




# 1 SPICY: a Python toolbox for meshless assimilation 2 from image velocimetry using radial basis functions

3 **Pietro Sperotto** <sup>1</sup>, **M. Ratz** <sup>1</sup>, and **M. A. Mendez** <sup>1</sup>✉

4 **1** The von Karman Institute for Fluid Dynamics (VKI), Rhode St. Genese, 1640, Belgium ✉  
5 Corresponding author

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

## Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Open Journals](#) 

## Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

## License

Authors of papers retain copyright  
and release the work under a  
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0  
International License ([CC BY 4.0](#))

## 6 Summary

7 This work presents our ‘SPICY’ (meshless Pressure from Image veloCimetrY) toolbox for  
8 meshless data assimilation in image velocimetry. The proposed approach allows for computing  
9 an analytic representation of velocity and pressure fields from noisy and sparse fields, regardless  
10 of whether these are acquired using cross-correlation or particle tracking algorithms. SPICY  
11 uses penalized and constrained Radial Basis Functions (RBFs), allowing for enforcing physical  
12 priors (e.g., divergence-free in incompressible flows) or boundary conditions (e.g., no slip).  
13 The resulting analytic expression allows for super-resolution on arbitrary points and accurate  
14 computation of derivatives. These could be used to compute derived quantities (e.g., vorticity)  
15 and to integrate the pressure Poisson equation to compute pressure fields in the case of  
16 incompressible flows. A set of video tutorials on how to use SPICY is provided.

## 17 Statement of need

18 Data assimilation methods are becoming increasingly crucial in image velocimetry, thanks  
19 to high spatial and temporal resolutions available with modern interrogation processing  
20 methods. Assimilation techniques optimally combine measurements and first principle models  
21 (e.g. conservation laws) to maximize noise removal, achieve measurement super-resolution, and  
22 compute related quantities such as vorticity and pressure fields. Several methods have been  
23 proposed in the literature and assessed in the framework of the European project HOMER  
24 (Holistic Optical Metrology for Aero-Elastic Research), grant agreement number 769237. The  
25 most classic approaches for the velocity assimilation involve regression of the particle trajectories  
26 (as in TrackFit by ([Gesemann et al., 2016](#))), while the computation of derived quantities is  
27 usually carried out by first mapping the tracks onto Eulerian grids and then solving the relevant  
28 PDEs using standard CFD approaches (as in [Agarwal et al. \(2021\)](#)).

29 Alternatives mesh-free methods are the second-generation Flowfit ([Gesemann et al., 2016](#)),  
30 which combines the velocity regression and the pressure integration into a large nonlinear  
31 optimization problem, and methods based on physics-informed neural networks (PINNs) ([Rao  
32 et al., 2020](#)) which uses penalized artificial neural networks to solve for the velocity and the  
33 pressure fields. Recently, we proposed a meshless approach based on constrained Radial Basis  
34 Functions (RBFs) to solve both the velocity regression problem and the pressure computation  
35 ([Sperotto et al., 2022b](#)). This approach is akin to the well-known Kansa method ([Fornberg &  
36 Flyer, 2015](#)) for the meshless integration of PDEs. The main novelty is that this formulation  
37 yields linear regression problems that can be easily constrained (rather than penalized) and  
38 solved using standard linear system solvers. All the codes developed have now been released in  
39 the open SPICY (Super Resolution and Pressure from Image Velocimetry) toolbox linked to this  
40 contribution. Documentation, installation, and tutorials are available in the provided repository  
41 and on a [Youtube channel](#). While several open-source codes are available for PTV (([Heyman,](#)

42 2019) and (Meller & Liberzon, 2016)) and PIV ((Liberzon et al., 2020) and (Thielicke &  
43 Sonntag, 2021)), to the author knowledge SPICY is the first opensource code for assimilation  
44 and pressure computation from image velocimetry.

## 45 Tutorials and ongoing works

46 A total of four tutorials have been published in the repository, allowing for reproducing the results  
47 in (Sperotto et al., 2022b). The first tutorial presents the use of SPICY for solving the Laplace  
48 Equation in 2D, while tutorials two and three focus on the velocity regression and pressure  
49 computation on 2D velocity fields with or without the divergence-free constraints. Finally,  
50 tutorial four tackles a 3D case, namely the Stokes flow past a sphere. The solver currently  
51 implemented is a minor variant of the direct approach proposed in the original publication.  
52 Ongoing works are the extension to Reynolds average formulation to treat turbulent flows, as  
53 presented in (Sperotto et al., 2022a) and the implementation of a Partition of Unity (PUM)  
54 approach to limit the memory usage, as in (Ratz, 2022).

## 55 Acknowledgments

56 The development of SPICY has been carried out in the framework of the Research Master  
57 program of Pietro Sperotto (AY 2021/2022) and Manuel Ratz (AY 2022/2023) at the von  
58 Karman Institute.

## 59 References

- 60 Agarwal, K., Ram, O., Wang, J., Lu, Y., & Katz, J. (2021). Reconstructing velocity and  
61 pressure from noisy sparse particle tracks using constrained cost minimization. *Experiments*  
62 *in Fluids*, 62(4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00348-021-03172-0>
- 63 Fornberg, B., & Flyer, N. (2015). Solving PDEs with radial basis functions. *Acta Numerica*,  
64 24, 215–258. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0962492914000130>
- 65 Gesemann, S., Huhn, F., Schanz, D., & Schröder, A. (2016). From Noisy Particle Tracks  
66 to Velocity, Acceleration and Pressure Fields using B-splines and Penalties. *International*  
67 *Symposium on Applications of Laser Techniques to Fluid Mechanics*.
- 68 Heyman, J. (2019). TracTrac: A fast multi-object tracking algorithm for motion estimation.  
69 *Computers & Geosciences*, 128, 11–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2019.03.007>
- 70 Liberzon, A., Roi Gurka, Lepchev, D., & Hadar Ben-Gida. (2020). *OpenPIV spatial and*  
71 *temporal analysis toolbox*. figshare. <https://doi.org/10.6084/M9.FIGSHARE.12330608>
- 72 Meller, Y., & Liberzon, A. (2016). Particle data management software for 3DParticle tracking  
73 velocimetry and related applications – the flowtracks package. *Journal of Open Research*  
74 *Software*, 4(1), 23. <https://doi.org/10.5334/jors.101>
- 75 Rao, C., Sun, H., & Liu, Y. (2020). Physics-informed deep learning for incompressible  
76 laminar flows. *Theoretical and Applied Mechanics Letters*, 10(3). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taml.2020.01.039>
- 77
- 78 Ratz, S., M. (2022). Radial basis function regression of Lagrangian three-dimensional particle  
79 tracking data. *International Symposium on Applications of Laser and Imaging Techniques*  
80 *to Fluid Mechanics*.
- 81 Schneiders, J. F. G., Pröbsting, S., Dwight, R. P., Oudheusden, B. W. van, & Scarano, F.  
82 (2016). Pressure estimation from single-snapshot tomographic PIV in a turbulent boundary  
83 layer. *Experiments in Fluids*, 57(4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00348-016-2133-9>

- 84 Sperotto, P., Pieraccini, S., & Mendez, M. (2022a). A RANS approach to the Meshless  
85 Computation of Pressure Fields From Image Velocimetry. *International Symposium on*  
86 *Applications of Laser and Imaging Techniques to Fluid Mechanics*.
- 87 Sperotto, P., Pieraccini, S., & Mendez, M. A. (2022b). A meshless method to compute  
88 pressure fields from image velocimetry. *Measurement Science and Technology*, 33(9),  
89 094005. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6501/ac70a9>
- 90 Thielicke, W., & Sonntag, R. (2021). Particle image velocimetry for MATLAB: Accuracy  
91 and enhanced algorithms in PIVlab. *Journal of Open Research Software*, 9(1), 12. <https://doi.org/10.5334/jors.334>  
92

DRAFT