p. 1: The ‘Sumero‑Akkadian Cuneiform’**and ‘Early Dynastic Cuneiform’** block**s** in Unicode contain**~~s~~** these cuneiform signs.  
(We can safely ignore the cuneiform numbers and punctuation block because we are talking about the descendants of the pictographs, but we shouldn’t forget about ED, its existence is what makes the disunification discussion interesting.)

p. 2: super**s**edes

p. 4: Should the Uruk V period be mentioned here? The first occurrence of "Uruk V" is in appendix E.

pp. 9 sq.:

* French personal names are almost as confusing as Sumerian ones: as far as I can tell, all attestations of Camille Lecompte’s last name are written with a small c, and I am pretty sure Leroy is likewise written with a small r.  
  (It is somewhat recent that these orthographies are frozen between spaced and unspaced; when reading 18th and early 19th century correspondence or publications mentioning the hordes of mathematicians with names starting in Le or La: it is not uncommon to see e.g., both [M. de La Place](https://urldefense.com/v3/__https:/gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/bd6t54192707f__;!!IBzWLUs!Wi1TWbXKh31vGnQ5smLQxqvBCiGjIYCguEtiyrAFue0PZTe-Ws5JrHMxnha7Up-Uj97hUfITpMtfZlW2nbg7LA$) and [M. le Comte Laplace](https://urldefense.com/v3/__https:/gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/btv1b8625611h/f7.image__;!!IBzWLUs!Wi1TWbXKh31vGnQ5smLQxqvBCiGjIYCguEtiyrAFue0PZTe-Ws5JrHMxnha7Up-Uj97hUfITpMtfZlW5S22oYw$) for the mathematician we now know as Laplace, or [Monsieur le Gendre](https://urldefense.com/v3/__https:/www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0315086003001022*aep-section-id12__;Iw!!IBzWLUs!Wi1TWbXKh31vGnQ5smLQxqvBCiGjIYCguEtiyrAFue0PZTe-Ws5JrHMxnha7Up-Uj97hUfITpMtfZlXGx0NloQ$) referring to Adrien-Marie Legendre; some names froze the other way, there are people called Le Gendre. However, CamelCase without a space seems to be an American development.)
* Besides introducing the Uruk V period in Section 2.2, the exclusion of texts from that period should probably be mentioned somewhere in Sections 3–5 (I am not sure where would be most appropriate).

p. 10: If Proto-Cuneiform characters are used in otherwise Cuneiform text, they should have Cuneiform in their Script\_Extensions value, compare the numeric signs used in both the third and fourth millennium. We can deal with that later, but I would add, at the end of the first paragraph of 3.1.1, something like:

An assignment of Script\_Extensions=Pcun Xsux will be proposed for these signs in future documents as they are identified.

p. 11: The princip**al** modern publications […]

p. 12:

* super**s**ede (twice)
* Langdon’s *Pictographic Inscriptions* are mentioned, but are not cited in 4.1.1; they should probably be (if only because the abbreviation PI is otherwise mysterious).

p. 13: **~~r~~**corpus

p. 14:

* M**~~V~~**SVO4
* Missing space in both forms

p. 16: The princip**al** imperatives are

Although this might be correct if meant as the imperatives of the principles? Or are those only the principal principle imperatives?

p. 290: tofu in the second paragraph of Section 3.