# ysoisochrone: A Python package to estimate masses and ages for YSOs

Dingshan Deng [1], **Ilaria Pascucci** [1], **and Rachel B. Fernandes** [2,3]

1 Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, the University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA **2** President's Postdoctoral Fellow, Department of Astronomy & Astrophysics, 525 Davey Laboratory, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA **3** Center for Exoplanets and Habitable Worlds, 525 Davey Laboratory, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

Statement of Need

At this moment, there are a few existing tools and packages that can be used to handle stellar evolutionary tracks and to estimate stellar mass and age for pre-main sequence stars. For example, `isochrones` (Morton, 2015) provides a Python interface to access the MIST grids (Choi et al., 2016; Dotter, 2016), and the PARSEC team provides a web interface to access different versions of their tracks together with some useful web-based tools. More recently, Squicciarini & Bonavita (2022) developed and published another Python package MADYS, which can be used to derive ages and masses for pre-main sequence stars from multi-wavelengths photometric data with the extinction corrected according to extinction maps and laws. This code could also utilize different stellar evolutionary models. MADYS provides easy access to obtaining photometric age and mass estimates for large groups of young stellar or substellar objects.

Here we introduce `ysoisochrone`, a Python package that utilizes stellar evolutionary tracks to estimate stellar masses and ages of pre-main sequence stars with a Bayesian framework. While several papers in the literature utilize this method (e.g., Fernandes et al., 2023; Jørgensen & Lindegren, 2005; Pascucci et al., 2016), an open-source tool implementing this method is not available. `ysoisochrone` fills this gap and provides a uniform platform to handle different evolutionary models with easy access to Bayesian framework along with tutorials and detailed documentation for first users.

Background and Methods

There has been a long history of estimating stellar ages and masses from stellar evolutionary models (e.g., Baraffe et al., 2015; Feiden, 2016; Siess et al., 2000). Different methods have been employed, from finding the closest track to an object's luminosity and temperature (e.g., Manara et al., 2023) to employing a Bayesian approach which enables estimating uncertainties on the inferred ages and masses (e.g., Andrews et al., 2013; Gennaro et al., 2012; Jørgensen & Lindegren, 2005). Our primary method is a Bayesian inference approach, and the Python code builds on the IDL version developed by Pascucci et al. (2016). The code estimates the stellar mass, age, and associated uncertainties by comparing a star's effective temperature (T_{eff}), bolometric luminosity (L_{bol}), and their uncertainties with different stellar evolutionary models, including those specifically developed for young stellar objects (YSOs). The conditional

likelihood function assumes log-uniform priors and can be written as:

$$\mathcal{L}(\log T_i, \log L_i \mid \log T_{\mathrm{obs}}, \log L_{\mathrm{obs}}) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sigma_{\log T_{\mathrm{obs}}} \sigma_{\log L_{\mathrm{obs}}}}$$
$$\times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(\log T_{\mathrm{obs}} - \log T_i)^2}{\sigma_{\log T_{\mathrm{obs}}}^2} + \frac{(\log L_{\mathrm{obs}} - \log L_i)^2}{\sigma_{\log L_{\mathrm{obs}}}^2}\right]\right), \tag{1}$$

where the T and L are the effective temperature and bolometric luminosity, respectively. T_i, L_i are the values from the evolutionary model grids, and $T_{\mathrm{obs}}, L_{\mathrm{obs}}$ are the observed values for each target with their uncertainties ($1\,\sigma$ from the assumed Gaussian distribution in log-scale) described as $\sigma_{\log T_{\mathrm{obs}}}, \sigma_{\log L_{\mathrm{obs}}}$. In this first released version, we follow the IDL code used in Pascucci et al. (2016), where we assume log-uniform priors for T and L in this likelihood function. This is because both initial mass function of stars and their evolutionary timescales imply that the occurrence of stars decreases as a function of T_{eff} and L_{bol}. Different likelihood function with different priors can be added in the future versions.

We choose T_{eff} and L_{bol} to estimate the stellar age and mass because extinction is significant for young stars, especially when embedded in the natal cloud. Although the T_{eff} and L_{bol} are not directly observed quantities, they are the two main quantities that evolutionary models can be compared with. When medium or high-resolution spectroscopy is employed on individual targets, T_{eff} and L_{bol} can be well determined, and the best estimates for YSOs are from works where a stellar spectrum is fitted simultaneously with extinction and accretional heating (e.g., Alcalá et al., 2017). To ensure the best results, we recommend using T_{eff} and L_{bol} (with their uncertainties) that are derived simultaneously through spectroscopy.

Our method uses a combination of the pre-main-sequence non-magnetic evolutionary tracks from Feiden (2016) and Baraffe et al. (2015) for hot ($T_{\mathrm{eff}} > 3,900$) and cool stars ($T_{\mathrm{eff}} \leq 3,900$), respectively. This aligns with the choice as initially suggested in Herczeg & Hillenbrand (2015) and Pascucci et al. (2016), who used the combination of these tracks to derive the stellar masses of Chamaeleon I YSOs, and has also been tested and adopted in some recent works (e.g., Fernandes et al., 2023; Manara et al., 2023; Simon et al., 2019). ysoisochrone also has a new algorithm to find the zero-age main sequence (ZAMS) automatically so that post-main-sequence tracks are not included when interpolating to a finer grid of evolutionary tracks (e.g., Fernandes et al., 2023). This algorithm also enables ysoisochrone to handle other stellar evolutionary models that are not only focused on pre-main-sequence stars, such as PARSEC tracks (Bressan et al., 2012). We note that there has been recent development on the stellar evolutionary models, but some of those updated models have not yet been released to the public. Therefore, user-developed evolutionary tracks can be also utilized in ysoisochrone when provided in the specific format described in the code documentation. We also aim to include those updated models once they are publicly available.

We also provide two other ways to estimate the stellar masses and ages from these isochrones.

1. In some cases, when a good measurement of the stellar luminosity is unavailable, we provide an option to set up the assumed age and then derive the stellar mass. Some examples when this method is useful include: targets that are very young and exceptionally bright; and targets with an edge-on disk so that the stellar L_{bol} is significantly underestimated.

2. The classical method that finds the closest point from the isochrones for each YSOs based on their T_{eff} and L_{bol}. We note that this standalone function is primarily used for verification purposes against literature (e.g., Manara et al., 2023; Pascucci et al., 2016) as it does not provide uncertainties.

Acknowledgements

References

Alcalá, J. M., Manara, C. F., Natta, A., Frasca, A., Testi, L., Nisini, B., Stelzer, B., Williams, J. P., Antoniucci, S., Biazzo, K., Covino, E., Esposito, M., Getman, F., & Rigliaco, E. (2017). X-shooter spectroscopy of young stellar objects in Lupus: Accretion properties of class II and transitional objects⋆. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, *600*, A20. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629929

Andrews, S. M., Rosenfeld, K. A., Kraus, A. L., & Wilner, D. J. (2013). The mass dependence between protoplanetary disks and their stellar hosts. *The Astrophysical Journal*, *771*(2), 129. https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/771/2/129

Baraffe, I., Homeier, D., Allard, F., & Chabrier, G. (2015). New evolutionary models for pre-main sequence and main sequence low-mass stars down to the hydrogen-burning limit. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, *577*, A42. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201425481

Bressan, A., Marigo, P., Girardi, Léo., Salasnich, B., Dal Cero, C., Rubele, S., & Nanni, A. (2012). PARSEC: Stellar tracks and isochrones with the PAdova and TRieste Stellar Evolution Code. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, *427*(1), 127–145. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21948.x

Choi, J., Dotter, A., Conroy, C., Cantiello, M., Paxton, B., & Johnson, B. D. (2016). MESA Isochrones and Stellar Tracks (MIST). I. Solar-scaled models. *The Astrophysical Journal*, *823*(2), 102. https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/823/2/102

Dotter, A. (2016). MESA Isochrones and Stellar Tracks (MIST) 0: Methods for the construction of stellar isochrones. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, *222*(1), 8. https://doi.org/10.3847/0067-0049/222/1/8

Feiden, G. A. (2016). Magnetic inhibition of convection and the fundamental properties of low-mass stars. III. A consistent 10 Myr age for the Upper Scorpius OB association. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, *593*, A99. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201527613

Fernandes, R. B., Hardegree-Ullman, K. K., Pascucci, I., Bergsten, G. J., Mulders, G. D., Cunha, K., Mamajek, E. E., Pearson, K. A., Feiden, G. A., & Curtis, J. L. (2023). Using photometrically derived properties of young stars to refine TESS's transiting young planet survey completeness. *Astronomical Journal*, *166*(4), 175. https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/acf4f0

Gennaro, M., Prada Moroni, P. G., & Tognelli, E. (2012). Testing pre-main-sequence models: the power of a Bayesian approach. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, *420*(2), 986–1018. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19945.x

Herczeg, G. J., & Hillenbrand, L. A. (2015). Empirical isochrones for low mass stars in nearby young associations. *The Astrophysical Journal*, *808*, 23. https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/808/1/23

Jørgensen, B. R., & Lindegren, L. (2005). Determination of stellar ages from isochrones: Bayesian estimation versus isochrone fitting. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, *436*(1), 127–143. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20042185

Manara, C. F., Ansdell, M., Rosotti, G. P., Hughes, A. M., Armitage, P. J., Lodato, G., & Williams, J. P. (2023). Demographics of young stars and their protoplanetary disks: Lessons learned on disk evolution and its connection to planet formation. In S. Inutsuka, Y. Aikawa, T. Muto, K. Tomida, & M. Tamura (Eds.), *Protostars and planets VII* (Vol. 534, p. 539). https://www.aspbooks.org/a/volumes/article_details/?paper_id=40759

Morton, T. D. (2015). *isochrones: Stellar model grid package*. Astrophysics Source Code Library, record ascl:1503.010.

Pascucci, I., Testi, L., Herczeg, G. J., Long, F., Manara, C. F., Hendler, N., Mulders, G. D., Krijt, S., Ciesla, F., Henning, Th., Mohanty, S., Drabek-Maunder, E., Apai, D., Szűcs, L., Sacco, G., & Olofsson, J. (2016). A steeper than linear disk mass-stellar mass scaling relation. *The Astrophysical Journal*, *831*(2), 125. https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/831/2/125

Siess, L., Dufour, E., & Forestini, M. (2000). An internet server for pre-main sequence tracks of low- and intermediate-mass stars. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, *358*, 593–599. https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000A&A...358..593S

Simon, M., Guilloteau, S., Beck, T. L., Chapillon, E., Di Folco, E., Dutrey, A., Feiden, G. A., Grosso, N., Piétu, V., Prato, L., & Schaefer, G. H. (2019). Masses and implications for ages of low-mass pre-main-sequence stars in Taurus and Ophiuchus. *The Astrophysical Journal*, *884*, 42. https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab3e3b

Squicciarini, V., & Bonavita, M. (2022). MADYS: The Manifold Age Determination for Young Stars. I. Isochronal age estimates and model comparison. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, *666*, A15. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202244193