

Parallel Programming

Introduction to Parallel Computing

Slides adapted from the lecture notes by Peter Pacheco

Roadmap


- Why we need ever-increasing performance.
- Why we're building parallel systems.
- Why we need to write parallel programs.
- How do we write parallel programs?
- Concurrent, parallel, distributed!

Changing times

- From 1986 – 2002, microprocessors were speeding like a rocket, increasing in performance an average of 50% per year.
- Since then, it's dropped to about 20% increase per year.

An intelligent solution

- Instead of designing and building faster microprocessors, put multiple processors on a single integrated circuit.



Now it's up to the programmers

- Adding more processors doesn't help much if programmers aren't aware of them...
- ... or don't know how to use them.
- Serial programs don't benefit from this approach (in most cases).


Why we need ever-increasing performance

- Computational power is increasing, but so are our computation problems and needs.
- Problems we never dreamed of have been solved, such as decoding the human genome.
- More complex problems are still waiting to be solved.

Climate modeling




Protein folding



Drug discovery



Energy research



Data analysis



Why we're building parallel systems

- Up to now, performance increases have been attributable to increasing density of transistors.
- But there are inherent problems.




Problem

- Denser transistors -> faster processors.
- Faster processors -> increased power consumption.
- Increased power consumption -> increased heat.
- Increased heat -> unreliable processors.

Solution

- Move away from single-core systems to multicore processors.
- “core”: a processing unit



- Introducing parallelism!!!

Why we need to write parallel programs

- Running multiple instances of a serial program often isn't very useful.
- Think of running multiple instances of your favorite game.
- What you really want is for it to run faster.



Approaches to the serial problem

- Rewrite serial programs so that they're parallel.
- Write translation programs that automatically convert serial programs into parallel programs.
 - This is very difficult to do.
 - Success has been limited.

More problems about translation

- Some coding constructs can be recognized by an automatic program generator, and converted to a parallel construct.
- However, it's likely that the result will be a very inefficient program.
- Sometimes the best parallel solution is to step back and devise an entirely new algorithm.


Example

- Compute n values and add them together.
- Serial solution:


```
sum = 0;
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    x = Compute_next_value(. . .);
    sum += x;
}
```

Example (cont.)

- We have p cores, p much smaller than n .
- Each core performs a partial sum of approximately n/p values.



```
my_sum = 0;
my_first_i = . . . ;
my_last_i = . . . ;
for (my_i = my_first_i; my_i < my_last_i; my_i++) {
    my_x = Compute_next_value( . . . );
    my_sum += my_x;
}
```



Each core uses its own private variables and executes this block of code independently of the other cores.

Example (cont.)

- After each core completes execution of the code, a private variable `my_sum` contains the sum of the values computed by its calls to `Compute_next_value`.
- Ex., 8 cores, $n = 24$, then the calls to `Compute_next_value` return:

1,4,3, 9,2,8, 5,1,1, 5,2,7, 2,5,0, 4,1,8, 6,5,1, 2,3,9

Example (cont.)

- Once all the cores are done computing their private `my_sum`, they form a global sum by sending results to a designated “master” core which adds the final result.

Example (cont.)

```
if (I'm the master core) {  
    sum = my_x;  
    for each core other than myself {  
        receive value from core;  
        sum += value;  
    }  
} else {  
    send my_x to the master;  
}
```

Example (cont.)

Core	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
my_sum	8	19	7	15	7	13	12	14

Global sum

$$8 + 19 + 7 + 15 + 7 + 13 + 12 + 14 = 95$$

Core	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
my_sum	95	19	7	15	7	13	12	14


Better parallel algorithm

- Don't make the master core do all the work.
- Share it among the other cores.
- Pair the cores so that core 0 adds its result with core 1's result.
- Core 2 adds its result with core 3's result, etc.
- Work with odd and even numbered pairs of cores.

Better parallel algorithm (cont.)

- Repeat the process now with only the evenly ranked cores.
- Core 0 adds result from core 2.
- Core 4 adds the result from core 6, etc.
- Now cores divisible by 4 repeat the process, and so forth, until core 0 has the final result.

Multiple cores forming a global sum



Analysis

- In the first example, the master core performs 7 receives and 7 additions.
- In the second example, the master core performs 3 receives and 3 additions.
- The improvement is more than a factor of 2!

Analysis (cont.)



- The difference is more dramatic with a larger number of cores.
- If we have 1000 cores:
 - The first example would require the master to perform 999 receives and 999 additions.
 - The second example would only require 10 receives and 10 additions.
- That's an improvement of almost a factor of 100!

How do we write parallel programs?

- Task parallelism
 - Partition various tasks solving the problem among the cores.
- Data parallelism
 - Partition the data used in solving the problem among the cores.
 - Each core carries out similar operations on its part of the data.

Professor P

15 questions
300 exams



Professor P's grading assistants




TA#1

TA#2


TA#3

Division of work – data parallelism

TA#1




100 exams



TA#3

100 exams



TA#2

100 exams

Division of work – task parallelism

TA#1



Questions 1 - 5



TA#3

Questions 11 - 15



TA#2

Questions 6 - 10

Division of work – data parallelism

```
sum = 0;  
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {  
    x = Compute_next_value(. . .);  
    sum += x;  
}
```

Division of work – task parallelism

```
if (I'm the master core) {  
    sum = my_x;  
    for each core other than myself {  
        receive value from core;  
        sum += value;  
    }  
} else {  
    send my_x to the master;  
}
```

Tasks

- 1) Receiving
- 2) Addition


Coordination

- Cores usually need to coordinate their work.
- **Communication** – one or more cores send their current partial sums to another core.
- **Load balancing** – share the work evenly among the cores so that one is not heavily loaded.
- **Synchronization** – because each core works at its own pace, make sure cores do not get too far ahead of the rest.

Type of parallel systems


- Shared-memory
 - The cores can share access to the computer's memory.
 - Coordinate the cores by having them examine and update shared memory locations.
- Distributed-memory
 - Each core has its own, private memory.
 - The cores must communicate explicitly by sending messages across a network.

Type of parallel systems



(a)

Shared-memory



(b)

Distributed-memory

Terminology

- **Concurrent computing** – In a program multiple tasks can be in progress at any instant.
- **Parallel computing** – In a program multiple tasks cooperate closely to solve a problem.
- **Distributed computing** – A program may need to cooperate with other programs to solve a problem.

Concluding Remarks

- Parallel systems are the trend of computing.
- Serial programs typically don't benefit from multiple cores.
- Learning to write parallel programs involves learning how to coordinate the cores.
- Parallel programs are usually very complex and therefore, require sound program techniques and development.