

Comments from Reviewer 2:

(1) In my opinion the paper is too long. Getting through the whole paper is very tiring. It seems that the maximum length of a scientific article (not including appendix) should be 20–22 pages. Maybe some of the descriptions can be moved to the appendix.

Thank you for your comments.

We shortened the article from 32 pages to 24 pages.

(2) I suggest a typical layout used in the articles: Introduction, Methods, Results and Discussion, Conclusions. This template is also recommended by Journal (see Instructions for Authors).

We modified the structure to provide a more traditional scientific format: Introduction, Methods, Related Work, Results and Discussion, Conclusions and Future Directions.

(3) Because this is a scientific article, the aims of the work and the research gap should be more clearly highlighted. I also suggest to improve the conclusions, delete the description of the work carried out (it is already well known) and focus on the most important conclusions and the future research.

The introduction now highlights the aims of the work and the research gap (hypotheses to be tested).

Conclusion section has been improved.

(4) The quality of Figures should be corrected, their descriptions, especially axis descriptions are unreadable.

Some figures have been deleted as part of the shortening process. Those that remain have been checked for readability.