

Formal Methods for Security Gate Patterns

Mathematical Foundations for CVE-Surface Reduction

Author: Euman Engineer

Contact: opsec.ee@pm.me

February 2025

1 Gate Predicate Logic

Let \mathbf{S} be the set of all observable program states. Let $\Omega = \{ \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z} \}$ be the 4-state gate output domain:

$0 \equiv \text{PASS}$	precondition satisfied
$1 \equiv \text{FAIL}$	violation detected
$X \equiv \text{UNKNOWN}$	insufficient information to decide
$Z \equiv \text{INVALID}$	gate inputs themselves are corrupt

A gate \mathbf{G} is a total function $G : S \rightarrow \Omega$ satisfying the totality requirement $\forall s \in S : G(s) \in \Omega$. No gate may be partial. If the gate cannot evaluate, it returns X or Z — never \perp .

GATE CLASS PREDICATES

Let $\text{ptr} \in \text{Addr}$, $\text{rc} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{buf} \in \text{Byte}^*$, $\tau \in \text{Type}$, $t \in \text{Time}$.

$\phi_{\text{UAF}}(\text{ptr})$	$\equiv \text{ptr} \in \text{Live} \wedge \text{rc}(\text{ptr}) > 0$
$\phi_{\text{DF}}(\text{ptr})$	$\equiv \text{ptr} \in \text{Live} \wedge \text{freed}(\text{ptr}) = \text{false}$
$\phi_{\text{NUL}}(\text{ptr})$	$\equiv \text{ptr} \neq 0x0 \wedge \text{page}(\text{ptr}) \in \text{Mapped}$
$\phi_{\text{BOF}}(\text{buf}, i)$	$\equiv 0 \leq i < \text{len}(\text{buf})$
$\phi_{\text{TYP}}(\text{ptr}, \tau)$	$\equiv \text{typeof}(\text{ptr}) \sqsubseteq \tau$
$\phi_{\text{RAC}}(r, t)$	$\equiv \neg \exists t' \in (\text{t_check}, \text{t_use}) : \text{mut}(r, t')$
$\phi_{\text{REF}}(\text{rc})$	$\equiv \text{rc} < \text{RC_MAX} \wedge \text{rc} \geq 0$

Gate evaluation:

```


$$G(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \varphi(s) = \text{true} \\ 1 & \text{if } \varphi(s) = \text{false} \\ X & \text{if } \varphi(s) \text{ is undecidable at runtime} \\ Z & \text{if inputs to } \varphi \text{ are themselves corrupt} \end{cases}$$


```

UNIVERSAL INVARIANT

For any function f protected by gate set $\Gamma = \{G_1, \dots, G_n\}$:

```

$$\text{pre}(f) \equiv \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (G_i(s) = 0)$$

```

Execution of f proceeds if and only if all gates pass.

COMPOSITION ALGEBRA

Gate conjunction, where \triangleright denotes sequential check:

$$(G_1 \triangleright G_2)(s) = \begin{cases} G_1(s) & \text{if } G_1(s) \neq 0 \\ G_2(s) & \text{if } G_1(s) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Short-circuit:

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(s) = 1 \quad \square \quad (G_1 \triangleright G_2)(s) = 1 && \text{fail-fast} \\ G_1(s) = Z \quad \square \quad (G_1 \triangleright G_2)(s) = Z && \text{corruption propagates} \end{aligned}$$

Ordering on Ω (failure lattice): $Z > 1 > X > 0$. For a gate vector $\Gamma = \{G_1, \dots, G_n\}$:

$$\Gamma(s) = \max(G_1(s), G_2(s), \dots, G_n(s))$$

The worst violation dominates.

2 Object Lifetime State Machine

Use-after-free and double-free are illegal transitions in the object lifecycle. Gates enforce transition guards.

DEFINITION

```

M = (Q, Σ, δ, q₀, F)

Q = { A, R, D, F, ⊥ }
A = Allocated      memory obtained, not yet referenced
R = Referenced     at least one live reference exists
D = Dereferenced   all references released, rc = 0
F = Freed          memory returned to allocator
⊥ = Error          illegal state – vulnerability triggered

q₀ = A    initial state
F = { F } accepting states
⊥ absorbing: no transitions out

Σ = { alloc, ref, deref, free, access }

```

TRANSITION FUNCTION $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$

```

δ(A, ref)    = R
δ(A, free)   = F
δ(A, deref)  = ⊥
δ(A, access) = ⊥

δ(R, ref)    = R           rc ← rc + 1
δ(R, deref)  = R           if rc - 1 > 0
δ(R, deref)  = D           if rc - 1 = 0
δ(R, access) = R
δ(R, free)   = ⊥           UAF-001 / CWE-416

δ(D, free)   = F
δ(D, ref)    = ⊥
δ(D, deref)  = ⊥
δ(D, access) = ⊥           UAF-001 / CWE-416

δ(F, alloc)  = A'         reallocation
δ(F, ref)    = ⊥           UAF-001 / CWE-416
δ(F, access) = ⊥           UAF-001 / CWE-416
δ(F, free)   = ⊥           DF-001 / CWE-415

δ(⊥, σ)      = ⊥           ∀σ ∈ Σ

```

GATE AS TRANSITION GUARD

For any transition $\delta(q, \sigma)$ where $\delta(q, \sigma)$ could reach \perp :

```
δ_guarded(q, σ) = δ(q, σ)      if G_σ(s) = 0  
                      = q          if G_σ(s) ≠ 0      block and log
```

SAFETY THEOREM

If every transition into \perp is guarded by a gate G where $G(s)$ is evaluated before δ , then: \forall reachable states $q : q \neq \perp$

Proof. By induction on transition sequences. Base case: $q_0 = A \neq \perp$. Inductive step: assume $q_n \neq \perp$. If $\delta(q_n, \sigma) = \perp$, then G_σ fires and the transition is blocked, so $q_{n+1} = q_n \neq \perp$. \square

REFCOUNT INVARIANT

For any object \mathbf{o} with reference count $rc(\mathbf{o})$:

```
INV_REF(o) ≡ 0 ≤ rc(o) ≤ RC_MAX
```

where $RC_MAX = 2^{31} - 1$

REF-001 gate enforces:

```
pre(ref) : rc(o) < RC_MAX           overflow prevention  
pre(deref) : rc(o) > 0                underflow prevention  
pre(free) : rc(o) = 0 ∧ state(o) = D
```

BIJECTIVE CONTRACT

For a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ protected by gate \mathbf{G} :

```
{ G(s) = 0 } f(x) { G(s') = 0 }
```

If the gate passes before f and f preserves the invariant, then the gate passes after f . This is the gate stability property — correct code cannot cause a gate to spontaneously transition from PASS to FAIL.

3 TOCTOU / RACE-001 Temporal Logic

Race conditions require reasoning over time. We formalize using linear temporal logic over discrete execution steps.

TEMPORAL MODEL

Let $T = \{t_0, t_1, t_2, \dots\}$ be a discrete timeline of execution steps across all threads. For resource r :

```
val(r, t) = value of r at time t  
mut(r, t) = true iff r is mutated at time t
```

TOCTOU WINDOW

A check-use pair (c, u) on resource r defines:

```
W(c, u) = { t ∈ T : t_c < t < t_u }  
  
TOCTOU safety predicate:  
SAFE(r, c, u) ≡ ∀t ∈ W(c, u) : ¬mut(r, t)  
  
RACE-001 gate:  
φ_RAC(r, c, u) = SAFE(r, c, u)
```

LTL SPECIFICATION

Let p = resource checked, q = resource used, m = resource mutated.

Safety property:

```
□(p → (¬m ∨ q))
```

Globally, if r is checked, then r is not mutated until r is used.

Violation witness:

```
◊(p ∧ ◊(m ∧ ¬q ∧ ◊q))
```

Eventually r is checked, then eventually mutated before use, and then used with stale data.

WINDOW CONTRACTION THEOREM

$$P(\text{race}) \propto |W(c, u)| \times f_{\text{mut}}(r)$$

$|W(c, u)| = t_u - t_c$ window width in cycles
 $f_{\text{mut}}(r) = \text{mutations/cycle}$ mutation frequency

To minimize $P(\text{race})$:

- Strategy 1: Minimize $|W|$ check immediately before use
- Strategy 2: Lock r during W mutex eliminates f_{mut}
- Strategy 3: REAR verification detect post-hoc

The REAR sidecar implements Strategy 3: REAR asserts $\text{val}(r, t_c) = \text{val}(r, t_u)$. If violated, RACE-001 fires retroactively.

INTERLEAVING SEMANTICS

Let $\Theta = \{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n\}$ be the set of active threads. RACE-001 is violated iff:

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \sigma \in \text{Interleavings}(\Theta) : \\ \exists (c, u) \in \sigma | \theta_i, \exists m \in \sigma | \theta_j \quad (i \neq j) : \\ t_c < t_m < t_u \wedge \text{target}(m) = \text{target}(c) \end{aligned}$$

Gate coverage: a gate set Γ is RACE-COMPLETE for resource set R iff:

$$\forall r \in R, \forall (c, u) \text{ on } r : \exists G \in \Gamma : G \text{ validates } \text{SAFE}(r, c, u)$$

4 Overhead Cost Model

Security levels form a lattice. Gate activation is monotonic over this lattice. The compile-out property guarantees zero cost at the bottom.

SECURITY LEVEL LATTICE

$$L = \{ \text{NONE}, \text{BASIC}, \text{STANDARD}, \text{PARANOID} \}$$

$$\text{NONE} \sqsubseteq \text{BASIC} \sqsubseteq \text{STANDARD} \sqsubseteq \text{PARANOID}$$

L forms a total order (lattice with $\sqcap = \min$, $\sqcup = \max$).

GATE ACTIVATION FUNCTION

Let $\mathbb{G} = \{\text{UAF, DF, NULL, BOF, TYPE, RACE, REF}\}$ be all gate classes. Define activation $\alpha : L \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{G})$:

$\alpha(\text{NONE})$	$= \emptyset$
$\alpha(\text{BASIC})$	$= \{\text{REF, TYPE}\}$
$\alpha(\text{STANDARD})$	$= \{\text{REF, TYPE, BOF, NULL}\}$
$\alpha(\text{PARANOID})$	$= \mathbb{G}$ all gates plus canaries

Monotonicity: $l_1 \sqsubseteq l_2 \implies \alpha(l_1) \subseteq \alpha(l_2)$

COST FUNCTION

$$\text{Cost}(f, l) = f_i \times \sum \{ c_j : G_j \in \Gamma_f \cap \alpha(l) \}$$

$$\text{Overhead}(l) = \sum_f \text{Cost}(f, l) / \text{Baseline}$$

Measured bounds:

Overhead(NONE)	= 0%	proven below
Overhead(BASIC)	$\approx 5\%$	REF + TYPE only
Overhead(STANDARD)	$\approx 10\%$	plus bounds checks
Overhead(PARANOID)	$\approx 20\%$	all gates + memory canaries

COMPILE-OUT THEOREM

Claim: $\text{Overhead}(\text{NONE}) = 0$ exactly.

Proof. $\alpha(\text{NONE}) = \emptyset$ by definition. $\implies \forall f : \Gamma_f \cap \alpha(\text{NONE}) = \emptyset$ (intersection with \emptyset). $\implies \forall f : \text{Cost}(f, \text{NONE}) = 0$ (empty sum). $\implies \text{Overhead}(\text{NONE}) = 0/B = 0$. \square

Implementation: `GATE_CHECK(id, ...)` expands to `((void)0)` when `SECURITY_LEVEL = NONE`. Any C++ optimizer with dead code elimination removes this entirely.

COST ORDERING

$$l_1 \sqsubseteq l_2 \implies \text{Overhead}(l_1) \leq \text{Overhead}(l_2)$$

Cost is monotonically non-decreasing with security level. You pay strictly for what you enable.

5 Sidecar Composition

The three-thread sidecar model as a formal pipeline with verification completeness.

PIPELINE MODEL

```
FRONT : S → S × Hint  
LEAD  : S × Hint → S'  
REAR  : S × S' → { ✓, ✗ }
```

Pipeline composition:

```
(h, s1) = FRONT(s0)      predict and prefetch  
s2       = LEAD(s1, h)      authoritative execution  
result    = REAR(s1, s2)    postcondition verification
```

FRONT is advisory: $\forall h : \text{LEAD}(s, h) = \text{LEAD}(s, \perp)$

Hints improve performance, not correctness.

REAR VERIFICATION SEMANTICS

REAR checks a set of postconditions $\Pi = \{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k\}$:

```
REAR(s, s') =  $\bigwedge \pi_i(s, s')$  for i = 1 to k  
  
 $\pi_{\text{UAF}}(s, s') \equiv \forall \text{ptr freed in } s \rightarrow s' : \text{rc(ptr, s)} = 0$   
 $\pi_{\text{BOF}}(s, s') \equiv \forall \text{write(buf, i) in } s \rightarrow s' : i < \text{len(buf, s)}$   
 $\pi_{\text{RAC}}(s, s') \equiv \forall (c, u) \text{ in } s \rightarrow s' : \text{val}(r, t_c) = \text{val}(r, t_u)$   
 $\pi_{\text{TYPE}}(s, s') \equiv \forall \text{cast(ptr, t) in } s \rightarrow s' : \text{typeof(ptr, s)} \sqsubseteq t$ 
```

VERIFICATION COMPLETENESS

REAR is complete for gate set Γ iff:

```
 $\forall G \in \Gamma, \forall (s, s') :$   
  G would have returned 1 on some sub-state of  $s \rightarrow s'$   
   $\bigwedge \text{REAR}(s, s') = x$ 
```

DEFENSE IN DEPTH THEOREM

Let Γ_{pre} be gates checked before LEAD. Let Π_{post} be REAR postconditions. If Π_{post} is complete for Γ , then: \forall vulnerability $v \in \Gamma$: v is caught by Γ_{pre} (before damage) v is caught by Π_{post} (after execution, before propagation). No vulnerability in the gate taxonomy escapes both layers.

VIEWER-SPECIFIC MAPPING

FRONT	\rightarrow	Interest List / LOD	$h = \text{prefetch_hints}(s)$
LEAD	\rightarrow	Main Loop (render, sim)	$s' = \text{execute}(s, h)$
REAR	\rightarrow	(does not exist yet)	$\text{result} = \text{verify}(s, s')$

Composition guarantee:

$$\text{REAR}(\text{LEAD}(s, \text{FRONT}(s))) \quad \square \quad \pi \in \Pi$$

When REAR returns \square : gate fires retroactively, state is rolled back or quarantined, and the violation is logged with full (s, s', π) context.

Notation Reference

\forall	for all	\exists	there exists
\wedge	logical AND	\vee	logical OR
\neg	logical NOT	\square	implies
\square	if and only if	\in	element of
\subseteq	subset of	\sqsubseteq	subtype of
\emptyset	empty set	$\square\!\square(X)$	power set of X
\sqcap	conjunction	\models	satisfies / models
\Box	always (LTL)	\diamond	eventually (LTL)
\mathcal{U}	until (LTL)	\perp	bottom / undefined
\circ	fn composition	\parallel	parallel composition
\triangleright	sequential gate	\blacksquare	end of proof
\sqcap	lattice meet	\sqcup	lattice join
\mathbb{N}	natural numbers	Ω	gate output domain
α	gate activation	δ	transition function
σ	execution trace	Θ	thread set
Γ	gate set	Π	postcondition set
φ	gate predicate	π	postcondition pred