

¹ Community Analysis Pipeline: A Python package for processing Mars climate model data

³ Courtney M. L. Batterson  ^{1*}, Richard A. Urata  ^{1*¶}, Victoria L. Hartwick  ^{3*}, Alexandre M. Kling  ^{1*}, and Melinda A. Kahre  ^{2*}

⁵ 1 Bay Area Environmental Research Institute, United States  ² NASA Ames Research Center, United States  ⁶ 3 Southwest Research Institute, United States  ¶ Corresponding author * These authors contributed equally.

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: 

Submitted: 19 May 2025

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

⁸ Summary

⁹ The Community Analysis Pipeline (CAP) is a Python package designed to streamline and ¹⁰ simplify the complex process of analyzing the large datasets output by global climate models ¹¹ (GCMs). CAP consists of a suite of tools that manipulate NetCDF files to produce secondary ¹² datasets and figures useful for science and engineering. CAP facilitates inter-model and ¹³ model-to-observation comparison, and it is the first software of its kind to standardize these ¹⁴ comparisons. The goal is to enable users of varying levels of programming experience to work ¹⁵ more easily with complex data products from GCMs, thereby lowering the barrier to entry into ¹⁶ planetary science research.

Statement of need

¹⁸ GCMs perform numerical simulations that describe the evolution of climate systems on planetary ¹⁹ bodies. GCMs simulate physical processes within the atmosphere (and, if applicable, within the ²⁰ surface of the planet, ocean, and any interactions between them), calculate radiative transfer ²¹ within those mediums, and predict the transport of heat and momentum within the atmosphere ²² using a computational fluid dynamics (CFD) solver (the “dynamical core”). GCM data products ²³ routinely include surface and atmospheric variables such as wind, temperature, and aerosol ²⁴ concentrations. While GCMs have been applied to planetary bodies in our Solar System (e.g., ²⁵ Earth, Venus, Pluto) and in other stellar systems (e.g., Hartwick et al. (2023)), CAP is ²⁶ extends compatibility to Mars GCMs (MGCMs). Several MGCMs are actively in use and under ²⁷ development in the Mars community, including the NASA Ames MGCM (Legacy and FV3-based ²⁸ versions), NASA Goddard ROCKE-3D, the Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique (LMD) ²⁹ Mars Planetary Climate Model (PCM), the Open University OpenMars, NCAR MarsWRF, ³⁰ NCAR MarsCAM, GFDL Mars GCM, Harvard DRAMATIC Mars GCM, Max Planck Institute ³¹ Mars GCM, and GEM-Mars. To date, CAP is compatible with four of these models (the ³² NASA Ames MGCM, PCM, OpenMars, and MarsWRF) and undergoing development to further ³³ extend compatibility to other models.

³⁴ GCM output is complex in both size and structure, and analyzing the data requires GCM-³⁵ specific domain knowledge. We highlight the following major challenges for working with ³⁶ MGCM output:

- ³⁷ ▪ Files are complex in structure with output fields represented by multiple variables (e.g., air ³⁸ and surface temperature) with varying units (e.g., Kelvin, Celcius) in multi-dimensional ³⁹ structures (e.g., 2–5 dimensions) at a variety of sampling frequencies (e.g., temporally ⁴⁰ averaged, instantaneous) and on custom horizontal and vertical grids.

- 41 ▪ File sizes range from ~10 Gb–10 Tb for simulations describing the Martian climate
 - 42 over a full orbit around the Sun, and vary significantly depending on the number of
 - 43 atmospheric fields being analyzed, time sampling, and horizontal and vertical resolutions.
 - 44 Large files require curated processing pipelines to manage memory storage, which can
 - 45 be particularly challenging for users that do not have access to academic or enterprise
 - 46 clusters or supercomputers for their analyses.
 - 47 ▪ Domain-specific knowledge is required to derive secondary variables, manipulate complex
 - 48 data structures, and visualize results. Such information is not always publicly available
 - 49 online and many MGCMs do not output data into self-describing formats like netCDF.
 - 50 Working with MGCM data is especially difficult for users unfamiliar with the fields
 - 51 commonly output by MGCMs or the mathematical methods used in climate science.
- 52 CAP offers a streamlined workflow for processing and analyzing MGCM data products by
- 53 providing a set of libraries and executables that facilitate file manipulation and data visualization
- 54 from the command line. This benefits existing modelers by automating both routine and
- 55 sophisticated post-processing tasks. It also expands access to MGCM products by removing
- 56 some of the technical roadblocks associated with processing these complex data products.

57 State of the Field

58 CAP is the first software package to provide data visualization, file manipulation, variable

59 derivation, and inter-model or model-to-observation comparison tools for MGCM output in

60 one software suite. Some existing tools perform a subset of these functions, but none of

61 them provide both complex data analysis and visualization tools and they are not designed

62 specifically for climate modeling. Some of the more popular tools include Panoply ([Schmunk, 2024](#)),

63 Ncview ([Pierce, 2024](#)), Grid Analysis and Display System (GrADS; George Mason

64 University ([n.d.](#))), and Paraview ([Kitware Inc., 2023](#)). Each tool offers simple solutions for

65 visualizing NetCDF data. Some provide minimal flexibility for user-defined computations.

66 However, existing tools are either Java or C based, which preclude the use of powerful analysis

67 and visualization packages available in Python such as NumPy and Matplotlib. CAP is the only

68 software package with an open-source Python framework for analyzing and plotting climate

69 model data and performing inter-model or model-to-observation comparison.

70 Software Design

71 CAP was originally designed to be an accessible toolkit for manipulating, analyzing, and

72 visualizing data from the NASA Ames MGCM. It is written in Python for its approachability

73 (for developers and users), open-source-friendly design, and integration of third party packages

74 (i.e., NumPy, NetCDF4, Matplotlib). CAP is easily portable to multiple operating systems,

75 which is a key feature of the software as the model data often moves between systems, whether

76 from a cluster to local storage or between users who have differing operating systems. The

77 use of Python over other languages (e.g., C/C++, or Fortran) means CAP sacrifices some

78 computational efficiency for the many benefits of having all of the analysis and visualization

79 tools in a single, open-source package.

80 CAP tools are grouped by functionality for an intuitive experience. For example, file

81 manipulation functions (like splitting a dataset by date or slicing by latitude) are stored in

82 MarsFiles, functions that add diagnostic variables are in MarsVars, and plotting is handled by

83 MarsPlot. The main executables (MarsFiles, MarsVars, MarsPlot, MarsInterp, MarsFormat,

84 MarsPull, MarsCalendar) contain readable instructions and function definitions, many of which

85 are accessible via the command line with the -h flag, while the more complicated backend

86 functions are stored in separate files (e.g., FV3_utils.py, Ncdf_wrapper.py).

87 Research Impact Statement

88 CAP has been used in multiple research projects that have been published and/or presented at
89 conferences worldwide (e.g., Urata et al. (2025); Batterson et al. (2023); Hartwick, Haberle,
90 et al. (2022); Hartwick, Toon, et al. (2022); K. Steakley et al. (2023); K. E. Steakley et al.
91 (2024); Kahre et al. (2022); Kahre et al. (2023); Nagata et al. (2025); Hartwick & Kahre
92 (2024)).

93 AI Use Disclosure

94 Claude (Sonnet 4.5) was used to assist with software execution error analysis and for the
95 development of the automated testing suite via GitHub Actions. Quality and correctness of
96 AI-generated content was verified by comparing results to expected output, and was tested by
97 multiple team members.

98 Acknowledgements

99 This work is supported by the Planetary Science Division of the National Aeronautics and
100 Space Administration as part of the Mars Climate Modeling Center funded by the Internal
101 Scientist Funding Model.

102 References

- 103 Batterson, C. M. L., Kahre, M. A., Bridger, A. F. C., Wilson, R. J., Urata, R. A., & Bertrand,
104 T. (2023). Modeling the B regional dust storm on Mars: Dust lofting mechanisms
105 predicted by the new NASA Ames Mars GCM. *Icarus*, 400, 115542–115542. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2023.115542>
- 107 George Mason University. (n.d.). *GrADS home page*. COLA GMU. <http://cola.gmu.edu/grads/>
- 108 Hartwick, V. L., Haberle, R. M., & Kahre, M. A. (2023). Stabilization of tenuous atmospheres
109 by atmospheric dust in the HZ of M-dwarf stars. *American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting*.
- 110 Hartwick, V. L., Haberle, R. M., Kahre, M. A., & Wilson, R. J. (2022). The dust cycle on
111 Mars at different orbital distances from the sun: An investigation of impact of radiatively
112 active dust on land planet climate. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 941(1). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac9481>
- 114 Hartwick, V. L., & Kahre, M. A. (2024). Modeling the impact of atmospheric dust on the
115 atmospheric stability & climate of tidally locked Mars-like exoplanets with the NASA Ames
116 FV3-based Mars global climate model. *10th International Conference on Mars*.
- 117 Hartwick, V. L., Toon, O. B., Kahre, M. A., Pierpaoli, O., & Lundquist, J. M. (2022).
118 Assessment of wind energy resources for future manned missions to Mars. *Nature Astronomy*.
119 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-022-01851-4>
- 120 Kahre, M. A., Wilson, R. J., Brecht, A. S., Haberle, R. M., Harman, S., Urata, R., Kling, A.,
121 Steakley, K. E., Batterson, C. M., Hartwick, V., & Gkouvelis, L. (2022). Update and status
122 of the Mars climate modeling center at NASA Ames Research Center. *7th Workshop on
123 Mars Atmosphere Modelling and Observations*.
- 124 Kahre, M. A., Wilson, R. J., Urata, R. A., Batterson, C. M., Kling, A., Brecht, A. S., Steakley,
125 K., Hartwick, V., & Harman, C. E. (2023). The NASA Ames Mars Global Climate Model:
126 Benchmarking Publicly Released Source Code and Model Output. *AGU Fall Meeting
127 Abstracts*, 2023, P51E–2741.
- 128 Kitware Inc. (2023). *Core feature of ParaView*. ParaView. <https://www.paraview.org/core/>

- 129 Nagata, N., Liu, H., Nakagawa, H., Jain, S., Rafkin, S., & Hartwick, V. (2025). Impacts of
130 2018 global dust storm on atmosphere-ionosphere coupling on Mars: With MAVEN &
131 NASA Ames Mars GCM. *Japan Geoscience Union Meeting*.
- 132 Pierce, D. W. (2024). *Ncview*. David W. Pierce, Scripps Institution of Oceanography.
133 <https://cirrus.ucsd.edu/ncview/>
- 134 Schmunk, R. B. (2024). *NASA GISS: Panoply 5 netCDF, HDF and GRIB data viewer*. NASA
135 Goddard Institute for Space Studies. <https://www.giss.nasa.gov/tools/panoply/>
- 136 Steakley, K. E., Kahre, M. A., Haberle, R. M., & Lee, R. (2024). Sensitivities of the Water
137 Cycle in a Post-Impact H₂-Rich Early Mars Environment. *LPI Contributions*, 3007, 3442.
- 138 Steakley, K., Hartwick, V., Kahre, M. A., & Haberle, R. M. (2023). Cloud Condensation
139 Nuclei in the Early Martian Atmosphere. *AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts*, 2023, P41D-07.
- 140 Urata, R., Bertrand, T., Kahre, M., Wilson, R., Kling, A., & Wolff, M. (2025). Impact of a
141 bimodal dust distribution on the 2018 Martian global dust storm with the NASA Ames Mars
142 global climate model. *Icarus*, 429, 116446. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2024.116446>

DRAFT