



NiBetaSeries: task related correlations in fMRI

James D. Kent¹ and Peer Herholz²

¹ Neuroscience Program, University of Iowa ² Montréal Neurological Institute

DOI: [10.21105/joss.01295](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01295)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Submitted: 19 February 2019

Published: 01 September 2019

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC-BY](#)).

Introduction

You may not be aware of it, but your brain is orchestrating a complex ballet of activity while reading this sentence. Whether it is following a dot, reading a sentence, interpreting what response is required when presented with a red square; the brain is evaluating input and sending motoric output to perform optimally/efficiently. We can measure and analyze this flurry of activity using functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI). Traditional fMRI analysis emphasizes what regions are “activated/deactivated” during a task, but does not provide information on which regions are acting in synchrony or are being segregated. Knowing the synchronous/segregated brain regions during a task gives insights on the potential organization of the brain. NiBetaSeries seeks to fill this gap by providing a method to measure how activation/deactivation patterns between brain regions are correlated across trials. That is to say if region A and region B both show high activity on trial 1, low activity on trial 2, medium activity on trial 3, and so on, then these two regions are highly correlated.

Background

To understand NiBetaSeries we need to answer two questions: what is a “beta” (or parameter estimate) and how can we analyze a series of betas? We have already mentioned betas by another name, activation/deactivation. The term beta comes from its use in the General Linear Model (GLM), an extension of linear regression. fMRI signal evoked by a stimulus follows a relatively stereotyped shape from the Blood Oxygen Level Dependent (BOLD) response, which is best modeled with a double-gamma function. The overall amplitude (i.e. activation/deactivation) of the double gamma function is determined by the beta coefficient. Thus larger betas mean greater activation, and smaller or negative betas mean less activation or deactivation relative to a baseline. Traditional fMRI analysis will group together all the relevant trial types and give them all one beta estimate, where variance between trials is treated as noise. NiBetaSeries, on the other hand, gives each trial its own beta estimate treating the variance between trials as the signal of interest. With the concept of a beta under our belt, The final complication is the multiple methods we can use to derive individual beta estimates. Two common methods are least squares all (LSA) and least squares separate (LSS) (Mumford, Turner, Ashby, & Poldrack, 2012; Turner, Mumford, Poldrack, & Ashby, 2012). LSA places all the trials in the same GLM, where each trial is a separate predictor. LSA works well when the trials are far apart in time since the BOLD response takes a long time to return to baseline. When the trials are close together, however, the bold responses start to overlap and the GLM cannot accurately attribute the variance in the fMRI data to trial 1 or trial 2, leading to unreliable beta estimates. LSS tackles this problem by making as many GLMs as there are trials. For each trial, a GLM is created where one predictor is the trial of interest and the other predictor is the combination of the other trials. This reduces the amount of overlap (or more accurately correlation) between predictors, leading to more reliable individual

beta estimates. NiBetaSeries currently implements LSS making it a more reasonable analysis choice for experiments with trials that occur closer together (e.g. 3-7 seconds apart on average). The output of LSA or LSS is a beta series for each voxel in our dataset.

There is a wealth of analysis methods we can apply to beta series datasets. To summarize our data, we have a beta estimate for each trial within every voxel in the brain, resulting in a 4-dimensional dataset. Three dimensions make up the brain voxels, and the 4th dimension represents the number of trials. For many intents and purposes, the 4D beta series can be analyzed similarly to a 4D resting state dataset where the 4th dimension represents time. Traditional analysis strategies applied to resting state such as seed based correlation, independent components analysis, regional homogeneity, and graph theory can be applied to beta series [Cole, Smith, & Beckmann (2010);vanWijk2010]. Recycling these methods for beta series provides a new lens to observe the organization of the brain during a task and may lead to additional insights. Graph theoretical and seed based correlation measures often depend on voxels being grouped into homogeneous “parcels”. That is, the betas from several voxels in close spatial proximity are averaged together to reduce the 20,000 voxels to a couple 100 or fewer parcels. Each parcel is labeled with a unique integer in a 3-dimensional parcellation atlas (e.g. the voxels within the left insula may all be labelled with the integer 12). The collection of parcels—a parcellation—identifies all the unique brain areas of interest and that image is used in NiBetaSeries to provide usable output.

Putting betas and atlas parcellations together, we have a recipe to create betaseries correlations in NiBetaSeries. Applying the GLM to the fMRI data results in voxelwise beta estimates for each trial. Voxels are averaged together within each parcel separately for all trials, resulting in a 2D dataset. One dimension represents the parcel and the other represents the trial. As mentioned above, many graph theoretical measures could be applied, but currently NiBetaSeries only performs correlations between parcels. Other analysis methods are on the road-map for NiBetaSeries, which will make the tool useful for a variety of scientific questions.

Overview

NiBetaSeries presents as a command line utility written in python following the template of a BIDS-App (Gorgolewski et al., 2017). NiBetaSeries is available on [pypi](#) and as a container on [dockerhub](#) with [comprehensive documentation](#) complete with interactive examples. The primary way for users to interact with NiBetaSeries is by typing `nibs` in the command line. The basic workflow of NiBetaSeries follows these steps (the files can be found in the `workflows` directory):

- 1) `base.py`: read and validate necessary inputs (a minimally processed fMRI file, a brain mask, an events file specifying the onsets, duration, and the trial type, an atlas parcellation, and a table connecting the numbers in the atlas parcellation with names of the regions).
- 2) `model.py`: construct and execute GLMs using LSS (with additional confound predictors optionally added) generating a betaseries (a list of betas for each voxel).
- 3) `analysis.py`: apply atlas parcellation to data averaging betas within regions for each trial.
- 4) `analysis.py`: correlate each region’s list of betas with every other region.
- 5) `analysis.py`: r-z transform the correlations and output a symmetric correlation matrix in a tsv file.

The correlation matrix from NiBetaSeries can be used for graph theoretical analysis, specific region-region correlations across different trial types, and other analytic strategies.

NiBetaSeries is not the first or only piece of software that measures task related correlations in the brain. There are two other packages known to the author: BASCO and pybetaseries

(Göttlich, Beyer, & Krämer, 2015; R. Poldrack, 2014). BASCO (BetA Series COrelations) is a matlab toolbox that calculates task correlations, but is designed for slow event related designs (e.g. trials occur more than 10 seconds apart). pybetaseries is a python script that is designed for fast event related designs (like NiBetaSeries), but is no longer actively maintained. Given the drawbacks of the alternatives, NiBetaSeries justifies its existence and utility to the neuroscience field.

Acknowledgements

NiBetaSeries is not developed in a vacuum.

Conceptualization of the BetaSeries Method is credited to Jesse Rissman (Rissman, Gazzaley, & D'Esposito, 2004), and the LSS method to Benjamin Turner (Turner et al., 2012) with validation by Jeanette Mumford (Mumford et al., 2012) and Hunar Abdulrahman (Abdulrahman & Henson, 2016). Additionally, Michelle Voss provided guidance over the entirety of the project. The organization of the code is indebted to fmriprep and their developers/maintainers for inspiration (Esteban et al., 2019). Neurohackademy is to thank for providing the environment to help the project grow with new contributors. Finally, all the contributors listed in zenodo and github have contributed both code and intellectually to NiBetaSeries pushing the project to new heights.

References

- Abdulrahman, H., & Henson, R. N. (2016). Effect of trial-to-trial variability on optimal event-related fMRI design: Implications for Beta-series correlation and multi-voxel pattern analysis. *NeuroImage*, 125, 756–766. doi:[10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.11.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.11.009)
- Cole, D., Smith, S., & Beckmann, C. (2010). Advances and pitfalls in the analysis and interpretation of resting-state FMRI data. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience*, 4, 8. doi:[10.3389/fnsys.2010.00008](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2010.00008)
- Esteban, O., Markiewicz, C. J., Blair, R. W., Moodie, C. A., Ayse, I., Erramuzpe, A., Kent, J. D., et al. (2019). FMRIPrep : a robust preprocessing pipeline for functional MRI. *Nature methods*, 16(1), 111–116. doi:[10.1038/s41592-018-0235-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-018-0235-4)
- Gorgolewski, K. J., Alfaro-Almagro, F., Auer, T., Bellec, P., Capotă, M., Chakravarty, M. M., Churchill, N. W., et al. (2017). BIDS apps: Improving ease of use, accessibility, and reproducibility of neuroimaging data analysis methods. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 13(3), 1–16. doi:[10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005209](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005209)
- Göttlich, M., Beyer, F., & Krämer, U. M. (2015). BASCO: a toolbox for task-related functional connectivity. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience*, 9(September), 1–10. doi:[10.3389/fnsys.2015.00126](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2015.00126)
- Mumford, J. A., Turner, B. O., Ashby, F. G., & Poldrack, R. A. (2012). Deconvolving BOLD activation in event-related designs for multivoxel pattern classification analyses. *NeuroImage*, 59(3), 2636–2643. doi:[10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.08.076](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.08.076)
- Poldrack, R. (2014). pybetaseries. Retrieved from <https://github.com/poldrack/pybetaseries>
- Rissman, J., Gazzaley, A., & D'Esposito, M. (2004). Measuring functional connectivity during distinct stages of a cognitive task. *NeuroImage*, 23(2), 752–763. doi:[10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.06.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.06.035)
- Turner, B. O., Mumford, J. A., Poldrack, R. A., & Ashby, F. G. (2012). Spatiotemporal activity estimation for multivoxel pattern analysis with rapid event-related designs. *NeuroImage*, 62(3), 1429–1438. doi:[10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.05.057](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.05.057)