# **Structuring Deals: Term Sheets**

## **Term Sheets and Various Rights**

When investors provide capital, they aren't just giving money; they're acquiring a stake in a potentially risky venture. The rights they negotiate in the term sheet are designed to mitigate this risk, protect their investment, and ensure they have a say in the company's future success (and a return on their capital).

## • Economic Rights (Elaborated):

- o Liquidation Preference (Beyond the Basics):
  - **Multiple:** The multiple (e.g., 1x, 1.5x, 2x) dictates how many times the initial investment the preferred stockholders receive before common stockholders. Higher multiples are more favorable to investors and can significantly reduce the proceeds for founders and employees in an exit.
  - Participation:
    - **Non-Participating:** Preferred stockholders receive their preference amount and then the remaining proceeds are distributed to common stockholders.
    - Participating (with or without a cap): Preferred stockholders receive their preference and then participate pro-rata (based on their ownership percentage after conversion) in the remaining proceeds alongside common stockholders. A cap limits the total amount participating preferred stockholders can receive. Participating preferred can dramatically shift the economics of an exit in favor of investors.
  - "Deemed Liquidation Events": The definition of a "liquidation event" is crucial. It typically includes not just a formal liquidation but also events like a merger, acquisition, or sale of substantially all assets. Investors want to ensure their preference applies in these scenarios as well.

### o Dividends (More Nuance):

- Cumulative vs. Non-Cumulative: Cumulative dividends can create a significant liability for the company if it doesn't pay them regularly. Non-cumulative dividends only need to be paid if and when declared by the board.
- **Participating Dividends:** Some preferred stock may also have the right to participate in any dividends paid to common stockholders.
- o **Redemption Rights (Contextualized):** These are less common in early-stage venture equity but might appear in later stages or in deals with a debt-like component. They specify the timing and price at which investors can force the company to repurchase their shares. This can put significant financial pressure on the company.
- o Anti-Dilution Protection (Detailed Mechanisms):
  - Full Ratchet (Investor Advantage): If a down round occurs, the conversion price of the preferred stock is adjusted down to the price of the new shares. For example, if preferred stock was purchased at \$10/share

and a down round happens at \$5/share, the preferred stock now converts at a 1:2 ratio instead of 1:1, significantly increasing the investor's ownership.

- Weighted Average (Balanced Approach):
  - Broad-Based: Considers all outstanding shares (including options and warrants) in the calculation, leading to a less severe adjustment than narrow-based.
  - Narrow-Based: Only considers the existing preferred stock and the new shares issued in the down round, resulting in a more significant adjustment.
- Pay-to-Play Provisions: To benefit from anti-dilution protection, some term sheets require existing investors to participate in the down round (i.e., "pay to play") by investing a certain amount. If they don't, their anti-dilution protection might be weakened or lost.

## • Control and Governance Rights (In-Depth):

- Board Representation (Influence and Control): The number of board seats investors get is often proportional to their ownership stake. They might demand a certain number of seats (e.g., one or two out of five), observer rights (allowing them to attend board meetings without voting), or even control of the board under certain circumstances. Board composition significantly impacts strategic decision-making.
- o **Protective Provisions (Veto Power):** These are crucial for investors to prevent the company from taking actions that could negatively impact their investment. The scope of these provisions is heavily negotiated. Founders want to keep them narrow to maintain operational flexibility, while investors prefer a broader scope for greater control. Common examples include:
  - Sale of the company or a significant portion of its assets.
  - Issuance of new equity beyond a certain threshold.
  - Taking on debt above a specified limit.
  - Changing the core business model.
  - Hiring or firing key executives.
  - Approving the annual budget.
  - Paying dividends.
- o **Voting Rights (Beyond "As-Converted"):** While generally voting with common stock, preferred stockholders might have special class votes on specific matters (e.g., changes to their rights, liquidation events). This gives them veto power over these critical decisions.
- o **Information Rights (Transparency and Oversight):** Investors typically require regular financial statements (monthly, quarterly, annual), management reports, and access to company information to monitor their investment's performance and the company's health.
- o **Pre-emptive Rights (Maintaining Ownership):** These rights allow investors to participate in future funding rounds to maintain their proportional ownership stake. This prevents dilution of their percentage ownership in subsequent rounds. A "right of first offer" is a variation where investors get the first chance to invest before outside parties.

## **Preferred Securities (Deeper Understanding)**

The preference afforded to these securities is what makes them attractive to investors. They essentially create a hierarchy among shareholders, with preferred stockholders having certain rights and claims that are senior to those of common stockholders.

- The "Why" Behind Preferred Stock: Investors in high-growth, often risky startups seek preferred stock because it offers downside protection (through liquidation preferences) and potential upside (through conversion rights). It aligns their interests with the long-term success of the company while providing safeguards along the way.
- Convertibility as a Key Feature: The conversion feature allows investors to transition from a protected position (as preferred stockholders) to participating fully in the upside as common stockholders, typically upon a qualified IPO or a significant acquisition. The conversion ratio is usually 1:1 but can be adjusted based on anti-dilution provisions.
- **Negotiating the Specifics:** The exact terms of the preferred stock (liquidation multiple, participation, dividend rate, protective provisions, etc.) are the core of the negotiation process. These terms reflect the perceived risk of the investment, the stage of the company, and the relative bargaining power of the founders and investors.

## **Valuation vs. Terms (Strategic Considerations)**

Founders often get fixated on achieving a high valuation, and while important, it's only one piece of the puzzle. Understanding the trade-offs between valuation and terms is crucial for long-term success and maintaining control.

- The Siren Song of High Valuation: A high valuation can feel like a win, boosting ego and potentially delaying the need for further funding. However, if it comes with overly onerous terms, it can create significant problems down the road. For example, a high liquidation preference can leave founders with little to nothing in a moderate exit, even if the valuation at the time of investment seemed good.
- Terms as Hidden Valuation Adjustments: Unfavorable terms can effectively reduce the value founders ultimately realize from their equity. A high participating liquidation preference, for instance, means investors get a larger share of the exit proceeds, effectively devaluing the common stock. Strong protective provisions can limit the founders' ability to run their company effectively, potentially hindering growth and ultimately impacting valuation in future rounds.
- Long-Term Alignment: Founder-friendly terms can foster a better long-term relationship with investors, aligning incentives towards sustainable growth and a mutually beneficial exit. Investors who prioritize fair terms often understand that a motivated and empowered founding team is essential for success.

Seeking Expert Advice: Navigating the complexities of valuation and terms is challenging. Founders should seek advice from experienced legal counsel and financial advisors who can help them understand the implications of different clauses and negotiate effectively. Exit Strategies: The Endgame for Entrepreneurs and Investors

An **exit strategy** is the plan by which a company's owners (founders and investors) intend to liquidate their stake and realize a return on their investment. It's not just an afterthought; a well-thought-out potential exit can influence strategic decisions from the company's inception and significantly impact the terms negotiated during funding rounds.

## Why are Exit Strategies Important?

- For Investors: Venture capitalists, angel investors, and other financial backers invest with the primary goal of achieving a significant return within a specific timeframe (typically 5-10 years for VC funds). A clear path to a potential exit is crucial for them to justify their investment and return capital to their own limited partners.
- For Entrepreneurs: While passion and building a successful business are often the primary drivers, entrepreneurs also need to consider their long-term financial goals. An exit event can provide personal wealth, allow them to pursue new ventures, or simply move on to the next stage of their lives.
- Alignment of Interests: Discussing potential exit strategies early on helps align the goals of entrepreneurs and investors. Understanding each party's expectations can prevent misunderstandings and ensure everyone is working towards a common objective.
- Strategic Decision-Making: The potential for different exit routes can influence key strategic decisions, such as market focus, growth strategies, and even the types of investors sought. For example, a company aiming for an IPO might prioritize rapid scaling and brand building, while one targeting an acquisition might focus on strategic partnerships and product synergies.

### **Common Exit Strategies (In Detail):**

## 1. Initial Public Offering (IPO): Going Public

- o **Description:** An IPO involves offering the company's shares to the public for the first time on a stock exchange. This allows existing shareholders to sell their shares in the public market and raises capital for the company.
- o Pros:
  - **Significant Capital Infusion:** An IPO can raise substantial capital for future growth, research, and development.
  - Liquidity for Shareholders: Provides significant liquidity for early investors and founders, allowing them to cash out some or all of their holdings
  - Enhanced Company Profile and Prestige: Becoming a publicly traded company can significantly enhance the company's brand recognition, credibility, and access to future capital markets.
  - **Employee Stock Options Become Liquid:** Employees holding stock options can finally realize the value of their equity.

### o Cons:

 High Costs and Complexity: The IPO process is expensive and time-consuming, involving significant legal, accounting, and underwriting fees.

- Increased Regulatory Scrutiny and Reporting Requirements: Public companies face stringent regulatory oversight, including regular financial reporting (e.g., quarterly and annual reports), compliance with securities laws (e.g., Sarbanes-Oxley), and increased public scrutiny.
- Loss of Control: Founders may experience a dilution of their ownership and control as the company becomes subject to the pressures of the public market and the influence of new shareholders.
- **Market Volatility:** The company's stock price can be volatile and influenced by broader market conditions beyond the company's control.
- **Short-Term Focus:** Public markets often focus on short-term results, which can sometimes conflict with long-term strategic goals.
- o **Suitability:** Typically suitable for well-established, high-growth companies with a proven track record of profitability or significant revenue generation and a strong management team capable of navigating the complexities of the public market.

## 2. Merger and Acquisition (M&A): Selling the Company

o **Description:** An M&A involves selling the company to another entity, which can be a larger strategic buyer (a company in the same or a related industry) or a financial buyer (such as a private equity firm).

## o Types of M&A:

- Strategic Acquisition: The buyer is typically a company looking to expand its market share, acquire new technologies, gain access to new customer segments, or eliminate competition. Synergies between the two companies are often a key driver.
- Financial Acquisition (Private Equity Buyout): A private equity firm acquires the company, often with the goal of improving its operations, financial performance, and then selling it again (another acquisition or potentially an IPO) within a few years for a profit.

#### o Pros:

- **Faster Liquidity:** M&A can provide a quicker exit for investors and founders compared to the lengthy IPO process.
- Potentially Higher Valuation (Strategic Buyers): Strategic buyers may be willing to pay a premium for the strategic fit and potential synergies.
- Less Regulatory Burden (Compared to IPO): The process is generally less complex and subject to fewer ongoing regulatory requirements than being a public company.
- Opportunity for Integration and Growth (Under New Ownership): The acquired company may benefit from the resources, infrastructure, and market access of the acquiring entity.

## o Cons:

- Loss of Independence: The acquired company ceases to exist as an independent entity. Founders may lose control and their vision for the company might not be fully realized.
- **Integration Challenges:** Integrating the acquired company's operations, culture, and systems with the buyer can be challenging and may not always be successful.

- **Potential Job Losses:** Acquisitions can sometimes lead to redundancies and job losses within the acquired company.
- **Negotiation Complexity:** The M&A process can be complex and involve intense negotiations over price, terms, and the future role of the founders.
- o **Suitability:** A viable exit for a wide range of companies, from early-stage startups with promising technology to more mature businesses with a strong market position. The ideal buyer depends on the company's industry, technology, customer base, and strategic goals.

## 3. Secondary Sale: Selling Shares to Other Investors

O **Description:** In a secondary sale, existing shareholders (typically early investors and sometimes founders) sell their shares to other investors, such as later-stage venture capital firms, private equity firms, or even other individuals, without the company itself being sold.

### o Pros:

- Partial Liquidity for Early Investors and Founders: Provides an opportunity for early stakeholders to realize some returns without a full exit of the company.
- Validation of Company Progress: Attracting new, often larger, investors can validate the company's growth and potential.
- Can Provide Capital for Existing Shareholders (Not the Company):
  The proceeds of the sale go to the selling shareholders, not the company's balance sheet (unless it's part of a larger funding round with a primary component).
- Can Set a Valuation Benchmark: Secondary sales can help establish a market value for the company's shares.

### o Cons:

- **No Direct Capital Infusion for the Company:** The company itself doesn't receive new capital in a pure secondary sale.
- **Potential Signaling Issues:** If founders sell a significant portion of their shares too early, it could be perceived negatively by other investors.
- Complexity in Coordinating Multiple Sellers: Managing a secondary sale involving multiple shareholders can be complex.
- May Not Provide a Full Exit: Secondary sales typically don't allow all shareholders to fully liquidate their positions.
- o **Suitability:** Often occurs in later-stage private companies that have demonstrated significant growth and traction, attracting interest from larger investors who may not have participated in earlier rounds. It can also be a way for early investors to de-risk their investment over time.

## 4. Management Buyout (MBO) / Leveraged Buyout (LBO)

## o **Description**:

- Management Buyout (MBO): The existing management team purchases a controlling stake in the company, often with the help of external financing.
- Leveraged Buyout (LBO): A private equity firm acquires a controlling interest in a mature, often profitable company, using a significant amount

of borrowed funds (debt) to finance the acquisition. The acquired company's assets or future cash flows are used as collateral for the debt.

#### o Pros:

- Continuity of Operations and Management (MBO): Allows the
  existing management team to continue running the business with a greater
  ownership stake.
- **Potential for Significant Returns (LBO):** If the private equity firm can improve the company's performance and reduce debt, it can generate substantial returns upon resale.
- Exit Path for Existing Shareholders: Provides a way for early investors and founders to liquidate their holdings.

### o Cons:

- **High Levels of Debt (LBO):** The significant debt burden in an LBO can put financial pressure on the company.
- Potential for Operational Changes (LBO): Private equity firms often implement significant operational and financial changes to improve efficiency and profitability.
- Management Team May Lack Sufficient Capital (MBO): The management team may need to rely heavily on debt financing, which can be risky.
- o **Suitability:** MBOs are more common for established, profitable companies where the management team believes they can drive further value as owners. LBOs typically target mature companies with stable cash flows that can support significant debt.

### **Factors Influencing Exit Strategies:**

- **Industry and Market Conditions:** The industry the company operates in and the overall economic and market climate can significantly influence the feasibility and attractiveness of different exit options.
- Company Performance and Growth Trajectory: Strong financial performance, consistent growth, and a defensible market position make a company more attractive to potential acquirers or for a public offering.
- **Investor Expectations and Timelines:** Investors have their own return requirements and investment horizons, which can influence the pressure for an exit.
- Founder Goals and Aspirations: The founders' personal and professional goals will also play a role in the preferred exit strategy.
- Capital Markets Conditions: The receptiveness of the public markets to IPOs and the availability of capital for M&A activity can fluctuate.

## The Role of the Term Sheet in Exit Strategies:

While the term sheet doesn't usually detail the specific exit plan, it can include clauses that facilitate or influence potential exits, such as:

- **Drag-Along and Tag-Along Rights:** As discussed earlier, these provisions are crucial for ensuring a smooth exit process.
- **Right of First Refusal on Share Transfers:** Can impact the pool of potential future buyers.
- **Liquidation Preferences:** While primarily focused on downside protection, the structure of liquidation preferences can significantly impact the proceeds founders receive in different exit scenarios.

#### In Conclusion:

Exit strategies are not just abstract concepts; they are integral to the entire lifecycle of a startup. Entrepreneurs should begin thinking about potential exit paths early on, even if the immediate focus is on building a great product and achieving market traction. Understanding the different options, their pros and cons, and how they align with the goals of both the founders and investors is crucial for navigating the complexities of entrepreneurial finance and ultimately achieving a successful outcome. Regular discussions about potential exit scenarios with investors and advisors are highly recommended.

•