

# terratoools: A Python package to analyse TERRA mantle convection simulations

Andy Nowacki<sup>1\*</sup>, James Panton<sup>2\*</sup>, Jamie Ward<sup>1</sup>, Bob Myhill<sup>3</sup>, Andrew Walker<sup>4</sup>, James Wookey<sup>3</sup>, and J. Huw Davies<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, UK <sup>2</sup> School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Cardiff University, UK <sup>3</sup> School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, UK <sup>4</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford, UK \* These authors contributed equally.

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

## Software

- [Review](#)
- [Repository](#)
- [Archive](#)

Editor: Hauke Schulz

## Reviewers:

- @bch0w

Submitted: 13 March 2024

Published: unpublished

## License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

## Summary

Fluid-like convection of Earth's rocky mantle drives processes such as plate tectonics that shape the surface and explains the evolution of our planet on the longest time scales. Because of this, computer simulations of mantle convection have become important for our understanding of the Earth and large scale simulation codes have been created by the community (?). One example is TERRA (Baumgardner, 1985; Bunge et al., 1997), a large parallel program using the finite element method to simulate convection. TERRA is written in Fortran and runs on supercomputers, producing gigabytes of files for each timestep. Handling these outputs is non-trivial because the output reflects the structured grid of finite element mesh and the parallel decomposition used to execute the code. Furthermore, existing closed-source tools in Fortran and other compiled languages present a significant barrier to further development of model analysis tools. Here we describe terratoools, a Python package designed to enable reproducible and repeatable post-processing analysis of the outputs of TERRA simulations. Documentation is available via a dedicated website (<https://terratoools.readthedocs.io/>).

## Statement of need

TERRA is a widely-used and powerful simulation package which underlies a large amount of research into the Earth's mantle (Ghelichkhan et al., 2021; Panton et al., 2023; Taiwo et al., 2023), but before now there has not been any open-source software which can be shared amongst different scientific groups to aid in analysing the results of TERRA simulations. This has slowed the development of new analyses and enforced duplicated effort. TERRA also uses a particular meshing of the sphere, and taking advantage of this for efficient computation is not straightforward. In addition, there are a number of choices in how some analyses are done, for example in how physical model parameters such as temperature and density are translated into geophysical observables such as seismic wave velocity, and it is not always transparent which choices have been made in any particular study.

terratoools addresses this by providing a high-level abstraction over the details of a TERRA model and encapsulating these in a class, TerraModel, which permits non-specialist programmers with Python experience to examine mantle convection simulations in new and existing ways.

## Current functionality

As well as a high-level abstraction over simulation timesteps, a number of analytical workflows come with terratoools and are constantly being added to. For instance, radial average profiles, local one-dimensional profiles, arbitrary point extraction and spherical harmonic analysis are

40 available already. Conversion from model parameters (temperature and composition) to seismic  
 41 parameters (P- and S-wave velocities, attenuation) is supported using pre-computed conversion  
 42 lookup tables, but tools to create these are also provided. We also provide tools for identifying  
 43 upwelling features (mantle plumes) in simulations.

44 terratools defines a versioned and open-source file format based upon NetCDF (Unidata, 2008)  
 45 for TERRA models, making the exchange of simulation snapshots simpler and removing the  
 46 need for different groups to rewrite file readers. As files may be many gigabytes in size, this  
 47 also enables more efficient file reading and writing, saving time.

## 48 Acknowledgements

49 We acknowledge funding from NERC Large Grant ‘Mantle Circulation Constrained (MC<sup>2</sup>)’  
 50 (NE/T012595/1).

## 51 References

- 52 Baumgardner, J. R. (1985). Three-dimensional treatment of convective flow in the earth's man-  
 53 tle. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 39(5), 501–511. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01008348>
- 54 Bunge, H.-P., Richards, M. A., & Baumgardner, J. R. (1997). A sensitivity study of three-  
 55 dimensional spherical mantle convection at 108 rayleigh number: Effects of depth-dependent  
 56 viscosity, heating mode, and an endothermic phase change. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 102(B6), 11991–12007. <https://doi.org/10.1029/96JB03806>
- 58 Davies, D. R., Wilson, C. R., & C, K. S. (2011). Fluidity: A fully unstructured anisotropic adap-  
 59 tive mesh computational modeling framework for geodynamics. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 12(6). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GC003551>
- 61 Ghelichkhan, S., Bunge, H.-P., & Oeser, J. (2021). Global mantle flow retrodictions for the  
 62 early Cenozoic using an adjoint method: Evolving dynamic topographies, deep mantle  
 63 structures, flow trajectories and sublithospheric stresses. *Geophysical Journal International*, 226(2), 1432–1460. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggab108>
- 65 Kronbichler, M., Heister, T., & Bangerth, W. (2012). High accuracy mantle convection  
 66 simulation through modern numerical methods. *Geophysical Journal International*, 191,  
 67 12–29. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2012.05609.x>
- 68 Moresi, L., Zhong, S., Han, L., Conrad, C., Tan, E., Gurnis, M., Choi, E., Thoutireddy, P.,  
 69 Manea, V., McNamara, A., Becker, T., Leng, W., & Armendariz, L. (2014). *CitcomS*  
 70 *v3.3.1* (Version v3.3.1). Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7271920>
- 71 Pantou, J., Davies, J. H., & Myhill, R. (2023). The Stability of Dense Oceanic Crust  
 72 Near the Core-Mantle Boundary. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 128(2),  
 73 e2022JB025610. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JB025610>
- 74 Taiwo, A., Bunge, H.-P., Schuberth, B. S. A., Colli, L., & Vilacis, B. (2023). Robust global  
 75 mantle flow trajectories and their validation via dynamic topography histories. *Geophysical Journal International*, 234(3), 2160–2179. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggad188>
- 77 Unidata. (2008). *Network common data form (NetCDF), version 4*. UCAR/Unidata, Colder,  
 78 CO, USA. <https://doi.org/10.5065/D6RN35XM>
- 79 Zhong, S., Zuber, M. T., Moresi, L., & Gurnis, M. (2000). Role of temperature-dependent  
 80 viscosity and surface plates in spherical shell models of mantle convection. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 105(B5), 11063–11082. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GC001155>