MATHEMATICAL TOOLS - SOLUTION 1

Problem 1.

(1) By definition:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[XY\right] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \mathbb{P}\left[\omega\right] X\left(\omega\right) Y\left(\omega\right) = \sum_{x \in Im(x)} \sum_{\omega \in X^{-1}(x)} \mathbb{P}\left[\omega\right] x Y\left(\omega\right) = \sum_{x \in Im(x)} x \sum_{\omega \in X^{-1}(x)} \mathbb{P}\left[\omega\right] Y\left(\omega\right)$$

$$\sum_{x \in Im(x)} x \sum_{y \in Im(Y)} \mathbb{P}\left[X = x \land Y = y\right] y$$

By independence:

$$=\sum_{x\in Im(x)}x\sum_{y\in Im(Y)}\mathbb{P}\left[X=x\right]\mathbb{P}\left[Y=y\right]y=\sum_{x\in Im(x)}x\mathbb{P}\left[X=x\right]\sum_{y\in Im(Y)}\mathbb{P}\left[Y=y\right]y=\sum_{x\in Im(x)}x\mathbb{P}\left[X=x\right]\mathbb{E}\left[Y=x\right]$$

(2) Let X, Y be given by the following probability density:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X = -1 & X = 0 & X = 1 \\ Y = -1 & 0 & \frac{1}{6} & 0 \\ Y = 1 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{3} \end{array}$$

Now, X,Y aren't independent because (for example) $\mathbb{P}\left[X=1,Y=1\right]=\frac{1}{3}\neq\frac{1}{3}\cdot\frac{5}{6}=\mathbb{P}\left[X=1\right]\mathbb{P}\left[Y=1\right]$. On the other hand $\mathbb{E}\left[XY\right]=0$, and since $\mathbb{E}\left[X\right]=0$ so is $\mathbb{E}\left[X\right]\mathbb{E}\left[Y\right]$.

(3) We have:

$$\begin{aligned} Var\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right)^{2}\right] - \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right]\right)^{2} = \sum_{i\neq j}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}X_{j}\right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}^{2}\right] - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right]^{2} - \sum_{i\neq j}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right]\mathbb{E}\left[X_{j}\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}Var\left[X_{i}\right] \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the previous clause,

(4) Let $X, Y \sim Ber\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ independently, and $Z = X \oplus Y$ (that is, Z is the indicator of $X \neq Y$). So $Z \sim Ber\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$. Now, $\mathbb{P}\left[X = Y = Z = 1\right] = 0 \neq \frac{1}{2^3}$, so the random variables aren't independent. But they are pairwise independent: X, Y are independent by construction, and $\mathbb{P}\left[Z = 1 \land X = 1\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[X = 1 \land Y = 0\right] = \frac{1}{4}$, and similar calculations hold for the other possibilities.

Problem 2.

Problem 3.

(1) We can use Chebychev's inequality: Note that $\mathbb{E}[X_n] = pn$, $\sigma_n^2 = Var[X_n] = np(1-p)$. Now:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left[X_n \le \alpha n\right] \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left[\left|X_n - pn\right| \ge (p - \alpha) n\right] \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sigma_n^2}{(p - \alpha)^2 n^2} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{np (1 - p)}{(p - \alpha)^2 n^2} = 0$$

A similar calculation hold for $\mathbb{P}[X_n \geq \beta n]$.

(2) By Chebychev:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[X_{100} \le \frac{1}{4}100\right] \le \frac{100\frac{1}{4}}{\left(\frac{100}{4}\right)^2} = \frac{4}{100} = \frac{1}{25} = 0.04 = 4 \cdot 10^{-2}$$

- (3) $\mathbb{P}[X_{100} \leq 25] \approx 2.8 \cdot 10^{-7}$. Obviously, this result is orders of magnitude off from the one from the previous clause. The reason is that Chebychev applies to *all* random variables. In our case, we're summing 100 i.i.d. random variables. This is a very strong condition, and allows us to obtain better bounds, such as Chernoff, which we'll see later in the course.
- (4) $A_n \sim Bin\left(\binom{n}{2}, p\right)$, so this follows immediately from part 1, with $\mathbb{E}[A_n] = \binom{n}{2}p$.

Problem 4.

- (1) Note that $\mathbb{P}\left[T\left(G_{n}\right)=0\right]=1-\mathbb{P}\left[T\left(G_{n}\right)\geq1\right]$. We have: $\mathbb{E}\left[T\left(G_{n}\right)\right]=\begin{pmatrix}n\\3\end{pmatrix}\frac{1}{n^{3}}<\frac{1}{6}$. Thus, by Markov, $\mathbb{P}\left[T\left(G_{n}\right)\geq1\right]<\frac{1}{6}$ \Longrightarrow $\mathbb{P}\left[T\left(G_{n}\right)=0\right]>\frac{5}{6}$.
- (2) $A_n \sim Bin\left(\binom{n}{2}, \frac{1}{n}\right)$. Thus $\mathbb{E}\left[A_n\right] = \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $Var\left[A_n\right] \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$. We'll use Chebychev: $\mathbb{P}\left[A_n \leq \frac{n}{2} \sqrt{n}\right] \leq \mathbb{P}\left[\left|A_n \frac{n-1}{2}\right| \geq \sqrt{n} \frac{1}{2}\right] \leq \frac{n-1}{2\left(\sqrt{n} \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} \leq \frac{5}{6}$, where the last inequality follows from elementary calculus.
- (3) Using a union bound, $\mathbb{P}\left[T\left(G_n\right) = 0 \land A_n \ge \frac{n}{2} \sqrt{n}\right] \ge 1 \mathbb{P}\left[T\left(G_n\right) > 0\right] \mathbb{P}\left[A_n < \frac{n}{2} \sqrt{n}\right] > 1 \frac{1}{6} \frac{5}{6} = 0.$
- (4) Take a complete bipartite graph with parts of $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ vertices. Then there are no triangles (as any three vertices have at least two on the same side), and $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \ge \frac{n^2}{4} \frac{1}{4}$ edges.