

# <sup>1</sup> scphylo-tools: A Python toolkit for single-cell tumor phylogenetic analysis

<sup>3</sup> **Farid Rashidi Mehrabadi**  <sup>1</sup>

<sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Human Carcinogenesis, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, National  
<sup>5</sup> Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

## Software

- [▪ Review](#) 
- [▪ Repository](#) 
- [▪ Archive](#) 

Editor: 

Submitted: 11 January 2026

Published: unpublished

## License

Authors of papers retain copyright<sup>13</sup> and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/))<sup>14</sup>.

## <sup>6</sup> Summary

<sup>7</sup> scphylo-tools is a Python library designed to unify single-cell tumor phylogeny inference methods.<sup>8</sup> It addresses the lack of standardization in the field by providing a cohesive interface<sup>9</sup> for data processing, tree reconstruction, visualization, and benchmarking. By streamlining<sup>10</sup> these tasks, scphylo-tools empowers researchers with limited programming expertise to easily<sup>11</sup> install and utilize complex inference methods, accelerates algorithm development, and ensures<sup>12</sup> reproducible benchmarking of tumor phylogeny reconstruction methods.

## Statement of Need

Cancer is a dynamic evolutionary process driven by the acquisition of somatic mutations and the competitive selection of clonal populations. Single-Cell Sequencing (SCS) technologies have enabled the profiling of genomic alterations at cellular resolution, offering unprecedented insights into intratumor heterogeneity ([Navin et al., 2011](#); [Wang et al., 2014](#)). Elucidating the phylogenetic relationships between tumor cells is essential for understanding metastasis ([Leung et al., 2017](#); [Roper et al., 2020](#)), drug resistance ([Gopalan et al., 2021](#); [Gruen et al., 2023](#); [Kim & Simon, 2014](#)), and clonal dynamics ([Hirsch et al., 2025](#); [Laks et al., 2019](#); [Liu et al., 2025](#)).

<sup>21</sup> Reconstructing the evolutionary history of a tumor from SCS data presents unique computational challenges.<sup>22</sup> These datasets are plagued by high noise levels, including Allele Drop-Out (ADO),<sup>23</sup> false positives, missing data, and doublet artifacts ([Malikić et al., 2021](#); [Rashidi Mehrabadi, 2022](#)).<sup>24</sup> Consequently, a diverse array of computational tools has been developed to address<sup>25</sup> these challenges, including stochastic methods like SCITE ([Jahn et al., 2016](#)), infSCITE<sup>26</sup> ([Kuipers et al., 2017](#)), OncoNEM ([Ross & Markowitz, 2016](#)), SiFit ([Zafar et al., 2017](#)), and<sup>27</sup> SiCloneFit ([Zafar et al., 2019](#)); combinatorial approaches such as PhISCS ([Malikic et al., 2019](#)),<sup>28</sup> PhISCS-BnB ([Sadegi Azer et al., 2020](#)), SPhyR ([El-Kebir, 2018](#)), ScisTree ([Wu, 2019](#)),<sup>29</sup> gpps ([Ciccolella, Soto Gomez, et al., 2020](#)), and SASC ([Ciccolella, Ricketts, et al., 2020](#));<sup>30</sup> and specialized methods including HUNTRESS ([Kızılıkale et al., 2022](#)), SCIPhi ([Singer et al., 2018](#)),<sup>31</sup> and Scelestial ([Foroughmand-Araabi et al., 2022](#)).

<sup>32</sup> However, the software landscape remains highly fragmented. Existing methods typically<sup>33</sup> function as standalone binaries or scripts with inconsistent input/output formats, rendering<sup>34</sup> comparative analysis difficult. Researchers attempting to utilize these tools face a laborious<sup>35</sup> process of installation, data conversion, and script wrapping. Furthermore, integrating these<sup>36</sup> tools into modern Python-based environments (e.g., alongside SCANPY ([F. A. Wolf et al., 2018](#)) or Biopython ([Cock et al., 2009](#))) often requires custom development. scphylo-tools<sup>37</sup> addresses these challenges by wrapping diverse state-of-the-art algorithms into a single,<sup>38</sup> cohesive<sup>39</sup> Python API.

<sup>40</sup> The target audience includes computational biologists, bioinformaticians, and cancer researchers<sup>41</sup> who need to:

- 42     ▪ Compare multiple phylogeny inference methods on the same dataset
- 43     ▪ Benchmark new algorithms against established methods
- 44     ▪ Integrate tumor phylogeny analysis into existing Python workflows
- 45     ▪ Access curated single-cell cancer datasets for research

## 46 State of the Field

47 Several tools exist for tumor phylogeny inference, but none provide the unified framework  
48 that scphylo-tools offers. Individual tools like SCITE, PhISCS, and HUNTRESS each  
49 require separate installation, different input formats, and produce outputs that are not directly  
50 comparable. Workflow frameworks like Snakemake or Nextflow could orchestrate these tools, but  
51 would require significant custom development for format conversion and result standardization.  
52 scphylo-tools distinguishes itself by:

- 53     1. **Unified Interface:** A consistent Python API across all wrapped methods, eliminating  
54       format conversion overhead
- 55     2. **Comprehensive Metrics:** Built-in implementation of specialized tumor tree comparison  
56       metrics (MLTD ([Karpov et al., 2019](#)), CASet/DISC ([DiNardo et al., 2019](#)), MP3  
57       ([Ciccolella, Bernardini, et al., 2020](#)))
- 58     3. **Curated Datasets:** Direct access to published SCS datasets from landmark cancer  
59       evolution studies ([Gawad et al., 2014](#); [Hou et al., 2012](#); [Leung et al., 2017](#); [Morita et al.,  
60       2020](#); [Wang et al., 2014](#); [Y. Wolf et al., 2019](#))
- 61     4. **Ecosystem Integration:** Seamless integration with the Python scientific stack (NumPy,  
62       NetworkX, Matplotlib)

## 63 Software Design

64 scphylo-tools follows a modular architecture inspired by established bioinformatics packages  
65 like SCANPY. The design philosophy prioritizes:

66 **Modular Organization:** The package is organized into functional modules (io, pp, tl, pl, ul,  
67 datasets) that mirror the phylogenetic analysis workflow. This separation allows users to  
68 engage only with the components relevant to their needs.

69 **Consistent Abstractions:** All solver wrappers expose a uniform interface, handling input  
70 formatting, binary execution, and output parsing internally. Trees are represented as NetworkX  
71 DiGraph objects, enabling interoperability with the broader Python ecosystem.

72 **Extensibility:** New inference methods can be added by implementing a standard wrapper  
73 interface, making the package straightforward to extend as new algorithms emerge.

74 **Reproducibility:** The datasets module provides versioned access to published datasets, and  
75 the simulation engine enables controlled generation of synthetic data with known ground truths  
76 for algorithm validation.

77 Key implementation decisions include using pandas DataFrames for genotype matrices (facili-  
78 tating data manipulation), NetworkX for tree structures (enabling standard graph algorithms),  
79 and Matplotlib/Graphviz for visualization (providing publication-quality outputs).

## 80 Research Impact Statement

81 scphylo-tools has been used in several published research studies:

- 82     ▪ Analysis of melanoma subclonal evolution and immunotherapy resistance mechanisms  
83       ([Gruen et al., 2023](#))

- 84     ▪ Development of the Trisicell-PartF algorithm for evaluating inferred subclonal structures
  - 85         ([Mehrabadi et al., 2025](#))
  - 86     ▪ Investigation of expressed mutation profiles in single cells ([Mehrabadi et al., 2021](#))
  - 87     ▪ Metastatic migration pattern analysis using single-cell methylation sequencing ([Liu et al., 2023, 2025](#))
  - 88     ▪ Stochastic modeling of gene expression adaptation in tumor evolution ([Hirsch et al., 2025](#))
- 91     The package is available on PyPI. Documentation and tutorials are hosted on Read the Docs,  
92     providing comprehensive guidance for new users.

## 93     AI Usage Disclosure

94     No generative AI tools were used in the development of the scphylo-tools software, the  
95     writing of this manuscript, or the preparation of supporting documentation.

## 96     Acknowledgements

97     We acknowledge the contributions of the open-source community and the authors of the  
98     underlying algorithms whose work is wrapped within this toolkit. This work was supported  
99     by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, National Cancer  
100    Institute.

## 101    References

- 102    Ciccolella, S., Bernardini, G., Denti, L., Bonizzoni, P., Previtali, M., & Della Vedova, G.  
103         (2020). Triplet-based similarity score for fully multilabeled trees with poly-occurring labels.  
104         *Bioinformatics*, 37(2), 178–184. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa676>
- 105    Ciccolella, S., Ricketts, C., Soto Gomez, M., Patterson, M., Silverbush, D., Bonizzoni,  
106         P., Hajirasouliha, I., & Della Vedova, G. (2020). Inferring cancer progression from  
107         Single-Cell Sequencing while allowing mutation losses. *Bioinformatics*, 37(3), 326–333.  
108         <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa722>
- 109    Ciccolella, S., Soto Gomez, M., Patterson, M. D., Della Vedova, G., Hajirasouliha, I., &  
110         Bonizzoni, P. (2020). gpps: an ILP-based approach for inferring cancer progression with  
111         mutation losses from single cell data. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 21(S1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-020-03736-7>
- 113    Cock, P. J. A., Antao, T., Chang, J. T., Chapman, B. A., Cox, C. J., Dalke, A., Friedberg,  
114         I., Hamelryck, T., Kauff, F., Wilczynski, B., & Hoon, M. J. L. de. (2009). Biopython:  
115         freely available Python tools for computational molecular biology and bioinformatics.  
116         *Bioinformatics*, 25(11), 1422–1423. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp163>
- 117    DiNardo, Z., Tomlinson, K., Ritz, A., & Oesper, L. (2019). Distance measures for tumor evolu-  
118         tionary trees. *Bioinformatics*, 36(7), 2090–2097. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz869>
- 120    El-Kebir, M. (2018). SPhyR: tumor phylogeny estimation from single-cell sequencing data under  
121         loss and error. *Bioinformatics*, 34(17), i671–i679. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty589>
- 123    Foroughmand-Araabi, M.-H., Goliae, S., & McHardy, A. C. (2022). Scelestial: Fast and  
124         accurate single-cell lineage tree inference based on a Steiner tree approximation algorithm.  
125         *PLOS Computational Biology*, 18(8), e1009100. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009100>

- 127 Gawad, C., Koh, W., & Quake, S. R. (2014). Dissecting the clonal origins of childhood acute  
128 lymphoblastic leukemia by single-cell genomics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(50), 17947–17952. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1420822111>
- 130 Gopalan, V., Singh, A., Rashidi Mehrabadi, F., Wang, L., Ruppin, E., Arda, H. E., &  
131 Hannenhalli, S. (2021). A Transcriptionally Distinct Subpopulation of Healthy Acinar Cells  
132 Exhibit Features of Pancreatic Progenitors and PDAC. *Cancer Research*, 81(15), 3958–3970.  
133 <https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.can-21-0427>
- 134 Gruen, C., Yang, H. H., Sassano, A., Wu, E., Gopalan, V., Marie, K. L., Castro, A., Mehrabadi,  
135 F. R., Wu, C. H., Church, I., Needle, G. A., Smith, C., Chin, S., Ebersole, J., Marcelus,  
136 C., Fon, A., Liu, H., Malikic, S., Sahinalp, C., ... Pérez-Guijarro, E. (2023). *Melanoma  
137 clonal subline analysis uncovers heterogeneity-driven immunotherapy resistance mechanisms.*  
138 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.03.535074>
- 139 Hirsch, M. G., Pal, S., Rashidi Mehrabadi, F., Malikic, S., Gruen, C., Sassano, A., Pérez-  
140 Guijarro, E., Merlino, G., Sahinalp, S. C., Molloy, E. K., Day, C.-P., & Przytycka, T.  
141 M. (2025). Stochastic modeling of single-cell gene expression adaptation reveals non-  
142 genomic contribution to evolution of tumor subclones. *Cell Systems*, 16(1), 101156.  
143 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2024.11.013>
- 144 Hou, Y., Song, L., Zhu, P., Zhang, B., Tao, Y., Xu, X., Li, F., Wu, K., Liang, J., Shao, D.,  
145 Wu, H., Ye, X., Ye, C., Wu, R., Jian, M., Chen, Y., Xie, W., Zhang, R., Chen, L., ... Wang,  
146 J. (2012). Single-Cell Exome Sequencing and Monoclonal Evolution of a JAK2-Negative  
147 Myeloproliferative Neoplasm. *Cell*, 148(5), 873–885. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.02.028>
- 149 Jahn, K., Kuipers, J., & Beerewinkel, N. (2016). Tree inference for single-cell data. *Genome  
150 Biology*, 17(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-0936-x>
- 151 Karpov, N., Malikic, S., Rahman, Md. K., & Sahinalp, S. C. (2019). A multi-labeled tree  
152 dissimilarity measure for comparing “clonal trees” of tumor progression. *Algorithms for  
153 Molecular Biology*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13015-019-0152-9>
- 154 Kim, K. I., & Simon, R. (2014). Using single cell sequencing data to model the evolutionary  
155 history of a tumor. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-15-27>
- 156 Kızılıkale, C., Rashidi Mehrabadi, F., Sadeqi Azer, E., Pérez-Guijarro, E., Marie, K. L., Lee, M.  
157 P., Day, C.-P., Merlino, G., Ergün, F., Buluç, A., Sahinalp, S. C., & Malikić, S. (2022). Fast  
158 intratumor heterogeneity inference from single-cell sequencing data. *Nature Computational  
159 Science*, 2(9), 577–583. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588-022-00298-x>
- 160 Kuipers, J., Jahn, K., Raphael, B. J., & Beerewinkel, N. (2017). Single-cell sequencing data  
161 reveal widespread recurrence and loss of mutational hits in the life histories of tumors.  
162 *Genome Research*, 27(11), 1885–1894. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.220707.117>
- 163 Laks, E., McPherson, A., Zahn, H., Lai, D., Steif, A., Brimhall, J., Biele, J., Wang, B.,  
164 Masud, T., Ting, J., Grewal, D., Nielsen, C., Leung, S., Bojilova, V., Smith, M., Golovko,  
165 O., Poon, S., Eirew, P., Kabeer, F., ... Aparicio, S. (2019). Clonal Decomposition and  
166 DNA Replication States Defined by Scaled Single-Cell Genome Sequencing. *Cell*, 179(5),  
167 1207–1221.e22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.10.026>
- 168 Leung, M. L., Davis, A., Gao, R., Casasent, A., Wang, Y., Sei, E., Vilar, E., Maru, D.,  
169 Kopetz, S., & Navin, N. E. (2017). Single-cell DNA sequencing reveals a late-dissemination  
170 model in metastatic colorectal cancer. *Genome Research*, 27(8), 1287–1299. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.209973.116>
- 171 Liu, Y., Goretsky, A., Keskus, A. G., Malikic, S., Ahmad, T., Gertz, E. M., Mehrabadi, F.  
172 R., Kelly, M., Hernandez, M., Seibert, C., Caravaca, J. M., Kline, K., Zhao, Y., Wu,  
173 Y., Shrestha, B., Tran, B., Ghosh, A., Cui, X., Sassano, A., ... Kolmogorov, M. (2025).  
174 *Long-read sequencing of single cell-derived melanoma subclones reveals divergent and*  
175

- 176        parallel genomic and epigenomic evolutionary trajectories. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.08.28.672865>
- 177
- 178        Liu, Y., Li, X. C., Rashidi Mehrabadi, F., Schäffer, A. A., Pratt, D., Crawford, D. R.,  
 179        Malikić, S., Molloy, E. K., Gopalan, V., Mount, S. M., Ruppin, E., Aldape, K. D., &  
 180        Sahinalp, S. C. (2023). Single-cell methylation sequencing data reveal succinct metastatic  
 181        migration histories and tumor progression models. *Genome Research*, gr.277608.122.  
 182        <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.277608.122>
- 183        Malikić, S., Mehrabadi, F. R., Ciccolella, S., Rahman, Md. K., Ricketts, C., Haghshenas,  
 184        E., Seidman, D., Hach, F., Hajirasouliha, I., & Sahinalp, S. C. (2019). PhISCS: a  
 185        combinatorial approach for subperfect tumor phylogeny reconstruction via integrative  
 186        use of single-cell and bulk sequencing data. *Genome Research*, 29(11), 1860–1877.  
 187        <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.234435.118>
- 188        Malikić, S., Mehrabadi, F. R., Azer, E. S., Ebrahimabadi, M. H., & Sahinalp, S. C. (2021).  
 189        Studying the History of Tumor Evolution from Single-Cell Sequencing Data by Exploring  
 190        the Space of Binary Matrices. *Journal of Computational Biology*, 28(9), 857–879. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2020.0595>
- 191
- 192        Mehrabadi, F. R., Azer, E. S., Bridgers, J. D., Pérez-Guijarro, E., Marie, K. L., Yang, H. H.,  
 193        Gruen, C., Wu, C. H., Robinson, W., Liu, H., Kizilkale, C., Kelly, M. C., Smith, C., Chin,  
 194        S., Ebersole, J., Burkett, S., Buluc, A., Lee, M. P., Molloy, E. K., ... Sahinalp, S. C. (2025).  
 195        A Partition Function Algorithm to Evaluate Inferred Subclonal Structures in Single-Cell  
 196        Sequencing Data. In *Research in computational molecular biology* (pp. 409–413). Springer  
 197        Nature Switzerland. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-90252-9\\_50](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-90252-9_50)
- 198        Mehrabadi, F. R., Marie, K. L., Pérez-Guijarro, E., Malikić, S., Azer, E. S., Yang, H. H.,  
 199        Kızılıkale, C., Gruen, C., Robinson, W., Liu, H., Kelly, M. C., Marcelus, C., Burkett, S.,  
 200        Buluç, A., Ergün, F., Lee, M. P., Merlini, G., Day, C.-P., & Sahinalp, S. C. (2021). *Profiles*  
 201        *of expressed mutations in single cells reveal subclonal expansion patterns and therapeutic*  
 202        *impact of intratumor heterogeneity*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.26.437185>
- 203        Morita, K., Wang, F., Jahn, K., Hu, T., Tanaka, T., Sasaki, Y., Kuipers, J., Loghavi, S.,  
 204        Wang, S. A., Yan, Y., Furudate, K., Matthews, J., Little, L., Gumbs, C., Zhang, J., Song,  
 205        X., Thompson, E., Patel, K. P., Bueso-Ramos, C. E., ... Takahashi, K. (2020). Clonal  
 206        evolution of acute myeloid leukemia revealed by high-throughput single-cell genomics.  
 207        *Nature Communications*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19119-8>
- 208        Navin, N., Kendall, J., Troge, J., Andrews, P., Rodgers, L., McIndoo, J., Cook, K., Stepansky,  
 209        A., Levy, D., Esposito, D., Muthuswamy, L., Krasnitz, A., McCombie, W. R., Hicks, J., &  
 210        Wigler, M. (2011). Tumour evolution inferred by single-cell sequencing. *Nature*, 472(7341),  
 211        90–94. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09807>
- 212        Rashidi Mehrabadi, F. (2022). *Scalable tumor phylogeny inference and validation from single-cell RNA or DNA data* [PhD thesis, Indiana University]. <https://www.proquest.com/docview/2701106234/>
- 213
- 214
- 215        Roper, N., Brown, A.-L., Wei, J. S., Pack, S., Trindade, C., Kim, C., Restifo, O., Gao, S., Sindiri,  
 216        S., Mehrabadi, F., El Meskini, R., Ohler, Z. W., Maity, T. K., Venugopalan, A., Cultraro, C.  
 217        M., Akoth, E., Padiernos, E., Chen, H., Kesarwala, A., ... Guha, U. (2020). Clonal Evolution  
 218        and Heterogeneity of Osimertinib Acquired Resistance Mechanisms in EGFR Mutant Lung  
 219        Cancer. *Cell Reports Medicine*, 1(1), 100007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2020.100007>
- 220        Ross, E. M., & Markowitz, F. (2016). OncoNEM: inferring tumor evolution from single-cell  
 221        sequencing data. *Genome Biology*, 17(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-0929-9>
- 222        Sadeqi Azer, E., Rashidi Mehrabadi, F., Malikić, S., Li, X. C., Bartok, O., Litchfield, K., Levy,  
 223        R., Samuels, Y., Schäffer, A. A., Gertz, E. M., Day, C.-P., Pérez-Guijarro, E., Marie, K., Lee,  
 224        M. P., Merlini, G., Ergun, F., & Sahinalp, S. C. (2020). PhISCS-BnB: a fast branch and

- 225 bound algorithm for the perfect tumor phylogeny reconstruction problem. *Bioinformatics*,  
226 36(Supplement\_1), i169–i176. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa464>
- 227 Singer, J., Kuipers, J., Jahn, K., & Beerenwinkel, N. (2018). Single-cell mutation identification  
228 via phylogenetic inference. *Nature Communications*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07627-7>
- 230 Wang, Y., Waters, J., Leung, M. L., Unruh, A., Roh, W., Shi, X., Chen, K., Scheet, P.,  
231 Vattathil, S., Liang, H., Multani, A., Zhang, H., Zhao, R., Michor, F., Meric-Bernstam,  
232 F., & Navin, N. E. (2014). Clonal evolution in breast cancer revealed by single nucleus  
233 genome sequencing. *Nature*, 512(7513), 155–160. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13600>
- 234 Wolf, F. A., Angerer, P., & Theis, F. J. (2018). SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expression  
235 data analysis. *Genome Biology*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-017-1382-0>
- 236 Wolf, Y., Bartok, O., Patkar, S., Eli, G. B., Cohen, S., Litchfield, K., Levy, R., Jiménez-  
237 Sánchez, A., Trabish, S., Lee, J. S., Karathia, H., Barnea, E., Day, C.-P., Cinnamon,  
238 E., Stein, I., Solomon, A., Bitton, L., Pérez-Guijarro, E., Dubovik, T., ... Samuels, Y.  
239 (2019). UVB-Induced Tumor Heterogeneity Diminishes Immune Response in Melanoma.  
240 *Cell*, 179(1), 219–235.e21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.08.032>
- 241 Wu, Y. (2019). Accurate and efficient cell lineage tree inference from noisy single cell data:  
242 the maximum likelihood perfect phylogeny approach. *Bioinformatics*, 36(3), 742–750.  
243 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz676>
- 244 Zafar, H., Navin, N., Chen, K., & Nakhleh, L. (2019). SiCloneFit: Bayesian inference of  
245 population structure, genotype, and phylogeny of tumor clones from single-cell genome  
246 sequencing data. *Genome Research*, 29(11), 1847–1859. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.243121.118>
- 248 Zafar, H., Tzen, A., Navin, N., Chen, K., & Nakhleh, L. (2017). SiFit: inferring tumor  
249 trees from single-cell sequencing data under finite-sites models. *Genome Biology*, 18(1).  
250 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-017-1311-2>