

DAY 3 — TWO POINTER PATTERN (NOTES)

★ 1. Two Pointer Pattern – Basic Idea

◆ Definition:

Two pointers = ek hi array/string/list par **two indices** ko move karte hue optimized solution निकालना.

◆ Generally Used In:

- Sorted Arrays
- Strings
- Linked Lists
- Linear search patterns

◆ Why Two Pointers?

- Brute force $O(N^2)$ होता है
- Two pointer se $O(N)$ या $O(N \log N)$ में हो जाता है

★ 2. Very Important Rule (Core of Two Pointers)

👉 If $sum < target \rightarrow left++$

→ Kyunki left pointer small value par होता है → अगर छोटा sum बनना है तो left बढ़ाओ.

👉 If $sum > target \rightarrow right--$

→ Kyunki right pointer large value par होता है → अगर sum ज्यादा आ रहा है तो right reduce करो.

👉 If $sum == target \rightarrow answer \text{ mil gaya}$

💡 यह पूरा logic इस बात से आता है कि array sorted है.

★★★ PROBLEM 1: Merge Sorted Array

(LeetCode 88)

📌 Problem Summary

- nums1 में m elements actual
- Last में n zeros diye gaye as space
- nums2 में n elements
- Final sorted merged array → **nums1 में ही store करना है**

🧠 Key Insight

Agar hum **front se merge** karenge → important elements overwrite हो जाएंगे → shifting $O(N^2)$.

✓ **Correct solution → merge from back**



Algorithm (Backwards Two Pointers)

Initialize:

ini

Copy code

```
i = m-1      // nums1 valid part ka end
j = n-1      // nums2 ka end
k = m+n-1    // final position (nums1 end)
```

Loop:

lua

Copy code

```
while(i >= 0 && j >= 0):
    if nums1[i] > nums2[j]:
        nums1[k] = nums1[i]
        i--
    else:
        nums1[k] = nums2[j]
        j--
    k--
```



After loop:

lua

Copy code

```
while j >= 0:
    nums1[k] = nums2[j]
    j--, k--
```

(i wale ko copy karne ki zarurat nahi hoti because woh already correct order me hota hai)



Dry Run (Important)

nums1 = [1,2,3,0,0,0]

nums2 = [2,5,6]

Pointers:

i	j	k	Compare	Action
2	2	5	3 vs 6	nums1[5]=6, j--

2	1	4	3 vs 5	nums1[4]=5, j--
2	0	3	3 vs 2	nums1[3]=3, i--
1	0	2	2 vs 2	nums1[2]=2, j--
1	-	1	loop ends	

🕒 **Time Complexity: $O(m+n)$**

🧠 **Space Complexity: $O(1)$**

☆☆☆ **PROBLEM 2: Count Pairs Whose Sum < Target**

(LeetCode 2824)

📌 **Problem Summary**

Count number of pairs (i, j) such that:

CSS

📄 Copy code

```
nums[i] + nums[j] < target
i < j
```

🧠 **Key Idea**

1. **Sort array**
2. Two pointers:
 - left = 0
 - right = n-1
3. Check sum:

✓ **If $\text{nums}[\text{left}] + \text{nums}[\text{right}] < \text{target}$**

→ मतलब left ke saath **jitne bhi elements left+1...right** hain → **sab valid pairs**

Hence:

sql

📄 Copy code

```
count += (right - left)
left++
```

✓ Else (sum >= target)

sql

Copy code

right--

🧠 Why "right - left"? (Most Important Concept)

Example:

Sorted nums = [0,1,2,3,4]

target = 5

Check:

left=0, right=4 → 0+4=4 < 5

So valid pairs:

(0,1)

(0,2)

(0,3)

(0,4)

Count = right - left = 4

**Because array sorted hai → agar 0+4 < 5,
toh 0 + sab chote values < 5 hoga × guaranteed.**



Dry Run

nums = [-1,1,2,3,1], target = 2

Sorted = [-1,1,1,2,3]

Process karo wohi two pointer se.



Time Complexity: $O(n \log n)$ (sorting)



Space: $O(1)$



PROBLEM 3: Two Sum

(LeetCode 1)



Goal

Find indices i, j such that:

$\text{nums}[i] + \text{nums}[j] = \text{target}$



Optimal Approach: Hashmap

Rule:

- Traverse array
- For each num:

- check target - num in map
- If found → answer
- Else → store num with its index



Pseudo Code



Pseudo Code (C++ Style) for Two Sum Using Hashmap

cpp

Copy code

```
unordered_map<int, int> mp;

for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {

    int need = target - nums[i];

    if (mp.find(need) != mp.end()) {
        return { mp[need], i };
    }

    mp[nums[i]] = i;
}
```

Time: O(n)



Space: O(n)



PROBLEM 4. TWO SUM II – Sorted Array (LeetCode 167)



Problem Summary

Sorted array diya hua hai.

Find two numbers such that:


$\text{nums}[i] + \text{nums}[j] = \text{target}$

Return 1-based indices.



1. Pointer Initialization

ini

 Copy code

```
i = 0  
j = nums.size() - 1
```

★ 2. Why $i < j$ (not \leq)?

- Because **we need two distinct elements**.
- Agar $i == j$ hua \rightarrow same element ko use kar loge \rightarrow invalid.
- Isliye strictly:

scss

 Copy code

```
while(i < j)
```

★ 3. Logic (Sorting ke property se):

👉 If $\text{nums}[i] + \text{nums}[j] > \text{target}$

\rightarrow Right wala element bada hai \rightarrow kabhi pair nahi banayega.

\rightarrow Move $j--$.

👉 If $\text{nums}[i] + \text{nums}[j] < \text{target}$

\rightarrow Left chhota hai \rightarrow increase sum.

\rightarrow Move $i++$.

👉 If $\text{nums}[i] + \text{nums}[j] == \text{target}$

\rightarrow Answer mil gaya

\rightarrow return $\{i+1, j+1\}$

★ 4. C++ Code

```
cpp Copy code

vector<int> twoSumII(vector<int>& numbers, int target) {
    int i = 0, j = numbers.size() - 1;

    while(i < j) {
        int sum = numbers[i] + numbers[j];

        if(sum == target) {
            return {i+1, j+1};
        }
        else if(sum > target) {
            j--;
        }
        else {
            i++;
        }
    }
    return {-1,-1}; // just in case
}
```

★ 5. Time Complexity

```
scss Copy code

O(n)
Only two pointers move at most n steps total.
```

★ Space Complexity

```
scss Copy code

O(1)
```

★★★ PROBLEM 5: 3Sum — Brute force → Optimized (LeetCode 15)

★ 1 Problem Summary

Find all **unique triplets** such that:

$\text{nums}[i] + \text{nums}[j] + \text{nums}[k] = 0$

i, j, k must be distinct

Return list of triplets (values, not indices).

Duplicate triplets NOT allowed.

★ 2 Brute Force (Only for understanding)

Three loops:

```
for(i)
```

```
  for(j=i+1)
```

```
    for(k=j+1)
```

Check sum.

✗ Time = $O(n^3)$ too slow.

Optimal Two-Pointer Approach (Very Important)

STEP 1: Sort the array

Sorted array → two pointer logic apply hoga.

```
sort(nums.begin(), nums.end());
```

STEP 2: Fix first element f

```
for(int f = 0; f < n; f++)
```

But **skip duplicates**:

```
if(f > 0 && nums[f] == nums[f-1])  
    continue;
```

STEP 3: Now apply Two Pointer between f+1 and n-1

```
i = f+1
```

```
j = n-1
```

★ Two Pointer Condition inside 3Sum

Calculate:

```
sum = nums[f] + nums[i] + nums[j]
```

Case A → If sum < 0

→ Need bigger value → $i++$

Case B → If sum > 0

→ Need smaller value → $j--$

Case C → If sum == 0

→ Triplet found

→ Push {nums[f], nums[i], nums[j]}

→ Now skip duplicates:

```
while(i < j && nums[i] == nums[i-1]) i++;
```

```
while(i < j && nums[j] == nums[j+1]) j--;
```

Then do:

```
i++;
```

```
j--;
```

☆☆☆ (MOST IMPORTANT EXPLANATION)

Why duplicate skip required?

Example:

-1, 0, 1, 1


If we don't skip duplicate 1,
we will again form:

-1 0 1

Duplicate triplet → wrong.

☆☆ 4 C++ Code (Final Clean Version)

cpp

 Copy code


```
vector<vector<int>> threeSum(vector<int>& nums) {  
    vector<vector<int>> ans;  
    sort(nums.begin(), nums.end());  
    int n = nums.size();  
  
    for(int f = 0; f < n; f++) {  
  
        // Stop early: if nums[f] > 0 → sum can never be 0  
        if(nums[f] > 0) break;  
  
        // Skip duplicates of first element  
        if(f > 0 && nums[f] == nums[f-1]) continue;  
  
        int i = f + 1;  
        int j = n - 1;  
  
        while(i < j) {  
            long long sum = (long long)nums[f] + nums[i] + nums[j];
```

```
            if(sum == 0) {  
                ans.push_back({nums[f], nums[i], nums[j]});  
  
                i++;  
                j--;  
  
                // skip duplicates of i  
                while(i < j && nums[i] == nums[i-1]) i++;  
  
                // skip duplicates of j  
                while(i < j && nums[j] == nums[j+1]) j--;  
            }  
            else if(sum < 0) {  
                i++;  
            }  
            else {  
                j--;  
            }  
        }  
    }  
    return ans;
```

★ 5 Time & Space Complexity

Time


mathematica

 Copy code

```
Sorting =  $O(n \log n)$   
Outer loop =  $O(n)$   
Inner two pointer =  $O(n)$   
  
Total =  $O(n^2)$ 
```

Space

scss

 Copy code

```
 $O(1)$  (ignoring output)
```