

# Yearn Finance

**Smart contract**  
**Security Assessment**  
Tokemak Strategy

February, 2022

# Table Of Contents

|                          |          |
|--------------------------|----------|
| <b>Disclaimer</b>        | <b>2</b> |
| <b>Overview Page</b>     | <b>4</b> |
| Summary                  | 4        |
| Contracts Assessed       | 4        |
| Findings Summary         | 4        |
| Classification of issues | 5        |
| <b>Findings</b>          | <b>6</b> |
| Issue #01                | 6        |
| Issue #02                | 6        |
| <b>Trust Assumptions</b> | <b>7</b> |
| <b>Observations</b>      | <b>8</b> |
| <b>Recommendations</b>   | <b>8</b> |
| Recommendation #01       | 8        |
| Recommendation #02       | 8        |
| Recommendation #03       | 8        |

# Disclaimer

This report does not provide any security warranty, investment advice, endorsement, or disapproval of any particular project or team. This report does not provide a warranty that the code in scope is completely free of vulnerabilities, bugs, or potential exploits. This report does not assess the financial risk of any asset. No third party should rely on this report to make any decisions to buy or sell any asset or product.

Delivering secured code is a continuous challenge that requires multiple steps. It is strongly recommended to use best code practices, write a full test suite, conduct an internal audit, and launch a bug bounty program as a complement to this report.

It is the sole responsibility of the project team to ensure that the code in scope is functioning as intended and that the recommendations presented in this report are carefully tested before deployment.

# Overview Page

## Summary

|                         |   |
|-------------------------|---|
| Project name            | Yearn Finance   |
| URL                     | <a href="https://yearn.finance/">https://yearn.finance/</a>   |
| Code                    | <a href="https://github.com/red-impala/tokemak-eth-strat/blob/master/contracts/Strategy.sol">https://github.com/red-impala/tokemak-eth-strat/blob/master/contracts/Strategy.sol</a> |
| Commit hash             | d22005318d25cc2cea41318131c35c1392620534  |
| Mitigations commit hash | a5675a46f356abd0e139c85dfa7ab29b981b9ea0  |
| Language                | Solidity  |

## Contracts Assessed

| Contract name                           | SHA-1                                    |
|---|--|
| <a href="#">/contracts/Strategy.sol</a> | ef2fbab0b16be9e668f4d9ab334d8be0617e5aed |

## Findings Summary

| Severity      | Found | Resolved | Partially resolved | Acknowledged |
|---------------|-------|----------|--------------------|--------------|
| High          | 0     | 0        | 0                  | 0            |
| Medium        | 0     | 0        | 0                  | 0            |
| Low           | 2     | 1        | 0                  | 1            |
| Informational | 0     | 0        | 0                  | 0            |
| Total         | 2     | 1        | 0                  | 1            |

# Classification of issues

| Severity      |   |
|---------------|---|
| High          | Vulnerabilities that may directly result in loss of funds, and thus require an urgent fix.                  |
| Medium        | Issues that may not be directly exploitable, or with a limited impact, that are still required to be fixed. |
| Low           | Subjective issues with a negligible impact.   |
| Informational | Subjective issues or observations with negligible or no impact.   |

# Findings

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| <b>Issue #01</b>      | <b><i>Strategy._checkAllowance approves tokemakEthPool to spend <code>type(uint256).max</code></i></b>  |
| <b>Severity</b>       | <b>Low</b>  |
| <b>Location</b>       | Strategy.sol L289   |
| <b>Description</b>    | Approving the maximum value of uint256 is a known practice to save gas. However, this pattern was proven to increase the impact of an attack many times in the past, in case the approved contract gets hacked. |
| <b>Recommendation</b> | Consider approving the exact amount that's needed to be transferred instead.  |
| <b>Resolution</b>     | Fixed in <a href="#">a5675a46</a> by approving the exact amount.  |

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| <b>Issue #02</b>      | <b><i>Strategy.requestWithdrawal - The total time of a withdrawal might be lengthen</i></b>   |
| <b>Severity</b>       | <b>Low</b>  |
| <b>Location</b>       | Strategy.sol L221   |
| <b>Description</b>    | According to <i>Tokemak</i> <a href="#">documentation</a> and implementation, a call to <i>requestWithdrawal</i> will always overwrite the current request, and thus might lengthen the total waiting time. |
| <b>Recommendation</b> | Consider adding a check that there are no active withdrawal requests before calling <i>tokemakEthPool.requestWithdrawal</i> .   |
| <b>Resolution</b>     | Acknowledged but not fixed, since the scenario of extending the total waiting time is less probable.  |

# Trust Assumptions

## *Tokemak* smart contracts

### Description

The *Tokemak* contracts are trusted in many ways, including but not only:

- The *Tokemak* contracts in use are upgradeable.
  - Funds deposited in *Tokemak* pools are withdrawable only after 24 hours. The user should Ensure to complete any outstanding withdrawal before he requests another. Not doing so will overwrite the current request and he will have to wait again for those funds.
  - Tokemak's *Rewards* contract admin can set the *rewardsSigner* which will effectively cancel any unclaimed rewards signed by the previous signer.
-

# Observations

1. In current implementation, the *want* token of *Strategy* can't be generalized to tokens that are not backed 1:1 by ETH.
2. *tokemakEthPool* might be paused by the owner, which will cause *BaseStrategy.harvest / tend* to revert.
3. Withdrawing the funds deposited to *tokemakEthPool* is possible only after a call to *tokemakEthPool.requestWithdrawal*, which is currently restricted for *onlyEmergencyAuthorized*, instead of being part of the *Strategy.liquidatePosition* flow.

## Recommendations

### Recommendation #01 Gas optimizations

**Description** *Strategy.prepareReturn* - return values from *liquidatePosition* are not used, and instead, these values are generated again in *prepareReturn*.

---

### Recommendation #02 *Strategy.prepareMigration - SafeERC20 usage*

**Description** *SafeERC20* is not used in this function, although practically it is not an issue, consider using *safeTransfer* to maintain code best practices uniformity.

---

### Recommendation #03 Change the package name



