

# rtweet: Collecting and analyzing Twitter data

Michael W. Kearney<sup>1</sup>

1 School of Journalism, Informatics Institute, University of Missouri

**DOI:** 10.21105/joss.01829

#### Software

■ Review 🗗

■ Repository 🗗

■ Archive 🗗

**Submitted:** 21 October 2019 **Published:** 24 October 2019

#### License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY).

### Statement of need

Following the announced (2016) deprecation of the twitteR package (Gentry, 2013), R users seeking to interact with Twitter APIs have been encouraged to use the rtweet package. Use of the up-to-date and actively-maintained rtweet package is especially important in light of changes to Twitter's APIs since 2016. Most notably is the increased character limit (from 140 to 280) for Twitter statuses (Rosen & Ihara, 2017). In addition to providing an updated interface with similar functionality to twitteR, allowing R users to communicate with various endpoints from Twitter's REST API, the rtweet package also provides support for communicating with Twitter's stream API.

## **Summary**

Interest in Twitter data continues to grow, but for many the task of actually collecting and analyzing data via Twitter APIs remains daunting. For example, in order to interact with Twitter's APIs users must, in addition to identifying and digesting the relevant information from Twitter's developer documentation, build/send/receive requests, manage rate limits, and wrangle nested and real-time response objects into analysis-friendly data structures. Fortunately, the rtweet R package (Kearney, 2018a) is designed to simplify these processes, making interacting with Twitter's APIs more accessible to a wider range of users.

The main goals of the rtweet package are two-fold. The first goal is to make interacting with Twitter's APIs more approachable and streamlined for less computationally-experienced users. The second goal is to assist in the analysis of Twitter data via converting information returned by Twitter's APIs into tabular data structures and providing several convenience functions for common analytical techniques such as examining Twitter networks or the frequency of tweets over time. In short, although it is certainly possible for users to write their own Twitter API wrapper functions, the heavy-lifting done by rtweet to (a) streamline the building, authorizing, and sending of API requests, (b) wrangle deeply nested JSON data into tabular structures, and (c) provide convenience functions for for relevant and popular analytical techniques, make it a valuable contribution in the area of collecting and analyzing Twitter data.

Although rtweet provides some coverage to user context-behaviors (e.g., posting statuses, liking tweets, following users, etc.), the primary audience for the package to date has been researchers. Accordingly, rtweet has been featured in numerous popular press (e.g., Bajak & Wu, 2019; Machlis, 2019; Riley, 2019) and academic publications (e.g., Bossetta, 2018; Bradley & James, 2019; Buscema, Ferilli, Massini, & Zavarrone, 2018; Erlandsen, 2018; Gitto & Mancuso, 2019; Kearney, 2018b, 2019; Li, Chamrajnagar, Fong, Rizik, & Fu, 2019; Lutkenhaus et al., 2019a, 2019b; Molyneux, Lewis, & Holton, 2018; Tsoi et al., 2018; Unsihuay, 2018; Valls et al., 2017; Wu & Ying, 2018).



### References

Bajak, A., & Wu, F. (2019). Democrats 'went low' on Twitter leading up to 2018. *Roll Call*. Retrieved from https://www.rollcall.com/news/lead-midterms-twitter-republicans-went-high-democrats

Bossetta, M. (2018). A simulated cyberattack on Twitter: Assessing partisan vulnerability to spear phishing and disinformation ahead of the 2018 u.s. Midterm elections. *First Monday*, 23(12). doi:10.5210/fm.v23i12.9540

Bradley, A., & James, R. J. (2019). How are major gambling brands using Twitter? *International Gambling Studies*, 1-20. doi:10.1080/14459795.2019.1606927

Buscema, M., Ferilli, G., Massini, G., & Zavarrone, E. (2018). Media content analysis on online hate speech. *Positive Messengers*. Retrieved from https://positivemessengers.net/images/library/pdfs/Media\_content\_analysis\_form\_eng.pdf

Erlandsen, M. (2018). Twitter as a tool of para-diplomacy: An exploratory cohort study based on Catalonia (2013-2017). *Revista Chilena de Relaciones Internacionales*, *2*(1), 211–231. doi:10.5281/zenodo.1188429

Gentry, J. (2013). *twitteR: R based Twitter client*. Comprehensive R Archive Network. Retrieved from https://cran.r-project.org/package=twitteR

Gitto, S., & Mancuso, P. (2019). Brand perceptions of airports using social networks. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, 75, 153–163. doi:10.1016/j.jairtraman.2019.01.010

Kearney, M. W. (2018a). *rtweet: Collecting Twitter data*. The Comprehensive R Archive Network. doi:10.5281/zenodo.2528481

Kearney, M. W. (2018b). Analyzing tweets about the 2016 US presidential "blunder" election. (B. R. Warner, D. G. Bystrom, M. S. McKinney, & M. C. Banwart, Eds.). ABC-CLIO.

Kearney, M. W. (2019). Analyzing change in network polarization. New Media & Society.  $\mbox{doi:} 10.1177/1461444818822813$ 

Li, T. R., Chamrajnagar, A., Fong, X., Rizik, N., & Fu, F. (2019). Sentiment-based prediction of alternative cryptocurrency price fluctuations using gradient boosting tree model. *Frontiers in Physics*, 7, 98. doi:10.3389/fphy.2019.00098

Lutkenhaus, R. O., Jansz, J., & Bouman, M. P. (2019a). Tailoring in the digital era: Stimulating dialogues on health topics in collaboration with social media influencers. *Digital Health*, *5*, 1–11. doi:10.1177/2055207618821521

Lutkenhaus, R. O., Jansz, J., & Bouman, M. P. (2019b). Mapping the Dutch vaccination debate on Twitter: Identifying communities, narratives, and interactions. *Vaccine: X*, 100019. doi:10.1016/j.jvacx.2019.100019

Machlis, S. (2019). R community blasts DataCamp response to exec's 'inappropriate behavior'. *ComputerWorld*. Retrieved from https://www.computerworld.com/article/3389684/r-community-blasts-datacamp-response-to-execs-inappropriate-behavior.html#tk.rss\_news

Molyneux, L., Lewis, S. C., & Holton, A. E. (2018). Media work, identity, and the motivations that shape branding practices among journalists: An explanatory framework. *New Media & Society*, 1–20. doi:10.1177/1461444818809392

Riley, C. (2019). Does Twitter make political polarization seem worse? *Futurity*. Retrieved from https://www.futurity.org/political-polarization-twitter-moderates-2025862/

Rosen, A., & Ihara, I. (2017). Giving you more characters to express yourself. Retrieved from https://blog.twitter.com/official/en\_us/topics/product/2017/Giving-you-more-characters-to-express-yhtml



Tsoi, K. K., Chan, N. B., Chan, F. C., Zhang, L., Lee, A. C., & Meng, H. M. (2018). How can we better use Twitter to find a person who got lost due to dementia? *npj Digital Medicine*, 1(1), 14. doi:10.1038/s41746-018-0017-5

Unsihuay, J. E. G. (2018). Topic modeling en datos de Twitter: Una aplicación en el contexto político peruano. XXVIII Simposio Internacional de Estadístic.

Valls, F., Redondo, E., Fonseca, D., Torres-Kompen, R., Villagrasa, S., & Martí, N. (2017). Urban data and urban design: A data mining approach to architecture education. *Telematics and Informatics*. doi:10.1016/j.tele.2017.09.015

Wu, H., & Ying, S. (2018). Finding similar users over multiple attributes on the basis of intuitionistic fuzzy set. *Mobile Networks and Applications*, 1–9. doi:10.1007/s11036-018-1055-6