Practical Numerical Optimization with Scipy, Estimagic and JAXopt

Scipy Conference 2022

Janos Gabler & Tim Mensinger

University of Bonn

About Us

- Website: janosg.com
- GitHub: janosg
- Original author of estimagic
- Submitted PhD thesis, looking for interesting jobs soon

- Website: tmensinger.com
- GitHub: timmens
- estimagic core contributor
- PhD student in Econ, University of Bonn

Index

What is numerical optimization

Example problem

- Parameters x_1, x_2
- Criterion $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$
- Want: $x_1^*, x_2^* = \operatorname{argmin} f(x_1, x_2)$
- Possible extensions:
  - Constraints
  - Bounds
- Optimum at $(0, 0)$ with function value 0



Brute force vs. smarter algorithm





Complexity of brute force







Number of Dimensions	Runtime (1 ms per evaluation, 100 points per dimension)
1	100 ms
2	10 s
3	16 min
4	27 hours
5	16 weeks
6	30 years

In this talk

- Nonlinear optimization with
- Continuous parameters
- (Non)linear constraints
- Global optimization
- Diagnostics and strategies for difficult problems

Not covered

- Linear programming
- Mixed integer programming
- Stochastic gradient descent
- Fitting neural networks

Introduction to scipy.optimize

Solve example problem with scipy.optimize

```
>>> import numpy as np
>>> from scipy.optimize import minimize

>>> def sphere(x):
...     return np.sum(x ** 2)

>>> x0 = np.ones(2)
>>> res = minimize(f, x0)
>>> res.fun
0.0
>>> res.x
array([0.0, 0.0])
```

Features of scipy.optimize

- `minimize` as unified interface to 14 local optimizers
  - some support bounds
  - some support constraints
- Parameters are 1d arrays
- Maximization is done by minimizing $-f(x)$
- Different interfaces for:
  - global optimization
  - nonlinear least-squares

Practice Session 1: First optimization with scipy.optimize (15 min)

Shortcomings of scipy.optimize

- Very few algorithms
- No parallelization
- Maximization via sign flipping
- No diagnostics tools
- No feedback before termination or in case of crash
- No built-in multistart, benchmarking, scaling, or logging
- Parameters are 1d numpy arrays

Examples from real projects I

```
def parse_parameters(x):
    """Parse the parameter vector into quantities we need."""
    num_types = int(len(x[54:]) / 6) + 1
    params = {
        'delta': x[0:1],
        'level': x[1:2],
        'coeffs_common': x[2:4],
        'coeffs_a': x[4:19],
        'coeffs_b': x[19:34],
        'coeffs_edu': x[34:41],
        'coeffs_home': x[41:44],
        'type_shares': x[44:44 + (num_types - 1) * 2],
        'type_shifts': x[44 + (num_types - 1) * 2:]
    }
    return params
```

Examples from real projects II

```
>>> scipy.optimize.minimize(func, x0)
---------------------------------------------------------------------------
LinAlgError                               Traceback (most recent call last)
<ipython-input-17-7459e5b4d8d4> in <module>
----> 1 scipy.optimize.minimize(func, x0)

     95
     96 def _raise_linalgerror_singular(err, flag):
---> 97     raise LinAlgError("Singular matrix")
     98

LinAlgError: Singular matrix
```

- After 5 hours and with no additional information

Introduction to estimagic

What is estimagic?

- Library for difficult numerical optimization
- Tools for nonlinear estimation and inference
- Wraps many other optimizer libraries:
  - Scipy, Nlopt, TAO, Pygmo, ...
- Harmonized interface
- A lot of additional functionality

You can use it like scipy

```
>>> import estimagic as em

>>> def sphere(x):
...     return np.sum(x ** 2)

>>> res = em.minimize(
...     criterion=sphere,
...     params=np.arange(5),
...     algorithm="scipy_lbfgsb",
... )

>>> res.params
array([ 0., -0., -0., -0., -0.])
```

- But there is no default algorithm

Params can be (almost) anything

```
>>> def dict_sphere(x):
...     out = (
...         x["a"] ** 2 + x["b"] ** 2 + (x["c"] ** 2).sum()
...     )
...     return out

>>> res = em.minimize(
...     criterion=dict_sphere,
...     params={"a": 0, "b": 1, "c": pd.Series([2, 3, 4])},
...     algorithm="scipy_neldermead",
... )

>>> res.params
{'a': 0.,
 'b': 0.,
 'c': 0    0.
      1    0.
      2    0.
 dtype: float64}
```

- numpy arrays
- pd.Series, pd.DataFrame
- scalars
- (Nested) lists, dicts and tuples thereof
- Special case: DataFrame with columns `"value"`, `"lower_bound"` and `"upper_bound"`

Informative OptimizeResult

```
>>> res
Minimize with 5 free parameters terminated successfully after 805 criterion evaluations and 507 iterations.

The value of criterion improved from 30.0 to 1.6760003634613059e-16.

The scipy_neldermead algorithm reported: Optimization terminated successfully.

Independent of the convergence criteria used by scipy_neldermead, the strength of convergence can be
assessed by the following criteria:
                            one_step     five_steps
relative_criterion_change  1.968e-15***  2.746e-15***
relative_params_change     9.834e-08*    1.525e-07*
absolute_criterion_change  1.968e-16***  2.746e-16***
absolute_params_change     9.834e-09**   1.525e-08*

(***: change <= 1e-10, **: change <= 1e-8, *: change <= 1e-5. Change refers to a change between accepted
steps. The first column only considers the last step. The second column considers the last five steps.)
```

Access OptimizeResult's attributes

```
>>> res.criterion
.0
>>> res.n_criterion_evaluations
805
>>> res.success
True
>>> res.message
'Optimization terminated successfully.'
>>> res.history.keys():
dict_keys(['params', 'criterion', 'runtime'])
```

Logging and Dashboard

```
>>> res = em.minimize(
...     criterion=sphere,
...     params=np.arange(5),
...     algorithm="scipy_lbfgsb",
...     logging="my_log.db",
...     log_options={
...         "if_database_exists": "replace"
...     },
... )

>>> from estimagic import OptimizeLogReader

>>> reader = OptimizeLogReader("my_log.db")
>>> reader.read_history().keys()
dict_keys(['params', 'criterion', 'runtime'])

>>> reader.read_iteration(1)["params"]
array([0., 0.817, 1.635, 2.452, 3.27 ])
```

- Persistent log of parameters and criterion values
- Thread safe sqlite database
- No data loss, even if computer is unplugged
- Can be read while optimization is running
- Provides data for dashboard

Criterion plot

```
em.criterion_plot(res)
```



- First argument can be:
  - `OptimizeResult`
  - path to log file
  - list or dict thereof
- Dictionary keys are used for legend

Criterion plot (2)

```
em.criterion_plot(res, monotone=True)
```



- `monotone=True` shows the current best value
- useful if there are extreme values in history

Criterion plot (3)

```
em.criterion_plot(res, max_evaluations=300)
```



- `max_evaluations` sets upper limit of x-axis

Params plot

```
params = {
    "a": 0,
    "b": 1,
    "c": pd.Series([2, 3, 4])
}
em.params_plot(
    res,
    max_evaluations=300,
)
```



- Similar options as `criterion_plot`

Params plot (2)

```
params = {
    "a": 0,
    "b": 1,
    "c": pd.Series([2, 3, 4])
}
em.params_plot(
    res,
    max_evaluations=300,
    selector=lambda x: x["c"],
)
```



- `selector` is a function returning a subset of params

Unified interface to (collections of) algorithms

- **Nlopt**: Local and global algorithms by Stephen Johnson (MIT)
- **Pygmo**: Global algorithms by Franceso Biscani and Dario Izzo (ESA)
- **fides**: Pure Python algorithm by Fabian Fröhlich (Harvard)
- **TAO**: Toolkit for advanced optimization (Argonne national lab)
- **Cyipopt**: Python bindings to **IPOPT** by Andreas Wächter (Northwestern)
- **NAG**: Numerical algorithms group (Oxford)
- **estimagic**: A few algorithms we could not find elsewhere
- **List not exhaustive and will add more soon (it is quite easy)**

Use constraints with any optimizer

```
>>> res = em.minimize(
...     criterion=sphere,
...     params=np.array([0.1, 0.5, 0.4, 4, 5]),
...     algorithm="scipy_lbfgsb",
...     constraints=[{
...         "loc": [0, 1, 2],
...         "type": "probability"
...     }],
... )

>>> res.params
array([0.33334, 0.33333, 0.33333, -0., 0.])
```

- lbfgsb is unconstrained
- estimagic transforms constrained problems into unconstrained ones
- Supported constraints:
  - linear
  - probability
  - covariance
  - ...

Closed-form or parallel numerical derivatives

```
>>> def sphere_gradient(params):
...     return 2 * params

>>> em.minimize(
...     criterion=sphere,
...     params=np.arange(5),
...     algorithm="scipy_lbfgsb",
...     derivative=sphere_gradient,
... )

>>> em.minimize(
...     criterion=sphere,
...     params=np.arange(5),
...     algorithm="scipy_lbfgsb",
...     numdiff_options={"n_cores": 6},
... )
```

- You can provide derivatives
- Otherwise, estimagic calculates them numerically
- Parallelization on (up to) as many cores as parameters

There is maximize

```
>>> def upside_down_sphere(params):
...     return -params @ params

>>> res = em.maximize(
...     criterion=upside_down_sphere,
...     params=np.arange(5),
...     algorithm="scipy_lbfgs",
... )
>>> res.params
array([ 0.,  0.,  0.,  0., 0.])
```

Multistart framework

```
>>> res = em.minimize(
...     criterion=sphere,
...     params=np.arange(5),
...     algorithm="scipy_neldermead",
...     soft_lower_bounds=np.full(5, -5),
...     soft_upper_bounds=np.full(5, 15),
...     multistart=True,
...     multistart_options={
...         "convergence.max_discoveries": 5,
...         "n_samples": 1000
...     },
... )
>>> res.params
array([0., 0., 0., 0.,  0.])
```

- Turn local optimizers global
- Inspired by [tiktak algorithm]
- **Exploration** on random sample
- Local optimizations from best points
- Use any optimizer

Exploit structure of F

```
>>> def general_sphere(params):
...     contribs = params ** 2
...     out = {
...         "root_contributions": params,
...         "contributions": contribs,
...         "value": contribs.sum(),
...     }
...     return out

>>> res = em.minimize(
...     criterion=general_sphere,
...     params=np.arange(5),
...     algorithm="pounders",
... )
>>> res.params
array([0., 0., 0., 0., 0.])
```

- Common structures
  - $F(x) = \sum_i f_i(x)^2$ (least squares)
  - $F(x) = \sum_i f_i(x)$ (e.g. log-likelihood)
- Huge speed-ups
- Increased robustness

Harmonized as much as possible but not more

```
>>> algo_options = {
...     "convergence.relative_criterion_tolerance": 1e-9,
...     "stopping.max_iterations": 100_000,
...     "trustregion.initial_radius": 10.0,
... }

>>> res = em.minimize(
...     criterion=sphere,
...     params=np.arange(5),
...     algorithm="nag_pybobyqa",
...     algo_options=algo_options,
... )
>>> res.params
array([0., 0., 0., 0., 0.])
```

- Same options have same name
- Different options have different names
  - e.g. not one `tol`
- Ignore irrelevant options

Well documented



- estimagic.readthedocs.io
- Quickstart
- Detailed How-To guides
- Explanations
- API

The estimagic Team

		
Janos	Tim	Klara
		
Sebastian	Tobias	Hans-Martin

Thanks to

- All contributors to estimagic
- Kenneth Judd for feedback and funding of a research visit
- Gregor Reich for feedback
- All authors of the amazing algorithms we are wrapping
- The University of Bonn and TRA-1 Modelling for funding
- Collaborative Research Center Transregio 224 for funding

Break (5 min)

Practice Session 2: Convert previous example to estimagic (15 min)

Choosing algorithms

Relevant problem properties

- **Smoothness**: Differentiable? Kinks? Discontinuities? Stochastic?
- **Convexity**: Are there local optima?
- **Size**: 2 parameters? 10? 100? 1000? More?
- **Constraints**: Bounds? Linear constraints? Nonlinear constraints?
- **Structure**: Nonlinear least-squares, Log-likelihood function
- **Goal**: Do you need a global solution? How precise?
- Properties guide selection but experimentation is important

`scipy_lbfgsb`

- Limited memory BFGS
- BFGS: Approximate hessians from multiple gradients
- Criterion must be differentiable
- Scales to a few thousand parameters
- Beats other BFGS implementations in many benchmarks
- Low overhead

`fides`

- Derivative based trust-region algorithm
- Developed by Fabian Fröhlich as a Python package
- Many advanced options to customize the optimization!
- Criterion must be differentiable
- Good solution if `scipy_lbfgsb` is too aggressive

`nlopt_bobyqa`, `nag_pybobyqa`

- **B**ound **O**ptimization **by** **Q**uadratic **A**pproximation
- Derivative free trust region algorithm
- `nlopt` has less overhead
- `nag` has options to deal with noise
- Good for non-differentiable not too noisy functions
- Slower than derivative based methods but faster than neldermead

`scipy_neldermead`, `nlopt_neldermead`

- Popular direct search method
- `scipy` does not support bounds
- `nlopt` requires fewer criterion evaluations in most benchmarks
- Almost never the best choice but sometimes not the worst

`scipy_ls_lm`, `scipy_ls_trf`

- Derivative based optimizers for nonlinear least squares
- Criterion needs structure: $F(x) = \sum_i f_i(x)^2$
- In estimagic, criterion returns a dict:

```
def sphere_ls(x):
    # x are the least squares residuals in the sphere function
    return {"root_contributions": x, "value": x @ x}
```

- `scipy_ls_lm` is better for small problems without bounds
- `scipy_ls_trf` is better for problems with many parameters

`nag_dfols`, `pounders`

- Derivative free trust region method for nonlinear least-squares
- Both beat bobyqa for least-squares problems!
- `nag_dfols` is usually fastest
- `nag_dfols` has advanced options to deal with noise
- `pounders` can do criterion evaluations in parallel

`ipopt`

- Interior point optimizer for problems with nonlinear constraints
- Probably best open source optimizer for nonlinear constraints
- We wrap it via `cyipopt`

Practice Session 3: Play with `algorithm` and `algo_options` (20 min)

What is benchmarking

- Compare algorithms on functions with known optimum
- Mirror problems you actually want to solve
  - similar number of parameters
  - similar w.r.t. differentiability or noise
- Benchmark functions should be fast!

Running benchmarks in estimagic

```
problems = em.get_benchmark_problems(
    "estimagic",
)
optimizers = [
    "scipy_lbfgsb",
    "nag_dfols",
    "nlopt_bobyqa",
    "scipy_neldermead",
]
results = em.run_benchmark(
    problems=problems,
    optimize_options=optimizers,
    n_cores=4,
    max_criterion_evaluations=1000,
)
```

1. Create a set of test problems:
    - dict with functions, start values and correct solutions
2. Define `optimize_options`
    - Optimizers to try
    - Optionally: keyword arguments for minimize
3. Get benchmark results
    - Dict with histories and solutions

Profile plots

```
em.profile_plot(problems, results)
```



Convergence plots

```
subset = [
    "chebyquad_10",
    "chnrsbne",
    "penalty_1",
    "bdqrtic_8",
]
em.convergence_plot(
    problems,
    results,
    problem_subset=subset,
)
```



Why not look at runtime

- Benchmark functions are very fast (microseconds)
  -> Runtime dominated by algorithm overhead
- Most real functions are slow (milliseconds, seconds, minutes, ...)
  -> Runtime dominated by number of evaluations
- Exceptions will be discussed later (jaxopt)

Advanced options

```
problems = em.get_benchmark_problems(
    name="example",
    additive_noise=True,
    additive_noise_options={
        "distribution": "normal",
        "std": 0.2,
    },
    scaling=True,
    scaling_options={
        "min_scale": 0.1,
        "max_scale": 1000,
    }
)
```

- Add noise and scaling issues
- Choices:
  - estimagic: small and large problems
  - more_wild: small least squares problems
  - example: subset of more_wild

Practice Session 4: Benchmarking optimizers (10 min)

Break (10 min)

Advanced estimagic

Constraints

Terminology of constraints in estimagic

- Constraints are conditions on parameters
- Can make problems simpler or more difficult
- bounds: $\min_x f(x)$ s.t. $l \leq x \leq u$
  - handled by most algorithms
- estimagic constraints:
  - handled by estimagic via reparametrization
- nonlinear constraints: $\min_x f(x)$ s.t. $c_1(x) = 0, c_2(x) >= 0$
  - handled by some algorithms
  - can be violated during optimization

How to specify bounds for array params

```
>>> def sphere(x):
...     return x @ x

>>> res = em.minimize(
...     criterion=sphere,
...     params=np.arange(3) + 1,
...     lower_bounds=np.ones(3),
...     algorithm="scipy_lbfgsb",
... )
>>> res.params
array([1., 1., 1.])
```

- Specify `lower_bounds` and `upper_bounds`
- Use `np.inf` or `-np.inf` to represent no bounds

How to specify bounds for DataFrame params

```
>>> params = pd.DataFrame({
...         "value": [1, 2, 3],
...         "lower_bound": [1, 1, 1],
...         "upper_bound": [3, 3, 3],
...     },
...     index=["a", "b", "c"],
... )

>>> def criterion(p):
...     return (p["value"] ** 2).sum()

>>> em.minimize(criterion, params, algorithm="scipy_lbfgsb")
```

How to specify bounds for pytree params

```
params = {"x": np.arange(3), "intercept": 3}

def criterion(p):
    return p["x"] @ p["x"] + p["intercept"]

res = em.minimize(
    criterion,
    params=params,
    algorithm="scipy_lbfgsb",
    lower_bounds={"intercept": -2},
)
```

- Enough to specify the subset of params that actually has bounds
- We try to match your bounds with params
- Raise `InvalidBoundsError` in case of ambiguity

Reparametrization example

- Example: $f(x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{x_2 - x_1} + x_2^2$
- Only defined for $x_1 \leq x_2$
- Solve: $\min f(x_1, x_2)$ s.t. $x_1 \leq x_2$
- Not a simple bound!
- Reparametrization approach:
  - Define $\tilde{x}_2 = x_2 - x_1$ and $\tilde{f}(x_1, \tilde{x}_2) = \sqrt{\tilde{x}_2} + (x_1 + \tilde{x}_2)^2$
  - Solve: $\min \tilde{f}(x_1, \tilde{x}_2)$ s.t. $\tilde{x}_2 \geq 0$
  - Translate solution back into x_1 and x_2

Which constraints can be handled via reparametrization?

- Fixing parameters (simple but useful)
- Find valid covariance and correlation matrices
- Find valid probabilities
- Linear constraints (as long as there are not too many)
  - $\min f(x)\, s.t.\ A_1 x = 0 \text{ and } A_2 x \leq 0$
- **Guaranteed to be fulfilled during optimization**

Do not try at home

- Easy to make mistakes when implementing this
  - forget to transform start parameters
  - forget to translate back
  - forget to adjust derivative
  - confuse directions
  - use non-differentiable transformations
- **Estimagic does reparametrizations for you!**
- **Completely hides transformed x**

Fixing parameters

```
>>> def criterion(params):
...     offset = np.linspace(1, 0, len(params))
...     x = params - offset
...     return x @ x

unconstrained_optimum = [1, 0.8, 0.6, 0.4, 0.2, 0]

>>> res = em.minimize(
...     criterion=criterion,
...     params=np.array([2.5, 1, 1, 1, 1, -2.5]),
...     algorithm="scipy_lbfgsb",
...     constraints={"loc": [0, 5], "type": "fixed"},
... )
>>> res.params
array([ 2.5,  0.8,  0.6,  0.4,  0.2, -2.5])
```

- `loc` selects location 0 and 5 of the parameters
- `type` states that they are fixed
- Other selection methods will be explained later

Linear constraints

```
>>> res = em.minimize(
...     criterion=criterion,
...     params=np.ones(6),
...     algorithm="scipy_lbfgsb",
...     constraints={
...         "loc": [0, 1, 2, 3],
...         "type": "linear",
...         "lower_bound": 0.95,
...         "weights": 0.25,
...     },
... )
>>> res.params
array([ 1.25, 1.05, 0.85, 0.65, 0.2 , -0.])
```

- Imposes that average of first 4 parameters is larger than 0.95
- Weights can be scalars or same length as selected parameters
- Use "value" instead of "lower_bound" for linear equality constraint

Constraints have to hold

```
>>> em.minimize(
...     criterion=sphere,
...     params=np.array([1, 2, 3, 4, 5]),
...     algorithm="scipy_lbfgsb",
...     constraints={"loc": [0, 1, 2], "type": "probability"},
... )
```

```
InvalidParamsError: A constraint of type 'probability' is not fulfilled in params,
please make sure that it holds for the starting values. The problem arose because:
Probabilities do not sum to 1. The names of the involved parameters are: ['0', '1', '2']
The relevant constraint is:
{'loc': [0, 1, 2], 'type': 'probability', 'index': array([0, 1, 2])}.
```

Nonlinear constraints

```
>>> res = em.minimize(
...     criterion=criterion,
...     params=np.ones(6),
...     algorithm="scipy_slsqp",
...     constraints={
...         "type": "nonlinear",
...         "loc": [0, 1, 2, 3, 4],
...         "func": lambda x: np.prod(x),
...         "value": 1.0,
...     },
... )
>>> res.params
array([1.31, 1.16, 1.01, 0.87, 0.75, -0.])
```

- Restrict the product of first five parameters to 1
- Only works with some optimizers
- `func` can be an arbitrary python function of params that returns a number, array or pytree
- Use "lower_bound" and "upper_bound" instead of "value" for inequality constraints

Parameter selection methods

- `"loc"` can be replaced other things
- If params is a DataFrame with "value" column
  - `"query"` : An arbitrary query string that selects the relevant rows
  - `"loc"` : Will be passed to `DataFrame.loc`
- Always
  - `"selector"` : A function that takes params as argument and returns a subset of params
- More in the documentation

Practice Session 5: Constrained optimization (10 min)

Global optimization

Global vs local optimization

- Local: Find any local optimum
  - All we have done so far
- Global: Find best local optimum
  - Needs bounds to be well defined
  - Extremely challenging in high dimensions
- Same for convex problems

Examples





Genetic algorithms

- Heuristic inspired by natural selection
- Random initial population of parameters
- In each evolution step:
  - Evaluate "fitness" of all population members
  - Replace worst by combinations of good ones
- Converge when max iterations are reached
- Examples: `"pygmo_gaco"`, `"pygmo_bee_colony"`, `"nlopt_crs2_lm"`, ...

Bayesian optimization

- Evaluate criterion on grid or sample of parameters
- Build surrogate model of criterion
- In each iteration
  - Do new criterion evaluations at promising points
  - Improve surrogate model
- Converge when max iterations is reached

Multistart optimization (in estimagic)

- Inspired by tiktak algorithm by Fatih Guvenen and Serdar Ozkan
- Evaluate criterion on random exploration sample
- Run local optimization from best point
- In each iteration:
  - Combine best parameter and next best exploration point
  - Run local optimization from there
- Converge if current best optimum was rediscovered several times
- Use any estimagic algorithm for local optimization
- Can distinguish soft and hard bounds

How to choose

- Extremely expensive criterion (i.e. can only do a few evaluations):
  - Bayesian optimization
- Differentiable function or least-squares structure and not too many local optima:
  - Multistart with a local optimizer tailored to function properties
- Rugged function with extremely many local optima
  - Genetic optimizer
  - Consider refining the result with local optimizer
- All are equally parallelizable

Advanced multistart

- Many local optima:
  - Large `"n_sample"`
  - Low `"share_optimizations"`
  - Weak convergence criteria
  - Refine result with stricter convergence criteria
- Few local optima:
  - Stick with defaults

Scaling

What is scaling

- Single most underrated topic among economists!
- Well scaled: A fixed step in any parameter dimension yields roughly comparable changes in function value
  - $f(a, b) = 0.5a^2 + 0.8b^2$
- Badly scaled: Some parameters are much more influential
  - $f(a, b) = 1000a^2 + 0.2b^2$
- Often arises when parameters have very different units

Effects of bad scaling

- Will try performance of optimizers on
  - Standard "estimagic" set of problems
  - badly scaled version
  - badly scaled version when `scaling=True` in `minimize`

```
problems_bad_scaling = get_benchmark_problems(
    name="estimagic",
    scaling=True,
    scaling_options={"min_scale": 1, "max_scale": 1_000},
)
```

Effect of bad scaling: `scipy_lbfgsb`



Effect of bad scaling: `fides`



Effect of bad scaling: `nag_dfols`



Effect of bad scaling: `nlopt_bobyqa`



Scaling in estimagic: By start params

```
def badly_scaled(x):
    out = (
        0.01 * np.abs(x[0])
        + np.abs(x[1])
        + (x[2] - 0.9) ** 6
    )
    return out

em.minimize(
    criterion=badly_scaled,
    params=np.array([200, 1, 1]),
    scaling=True,
)
```

- Optimizer sees x / x_start
- Works without bounds
- Will recover that x[0] needs large steps
- Won't recover that x[2] needs tiny steps

Scaling in estimagic: By bounds

```
em.minimize(
    criterion=badly_scaled,
    params=np.array([200, 1, 1]),
    scaling=True,
    lower_bounds=np.array([-200, -2, 0.8]),
    upper_bounds=np.array([600, 2, 1]),
    scaling=True,
    scaling_options={"method": "bounds"},
)
```

- Internal parameter space mapped to $[0, 1]^n$
- Will work great in this case
- Requires careful specification of bounds

Very scale sensitive

- `nag_pybobyqa`
- `tao_pounders`
- `pounders`
- `nag_dfols`
- `scipy_cobyla`

Somewhat scale sensitive

- `scipy_lbfgsb`
- `fides`

Not scale sensitive

- `scipy_neldermead`
- `nlopt_neldermead`
- `nlopt_bobyqa`
- `scipy_powell`
- `scipy_ls_lm`
- `scipy_ls_trf`

Practice Session 6: Scaling of optimization problems (10 min)

How to contribute

- Make issues or provide feedback
- Improve or extend the documentation
- Suggest, wrap or implement new optimizers
- Teach estimagic to colleagues, students and friends
- Make us happy by giving us a ⭐ on github.com/OpenSourceEconomics/estimagic

Numerical vs automatic differentiation (oversimplified)

- **Automatic differentiation**
  - Magic way to calculate precise derivatives of Python functions
  - Gradient calculation takes 3 to 4 times longer than function
  - Runtime is independent of number of parameters
  - Code must be written in a certain way
- **Numerical differentiation**
  - Finite step approximation to derivatives
  - Less precise than automatic differentiation
  - Runtime increases linearly with number of parameters

What is JAX

- GPU accelerated replacement for Numpy
- State of the art automatic differentiation
  - Gradients
  - Jacobians
  - Hessians
- Just in time compiler for python code
- Composable function transformations such as `vmap`
- The 2nd best Python package (after estimagic)

Calculating derivatives with JAX

```
>>> import jax.numpy as jnp
>>> import jax

>>> def sphere(x):
...     return jnp.sum(x ** 2)

>>> gradient = jax.grad(sphere)

>>> gradient(jnp.array([1., 1.5, 2.]))
DeviceArray([2., 3., 4.], dtype=float64)
```

Practice Session 7: Using JAX derivatives in estimagic (10 min)

What is JAXopt

- Library of optimizers written in JAX
- Hardware accelerated
- Batchable
- Differentiable

When to use it

- Solve many instances of same optimization problem
- Differentiate optimization w.r.t hyperparameters

Simple optimization in JAXopt

```
>>> import jax
>>> import jax.numpy as jnp
>>> from jaxopt import LBFGS

>>> x0 = jnp.array([1.0, 2, 3])
>>> shift = x0.copy()

>>> def criterion(x, shift):
...     return jnp.vdot(x, x + shift)

>>> solver = LBFGS(fun=criterion)

>>> result = solver.run(init_params=x0, shift=shift)
>>> result.params
DeviceArray([ 0. , -0.5, -1. ], dtype=float64)
```

- import solver
- initialize solver with criterion
- run solver with starting parameters
- pass additional arguments of criterion to run method

Vmap

```
>>> import numpy as np
>>> import scipy as sp

>>> a = np.stack([np.diag([1, 2]), np.diag([3, 4])])
>>> a[0]
array([[ 1. , 0.],
       [ 0. , 2.]])

>>> sp.linalg.inv(a[0])
array([[ 1. , -0. ],
       [ 0. ,  0.5]])

>>> sp.linalg.inv(a)
... ValueError: expected square matrix

>>> res = [sp.linalg.inv(a[i]) for i in [0, 1]]
>>> np.stack(res)
array([[[ 1.        , -0.        ],
        [ 0.        ,  0.5       ]],
       [[ 0.33333333, -0.        ],
        [ 0.        ,  0.25      ]]])
```

- consider matrix inversion
- not defined for arrays with dimension > 2 (in `scipy`)
- loop over 2d matrices
- syntactic sugar: `np.vectorize` and the like
  - does not increase speed

Vmap in JAX

```
>>> import jax.numpy as jnp
>>> import jax.scipy as jsp
>>> from jax import vmap, jit

>>> a = jnp.array(a)

>>> jax_inv = jit(vmap(jsp.linalg.inv))

>>> jax_inv(a)
DeviceArray([[[1.        , 0.        ],
              [0.        , 0.5       ]],

             [[0.33333333, 0.        ],
              [0.        , 0.25      ]]], dtype=float64)
```

- use `jax.numpy` and `jax.scipy`
- define vectorized map using `jax.vmap`
- need `jit` on the outside to compile the new function

Vectorize an optimization in JAXopt

```
>>> from jax import jit, vmap

>>> def solve(x, shift):
...     return solver.run(init_params=x, shift=shift).params

>>> batch_solve = jit(vmap(solve, in_axes=(None, 0)))
>>> weights = jnp.array([
        [0.0, 1.0, 2.0],
        [3.0, 4.0, 5.0]
    ])

>>> batch_solve(x0, weights)
DeviceArray([[ 0. , -0.5, -1. ],
             [-1.5, -2. , -2.5]], dtype=float64)
```

- import `jit` and `vmap`
- define wrapper around solve
- call vmap on wrapper
  - `in_axes=(None, 0)` means that we map over the 0-axis of the second argument
  - call `jit` at the end

Differentiate a solution in JAXopt

```
>>> from jax import jacobian

>>> jacobian(solve, argnums=1)(x0, weight)
DeviceArray([[-0.5,  0. ,  0. ],
             [ 0. , -0.5,  0. ],
             [ 0. ,  0. , -0.5]], dtype=float64)

>>> solve(x0, weight)
DeviceArray([ 0. , -0.5, -1. ], dtype=float64)

>>> solve(x0, weight + 1)
DeviceArray([-0.5, -1. , -1.5], dtype=float64)
```

- import `jacobian` or `grad`
- use `argnums` to specify by which argument we differentiate

Practice Session 8: Vectorized optimization in JAXopt (15 min)

Summary

Summary: Libraries

- Use scipy if you want as few dependencies as possible
- Use jaxopt for:
  - batch optimization of many small problems
  - differentiating optimizers w.r.t tuning parameters
- Use estimagic if you need:
  - Access to a huge number of optimizers
  - Advanced diagnostics
  - Advanced options such as scaling

Summary: Tips

- Exploit least squares structure if you can
- Look at criterion and params plots
- Try multiple algorithms (based on theory) and take the best (based on experiments)
- Try to improve the scaling of your problem
- Use multistart over genetic algorithms if finding local optima is easy
- Make your problem jax compatible and use automatic differentiation