




Gw-detect-power: A Python Package to predict the detectability of land management changes on freshwater contaminants despite lag.

Matt Dumont ¹, Michael Kittridge ², and Richard McDowell ^{3,4}

¹ Komanawa Solutions Ltd, Christchurch, New Zealand ² Headwaters Hydrology, Wellington, New Zealand ³ AgResearch, Lincoln Science Centre, Lincoln, New Zealand ⁴ Faculty of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Lincoln University, Lincoln, New Zealand

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: Kanishka B. Narayan 

Reviewers:

- [@hassaniazi](#)

Submitted: 27 July 2025

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)).

Summary

Understanding the level of monitoring that is required to detect land management actions on freshwater contaminants is an essential part of water quality management and monitoring network design McDowell et al. (2024). Despite this need, detection power analysis is often excluded from monitoring programme design and freshwater management plans. We present a Python package to predict the probability of detecting changes in water quality (detection power) due to prescribed improvements in surface and groundwater contaminant concentrations resulting from land management changes for a given sampling frequency and duration. The goal of the package is to reduce the barriers for including detection power analysis in the design of water quality management and monitoring programmes. Importantly, the package is designed to provide detection power estimates for water quality monitoring programmes with and without the effects of groundwater transport processes (lag). The package supports multiple detection methodologies including linear regression, Mann-Kendall and Multipart-Mann-Kendall tests, as well as counterfactual analysis (pairwise comparisons) for parametric and non-parametric data with Paired Student-T and Wilcoxon tests, respectively. Finally, the package provides links to worked examples (in a separate repository), a webinar, and other supporting documentation. Further details on the package can be found on the [package documentation webpage](#).

Statement of need

Land-based mitigation actions require considerable time and financial investment to reduce the loss of nutrients (e.g., nitrogen) from land into surface and groundwater environments. Monitoring the effectiveness of these actions is a statutory requirement in New Zealand and is essential to maintain stakeholder confidence, ensure compliance, and effectively manage the natural environment. Assuming effective mitigations, their detection are often hampered by the lag between the implementation of land-based mitigation actions and the resulting improvements in water quality as well as the variability of water quality measurements due to natural processes.

There is a trade-off between the cost and probability of detecting changes in water quality. Very frequent monitoring is expensive, but can also more quickly and accurately detect changes in water quality. Less frequent monitoring is cheaper, but may not detect changes in water quality for many years if the changes are small relative to the natural variability. In addition, groundwater transport processes can delay the arrival of contaminants and mix groundwater with of different ages. These processes (referred to as lag) can delay and decrease the rate of change making it harder to detect. Typical monitoring frequencies in New Zealand are quarterly or monthly for rivers and quarterly or annually for groundwater. Without understanding the

42 detection power of these monitoring programmes, it is possible to spend years and considerable
43 resources on monitoring without detecting any changes in water quality or to over-invest in
44 monitoring that is not needed.

45 Management agencies frequently overlook detection power analysis when designing monitoring
46 programmes due to perceived effort and/or lack of familiarity with these techniques (Weiser
47 et al. (2021)). When such analysis is undertaken, the effects of lag are often ignored due to
48 the complexity of incorporating these effects. Ascott et al. (2021) has shown the need to
49 integrate the effects of lag into the models and decision-making processes used to manage
50 freshwater resources. This package was created to lower the difficulty of conducting detection
51 power assessments in the context of lag. It has been used to conduct a New Zealand wide
52 analysis of the detection power of current monitoring programmes for groundwater and to
53 undertake multiple detailed local case studies of specific catchments (Dumont et al. (2024),
54 Dumont & Etheridge (2024), Dumont & Charlesworth (2024)). These studies highlight the
55 cost and times required to detect changes in water quality and the importance of considering
56 lag in the design of monitoring programmes.

57 Acknowledgements

58 Funding to create this package was provided by the Our Land and Water National Science
59 Challenge (contract C10X1507 from the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment).

60 References

- 61 Ascott, M. J., Goody, D. C., Fenton, O., Vero, S., Ward, R. S., Basu, N. B., Worrall, F., Van
62 Meter, K., & Surridge, B. W. J. (2021). The need to integrate legacy nitrogen storage
63 dynamics and time lags into policy and practice. *Science of The Total Environment*, 781,
64 146698. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146698>
- 65 Dumont, M. H., & Charlesworth, E. (2024). *The Ability of the Current Monitoring Network
66 to Detect Nitrate Changes, Waikato, NZ* (No. Z24008WDP.1). Kōmanawa Solutions Ltd.
- 67 Dumont, M. H., & Etheridge, Z. (2024). *The power of the current monitoring network to detect
68 nitrate reductions in the selwyn waihora zone* (Report No. Z22014OLW.4). Kōmanawa
69 Solutions Ltd.
- 70 Dumont, M. H., Etheridge, Z., & McDowell, R. W. (2024). Determining the likelihood and
71 cost of detecting reductions of nitrate-nitrogen concentrations in groundwater across New
72 Zealand. *Science of The Total Environment*, 927, 171759. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.171759>
- 74 McDowell, R. W., Noble, A., Kittridge, M., Ausseil, O., Doscher, C., & Hamilton, D. P. (2024).
75 Monitoring to detect changes in water quality to meet policy objectives. *Scientific Reports*,
76 14(1), 1914. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-52512-7>
- 77 Weiser, E. L., Diffendorfer, J. E., Lopez-Hoffman, L., Semmens, D., & Thogmartin, W. E.
78 (2021). TRENDPOWER TOOL : A lookup tool for estimating the statistical power of a
79 monitoring program to detect population trends. *Conservation Science and Practice*, 3(7),
80 e445. <https://doi.org/10.1111/csp2.445>