Table 2: Estimated mungbean yield mean difference to the no spray control (intercept) for each spray schedule treatment. Yield estimates (u) were calculated from a network meta-analysis of data obtained from grey literature reports of 'k' field trials undertaken in Eastern Australia. P values indicate statistical significance in comparison to the intercept.

| *Moderator* | *Na* | *kb* | *muc* | *se* | *CI\_{L}d* | *CI\_{U}e* | *Pf* |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Early | 13 | 7 | 0.0029 | 0.0439 | -0.0830 | 0.0889 | 0.9464 |
| Recommended | 27 | 19 | 0.1378 | 0.0339 | 0.0713 | 0.2043 | < 0.0001 |
| Recommended+ | 40 | 17 | 0.1891 | 0.0530 | 0.0853 | 0.2930 | 0.0004 |
| Late | 17 | 11 | 0.1374 | 0.0440 | 0.0512 | 0.2237 | 0.0018 |
| Late+ | 19 | 6 | 0.1301 | 0.0578 | 0.0168 | 0.2433 | 0.0244 |
| anumber of treatment means categorised to each spray schedule; bnumber of trials with the respective spray schedule; cestimated mean yield determined by the meta-analysis; dLower range of the 95% confidence interval; eUpper range of the 95% confidence interval; findicates the significance between each respective spray schedule and the no spray control (intercept) | | | | | | | |