predictions, and identify which parameters
70 most strongly affect reliability risk.

71 Tutorials and Tools

72 The tutorials and tools component of PVDeg consists of a comprehensive suite of Jupyter
73 notebooks that demonstrate practical workflows for modeling PV degradation. These notebooks
74 cover core degradation mechanisms, scenario setup, geospatial analysis, and uncertainty
75 quantification, providing step-by-step guidance for both new and advanced users. Each tutorial
76 is designed to be interactive and reproducible, enabling users to explore real-world datasets,
77 customize parameters, and visualize results. The notebooks support comparative studies
78 and integration with external meteorological data sources such as NSRDB and PVGIS. By
79 leveraging these notebooks, users can efficiently learn, apply, and extend PVDeg's capabilities
80 for research and industry applications. These tools make many aspects of PVDeg accessible to
81 novice Python programmers whose research focus is on the measurement of laboratory-based
82 acceleration factors.

83 Open datasets

84 A growing component of PVDeg is its compilation of community-driven open datasets for PV
85 degradation modeling. These databases include curated degradation parameters and material
86 property data, such as kinetic coefficients for common degradation mechanisms, UV-albedo
87 data, and permeation properties for materials (e.g., H₂O, O₂, acetic acid). The datasets are
88 continuously expanded and updated, serving as a growing resource for users to access validated
89 values for modeling and analysis. Users are encouraged to contribute their own data, enhancing
90 the collective knowledge base and supporting reproducible research. The core PVDeg API
91 also provides users with a means to seamlessly query these datasets and use them in their
92 own modeling workflows, analysis, and investigations. The development and maintenance of
93 these degradation databases and associated API calls also supports reproducible, reliable, and
94 field-relevant degradation modeling for the PV community.

95 Example Applications

96 Since its first release as PV Degradation Tools ([Holsapple et al., 2020](#)), PVDeg has been
97 adopted in multiple studies across the PV reliability community: * Thermal Stability and
98 IEC TS 63126 Compliance: Used to calculate effective standoff distances and generate public
99 maps supporting the IEC TS 63126 standard ([International Electrotechnical Commission,](#)
100 [2020](#)). * Light and Elevated Temperature Induced Degradation (LeTID): Integrated into the
101 international interlaboratory comparison study of LeTID effects in crystalline-silicon modules
102 ([Joseph Karas et al., 2022](#)) and follow-up analyses of field-aged arrays ([Joe Karas, 2024; Repins](#)
103 [et al., 2023](#)). * Geospatial Performance Modeling: Coupled with GeoGridFusion ([Ford, 2025](#))
104 to streamline weather-data storage and spatial queries for large-scale degradation simulations.
105 * Agrivoltaics and System-Level Modeling: Combined with PySAM ([Blair et al., 2018](#)) to
106 assess degradation-driven yield losses and ground-irradiance patterns in dual-use agrivoltaic
107 systems. ([Ovaitt et al., 2023](#)) * Material-Property Parameterization: Leveraged in studies
108 of UV-induced polymer degradation ([Kempe et al., 2023](#)) and moisture-related failures in
109 encapsulants and backsheets ([Coyle, 2011](#)).

110 These applications highlight PVDeg's versatility as the "PV Library of degradation phenomena"

111 — an open, community-driven platform linking materials science, environmental modeling, and
112 field performance.

113 Ongoing Development

114 Version 0.7.0 is the latest stable release, incorporating support for NSRDB PSM v4 weather
115 data, multi-material handling in the Scenario class, and compatibility with Python 3.13. The
116 Jupyter notebook tools and tutorials have also undergone major restructuring for improved
117 usability and clarity.

118 DuraMAT-funded projects will expand the degradation and material parameter databases using
119 large language model driven literature searches, and enhancing the Scenario class to enable
120 handling multiple materials and degradation pathways within the same workflow. This will
121 mitigate the need for users to design and execute Scenarios for different degradation pathways
122 and materials.

123 Acknowledgements

124 We acknowledge all code, documentation, and discussion contributors to the PVDeg project,
125 in particular Derek Holsapple for building the foundational Python code, and Aidan Wesley for
126 helping with data acquisition.

127 This work was authored by the National Laboratory of the Rockies for the U.S. Department of
128 Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided as part of the
129 Durable Modules Materials Consortium (DuraMAT), an Energy Materials Network Consortium
130 funded by the U S Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy,
131 Solar Energy Technologies Office Agreement Number 32509. The research was performed
132 using computational resources sponsored by the Department of Energy's Office of Energy
133 Efficiency and Renewable Energy and located at the National Laboratory of the Rockies.
134 The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or
135 the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the
136 article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up,
137 irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow
138 others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

139 References

- 140 Blair, N., DiOrio, N., Freeman, J., Gilman, P., Janzou, S., Neises, T., & Wagner, M.
141 (2018). *System Advisor Model (SAM) general description (version 2017.9.5)*. 1–19.
142 <https://doi.org/10.2172/1440404>
- 143 Coyle, D. J. (2011). Life prediction for CIGS solar modules part 1: Modeling moisture
144 ingress and degradation. *Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pip.1172>
- 146 Ford, T. (2025). *GeoGridFusion (open-source geospatial toolkit for solar data integration)*
147 [*SWR-25-19*]. National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), Golden, CO, United States;
148 National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) GitHub Repository. <https://doi.org/10.11578/dc.20250311.1>
- 150 Ford, T., Ovatt, S., Springer, M., Musleh, Y. J. K., & Kempe, M. (2025). Simplifying geospatial
151 workflows for PV modeling with GeoGridFusion. *2025 IEEE 53rd Photovoltaic Specialists
152 Conference (PVSC)*, 1572–1574. <https://doi.org/10.1109/PVSC59419.2025.11132420>
- 153 Holmgren, William F., Hansen, C. W., & Mikofski, M. M. (2018a). pvlb python: a python
154 package for modeling solar energy systems. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 3(29), 884.

- 155 <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00884>
- 156 Holmgren, William F., Hansen, C. W., Stein, J. S., & Mikofski, M. A. (2018b). Review of
157 open source tools for PV modeling. *2018 IEEE 45th Photovoltaic Specialists Conference*.
158 <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1401378>
- 159 Holsapple, D., Kempe, M., Ovatt, S., Springer, M., Brown, M., & Ford, T. (2020). *PV
160 degradation tools [SWR-20-71]*. National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), Golden,
161 CO, United States; National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) GitHub Repository.
162 <https://doi.org/10.11578/dc.20200714.1>
- 163 International Electrotechnical Commission. (2020). *IEC TS 63126: Guidelines for assessing the
164 lifetime of photovoltaic modules* [Technical Specification]. International Electrotechnical
165 Commission.
- 166 Karas, Joe. (2024). Energy yield loss due to LETID. *Proceedings of the 14th SiliconPV
167 Conference*.
- 168 Karas, Joseph, Repins, I. L., Berger, K. A., Kubicek, B., Jiang, F., Zhang, D., Jaubert, J.-N.,
169 Cueli, A. B., Sample, T., Jaeckel, B., Pander, M., Fokuhl, E., Koentopp, M. B., Kersten,
170 F., Choi, J.-H., Bora, B., Banerjee, C., Wendlandt, S., Erion-Lorico, T., ... Maaroufi,
171 H. (2022). Results from an international interlaboratory study on light- and elevated
172 temperature-induced degradation in solar modules. *Progress in Photovoltaics: Research
173 and Applications*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pip.3573>
- 174 Kempe, M. D., Hacke, P., Morse, J., Owen-Bellini, M., Holsapple, D., Lockman, T., Hoang,
175 S., Okawa, D., Lance, T., & Ng, H. H. (2023). Highly accelerated UV stress testing
176 for transparent flexible frontsheets. *IEEE Journal of Photovoltaics*, 13(3), 1823–1823.
177 <https://doi.org/10.1109/JPHOTOV.2023.3249407>
- 178 Ovatt, S., Kinzer, A., Boyd, M., Jones, J., Deline, C., & Macknick, J. (2023). Validating view-
179 factor approach and spatial albedo models for bifacial and AgriPV modeling. *Proceedings
180 of the 2023 IEEE 50th Photovoltaic Specialists Conference (PVSC)*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/PVSC48320.2023.10359773>
- 182 Pickett, J. E., & Coyle, D. J. (2013). Hydrolysis kinetics of condensation polymers under
183 humidity aging conditions. *Polymer Degradation and Stability*, 98(7), 1311–1320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2013.04.001>
- 185 Repins, I. L., Jordan, D. C., Woodhouse, M., Theristis, M., Stein, J. S., Seigneur, H. P., Colvin,
186 D. J., Karas, J. F., McPherson, A. N., & Deline, C. (2023). Long-term impact of light-
187 and elevated temperature-induced degradation on photovoltaic arrays. *MRS Bulletin*, 48,
188 589–601. <https://doi.org/10.1557/s43577-022-00438-8>
- 189 Springer, M., Jordan, D. C., & Barnes, T. M. (2022). Future-proofing photovoltaics module
190 reliability through a unifying predictive modeling framework. *Progress in Photovoltaics: Research
191 and Applications*, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pip.3645>