

idiolect: An R package for forensic authorship analysis

- ₂ Andrea Nini ^{1¶}
- 1 University of Manchester, UK ¶ Corresponding author

DOI: 10.xxxxx/draft

Software

- Review 🗗
- Repository 🖸
- Archive ♂

Editor: Samuel Forbes ♂ ⑩

Reviewers:

- @stefanocoretta
- @cmaimone

Submitted: 29 August 2024 Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)

Summary

Authorship Analysis is defined as the task of determining the likelihood that a certain person is the author of a certain set of questioned texts. This determination is done by analysing the language of the questioned texts and the language of samples produced by the candidate author or authors. This kind of analysis is often applied in the context of literary problems (e.g. Robert Galbraith as J.K. Rowling's alias (Juola, 2015), the identity of Elena Ferrante (Tuzzi & Cortelazzo, 2018)), historical problems (e.g. Lincoln's Bixby letter (Grieve et al., 2019), the Jack the Ripper letters (Nini, 2018), the writings of Julius Caesar (Kestemont et al., 2016)) or forensic contexts (e.g. the *devil strip* ransom letter (Leonard, 2005), the Amanda Birks murder (Grant, 2013) or the Ayia Napa rape statements (Donlan & Nini, 2022)).

Especially when dealing with a forensic context, then best practice is to carry out authorship analysis within the Bayesian Likelihood Ratio Framework for expressing evidence in forensic science, which is logically aligned with the role of the expert witness in a court of law. Rather than expressing a final binary judgement (same author vs. different author, or author A vs. author B), the framework instead leads the analyst to express the strength of the linguistic evidence in favour or against a set of two competing hypotheses, for example:

 H_n : The candidate author and the author of the questioned text are the same individual.

 $\hat{H_d}$: The candidate author and the author of the questioned text are two different individuals.

In this way, the analyst can assist the decision maker, the judge/jury or perhaps an historian,

23 to reach a verdict that often needs to take into account evidence and information that is not

just linguistic.

5 Statement of need

Within this context, idiolect is an R package that contains functions to pre-process datasets, run state-of-the-art authorship analysis algorithms, calibrate the results using the Likelihood Ratio Framework, and then explore the results. idiolect is fundamentally based on quanteda (Benoit et al., 2018) for the Natural Language Processing functions and this allows its objects and outputs to be handled efficiently using quanteda's own functions if needed. By being based on quanteda, the functions in idiolect can efficiently handle very large matrices and can therefore process data quickly or handle very large datasets. This factor is a significant advantage compared to other R packages for authorship analysis such as stylo (Eder et al., 2016). In addition to this advantage, idiolect also offers recent authorship analysis algorithms that are currently not widely available, especially in R, such as the *Ranking-Based* variant of the *Impostors Method* (Potha & Stamatatos, 2017, 2020), *N-gram Tracing* and several of its variants (Grieve et al., 2019; Nini, 2023), and *LambdaG* (Nini et al., 2024).

Most significantly, what sets idiolect apart is its use of the Likelihood Ratio Framework.
Through a suite of functions, idiolect facilitates the calibration of likelihood ratios from the

40 results of any of the authorship analysis functions and then the assessment of the performance



- of this likelihood ratio using standard performance metrics, such as the C_{llr} (Ramos et al., 2013).
- 43 Another novelty in idiolect is that the package also offers functions that aid the post-hoc
- 44 interpretation of the results. Computational authorship analysis techniques are offer hard
- to interpret by the analyst. Although this is true, for example, for algorithms such as the
- Impostors Method that are based on the frequency of short sequences of characters, idiolect
- facilitates interpretation by returning the most important features and allowing the user to see
- these features in context. For LambdaG, a purpose-built function can return a colour-coded
- heat map of a text highlighting the words or constructions that influenced the results.
- 50 Although idiolect has been designed for research in authorship analysis, stylometry, digital
- 51 humanities, and forensic linguistics, it can also be used effectively to run analyses for real-life
- forensic linguistics casework. The code being open source is particularly important in a forensic
- context to allow opposing experts to replicate the analysis and scrutinize the procedure in full.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Shunichi Ishihara and Marie Bojsen-Møller for helpful comments on the documentation of this package.

57 References

- Benoit, K., Watanabe, K., Wang, H., Nulty, P., Obeng, A., Müller, S., & Matsuo, A. (2018).
 Quanteda: An r package for the quantitative analysis of textual data. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 3(30). https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00774
- Donlan, L., & Nini, A. (2022). A forensic authorship analysis of the ayia napa rape statement (I. Picornell, R. Perkins, & M. Coulthard, Eds.; pp. 29–43). Wiley-Blackwell.
- Eder, M., Rybicki, J., & Kestemont, M. (2016). Stylometry with r: A package for computational text analysis. *The R Journal*, 8(1), 1–15.
- Grant, T. (2013). TXT 4N6: Method, consistency, and distinctiveness in the analysis of SMS text messages. *Journal of Law and Policy*, *21*, 467–494.
- Grieve, J., Chiang, E., Clarke, I., Gideon, H., Heini, A., Nini, A., & Waibel, E. (2019).

 Attributing the bixby letter using n-gram tracing. *Digital Scholarship in the Humanities*, 34(3), 493–512.
- Juola, P. (2015). The rowling case: A proposed standard analytic protocol for authorship questions. *Digital Scholarship in the Humanities*, 30(suppl_1), i100-i113. https://doi.org/10.1093/llc/fqv040
- Kestemont, M., Stover, J., Koppel, M., Karsdorp, F., & Daelemans, W. (2016). Authenticating the writings of julius caesar. *Expert Systems With Applications*, 63, 86–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2016.06.029
- Leonard, R. (2005). Forensic linguistics: Applying the scientific principles of language analysis to issues of the law. *The International Journal of the Humanities*, 3.
- Nini, A. (2018). An authorship analysis of the jack the ripper letters. *Digital Scholarship in* the Humanities, 33(3), 621–636.
- Nini, A. (2023). A theory of linguistic individuality for authorship analysis. Cambridge University Press.
- Nini, A., Halvani, O., Graner, L., Gherardi, V., & Ishihara, S. (2024). Authorship verification based on the likelihood ratio of grammar models. https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.08462v1



- Potha, N., & Stamatatos, E. (2017). An improved impostors method for authorship verification (G. J. F. Jones, S. Lawless, J. Gonzalo, L. Kelly, L. Goeuriot, T. Mandl, L. Cappellato, & N. Ferro, Eds.; Vol. 10456, pp. 138–144). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-65813-1_14
- Potha, N., & Stamatatos, E. (2020). Improved algorithms for extrinsic author verification. Knowledge and Information Systems, 62(5), 1903-1921. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10115-019-01408-4
- Ramos, D., Gonzalez-Rodriguez, J., Zadora, G., & Aitken, C. (2013). Information-Theoretical
 Assessment of the Performance of Likelihood Ratio Computation Methods. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 58(6), 1503–1518. https://doi.org/10.1111/1556-4029.12233
- Tuzzi, A., & Cortelazzo, M. A. (2018). What is elena ferrante? A comparative analysis of a secretive bestselling italian writer. *Digital Scholarship in the Humanities*, 33(3), 685–702. https://doi.org/10.1093/llc/fqx066

