MATHEMATICAL TOOLS - SOLUTION 7

Problem 1. Take $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. First, 1 and 2 are both eigenvalues, so A is diagonalizable. On the other hand:

$$AA^T = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

the eigenvalues of AA^T are $3 \pm \sqrt{5}$, which means that the singular values of A are $\sqrt{3 \pm \sqrt{5}}$ which are different than 1 and 2. Now, $||A||_{op} = \sqrt{3 + \sqrt{5}} \approx 2.2 > 2$.

Problem 2. We'll start with A. It holds:

$$A^T A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

and so $\sigma_1 = 2, \sigma_2 = 1$. Therefore $D = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Next, we want to find $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$

s.t.
$$\left\|A\begin{pmatrix}x\\y\end{pmatrix}\right\|_2^2 = \sigma_1^2 \left\|\begin{pmatrix}x\\y\end{pmatrix}\right\|_2^2 = 4 \left\|\begin{pmatrix}x\\y\end{pmatrix}\right\|_2^2$$
. Well:

$$\left\| A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{2}^{2} = \left(-\frac{24}{25}x + \frac{4}{5}y \right)^{2} + \left(-\frac{32}{25}x - \frac{3}{5}y \right)^{2} + \frac{36}{25}x^{2} = 4x^{2} + y^{2}$$

$$4\left\|\left(\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} = 4x^{2} + 4y^{2}$$

So we want to solve:

$$4x^2 + 4y^2 = 4x^2 + y^2 \iff y = 0$$

So we can take the normalized vector $v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and its orthonormal $v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We then know:

$$Av_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{24}{25} \\ -\frac{32}{25} \\ -\frac{6}{5} \end{pmatrix}, Av_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{5} \\ -\frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

So we may write $U = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \end{pmatrix}$. Now, $Av_1 = UDVv_1 = UDe_1 = 2Ue_1 \implies$

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{2}Av_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{12}{25} \\ -\frac{16}{25} \\ -\frac{3}{5} \end{pmatrix}$$
. Similarly $Av_2 = UDVv_2 = UDe_2 = Ue_2 = u_2 = u_2$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{5} \\ -\frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
. Finally, we need u_3 to be orthogonal to u_1 and u_2 . Take $u_3 = u_1 \times u_2 = u_1 \times u_2 = u_2 \times u_3 = u_3 \times u_4 = u_4 \times u_4 =$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{9}{25} \\ -\frac{12}{25} \\ \frac{4}{5} \end{array} \right). \text{ So: }$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{24}{25} & \frac{4}{5} & -\frac{9}{25} \\ -\frac{32}{25} & -\frac{3}{5} & -\frac{12}{25} \\ -\frac{6}{5} & 0 & \frac{4}{5} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We move on to B. First:

$$BB^T = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

The characteristic polynomial is $x(x-2)^2(x-4)$ so the eigenvalues are 4,2,2,0and the singular values are $2, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}, 0$. Hence $D = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \sqrt{2} &$ observe that $B\begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\\2\\2\\2 \end{pmatrix}$ so we may take $v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\\\frac{1}{2}\\\frac{1}{2}\\\frac{1}{2}\\\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$. We have: $Bv_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\2\\\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix} = 2Ue_1 = 2u_1 \implies u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\\\frac{1}{2}\\\frac{1}{2}\\\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Now, observe that } B \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\-1\\0 \end{pmatrix} =$ $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ = $2 = \sqrt{2}\sqrt{2} = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. So we'll take $v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$. Similarly, we'll take $v_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$. We then have $u_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, u_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$ Finally, we have $B\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$, so we may take $v_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\overline{2}}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ and $u_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\overline{2}}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$. Thus: $B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{2}{2} & \frac{2}{2} & \frac{2}{2} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$

Problem 3.

- (1) By definition, the rank of a matrix is the size of the maximal set of linearly independent columns of the matrix. Consider any two columns in uv^T . They have the form: v_iu, v_ju , and they are clearly linearly dependent.
- (2) Let $u_1^T, \ldots, u_k^T \in \mathbb{R}$ be linearly independent rows of A. Then for every row a_i^T of A there exist $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$ s.t. $a_i^T = v_i^T \begin{pmatrix} u_1^T \\ \dots \\ u_k^T \end{pmatrix}$. So $A = \begin{pmatrix} v_1^T \\ \dots \\ v_m^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1^T \\ \dots \\ u_k^T \end{pmatrix}$. Let b_1, \dots, b_k be the columns of $\begin{pmatrix} v_1^T \\ \dots \\ v_m^T \end{pmatrix}$. Then $A = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1^T \\ \dots \\ u_i^T \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^k b_i u_i^T$. By an argument similar to

the previous part we know that $u_i b_i^T$ is of rank 1 or 0. Now $u_i \neq 0$ because u_1, \ldots, u_k are linearly independent. Also, for every $i, b_i \neq 0$ because for

some
$$j, a_j^T = u_j^T$$
, which means that $(b_i)_j = 1$ (since $u_j^T = a_j^T = v_j^T \begin{pmatrix} u_1^T \\ \dots \\ u_k^T \end{pmatrix}$

which by linear independence yields $v_j^T = e_i^T$). Thus $b_i u_i^T \neq 0$ so it is of rank 1.

(3) Let UDV^T be a SVD of A. Take v_i^T to be the ith row of V^T and u_i to be the ith column of U.

Problem 4. Let UDV^T be a SVD of A. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Let v_i^T be the rows of V and let u_i be the columns of U. Then, applying Pythagoras' theorem and the fact that $\{v_i\}, \{u_i\}$ are orthonormal:

$$Ax = \sum \sigma_i u_i \langle x, v_i \rangle \implies \|Ax\|_2^2 = \sum \sigma_i^2 \langle x, v_i \rangle^2 \ge \sigma_n^2 \sum \langle x, v_i \rangle^2 = \sigma_n^2 \|Ax\|_2^2$$
 which implies the result.

Problem 5.

- (1) Basic calculus non-empty closed and bounded subsets of \mathbb{R} attain a maximum. S_A is trivially non-empty, so it's enough to show that it's bounded and closed.
- (2) Recall all norms on \mathbb{R}^n are equivalent, so there exists some C>0 s.t. for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $\|x\|_1\leq CN_n(x)$. Thus, for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ s.t. $N_n(x)=1$, $\|x\|_1\leq C$. So the set $B_1=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:N_n(x)=1\}$ is ℓ_1 -bounded. Now, let $x=\sum_{i=1}^n x_ie_i\in B_1$. It holds:

$$N_m\left(Ax\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left|x_i\right| N_m\left(Ae_i\right) \leq \left(\max_{i \in [n]} N_m\left(Ae_i\right)\right) \left\|x\right\|_1 \leq \left(\max_{i \in [n]} N_m\left(Ae_i\right)\right) C$$

Thus S_A is bounded above by $\left(\max_{i\in[n]}N_m\left(Ae_i\right)\right)C$ and below by 0. Now, let $0\neq x\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\frac{x}{N_n(x)}\in B_1$. $N_m\left(Ax\right)=N_n\left(x\right)N_m\left(A\left(\frac{x}{N_n(x)}\right)\right)\leq N_n\left(x\right)\left(\max_{i\in[n]}N_m\left(Ae_i\right)\right)C$.

(3) Let $\{s_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq S_A$ be a sequence that converges to $s \in \mathbb{R}$. For every k, let $x_k \in B_1$ be s.t. $N_m(Ax_k) = s_k$. The sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq B_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is ℓ_1 -bounded by what we showed in the previous section. Therefore, by the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem it has an ℓ_1 -convergent subsequence

 $\begin{aligned} &\{x_{k_\ell}\}_{\ell=1}^{\infty}. \text{ Say } x_{k_\ell} \text{ converges to } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \text{ We'll show that } x \in B_1 \text{ and that } N_m\left(Ax\right) = s. \text{ Then } \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \|x_{k_\ell} - x\|_1 = 0. \text{ But there exists some } D > 0 \\ \text{s.t. for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ N_n\left(y\right) \leq D \, \|y\|_1. \text{ Therefore, } \lim_{\ell \to \infty} N_n\left(x_{k_\ell} - x\right) \leq \lim_{\ell \to \infty} D \, \|x_{k_\ell} - x\|_1 = 0. \text{ Using the triangle inequality:} \end{aligned}$

$$\left|N_{n}\left(x\right)-1\right|=\left|N_{n}\left(x\right)-N_{n}\left(x_{k_{\ell}}\right)\right|\leq N_{n}\left(x-x_{k_{\ell}}\right)\rightarrow0\implies N_{n}\left(x\right)=1$$

Therefore $x \in B_1$. Furthermore, using the triangle inequality and linearity of A:

$$\left|N_{m}\left(Ax\right)-s_{k_{\ell}}\right|=\left|N_{m}\left(Ax\right)-N_{m}\left(Ax_{k_{\ell}}\right)\right|\leq N_{m}\left(A\left(x-x_{k_{\ell}}\right)\right)\leq N_{n}\left(x-x_{k_{\ell}}\right)\left(\max_{i\in[n]}N_{m}\left(Ae_{i}\right)\right)C\rightarrow0$$
So

$$N_m(Ax) = \lim_{\ell \to \infty} s_{k_\ell} = s$$

as desired.