

¹ SpinVibe: Simulating spin-phonon coupling for molecular qubits

³ **Victor Chang Lee**  ¹ and **James M Rondinelli**  ¹

⁴ 1 Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Northwestern University, United States

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Rocco Meli](#)  

Reviewers:

- [@apizzuto](#)
- [@MartinBeseda](#)

Submitted: 15 September 2025

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#))

⁵ Summary

⁶ Molecular qubits have emerged as versatile candidates for quantum information technologies. ⁷ Their appeal lies in the chemical tunability, the possibility of large-scale synthetic control and ⁸ their integration with solid-state and solution-phase architectures. ([Bayliss et al., 2022; Wojnar et al., 2024](#)) In contrast to solid-state defects or superconducting qubits, molecular qubits can ⁹ be engineered at the atomic level, allowing researchers to systematically tailor their electronic, ¹⁰ magnetic, and vibrational properties. ([Laorenza & Freedman, 2022](#)) However, the practical ¹¹ implementation of molecular qubits is limited by decoherence and relaxation processes, which ¹² shorten the time window over which quantum information can be stored and manipulated.

¹³ Relaxation dynamics fundamentally determine the practical utility of molecular qubits. The ¹⁴ spin–spin relaxation time T_2 establishes the maximum duration for quantum information ¹⁵ storage and directly limits the achievable sensitivity in sensing applications. Simultaneously, ¹⁶ the spin–lattice relaxation time T_1 governs vibrationally mediated decoherence processes and ¹⁷ determines how long the molecular spin can maintain its initialized state before it returns to ¹⁸ thermal equilibrium after excitation. Critically, short T_1 values impose additional constraints ¹⁹ on T_2 , creating interdependent relationships that must be carefully managed through molecular ²⁰ design. ([Mullin et al., 2024; Warner et al., 2013](#)) T_1 is limited by the coupling of electronic spins ²¹ with lattice vibrations, commonly known as phonons. ([Laorenza et al., 2021](#)) This spin–phonon ²² coupling governs energy exchange between the spin system and the vibrational bath, providing ²³ the dominant relaxation pathway in many molecular crystals.

²⁴ Depending on the phonon spectrum and the local molecular environment, different mechanisms ²⁵ such as the (i) direct processes, (ii) Raman processes, and (iii) Orbach relaxation can ²⁶ contribute to T_1 . ([Abragam & Bleaney, 2012](#)) Recent experimental and theoretical efforts have ²⁷ highlighted how molecular structure, ligand field symmetry, and host lattice dynamics dictate ²⁸ the magnitude and anisotropy of spin–phonon coupling. ([Bayliss et al., 2022; Laorenza & Freedman, 2022; Lunghi & Sanvito, 2020](#))

²⁹ SpinVibe is a Python package for simulating spin-phonon coupling and calculating T_1 of ³⁰ molecular qubits in a crystal lattice from first-principles calculations. This is achieved by ³¹ connecting periodic lattice dynamics and molecular electronic structure calculations. In addition, ³² SpinVibe enables the parametric analysis of T_1 under different factors, including temperature, ³³ crystal/molecule orientation and applied magnetic fields. The code is written in Python3 and ³⁴ is MPI-parallelized over phonon modes and q -points using mpi4py.




Figure 1: .

37 Figure 1: Schematic representation of the SpinVibe framework. The spin Hamiltonian, derived
 38 from quantum chemistry calculations, and the phonon modes, obtained from quantum solid-
 39 state simulations, are merged within SpinVibe to compute spin–phonon relaxation dynamics
 40 and T_1 under various environmental factors.

41 Statement of need

42 Computational approaches based on density functional theory (DFT) have proven to be valuable
 43 for analyzing phonon modes and lattice dynamics in molecular systems.(Baroni et al., 2001)
 44 Complementarily, multiconfigurational electronic structure methods, such as CASSCF combined
 45 with NEVPT2, provide an accurate description of the spin states and their energy splittings,
 46 which are essential for modeling qubit performance.(Baldinelli et al., 2025; Janicka et al., 2022)
 47 Integrating these two perspectives into a unified framework for simulating spin–phonon coupling
 48 enables a microscopic understanding of the relaxation mechanisms in molecular qubits. Such
 49 an approach represents a powerful tool for the rational design of next-generation molecular
 50 qubits, with the potential to accelerate the discovery of systems that exhibit long-lived spin
 51 states.

52 To this end, we developed SpinVibe, a Python package that is designed to be user-friendly,
 53 providing streamlined workflows that are readily adapted to any *ab initio* code. Since it only
 54 requires the storage of minimal data from first-principles calculations as NumPy arrays in HDF5
 55 files, it is not restricted to specific codes. Any ab-initio package can be used to generate the
 56 input data, provided that the relevant quantities are extracted and saved in this format.

57 First principles spin-phonon coupling

58 Our code implements the theoretical framework developed by Lunghi and Sanvito(Lunghi,
 59 2022, 2023; Lunghi & Sanvito, 2020), where in the weak-coupling regime the interaction
 60 between the spin Hamiltonian and the phonons is expanded as a Taylor series truncated at the
 61 quadratic term:

$$\hat{H}_{sph} = \sum_{\alpha q} \left(\frac{\partial \hat{H}_s}{\partial Q_{\alpha q}} \right) \hat{Q}_{\alpha q}(t) + \sum_{\alpha q} \sum_{\beta q'} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \hat{H}_s}{\partial Q_{\alpha q} \partial Q_{\beta q'}} \right) \hat{Q}_{\alpha q}(t) \hat{Q}_{\beta q'}(t). \quad (1)$$

62 % In Equation 1, the first order term represents the interaction of the spin with a single phonon
 63 \$ Q_{\alpha q}\$. The second order term describes the interaction of the spin with two phonons \$ Q_{\alpha q}\$ and \$ Q_{\beta q'}\$.

65 To describe the time evolution of the system, we employ the secular Redfield equation under
 66 the Born-Markov approximation To describe the time evolution of the system, we employ
 67 the secular Redfield equation under the Born-Markov approximation %

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{ab}^s(t)}{\partial t} = \sum_{cd} e^{i(\omega_{ac} + \omega_{ab})t} R_{ab,cd} \rho_{cd}^s(t), \quad (2)$$

68 % where \$R_{ab,cd}\$ denotes the Redfield superoperator. The single-phonon contribution to the
 69 Redfield tensor, under the secular approximation, is

$$R_{ab,cd}^{1-\text{ph}} = -\frac{\pi}{2\hbar^2} \sum_{\alpha} \left\{ \sum_j \delta_{bd} V_{aj}^{\alpha} V_{jc}^{\alpha} G^{1-\text{ph}}(\omega_{jc}, \omega_{\alpha}) - V_{ac}^{\alpha} V_{db}^{\alpha} G^{1-\text{ph}}(\omega_{ac}, \omega_{\alpha}) \right. \quad (3)$$

$$\left. - V_{ac}^{\alpha} V_{db}^{\alpha} G^{1-\text{ph}}(\omega_{bd}, \omega_{\alpha}) + \sum_j \delta_{ca} V_{dj}^{\alpha} V_{jb}^{\alpha} G^{1-\text{ph}}(\omega_{jd}, \omega_{\alpha}) \right\}, \quad (4)$$

70 % where \$V^{\alpha} = \left(\frac{\partial \hat{H}_s}{\partial Q_{\alpha}} \right)\$ and %

$$G^{1-\text{ph}}(\omega_{ij}, \omega_{\alpha q}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\frac{\Delta_{\alpha q}}{\Delta_{\alpha q}^2 + (\omega_{ij} - \omega_{\alpha q})^2} \bar{n}_{\alpha q} + \frac{\Delta_{\alpha q}}{\Delta_{\alpha q}^2 + (\omega_{ij} + \omega_{\alpha q})^2} (\bar{n}_{\alpha q} + 1) \right] \quad (5)$$

71 % is the phonon correlation function in the presence of anharmonic terms that leads to a decay
 72 in the phonon lifetime with a rate \$\Delta_{\alpha q}\$. %%

73 %% The two-phonon contribution is given by %

$$R_{ab,cd}^{2-\text{ph}} = -\frac{\pi}{4\hbar^2} \sum_{\alpha \geq \beta} \left\{ \sum_j \delta_{bd} V_{aj}^{\alpha\beta} V_{jc}^{\alpha\beta} G^{2-\text{ph}}(\omega_{jc}, \omega_{\alpha}, \omega_{\beta}) \right. \quad (6)$$

$$- V_{ac}^{\alpha\beta} V_{db}^{\alpha\beta} G^{2-\text{ph}}(\omega_{ac}, \omega_{\alpha}, \omega_{\beta})$$

$$- V_{ac}^{\alpha\beta} V_{db}^{\alpha\beta} G^{2-\text{ph}}(\omega_{bd}, \omega_{\alpha}, \omega_{\beta})$$

$$\left. + \sum_j \delta_{ca} V_{dj}^{\alpha\beta} V_{jb}^{\alpha\beta} G^{2-\text{ph}}(\omega_{jd}, \omega_{\alpha}, \omega_{\beta}) \right\}$$

74 % where \$V^{\alpha\beta} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 \hat{H}_s}{\partial Q_{\alpha} \partial Q_{\beta}} \right)\$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 G^{2\text{-ph}}(\omega_{ij}, \omega_{\alpha q}, \omega_{\beta q'}) = & \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\frac{\Delta_{\alpha q \beta q'}}{\Delta_{\alpha q \beta q'}^2 + (\omega_{ij} - \omega_{\alpha q} - \omega_{\beta q'})^2} \bar{n}_{\alpha q} \bar{n}_{\beta q'} \right. \\
 & + \frac{\Delta_{\alpha q \beta q'}}{\Delta_{\alpha q \beta q'}^2 + (\omega_{ij} + \omega_{\alpha q} + \omega_{\beta q'})^2} (\bar{n}_{\alpha q} + 1)(\bar{n}_{\beta q'} + 1) \\
 & + \frac{\Delta_{\alpha q \beta q'}}{\Delta_{\alpha q \beta q'}^2 + (\omega_{ij} - \omega_{\alpha q} + \omega_{\beta q'})^2} \bar{n}_{\alpha q} (\bar{n}_{\beta q'} + 1) \\
 & \left. + \frac{\Delta_{\alpha q \beta q'}}{\Delta_{\alpha q \beta q'}^2 + (\omega_{ij} + \omega_{\alpha q} - \omega_{\beta q'})^2} (\bar{n}_{\alpha q} + 1)\bar{n}_{\beta q'} \right] \quad (7)
 \end{aligned}$$

Once the time-evolution is performed, we can study the time-evolution of the magnetization of the system

$$\vec{M}(t) = \text{Tr}(\vec{S}\hat{\rho}(t)) \quad (8)$$

from which the T_1 can be extracted by fitting the decay to

$$M_z(t) = [M_z(t=0) - M_z^{\text{eq}}]e^{-t/T_1} + M_z^{\text{eq}}. \quad (9)$$

Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Award Number DE-SC0025176. Code development was supported by in part through the computational resources and staff contributions provided for the Quest high performance computing facility at Northwestern University which is jointly supported by the Office of the Provost, the Office for Research, and Northwestern University Information Technology. This work used Anvil at Purdue University through allocation PHY-250069 from the Advanced Cyberinfrastructure Coordination Ecosystem: Services & Support (ACCESS) program, which is supported by U.S. National Science Foundation grants #2138259, #2138286, #2138307, #2137603, and #2138296. V. C. L. thanks L. A. Mariano and A. Lunghi for the helpful discussions.

References

- 90 Abragam, A., & Bleaney, B. (2012). *Electron paramagnetic resonance of transition ions*. OUP Oxford. ISBN: 9780191023002
- 92 Baldinelli, L., Sorbelli, D., Toriyama, M., Bistoni, G., De Angelis, F., & Galli, G. (2025). Design rules to engineer the spin structure of Cr4+ molecular qubits via matrix modularity. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 147(24), 20693–20702. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5c04004>
- 96 Baroni, S., Gironcoli, S. de, Dal Corso, A., & Giannozzi, P. (2001). Phonons and related crystal properties from density-functional perturbation theory. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 73, 515–562. <https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.73.515>
- 99 Bayliss, S. L., Deb, P., Laorenza, D. W., Onizhuk, M., Galli, G., Freedman, D. E., & Awschalom, D. D. (2022). Enhancing spin coherence in optically addressable molecular qubits through host-matrix control. *Phys. Rev. X*, 12, 031028. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.12.031028>

- 103 Janicka, K., Wysocki, A. L., & Park, K. (2022). Computational insights into electronic
104 excitations, spin-orbit coupling effects, and spin decoherence in Cr(IV)-based molecular
105 qubits. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 126(43), 8007–8020. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.2c06854>
- 107 Laorenza, D. W., & Freedman, D. E. (2022). Could the quantum internet be comprised of
108 molecular spins with tunable optical interfaces? *Journal of the American Chemical Society*,
109 144(48), 21810–21825. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c07775>
- 110 Laorenza, D. W., Kairalapova, A., Bayliss, S. L., Goldzak, T., Greene, S. M., Weiss, L. R.,
111 Deb, P., Mintun, P. J., Collins, K. A., Awschalom, D. D., Berkelbach, T. C., & Freedman,
112 D. E. (2021). Tunable Cr⁴⁺ Molecular Color Centers. *Journal of the American Chemical
113 Society*, 143(50), 21350–21363. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c10145>
- 114 Lunghi, A. (2022). Toward exact predictions of spin-phonon relaxation times: An ab initio
115 implementation of open quantum systems theory. *Science Advances*, 8(31), eabn7880.
116 <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abn7880>
- 117 Lunghi, A. (2023). Spin-phonon relaxation in magnetic molecules: Theory, predictions and
118 insights. In G. Rajaraman (Ed.), *Computational modelling of molecular nanomagnets* (pp.
119 219–289). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-31038-6_6
- 121 Lunghi, A., & Sanvito, S. (2020). The limit of spin lifetime in solid-state electronic spins. *The
122 Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, 11(15), 6273–6278. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.0c01681>
- 124 Mullin, K. R., Johnson, D., Freedman, D. E., & Rondinelli, J. M. (2024). Systems-chart
125 approach to the design of spin relaxation times in molecular qubits. *Dalton Transactions*,
126 53(40), 16585–16591. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4dt02311k>
- 127 Warner, M., Din, S., Tupitsyn, I. S., Morley, G. W., Stoneham, A. M., Gardener, J. A., Wu,
128 Z., Fisher, A. J., Heutz, S., Kay, C. W. M., & Aepli, G. (2013). Potential for spin-based
129 information processing in a thin-film molecular semiconductor. *Nature*, 503(7477), 504–508.
130 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12597>
- 131 Wojnar, M. K., Kundu, K., Kairalapova, A., Wang, X., Ozarowski, A., Berkelbach, T. C., Hill,
132 S., & Freedman, D. E. (2024). Ligand field design enables quantum manipulation of spins
133 in Ni²⁺ complexes. *Chem. Sci.*, 15, 1374–1383. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D3SC04919A>