

# ERAD: ERAD: A Graph-Based Tool for Energy Resilience Analysis of Electric Distribution Systems

Kapil Duwadi<sup>1</sup>, Bryan Palmintier<sup>1</sup>, Aadil Latif<sup>1</sup>, Kwami Senam Sedzro<sup>1</sup>, and Sherin Ann Abraham<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), Golden, CO, USA

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

## Software

- [Review](#)
- [Repository](#)
- [Archive](#)

Editor: Anastassia Vybormova

## Reviewers:

- @aslan-ng
- @KathiEsterl

Submitted: 29 October 2024

Published: unpublished

## License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/))

## Summary

Understanding the impact of extreme events on people's ability to access energy is crucial for designing resilient energy systems. In the event of a disaster, damage to the electric system and related infrastructure (e.g., downed power lines, flooded equipment, hacked communication systems, damaged roads, etc.) can impact people's access to critical services, including not just electricity but also shelter, food, healthcare, and more. There is a key need to understand such impacts better and evaluate options to improve energy resilience. The Energy Resilience Analysis for electric Distribution systems (ERAD) tool is a free and open-source software package designed to be used by researchers, utilities, local governments, community groups, and other stakeholders to fill this need.

## Statement of Need

Much of the past work in evaluating energy resilience has looked at historical data. For instance, Alper (2021) used historical power outage complaint calls in New York City to understand heat vulnerability, Flores et al. (2023) used satellite images to understand the impact of winter storms in Texas. In another example, Brockway et al. (2021) showed how existing grid infrastructure constraints may hinder the ability of communities to charge electric vehicles and their access to DERs by looking at the geospatial intersection of demographics and grid hosting capacity data. ERAD instead provides a way to look ahead at possible future outages and service access challenges. ERAD also offers the unique feature of assessing access to multiple services under multiple disaster event scenarios.

Many of the software tools developed for a forward-looking understanding of grid resilience have been focused on the bulk power system. For example, Panteli et al. (2016) used fragility curves to assess the impact of extreme events in transmission system components, Liu et al. (2017) developed resilience assessment indices to understand the impact of multiple transmission line outages, Wang et al. (2020) proposed resilience-constrained economic dispatch model for bulk system. These studies miss geospatial granularity to enable understanding of extreme events on critical service access or outage impacts at the neighborhood scale, let alone the individual customer scale. Unlike existing resilience-focused tools that focus on transmission resilience, ERAD enables capturing these local effects at the neighborhood level or even down to the customer level.

There have been some recent efforts that consider resilience at the distribution system. For instance, the REPAIR tool (Moreira et al., 2021) optimizes distribution system expansion planning considering both routine operations reliability and resilience to extreme events. However, it requires users to supply outage rate information and does not consider any energy metrics or look across critical services. The ReNCAT tool (Wachtel, Melander, & Hart, 2022) does consider multi-service-based equity using the social burden metric introduced in Wachtel,

Melander, & Jeffers (2022), however it only considers microgrids as a resilience strategy and its optimization-based approach may struggle to scale to the very large regions ERAD can evaluate. ReNCAT also currently requires the .Net framework (nominally under the Windows operating system) and though open source, does not currently maintain a publicly accessible code repository to enable outside contributions or issue reporting. Both REPAIR and ReNCAT also require exogenous equipment damage risk data and patterns. In contrast, ERAD endogenously models damage patterns; computes a range of energy metrics, and enables open engagement in development through github.com.

## Implementation Overview

ERAD is a free, open-source Python toolkit for computing energy resilience measures in the face of hazards like earthquakes and flooding for distribution systems. It uses graph-based analysis to perform computation down to the individual households. Users start by defining hazard models either manually or using historic extreme events. Next, they define all distribution system assets of interest. Outage scenarios in ERAD are generated based on Monte Carlo samples across the individual equipment survival probabilities. Finally, ERAD computes a range of energy metrics, including outage probability, outage duration, and outage impact on critical services.

ERAD is now part of the [Grid-Data-Models](#) (GDM) ecosystem! This integration enables ERAD to run resilience analyses directly on GDM-based distribution systems, improving interoperability with other distribution-focused tools and streamlining the development of automated workflows.

For outage simulations, ERAD uses asset fragility curves (Buriticá et al., 2017), (I. Kongar et al., 2017), (Indranil Kongar et al., 2014), (Mo et al., 2017), (Jeddi et al., 2022), (Baghmisheh & Mahsuli, 2021), (Williams et al., 2020), (Bennett et al., 2021) which are functions that relate hazard severity to survival probability for power system assets including cables, transformers, substations, roof-mounted solar panels, etc. (Rajabzadeh & Kalantar, 2022), (FEMA, 2020), (Farahani et al., 2020), (Cirone, 2013), (Sánchez-Muñoz et al., 2020). Outage scenarios are then generated based on Monte Carlo samples across these individual equipment survival probabilities.

## Example Usage

ERAD has been used as part of multiple high-impact research efforts. Specifically, it was used to analyze energy access to critical services for 8 neighborhoods in the city of Los Angeles as it transitions to a 100% renewable energy future as part of the LA100 Equity Strategies project (Palmintier et al., 2023). For transmission distribution cosimulation after a flooding disaster, ERAD has also been used to generate distribution system outage scenarios as a part of North American Energy Resilience Model NAERM studies.

## Next Steps

We plan to enhance our features by including the capability to simulate additional threats, such as storms, heat waves, and cold spells, and by making the result visualization process more efficient.

## Acknowledgements

This work was authored by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by NREL license revenue under the

85 provisions of the Bayh-Dole Act. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent  
 86 the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher,  
 87 by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a  
 88 nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published  
 89 form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

## 90 References

- 91 Alper, G. (2021). *Do heat vulnerable neighborhoods in new york city experience disproportionate*  
 92 *power outages?* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-021-00887-6>
- 93 Baghmisheh, A. G., & Mahsuli, M. (2021). Seismic performance and fragility analysis of  
 94 power distribution concrete poles. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 150, 106909.  
 95 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soildyn.2021.106909>
- 96 Bennett, J. A., Trevisan, C. N., DeCarolis, J. F., Ortiz-García, C., Pérez-Lugo, M., Etienne,  
 97 B. T., & Clarens, A. F. (2021). Extending energy system modelling to include extreme  
 98 weather risks and application to hurricane events in puerto rico. *Nature Energy*, 6(3),  
 99 240–249. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-020-00758-6>
- 100 Brockway, A. M., Conde, J., & Callaway, D. (2021). Inequitable access to distributed energy  
 101 resources due to grid infrastructure limits in California. *Nature Energy*, 6(9), 892–903.  
 102 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-021-00887-6>
- 103 Buriticá, J. A. M., Sanchez-Silva, M., & Tesfamariam, S. (2017). Hierarchical seismic  
 104 vulnerability assessment of power transmission systems: Sensitivity analysis of fragility  
 105 curves and clustering algorithms. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 44(2), 80–89.  
 106 <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjce-2015-0411>
- 107 Cirone, P. (2013). *Valutazione speditiva di sicurezza sismica degli edifici esistenti*. D. Flaccovio.  
 108 ISBN: 978-88-579-0203-6
- 109 Farahani, S., Tahershamsi, A., & Behnam, B. (2020). Earthquake and post-earthquake  
 110 vulnerability assessment of urban gas pipelines network. *Natural Hazards*, 101, 327–347.  
 111 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-020-03874-4>
- 112 FEMA. (2020). *Hazus earthquake model technical manual hazus 4.2 SP3*. Federal Emergency  
 113 Management Agency Washington, DC.
- 114 Flores, N. M., McBrien, H., Do, V., Kiang, M. V., Schlegelmilch, J., & Casey, J. A. (2023).  
 115 The 2021 texas power crisis: Distribution, duration, and disparities. *Journal of Expo-*  
 116 *sure Science & Environmental Epidemiology*, 33(1), 21–31. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-022-00462-5>
- 117  
 118 Jeddi, A. B., Shafieezadeh, A., Hur, J., Ha, J.-G., Hahm, D., & Kim, M.-K. (2022). Multi-  
 119 hazard typhoon and earthquake collapse fragility models for transmission towers: An active  
 120 learning reliability approach using gradient boosting classifiers. *Earthquake Engineering &*  
 121 *Structural Dynamics*, 51(15), 3552–3573. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eqe.3735>
- 122  
 123 Kongar, I., Giovinazzi, S., & Rossetto, T. (2017). Seismic performance of buried electrical cables:  
 124 Evidence-based repair rates and fragility functions. *Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering*, 15,  
 125 3151–3181. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10518-016-0077-3>
- 126 Kongar, Indranil, Rossetto, T., & Giovinazzi, S. (2014). Seismic fragility of underground  
 127 electrical cables in the 2010 - 11 canterbury (NZ) earthquakes. *Second European Conference*  
 128 *on Earthquake Engineering & Seismology, Istanbul*.
- 129 Liu, X., Hou, K., Jia, H., Mu, Y., Yu, X., Wang, Y., & Dong, J. (2017). A quantified  
 130 resilience assessment approach for electrical power systems considering multiple transmission

- line outages. *2017 IEEE Electrical Power and Energy Conference (EPEC)*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EPEC.2017.8286183>
- Mo, R., Kang, H., Li, M., & Zhao, X. (2017). Seismic fragility analysis of monopile offshore wind turbines under different operational conditions. *Energies*, 10(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/en10071037>
- Moreira, A., Heleno, M., & Valenzuela, A. (2021). A Risk-Averse Approach for Distribution Grid Expansion Planning. *Energies*, 14(24), 8482. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14248482>
- Palmintier, B., Abraham, S. A., Sedzro, K. S., Lockshin, J., Krishnamoorthy, G., Duwadi, K., Romero-Lankao, P., Rosner, N., & Bolla, G. (2023). *LA100 equity strategies. Chapter 12: Distribution grid upgrades for equitable resilience and solar, storage, and electric vehicle access*. National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), Golden, CO (United States). <https://doi.org/10.2172/2221829>
- Panteli, M., Pickering, C., Wilkinson, S., Dawson, R., & Mancarella, P. (2016). Power system resilience to extreme weather: Fragility modeling, probabilistic impact assessment, and adaptation measures. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 32(5), 3747–3757. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2016.2641463>
- Rajabzadeh, M., & Kalantar, M. (2022). Improving the resilience of distribution network in coming across seismic damage using mobile battery energy storage system. *Journal of Energy Storage*, 52, 104891. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.est.2022.104891>
- Sánchez-Muñoz, D., Domínguez-García, J. L., Martínez-Gomariz, E., Russo, B., Stevens, J., & Pardo, M. (2020). Electrical grid risk assessment against flooding in barcelona and bristol cities. *Sustainability*, 12(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12041527>
- Wachtel, A., Melander, D., & Hart, O. (2022). *ReNCAT: The resilient node cluster analysis tool*. Sandia National Lab. (SNL-NM), Albuquerque, NM (United States). <https://doi.org/10.2172/1880920>
- Wachtel, A., Melander, D., & Jeffers, R. (2022). *Measuring societal infrastructure service burden*. Sandia National Lab. (SNL-NM), Albuquerque, NM (United States). <https://doi.org/10.2172/1846088>
- Wang, Y., Huang, L., Shahidehpour, M., Lai, L. L., & Zhou, Y. (2020). Impact of cascading and common-cause outages on resilience-constrained optimal economic operation of power systems. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 11(1), 590–601. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2019.2926241>
- Williams, J. H., Paulik, R., Wilson, T. M., Wotherspoon, L., Rusdin, A., & Pratama, G. M. (2020). Tsunami fragility functions for road and utility pole assets using field survey and remotely sensed data from the 2018 sulawesi tsunami, palu, indonesia. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, 177, 3545–3562. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-020-02545-6>