




STITCHES: a Python package to amalgamate existing Earth system model output into new scenario realizations

Abigail C. Snyder ¹, Kalyn R. Dorheim ¹, Claudia Tebaldi ¹, and Chris R. Vernon ²

¹ Joint Global Change Research Institute/Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, USA ² Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, USA

DOI: [10.21105/joss.05525](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.05525)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Hauke Schulz](#)  

Reviewers:

- [@znicholls](#)
- [@Zeitsperre](#)

Submitted: 05 April 2023

Published: 07 May 2024

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

Understanding the interaction between humans and the Earth system is a computationally daunting task, with many possible approaches depending on resources available and questions of interest. For example, state-of-the-art impact models require decade-long time series of relatively high frequency, spatially resolved and often multiple variables representing climatic impact-drivers ([Ruane et al., 2022](#)). Most commonly these are derived from the outputs of detailed, computationally expensive Earth System Models (ESMs) run according to a standard, limited set of future scenarios, the latest being the SSP-RCPs run under CMIP6-ScenarioMIP ([Eyring et al., 2016](#); [O'Neill et al., 2016](#)). At the time of writing, O'Neill et al. (2016) has been cited more than 1750 times and Eyring et al. (2016) more than 5000 times, highlighting the broad, general applications of this data.

Often, however, impact modeling seeks to explore new scenarios that were not part of the ScenarioMIP protocol, and/or needs a larger set of initial condition ensemble members than are typically available to quantify the effects of ESM internal variability. In addition, the recognition that the human and Earth systems are fundamentally intertwined, and may feature potentially significant feedback loops, is making integrated, simultaneous modeling of the coupled human-Earth system increasingly necessary, if computationally challenging with most existing tools ([Thornton et al., 2017](#)).

For impact modelers, climate model emulators can be the answer to meet both the needs of: 1) creating realizations for novel scenarios and 2) achieving a simplified, computationally tractable representation of ESM behavior in a coupled human-Earth system modeling framework. We proposed a new, comprehensive approach to such emulation of gridded, multivariate ESM outputs for novel scenarios without the computational cost of a full ESM, STITCHES ([Tebaldi et al., 2022](#)). The approach outlined in Tebaldi et al. (2022) should be extensible to future CMIP eras, although the STITCHES software at present is strictly focused on CMIP6/ScenarioMIP data hosted on Pangeo (<https://gallery.pangeo.io/repos/pangeo-gallery/cmip6/>).

The corresponding STITCHES Python package uses existing archives of ESMs' scenario experiments from CMIP6/ScenarioMIP to construct gridded, multivariate realizations of new scenarios provided by reduced complexity climate models ([Hartin et al., 2015](#); [Meinshausen et al., 2011](#); [Smith et al., 2018](#)), or to enrich existing initial condition ensembles. Its output provides the same characteristics as the emulated ESM output: multivariate (spanning potentially all variables that the ESM has saved), spatially resolved (down to the native grid of the ESM), and preserving the same high frequency as the original data. A new realization of multiple variables can be generated on the order of minutes with STITCHES, rather than the hours or sometimes days that ESMs require.

Statement of need

ESM emulation methods generally attempt to preserve the complex statistical characteristics of a particular ESM's outputs for multiple variables and at time scales (often daily or monthly) relevant to impact models. Many existing ESM emulation methods, such as MESMER (Beusch et al., 2020; Nath et al., 2022; Quilcaille et al., 2022), rely on 'bottom up' methods, inferring from the ESM outputs available for training the details of some statistical process (or, more recently, a machine learning algorithm) able to generate new realizations with the same spatiotemporal behavior of the original ESM outputs, using as input in the generative phase only large scale information, like global average temperature (GSAT), that can be generated by a reduced complexity model, such as Hector, MAGICC, or FAIR (Hartin et al., 2015; Meinshausen et al., 2011; Smith et al., 2018).

The STITCHES approach instead takes a top-down approach inspired by the warming-level style of analyses used by past Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change reports (Arias et al., 2021; Core Writing Team & (eds.), 2023; V. Masson-Delmotte et al., 2018; VP Masson-Delmotte et al., 2021). Specifically, STITCHES takes existing ESM output and intelligently recombines time windows of these gridded, multivariate outputs into new instances of transient, 21st century trajectories by stitching them together on the basis of a target GSAT trajectory. The latter can represent an existing scenario (i.e., one that the ESM has run) or a new one that a simple model can produce, as long as the latter is intermediate to existing ones in forcing levels/GSAT. We encourage users to see the flowchart included in the STITCHES [quickstart notebook](#) and [website](#), as well as in Tebaldi et al. (2022), for a visual example of this process. Tebaldi et al. (2022) of course contains the full details as well as more illustrative figures.

Research from the climate science community has indicated that many ESM output variables are tightly dependent upon the GSAT trajectory and thus scenario independent (see (V. Masson-Delmotte et al., 2018) and citations therein, in particular James et al. (2017)), justifying our approach. Thus, the statistical characteristics of ESM output are preserved by the construction process STITCHES implements, as outlined in Tebaldi et al. (2022). One of the major benefits of this top-down approach is that it jointly emulates outputs of multiple ESM variables, maintaining by construction the joint behavior of the original ESM output, something not presently available in other packages to our knowledge. Most impact-relevant atmospheric variables such as temperature, precipitation, relative humidity, and sea level pressure can be emulated by STITCHES as they are scenario-independent and have a short memory (compared to the window used by 'STITCHES', presently set to nine (9) years). Any variable that the ESM has archived can be emulated jointly. Variables that represent the cumulative effect of warming, such as sea level rise, or that have a long memory, like glacier mass loss or mega-drought, cannot be emulated with STITCHES. STITCHES can produce new realizations for variables archived by the ESM, but it can produce only finitely many new realizations, the maximum number depending on the number of runs archived by each ESM. Currently, new realizations from STITCHES can be appended to archived ESM realizations to result in double to triple the number of runs available; this is arguably one of the main differences from the above-mentioned bottom-up approaches, which can generate infinite new realizations once an accurate statistical process is estimated from existing data. We see this as a source of complementarity between these two emulation approaches.

The STITCHES Python package currently relies on close integration with the Pangeo Cloud catalog of CMIP6 ESM outputs (<https://gallery.pangeo.io/repos/pangeo-gallery/cmip6/>). Thanks to this integration, users are not required to pre-download the entire CMIP6-ScenarioMIP archive of ESM outputs, and can quickly and flexibly emulate variables from any of the 40 ESMs participating in ScenarioMIP. In addition to the requirements for working with Pangeo in Python, STITCHES relies only on a few common scientific Python packages, namely xarray, numpy, pandas, scikit-learn (Harris et al., 2020; Hoyer & Joseph, 2017; Pedregosa et al., 2011; team, 2020), which are specified required dependencies in the package. Finally, because STITCHES is intended for use by impact modelers, the new realizations generated by STITCHES

are NetCDF files with the same dimension information and generally identical structure to the original CMIP6 ESM outputs. These outputs from STITCHES can then serve as inputs to impact models with little to no code changes in the impact models. It may also be possible to endogenize climate impacts in scenario construction by coupling STITCHES with impact models for multiple sectors and a reduced complexity climate model such as Hector, MAGICC, or FAIR (Hartin et al., 2015; Meinshausen et al., 2011; Smith et al., 2018). With the computational efficiency of using emulators, it may be possible to interactively develop new scenarios with more insight than would otherwise be possible using multimodel ESM ensemble statistics or using off-the-shelf ESM scenarios alone.

Code availability

The STITCHES GitHub repository (<https://github.com/JGCRI/stitches>) provides installation instructions.

Also included is a [quickstart notebook](#) that serves as a tutorial for using the package.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, as part of research in MultiSector Dynamics, Earth and Environmental System Modeling Program.

References

- Arias, P., Bellouin, N., Coppola, E., Jones, R., Krinner, G., Marotzke, J., Naik, V., Palmer, M., Plattner, G.-K., Rogelj, J., & others. (2021). *Climate Change 2021: the physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; technical summary*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.002>
- Beusch, L., Gudmundsson, L., & Seneviratne, S. I. (2020). Emulating Earth system model temperatures with MESMER: from global mean temperature trajectories to grid-point-level realizations on land. *Earth System Dynamics*, 11(1), 139–159. <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-11-139-2020>
- Core Writing Team, H. L., & (eds.), J. R. (2023). *IPCC, 2023: Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. <https://doi.org/10.59327/IPCC/AR6-9789291691647.001>
- Eyring, V., Bony, S., Meehl, G. A., Senior, C. A., Stevens, B., Stouffer, R. J., & Taylor, K. E. (2016). Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 9(5), 1937–1958. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016>
- Harris, C. R., Millman, K. J., Walt, S. J. van der, Gommers, R., Virtanen, P., Cournapeau, D., Wieser, E., Taylor, J., Berg, S., Smith, N. J., Kern, R., Picus, M., Hoyer, S., Kerkwijk, M. H. van, Brett, M., Haldane, A., Río, J. F. del, Wiebe, M., Peterson, P., ... Oliphant, T. E. (2020). Array programming with NumPy. *Nature*, 585(7825), 357–362. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2>
- Hartin, C. A., Patel, P., Schwarber, A., Link, R. P., & Bond-Lamberty, B. (2015). A simple object-oriented and open-source model for scientific and policy analyses of the global climate system—Hector v1.0. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 8(4), 939–955. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-939-2015>

- Hoyer, S., & Joseph, H. (2017). xarray: N-D labeled Arrays and Datasets in Python. *Journal of Open Research Software*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.5334/jors.148>
- James, R., Washington, R., Schleussner, C.-F., Rogelj, J., & Conway, D. (2017). Characterizing half-a-degree difference: A review of methods for identifying regional climate responses to global warming targets. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 8(2), e457. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.457>
- Masson-Delmotte, V.P., Zhai, P., Pirani, S., Connors, C., Péan, S., Berger, N., Caud, Y., Chen, L., Goldfarb, M., & Scheel Monteiro, P. M. (2021). *IPCC, 2021: Summary for Policymakers. in: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis: Working Group I Contribution to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.* <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.001>
- Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pörtner, H. O., Roberts, D., Skea, J., Shukla, P. R., & et al. (Eds.). (2018). *IPCC (2018). Global warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty.* World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157940.001>
- Meinshausen, M., Raper, S. C., & Wigley, T. M. (2011). Emulating coupled atmosphere-ocean and carbon cycle models with a simpler model, MAGICC6–Part 1: Model description and calibration. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11(4), 1417–1456. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-1417-2011>
- Nath, S., Lejeune, Q., Beusch, L., Seneviratne, S. I., & Schleussner, C.-F. (2022). MESMER-M: an Earth system model emulator for spatially resolved monthly temperature. *Earth System Dynamics*, 13(2), 851–877. <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-13-851-2022>
- O'Neill, B. C., Tebaldi, C., Vuuren, D. P. van, Eyring, V., Friedlingstein, P., Hurtt, G., Knutti, R., Kriegler, E., Lamarque, J.-F., Lowe, J., Meehl, G. A., Moss, R., Riahi, K., & Sanderson, B. M. (2016). The Scenario Model Intercomparison Project (ScenarioMIP) for CMIP6. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 9(9), 3461–3482. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-3461-2016>
- Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., Michel, V., Thirion, B., Grisel, O., Blondel, M., Prettenhofer, P., Weiss, R., Dubourg, V., Vanderplas, J., Passos, A., Cournapeau, D., Brucher, M., Perrot, M., & Duchesnay, E. (2011). Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12, 2825–2830. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10951361>
- Quilcaille, Y., Gudmundsson, L., Beusch, L., Hauser, M., & Seneviratne, S. I. (2022). Showcasing MESMER-X: Spatially Resolved Emulation of Annual Maximum Temperatures of Earth System Models. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 49(17), e2022GL099012. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL099012>
- Ruane, A. C., Vautard, R., Ranasinghe, R., Sillmann, J., Coppola, E., Arnell, N., Cruz, F. A., Dessai, S., Iles, C. E., Islam, A. S., & others. (2022). The Climatic Impact-Driver Framework for Assessment of Risk-Relevant Climate Information. *Earth's Future*, 10(11), e2022EF002803. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022EF002803>
- Smith, C. J., Forster, P. M., Allen, M., Leach, N., Millar, R. J., Passerello, G. A., & Regayre, L. A. (2018). FAIR v1. 3: A simple emissions-based impulse response and carbon cycle model. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 11(6), 2273–2297. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-2273-2018>
- team, T. pandas development. (2020). *Pandas-dev/pandas: Pandas (latest).* Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3509134>

- Tebaldi, C., Snyder, A., & Dorheim, K. (2022). STITCHES: Creating new scenarios of climate model output by stitching together pieces of existing simulations. *Earth System Dynamics*, 1–58. <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-2022-14>
- Thornton, P. E., Calvin, K., Jones, A. D., Di Vittorio, A. V., Bond-Lamberty, B., Chini, L., Shi, X., Mao, J., Collins, W. D., Edmonds, J., & others. (2017). Biospheric feedback effects in a synchronously coupled model of human and Earth systems. *Nature Climate Change*, 7(7), 496–500. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3310>