

1 Meta-Mar: An AI-Integrated Web Platform for 2 Meta-Analysis

3 **Ashkan Beheshti**  ^{1,2}¶, **Hassan Sazmand**², **Mira-Lynn Chavanon**¹, and **Hanna**
4 **Christiansen**¹

5 **1** Department of Psychology, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Germany **2** Independent Researcher ¶
6 Corresponding author

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- 7 ▪ [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

Editor: [Afon Smith](#) ↗ 

Reviewers:

- [@DrMattG](#)
- [@kylehamilton](#)

Submitted: 23 January 2026

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright
and release the work under a
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0
International License ([CC BY 4.0](#))

Summary

8 Meta-analysis is a cornerstone methodology in evidence-based research, enabling systematic
9 synthesis of findings across multiple studies to produce more reliable and generalizable
10 conclusions (Borenstein et al., 2021; Gurevitch et al., 2018). Yet despite its central importance,
11 existing tools for conducting meta-analyses remain fragmented—often technically demanding,
12 costly, or lacking transparency—creating barriers for early-career researchers, educators, and
13 interdisciplinary teams (Harrer et al., 2021).

14 Meta-Mar addresses this challenge as a free, browser-based meta-analysis platform that
15 combines statistical rigor with accessibility. Built with R Shiny and powered by the metafor
16 ([Viechtbauer, 2010](#)) and meta ([Balduzzi et al., 2019](#)) packages, Meta-Mar v4.0.2 performs
17 fixed-effect and random-effects meta-analyses across continuous, binary, correlation, and
18 generic inverse-variance data structures. The platform integrates advanced heterogeneity
diagnostics, publication bias assessments, and publication-quality visualizations within a guided,
stepwise interface. Uniquely, Meta-Mar incorporates a large language model (LLM) assistant
via GPT-4 that provides contextual guidance for model selection, data validation, and result
interpretation—bridging the gap between statistical complexity and practical usability.

23 Available at <https://www.meta-mar.com>, Meta-Mar has been used by over 5,800 researchers
24 across 120+ countries and cited in 200+ peer-reviewed publications since its initial release in
25 2020 ([Beheshti et al., 2020](#)).

Statement of Need

27 The current landscape of meta-analysis software presents a fundamental tension between
28 methodological sophistication and day-to-day usability ([Fisher et al., 2022; Harrer et al., 2021](#)).
29 Commercial platforms such as Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) and Cochrane's RevMan
30 offer comprehensive functionality but operate under subscription-based models (approximately
31 €65–95 annually for students), creating financial barriers particularly for researchers in resource-
32 limited settings. Open-source alternatives like the R packages metafor and meta provide robust
33 analytical frameworks but require programming expertise that many researchers—especially
34 those in applied fields such as health, education, and behavioral sciences—may lack.

35 Existing web-based tools have attempted to bridge this gap, but typically sacrifice either
36 statistical comprehensiveness or methodological guidance. Platforms like metaHUN ([Umaroglu](#)
37 & Ozdemir, 2018) provide web-based access to metafor functionality but lack features such
38 as customizable pooling methods, prediction intervals, and interactive tutorials. Desktop
39 applications like JASP ([Bartoš et al., 2025](#)) require installation, which can pose barriers in
40 institutional computing environments.

41 Meta-Mar addresses these limitations by providing:

- 42 ■ **Zero-barrier accessibility:** Browser-based interface requiring no installation, registration,
43 or payment
44 ■ **Comprehensive statistical coverage:** Support for continuous, binary, and correlation
45 outcomes with multiple effect size measures (SMD, MD, OR, RR, RD, Fisher's z)
46 ■ **Methodological flexibility:** Eight heterogeneity variance estimators (REML, DL, PM,
47 ML, EB, SJ, HE, HS) with small-sample corrections (Hartung-Knapp, Kenward-Roger)
48 ■ **Publication bias diagnostics:** Funnel plots, Egger's regression ([Egger et al., 1997](#)),
49 Begg's rank correlation, trim-and-fill adjustment ([Duval & Tweedie, 2000](#)), and fail-safe
50 N calculations (Rosenthal, Orwin, Rosenberg)
51 ■ **AI-powered guidance:** Context-aware interpretation and methodological recommendations
52 that serve as a dynamic tutor for users unfamiliar with meta-analytic conventions
53 ■ **Privacy-by-design:** GDPR-aligned data handling with no persistent storage; all uploads
54 deleted after session ends

55 Statistical Architecture

56 Meta-Mar implements the complete meta-analysis workflow through a modular architecture:

57 **Data Input and Effect Size Calculation.** The platform accepts CSV uploads or manual entry for
58 four data structures: continuous outcomes (computing SMD, MD, or ratio of means), binary
59 outcomes (OR, RR, RD with zero-event handling), correlations (Fisher's z transformation), and
60 pre-calculated effect sizes (generic inverse variance). Input validation ensures data integrity
61 before analysis.

62 **Model Specification.** Users select between fixed-effect models using inverse-variance weighting
63 and random-effects models with user-specified τ^2 estimators. Confidence interval methods
64 include classic Wald intervals, Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman (HKSJ), and Kenward-Roger
65 corrections for improved small-sample performance.

66 **Heterogeneity Assessment.** The platform computes Cochran's Q statistic, I^2 , τ^2 , H^2 , and
67 prediction intervals ([Higgins et al., 2003](#)), enabling researchers to distinguish between true
68 between-study heterogeneity and sampling variation.

69 **Publication Bias Analysis.** Visual assessment via funnel plots (including contour-enhanced
70 variants) is complemented by Egger's regression test for small-study effects, Begg's rank
71 correlation, trim-and-fill adjustment for estimating missing studies, and three fail-safe N
72 methods for quantifying robustness.

73 **Moderator Analysis.** Subgroup analysis supports categorical moderators with between-
74 group heterogeneity testing, while meta-regression accommodates continuous and categorical
75 covariates with bubble plot visualization.

76 **Visualization.** Publication-quality outputs include forest plots (with subgroup comparisons),
77 funnel plots, Galbraith (radial) plots, L'Abbé plots for binary outcomes, Baujat plots for
78 identifying influential studies, and drapery plots for p-value functions.

79 **Validation.** Computational accuracy was validated against Cochrane RevMan 5.4,
80 demonstrating agreement to four decimal places for effect size estimates, standard errors,
81 confidence intervals, and study weights across standardized mean difference models.

82 State of the Field

83 Meta-analysis software spans commercial, open-source, and web-based solutions, each
84 with distinct trade-offs. Commercial platforms like Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA)
85 and Cochrane's RevMan provide comprehensive functionality but require paid licenses

(€65–95/year for students), limiting access in resource-constrained settings. Open-source R packages—particularly `metafor` (Viechtbauer, 2010) and `meta` (Balduzzi et al., 2019)—offer robust analytical frameworks but demand programming expertise. Desktop applications like JASP (Bartoš et al., 2025) require local installation, which can be problematic in institutional environments with restricted software policies. Web-based alternatives such as `metaHUN` (Umaroglu & Ozdemir, 2018) provide browser access but lack customizable pooling methods, prediction intervals, and methodological guidance. No existing tool combines zero-barrier web accessibility, comprehensive statistical methods, and AI-powered interpretive support within a single platform.

95 Software Design

96 The architectural decisions underlying Meta-Mar reflect deliberate trade-offs between statistical
97 power, accessibility, and maintainability:

98 **Build vs. contribute decision.** Rather than implementing meta-analytic algorithms from scratch
99 or contributing directly to existing packages, Meta-Mar wraps the established `metafor` and
100 `meta` R packages through an accessibility layer. This choice prioritizes computational reliability
101 over novelty—these packages have been peer-reviewed, widely cited, and validated across
102 thousands of published meta-analyses. Contributing directly to these packages would not
103 address the core accessibility gap: users without R programming skills cannot benefit from
104 command-line tools regardless of their statistical sophistication. Our contribution lies in the
105 web interface and AI integration layer, not in reinventing statistical methods.

106 **Web-based vs. desktop architecture.** We chose R Shiny over desktop deployment to eliminate
107 installation barriers. This enables immediate use in institutional environments with restricted
108 software policies and supports the platform's educational mission in classroom settings where
109 setup time is limited.

110 **AI as guidance, not automation.** The GPT-4 integration was designed as an interpretive
111 assistant rather than an automated analyst. Statistical computations remain deterministic and
112 reproducible through the underlying R packages; the AI layer provides explanatory support
113 without modifying analytical outputs. This separation ensures that research conclusions depend
114 on validated statistical methods, not on LLM outputs.

115 **Privacy-first data handling.** Session-based data storage with automatic deletion was chosen
116 over persistent user accounts to minimize data governance complexity and align with GDPR
117 principles. This trade-off sacrifices cross-session continuity for stronger privacy guarantees.

118 AI Integration

119 Meta-Mar integrates OpenAI's GPT-4 API through LangChain to provide an optional AI
120 assistant that enhances interpretability without modifying statistical computations. The
121 assistant supports users with:

- 122 ■ Contextual interpretation of effect sizes, confidence intervals, and heterogeneity statistics
- 123 ■ Guidance on model selection based on data characteristics and research questions
- 124 ■ Explanation of publication bias diagnostics and their implications
- 125 ■ Interactive methodological tutoring for users new to meta-analysis

126 The integration employs conversation memory to maintain context across multi-turn
127 interactions, custom prompt templates tailored to meta-analytic workflows, and error handling
128 for invalid inputs or API timeouts. Critically, the AI assistant is designed to support—not
129 replace—researcher judgment. All AI outputs are clearly labeled, statistical computations
130 remain deterministic and reproducible, and users retain full control over analytical decisions.

131 AI Usage Disclosure

132 The AI assistant within Meta-Mar is an optional feature that users may enable or disable.
133 During platform development, AI coding assistants were used as follows:

- 134 ■ **Tools used:** GitHub Copilot, Claude 3.5 Sonnet (Anthropic)
- 135 ■ **Scope of assistance:** Code refactoring, UI component scaffolding, documentation drafting,
136 and test case generation
- 137 ■ **Where applied:** Shiny UI modules, API integration code, README and documentation
138 text
- 139 ■ **Human oversight:** All AI-generated outputs were reviewed, edited, and validated by the
140 authors. Core design decisions, statistical implementations, and architectural choices
141 were made entirely by human authors.

142 Core statistical computations rely entirely on the peer-reviewed *metafor* and *meta* R packages
143 without AI modification. The AI assistant feature uses the OpenAI GPT-4 API; this integration
144 was designed and implemented by the authors with AI coding assistance for boilerplate code
145 only. This paper was drafted by the authors with AI assistance for grammar and structure
146 review.

147 Privacy and Governance

148 Meta-Mar implements privacy-by-design principles aligned with GDPR requirements:

- 149 ■ No user registration required
- 150 ■ Uploaded data stored in session memory only
- 151 ■ Automatic deletion when session ends
- 152 ■ Automated anonymization of free-text inputs before AI processing
- 153 ■ TLS 1.3 encryption for all data transmission
- 154 ■ No persistent storage of user data or AI interactions

155 These safeguards ensure responsible deployment in academic and educational environments
156 where data sensitivity is paramount.

157 Development Practices and Community

158 Meta-Mar has been in continuous development since 2019, with the current architecture (v4.0)
159 representing a complete rewrite initiated in March 2025. Earlier versions were developed outside
160 public version control; Meta-Mar's prior existence is documented in peer-reviewed literature,
161 including Beheshti et al. (2020) which references Meta-Mar v1.1.0. The repository includes:

- 162 ■ **Documentation:** Comprehensive README, online user guide at meta-mar.com/documentation,
163 and in-app tooltips
- 164 ■ **Issue tracking:** Public issue tracker for bug reports and feature requests
- 165 ■ **Contribution pathway:** Guidelines for community contributions via pull requests
- 166 ■ **Versioned releases:** Tagged releases with semantic versioning (current: v4.0.2)
- 167 ■ **Validation testing:** Computational outputs verified against Cochrane RevMan 5.4
168 benchmark data

169 The platform can be tested locally by cloning the repository and running `shiny::runApp()` in
170 R with the required dependencies installed.

171 Research Impact Statement

172 Meta-Mar demonstrates realized research impact through multiple indicators:

- 173 ▪ **User adoption:** Over 5,800 researchers across 120+ countries have used the platform
 - 174 since its 2020 launch
 - 175 ▪ **Citation evidence:** 200+ peer-reviewed publications have cited Meta-Mar as their meta-
 - 176 analysis tool
 - 177 ▪ **Educational integration:** Adopted in graduate-level meta-analysis courses, including PhD
 - 178 programs at Semmelweis University (Budapest), demonstrating utility as both a research
 - 179 and pedagogical tool
 - 180 ▪ **Computational validation:** Results verified against Cochrane RevMan 5.4 to four decimal
 - 181 places for effect estimates, standard errors, and confidence intervals
- 182 Meta-Mar serves two complementary user groups: (1) researchers without programming
- 183 expertise who require accessible tools for conducting methodologically sound meta-analyses,
- 184 and (2) technically trained users who may lack formal training in meta-analytic methodology
- 185 and benefit from AI-driven guidance on model selection and interpretation.

186 Acknowledgements

187 Development of Meta-Mar was supported by Philipps-Universität Marburg, Department of

188 Psychology. We thank the research community for feedback and feature suggestions that have

189 shaped the platform's evolution since 2020.

190 References

- 191 Balduzzi, S., Rücker, G., & Schwarzer, G. (2019). How to perform a meta-analysis with R:
192 A practical tutorial. *Evidence-Based Mental Health*, 22(4), 153–160. <https://doi.org/10.1136/ebmental-2019-300117>
- 194 Bartoš, F., Wagenmakers, E.-J., & Viechtbauer, W. (2025). Meta-analysis with JASP, part I:
195 Classical approaches. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2509.09845*.
- 196 Beheshti, A., Chavanon, M.-L., & Christiansen, H. (2020). Emotion dysregulation in adults
197 with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A meta-analysis. *BMC Psychiatry*, 20(1),
198 1–11.
- 199 Borenstein, M., Hedges, L. V., Higgins, J. P. T., & Rothstein, H. R. (2021). *Introduction to
200 meta-analysis*. John Wiley & Sons.
- 201 Duval, S., & Tweedie, R. (2000). Trim and fill: A simple funnel-plot-based method of
202 testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Biometrics*, 56(2), 455–463.
203 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0006-341X.2000.00455.x>
- 204 Egger, M., Smith, G. D., Schneider, M., & Minder, C. (1997). Bias in meta-analysis detected
205 by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*, 315(7109), 629–634. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629>
- 207 Fisher, D. J., Zwahlen, M., Egger, M., & Higgins, J. P. T. (2022). Meta-analysis in Stata. In
208 *Systematic reviews in health research: Meta-analysis in context* (pp. 481–509). Wiley.
- 209 Gurevitch, J., Koricheva, J., Nakagawa, S., & Stewart, G. (2018). Meta-analysis and the science
210 of research synthesis. *Nature*, 555(7695), 175–182. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25753>
- 211 Harrer, M., Cuijpers, P., Furukawa, T. A., & Ebert, D. D. (2021). *Doing meta-analysis with R:
212 A hands-on guide*. Chapman & Hall/CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003107347>
- 213 Higgins, J. P. T., Thompson, S. G., Deeks, J. J., & Altman, D. G. (2003). Measuring
214 inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*, 327(7414), 557–560. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557>
- 216 Umaroglu, M., & Ozdemir, P. (2018). metaHUN: A web tool for meta-analysis. *3rd*

²¹⁷ *International and 20th National Biostatistics Congress.*

²¹⁸ Viechtbauer, W. (2010). Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 36(3), 1–48. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v036.i03>

DRAFT