

¹ cxreg: An R package for complex-valued lasso and graphical lasso

³ Younghoon Kim  ^{1*}, Navonil Deb^{1*}, and Sumanta Basu^{1†}

⁴ Department of Statistics and Data Science, Cornell University, United States  Corresponding author

⁵ * These authors contributed equally.

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: George K. Thiruvathukal  

Reviewers:

- [@adallak](#)
- [@tambstat](#)

Submitted: 25 July 2025

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).
¹⁷ ¹⁸

⁶ Summary

⁷ *Pathwise coordinate descent* (Friedman et al. 2007) is a widely used iterative optimization method for solving penalized linear regression [*lasso*; Tibshirani (1996)] and penalized Gaussian log-likelihood [*graphical lasso*; e.g., Banerjee, El Ghaoui, and d'Aspremont (2008)] problems. For a given sequence of tuning parameters λ in the penalty term, the algorithm updates one coefficient at a time by computing partial residuals.

¹² The coordinate descent algorithm for complex-valued lasso and Gaussian graphical lasso, implemented in the cxreg R package, extends the standard pathwise coordinate descent method to complex-valued data (Deb, Kuceyeski, and Basu 2024). The algorithm operates by ¹³ *realifying* complex numbers through a ring isomorphism (Herstein 1991). Specifically, for a ¹⁴ complex number $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the map:

$$\varphi(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(z) & -\operatorname{Im}(z) \\ \operatorname{Im}(z) & \operatorname{Re}(z) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We show that the φ is a field isomorphism between \mathbb{C} and the set $\mathcal{M}^{2 \times 2}$ whose entries consists of $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ to form 2 by 2 matrices,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

¹⁹ Under this mapping, algebraic operations in the complex domain correspond directly to those ²⁰ in the real domain. We extend these operations to the p -dimensional case ($p > 1$); see Section ²¹ 3.1 of Deb, Kuceyeski, and Basu (2024) for details.

²² Using this correspondence, we transform complex-valued linear regression problems into ²³ equivalent real-valued formulations. The same idea applies to the Gaussian log-likelihood ²⁴ for complex-valued variables. For the ℓ_1 penalty used in lasso and graphical lasso, we note ²⁵ that the modulus of a complex coefficient equals the entrywise ℓ_2 norm of its real and ²⁶ imaginary parts. Consequently, the lasso for p -dimensional complex variables is equivalent to ²⁷ a $2p$ -dimensional group lasso (Yuan and Lin, 2006), where each group contains the real and ²⁸ imaginary components of one complex variable.

²⁹ We also incorporate standard computational enhancements, including warm starts, using ³⁰ solutions from previous λ values, and active set selection to limit updates to relevant predictors ³¹ (see Hastie, Tibshirani, and Wainwright, 2015, Chapter 5.4). The R package ‘glmnet’ adopts ³² a familiar interface similar to glmnet (Friedman, Hastie, and Tibshirani, 2010), and a detailed ³³ vignette is available [Here](#).

34 Statement of need

35 Solving complex-valued penalized Gaussian likelihood problems (and, as a component, penalized
36 linear regression) is central to high-dimensional time series analysis. In the frequency domain,
37 examining partial spectral coherence is analogous to studying partial correlations in Gaussian
38 graphical models (e.g., Priestley, 1988). With the development of the local Whittle likelihood
39 approximation (Whittle, 1951), the inverse spectral density matrix, known as the spectral
40 precision matrix, provides a way to characterize associations among variables in high-dimensional
41 time series data.

42 These associations, represented by the spectral precision matrix, are evaluated at fixed fre-
43 quencies. However, because the spectral density matrix estimator is inconsistent at a single
44 frequency (see Brockwell and Davis, 1991, Chapter 10), it is common to use an averaged,
45 smoothed periodogram, obtained by aggregating periodogram matrices across neighboring
46 frequencies, as a consistent sample analog. Consequently, spectral precision matrices must be
47 computed across multiple neighboring frequencies around each frequency of interest. Such
48 analyses involve repeated high-dimensional optimization, as required for statistical inference on
49 spectral precision matrices (Krampe and Paparoditis, 2025). Therefore, developing efficient
50 algorithms for complex-valued penalized Gaussian likelihood estimation is crucial for scalable
51 and accurate frequency-domain analysis.

52 State of the field

53 Although coordinate descent is computationally efficient and widely used to solve the lasso
54 (Friedman et al., 2007) and graphical lasso (Friedman, Hastie, and Tibshirani, 2008), particularly
55 under sparsity assumptions, it has not been extended to complex-valued settings. This gap
56 stems mainly from the lack of methods for updating complex-valued partial residuals within
57 the coordinate descent framework.

58 The most notable approach to complex-valued graphical modeling is that of Fiecas et al. (2019),
59 which employs the CLIME algorithm (Cai, Liu, and Luo, 2011) based on linear programming.
60 Another alternative is the alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM), as described
61 by Baek, Düker, and Pipiras (2023). However, neither method explicitly leverages sparsity,
62 despite being applied in high-dimensional settings. Our proposed algorithm, in contrast, is
63 specifically designed to exploit sparsity, achieving substantial gains in both estimation accuracy
64 and computational efficiency. Detailed comparisons with benchmark methods are provided in
65 Deb, Kuceyeski, and Basu (2024).

66 Acknowledgements

67 ND and SB acknowledge partial support from NIH award R21NS120227. In addition, SB
68 acknowledges partial support from NSF awards DMS-1812128, DMS-2210675, DMS-2239102
69 and NIH award R01GM135926.

70 References

- 71 Baek, Changryong, Marie-Christine Düker, and Vladas Pipiras. 2023. “Local Whittle Estimation
72 of High-Dimensional Long-Run Variance and Precision Matrices.” *The Annals of Statistics*
73 51 (6): 2386–2414.
- 74 Banerjee, Onureena, Laurent El Ghaoui, and Alexandre d’Aspremont. 2008. “Model Selection
75 Through Sparse Maximum Likelihood Estimation for Multivariate Gaussian or Binary Data.”
76 *The Journal of Machine Learning Research* 9: 485–516.

- 77 Brockwell, Peter J, and Richard A Davis. 1991. *Time Series: Theory and Methods*. Springer
78 science & business media.
- 79 Cai, Tony, Weidong Liu, and Xi Luo. 2011. “A Constrained ℓ_1 Minimization Approach to
80 Sparse Precision Matrix Estimation.” *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 106
81 (494): 594–607.
- 82 Deb, Navonil, Amy Kuceyeski, and Sumanta Basu. 2024. “Regularized Estimation of Sparse
83 Spectral Precision Matrices.” *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2401.11128*.
- 84 Fiecas, Mark, Chenlei Leng, Weidong Liu, and Yi Yu. 2019. “Spectral Analysis of High-
85 Dimensional Time Series.” *Electronic Journal of Statistics* 13 (2): 4079–4101.
- 86 Friedman, Jerome, Trevor Hastie, Holger Höfling, and Robert Tibshirani. 2007. “Pathwise
87 Coordinate Optimization.” *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, 302–32.
- 88 Friedman, Jerome, Trevor Hastie, and Rob Tibshirani. 2010. “Regularization Paths for
89 Generalized Linear Models via Coordinate Descent.” *Journal of Statistical Software* 33:
90 1–22.
- 91 Friedman, Jerome, Trevor Hastie, and Robert Tibshirani. 2008. “Sparse Inverse Covariance
92 Estimation with the Graphical Lasso.” *Biostatistics* 9 (3): 432–41.
- 93 Hastie, Trevor, Robert Tibshirani, and Martin Wainwright. 2015. “Statistical Learning with
94 Sparsity.” *Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability* 143 (143): 8.
- 95 Herstein, Israel N. 1991. *Topics in Algebra*. John Wiley & Sons.
- 96 Krampe, Jonas, and Efstatios Paparoditis. 2025. “Frequency Domain Statistical Inference for
97 High-Dimensional Time Series.” *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 1–13.
- 98 Priestley, Maurice Bertram. 1988. *The Spectral Analysis of Time Series*. Oxford University
99 Press.
- 100 Tibshirani, Robert. 1996. “Regression Shrinkage and Selection via the Lasso.” *Journal of the
101 Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology* 58 (1): 267–88.
- 102 Whittle, Peter. 1951. “Hypothesis Testing in Time Series Analysis.” (*No Title*).
- 103 Yuan, Ming, and Yi Lin. 2006. “Model Selection and Estimation in Regression with Grouped
104 Variables.” *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology* 68
105 (1): 49–67.